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Annual Budget 2019/2020
Waiheke Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1
(34–3870)
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

-Waste management is a country issue the businesses producing or selling goods are responsible for the waste they emanate. The tax must target the one that originate it. For our rivers, streams contaminated by the cows farming industry such as by the products used to grow grass. The ideal will be to produce biochar from cows manure after collecting manure from the field and turn it into bio gas to run vehicles on the farm. Save our water from toxic contamination. As e i . in India cows dejection are their main source of energy for cooking. Make New Zealand a better space - the food scraps target should be base on a voluntary motive. Some people already have a compost bin or worm farm and this service is not needed for them -how much good religion does? this will encourage not so genuine practices. - the regulatory fees are already really high, as part of the young generation finding all the rates going up are not helping by any means to access ownership.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The environment and well being of the population. Both are linked.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

The priorities proposed would not help the local population

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

The transition can be smooth and made beneficial for both part
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees
and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Why increase the Annual Waste Management Charge if some people make the effort to reduce their waste. Surely it should only apply to those who create More Waste than those who create Less waste. Reward those who reduce Waste Charge the ones who are lazy or create excessive amounts of waste.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

On Waiheke Island and other Hauraki Gulf Islands we Collect Rain Water from the Roof and Only Buy water if we run low. So Encourage Home owners to install Rainwater tanks. Collect water for Gardens, Lawns, Swimming Pools, and by using a water filter for Drinking Water. Even though on the Islands we do not use water Filters and live well.

3. Local Board Information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Not charging religious organisations is silly. Do you extend the same service to all charities?
Resource consent and building consent is already exorbitant for the services offered so it will just
make it harder for Kiwis to get things done. This is a backwards step.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. What is important to you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any feedback on any other issues?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Local Board information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Waiheke Local Board feedback**

*In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?*

- Partially

*Comments:*

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

*What is your opinion about this proposal?*

Support

Auckland Council
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First name: Jim  Last name: McGibbon
Organisation name: 
Local Board: Waiheke
Email address: Jim.mcibbon@water.co.nz
Street address: Suburb:
City: Postcode:

Gender: Male  Age: 45-54 years  Ethnicity: Pakeha/NZ European

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.
These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
On Waiheke I am personally seeing no benefits to the extra cost.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
Recycling, especially soft plastics.

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Partially
Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.
What is your opinion about this proposal?
Support
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Resource consent is currently too expensive and cumbersome. Process needs to be reviewed. Mooring fees should cover costs and provide for policing of effluent from boats.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

At the waterfront there is now nowhere close for passengers on the Rakino ferry to load and unload. There is not a reasonable way to take a bus to the bottom of town ferry area.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Albert-Eden and Waiheke

---

**Albert-Eden Local Board feedback**

**In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?**

Partially

**Comments**

Water quality

---

**Waiheke Local Board feedback**

**In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?**

No

**Comments**

No attention or care given to Rakino issues

---

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

More ratepayers control
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.
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Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.
These changes include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Many of these changes seem sensible. I think the increase in rates for Water Quality when no water is supplied is wrong.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waikato

Waikate Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Yes
Comments
I agree with the Local Board that the island is seriously affected by the huge numbers of visitors who make no contribution and clog up roads and cause deterioration. If the Local Board is given the authority and the money to do the things that islanders want that would be an improvement.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Support
Central city amenities for the public would be good. The ports of Auckland area is an eyesore.
Annual Budget feedback
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Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Not interested in food waste collection. Do our own. As a pensioner why increase waste water charge. Resource consents dear enough now. Why should religious organisations be exempt. Most have seldom used buildings.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Rates should not go up. Now on Waiheke you made street parking a charge. Not good on an island. We are not a city. Too many over 100000 paid staff. Need to cut back.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments:


Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Pan ukai out of control body
Item 21
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1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I do not agree with the proposed increase in the annual waste management charge. We do not use our street collection as it does not recycle items. Every 3-4 weeks we take our rubbish to the tip and recycle items personally. There should be some financial support/incentive for such actions.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

If the 400 properties mentioned have been re-zoned urban then urban rates should apply. If not, they should remain as at present.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Seem reasonable

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $750 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Anything to reduce unnecessary governance costs. At the present time there are too many chiefs on high salaries and too few people doing the actual work.

Auckland Council
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Ok, but what are you proposing for Waiheke?

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
Waiheke urgently needs improved reading and especially kerbing and footpaths. The current infrastructure can’t cope with the estimated 1 million visitors per year!

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
No
Comments
Am not aware of proposed priorities for Waiheke

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Do not support

Auckland Council
Attachment F
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# Annual Budget feedback

## Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Feb 2019 17:58

**Attachment:**

---

## Feedback

1. **Changes to rates and fees**

We are proposing a small number of rate and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Religious organisations should be paying rates just like everyone else. Regulatory fees are already outrageously high and should not be increased. Another added cost to the price of building, Council must find other ways of saving money.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Council must find other ways of saving significant amounts of money other than just taxing rate payers all the time.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

The current chairman campaigned vigorously promising to assist commuters. The Board has sat by and watched commuters’ expenses rise by up to $1500 per year in some cases. The Board did not support local accommodation providers in their bid to repeal the increase in rates for accommodation providers. There has been little done to improve amenities and roads on the island.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I have no faith in Auckland Council’s ability to manage this transfer well
Attachment F
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover? Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city-based cost model onto those that can not access it. It's illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?  
No

Comments

I live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover? Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city-based cost model onto those that can not access it. It's illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover? Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city-based cost model onto those that can not access it. It's illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area
to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period
  (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised
  areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees
  and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
The increase to Mooring fees is acceptable. However AT, and or the Harbour Master needs to ensure that my Vessel moored in Mataatua is safe. Visiting boats, generally out of Auckland, continually anchor in the Mataatua Mooring Field. This is particularly poor seamanship as the anchored vessel will behave differently when acted on by the wind and tide. This result in the moored vessels being damaged, usually with the offending vessel being identified. The crew do not know, with any accuracy, as to where the mooring weights are situated on the sea floor. This results in the anchored vessel fouling the mooring weights. Larger vessels have dragged the moorings out of position trying to get their ground tackle free. Visiting vessels also continually pick up unoccupied Moorings. This is also poor seamanship, as the crew do not know the suitability and viability of the mooring they have attached to. This practice results in the Mooring owner arriving in Mataatua with nowhere to safely moor their vessel. I have brought this problem to the attention of AT and the Harbour master on previous occasions without any result. AT, and or, the Harbour Master need to mitigate this source of constant frustration to mooring owners. You are increasing your charges while failing to provide a reasonable service.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication; increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support
Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Why do ratepayers consistently year after year pay more and more rates which is becoming extortion. We only have limited income and we manage on no increases each year, but with less money to assign to other aspects of our lives. WHY DO YOU NEED TO KEEP DOING THIS!!!!!!!!!

Are you wanting people to move out of this city forever and put a distasteful experience behind them? That's what it's leading to. Stop increasing costs to ratepayers and start trimming back your wastefulness. We are not an endless pit of money. Accordingly, I am not going to agree to any increases. Start better managing your resources - I have.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Ratepayers should not foot the bill for everything. There are people within the city who don't own property yet pay nothing directly towards you lot for city services. Also, Council was, as per my understanding, to provide and maintain essential facilities and services. So, why all the excessive spending on other non-essential areas?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Upper Harbour

Upper Harbour Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

It all sounds rather vague and non-specific as to exactly what items are to be addressed.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 15:25
Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I would be okay with waste management charge increasing, if the waste really would be managed properly, i.e. recycled. Food scraps should be collected everywhere, not just at 2000 properties on the North Shore. Supermarkets should be obliged to hand out foods they put in the waste to people who want them!

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I'm highly suspicious when I observe recycling rubbish trucks putting all the recycling waste in the same container. I can't imagine it's going to be sorted and assume it probably gets dumped all together in a landfill anyway. Waste management in this country is appalling!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

The Waiheke local board puts too much priority on tourism and business and not enough on the locals. Older and young people are driven away by rising rates and too high rental costs, while most houses along the beaches stand empty for most of the year. It's outrageous where the Council allows for building new houses, which will then stand empty for most of the year! All for the rich people who can pay, no consideration for our environment and nature!

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

I don't know enough about Panuku and what it stands for
Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 16:52

Attachment:
2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiteke

Waiteke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

The Board is ineffectual and achieves sod all for Waiteke residents. How about Council spending some of the $ millions they get from ferry levies to improve parking and the wharf areas and foster competition. How come the rest of Auckland gets free park and ride and we get to pay and sail ... and no subsidies on our ticket prices ... where is the local board on these issues ... absent as usual.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.

Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details
Date received: 22 Feb 2019 17:47
Attachment:

Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
From a land-owner on Waiheke's perspective, waste management is a high priority. The problem is that fellow citizens are not doing their bit in ensuring materials are appropriately re-cycled or junked. The understanding of categories of waste is low. Whether this is an education issue or a failure of will is difficult to know. If poor separation of types of waste is increasing costs to responsible citizens, that would be unfair.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

In Switzerland, citizens are encouraged to separate their waste into glass, plastic, paper/cardboard, aluminium/foil, biodegradable organic waste and inorganic/non-recyclable waste not covered by the other categories. People get into the habit of proper disposal because there is no physical scope for placing everything into the one repository. You bag the individual categories and thereby increase the chance that things won’t get mixed together and simply junked rather than recycled. Your bags correspond to labelled skips at the tip.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

See comments above

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

What is meant by "strategic asset"? This is a highly relative term. What we hope it means, especially in NZ, is that the sea and the land (i.e., the sea-shore) are prized NOT for their commercial use but as recreational assets for the populace. The notion of a seaside stadium that could be situated anywhere on land is not the kind of recreational use we mean, UNLESS its use relates to its marine proximity. Further depredations of the inner harbour (whether their commercial use) would be a tragedy.
Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 18:36
Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource consent already overpriced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. What is important to you?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any feedback on any other issues?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No they seem fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Local Board information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiheke Local Board feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More money for roads and a bicycle network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your opinion about this proposal?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Auckland Council                    |
Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details
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Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Waste management is excessive. Not sure if recycled etc working well either.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
No

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Partially
Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Partially support

Auckland Council
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I do not believe the proposed additional mooring on top of the already large increases is fair, why should I as a responsible mooring owner be charged for people who abandon their boats and I also don't see why this ‘service’ is considered to be something which only benefits mooring holders. We have already faced huge increases in our mooring charges over the last few years and we just seem to be treated as a cash cow for very little benefit to us. Paul Lovell Mooring owner Matiatia, Waiheke Island.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council
Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- A $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- Extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- Phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- Adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees
and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
Council should be budgeting for 10% reduction in operating expenditure

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
Council spending is out of control

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
No

Comments
We need regulated competitive ferry services. Waiheke gets more visitors a year than Israel yet
why do we have a monopoly passenger service? Parking at Matiatia is inadequate.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about
$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership
of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The
resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with
other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are
strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees
  and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I would like to see some of the waste management fee used to improve Auckland’s waste
management systems. Auckland Council could be creating better infrastructure & systems to
encourage better recycling, industrial composting, green & food waste options for households &
businesses. I think religious organisations should pay rates on all land they own, even if it is a
nominal charge.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I would like to see the proposed $5M grant to the Auckland City Mission go ahead. I fully support
the Council in funding project Mission HomeGround.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about
$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership
of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The
resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with
other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are
strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support
Re proposed mooring fee increase it is worth noting the following:-

1/ The actual mooring is owned and maintained by me personally and consequently is NO costs to the council.

2/ The piece of water that it occupies also does not have any incremental rising costs associated with it. The only increase that effects it is the daily rise (and corresponding fall) in the tide. How has it increased in value when it is a very fluid medium?

3/ Therefore as I actually own the mooring and the council has no input into the approximatley 1 square metre that it occupies, it is difficult to accept the need to increase the already debatable fee.

Regards,
Hello. Further to your request for feedback from the poor buggers who pay mooring fees.

We actually get NOTHING for the payment of our mooring fees.

We own the moorings, pay for their maintenance and use them.

All you do is to send us out an invoice every year ........ for doing nothing for us.

NOW, you want to increase this charge for doing nothing.

Do you think we are all stupid not to notice.

Please stop charging these outrageous fees for doing nothing

Kind regards
Annual Budget feedback
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Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I ask you to kindly support the Auckland City Mission HomeGround project. I am acutely aware of the role the Mission plays to care for the people who are struggling in our city and ask you to commit the $5 million you have proposed to fund this Auckland legacy project.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waitemata

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Annual Budget feedback
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Attachment:

Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I strongly object the increase of mooring fees as proposed by the Harbourmaster (email of 21 February, 2019) Since the council took over the management of the moorings on Waiheke rates have been constantly increased without having a correspondent improvement of services back to the users. When things went wrong on my mooring the council declined any responsibility and I had to personally cover all related costs; presently the management appears to be a little more than a bureaucratic system without real benefit for users. I do not see why mooring owners who pay and regularly maintain their mooring should be financially responsible for those who don’t and possibly abandon their boats. To notice we have just recently been required to upgrade our moorings and that was at a cost of over NZD 2,000. To notice also that most marine insurances do not insure boats on a Waiheke moorings as they are deemed at to high risk.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Partially
Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.

Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 15:52
Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Yes
Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Support
Submitter details
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Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised...
areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Object to an increase in the annual waste management charge. We already face increases in rates, rubbish used to and should be part of a core service. Stop this adding extra levies and charges. As living costs and council costs continue to explode out of control, object to any group having no rates charged on their land. My biggest objection is to the proposed increases on mooring fees by the $57.50. This is just a rip off. You have a register of mooring holders, hence you should be able to trace the owner of a vessel and they should incur any costs. Why should the responsible owners be made to cover for the few irresponsible ones. Rules as to the condition of vessels and the holders obligations are clearly stated in the mooring certificate. Suggest there be a better watch on the problem areas. Seems you are targeting one sector when rate payers funds are used to subsided all sorts of things that are questionable at best.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The whole council needs to start looking at itself and questioning why costs and borrowing are so out of hand. Many of the rate payers are on fixed incomes and you can't keep digging into their pockets for out of hand expenditure.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Howick and Waiheke

Howick Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Partially

Comments

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
No

Comments

They have totally lost site of the residents needs. Like Auckland City they think the Island is a
tourist centre only. We desperately need drainage issues sorted on the island something no one is paying heed to yet go on about clean waterways.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Because so far, none of the changes made by this so called super city have resulted in reduced costs or reduction in governance duplication. Most times the rate payer is left with higher and more staff costs
Annual Budget feedback
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Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiteke

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
# Annual Budget feedback

## Submitter details
- **Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 14:45
- **Attachment:**
- **Language:** English

## Feedback

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020. These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

3/ LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE OF HUIA/ THE PETITION SIGNED BY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE 100% FOR REMAINING THE CURRENENT PUMP OUT PROCEDURE BECAUSE ITS EFFICIENT. NOTE ONLY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE AWARE OF THIS RUSHED PUBLIC MEETING. COUNCIL ASSUMES WE ALL HAVE COMPUTERS AND ARE ON FACEBOOK! RUBBISH. HENCE ONLY 10% TURNED 5/ RELIGIOUS ORGANISATIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE PREFERENCIAL TREATMENT. THEY SHOULD PAY THERE AWAY AND PAY TAY. 6/ STOP THE BANDWAGON OF CONSULTANTS/PERMITS/PAPERWORK. GET SOME EFFICIENCY IN COUNCIL ACTIVITIES AS PROMISED BY GOFF. GET AT MORE ORGANISED RE FIXING SLIPS, NOT MONITORING THEM.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiteke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Partially
Comments
THERES MORE TO DO BEYOND WEEDS + A WRITERS RESIDENCE(?) A BUS SERVICE WOULD BE GOOD.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $700 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020. These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

we own the property, we pay the rural sewerage service targeted rate and have the three year septic pump out. We wish to retain the rate. If the present council-imposed septic tank pump out was to cease and instead it became and almost voluntary ‘do it yourself’ regime - it is difficult to see how the present unsatisfactory water quality in the stream and lagoon would improve. Many residents would be reluctant to have their tanks serviced and the council follow up monitoring would be a new cost to be budgeted for. An unproductive effort compared with the present excellent service.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $700 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
In my opinion the proposed $23 per m. Per day charge on vessels over 40m. Is short sighted and without justification.

It will drive away large private vessels from visiting Auckland which will therefore miss out on the income that these vessels bring to the city and the boat building industry.

Chris Darby is quoted as saying the fee is reimburse the Harbour Master from the extra work that these vessels bring. I cannot understand this view! Precisely what extra work do these vessels bring?

I believe this proposal gave be viewed as yet another example of gouging by our ever greedy Council.

Regards
## Annual Budget feedback

### Submitter details

- **Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 10:24
- **Attachment:**
- **Language:**

### Feedback

**1. Changes to rates and fees**

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

This is just tinkering with minor changes to council selected charges and appeasing a small number of locals in a few areas.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The glossy booklets on water issues show no concern for the state of the Hauraki Gulf as a whole. Major reports are belatedly attracting financial help for a few problems that have compounded over the years with more attention paid to the governance of the gulf rather than solving the problems.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Comments

The priorities for the Waiheke Local Board are restricted to a few key priorities again headed by the Matiatia master plan. This should have been solved twenty years ago so let’s do something practical this year instead of the empty promises made by Auckland Council, Auckland Transport, Panuku and ATEED to make Matiatia a practical working transport hub for the Waiheke community, tourists and travelers. Our parks and reserves have been poorly administered by the council for many years and ongoing problems have escalated. If these problems had been sorted in the first place then we would have a swimming pool by now. Tawapareira needs a large payment for infrastructure failures not skatepark renewals if the whole catchment and its aquifer are not to be permanently compromised.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Panuku is too concerned with its big dreams to find time for local properties. E.g. Commercial Bay’s shopping and commercial complex (NZ Herald, Business 14 March 2019) which seems to forget this is part of the city’s transport hub.
Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details
Date received: 14 Mar 2019 21:54
Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These changes include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
I don’t believe that additional regulatory costs should be charged. Resource consent and building control fees are already too high, particularly for small renovations. Mooring fees are also too high for the level of service/facility provided.

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiteke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Yes
Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Support
The people of Auckland are appalled by the continued use/expansion of land/reclaimed land in the harbour by commercial operations (such as Panuku and the Port) which supposedly ‘belong’ to the people of Auckland but we appear to have no control or say over how they operate nor on how they wish to restrict.

Auckland Council
Having trouble viewing this email? Click here.

Annual Budget feedback

Submitter details
Date received: 20 Mar 2019 09:10
Attachment:
Language:

Feedback
1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees)
and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

If you charge rates on our churches we will no longer be able to do all the good things in the community. Everyone will be the poorer.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council
### Annual Budget feedback

#### Submitter details
- **Date received:** 21 Mar 2019 08:00
- **Attachment:**
- **Language:**

#### Feedback

1. **Changes to rates and fees**

   We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

   These charges include:
   - A $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs.
   - Extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available.
   - Phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021).
   - Adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours).
   - Not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations.
   - An increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Waste management - Invest in research to improve methods
Food scraps - yes
Urban boundary - Yes
Religious orgs - No - they should be changed, a religion is like a club and clubs would be changed. reg fees - More efficient handling by less staff to offset cost.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I am very concerned about rubbish and waste problem. What about some kind of competition for universities / or others to come up with new ways to use recyclable materials and/or cost effective way to use some defunct industrial buildings to create a smokeless burning of rubbish that cannot be recycled and create energy/electricity? I am sure this is used in Switzerland for example. I was horrified to read that the "new" opaque milk bottles are not recyclable - why is this permitted when the other see through type are recycleable? Scandalous!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback

In your opinion are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support
Submitter details

Date received: 21 Mar 2019 08:41
Attachment: 1.pdf
Language:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
See attachment for more info

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council
Submission to Annual Budget

Fees & Charges

Harbourmaster fees

1. There is no benefit to complying mooring licence holders as claimed in this proposal and there should be no increase in fees.

2. The Harbourmaster has sufficient resources now to deal with abandoned/sinking vessels on moorings if action is taken in a timely manner.
   2.1 By observation during tours of mooring areas. (Note 1)
   2.2 From reports by other mooring users.
   2.3 Other indications to act promptly are:
      a. If a licence renewal fee is not received.
      b. If an inspection report is not received.

These should be investigated while a vessel has some residual value and not left to sink at a cost of $25,000.

The vessel should be disposed of promptly without procedural delays adding costs to Council.

3. It is not possible for a mooring licence holder to be unidentifiable. Most vessels are required to be identified by names or number. (Note 2)
   Someone always knows who a previous owner was if an investigation is made.

4. If a vessel sinks anywhere for any reason, it is not the fault of other mooring licence holders and it should not be a charge on them.

This proposal is another case of “easy targets” but do not forget that most mooring users are also ratepayers.

Note 1  A vessel covered in long seaweed or wallowing is not seaworthy. 
Nav.Safety Bylaw7/14 Clause15.

Note 2  Vessels to be named in letters of the Roman alphabet.
Bylaw Clause 34.
Annual Budget feedback
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Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.
Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
See attachment for more info

2. What is important to you?
Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
See attachment for more info

3. Local Board information
Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?
Waiheke

Waiheke Local Board feedback
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
Comments
See attachment for more info

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment
Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $750 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?
Annual Budget Regional Stakeholders Event
14/03/19
Waiheke Accommodation Providers Group

Hi my name is and I work for a company called Stay Waiheke.
I’m from Tourism Waiheke,
So and I are here to talk about the APTR.

Firstly Tourism Waiheke is a company that promotes Waiheke Island tourism, obviously from its name but we produce the tourism website, and some printed literature, supporting Waiheke. And various other market marketing activity. Amongst our clients we have six or seven holiday home providers. Waiheke has approximately 500 holiday homes, 400 of which are represented by this group and Waiheke is served by mostly by holiday home for accommodation sector rather large hotels and motels.

Of those, roughly 400 houses that we have clients that we represent about 12 and a half percent of those have reduced the availability of the houses below 28 days which is the, which is the lower level of the APTR so that’s a statistic that shows, one of the effects. About 5% have withdrawn their properties completely. And that is that is a survey that I conducted for the local board in in January.

What we’re what we’re seeing is that this has been presented as a targeted rate, but there hasn’t been any mechanism, shown to us yet that links the revenue gathered there to be spent promoting Waiheke. There’s been nothing.

So, without further ado, just introducing Kim. Kim is representing stay Waiheke, which is probably the largest holiday home provider on Waiheke and Kim would like to go through the presentation that we made to a public meeting recently and one that we had sent to select committee at Parliament via Ed Coats.

There’s quite a lot of information that I’ll try to go through in the 10 minutes that we have with you right in front of me there’s a PowerPoint presentation that I’ll sort of talk to. I won’t talk to every point but just kind of run through the key points.

End of June last year, the council popped these letters in mailboxes across Waiheke and those letters identified an issue of fairness and how the residents that are let via online services were being classified for rating purposes.

General commercial rates were introduced based on the number of booked nights per year and homeowners were asked to sign a declaration to the number of booked nights that they had, and return it within a week or so.

Some of the letter was quite vague, but it also introduced the accommodation providers targeted rate, the APTR which helps fund activities for Auckland tourism, ATEED. ATEED is a council control organization, helping to create a future of prosperity for Auckland.

But the rates, only apply where properties and entire dwelling or a self contained unit. And as this letter that appeared in mailboxes across Waiheke just created chaos, nobody really knew what it was and what to do.
As I said, the holiday home owners were asked to sign this declaration to note the number of both nights they had. But what came out, much later was that the booked nights were only to be peer to peer booking nights, nights that were booked by an online provider. If someone called the homeowner and said hey can I rent your home, those nights would not have applied.

As a holiday home agent, we look after about 100 houses on Waiheke. I gave out data to 100 holiday homeowners, all of the data was wrong, because the declaration was wrong. They were asking simply for booked nights, but the definition wasn't indicated on the letter. So nobody put the right data down. Since then, I’ve spoken to most of my homeowners and said look you need to go back and sign another declaration. So it’s just creating more work, lots of confusion.

You know, keeping in mind that the letter was dated the end of June and people were asked to submit this by early July, and there was absolutely no time for anyone to research it as an accommodation provider, our phones were just ringing off the hook. So we decided to get together a group of like minded accommodation providers and form this Waiheke accommodation providers group. To get a good understanding of what these rates meant for our homeowners and for us. So we met weekly for the course of the next several months, did a lot of research we could look into our bookings we could see how the rates are calculated and how they were impacting the individual homes and, again, I’ll try to go through it quickly but the data is really inequitable and pretty shocking when you look at the charts and things.

I guess basically when we saw there was a lack of understanding of the two new rates from the homeowners point of view, there was a, the APTR and that commercial rate we didn’t know if it was a tax, we didn’t know what the money was going for.

There was a general sense of confusion around the calculator and the exemptions, the timeframe as I’ve mentioned was ridiculous how you’re charging rates retrospectively so businesses are paying this year for activities they had last year without actually knowing that they were going to have to face this cost, you know so planning a business, and then some change, a curveball’s basically thrown in. What our goals were as Grant mentioned was to move Waiheke from zone B to zone C in the APTR, and I’ll explain a bit more about that. But in general, the really important thing is that New Zealand needs to move towards a fair and equitable solution for this issue. That's nationwide so that visitors come in from overseas and wherever they stay whether they’re an Auckland, Waiheke, Queenstown anywhere. They’re going to see that sort of tax and it’s going to be the same.

So the APTR portion of the rates go to help ATEED as I had mentioned, Waiheke’s put in Zone B, the three zones, ABC.

A is the areas that benefit most from ATEED’s efforts, B the middle and C is exempt. So, what we saw was that Franklin, Great Barrier, Papakura, Rodney and the Waitakere ranges were exempt. But Waiheke wasn’t. We don’t have any hotels as grant mentioned and we really rely on holiday homeowners to provide homes for our visitors.

ATEED supported the dark sky sanctuary on great barrier which is an awesome thing, but that was $37,000 that ATEED spent on that. Great Barrier Island’s exempt from the APTR. ATEED estimates direct spending across the seven Hauraki Gulf islands at a total of $180,000, Waiheke’s the only island that is contributing towards this.

I will skip through these quickly but these are just a couple of pie chart that just show the spending the fact that Waiheke’s getting the same benefit more or less than Great Barrier but actually paying 100% of the costs for all of the islands.
From ATEED’s website, $1 million was spent supporting the Auckland super sprint at the Pukekohe Raceway and November 2017, a million dollars. Franklin is exempt from that a APTR. From ATEED’s website, a million dollars was spent supporting a wind cross golf farm course in Papakura. Papakura is exempt from the APTR. The ATEED website lists 28 major events investments in 2017 - 28 ratable year and zero are on Waiheke.

This is kind of the important data is that our data across our combined portfolio show holiday home owners have been charged an average of over $1,000 per property towards the APTR. This is just the APTR portion.

We estimate that of the roughly 500 homes that are paying the APTR with the other half being exempt as either a bed and breakfast or having under 28 days. So conservative estimate of Waiheke’s portion is $200,000, quite a lot.

We have a Waiheke Island tourism forum, not different than what Grant does, but ATEED gave them $50,000. In the ratable year, the forum is a nonprofit group of 72 members 12 of the 72 are accommodation providers that group supports every sector of tourism on the island, but only the accommodation providers are paying towards the APTR.

All ferry travellers to and from Waiheke, whether visitors or residents pay another form of tax to Auckland Council. No other APTR destination pays this tax which goes to Auckland Transport.

These are just some quick graphs of some of the events that that AT funded, the Masters games, which took place in 2017, and this shows our combined data of stay nights. The year before and the year after masters games being in the middle of you can see there’s no direct impact. People that went to them, came to the Masters games may have come to Waiheke for the day. But they didn’t come and stay.

The latest event that we have, Sculpture on the Gulf, which is an amazing event on Waiheke, I hope you all get to come. The next graph up here just shows the sculpture on the golf events 2015 and 2017. Stay nights again. There’s just no significant impact on that, ATEED will be funding some of the sculpture on the Gulf this year, but it’s just people coming for the day they’re not staying.

So, what this shows is accommodation in general is going up but the years that the sculpture on the golf occurred there is no impact (In 2014 there was no sculpture on the golf in 2017 there was no sculpture on the gulf in 2018 there wasn’t)

The next little bit here, I’m sure you’re all familiar with these commercial rates but if you’re not, it just shows the scale.

Under if you if you book your home, under 28 nights, you’re good to be rated as residential.

The majority of our homes are between 29 and 135 nights because we’re seasonal, but that gap between 30 nights and 100 nights, this just doesn’t make any sense it's running a business or running a hobby.

I’m going to skip over this one it really is just showing commercial rates are calculated on the commercial value of the home. And some homes could have a commercial value that was the same as another home where the income could be significantly different because of the location of the accommodation. We look after a beautiful little shack on the beach that should be in a museum. It's almost a $3 million CV. You wouldn’t want to sleep in it, unless you are a camper. But its rates have gone up to nearly $10,000, its income is less than 19.
We've got houses with similar CVs where the rates went up to $7,000, their income is over 100, that's a business and that's an impact that 7% of increase in their costs, versus something like a 60% increase in the cost. So the basing the commercial rate on your CV, it doesn't work.

The next one is just a quick visual just showing like wow, these are all holiday homes rates they're paying to their income. It's all over the place.

What we're finding, this was taken from the ATEED website. This just showing visitors to Waiheke and the top line or the Aucklanders. Aucklanders are the ones that are coming to it to stay. That's not what this graph shows, this just shows people coming to Waiheke, but the Aucklanders are the ones that book into our accommodations for long weekends, and as at the end of the day it's the Aucklanders that are going to be paying when we raise our rates to offset these council rates, our nightly rates.

Note: data comes from hop card users to measure Aucklanders vs other ferry users

All the other data is something that we worked together as a team to compile this was just taken straight off their website (AT).

Anyway, a couple of the direct and indirect impacts that are really recapping and then we'll be done. The direct impacts being less holiday combination will be available to visitors to the island. There'll be a reduction in availability during the important shoulder seasons, if everyone, our rates are seasonal our rates are higher at Christmas and New Year's. And if you're going to want to maximize your income, you're going to book those 28 nights at Christmas and New Year's and you're not going to book your house for Easter or, you know, November.

The remaining providers are increasing their nightly rates to offset the costs, which goes back to the Aucklanders slide, properties are being put on the real estate market rather than continue as planned. We're still seeing more and more how's this going on the market on Waiheke. Saturation and long term tenancy type rental market is expected, but none of the homeowners will put their homes and long term tenancy because they want to use them. The purpose of holiday rentals is that they have the flexibility to capitalize their own asset and go over and have a nice weekend.

And with the people that are just getting by with just a few nights 28-30 nights accommodation, they, they will fall out, they will stop renting. The indirect impacts which I think are just as important as less overnight visitors impacts every business sector on Waiheke, our cleaners, our transportation, our activities, restaurants, vineyards, weddings, retailers.

There's is now further encouragement to visit us for the day rather than just a few days. As we said, Aucklanders are paying most of the APTR, it's the largest group of visitors. Waiheke visitors will fund the APTR which is then spent in other areas, more day visits will result in more concentrated loading on our infrastructure, buyers need to be aware they're taking on rate levels based on previous property use. Many owners won't know until the end of the year, whether they can cover the costs of their new rates when those rates came out, this letter came out in June we were booked already for Christmas. You know, we couldn't cancel those bookings. Waiheke becomes a more expensive destination without providing an enhanced experience and I think that's a really big one too. As Grant pointed out initially there's no sign that the full APTR collected from Waiheke will be spent directly promoting Waiheke.

We need promoting in the winter, we are so busy in the summer it's good. But there's no events that come to Waiheke, in the winter, that ATEED will fund.
In conclusion, we’ve shown with accurate data and real examples the impact of the APT and its flawed rate calculator. This is having a profound effect on the island economy, and we request Auckland Council to move Waiheke to Zone C and move us towards a fair and equitable bed tax based on a percentage of nightly rate.

This was a presentation that we presented to our town with council members in attendance. We did ask the mayor, to come but he wasn’t able to.

Questions

Just a quick look on Expedia, the accommodation for Waiheke that is all bed and breakfasts, you don’t have any motels on Waiheke.

WAPG: I think that’s inaccurate and hardly any of them are Bed and Breakfast they’re all private homes that are rented for self catered use. We do have one motel. A small one.

How many rooms in that one?

GC: The largest single accommodation has about 40 bits, which is Waihi Resort. But that’s the largest.

How many bed (in total) on Waiheke?

KR: Do you mean individual homes or individual people that they can accommodate? I don’t know I’d have I would guess come back to us on that.

Look, Air BnB isn’t the dominant accommodation provider, it’s holiday homes.

My company looks after 100 individual homes as an agent, I would list those on Airbnb, to max as well as on our website. We probably have 30 or 40 listings of our properties on Airbnb, the same on booking.com, the same on bookabach and home and away holiday houses, all those platforms just to maximize the exposure.

Just like to correct your facts about the Pukekohe V8, have virtually no impact on accommodation in Pukekohe. They travel and all teams stay in the city. I'm glad to see supporting a comprehensive bed tax. Would you like to say that hypothecated back to the regions where it was actually situated?

KR: Yes

Thank you and the accommodation provider on the graph, I think 4.9 million CV value. What was the nightly rate for that property do you know?

KR: It wasn’t one of ours, so I don’t know but I could find out for you.

You need get a balance of the data to get an accurate impression

Couple of things, one I just was not clear if someone’s clear that up but Papakura non exempt. It’s only Franklin, Waitakere Ranges and...

Papakura’s exempt

so the other question is, have you ever had money for Tourism Waiheke from ATEED? Is it something you’d to seek?

KR: I would say no. I’d rather say no to the money and say yes to the reduction in the commercial rates, unless the money is spent over the winter months.
That’s what I’m saying, I’m wondering whether some grant to Tourism Waiheke to do that promotion, who are best placed to do the promotion rather than ATEED.

GC: Yes, from my point of view, Tourism Waiheke would like to see that, and the tourism forum which is a separate business association, not for profit, I'm sure welcome that.

First of all, thank you very much Kim and Grant and thank you for that extremely impressive information I think that should be very helpful to the counsellors, but I just want to make the point and clarify that Waiheke has had two strikes in terms of the so-called “bed tax” business. The first one was the one that was levied against hotels and motels in a certain part of the Auckland region, not across the whole region. But Waiheke was caught up in that. And there are several motels and boutique hotels that were caught up on that. And those people are taking legal action against the council and that action is ongoing. The second strike was against the online providers, last year. And unlike the hotel owners. There was hardly any consultation. And so, when the council put that circular out, most people were quite shocked and surprised. What you’ve demonstrated for us today is that this is not a targeted rate. And it’s not equitable. It’s only applied to part of the area. And it’s interesting to hear from counsellors challenging you from areas where they’ve ensured that their people don’t have to pay that kind of rate. So it’s a matter of equity and fairness and legal validity. Thank you.
Waiheke Accommodation Providers Group - Support for the APTR Petition

- In the letter, council has identified an issue of “FAIRNESS” in how residences that are let via online services are classified for rating purposes and advises changes have been made to their rates.

- General commercial rates introduced based on number of booked nights per year. See scale.

- Also introduced is the Accommodation Providers Targeted Rate. The APTR rate helps fund the activities of Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED). ATEED is an Auckland Council-controlled organisation helping to create a future of prosperity and opportunity for Auckland.

- Rates only apply where the property is an entire dwelling or self contained unit. This vague statement has caused a lot of confusion around exceptions and exemptions - ie Bed and Breakfasts and single bedrooms within a home.
- Holiday home owners were asked to complete a Statutory Declaration advising the number of nights their property was booked during the period 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018. This was required to be sworn before a JP and returned to council. No due date noted on letter.

- What was not clear in the declaration request is that “BOOKED” is defined as “BOOKED ONLINE”. Bookings taken over the phone or other referrals do not count towards total booked nights. What is also not clear is that if you have a unit or room rented on your property, you need to apply for an apportionment which means you only pay rate increases based on the value of the unit or room.

- From the council website - Charges applied from 1 July 2018. BUT, If you withdrew your listing prior to 30 June 2018 the charges will not apply. AND, If you removed your listing after 30 June 2018 the charges do apply for the 2018/2019 rating year but will not apply in future years.

- Keep in mind the letter was dated end June 2018 leaving no opt out time “FAIRNESS”?
Example of rates bill at 100% commercial rating.

**Detailed rates breakdown**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of rate</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Total rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate - Tier 2 Zone B</td>
<td>Capital Value $4,900,000 x 0.00170115</td>
<td>$8,335.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Rate - Rural Business</td>
<td>Capital Value $4,900,000 x 0.00461042</td>
<td>$22,591.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment Targeted Rate - Non Business</td>
<td>Capital Value $4,900,000 x 0.00004326</td>
<td>$211.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Annual General Charge</td>
<td>Number of separate parts 1 x $414.00</td>
<td>$414.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management - Base Service</td>
<td>Per service provided 1 x $100.39</td>
<td>$100.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management - Standard Refuse</td>
<td>Per service provided 1 x $123.78</td>
<td>$123.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Targeted Rate - Non Business</td>
<td>Capital Value $4,900,000 x 0.00006976</td>
<td>$297.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total rates assessed for 2018/2019 (including GST)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$32,074.56</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rates excluding the APTR & Commercial rates would have been**

$10,130.34
Waiheke Accommodation Providers have come together to understand and question the targeted accommodation rate and APTR in support of Waiheke Island holiday home owners.

We are working together to collectively take action.

Issues Initially Identified:

- Lack of understanding of the two new rates (APTR and Commercial). Is this a tax?
- Realisation that the impact would be substantial for the immediate homeowners and for the island economy as a whole.
- General sense of confusion around the calculator and the exemptions. Flawed?
- Ridiculous timeframe of the implementation and opt-out period.
- No opt-out or cooling down time?
- How can you charge business rates retrospectively? Obvious adverse effect.
- No one on our island are all perplexed that Waiheke is in zone B vs zone C?
- WAPPS funding? What are they doing for accommodation providers vs other tourism businesses on Waiheke.

WAPPS goals:

- Move Waiheke from zone B to zone C in the APTR.
- Move towards a “FAIR” and equitable NATIONAL “bed tax” based on a % of nightly rate.
Attachment F

Item 21

- The APTR portion of the imposed rates help fund ATEED.
- Franklin, Great Barrier, Papakura, Rodney and the Waitakere Ranges are EXEMPT from the APTR - Why & why not Waiheke too?
- Waiheke has no Hotels - largely relies on Holiday Homes to encourage overnight visits.
- ATEED supports The Dark Sky Sanctuary on Great Barrier. Actual ATEED spend $37,000 Great Barrier - EXEMPT
- ATEED estimates direct spending across the seven Hauraki Gulf Islands at a total of $180,000 in the past year. Ref letter Mike Lee
Data received from ATEED re direct spend in the Hauraki Gulf.

Estimated ATEED Spend on Hauraki Gulf Islands Last F/Y
100% WAIHEKE

Estimated ATEED spend on Hauraki Gulf Islands paid using APTR rate collected from Waiheke accommodation providers.
From the ATEED website $1,000,000.00 was spent supporting the ITM Auckland SuperSprint at the Pukekohe Park Raceway in November 2017. **FRANKLIN - EXEMPT**

From the ATEED website: $1,000,000.00 was spent supporting MCKAYSON New Zealand Women's Open at Windross Farm Golf Course in Papakura in September/October 2017. **Papakura - EXEMPT**

The ATEED website lists 28 "Major Events Investments 2017/18". **Zero on Waiheke**
Hi,

Have a look at the new slides and let me know what you think.

Cath suggested we split the second to last slide into two slides so people could see them.

How are you placed today?

Stav Waiheke Ltd

2/10/2018

GC2 Marked as resolved 2/10/2018

GC3 Re-opened 2/10/2018
i can meet today - doing invoicing and GST jobs so flexible

GC1 What's the plan with slide 3? 2/10/2018

GC4 Have revised slides 21/22 2/10/2018
Our data across our combined portfolios show holiday home owners have been charged an average of $1,016.92 per property towards the APTR portion of the rate increases. Keep in mind this is JUST the APTR portion which goes towards funding ATEED’s work helping to create a future of prosperity and opportunity for Auckland.

We estimate half the 497 homes are paying the APTR with the other half being exempt as either a Bed and Breakfast or having under 28 stay nights.

A conservative estimate of the APTR portion of the rates charged to Waiheke Island holiday homes is over $200,000 - there is no declaration as to how this will be allocated to directly benefit Waiheke Accommodation providers. When ATEED’s marketing of Auckland includes Waiheke, the material focuses on same day trips - no accommodation benefit.

According to the Waiheke Tourism Forum, ATEED’s direct spending on Waiheke Island between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018 was just $50,000 via the local tourism association. The $50,000 the Tourism Forum received from ATEED is spent only on running the Matiatia Visitor Information kiosk and social media marketing.

The Waiheke Tourism Forum is a non-profit group with 72 members. 12 of the 72 members are involved with accommodation. The group promotes every sector of tourism on Waiheke.

We have identified an issue of UNFAIRNESS - a small ATEED benefit is spread thinly over all sectors whilst the cost focused only on Accommodation sector.
To recap that....

- Our data across our combined portfolios show holiday home owners have been charged an average of $1,016.92 per property towards the APTR portion of the rate increases.

- An estimate of the APTR portion of the rates charged to Waiheke Island holiday homes is over $200,000.

- According to the Waiheke Tourism Forum, ATEED’s direct spending on promoting Waiheke Island between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018 was $50,000.

- Areas exempt from the APTR are receiving significant ATEED funding.

All ferry travelers to/from Waiheke, whether visitor or resident pay another form of tax to Auckland Council - No other APTR destination pays this tax which goes to Auckland Transport so Waiheke is bearing most of this as well.

**Why are the holiday home owners paying the majority of this?**
The Master’s Games that took place over 10 days in April 2017 was the major ATEED event in 2017. Our data shows stay nights across 300 holiday homes over this period compared with the year prior and the year after the games. Waiheke Island saw ZERO IMPACT ON STAY NIGHTS for this major ATEED event.
### THE NEW COMMERCIAL RATES CHARGED TO HOLIDAY HOME OWNERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF NIGHTS BOOKED</th>
<th>GENERAL RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 28</td>
<td>Continue to be rated as residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 - 135</td>
<td>Rated 75% residential and 25% business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136-180</td>
<td>Rated 50% residential and 50% business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 180</td>
<td>Rated as business</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment F

#### Item 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Rental Income</th>
<th>Rates</th>
<th>% Income to Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,220,000.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>6.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,400,000.00</td>
<td>$1,400,000.00</td>
<td>$4,550.00</td>
<td>7.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,300,000.00</td>
<td>$2,300,000.00</td>
<td>$3,400.00</td>
<td>8.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,400,000.00</td>
<td>$3,400,000.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>9.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,200,000.00</td>
<td>$2,100,000.00</td>
<td>$11,500.00</td>
<td>10.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,844.25</td>
<td>$64,644.25</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
<td>36.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$112,134.00</td>
<td>$112,134.00</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>38.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$23,178.00</td>
<td>$23,178.00</td>
<td>$7,100.00</td>
<td>40.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,100.00</td>
<td>$5,100.00</td>
<td>$9,200.00</td>
<td>47.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,100.00</td>
<td>$5,100.00</td>
<td>$8,050.00</td>
<td>53.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$13,756.50</td>
<td>$13,756.50</td>
<td>$8,050.00</td>
<td>58.52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: Huge variation in income as compared to rates across varying property values.
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### Item 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Rental Income</th>
<th>Number of Guests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,100,000.00</td>
<td>$20,518.60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,200,000.00</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,325,000.00</td>
<td>$13,756.50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,075,000.00</td>
<td>$39,168.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,025,000.00</td>
<td>$17,430.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,875,000.00</td>
<td>$28,381.25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,075,000.00</td>
<td>$22,691.25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,300,000.00</td>
<td>$34,644.25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000,000.00</td>
<td>$106,352.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of properties with similar CV’s. Rental Income and Number of Guests. Rates for these properties are all between $7000 and $8000 per year.
Visitor origins in traveling to Waiheke - less residents and commuters, mostly Aucklanders

Source - ATEED - September 2018
Direct impacts…

- Less holiday accommodation will be available for visitors to the island
- There will be a reduction in availability during the important shoulder seasons
- Remaining providers are choosing peak times only, to stay under the 28 days threshold
- Remaining providers are increasing nightly rates to offset new their costs
- Properties are being put on the real estate market rather than continue as they planned
- Less accommodation is available for seasonal workers
- Saturation in the long-term tenancy type rental market is expected
- Accommodation providing is now a far less sustainable business proposition
Indirect impacts...

- Less overnight visitors impacts every business sector on Waiheke - cleaners, transportation, activities, restaurants, vineyards, weddings, retailers etc
- There is now further encouragement to visit us for a day, rather than a few days
- Aucklanders pay most of the APTR as they are the largest group of visitors
- Waiheke visitors will fund APTR which is then spent in other areas
- More day visits will result in a more concentrated loading on our infrastructure
- Buyers then need to be aware they are taking on rate levels based on the previous property use
- Owners are locked in to bearing new costs against their forward bookings, at their old prices
- Many owners won’t know until year-end whether they can cover the cost of new rates
- Costs of operating are not linked to bed-nights and therefore not linked to revenue
- Waiheke becomes a more expensive destination without providing an enhanced experience
There is no sign that the full APTR collected from Waiheke will be directly spent on promoting Waiheke.

WAP’s members have indications from property owners that they agree to a fair commercial rate structure however as we have illustrated, the recently introduced rates and the method of calculating them are far … from fair.

In conclusion,

- We have shown with accurate data and real examples the impact of the APTR and it’s flawed rate calculator.

- This is having a profound effect on the island economy and we request the Auckland council to move Waiheke to zone C in the APTR and move us towards a "FAIR" and equitable “bed tax” based on a % of the nightly accommodation price.

- How can we help Auckland Council move us to zone C and when can it be achieved by?

What is the next step?

Is a nationwide solution a more equitable way to deal with this?
Statement of Proposal

Auckland Council’s New Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw
February 2019
1 Have your say

Under the Dog Control Act 1996 (the Act), Auckland Council must adopt a policy on dogs. The Act lists several requirements that the council must include in its policy. The Act also enables the council to make a bylaw to implement aspects of the policy.

Auckland Council last adopted its Auckland Policy on Dogs (the Policy) and Dog Management Bylaw (the Bylaw) in 2012. In November 2018, the Regulatory Committee completed its statutory review of the Policy and Bylaw and agreed that they should be amended. This document highlights the proposed changes to the Policy and Bylaw to ensure they meet the future needs of Auckland.

What Auckland Council does

Public places in Auckland are used for a variety of purposes, including exercising dogs. However, not all people enjoy the company of dogs. We make rules and provide services that aim to better manage dogs in Auckland. We do this through promoting responsible dog ownership, classifying dogs as dangerous or menacing, developing access rules, and taking measures to minimise nuisance caused by dogs, as set out in the Policy and Bylaw.

Improving dog access, while minimising the harm caused by dogs

The council recently reviewed how the current approach to dog management is working. The main changes we propose to make are to:

- reorganise the Policy and Bylaw information into user friendly themes
- remove duplication from the Bylaw, which will also simplify future amendments
- reduce confusion about dog access rules and improve voluntary compliance by:
  - presenting the rules in the schedule in a consistent manner
  - applying a consistent definition of time and season
  - applying consistent rules to multiple dog ownership
- address emerging issues around dog management by:
  - including specific reference to the Code of Welfare
  - extending environmental protection to include flora
  - clarifying delegations and processes for additional designated exercise areas
  - clarifying the enforcement requirement to neuter uncontrolled dogs
  - clarifying the council’s jurisdiction on privately owned public spaces
  - promoting responsible dog ownership amongst owners of dogs classified as ‘menacing’ on the basis of their behaviour
  - reviewing access rules in regional parks.

What is not changing

This review of the current Policy and Bylaw is not intended to change local dog access rules (where dogs are allowed under control on leash, off leash or prohibited areas and designated dog exercise areas). Local dog access rules are determined by local boards.
We want to know what you think
Starting on 1 April through to 10 May 2019, we want you to tell us what you think about the proposed changes to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 and Dog Management Bylaw 2012. Please visit www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say to find out more information, give your feedback and find out where you can drop in to a ‘Have Your Say’ event.
2 What is the Policy?

Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri 2012 (The Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012) sets out the framework for dog management in Auckland. The Policy aims to keep dogs as a positive part of Aucklanders’ lives. This is achieved by maintaining opportunities for dog owners to take their dogs into public places, while adopting measures to minimise the problems caused by dogs.

Policy requirements as listed in the Dog Control Act 1996

| Specify the nature and application of bylaws. |
| Identify areas or parts of the district in respect of which no public places or areas are to be identified as prohibited generally or at specified times or under control on a leash. |
| Identify public places in which dogs are to be prohibited, generally or at specified times. |
| Identify public places or parts of the district in which dogs are required to be under control on a leash. |
| Identify areas as designated dog exercise areas. |
| State whether dogs classified as menacing are required to be neutered. |
| State whether dogs classified as menacing by any other territorial authority and registered with council are required to be neutered. |
| Shall include other details, as council sees fit, including but not limited to: |
  | fees or proposed fees |
  | owner education programmes |
  | dog obedience courses |
  | classification of owners |
  | disqualification of owners |
  | issuing of infringement notices. |

In adopting a policy, the council must have regard to:

- minimising danger, distress and nuisance to the community generally
- avoiding the inherent danger in allowing dogs to have uncontrolled access to public places that are frequented by children, whether or not accompanied by adults
- enabling, to the extent practicable, the public (including families) to use streets and public amenities without fear of attack or intimidation by dogs
- the exercise and recreational needs of dogs and their owners.

In addition to the above, every policy adopted:

- must identify any land within the district that is:
  - a controlled dog area or open dog area under section 26ZS of the Conservation Act 1987
  - a national park constituted under the National Parks Act 1980
  - Te Urewera, as defined in section 7 of the Te Urewera Act 2014.
- may contain other information and advice related to dogs as the territorial authority deems necessary.
3 What is the Bylaw?

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, Auckland Council can make a bylaw for certain aspects of dog management. Ture a Rohe Tiakina Kuri, (The Dog Management Bylaw 2012) currently:

- regulates public places where a dog may be taken by its owner and the means of control of the dog
- limits the number of dogs that may be kept on any land or premises
- requires the owner of any dog that defecates in any public place or on land or premises other than that occupied by the owner to immediately remove the faeces
- requires any female dog to be confined but adequately exercised while in season
- requires the owner of any dog (being a dog that, on more than one occasion, has not been kept under control) to neuter it.

The Bylaw does not determine the dog access rules on individual parks, beaches and foreshore areas, as these are contained within the schedules of the Policy. Local dog access rules are determined by the local boards.
4 How we implement the Policy and Bylaw

The council uses a ‘graduated response’ when responding to complaints and concerns surrounding dog management (Figure 1). This means that the types of response and response times will vary depending on the level of risk, seriousness of harm, people’s attitude towards compliance, and what is most practical.

Lower risk issues are first addressed with education, advice, and informal warnings. If this doesn't work, the council may issue formal warnings. For serious or ongoing bylaw breaches, the council may take enforcement measures such as issuing an infringement notice or prosecuting individuals.

Figure 1: Council’s risk-based, ‘graduated response’ approach
5 What council proposes to change

The council recently reviewed the current Policy framework and identified some improvements that could be made.

The changes that the council is proposing to make to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 and Dog Management Bylaw 2012 are summarised below. These are reflected in the attached proposed policy and bylaw.

Proposed amendments to the current Policy and Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed amendment</th>
<th>Reason for proposed change</th>
<th>Change in Policy</th>
<th>Change in Bylaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organisation of Policy content</td>
<td>The proposed policy has been reorganised into user friendly themes. It also better highlights the relationship between the Policy and the Bylaw, and clearly states what is expected of dog owners and what activities Auckland Council will undertake to manage dogs.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of duplicate information</td>
<td>Schedules 1 and 2 are duplicated in the current Policy and Bylaw. These have been removed from the proposed bylaw and are now contained in the proposed policy only. This change will also make it easier for local boards to make future amendments to local dog access rules.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference the Code of Welfare for dogs</td>
<td>The Code of Welfare for Dogs from the Animal Welfare Act 1999 is now referenced in the proposed Policy. Reference to the Code highlights owner obligations. These are already used by council staff.</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time and season definition 10am to 7pm from Saturday of Labour Weekend to 31 March</td>
<td>Please see information below for more detail.</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarifying the council’s jurisdiction on privately owned public spaces.</td>
<td>Schedule 1 of the current Policy identifies dog access rules for council controlled public places.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attachment B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standardise the way local dog access rules are organised in Schedule 2.</th>
<th>Dog access to public places not under control of council is prohibited, unless permission is obtained by the person in charge of that place or there is signage indicating that dogs are allowed. An explanatory note has been added to the proposed Policy to clarify that public places under co-governance arrangements are considered privately-owned public spaces, therefore governed by this rule, unless they are specifically stated in Schedule 2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The current Policy assumes a default rule of dogs being under control on leash. Local boards have introduced rules into Schedule 2 which have created different default rules for their areas. Schedule 2 of the proposed policy no longer contains different default rules. Rules are presented in a consistent format which will make it easier for owners to know where they can take their dog. This will not change the types of access rules that are currently applied in any local beach, park or reserve.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased protection for flora that are vulnerable to dogs.</td>
<td>Council is proposing to extend its ability to make temporary changes to dog access rules to protect flora vulnerable to dogs, such as kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners will only require a multiple dog ownership licence for more than two dogs in properties zoned as urban residential in the Unitary Plan.</td>
<td>The current Policy and Bylaw have different rules for multiple dog ownership depending on where the dog owner lives. The proposed policy and bylaw standardises the rule across Auckland. Most residential properties are adequate to home two dogs, however greater issues tend to arise where three or more dogs are kept on the property. The purpose of the licence is to ensure the aspects of dog welfare are addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging responsible dog ownership for menacing dog owners.</td>
<td>The proposed policy allows for owners of dogs classified as menacing due to behaviour, to have the opportunity to have their dog’s classification reviewed if the owner provides evidence of completing a dog obedience course (at the owner’s expense), and the owner has not obtained any infringements in relation to the dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement to neuter an uncontrolled dog.</td>
<td>The proposed bylaw includes an explanatory note to clarify that owners who do not comply with current requirement to neuter their dog, if it is not under control on more than one occasion over a 12-month period, may be subject to an infringement and would still be required to neuter their dog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarifying intent of owners picking up after their dog.</td>
<td>Clause 11 of the Bylaw requires owners to immediately pick up after their dog after it defaecates. This section has been reworded to clarify the intent of this clause.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Amendments to regional parks | Implement the following:  
- a standard time and season definition of 10.00am to 7.00pm from the Saturday of Labour Weekend to 31 March  
- a standard lambing season rule to prohibit dogs from 1 July to 1 December  
- amend dog access rules to protect wildlife in the following region parks:  
  - Glenfern Sanctuary  
  - Mummaw Regional Park  
  - Long Bay Regional Park  
  - Waitakere Ranges Regional Park – Waiau and Pauatahanui |

**What council is proposing for the time and season definition**

The purpose of a time and season rule is to minimise the conflict between dogs, their owners and other users of busy public places. The time and season rules does this by prioritising different users at different times of the day (morning, daytime and evening) during the busy summer period.

**Local boards are responsible for deciding:**

- where a time and season rule applies (location)
- the type of dog access (i.e. prohibited, on-leash, off-leash or designated dog exercise area)
- what the winter time access rule will be.
For example, a local board may decide that a beach is off-leash before 10am, prohibited between 10.00am and 7.00pm and off-leash after 7.00pm.

The previous Policy had the time and season definition of 10.00am to 5.00pm from Saturday of Labour Weekend to 1 March. Local boards have chosen to adopt variations to this definition, which has resulted in thirteen local board variations and only one local board is using the current definition in areas within their local board area.

What we are proposing

The proposed policy is recommending a time and season definition of 10.00am to 7.00pm from Saturday of Labour Weekend to 31 March to be applied to those areas that have been identified by local boards. A standard time and season would make it easier for dog owners to know when and where they can take their dogs and make enforcement easier for Animal Management Officers.

Local beaches, parks or foreshores that apply a time and season rule may have their hours of access changed as a result of this review.

Concerns raised

On 14 February 2019, the Regulatory Committee debated concerns around proposed time and season definition and raised the following issues:

- 7.00pm being too late in the evening
- Whether there is a need for a regionally consistent time and season definition
- Local variation allows for the needs of communities to be met.

Alternative option

The alternative option to having a regionally consistent time and season definition would be to have a default definition in the Policy, and enable local boards to introduce variations to this through the local rules in Schedule 2 of the Policy. Auckland council is also seeking feedback on this alternative option.
How we got here

Decisions leading to the proposed changes

The Local Government Act 2002, requires council to review its bylaws every five years to determine whether they are effective, efficient and still needed.

The Dog Control Act 1996, states that the bylaw and policy must not inconsistent. Therefore, the review of the Policy must precede the review of the Bylaw.

Auckland Council reviewed dog management in the region. Staff have reported these findings and considered its options at meetings in June and September 2018, these are summarised below:

- **March 2017**
  - REG/2017/16

- **June 2018**
  - REG/2018/44
  - Council considers the findings of the effectiveness of the current Policy and Bylaw

- **August 2018**
  - Local board resolutions included feedback on issues under review.

- **8 November 2018**
  - REG/2018/78
  - REG/2018/79
  - Council considers key issues and options for the regional review and dog access rules in regional parks. Council agrees to amend the current Policy and Bylaw.

To access a copy of the above decisions, go to: [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say)
7 We want your input

You have an opportunity to tell us your views

We would like to know what you think about the proposed Policy and Bylaw that will impact the future of dog management in Auckland.

Give us your feedback

Starting on 1 April 2019 through to 10 May 2019 we will be seeking feedback on the Policy and Bylaw.

You can give your feedback:

- in person at one of our six ‘Have Your Say’ events – visit our website for details
- online at our website, www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say
- online services and paper copies of the materials are available at our libraries.

Your name and feedback will be available to the public in our reports and online. All other personal details will remain private.
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Pursuant section 10 of the Dog Control Act 1996, the Auckland Council adopts this policy on dogs. This policy applies to the district of Auckland.

Commencement
This policy comes into force on X month 2019.

Objective
To keep dogs as a positive part of the life of Aucklanders by maintaining opportunities for owners to take their dogs into public places, while adopting measures to minimise the problems caused by dogs.

How will Auckland Council achieve this Policy Objectives?
1. Registration and Classification
   Promote dog registration across all of Auckland.

2. Responsible Dog Ownership
   Educate and inform dog owners how to take care of their dogs.

3. Dog Access Principles
   Provide a balanced approach to dog access in council-controlled public places.

4. Monitoring measures of success
   Annually report to the Governing Body (through a public agenda report) and to the national government as required by the Dog Control Act 1996.

Interpretation
Access way has the meaning given by section 315 the Local Government Act 1974.

Beach means and includes the following:
(a) the foreshore as defined by section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991
(b) the inter-tidal zone above the mean low water spring
(c) any adjacent area that can reasonably be considered part of the beach environment including areas of sand, pebbles, shingle, dunes or coastal vegetation and includes the adjacent coastal marine.

Cemetery means any land or premises for the burial and/or cremation of the dead and includes a closed cemetery and urupā.

Control in relation to a dog, means that the owner is able to obtain an immediate and desired response from the dog.
Council-controlled public place means all public places owned or managed by Auckland Council or a substantive council-controlled organisation (as defined in section 4(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009). This includes land owned or managed by Auckland Transport.

Footpath has the meaning given by section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974.

Foreshore has the meaning given by section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Licence means a licence, permit or approval to do something under the Dog Management Bylaw 2019 and includes all conditions to which the licence is subject.

Owner has the meaning given by section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Park/Reserve any land vested in or administered by the council under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977 or any park, domain or recreational area under the control or ownership of the council.

Playground means an outdoor area developed that contains children’s play equipment or objects. Use of a playground means that the playground is currently being used or occupied by one or more persons.

Public place has the meaning given by section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996, includes:
(a) any aircraft, hovercraft, ship or ferry or other vessel, train, or vehicle carrying, or available to carry passengers for reward
(b) any council controlled public place.

Road has the meaning given by section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974 except that where a road is adjacent to a park, and the land within the road and park is developed in an integrated way, the common boundary between the road and park will be reduced or extended to:
(a) a line parallel to the road that follows any physical separation between the road and park (e.g. fence or bollards); or
(b) where no physical separation exists, a line parallel to the road that follows the edge of the road carriageway, footpath or cycle track that is closest to the centre of the park.

Service lane has the meaning given by section 315 the Local Government Act 1974.

Sports surface includes any area developed or marked out, for example those used for soccer, rugby, or rugby league, artificial turf, sports range, sports park or velodrome. Use of a sports surface means that the area is being used for sport events or training for sports events by one or more persons.

Working Dog has the meaning given by section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Related information
Working dogs include disability assist dogs, dogs kept by state departments such as police dogs and customs dogs, pest control dogs and dogs kept solely or principally as stock or herding dogs. A full list can be found in section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.
All dogs in Auckland, including dangerous and menacing dogs, will be registered with council

What will Auckland Council do?
1. Provide registration administration services including:
   (a) maintain a record of all dogs registered in Auckland, including those classified as dangerous and menacing
   (b) maintain a record of probationary and disqualified owners
   (c) inform and educate dog owners through the registration process
   (d) set fees to cover costs of essential services to support dog management activities in Auckland
   (e) provide incentives to promote responsible dog ownership
   (f) follow up on non-registered dogs and non-compliance with local authority bylaws.

2. Provide incentives, information, education and services (e.g. school education programmes)

3. Maintain dog compliance and enforcement best-practice that proactively uses Auckland Council’s powers¹ to:
   (a) enforce registration (including through the use of seizure powers) and micro-chipping of dogs
   (b) enforce the de-sexing of uncontrolled dogs
   (c) seize and hold dogs that are a threat to public safety
   (d) classify dogs identified in Schedule 4 of the Dog Control Act 1996, to be dangerous or menacing
   (e) ensure compliance with classification requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification requirements for dangerous dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The owner of a dangerous dog must pay 150% of the applicable registration fee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ownership of the dog cannot change without consent from council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The dog must be:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. kept within a securely fenced portion of the owner’s property which provides dog-free access to at least one door of any dwelling on the property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. muzzled in any public place or private way except when confined in a vehicle or cage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. controlled on a leash (except when in a designated dog exercise area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. neutered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ powers include issuing warnings and instant fines (infringement notices), powers to seize, destroy, or take custody of dogs and to classify dogs, and to the classify, prosecute and recover costs from owners.
Classification requirements for menacing dogs
A dog classified as menacing must be:
- muzzled in any public place or private way except when confined in a vehicle or cage
- neutered
- these requirements apply to dogs classified by any other council and registered with Auckland Council.

What does Auckland Council expect of dog owners?
1. Register your dog(s)
2. Obtain a licence to keep multiple dogs on a premise
3. Owners of dogs classified as dangerous or menacing must meet the expectations under the Dog Control Act 1996 (section 32 and section 33E)
4. Owners classified as probationary or disqualified must meet the requirements under the Dog Control Act 1996 (section 21 and section 25).

What is covered by the Dog Management Bylaw 2019?
1. Owners of more than two dogs must hold a valid multiple dog ownership licence
2. Owners of dogs classified as menacing due to the behaviour of the dog, are encouraged to undertake steps towards responsible dog ownership to be eligible to have the classification of their dog(s) reviewed.

Owners that do not comply with the above are in breach of the Dog Management Bylaw 2019 and may be subject to an infringement.
Responsible Dog Ownership

Dog owners will care for their dogs and control them around people to protect wildlife, other animals, property and natural habitats.

What will Auckland Council do?

1. Provide services that support promoting responsible dog management, including:
   (a) 24-hour dog management service
   (b) dog education community events and the production of supporting publications
   (c) advice on dog related problems
   (d) emergency assistance with dogs involved in accidents or police operations
   (e) street patrols
   (f) investigation and resolution of incidents of:
      i. wandering, barking, fouling, aggressive, and menacing or dangerous dogs
      ii. impounding and kennel maintenance
      iii. proceedings against dog owners for offences where all other means have failed
      iv. livestock concerns
      v. abandoned dogs.


3. Reduce the danger and nuisance caused by dogs by:
   (a) receiving, investigating and resolving dog complaints
   (b) providing community education to increase public awareness on how to be safe around dogs (target children, families and people working in the community)
   (c) promoting dog safe communities through encouraging compliance and undertaking enforcement
   (d) ensuring public safety and comfort, changing attitudes and behaviours of irresponsible dog owners, and where appropriate penalising irresponsible dog owners.

What does Auckland Council expect of dog owners?

1. Know and comply with the laws concerning the management of your dog
2. To be responsible for your dog’s behaviour
3. Provide proper care and attention to your dog, including providing sufficient food, water, shelter and adequate exercise
4. Consider neutering your dog at an early age
5. Microchip your dog
6. Take all reasonable steps to ensure your dog does not cause a nuisance to any other person. Be particularly vigilant near children and closely supervise interaction
7. Keep your dog under control at all times, this may include putting your dog on a leash, or a shortened leash in areas where your dog is likely to ignore commands (even if it is an off-leash or designated dog exercise area)
8. Respect other people's personal space. Public places are for everyone's enjoyment and not everyone is fond of dogs.
9. Ensure your dog cannot leave your property by itself.
10. Know when your dog must be on a leash, and always carry a leash in public.

What is covered by the Dog Management Bylaw 2019?
1. When in a public place or premise, dog owners must ensure the immediate removal and disposal of their dog’s faeces in a way that does not cause nuisance.
2. Dog owners must neuter their dog, if the dog has been found to be uncontrolled more than once in a 12-month period.

Owners that do not comply with the above are in breach of the Dog Management Bylaw 2019 and may be subject to an infringement.
**Item 22**

**Dog Access Principles**

*Provide a balanced use of public places for dogs and their owners, while minimising the harm caused by dogs*

**What will Auckland Council do?**

1. **Provide dog access rules that are comprehensive, consistent and easy to understand and comply with the following approaches:**
   (a) Recognise dog owners as legitimate users of public places and dog access is essential for dog welfare
   (b) Provide opportunities for dog owners to take their dog to public places that are accessible, desirable, and provide diversity of experience for both the dog and owner
   (c) Consider access on a comprehensive region-wide basis, as well as a place-by-place basis
   (d) Promote safe interaction between dogs and people using public places and private ways to ensure that dogs do not injure, endanger, intimidate or otherwise cause distress to any person, in particular, children and vulnerable adults
   (e) Manage the conflict between dogs and protected wildlife, stock, poultry, domestic animals, property and natural habitat.

2. **Maintain rules on dog access in public places and private ways (see Schedule 1 and 2)**
   The types of dog access rules are:
   (a) **prohibited area** – a place where dogs are not permitted to be, and other users have absolute priority
   (b) **on-leash area** – a place shared with other users, where dogs must be on a leash and under control at all times
   (c) **off-leash area** – a place shared with other users, where dogs may be off a leash but must still be under control at all times
   (d) **designated dog exercise area** – a place where dog owners are the priority user. Dog owners may take their dogs off a leash (including Dangerous Dogs) but they must be under control at all times
   (e) **time and season areas** – a place shared with other users, where the dog access rules will be different depending on the time of day during the summer season. This is to minimise the conflict between dogs and other users of the space during peak hours.

3. **Apply default dog access rules to ensure that there is a rule applied to all public places in Auckland**
   (a) Dogs must be under control on-leash in council-controlled public places unless otherwise stated in Schedule 1 and 2. This includes:
i. all formed public roads (including any street, highway, access way, service
   lane, and any footpath, cycle track, bus stop and berm within the boundaries of
   the road)
ii. private ways
iii. council-controlled car parks
iv. council-controlled boating areas (including any wharf, jetty, boat ramp, boat
    marshalling area).

(b) Dogs are prohibited from any public place not under the control of council where
    rules have not been specified by the person in charge.

4. Apply the following time and season definition
   (a) 10.00am to 7.00pm between the Saturday of Labour Weekend and 31 March
       (summer)

   **Explanatory Note:**
   The relevant authority (local boards or delegated council committee) will decide:
   • where a time and season rule applies (location)
   • the type of dog access (i.e. prohibited, on-leash, off-leash or designated dog
     exercise area)
   • what the winter time access rule will be.

   For example, a local board may decide that a beach is off-leash before 10am, prohibited
   between 10.00am and 7.00pm and off-leash after 7.00pm.

5. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks
   and beaches that would provide more dog access:
   (a) Identify and assess current and future uses of the place and whether there may be
       any potential conflicts to ensure the change would not result in any significant risk\(^2\) to
       any:
       i. person (in particular children or vulnerable adults)
       ii. protected wildlife vulnerable to dogs (in particular ground nesting birds)
       iii. protected flora vulnerable to dogs (in particular kauri dieback)
       iv. stock, poultry, or domestic animal
       v. property (in particular natural habitat and public amenities).

6. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks
   and beaches that would provide less dog access:
   (a) Consider whether there are practicable alternative solutions to address the conflict
       between uses of the place\(^3\)
   (b) Ensure, to the extent that is practicable, that displaced dog owners and their dogs
       have access to other places or that such access is provided as part of the same
       decision.

---

\(^2\) Significant risk includes, but is not limited to, injury, danger or distress to people or animals stated,
or nuisance to people.

\(^3\) Design and management solutions include fencing, different zones in one place, time-share
arrangements, and under control on a leach dog access in relation to considering a change to
prohibited dog access.
7. Before making any change or developing a park or beach as a designated dog exercise area, ensure that the –
   (a) matters contained in section 5 above are satisfied
   (b) area is well-located with vehicular and pedestrian access
   (c) area has clearly visible boundaries. This may be achieved through transition zones, vegetation, topography and fencing. Boundary treatment will vary depending on the risks identified
   (d) area is of sufficient size to provide dog owners with adequate space to exercise their dog
   (e) area has sufficient sight lines that enable dog owners to be aware of the presence of other dogs and their owners
   (f) area has adequate signage which clearly specifies the access rule
   (g) provision of dog owner and dog amenities has been considered. Such amenities may include, but are not limited to, seats, bins and bag dispensers for dog faeces, and water stations.

8. Temporary changes to dog access rules
   (a) From time to time, the council may make temporary changes to dog access rules in Schedule 1 and 2 in relation to:
      i. leisure and cultural events (including dog friendly events)
      ii. dog training
      iii. protect wildlife vulnerable to dogs
      iv. protect flora vulnerable to dogs
      v. pest control in any park and/or beach
      vi. other circumstances of a comparative nature.

What does Auckland Council expect of dog owners?

1. The owner of any female dog in season must ensure that dog does not enter or remain in any public place or private way unless:
   (a) that dog is confined in a vehicle or cage for the purposes of transportation;
   (b) the owner of that dog has the permission of the occupier or person controlling the public place and complies with any reasonable conditions imposed

2. Dog owners make reasonable effort to determine and comply with the dog access rule that applies in a park or beach before taking their dog(s) into the area

Additional information:

- Dogs confined in a vehicle or cage are not exempt from prohibited dog access rules. They are exempt from under control on-leash dog access rules.
- Dog access rules in Schedule 1 and 2 do not apply to any working dog (disability assist and police dogs).

What is covered by the Dog Management Bylaw 2019?

- Owners must exercise their dog(s) in locations or ways that are consistent with the dog access rules. This includes ensuring the dog is under control on-leash, off-leash or in a designated dog exercise area as specified.
- Dog owners must ensure that their dog is kept under control in public places or private ways.

Owners that do not comply with the above are in breach of the Dog Management Bylaw 2019 and may be subject to an infringement.
Schedule 1
General dog access rules

Dog access rules below apply and take precedence over a general access rule applied to the wider area (Schedule 2). For example, if a park (that contains a playground) allows dogs to be under control on-leash, dogs are prohibited from the playground.

(1) Playgrounds under the control of the council
Dogs are prohibited on any playground at all times and must be under control on a leash in the vicinity of any playground when in use.

(2) Sports surfaces under the control of the council
Dogs are prohibited on any sports surface at all times and must be kept under control on a leash in the vicinity of any sports surface when in use, unless the area is specified in Schedule 2.

(3) Council cemeteries, council camping grounds and council holiday parks
Dogs are prohibited from all other council-controlled cemeteries unless stated in Schedule 2, unless:
  - permission is obtained from an authorised council officer, or
  - signage indicates dogs are allowed, provided that the person who is accompanying the dog complies with any reasonable conditions imposed by the council in relation to the dog.

Camping grounds and holiday parks under the control of the council
Dogs are prohibited from all council-controlled camping grounds and holiday parks unless:
  - permission is obtained from an authorised council officer, or
  - signage indicates dogs are allowed, provided that the person who is accompanying the dog complies with any reasonable conditions imposed by the council in relation to the dog.

(4) Default dog access rules
Unless otherwise stated in Schedule 2 the following default rules apply to:
(a) Dogs must be under control on a leash in all council-controlled public places where there are no physical restrictions to access (such as fences or other indoor facilities)
(b) Dogs are prohibited in all council controlled public places with restricted access (such as fenced sports stadiums, libraries) unless:
   i. permission is obtained from an authorised council officer, or
   ii. there is official council signage which indicates dogs are permitted.
(c) Dogs are prohibited from all other public places not under control of council (such as cafes, shopping malls, school grounds, non-council cemeteries and urupā) unless:
   i. permission is obtained from the person in charge of the place, or
   ii. there is signage indicating that dogs are permitted.
(d) Where dog access is permitted under clauses 5(b) or 5(c) dog owners must comply with any reasonable conditions imposed by the council in relation to the dog.

Explanatory Note:
For the avoidance of doubt, areas under co-governance arrangement, such as the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority are not council-controlled public places. Subject to clause (c) to (d) above unless they are specifically listed in Schedule 2.
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Albert-Eden Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise areas
   a) **Maungawhau Domain** - The fenced paddock between Clive Road entrance and Summit Road (Mt Eden Road, Mt Eden)
   b) **Owairaka Domain** (Summit Drive, Mt Eden)
   c) **Tahaki Reserve** north-east of the bowl demarcated by the bush and pathway (Mt Eden Rd, Mt Eden)

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) **Balmoral Heights Reserve**
   b) **Fowlds Park** Northern slope between Western Springs Rd and the park’s internal road
   c) **Harbutt Reserve**
   d) **Heron Park** west of the western end of the playground and bounded on the western boundary by the stream
   e) **Kerr Taylor**
   f) **Point Chevalier Beach** - east of the northern headland
   g) **Rawalpindi Reserve**
   h) **Tutuki Street Reserve**
   i) **Watea Reserve**
   j) **Waterview Esplanade Reserve**
   k) **Windmill Park** - grassed area at top of bank

3) Dogs are allowed at the following times –

   a) From the marker post located at the northern most access from Eric Armishaw Park onto the beach to the northern headland of Point Chevalier Beach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off a leash*</td>
<td>Under control off a leash*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>10am to 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td>Under control on a leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>After 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on a leash</td>
<td>Under control off a leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dogs are prohibited at all times from safe swimming areas or lanes marked out or identified by council on Point Chevalier Beach.
b) Dogs are allowed at the following times at Coyle Park -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10 am</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>Under control on a leash</td>
<td>10am to 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>After 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Dogs are allowed at the following times at Watling Reserve -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Before 9am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>Under control on a leash</td>
<td>9am to 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>After 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife –

a) **Eric Armishaw Park** – except for the open grass areas, boardwalks and walkways where dogs are allowed under control on a leash.

b) **Point Chevalier Beach** - from safe swimming areas or lanes marked out or identified by Council
Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas—
   a) Achilles Crescent Reserve   a) Hanlon Crescent Reserve
   b) Alison Park                a) Hanlon Reserve
   c) Anakiwa Reserve           a) Hauraki Corner Reserve
   d) Aramoana Reserve          a) Hill Park
   e) Auburn Street Reserve     a) Hurstmere Green
   f) Augusta Reserve           a) Jutland Reserve
   g) Baltimore Reserve         a) Kawerau Reserve
   h) Bardia Esplanade Reserve  a) Kenmore Reserve
   i) Barry’s Point Reserve     a) Kennedy Park beach and
   j) Bayswater Esplanade Reserve foreshore, Prohibited North of
      Southern hedge of the observation
      post.
   k) Bayswater Park            a) Knightsbridge Reserve Green
   l) Becroft Park Reserve      a) Lake Town Green
   m) Belle Verde Reserve       a) Kennedy Point Reserve
   n) Belmont Park              a) Kilmarnock Park
   o) Blair Park                a) Kings Store Reserve
   p) Blakeborough Reserve     a) Kitchener Park
   q) Bond Reserve              a) Kiwi Reserve
   r) Brian Byrnes Reserve      a) Knightsbridge Reserve Green
   s) Calliope Reserve          a) Lake Town Green
   t) Charles Reserve           a) Landsdowne Reserve
   u) Commodore Parry Reserve   a) Laurina Reserve
   v) Crown Hill Reservoir      a) Linwood Reserve
   w) Devonport Domain          a) Marine Parade Reserve
   x) Esmonde Road Reserve      a) Marsh Reserve
   y) Fraser Reserve            a) Melrose Reserve
   z) From the Devonport Boat Club to
      the stairs opposite 5 King Edward
      Parade, foreshore and beach
      a) Mount Victoria
      b) Napier Reserve
      c) Ngaratonga Bay Reserves
      d) North Shore Reserve
      e) Norwood Road Esplanade Reserve
      f) Otakiri Reserve
      g) Raysdale Reserve
      h) Sandy Bay Reserve
      i) Smaill Reserve
      j) Torpedo Bay Reserve
      k) Waitemata Harbour Reserve
      l) West End Reserve
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3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas –

a) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the beaches and foreshores identified below –

   i) From the northern end of Castor Bay to northern headland of Saint Leonards Beach (including Milford Beach, Thorne Bay and Takapuna Beach)

   ii) From the northern headland of Narrow Neck Beach to the Devonport Boat Club (including Chellenham Beach, Torpedo Bay and Duder’s Beach) –

   iii) Stanley Bay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime Prohibited</td>
<td>10am to 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>After 2pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the parks identified below –

   i) Balmain Reserve

   ii) Bath Street Reserve

   iii) Chellenham Beach Reserve

   iv) Milford Reserve

   v) Narrow Neck Beach Reserve

   vi) Stanley Bay Beach Reserve

   vii) Takapuna Beach Reserve

   viii) Torpedo Bay Reserve

   ix) Woodall Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas-
   a) **Kennedy Park** – North of the southern hedge of the observation post.
   b) **Ngataringa Bay** – Shell banks adjacent to Ngataringa Bay Access Road and Plymouth Reserve
   c) **Shoal Bay** – Shell banks, foreshore and associated mangrove areas from Esmonde (excluding formed boardwalks and bridges and foreshore west of Sandy Bay Road to Ngataringa Bay)

5) Dogs are allowed under control and on a leash in the following council controlled cemeteries:
   a) O’Neill’s Point Cemetery
Franklin Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise areas-
   a) Cape Hill Reserve, excluding the signposted area at the north/western corner.
   b) East Coast Rd Reserves, foreshore only
   c) Esplanade reserve from Hula Street to Totara Street, with access from fenced grazed area.
   d) Hickeys Reserve from Paerata Road to Childs Avenue.
   e) Karioitahi Beach, south of a line extending east/west from the southern wall of the Karioitahi Beach Lifesaving Clubrooms and north of a line extending east/west from the northern wall of the Public Toilet Block facility.
   f) Orua Bay, from the Orua Bay creek to Mako Point.
   g) Patumahoe Recreation Reserve in the Rata Street
   h) Reserve area along estuary access from Edgewater parade and Riverside Drive
   i) Roosevelt Reserve excluding the fenced grazed area

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) Albacore Reserve
   b) Beachlands Domain
   c) Clevedon Kawakawa Bridge
   d) Clevedon Old Post Office Grounds
   e) Clevedon Scenic Reserve
   f) Clevedon Township Recreation Reserve
   g) Clevedon War Memorial
   h) Clevedon Wharf Reserve
   i) Constellation Park
   j) Green Bay Reserve
   k) Hawke Crescent Accessway
   l) Hunua Bowling Club and Field
   m) Hunua Domain Recreation Reserve
   n) Hunua Hall, Tennis and Netball Courts
   o) Hunua Rd Esplanade Reserves
   p) Hunua Township Field
   q) Hyland Place Esplanade Reserve
   r) Intrepid Crescent Reserve
   s) Jack Lachlan Drive Esplanade Reserve
   t) Jacobs Way Park
   u) Kawakawa Bay Coast Road Stream
   v) Kawakawa Coast Road Reserve
   w) Kawakawa Bay Foreshore
   x) Ken Parker Reserve
   y) Leigh Auton Reserve
   z) Liberty Park
   aa) Maraetai Dressing Sheds Reserve
   ab) Maraetai Park
   ac) Mcnicol Homestead
   ad) Mihaka Park
   ae) Monument Road Reserve
   af) Motukaraka Reserve
   ag) Moumoukai Road Esplanade Reserve
   ah) North Road Reserve
   ai) Omana Avenue Esplanade Reserve
   aj) Omana Esplanade
   ak) Orere Point Hall Grounds
   al) Pine Harbour Park
   am) Platt Mills Reserve
   an) Pohutukawa Park
   ao) Pony Park Reserve
   ap) Porterfield Rd Esplanade Reserve
   aq) Potts Rd Esp Reserve
   ar) Puriri Rd Accessway
   as) Rautawa Place Reserve
   at) Shelly Bay Reserve
   au) Snapper Rock Reserve
3) The time and season rule applies to the following areas –
   a) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the beaches, foreshores and adjoining parks
      listed below -
      i) **Kariotahi Beach** - within an area bounded by two lines extending east/west from the
         southern wall of the Kariotahi Beach Lifesaving Clubrooms and the northern wall of the
         public toilet block facility
      ii) Kawakawa Bay Beach
      iii) Magazine Bay
      iv) Maraetotara Beach
      v) Ōmana Beach
      vi) Ōrere Beach
      vii) Sunkist Bay Beach
      viii) Waionamu Beach
      ix) Waiti Beach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control Off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   b) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the beaches and foreshores identified
      below -
      i) All those beaches and adjoining parks from the south east boundary of Auckland
         (north of Wharekawa) northwards to and including Lot 12 DP 12729 (north
         of Matingarahi), except those areas identified as a dog exercise area.
      ii) Beach Road and the boat ramp at Grahams Beach.
      iv) Big Bay
      v) Clarks Beach from and including the beach frontage at Camp Morley and all
         adjoining beach and accessway reserves west of Camp Morley
      vi) Esplanade Reserve at the end of Brook Road, Awhitu
      vii) Glenbrook Beach and Glenbrook Landing Reserve.
      viii) Hamiltons Gap, within 250m either side of the creek mouth at the end of West
            Coast Road.
      ix) Hudsons Beach, between the boat ramp at the end of Hudsons
      x) Matakawau Point, Matakawau Beach and Sergeant's Beach.
xi) Orua Bay, west of the Orua Bay creek.
   xii) Poliok Wharf.
    xiii) Te Toro.
   xiv) Waiau Beach.
   xv) Wattle Bay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas
   a) George Kern Nature Reserve – protected wildlife areas
   b) Harris Nature Reserve - protected wildlife areas

5) The prohibition on any sports surface does not apply to the following areas when the sports surface is not in use or closed any area developed or marked out as a grass sports surface (sports field) in the former Franklin District.
Great Barrier Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise area
   a) Okiwai northern side of airstrip next to the Okiwai airfield.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off leash in the following beaches -
   a) Awana Beach - from the Council access south to the point, prohibited North of the Council access.
   b) Gooseberry Flat - from northern headland of Pah Beach south to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat. On a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets at Pah Beach to southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing south east across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive.
   c) Medlands Beach from the beach access beach access at The Lane walkway to the south, stopping 100 metres north of the creek. On a leash from beach access at The Lane walkway north and from 100 metres north of the creek.
   d) Mulberry Grove - the southern bank of the northern creek south to the northern bank of the southern creek.
   e) Okupu
   Explanatory Note: Dogs are prohibited from the Okupu Bay Crown foreshore (DOC Map 6.33)

3) There are no time and season rules in the Great Barrier Local Board area.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following area-
   a) Awana Beach - north of the Council access. South off Council access are allowed off leash.

5) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash when the dog is swimming or walking in areas of the water in the following areas -
   a) Cecilia Sudden Bay
   b) Kaiarara Bay
   c) Kiwiriki Bay
   d) Komahunga Bay
   e) Korotiti Bay
   f) Oneroa Bay
   g) Rangiwhakaea Bay
   h) Raroheara Bay
   i) Sandy Bay
   j) Smokehouse Bay
   k) Waipapa Bay
   l) Wairahi Bay
   m) Whangawahia Bay - excludes DOC prohibited area

Explanatory note: dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the beach not covered by water, and are allowed under control off leash in the water.
Henderson-Massey Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in Henderson-Massey Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:

   a) Alan Reserve
   b) Armada Reserve
   c) Astelia Grandis Reserve
   d) Awaroa Park
   e) Babich Reserve
   f) Barry Reserve
   g) Birdwood Park
   h) Bluebridge Reserve
   i) Border Road Esplanade
   j) Bosun Reserve
   k) Bridge Avenue Reserve
   l) Bruce Mclaren Memorial Park
   m) Buckingham Common
   n) Buisson Glade Reserve
   o) Cartmel Reserve
   p) Catherine Esplanade
   q) Cellarman’s Corner
   r) Chilcott Brae
   s) Chorley Reserve
   t) Claverdon Park
   u) Colwill Esplanade Reserve
   v) Corban Reserve
   w) Corbans Estate
   x) Coroglen Reserve
   y) Corran Reserve
   z) Covil Park
   aa) Cranwell Park
   ab) Cron Reserve
   ac) Crosby Reserve
   ad) Cyclorama Reserve
   ae) Dalmatia Green
   af) Danica Reserve
   ag) Daytona Reserve
   ah) Daytona Strand
   ai) Divich Reserve
   aj) Don Buck Corner
   ak) Don Buck Prim Rec Reserve
   al) Durham Green
   am) Edgelea Park
   an) Elvira Walk

   a) Emerald Valley Park
   ap) Epping Esplanade
   aq) Espailer Reserve
   ar) Fairdene Reserve
   as) Falls Park
   at) Ferngrove Park
   au) Flanshaw Esplanade Reserve
   av) Flaunty Reserve
   aw) Forest Hill Park
   ax) Fred Taylor Park
   ay) Gallon Park
   az) Glen Norman Reserve
   ba) Glendene Reserve
   bb) Gloria Park
   bc) Greenberry Reserve
   bd) Gus Nola Park
   be) Halyard Common
   bf) Hamblyn Reserve
   bg) Harbourview Corner
   bh) Harbourview Orangihina the grass area to the West of the carpark,
   bi) Hart Domain
   bj) Harvest Reserve
   bk) Helena Park
   bl) Henderson Park
   bm) Henderson Park
   bn) Henderson Creek Park
   bo) Henderson Valley Green
   bp) Hepburn Esplanade Reserve
   bq) Hilda Griffin Reserve
   br) Hindmarsh Green
   bs) Holmes Reserve
   bt) Howard Reserve
   bu) Huruhuru Esplanade
   bv) Jaemont Strand
   bw) Kaikoura Reserve
   bx) Kaumatua Reserve
   by) Kayle Glen Walk
   bz) Keegan Park
ca) Kelvin Strand
cb) Kemp Park
cc) Kensington Gardens
cd) Kervil Park
cce) Kingdale Reserve
cf) Kopupaka Reserve
cg) Kopupaka Stream Reserve
ch) Landow Reserve
dl) Laurieston Park
dcl) Lavelle Reserve
dck) Liburn Crescent Reserve
dcl) Lincoln Park
dcm) Lincoln Road Plant Reserve
dcn) Lloyd Morgan Lions Club Park
dco) Lockington Green
dcp) Lone Tree Park
dcq) Lowtherhurst Reserve
dcr) Lydford Green
dcs) Makara Park
dct) Manutewhau Reserve
dcu) Marinich Reserve
dcv) Marlene Glade
dcw) Martin Jugum Reserve
dcx) Matipo Reserve
dcy) Mcdintock Reserve
dcz) Mc Cormick Green
da) McKinley Park
dba) McLeod Park
dbc) Meadow Glade
dda) Mea Reserve
dde) Midgley Park
ddf) Mii Way South Reserve
ddg) Millbrook Road Reserve
ddh) Moire Park
ddi) Mona Vale Reserve
ddj) Murillo Reserve
ddk) Nevillo Power Memorial Park
ddl) Opanuku Reserve
ddm) Opanuku Stream Reserve
ddn) Pahi Park
ddo) Palamino Esplanade
ddp) Paremuka Esplanade
ddq) Paremuka Lakeside
ddr) Penfold Park
dds) Pioneeer Park
dtt) Plumer Domain
deu) Poosk Reserve
ddv) Provence Green
ddw) Raelene Reserve
dx) Railside Esplanade Reserve
ddy) Ramlea Park
ddz) Ranui Domain
dea) Ranui Station Park
deb) Realm Esplanade
dec) Rena Place Rec Reserve
edj) Reynella Reserve
edd) Rhinevale Close
def) Riverglade Esplanade
deg) Riverpark Reserve
deh) Roberts Field
dej) Roberts Green
dek) Roby Reserve
del) Rotary Park
dem) Royal Heights Park
den) Rush Creek Reserve
deo) San Bernadino Reserve
dep) Sarajevo Reserve
deq) Semillon Reserve
der) Sherwood Reserve
des) Spargo Reserve
det) Spinnaker Strand
deu) Springbank Esplanade
dev) St Margarets Park
dew) Starforth Reserve
dex) Starling Park
dey) Sunhill Scenic Reserve
dez) Sunline Park
fa) Sunshine Boulevard Reserve
fb) Swan Arch Reserve
fc) Taipari Strand
fd) Taitapu Park
fe) Tatyana Park
ff) Tawa Esplanade
fg) Te Hauauru
fh) Te Rangi Hiroa
fi) Tehema Stream Reserve
fj) Tirimoana Reserve
fk) Tracey Park
fl) Trading Esplanade
fm) Triangle Park
fn) Trig Reserve
fo) Trusts Esplanade Reserve
fp) Tuscani Green
fq) Urich Esplanade Reserve
fr) Valron Esplanade
fs) Vintage Reserve
ft) Vitassovich Esplanade
fu) Vodanovich Reserve
fv) Waimanu Bay Reserve
fw) Waimoko Glen Reserve
fx) Waimoko Glen Reserve
fy) Waimatea Corner
fz) Wakeling Park
ga) West Harbour Esplanade
gb) Westgate Drive Park
gc) Westglen Park
gd) Windy Ridge
ge) Woodford Reserve
gf) Woodside Reserve
gg) Xena Park

gh) Zita Maria Park

3) There are no time and season rules in the Henderson-Massey Local Board area.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas for the protection of wildlife -
   a) All beach and foreshore areas (except from 72R Spinnaker Strand to North East beach of Kelvin Strand

5) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash for two hours either side of high tide, to the north east beach of Kelvin Strand. Dogs are allowed under control on leash for the rest of the day.
Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas -

a) Aicken Reserve
b) Albatross Reserve
c) Alverna Heights
d) Amorino Reserve and beach
e) Ardern Lookout Reserve
f) Arklow Reserve
g) Ascot Way Reserve
h) Awanohi Reserve Redvale
i) Awaruhi Reserve
j) Balboa Reserve
k) Banwick Reserve
l) Bayside Drive
m) Bellbird Rise Reserve
n) Blake Greens Millwater
o) Blue Heron Rise Esplanade
p) Brandon Reserve
q) Brookvale Reserve
r) Browns Walk Reserve
s) Bruce Scott Reserve
t) Brunton Park Reserve
u) Buccaner Court Recreation Reserve
v) Burwood Reserve
w) Bushglen Reserve
x) Buster Elliot Memorial Landing
y) Cairnbrae Reserve
z) Campbell Glade Reserve
aa) Cape Cod Drive
ab) Cedar Reserve
ac) Centreway and Florence Reserve
ad) Centreway Reserve
ae) Chatham Hill Reserve
af) Chevalerton
ag) Churchill Reserve and beach
ah) Cochranes McKenzie Reserve
ai) Coventry Reserve
aj) Crampton Court Reserve
ak) Cranston Street Reserve
al) Crocodile Island
am) Crown Reserve
an) Dacre Grove Reserve
ao) Dacre Historic and Esplanade Reserve
ap) Deborah Reserve
aq) Double Bay Place Reserve
ar) Duncansby Lookout and Esplanade
as) East Avenue Tiri Road Esplanade
at) Edith Hopper Park
au) Elan Reserve
av) Emlyn Place
aw) Everard Reserve
ax) Farnell Cascaden Reserve
ay) Ferry Rd/ Hawaiian Pde Junction
az) Ferry Road Reserve
ba) Fitzwilliam Drive Reserve
bb) Flexman Place Landing Reserve
bc) Francis Avenue Reserve
bd) Galbraith Greens
be) Garroway Green Reserve
bf) Gerontius Reserve
bg) Gilshennan Reserve
bh) Glenelg Reserve
bi) Glenvar Glade Reserve
bj) Grant Park
bk) Grovenor Drive Reserve
bl) Grut Greens
bm) Gulf Harbour Drive Plantation
bn) Gulf Harbour Marina Hammerhead
bo) Gulf Harbour Recreation Reserve
bp) Hardley Reserve
bq) Hauraki Heights
br) Hebron Reserve
bs) Higham Ferrers Reserve
bt) Hunters Reserve
bu) James Titford Reserve
bv) Jamie Hansen Park
bw) Jelas Saltwood Pond Reserve
bx) Joydon Place Reserve
by) Kate Sheppard Reserve
bz) Kelly Greens
ca) Kensington Terrace Reserve
cb) Knights Reserve
cc) Kowhai Road Reserve
cd) Laurie Southwick Parade
ce) Longmead Reserve
cf) Loop Road Reserve
cg) Major Henry Greens
ch) Marellen Drive Beach Reserve
ci) Matakatia Beachfront Reserve
cj) Matakatia Parade Reserve
ck) Matakatia Scenic Reserve
cd) Maygrove Esplanade Reserve
cm) Maygrove Reserve
cn) Mckenzie Avenue Park
c0) Metro Park West
cp) Millwater Park Bush Reserve
cq) Millwater Park Playground Reserve
cr) Moenui Avenue Reserve
cs) Moffat Esplanade Reserve
cf) Mollyhawk Reserve
cj) Montrose Terrace
cv) Moores Road Millwater
cw) Moreton Drive Bush Reserve
cx) Ngahere Jelas Reserve
cy) Nickell Place Reserve
cz) Nukumea Common Reserve
da) Oaktree Palliser Corner Reserve
db) Oaktree Reserve
dc) Ocean View Road Plantation Reserve
dd) Okoromat Reserve
de) Okura Esplanade Reserve
df) Okura Reserve
dg) Orewa Marine Parade Reserve
dh) Otanerau Reserve
di) Owens Reserve
dj) Palmgreen Reserve
dk) Penguin Drive
dl) Penzance Road Reserve
dm) Percy Greens
dx) Pinecrest Drive
do) Pinehill Lookout
dp) Polkinghorne Reserve
dq) Poplar Road Esplanade Reserve
dr) Portal Place
ds) Portland Greens
dt) Possum Ladder
du) Puriri Bush Reserve
dv) Rakauananga Point Esplanade
dw) Red Beach Waterfront Reserve
dx) Regency Park Drive Plantation Reserve
dy) Regency Park Drive Reserve
dz) Ringwood Street
dw) Riverside Road Esplanade
e0) Roberta Crescent
e1) Rock Isle Beach Reserve
ed) Rosario Reserve
e2) Saddleback Reserve
ef) Seafood Place Reserve
eg) Seagate Reserve
eh) Sealy Reserve
ei) Settlers Grove Reserve East
ej) Settlers Grove Reserve West
ek) Shadon Reserve
el) Shakespear Esplanade Reserve
eu) Shakespear Road Reserve
ev) Sherwood Reserve
eo) Shuttleworth Reserve
ep) Siesta Terrace Reserve
eq) Silverdale Reserve
er) Silverdale War Memorial Park
es) South Avenue Reserve
et) Speedy Bush Reserve
eu) Stanmore Bay East Beach Reserve
ev) Stanmore Bay West Beach Reserve
ew) Stanmore Bay Weir Reserve
ex) Stanmore Bay Park, (grass between Stanmore Bay beach and open drainage area time and season)
ey) Stillwater Reserve
ez) Stoney Homestead Silverdale
fa) Strand Reserve Waiwera
fb) Stedwick Reserve
fc) Sundown Reserve
fd) Swell Park
fe) Taiatia Reserve
ff) Tavern Road Esplanade Reserve
fg) Timberlands Reserve
fh) Tindalls Bay Road Plantation Reserve
fi) Tindalls to Crown Road Esplanade
fj) Tiri Reserve
fk) Titan Place Reserve
fl) Totara Views Reserve
fm) Vaughans Road
fn) Victor Eaves Park
fo) Vipond Road Beach Reserve
fp) Wade Landing Reserve
fq) Waiate Esplanade Reserve
fr) Waiora Reserve
fs) Waiwera Place Reserve
ft) Waiwera Road Esplanade Reserve
fu) Waldorf Reserve
fv) Watea Road Reserve
fw) Wetai Views Reserve
fx) Western Reserve
fy) Whala Cove Reserve
fz) Whaley Greens
ga) Woodridge Reserve
gb) Zita Reserve

3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas –
   a) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the beaches and foreshores identified below
      i) Arkles Bay headland to headland
      ii) Big Manly Beach headland to headland
      iii) Browns Bay Beach
      iv) Campbells Bay Beach, including grass area at end of The Esplanade
      v) Fisherman’s Rock
      vi) Hatfields Beach headland to headland
      vii) Little Manly Beach headland to headland
      viii) Mairangi Bay Beach
      ix) Matakia Bay headland to headland
      x) Murrays Bay Beach
      xi) Orewa Beach North Headland to Estuary Bridge Southern end
      xii) Red Beach headland to headland
      xiii) Rothesay Bay Beach
      xiv) Stanmore Bay headland to headland
      xv) Swann Beach headland to headland
      xvi) Tindalls Beach headland to headland
      xvii) Waiheke Beach (including Rock Isle Beach)
      xviii) Waiera Beach from The Strand roundabout to southern headland.
      xix) Winstones Cove beach and reserve (Torbay)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Dogs are allowed at the following times at the identified areas below -
   i) Arkles Bay Beach - Front Reserve (grass)
   ii) Big Manly Beach - grass between The Esplanade and Browns Street
   iii) Browns Bay Beach Reserve (grass) and grass areas adjacent to Manly esplanade.
   iv) Campbells Bay Reserve (grass) (also known as Huntly Road Reserve)
   v) De Luen Avenue Beachfront Reserve (grass)
   vi) Mairangi Bay – Mairangi Bay Beach Reserve (grass) between Montrose Terrace and stream next to surf club*** and reserves opposite beach
   vii) Montrose Terrace and stream next to surf club*** and reserves opposite beach
   viii) opposite beach
   ix) Manly Park on the northern side of Laurence Street
   x) Matakia Parade Beach Front Reserve (grass)
   xi) Montrose Terrace and stream next to surf club and reserves
   xii) Murrays Bay – grass adjacent to Beach Road and Gulfview Road
xiii) Orewa Domain
xiv) Orewa Reserve Layby and Orewa Recreation Reserve (grass areas between holiday park and sand dunes)
xv) Red Beach Waterfront Reserve (grass)
xvi) Rothesay Bay Beach Reserve
xvii) Stanmore Bay – grass between Stanmore Bay Beach and the open drainage channel. Dogs are allowed under control off-leash at all times on all other areas of Stanmore Bay Park...
xviii) Waiaka Beach Reserve (grass)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect birdlife vulnerable to dogs
a) **Orewa Estuary** on all intertidal areas upstream of road bridge (SEAM2-72 and SEA-M2-72w1 in Unitary Plan)
b) **The Strand** roundabout and around the northern headland.
c) **Waiwera Beach** from the public land, beach and foreshore north of
   **Waiwera Bird Lookout** (opposite 79 Weranui Road).
e) **Welti Estuary Chenier Splits** and Stillwater section of the **Okura Bush Walkway** (all of
   Pt Lot 4 DP 95984, East Coast Road, Redvale)
Howick Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Howick Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) Aberfeldy Park
   b) Annalong Park
   c) Armoy Park
   d) Aspatria Place reserve
   e) Aviemore Drive drainage reserve
   f) Baverstock Park
   g) Bell Reserve
   h) Blanche Park
   i) Bleakhouse Road Promontory Reserve
   j) Bob Charles Park
   k) Booraloe Park
   l) Bradbury Park
   m) Browns Park
   n) Bucklands Beach Domain
   o) Bucklands Beach from Devon Road, around the foreshore of Musick Point Esplanade Reserve to northern water pipe on Eastern Beach
   p) Burswood Esplanade Reserve
   q) Burswood Park
   r) Caimpong Park
   s) Calithis Park
   t) Cascades Walkways
   u) Charles Dickens Park
   v) Clavoy Park
   w) Clydesdale Park
   x) Coachman Park
   y) Cockle Bay Domain
   z) Cornelian Park
   aa) Corta Bella Place Reserve
   ab) Crawford Reserve
   ac) Curacao Place Esplanade Reserve
   ad) Dairyland Park
   ae) Dalwhinnie Park
   af) Darren Park
   ag) Earnslaw Park
   ah) Eastern Beach (north of northernmost water pipe, near Cloverley walkway)
   aj) Edgewater Drive Esplanade Reserve
   ak) Elm Park
   al) Ennis Avenue Reserve
   am) Fortunes Park
   an) Fortyfoot Park
   ao) Frank Nobilo Esplanade reserve, including Pebble Beach Place Reserve
   ap) Fratley Park
   aq) Fremantle Place Esplanade Reserve
   ar) Galloway Park
   as) Geranium Park
   at) Gillard Reserve
   au) Glenhouse Reserve
   av) Glenlea Park
   aw) Glennandrew Park
   ax) Glencawks Reserve
   ay) Goffland Park
   az) Gossamer Park
   aaz) Grace Campbell Reserve
   ba) Gracechurch Reserve
   bb) Guys Reserve
   bc) Haven Park
   bd) Hawthornden Park
   be) Hayes Park
   bf) Highland Park
   bg) Hilltop Park
   bh) Himalaya Park
   bi) Hope Farm Avenue Esplanade Reserve
   bj) Howick Beach (west of boat ramp)
   bk) Huntington Park
   bl) Jerpoint Park
   bm) John Gill Park
   bn) Kaniere Park
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bo) Kellaway Drive Reserve
cs) Reelick Park
bp) Kilimanjaro Park
cu) Reeves Park
bq) Kilkenny Park
cv) Rialto Park
br) Lexington Park
cw) Richard Park
bs) Lloyd Elemore Park
cx) Riverhills Park
bt) Logan Carr Reserve
cy) Salford Park
bu) Macleans Reserve
d) Marine Parade Esplanade Reserve
dv) Seymour Road Esplanade Reserve
dw) Marrendallas Park
da) Sheffield Place Reserve
dx) Mattson Road Esplanade Reserve
e) Somerville Park
by) McLeay Reserve
eb) Spalding Rise Reserve
b2) Medvale Park (Richmond Reserve)
ec) Spencer Park
cad) Mellons Bay (west of water pipe)
ed) Springs Road Reserve
cb) Millen Avenue Reserve
ef) Stonedon Drive Esplanade Reserve
clo) Mission Heights Reserve
ei) Stevenson Reserve
cd) Mulroy Park
eg) Tarnica Park
cf) Murphy’s Bush in the open grass area
eh) The Boulevard Park
to the north of the car park
el) Ti Rakau Corner Reserve
em) Tiraumea Drive Reserve
en) Tiraumea Park
cf) Murvale Reserve
eq) Waikitori Reserve
cg) Musick Point Esplanade Reserve
er) Wakaaranga Creek Reserve
ch) Neil Morrison Park
es) Wayne Francis Park
ci) Nimrod Park
et) West Fairway Park
cj) Orangewood Park
eu) Whaka Maumahara Park
cj) Pakuranga Golf Club Esplanade
ev) Whiteacres Park
cd) Pandora Park
ew) Whitford Park
cm) Pandora Place Esplanade Reserve

3) The following time and season rule applies to the beaches and foreshores identified below –

a) Bucklands Beach (including the grass between the beach and The Parade) to south of the boat ramp opposite Devon Road
b) Cockle Bay Beach
c) Eastern Beach south of northermmost water pipe (including grass between the beach and The Esplanade) but does not include the Eastern Beach Caravan Park
d) Howick Beach from western most boat ramp to Rangitoto View Road
e) Little Bucklands Beach (including grass strip between the beach and The Parade) to Warren Boardwalk.
f) Mellons Bay Beach east of water pipe

table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime Prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After 7pm</th>
<th>Under control off leash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited on the foreshore areas of-
   a) Bramley Drive Reserve
   b) Burswood Esplanade Reserve
   c) Chisbury Terrace Reserve
   d) Curacao Place Esplanade Reserve
   e) Dayspring Way Esplanade Reserve
   f) Edgewater Drive Esplanade Reserve
   g) Ennis Avenue Reserve
   h) Fisher Parade Esplanade Reserve
   i) Frank Nobilo Esplanade Reserve
   j) Fremantle Place Esplanade Reserve
   k) Hope Farm Esplanade Reserve
   l) Mangemangeroa Reserve
   m) Mattson Road Esplanade Reserve
   n) Millen Avenue Esplanade Reserve
   o) Pakuranga Country Club Esplanade Reserve
   p) Pandora Place Esplanade Reserve
   q) Pohutukawa Avenue Esplanade Reserve
   r) Raewyn Place Esplanade Reserve
   s) Riverhills Park
   t) Riverina Place Esplanade Reserve
   u) Rotary Reserve
   v) Stonedon Esplanade Reserve
   w) Tamaki Bay Drive Reserve
   x) The Sandspit (Mangemangeroa Creek)
   y) Tiaumea Park
   z) Trugood Esplanade Reserve
   aa) Williams Avenue Esplanade Reserve

ab) All foreshore (intertidal, mangrove and saltmarsh) areas from Wakaaranga Creek to Highbrook Creek, including the Pakuranga Creek.
Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-lease areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Kaipātaki Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas -
   a) A F Thomas Park
   b) Adah Reserve
   c) Aeroview Drive Esplanade Reserve
   d) Agincourt Reserve
   e) Akoranga Reserve
   f) Alan Tanner Reserve
   g) Alice Place Reserve
   h) Amelia Place Esplanade Reserve
   i) Anne McLean Reserve
   j) Arcadia Reserve
   k) Ayton Reserve
   l) Birkenhead War Memorial Park
   m) Bonito Scenic Reserve
   n) Brassey Road Reserve
   o) Cadness Loop
   p) Cadness Reserve
   q) Camelot Reserve
   r) Castleton Reid Reserve
   s) Celeste Reserve
   t) Chelsea Bay
   u) Chelsea Estate Heritage Park – park is off-leash but bushwalk is on leash
   v) City View Reserve
   w) Cresta Avenue Esplanade Reserve
   x) Debbie Chamberlain Reserve
   y) Diana Reserve
   z) Downing Street Reserve
   a) Dudding Avenue Reserve
   b) Elliot Reserve
   ab) Embassy Reserve
   ac) Eskdale Reserve
   ad) Fernglen Reserve
   ae) Fernwood Grove
   af) Fitzpatrick Bay
   ag) Fowler Reserve
   ah) Francis Kendall Reserve
   ai) Fred Andersen Reserve
   aj) Glencourt Reserve
   ak) Glendhu Scenic Reserve
   al) Glenfield Domain
   am) Gold Hole
   an) Greenslade Reserve
   ao) Greenvalley Reserve
   ap) Gretel Scenic Reserve
   aq) Hadfield Street Reserve
   ar) Halls Beach and Reserve
   as) Heath Reserve
   at) Highgrove Reserve
   au) Hillcrest Reserve
   av) Holland Reserve
   aw) Holyoake Place Reserve
   ax) Homewood Reserve
   ay) Inwards Reserve
   az) Island Bay
   ba) Island Bay Reserve
   bb) Jacaranda Avenue Reserve
   bc) Jean Sampson Reserve
   bd) Jessie Tonar Reserve
   be) John Kay Park
   bf) Kahika Point Reserve
   bg) Kaipatiki Esplanade Reserve
   bh) Kaipatiki Park
   bi) Kathleen Reserve
   bj) Kauri Glen Reserve
   bk) Kauri Park
   bl) Kauri Point Centennial Park
   bm) Kelmar Scenic Reserve
   bn) King Street Reserve
   bo) Kitewa Street Esplanade Reserve
   bp) Lancelot Reserve
   bq) Lauderdale Reserve
   br) Leiden Reserve
   bs) Lenihan Reserve
   bt) Lindisfarne Park
   bu) Linley Reserve
   bv) Locket Reserve
   bw) Lynn Reserve
   bx) Lysander Crescent Reserve
by) Manuka Road - foreshore to the north-east of the boat ramp (approximately 50m in width) to the mean mid tide springs
db) Manuka Neighbourhood Reserve
cb) Manuka Road Foreshore
cd) Marlborough Park
cd) Mayfield Reserve
cf) McFetridge Park
cf) Mick Stanley Memorial Reserve
cg) Miraka Place Reserve
ch) Monarch Park
cl) Monte Cassino Reserve
cj) Muriel Fisher Reserve
ck) Neal Reserve
cI) Needles Eye Reserve
cm) Neil Fisher Reserve
cn) Neptune Avenue Reserve
co) Nika Reserve
cp) Normanton Reserve
cq) Odin Place Reserve
cr) Onepoto Domain
cs) Onewa Domain
cI) Opaketai Beach Haven Garden
cu) Oroku Place Esplanade Reserve
cv) Oroku Reserve
cw) Park Hill Reserve
cx) Park Reserve
cy) Pemberton Reserve
cz) Plantation Reserve
da) Portsea Reserve
db) Powrie Reserve
dc) Raleigh Road Reserve
dd) Rangatira Reserve
de) Rawene Road Reserve
df) Rewi Alley Reserve
dg) Ridgewood Reserve
dh) Rosie Bolt Reserve
di) Ross Reserve
dj) Rotary Grove
dk) Sapphire Reserve
dl) Shepherds Park
dm) Sispara Place Reserve
dn) Spinella Reserve
do) Stafford Park
dp) Stanaway Reserve
dq) Stancich Reserve
dr) Standish Reserve
ds) Stokes Point
dt) Sunnybrae Green
du) Sunnyhaven Avenue Reserve
dv) Tamahere Reserve
dw) Target Reserve
dx) Taurus Crescent Reserve
dy) Tenbless Reserve
dz) Teviot Reserve
eA) Totaravale Reserve
eB) Tree View Reserve
eC) Trelawny Reserve
eD) Trias Reserve
eE) Tui Park
eF) Vandeluer Reserve
eG) Verran Road Esplanade Reserve
eh) Waimana Avenue Foreshore
el) Wanita Reserve
ej) Windy Ridge Reserve
ek) Whitemore Scenic Reserve
eI) Woodcote Scenic Reserve
em) Zion Hill Reserve

3) The following time and season rule applies to the beaches and foreshores identified below –
   a) From south of the Tennyson Street pedestrian underpass to the eastern headland of Chelsea Bay
   b) From the western headland of Chelsea Bay to the eastern headland of Fitzpatrick Bay
   c) From the western headland of Fitzpatrick Bay to the western headland of Beach Haven Beach (Hilders Park Beach)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas due to special wildlife interest -
a) **Tuff Crater** – foreshore and mangrove due to special wildlife interest
b) East of the motorway and north of the Tennyson Street pedestrian under pass due to special wildlife interest
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise areas:
   a) Portage Canal Foreshore Reserve
   b) Portage Canal Reserve
   c) Seaside Park Foreshore
   d) Stringers Point Reserve

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) Anarahi Park
   b) Archboyd Road Reserve
   c) Ashgrove Reserve
   d) Atkinson Corner
   e) Bader Drive Reserve
   f) Beach Road Esplanade
   g) Beach Road Reserve
   h) Ben Lora Park
   i) Black Bridge Reserve
   j) Blake Road Reserve
   k) Boggust Park
   l) Buckland Park
   m) Calvert Park
   n) Church Street Foreshore Reserve
   o) Cinnamon Road Reserve
   p) Clare Place Reserve
   q) Cottingham Reserve
   r) Court Town Place Reserve
   s) Crawford Road Reserve
   t) Criterion Street Reserve
   u) Cyclamen Park
   v) David Lange Park
   w) Deas Reserve
   x) Dewhurst Park
   y) Digby’s Patch
   z) Donnell Corner Park

aa) Donnell Park
ab) Ellits Beach
ac) Favona Road Reserve
ad) Ferguson Street Reserve
ae) Gadsby Park
af) Gee Place Reserve
ag) George Cox Reserve
ah) Golden Acre Park
ai) Hall Avenue Reserve
aj) Harania Foreshore Reserve
ak) Hanwell Place Walkway
al) Hastie Avenue Reserve
am) House Park
an) Imrie Park
ao) James Fletcher Esplanade
ap) Kamaka Park
aq) Killington Reserve
ar) Kirkbridge Road Reserve
as) Kiwi House Park
at) Kiwi Ngaio Park
au) Leeson Place Reserve
av) Lenore Foreshore Reserve
aw) Lippiatt Reserve
ax) Lolim Place Reserve
ay) Mahunga Reserve
az) Mangere Centre Park
ba) Mangere Domain
bb) Mascot Park
bc) Mayflower Park
bd) Mckinstry Park
be) Mervan Street Reserve
bf) Molesworth Reserve
bg) Montgomery Road Reserve
bh) Mountain Coronation Road Reserve
bi) Moyle Park
bj) Muir Avenue Park
bk) Murdoch Foreshore
bl) Naomi and Bill Kirk Park
bm) Naylors Esplanade Reserve
bn) Norana Park
bo) Norton Reserve
bp) Old School Reserve
bq) Oruarangi Road Reserve
br) Otutaua Stonefields Reserve
3) Dogs are allowed at the following times –
   a) Dogs are allowed at the following times at Shelly Bay Beach -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times Off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited from beach and Kiwi Esplanade from Mangere Boat Club to Shortt Ave**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unless for the purpose of moving through the park and boat club and the dog is under control on a leash.

4) There are no areas in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area where dogs are prohibited.
Manurewa Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Manurewa Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas-
   a) Alex Maich Park
   b) Alfriston Park
   c) Alice Park
   d) Anderson Park
   e) Arline Schutz Park
   f) Aronia Way Reserve
   g) Azalea Park
   h) Balfour Road Reserve
   i) Balmore Park
   j) Banyan Drive Reserve
   k) Beaumonts Park
   l) Becker Drive Reserve
   m) Beithers Road Foreshore
   n) Bernina Place Reserve
   o) Blackgate Reserve
   p) Bluewater Place Foreshore
   q) Browns Road Reserve
   r) Burundi Avenue Foreshore
   s) Cairnsvale Rise Reserve
   t) Calluna Crescent Reserve
   u) Camoustie Drive Foreshore
   v) Carter Park Sharland Avenue Reserve
   w) Cenotaph Park
   x) Central Park
   y) Charles Prevost Drive Reserve
   z) Clendon Community Centre Reserve
   aa) Correa Park
   ab) De Havilland Drive Drainage Reserve
   ac) Druces Road Reserve
   ad) Everglade Drive Park
   ae) Everglade Park
   af) Fairchild Avenue Reserve
   ag) Fairchild Park
   ah) Feasegate Park
   ai) Felicia Park
   aj) Ferguson Street Reserve Manurewa
   ak) Finlayson Avenue Reserve
   al) Finlayson Community House Reserve
   am) Finlayson Park
   an) Foxlaw Park
   ao) Frangipani Avenue Reserve
   ap) Frobisher Park
   aq) Gallaher Park
   ar) Glenross Drive Foreshore
   as) Glenveagh Park Drive Reserve
   at) Gloucester Road Reserve
   au) Goodwood Drive Reserve
   av) Greenmeadows Reserve
   aw) Greens Road Foreshore
   ax) Hanford Place Foreshore
   ay) Hazards Road Foreshore
   az) Helms Park
   ba) Heron Point Reserve
   bb) Hillcrest Grove Reserve
   bc) Holmes Road Reserve
   bd) Honey Park
   be) Hyperion Park
   bf) Index Place Reserve
   bg) Innisimara Park
   bh) Inverell Park
   bi) Jollicoe Park
   bj) John Walker Park
   bk) Kaimoana Street Esplanade Reserve
   bl) Kauri Point Reserve
   bm) Keri Anne Park
   bn) Kingsclere Place Reserve
   bo) Kirton Crescent Reserve
   bp) Kuripaka Crescent Reserve
   bq) Laurie Gibbons Memorial Park
   br) Leabank Park
   bs) Leaver Park
   bt) Lemonwood Place Reserve
   bu) Lupton Road Reserve
   bv) Macadamia Park
   bw) Mahia Road Reserve
   bx) Manurewa Recreation Centre
   by) Manurewa War Memorial Park
   bz) McLaughlins Road Reserve
ca) Mccly Road Reserve

bc) Melleray Park

cd) Mountfort Park

cd) Pallant Park

cd) Palmetto Place Reserve

cf) Peretao Rise Reserve

cg) Piriki Place Reserve

ch) Pitt Avenue Foreshore

ci) Pumphouse Park

cj) Randwick Park

ck) Rata Vine Stream Reserve

cm) Roscommon Road Corner Reserve

cn) Roscommon Road Drainage Reserve

cr) Rowendale Avenue Reserve

cp) Rowendale Reserve

cq) Saint Annes Foreshore

cr) Saint Johns Redoubt

cr) Scotts Park

ct) Settlers Cove Reserve

cu) Sharland Park

cv) Shifnal Drive Reserve

cw) Shifnal Park

ขอ) Sykes Road Foreshore

cy) Tadmor Park

cz) The Dale

da) Tington Park

db) Trevor Hosken Drive

dc) Trindon Street Reserve

dl) Tuakura Reserve

dc) Volante Park

df) Volta Park

dg) Waimahia Park

dh) Waimai Avenue

dl) Walpole Avenue Reserve

dj) Wenlock Place Reserve

dk) Wiri Stream Reserve

dl) Wordsworth Road Reserve

3) Dogs are allowed on the following times
a) Dogs are allowed on Shallow Water Beach from Roys Road to Gibbons Road and adjoining parks (including Keith Park) at the following times -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>At all times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas-

a) Wattle Farm Reserve in the wildlife protection area.
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Maungakiekie Tamaki Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) Alcock Reserve
   b) Alfred St Reserve
   c) Allenby Reserve
   d) Captain Springs Reserve
   e) Dunkirk Reserve
   f) Eastview Reserve
   g) Fernwood Reserve the open area between Inglewood and Silverton Streets
   h) Flatrock Reserve Foreshore
   i) Gloucester Park northern side
   j) Maroa Reserve
   k) Niall Burgess Reserve
   l) Onehunga Bay
   m) Paihia Reserve
   n) Point England Esplanade Walkway
   o) Point England Foreshore
   p) Riverside Reserve
   q) Vic Cowan Reserve
   r) Wimbledon Reserve

3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas --
   a) All beaches excluding Onehunga foreshore on the southern side of Orpheus Drive between the coastline below 54A Sealcliffe Road and the Manukau Cruising Club.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before 10am</strong></td>
<td><strong>Before 10am</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash if swimming or walking in areas of water*</td>
<td>Under control off leash everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash on areas not covered by water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime</strong></td>
<td><strong>10am to 4pm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td>Under control on leash on areas not covered by water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash when the dog is swimming or walking in areas of the water*</td>
<td>Under control off leash when the dog is swimming or walking in areas of the water*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 7pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>After 4pm</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash if swimming or walking in areas of water*</td>
<td>Under control off leash everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash on areas not covered by water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dogs are prohibited at all times from safe swimming areas or lanes marked out by council.
4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife -
   a) The eastern wildlife area of the Onehunga foreshore (the park (Taumanu Reserve) and
      beach areas on the southern side of Orpheus Drive located to the south and east of the
      westernmost path connecting the beachfront path to the eastern bridge) to the Manukau
      Cruising Club.
Orakei Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas Orakei Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off in the following areas:
   a) Allen Johnstone Reserve
   b) Aotea Street Reserve
   c) Atkin Reserve
   d) Canon Park also known as Kenneth Small Reserve
   e) Cawley Street Reserve
   f) Churchill Park, excluding the area on eastern side of Churchill Park School from the Riddell Road entrance to 368B Riddell Road.
   g) Derby Downs
   h) Dingle Dell Reserve restricted to the flat-grassed areas only. The paths are for dogs on leashes only
   i) Fancourt Reserve
   j) Gentleman's Bay
   k) Glendowie Park
   l) Kelvin Reserve
   m) Koraha Reserve
   n) Ladies Bay
   o) Lingarthe Reserve
   p) Mary Atkin Reserve
   q) Maskell Street Reserve
   r) Merton Road Reserve
   s) Michaels Ave Reserve - southern end of the reserve only
   t) Morrins Road Reserve
   u) Norman Lesser Reserve/Pyatt Reserve
   v) Orakei Basin West and East Reserve from the car park from Orakei Rd and from the pathway from Upland Rd to the bottom of the Lucerne Rd access way
   w) Patteson Reserve
   x) Regents Park
   aa) Roberta Reserve - the off-leash area finishes at the bottom of Vista

   Crescent and includes in the water of the stream mouth on the seaward side of the bridge on Roberta Reserve
   ab) Shore Reserve East
   ac) Shore Road Reserve
   ad) Sierra Reserve
   ae) Tahapa Reserve East
   af) Tautari Reserve
   ag) Thomas Bloodworth Park and on the stream where dogs are allowed under control on-leash
   ah) Ventnor Rd Reserve
   aj) Waiaata Reserve
   ak) Waiaatarua Reserve - except in wetland areas where dogs are prohibited (including the main central wetland area (which includes the viewing platforms but excluding pond on eastern edge where dogs are allowed under control off-leash), and the smaller wetland area to the west and two smaller wetland areas to the east of the main central wetland area), and on tracks between the wetland areas and in the western part of the reserve where dogs are allowed under control on a leash (includes area off Grand Drive containing the car park, playground, basketball court and skateboard bowl to the fence before the open grass areas)
   ak) Waitaramoa Reserve also known as Portland Reserve. The grassed area only, at the intersection of Portland and Shore Roads (Shore Rd, Remuera). Dogs are prohibited
3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas -
   a) Okahu Bay foreshore, and beach and foreshore areas after Okahu Bay to the Glendowie Boating Club, excluding Ladies Bay and Gentlemans Bay (including Mission Bay Beach, Kohimarama Beach, St Heliers Beach and Karaka Bay Beach) and on Wilson's Beach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash*</td>
<td>Under control off leash*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>10am to 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited everywhere</td>
<td>Under control off leash*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>After 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash*</td>
<td>Under control off leash*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Under control off leash in the water, under control on leash everywhere else

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas-
   a) From the marked swimming areas on Kohimarama beach and St Heliers Beach (area in front of the bathing sheds)
   b) On Tahuna Torea Reserve and the associated beach and foreshore, from the public walkway between 24 and 26 Vista Crescent to its boundary on West Tamaki and Tahaki Roads.
   c) On the foreshore after the Glendowie Boating Club to the public walkway between 24 and 26 Vista Crescent. This does not include in the water of the streammouth on the seaward side of the bridge on Roberta Reserve in which dogs are allowed under control off-leash.

5) The prohibition on any sports surface does not apply to the following areas when the sports surface is not in use or closed –
   a) Glover Park
   b) Madills Farm
Attachments
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Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise area -

   a) 310 Te Irirangi Drive within the fenced area only

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas to protect wildlife

   a) Alexander Park
   b) Antrim Crescent Esplanade Reserve
   c) Aorere Park
   d) Ashton Park (Motorway Off Ramp)
   e) Bairds Road 21r
   f) Beaufort Reserve
   g) Billington Esplanade Reserve
     (Wymondley Road, Otara)
   h) Birmingham Park (Birmingham1)
   i) Birmingham Reserve (Birmingham2)
   j) Blair Park - Otara
   k) Boundary Park
   l) Caringbah Park
   m) Charnray Park
   n) Clayton Park
   o) Clutha Park
   p) Cooper Park (Whitley No 2 Reserve)
   q) Derrimore Park
   r) Dissmeyer Park
   s) Ferndown No 1 (65R)
   t) Ferndown Park (24R)
   u) Fisher Park
   v) Flinders Park
   w) Gaye Crescent/Eccles Place
   x) Gordon Park
   y) Hamill Reserve
   z) Harwood Reserve - Otara
   aa) Hayman park
   ab) Israel Drainage Reserve
   ac) Kingswood Reserve
   ad) Kohuara Park
   ae) Kurt-Elsa Park
   af) Lappington Park
   ag) Laxon Esplanade Reserve
   ah) Lendenfield Reserve
   ai) Mahon Park
   aj) Malaspina Reserve
   ak) Mathews Park
   al) Maxwell Park
   am) Mayfield Park
   an) Milton Park
   ao) Ngati Otara Park on the open area to
   ap) Otara Road
   aq) Omana Park
   ar) Orlando Park
   as) Otara Creek (North)
   at) Otara Creek Reserve
   au) Otara Creek Reserve South
   av) Othello Park
   aw) Papatoetoe Recreation Ground
   ax) Pearl Baker Reserve
   ay) Penion Park
   az) Preston Road Reserve
   aaa) Puhinui Road 204 & 206 House
   ba) Gmds Parks
   abb) Rainbows End Reserve
   ba) Redoubt Road Corner Reserve
   bb) Robert White Park
   bc) Rongomai Park
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3) There are no time and season rules in the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board area.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife:
   a) Foreshore of the Tamaki Estuary within the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board area
      (including the foreshore adjacent to Highbrook Drive and Ngati Ōtara Reserves)
   b) Foreshore of the Manukau Harbour within the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board area
      (including adjacent to Puhinui Reserve and Colin Dale Park)
   c) Colin Dale Park
Papakura Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Papakura Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas-
   a) Boundary Road Reserve (east of Lipton Grove)
   b) Brylee Reserve north of the stormwater channel
   c) Jack Farrell Park
   d) Longford Park Esplanade Reserve (also partially known as Wellington Park)
   e) Pahurehure Esplanade Reserve and boardwalk (foreshore walkway around Pahurehure Inlet from Longford Park Esplanade Reserve (also partially known as Wellington Park) to the Southern motorway boundary)
   f) Red Hill Scenic Reserve (between Red Hill Road and Hays stream)
   g) The Children’s Forest
   h) The reserve situated along the southern edge of the Papakura stream extending from the Southern Motorway to Porchester Road (southern side of Trimson Street Reserve)
   i) Walter Stevens Reserve (south of car park to Pine Tree Point)

3) There are no time and season rules in the Papakura Local Board area.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas
   a) Dogs are prohibited in the following foreshore areas to protect wildlife-
      i) Pahurehure Inlet foreshore
         a) Brylee foreshore and wetland
      b) Longford Park Esplanade Reserve (also partially known as Wellington Park)
      c) Harbourside Drive Esplanade
      d) Capriana Drive Esplanade
      e) Paraekau Road Esplanade
      f) Oakland Road Esplanade
      g) Hayfield Way Esplanade
      h) Conifer Grove Esplanade Reserve
      i) Drury Esplanade Reserve
      j) Waimana Reserve
   b) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas -
      i) Bruce Pulman Park
      ii) Central Park Reserve
      iii) Drury Domain
      iv) Pukekiwiriki Paa
      v) Rollerson Park
vi) Southern Park (excluding 5 metre strip along western boundary of Kirks Bush)
vii) Village Green (bounded by Coles Crescent, Queen Street and East Street)
Puketāpapa Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas Puketāpapa Local Board area

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas-
   a) Arkell Reserve
   b) Arthur Richards Park
   c) Big King Reserve
   d) Freeland Reserve
   e) Lynfield Cove on the beach and foreshore area– eastward to the boat ramp at Faulkner Bay (Waikowhai Park).
   f) Lynfield Reserve
   g) Monte Cecelia Park in the naturally formed bowl on the north-eastern side of the park between Pah Homestead and the Knoll.
   h) Nirvana Reserve
   i) The grassy area on the northern part of Manukau Domain from 137 Halsey Drive to 47 Sylvania Crescent,
   j) Underwood Park
   k) Waikowhai Park in the grassy area marked on the south west side only, not bush area or beach
   l) Walmsley Park

3) There are no time and season rules in the Puketāpapa Local Board area

4) There aren't any prohibited areas in the Puketāpapa Local Board area
Rodney Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in Schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise area-
   a) Centennial Park. The fenced area of Centennial Park bordered by Centennial Park Road to the south and east and by the athletics area to the west.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas-
   a) Albert Dennis Reserve
   b) Amanda Reserve
   c) Awaroa Stream Esplanade
   d) Batten Street Scout Reserve
   e) Beach Street Esplanade Reserve
   f) Big Omaha Wharf Reserve
   g) Bourne Dean Recreation Reserve
   h) Buckleton Beach and Reserve
   i) Buttercup Reserve
   j) Cableleigh Drive Pond Reserve
   k) Cableleigh Reserve
   l) Christopher Lane Reserve
   m) Church Hill Reserve
   n) Coatesville Recreation Reserve
   o) Cumberland Street Reserve
   p) Curry’s Bush Reserve
   q) Dairy Flat Reserve
   r) Darroch Shipyard Bridge Reserve
   s) Dawson Road Reserve
   t) Dormier Road Esplanade Reserve
   u) Edward Jenkers Reserve
   v) Elizabeth Street Reserve
   w) Excelsior Way Reserve
   x) Fairy Hill Road Esplanade
   y) Falls Road River Esplanade Reserve
   z) Fidalis Avenue Reserve
   aa) Freshfield Road Reserve
   ab) Glasgow Park
   ac) Goldsworthy Bay
   ad) Goodall Reserve
   ae) Golf Course Reserve
   af) Green Lane Reserve
   ag) Green Point Reserve
   ah) Hamilton Road Esplanade Reserve
   ai) Harbour View Road Coastal Reserve
   aj) Helensville Civic Centre Grounds
   ak) Helensville River Reserve
   al) Highfield Garden and The Glade
   am) Horseshoe Bay Reserve
   an) Hoteo North Recreation Reserve
   ao) Hoteo Recreational Reserve
   ap) Huapai Recreation Reserve/Huapai Domain
   aq) Ida Way Reserve
   ar) Jamie Lane Reserve
   as) Jamieson Bay Esplanade Reserve and the beach
   at) Jane Gifford Reserve
   au) Kaipara Crescent Reserve
   av) Kaipara Flats Road Recreation Reserve
   aw) Kanuka Reserve
   ax) Kaukapakapa Hall and Library
   ay) Kaukapakapa Plantation Reserve
   az) Kelly Thompson Memorial
   ba) Kendale Reserve
   bb) Kewai Street Reserve
   bc) Kings Farm
   bd) Kokopu Street Reserve
   be) Kowhai Park
   bf) Lady Haddon Esplanade Reserve
   bg) Langridge Reserve
   bh) Lax Reserve
   bi) Lucy Moore Memorial Park
   bj) Mahurangi West Road Esplanade
   bk) Makarau Bridge Reserve
   bl) Mangakura Reserve
   bm) Manuhiri Reserve
   bn) Matakana Diamond Jubilee Park
   bo) Matakana River Esplanades
   bp) Matakana Wharf Reserve
   bq) McElroy Reserve
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3) Dogs are allowed on the following times:

- Snells Beach northwards of the Sunburst Reserve boat ramp to protect wildlife and public safety and comfort -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before 10am</strong></td>
<td><strong>Under control on leash</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under control on leash</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime</strong></td>
<td><strong>Prohibited</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prohibited</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After 7pm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Under control on leash</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under control on leash</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Dogs are allowed on the following beaches at the following times -

i) Algies Bay headland to headland
ii) Baddeleys Beach headland to headland
iii) Brick Bay headland to head
iv) Campbells Beach headland to headland
v) Martins Bay headland to headland
vi) Matheson Bay headland to headland
vii) Omaha Beach (eastern coastline) southwards from access track to beach at
     northern end of Rita Way (Ida Way - Rita Way Reserve) to southern headland
viii) Point Wells beach and foreshore area adjacent to Point Wells Foreshore
     Reserve from Boat ramp off Riverside Drive to entrance at 36 Harbour View
     Road
ix) Sandspit (eastern coastline) from the wharf to the area adjacent to the
     eastern end of the campground (k) Shelly Beach headland to headland (l)

b) Snells Beach south of the Sunburst Reserve boat ramp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on a leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

c) Dogs are allowed at the following parks

i) Algies Bay (all reserves adjacent to Algies Bay Beach) including -
   a. Algies Bay Reserve,
   b. Alexander Recreation Reserve,
   c. Willjames Esplanade and Recreation Reserve,
   d. Mariner Grove
   e. Algies Bay Esplanade Reserve.

ii) Snells Beach (All reserves and parts of reserves south of the Sunburst
    Reserve car park entrance) including -
   a. Sunburst Reserve
   b. Tamatea Esplanade Reserve,
   c. Ariki Reserve,
   d. Sunrise Boulevard
   e. Dalton Road Reserve
   f. Snells Beach Esplanade Reserve.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife

a) **Birds Beach, Okahukura Peninsula**: Beachand foreshore area adjacent to Birds Beach
   Reserve

b) **Omaha South Quarry Reserve**: All wetland areas.

c) **Omaha (east)**: Omaha Beach Reserve and adjacent beach and foreshore areas:
   Northwards of the access track to beach at northern end of Rita Way (Ida way - Rita Way
   Reserve)

d) **Omaha (west)**: Whangateau Harbour Esplanade Reserve and adjacent beach and
   foreshore area: Northwards from access point and car park at 267 Omaha Drive.
e) **Omaha (west):** Whangateau Harbour Esplanade Reserve: from Broadlands Drive to southern end of Omaha estuary.

f) **Point Wells**, south of Broadlands Drive: Omaha Estuary Causeway Reserve and Omaha Estuary Reserve

g) **Port Albert:** Beach and foreshore area adjacent to Port Albert Wharf Reserve.

h) **Sandspit:** All areas of Sandspit Reserve from the footbridge access point at the eastern edge of the grass area opposite the intersection of Sandspit Road and Brick Bay Drive including the part of the reserve known as Dean's Island and all of the western beach and foreshore area to the wharf at the end of the spit.

i) **Whangateau/Horseshoe Island:** Whangateau Recreation Reserve
Upper Harbour Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise area—

a) Sanders Reserve (Paereoremo) - within the designated fenced area on the south eastern part of the reserve. Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in all other parts of the reserve

3) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas-

a) Aberly Reserve
b) Admirals Court Reserve
c) Albany Domain
d) Albany Heights Reserve
e) Albany Heights West Reserve
f) Anaharta Reserve
g) Antares Place Reserve
h) Apollo Drive Reserve
i) Arrenway Reserve
j) Ashurst Reserve
k) Attwood Reserve
l) Awatahi Reserve
m) Baker Street Link Reserve
n) Baker Street Reserve
o) Ballintra Accessway
p) Bannings Way Reserve
q) Barbados Reserve
r) Bass Reserve
s) Berechiah Gardens
t) Bemeckers Landing
u) Bill Moir Reserve
v) Bluebird Reserve
w) Borneo Reserve
x) Bronzewing Reserve
y) Brookfield Park
z) Brookfield Stream Reserve
aa) Bur Oak Reserve
ab) Bushlands Park
ac) Canaveral Drive Reserve
ad) Carmen Reserve
ae) Catlins Reserve
af) Centorian Reserve
ag) Chatham Reserve
ah) Clarks Lane Reserve
ai) Clear Reserve
aj) Connemara Reserve
ak) Constellation Reserve
al) Crimson Park
am) Da Vinci Park
an) Days Bridge Esplanade Reserve
ao) Dene Court Reserve
ap) Devonshire Reserve
aq) Douglas Alexander Reserve
ar) Duke Esplanade
as) Eastvale Reserve
at) English Oak Reserve
au) Fairview Esplanade Reserve
av) Fairview Reserve
aw) George Panrill Reserve
ax) Gold Street Reserve
ay) Greenbough Reserve
az) Greenhithe Upper Harbour Reserve
ba) Greenhithe War Memorial Park
bb) Gully Reserve
bc) Hooton Reserve
bd) Hosking Reserve
be) Houhere Reserve
bf) Huntington Reserve
bg) Ilam Reserve
bh) Kanuka Reserve
bi) Kauri Esplanade
bj) Kerema Reserve
bk) Kereru Reserve
bl) Kingfisher Esplanade Reserve
bm) Kingfisher Reserve
bn) Kingsway Reserve
bo) Koki Reserve
bp) Kowhai Beach Reserve
4) There are no time and season rules in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.

5) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas on the shell banks to protect wildlife -
   i)  Bomb Bay  
   ii) Limeburner’s Bay  
   iii) Orukuwai Point
6) Dogs are allowed under control and on a leash in the following council controlled cemeteries:
   (a) North Shore Memorial Park
Waiheke Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Waiheke Local Board area.

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas -
   a) Alison Park
   b) Arran Bay Esplanade Reserve
   c) Awaawaroa Esplanade Reserve
   d) Blackpool beach (eastwards of Moa Avenue). Westwards of Moa Avenue
      under control on a leash
   e) Burrell Ocean View Walkway
   f) Catherine Mitchell Reserve
   g) Causeway Beach and associated park, beach and foreshore
   h) Citrus Corner
   i) Cowes Bay Esplanade Reserve
   j) Crosby Reserve
   k) Glen Brook Reserve
   l) Goodwin North Reserve
   m) Goodwin South Reserve
   n) Gordons Road Esplanade Reserve
   o) Homershams Reserve
   p) Hookslane Beach – and all park, beach and foreshore
   q) Hunterville Reserve
   r) Karaka Reserve
   s) Kennedy Reserve
   t) Kuakarau Bay Forest
   u) Jackpool Park
   v) Makora South Reserve
   w) Man O’War Bay
   x) Mary Wilson Reserve
   y) Matapana Reserve
   z) Matarahui Bay
   aa) Mawhitipana Reserve
   ab) McKenzie Reserve
   ac) Mitchell Reserve
   ad) Natzke Road Foreshore
   ae) Okoka Road Quarry Reserve
   af) Omiha Bay/Rocky Bay park beach and foreshore
   ag) Omiha Beach Reserve
   ah) ONetangi Historic Village
   aj) Owhanake Beach – from the Southern point of the beach below the access
       track off Delamore Drive to the point
   ak) Park Road Reserve
   al) Piritaha Esplanade Reserve
   am) Pohutukawa Reserve
   an) Pohutukawa Reserve ONetangi
   ao) Sea View Road Reserve
   ap) Stanimoroff Walkway
   aq) Te Awaawa O Makoha
   ar) Te Matuku Stockyard Reserve
   as) Te Toki Reserve
   at) Te Uri Karaka Te Waera Reserve
   au) Third Reserve
   av) Tin Boat Reserve
   aw) Trig Hill Farm Reserve
   ax) Victoria Reserve
   ay) Waiheke Island Reserve
   az) Waikopou Bay Esplanade Reserve
   ba) Wairua South Reserve
   bb) Wharf Road Esplanade Reserve
   bc) Wharf Road Walkway
   bd) Wharoa Basin
   be) Wilma Hillside Reserve
   bf) Wilma Reserve
   bg) Woodside Bay Esplanade Walkway

3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas –
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a) **beaches and foreshores identified below** –
   i) Big Oneroa Beach
   ii) Enclosure Bay
   iii) Little Oneroa Beach Reserve
   iv) Onetangi Beach: from rocky outcrop at western end of beach to eastern end of the beach area
   v) Palm Beach (including Little Palm Beach)
   vi) Sandy Bay
   vii) Shelley Beach/Shelley Bay (Putaki Bay)
   viii) Surfdale Beach east of Blake Street (excluding Hooks Lane Beach)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off-leash</td>
<td>Under control off-leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off-leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) **Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife** -
   a) Beach and foreshore area between eastern end of Little Oneroa Beach and the western end of Hekerau Bay
   b) Belle Terrace Foreshore Reserve and adjacent foreshore area
   c) Cable Bay
   d) Great Barrier Foreshore Reserve - Between Enclosure Bay and MATARAHUI BAY
   e) Onetangi Beach - westwards of rocky outcrop at northern end of Opopoto Bay to western end of Onetangi Beach
   f) Onetangi Beach to Piemelon Bay
   g) Park Point Walkway
   h) Seaview Esplanade Reserve A
   i) Te Matuku Bay Esplanade Reserve
   j) Te Wharau Bay

Explanatory note: Te Ara Hura – Walk Waiheke - between Trig Hill Road and Awaawaroa Road and between Awaawaroa Road and Orapiu Road is associated with private land, is prohibited to dogs, and included here for completeness.
Waitākere Ranges Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:
   a) Armour Bay Reserve - all reserve except on grass area immediately adjacent to beach where dogs are allowed under control on a leash
   b) Clayburn Reserve
   c) Davies Bay beach and foreshore
   d) Foster Bay beach and foreshore
   e) Glen Eden Depot
   f) Glucina Reserve
   g) Herrings Bay beach and foreshore
   h) Jenkins Bay beach and foreshore
   i) Kaurilands Domain - off leash on the grass area in south-western corner of park and bordered by the path
   j) Kotinga Reserve
   k) Kowhai Reserve
   l) Maywood Reserve
   m) Mt Atkinson Park - off-leash on the grass area only
   n) Oataru Bay beach and foreshore
   o) Owen's Green - off leash on the area accessible off Laingfield Terrace and Laingrange Place
   p) Parrs Park - off leash on the grass area to the north of the sports fields accessible from Tuck Nathan Drive and the northern entrance and car park area off Seymour Road
   q) Perkins Bay beach and foreshore
   r) Piha Domain and the adjacent lagoon area up to the point where the beach area opens out to the south and north and as marked by appropriate marker polls
   s) South Titirangi/Laingholm (beach from Laingholm Point to and including beach area at entrance from Tamaki Reserve)
   t) Swanson Scenic Reserve - off-leash on the grass area only
   u) Tamaki Reserve - off leash on the grass area only
   v) Tangiwiwi Reserve
   w) Te Henga Reserve – off leash on grass area of Te Henga Park bordered by Bethells Road and the car park driveway and car park area of Te Henga/Bethells Beach
   x) Terrace and Laingrange Place
   y) Waitākere War Memorial Park
   z) Warner Park - off-leash on the grass area adjacent to beach, and beach and foreshore

3) There are no time and season rules in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas -
   a) North Piha Beach – 190 metres north of North Piha Rd
b) **Piha** – South of Little Lion Rock Corner (at the beginning of Marine Parade North) to the southern end of Piha Beach

c) **Te Henga/Bethells Beach** –
   
   i) **O’Neills Bay**;
   
   ii) Southwards of the small headland at the southern end of the beach as marked by appropriate marker poles

   iii) The rest of Te Henga Park: excluding the car park, café area and on the track leading to the lagoon and beach, and the beach.

5) Dogs are allowed under control and on a leash in the following council controlled cemetery:
   
a) Waikumete Cemetery

6) For the protection of wildlife, dogs are allowed under control on a leash between sunset and sunrise at Piha Beach southwards of Monkey Rock to the access track at Little Lion Rock Corner (at the beginning of Marine Parade North). At all other times dogs are allowed under control off leash.
Waitematā Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following designated dog exercise areas -
   a) Corner of George and Carlton Gore Streets - that part of the Auckland Domain known as Gum Tree Hill
   b) Meola Reef Reserve - within the designated fenced area on the western side of the reserve. Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in all other parts of the reserve

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas -
   a) Alberon Park
   b) Awatea Reserve
   c) Ayr St Reserve
   d) Bayfield Park - northern side of Cox's Creek and south of Herne Bay Tennis Club
   e) East of Garnet Road up to Western headland of Marine Parade Beach
   f) Grey Lynn Park - the two triangular areas between Baildon and Dryden Streets and north of the path between Dryden and Arnold Streets
   g) Jaggers Bush - the open grassed area off Meola Rd
   h) Moa Reserve - grassed area outside of the fenced playground are
   i) Moira Reserve
   j) Newmarket Park - grassed area only
   k) Pt Erin Park - west of the car park, Pt Erin Pool and extending to the area at the base of the St Mary's Bay cliff line. This lower area is fenced off from the motorway and is accessed by pathways from Pt Erin Park and from the northern end of St Mary’s Road (Shelly Beach Rd and St Mary’s Rd, St Mary’s Bay) The under control off a leash area at Pt Erin Park extends east along the base of the cliff to the west of the pedestrian bridge over the motorway.
   l) Scarborough Reserve
   m) Tole Reserve - north side of path extending from Tole to Clarence Streets
   n) West of Meola Reef Reserve (foreshore North of Meola Reef reserve including mangroves and reef are prohibited). East of Meola Reef Reserve up to Garnet Road under control on a leash.
   o) Western Park - excluding the sports field playground

3) The following time and season rule applies to the following areas –
   a) The reserves identified below –
      i) Costley Reserve
      ii) Cox’s Bay Reserve excluding the wetland/mangrove areas and those parts of the park on the west side of the park’s internal access road which extends from West End Rd through to Kingsley St.
      iii) Vermont Reserve - the grassed area outside of the fenced playground area
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b) The following reserves –
   i) Arch Hill Reserve,
   ii) Francis Reserve
   iii) Lemington Reserve - open grassed area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 9.30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water: under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>9.30am to 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td>After 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 9am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>9am to 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td>After 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) The Western headland of Marine Parade Beach to the Western end of Curran Street and at Judges Bay –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Before 10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash***</td>
<td>Under control off leash everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>10am to 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibited everywhere</td>
<td>Under control off leash ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7pm</td>
<td>After 4pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under control off leash ***</td>
<td>Under control off leash everywhere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the water. Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in the sand.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas –
a) Foreshore area north of Meola Reef Reserve (including mangroves and reef).
Whau Local Board area

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on all local beaches and foreshore areas, local parks and reserves not specifically listed below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (on playgrounds, sports field and carparking areas) continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

1) There are no designated dog exercise areas in the Whau Local Board area

2) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas–

a) Akatea Park  
b) Alan Wood Reserve  
c) Archibald Park  
d) Bancroft Park  
e) Barron Green  
f) Blockhouse Bay Recreation Reserve  
g) Brains Park  
h) Copley Reserve  
i) Cobham Reserve  
j) Craigavon Park  
k) Crum Park  
l) Cutler Reserve  
m) Dickey Reserve  
n) Grandison Green  
o) Green Bay Community Corner  
p) Green Bay Domain  
q) Harmel Reserve  
r) Heversham Green  
s) Hinau Reserve  
t) Kelman Square  
u) Lawson Park  
v) Manuka Park  
w) Mason Park  
x) Maui Reserve  
y) Miranda Reserve  
z) Nile Reserve  
aa) Northall Park  
ab) Queen Mary Reserve  
ac) Rua Reserve  
ad) St Georges Reserve  
ae) Taupiko Reserve  
af) Tony Segedin Esplanade Reserve  
ag) Waitati Place Reserve

3) There are no time and season rules in the Whau Local Board area.

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife-
a) Gittos Domain/ Sandy Bay Reserve  
b) Manukau Harbour beach and foreshore (including Green Bay beach, Blockhouse Bay, Sandy Bay and Lynbrooke Avenue headland)  
c) Wairau Creek beach foreshore and associated mangrove areas  
d) Whau River beach foreshore and associated mangrove areas

Explanatory Note: The region-wide rule that prohibits dogs on any sports surface (unless exceptions are stated) and that requires dogs to be kept under control on a leash in the vicinity of any sports surface when in use as stated in Schedule 1, Rule 1(2) continues to apply. This note is specified in relation to particular parks where a sportsfield is known to exist to assist readers. Last updated 1 July 2017.

Explanatory Note: The region-wide rule that prohibits dogs on any playgrounds and that requires dogs to be kept under control on a leash in the vicinity of any playground when in use as stated in Schedule 1, Rule 1(1) continues to apply. This note is specified in relation to particular parks where a playground is known to exist to assist readers.
Dog access rules in regional parks

Dogs are allowed under control on-leash on beaches and foreshore areas and areas of the reserve not specifically covered by the rules below.

The regional rules described in schedule 1 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs continue to apply where relevant in the designated dog exercise area, under-control off-leash areas and time and season area listed below.

Where a lambing season prohibition is in place the definition of lambing season in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs (1 July to 1 December) will apply to the area specified.

1) Ambury Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the Ambury Farm loop road connecting Kiwi Esplanade to Ambury Road.
   b) Dogs are prohibited at all times from all other areas of Ambury Regional Park and associated foreshore (including foreshore associated with the Mangere Waste Water Treatment Plant).

2) Ātiu Creek Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park and associated beach and foreshore for the protection of wildlife.

3) Auckland Botanic Gardens
   a) Dogs are prohibited in the restaurant area and on cultivated garden areas.
   b) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in area generally north of rose garden

4) Āwhitu Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the Esplanade Reserve area of the park at the end of Brook Road
   b) Dogs are prohibited on all other areas of the park and on the associated beaches

5) Duder Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park and associated foreshore.

6) Glenfern Sanctuary
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park and the associated foreshore.

7) Hunua Ranges Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited in picnic areas, exclusive areas around and including the water supply dams and on the Kohukohunui Track.
   b) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.
   Explanatory Note: Dogs prohibited in camping areas under Schedule 1

8) Long Bay Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited North of Vaughan's stream.
   b) The following time and season rule applies to the beach south of Vaughan's stream-
### Summer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before 10am</th>
<th>Under control off leash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Winter

All times

Under control off leash

---

c) Except as provided for in (a) and (b) above, dogs are prohibited from Long Bay Regional Park and associated beaches.
d) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in the small car parking area immediately east of the Southern entrance to the Park.

9) Maharangi Regional Park

a) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash –
   i) In Maharangi Regional Park West on coastal area around Opahi Bay, Mita Bay beach, Mita Bay Loop Track;
   ii) On Culpid Point Loop Track during the summer season.

b) The following time and season rule applies -
   In Maharangi Regional Park East at Scott Point and associated beaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>Prohibited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td>Under control on leash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

c) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.
d) Except as provided in a) and b) above, dogs are prohibited from Maharangi Regional Park West and East and associated beaches and foreshore areas, including land and beaches at Sullivans Bay and Te Muri.

10) Muriwai Regional Park

a) On Muriwai beach, dogs are allowed under control off a leash at all times north of the surf tower.

b) Dogs are prohibited south of the surf tower to protect the gannet colony.

11) Mutukaroa/Hamlin-Hills Regional Park

a) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash

12) Ōmana Regional Park

a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the perimeter walk and associated foreshore (excluding Ōmana Beach) at all times

b) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in the arrival area, and on the Beachlands to Maraetai walk/cycle way.

c) Dogs are prohibited in all other areas of the park.
d) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.

13) Öreke Point Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the regional park and associated beach.

14) Pakiri Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the associated beach
   b) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park.

15) Scandrett Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park to protect wildlife

16) Shakespear Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the land between Army Bay and Okoromai Bay.
   b) The following time and season rule applies -
      On Army Bay and Okoromai Bay beaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 10am</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td>All times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime</td>
<td>Prohibited</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7pm to 10am</td>
<td>Under control off leash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Except as provided for in a) and b) above, dogs are prohibited from Shakespear Regional Park

17) Tāpapakanga Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the associated beach
   b) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the park between Saturday Labour Weekend and 31 March.
   c) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.

18) Tāwharanui Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from regional park and associated beaches.
   b) Dogs are prohibited on the park adjoining to the entrance of Tawharanui Regional Park

19) Tawhitokino Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the regional park and associated beach.
20) Te Arai Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the dog exercise area of Eyres Point, Te Arai: the disused quarry area, excluding the wetlands.

21) Te Muri Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the regional park and associated foreshore.

22) Te Rau Puriri Regional Park
   a) Dogs are prohibited from the associated beach and foreshore area.
   b) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.

23) Waitawa Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the associated beach.
   b) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the park.
   c) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.

Explanatory Note: Dogs prohibited in camping areas under Schedule 1.

24) Wenderholm Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash on the grassed areas adjoining the entrance road when not occupied by stock.
   b) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash on the walking track to the Waiwera Estuary and Kokuru Bay, the farmed areas west of the main entrance area, and on the Schischka block.
   c) Dogs are prohibited from all other areas of the park and associated beach and foreshore areas, including the main car park, bush headlands tracks, picnic areas, along the Puhoi river and wetlands.
   d) Dogs are prohibited during lambing season.

25) Whakanewha Regional Park
1) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in areas of the park on the landward side of Gordons Road.
2) Dogs are prohibited in all areas of the park and associated beach and foreshore areas on the seaward side of Gordons Road.

26) Waitākere Ranges Regional Park
   a) Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas —
      i) Cornwallis Beach sand area from sunrise until 9am daily
      ii) Open areas of Kakamatua Inlet including beach and foreshore area. Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in all other parts of Kakamatua SMZ (in particular Kakamatua Beach Walk, Orpheus Graves Walk and Kakamatua Inlet Track)
   b) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in Waitākere Ranges Regional Park, Exhibition Drive Walk (Titirangi), and associated beach and foreshore areas not specifically identified as a prohibited or off-leash area.
   c) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas —
      i) In all designated picnic areas;
ii) On all grassed areas at Cornwallis Beach within Cornwallis special management zone (SMZ);

iii) In water supply buffer lands and reservoirs within the Water Catchment Area SMZ

iv) In the following SMZs – Whātipu Scientific Reserve SMZ, Pararha Valley SMZ, Lion Rock SMZ, North Piha SMZ, Tasman and Gap Lookouts SMZ, Lake Wainamu SMZ, Cascades Kauri Park-in-the-Park SMZ.

v) Dogs are prohibited West of Don McLean Rd, from Walker Ridge Track and South. All tracks including Gibbons Tracks, Signal House Track, Kura Track, Omanawanui Track, Puriri Ridge and Destruction Gully Track.

vi) The following tracks - Anawhata Beach Track (Anawhata SMZ); ACW Falls Track, Anderson Track, Andersons Southern Access, Auckland City Walk, Cascade Track, Lower Kauri Track, Upper Kauri Track, Robinson Ridge Track, Waitakere Tramline Walk, West Tunnel Mouth Track, Fence Line Track, Pukematekao Track and Whatiti Track (Ark in the Park SMZ); Laird Thompson Track (North Piha SMZ); Lion Rock Track (Piha SMZ); Tasman Lookout Track (South Piha SMZ); Lake Wainamu Track (Lake Wainamu SMZ); Muir Track and Pararha Valley Track (Pararha Valley SMZ); Whātipu Caves Track and Whātipu Coast Walk (Whātipu Scientific Reserve SMZ).

Explanatory Note: Dogs prohibited in camping areas under Schedule 1 and temporary restrictions may apply under Clause 10 of Dog Management Bylaw.
DOG ACCESS RULES ON DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
(DOC) LAND

1) Dogs are allowed under control on a leash in the following areas to protect wildlife—
   a) Araparera River Marginal Strip^{1,6} (DOC Map 11.1).
   b) Hamatana Marginal Strip^{2} (DOC Map 9.2).
   c) Kaipara Harbour Crown Foreshore (adjacent to Okahukura Peninsula)^{3,6} (DOC Map 11.5).
   d) Karaka Point Marginal Strip^{4,5} (DOC Map 10.1).
   e) Makarau Conservation Area^{3,6} (DOC Map 11.2).
   f) Muriwai Beach Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore^{4,5} (DOC Map 10.2).
   g) Rangitira Beach Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore^{4,5} (DOC Map 10.4).
   h) Tauhoa Conservation Area^{5} (DOC Map 11.6).
   i) Tauhoa River Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore^{4,6} (DOC Map 11.4).
   j) Te Pahi Creek Marginal Strip^{3,5} (DOC Map 11.7).
   k) Ti Point Marginal Strip^{3,5} (DOC Map 10.5).
   l) Torkington Bay Marginal Strip^{4,5} (DOC Map 10.6).

2) Dog owners require a permit throughout the year with conditions for management and/or
   recreational hunting in the following areas —
   a) Browns Island Recreation Reserve^{3} (DOC Map 7.1).
   b) Dome Forest Conservation Area^{3} (DOC Map 7.2).
   c) Great Barrier Forest Conservation Area^{3} (DOC Map 7.3, 7.4)
   d) Hirakimata/Kaitoke Swamp Ecological Area^{3} (DOC Map Ref 7.5)
   e) Long Bay Marine Reserve foreshore from Western end of Pihipiri Point to Toroa Point^{3}
      (DOC Map 9.1)
   f) Motuihe Island Recreation Reserve^{3} (DOC Map 7.6).
   g) Motutapu Island Recreation Reserve^{3} (DOC Map 7.8).
   h) Motuora Island Recreation Reserve and Crown foreshore^{3} (DOC Map 7.7).
   i) North Head Historic Reserve^{4,5} (DOC Map Ref 10.3)
   j) Okiki Recreation Reserve^{3} (DOC Map Ref 7.9)
   k) Ohia Ecological Area^{3} (DOC Map 7.10).
   l) Rakitu Island Scenic Reserve^{3} (DOC Map Ref 7.11)
   m) Te Paparangi Conservation Area^{3} (DOC Map Ref 7.12)
   n) Wairau Forest Sanctuary^{3} (DOC Map Ref 7.13)

3) Dog owners require a permit May-June for recreational duck hunting in the following areas —
   a) Kaipara Harbour Foreshore adjacent to Oyster Point, Jordans Farm^{4} (DOC Map 8.1).
   b) Slipper Lake Marginal Strip^{4} (DOC Map Ref 8.2).
   c) Spectacle Lake Marginal Strip^{4} (DOC Map Ref 8.3)

4) Dogs are prohibited in the following areas to protect wildlife —
   a) Awana Stream Marginal Strip^{4} (DOC Map Ref 5.1)
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b) Awana Stream Recreation Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Map 5.2, 6.1).
c) Beehive Island Recreation Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹ (DOC Maps 5.3, 6.2).
d) Burgess Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹ (DOC Map 5.4, 6.3).
e) Burma Road Scenic Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.5).
f) Bush Beach Recreational Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.6).
g) Cape Rodney-Otakari Point Marine Reserve Foreshore¹ (DOC Map 5.59).
h) Clarks Beach Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.7, 6.5).
i) Clifton Bay Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.8, 6.6).
j) Crown foreshore adjacent to Overtons Beach Marginal Strip, Korotiti Bay Conservation Area and Whakatautuna Point Marginal Strip² (DOC Map 6.35).
l) Crown foreshore around Browns Island² (DOC Map 6.4).
m) Crown foreshore adjacent to Tawharanui Regional Park² (DOC Map 6.54).
n) Crown foreshore around Omaha Spit² (DOC Map 6.34).
o) Crown foreshore of Browns Island (DOC Map 6.4).
p) Crown foreshore of Motuihe Island Recreational Reserve² (DOC Map 6.27).
q) Crown foreshore of Motutapu Island Recreational Reserve² (DOC Map 6.28).
r) Crown foreshore of Rangiri Creek and surrounds² (DOC Map 6.43).
s) Crown foreshore of Te Matukura Bay² (DOC Map 6.55).
u) Crown foreshore of Tryphena Harbour (excluding any dog exercise area)² (DOC Map 6.60).
v) Crown foreshore of Wakaaranga Creek Tamaki River² (DOC Map 6.65).
w) Fitzroy Bay Landing Recreation Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.9, 6.8).
x) Goat Island Scientific Reserve¹,² and adjacent Crown foreshore (DOC Maps 5.10, 6.9).
y) Harataonga Bay Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.11, 6.10).
z) Harataonga Recreation Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Map 5.12, 6.11).
xx) Hargreaves Basin Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.13, 6.12).
ab) Kaitoke Beach Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.14, 6.13).
ac) Kaitoke Creek Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.15, 6.14).
ad) Kawau Island Historic Reserve and Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.16 and 6.15).
ae) Kawau Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.17, 6.16).
af) Kemadec Islands Nature Reserve and Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.18, 5.19, 6.17).
ag) Kohatutara Scenic Reserve¹,² and adjacent Crown foreshore (DOC Maps 5.20, 6.18).
ah) Lake Otooa Scenic Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.21).
i) Lake Rotoiti Recreation Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.60).
j) Long Bay Marine Reserve foreshore from Western end of Piripiri Point to Toroa Point³ (DOC Map 9.1).

ak) Logues Bush Scenic Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.23).
al) Little Barrier Island Nature Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.22, 6.19).
am) Mangawhai Marginal Strip and adjacent crown foreshore (DOC Map 5.24, 6.20).
an) Manukapua Government Purpose (Wildlife Management) Reserve and adjacent Crown Foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.50, 6.53).
ao) Medlands Beach Crown foreshore² (DOC Map 6.21).
ap) Medlands Wildlife Management Reserve¹ (DOC Map 5.25).
aq) Mohokinau Islands Nature Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.29, 6.25).
ar) Moturekareka Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.30, 6.26).
as) Moturemu Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore¹,² (DOC Maps 5.31, 6.29).
at) Motutara Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.32, 6.30).
au) Okahukura Conservation Area and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.33, 6.31).
av) Okupu Bay Crown foreshore\(^2\) (DOC Map 6.33)
aw) Okura Beach Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.61, 6.32).
ax) Okura Estuary Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^2\) (DOC Maps 5.62, 6.32).
ay) On Crown foreshore adjacent to and including the Puhinui Wildlife Refuge\(^2\) (DOC Map 6.40). 
az) On Crown foreshore adjacent to Pollen Island and Traherne Island (DOC Map 6.41), including foreshore of Harbourview-Orangihina area.
ba) Orawhara Creek Government Purpose (Wildlife Management) Reserve\(^1\) (DOC Map 5.34)
bb) Pakiri Block Conservation Area\(^1\) (DOC Map 5.36).
bc) Pakiri Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.35, 6.38).
bd) Papakanui Conservation Area and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Map 5.38, 6.38).
bk) Papakanui Spit Wildlife Refuge and Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Map 5.37, 6.37).
be) Papepape Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Map 5.39, 6.39).
bf) Rahuikiri Marginal Strip
bg) Rangitoto Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown Foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.63, 6.44).
bh) Rosalie Bay Marginal Strip and Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.41, 6.45)
bj) Schoolhouse Bay Recreation Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.43, 6.47).
bj) Sharp Point Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.44, 6.48).
bk) Smeltinghouse Bay Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.45, 6.49).
bk) Smeltinghouse Historic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.46, 6.50).
bm) South Head Conservation Area and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.48, 6.52).
bn) South Head Road Scientific Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.47, 6.51).
bo) Stony Hill Recreation Reserve\(^1\) (DOC Maps 5.49).
bp) Tauhoa Scientific Reserve\(^1\) (DOC Map 5.51).
bd) Te Haupa (Saddle) Island Scenic Reserve and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.42, 6.46).
bm) Te Henga Recreation Reserve\(^1\) (DOC Maps 5.64).
bj) Ti Tree Island Conservation Area and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1\) (DOC Maps 5.53, 6.58).
bt) Tiritiri Matangi Island Scientific Reserve\(^1\) and adjacent Crown foreshore (DOC Maps 5.54, 6.56).
bu) Turanga Creek Conservation Area and Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.55, 6.59).
bv) Waitoupoa Creek Conservation Area Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.56, 6.61).
bw) Waionui Inlet Marginal Strip and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1\) (DOC Maps Ref 5.57, 6.62).
bx) Waipipi Creek Crown foreshore\(^2\) (DOC Map 6.63).
by) Wairoa Estuary and Bay Crown foreshore\(^2\) (DOC Map 6.64).
cb) Whangapoua Conservation Area and adjacent Crown foreshore\(^1,2\) (DOC Maps 5.58, 6.66)
Ture a Rohe Tiakina Kuri 2019

Dog Management Bylaw 2019

(as at x month 2019)

Made by the Governing Body of Auckland Council

In resolution GB XXXXX on x month 2019

Pursuant to sections 10 and 20 of the Dog Control Act 1996, the Governing Body of Auckland Council revokes and replaces bylaws about dog matters with the following bylaw.
Summary

This summary is not part of the Bylaw. The intention of this summary is to explain the general effect of this Bylaw.

Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri 2019, the Auckland Council’s Policy on Dogs 2019 aims to integrate dogs into public places. This is achieved by balancing the needs of both dog owners and non-dog owners, and is outlined through policy principles.

The Dog Control Act 1996 is the legislation under which the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 and this Bylaw are made. The Dog Control Act 1996 outlines the various obligations placed on dog owners.

The purpose of this Bylaw is to:

- **Regulate the public places** where dogs may be taken by their owner
- **Require owners of multiple dogs to obtain a licence**
- **Require owners to pick up after their dog** when it defecates in any public place or premises
- **Prohibit the owner of any female dog in season** to take that dog into any public place
- **Require owners to neuter their dog** if it has not been kept under control on more than one occasion
- **Provide a review process** for owners of dogs classified as menacing due to behavior.
Waiheke Local Board
18 April 2019
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1 Title
(1) This Bylaw is the Ture a Rohe Tiakina Kuri 2019, Dog Management Bylaw 2019.

2 Commencement
(1) This Bylaw comes into force on X month 2019.

3 Application
(1) This Bylaw applies to Auckland.

Part 1
Preliminary provisions

4 Purpose
(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to give effect to Auckland Council’s Policy on Dogs 2019 by –
   (a) Prohibiting dogs, whether under control or not, from specified public places;
   (b) Requiring dogs to be controlled on-leash in specified public places;
   (c) Regulating and controlling dogs in any other public place;
   (d) Ensuring the number of dogs kept on a premise are suitable;
   (e) Requiring the owner of any dog that defecates in a public place or on land or premises other than that occupied by the owner to immediately remove the faeces;
   (f) Requiring any female dog in season to be confined but adequately exercised;
   (g) Requiring the owner of any dog which has not been kept under control on more than one occasion to have that dog neutered;
   (h) Providing a review process for owners of dogs classified as menacing by behaviour.

5 Interpretation
(1) In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, -

Auckland has the meaning given by section 4(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.

Related information
The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 enabled the Local Government Commission to determine Auckland’s boundaries in a map titled LGC-Ak-R1. The boundaries were formally adopted by Order in Council on 15 March 2010, and came into effect on 1 November 2010.
Council for the purposes of this bylaw, means the governing body of the Auckland Council or any person, committee or local board delegated to act on its behalf in relation to this Bylaw. A list of delegations are attached to this Bylaw.

Council-controlled public place means all public places owned or managed by Auckland Council or a substantive council-controlled organisation (as defined in section 4(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009). This includes land owned or managed by Auckland Transport.

Control in relation to a dog, means that the owner is able to obtain an immediate and desired response from the dog.

Dangerous Dog means a dog which has been classified as a dangerous dog under section 31 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Licence means a licence, permit or approval to do something under this Bylaw and includes all conditions to which the licence is subject.

Menacing dog means a dog that has been classified as a menacing dog under section 33A of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Neutered dog has the meaning given by section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Owner has the meaning given by section 2 the Dog Control Act 1996.

Park/Reserve means –
(a) any land vested in or administered by the council under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977; or
(b) any park, domain or recreational area under the control or ownership of the Council.

Premises means any land, dwelling, storehouse, warehouse, shop, cellar, yard, building, or part of the same, or enclosed space separately occupied. All lands, buildings, and places adjoining each other and occupied together are deemed to be the same premises.

Private Way has the meaning given by section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974.
Public place has the meaning given by section 2 the Dog Control Act 1996.

Related information
Means a place that, at any material time, is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from that place; and includes:
(a) any aircraft, hovercraft, ship or ferry or other vessel, train, or vehicle carrying or available to carry passengers for reward; and
(b) any Council controlled public place.

Road has the meaning given by section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974 except that where a road is adjacent to a park, and the land within the road and park is developed in an integrated way, the common boundary between the road and park will be reduced or extended to:
(a) a line parallel to the road that follows any physical separation between the road and park (e.g. fence or bollards), or
(b) where no physical separation exists, a line parallel to the road that follows the edge of the road carriageway, footpath or cycle track that is closest to the centre of the park.

Working Dog has the meaning given by section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Related information
Working dogs include disability assist dogs, dogs kept by state departments such as police dogs and customs dogs, pest control dogs and dogs kept solely or principally as stock or herding dogs. A full list can be found in section 2 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

(2) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this Bylaw.

(3) Any related and additional information contained in this Bylaw are for information purposes only, do not form part of this Bylaw, and may be made, amended, revoked or replaced by the council at any time.

Part 2
Regulation and control of dogs

6 Prohibition of dogs in public places

(1) The owner of any dog must ensure that their dog (including when confined in a vehicle or cage) does not enter or remain in any public place specified as prohibited in Schedule 1 or 2 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019.

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply to any working dog accompanying and assisting a person or accompanying a person engaged in the dog’s training.

(3) The owner of any female dog in season must ensure the dog does not enter or remain in any public place or private way unless –
(a) that dog is confined in a vehicle or cage for the purposes of transportation; or
(b) the owner of that dog has the permission of the occupier or person
controlling the public place; and complies with any reasonable conditions
imposed.

7 Dogs on a leash in public places and private ways

(1) The owner of any dog must ensure that the dog is controlled on a leash in any
public place and private way specified as such in Schedule 1 or 2 of the Auckland

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply to—
(a) any dog confined in a vehicle or cage; or
(b) any working dog accompanying and assisting a person or accompanying a
person engaged in the dog’s training.

8 Dogs off a leash in public places

(1) The owner of any dog (other than a Dangerous Dog) may take that dog off a
leash in public places specified as such in Schedule 2 of the Auckland Council
Policy on Dogs 2019, provided that dog is kept under control.

9 Dogs in designated dog exercise area

(1) The owner of any dog (including a Dangerous Dog) may take that dog off leash in
a designated dog exercise area specified as such in Schedule 2 of the Auckland
Council Policy on Dogs 2019 provided that dog is kept under control.

(2) In all other public places not specified as designated dog exercise areas in
Schedule 2, dogs classified as Dangerous Dogs must be muzzled at all times.

10 Temporary changes to dog access rules

(1) The council may make temporary changes to Schedules 1 and 2 of the Auckland
Council Policy on Dogs 2019 in relation to—
(a) leisure and cultural events (including dog friendly events);
(b) dog training;
(c) protected wildlife that are vulnerable to dogs;
(d) flora that are vulnerable to dogs;
(e) pest control in any park and/or beach; and
(f) other circumstances of a comparative nature to subclause (1)(a) to (e)
inclusive.

(2) In making or removing a temporary change to Schedules 1 and 2 of the Auckland
Council Policy on Dogs 2019 in subclause (1), the council must—
(a) have regard to section 1 of Dog Access Principles in the Auckland Council
Policy on Dogs 2019;
(b) specify in writing the reasons, location and timeframe the temporary change
is to apply;
(c) give public notice of the temporary change in a manner that the council considers appropriate in the circumstances; and

(d) clearly indicate the area subject to the temporary change by 1 or more clearly legible notices affixed in 1 or more conspicuous places on, or adjacent to, the place to which the notice relates, unless it is impracticable or unreasonable to do so.

11 Dog faeces

(1) When in a public place or premise dog owners must ensure the immediate removal and disposal of their dog’s faeces. This must be done in a way that does not cause a nuisance.

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply to a premise occupied by the owner.

(3) Subclause (1) does not apply to any dog herding or driving stock on a road where the dog is kept solely or principally for the purposes of herding or driving stock.

12 Keeping more than two dogs

(1) No person may keep more than two dogs over the age of 3 months on any premises zoned as urban residential under the Unitary Plan for more than 14 consecutive days.

(2) Subclause (1) does not apply if –
(a) allowed by a licence, or
(b) an application for a licence under subclause (2)(a) has been made within 14 days of the dogs first being kept on that premises.

(3) Subclause (1) applies whether or not the dogs on the premises have the same owner.

(4) Any application under subclause (2)(b) is a joint application by all the dog owners on the premises.

13 Licence for keeping more than two dogs on premises

(1) The council may make controls and set fees for any or all of the following matters in relation to a licence required in clause 12 –
(a) application for a licence, including forms and information;
(b) assessment of application for a licence, including inspection;
(c) the granting or declining of an application for a licence following an assessment;
(d) conditions that may be imposed on a licence if granted;
(e) duration of a licence if granted;
(f) objection to the council in relation to a decision to decline a licence, including the period of objection;
(g) objection to the council in relation to any condition of a licence, including the period of objection;
(h) inspection to ensure compliance with any licence and any conditions;
(i) review of any licence or any conditions;
(j) transferability of a licence;
(k) refund or waiver of fees;
(l) suspension or cancellation of a licence;
(m) objection to the council in relation to a decision to suspend or cancel a licence, including the period of objection.

(2) Unless otherwise stated in the conditions of the licence granted under subclause (1), the licence will remain valid as long as the circumstances described on the licence remain unchanged.

(3) The licence cannot be transferred to another person or another premise.

14 Requirement to neuter uncontrolled dog

(1) The council may require the owner of a dog to have that dog neutered if:
   (a) the dog has not been kept under control on more than one occasion within a 12-month period; and
   (b) dog owner has received an infringement relating to subclause (1)(a).

15 Objection to requirement to neuter uncontrolled dog

(1) If a dog is required to be neutered under clause 14, the owner of that dog –
   (a) may, within 14 days of receiving the notice, object to the requirement by way of writing to the council; and
   (b) has the right to be heard in support of their objection under subclause (1)(a).

(2) The council when considering an objection under subclause (1) may uphold or rescind the requirement. In making its determination, the council must have regard to—
   (a) the evidence which formed the basis for the requirement;
   (b) the matters relied upon in support of the objection; and
   (c) any other relevant matters.

(3) Following its consideration of an objection under subclause (2), the council must, as soon as practicable, give written notice to the owner of—
   (a) its determination of the objection; and
   (b) the reasons for its determination.
16 Effect of requirement to neuter uncontrolled dog

(1) If a dog is required to be neutered under clause 14, the owner of that dog must, within 1 month of receiving the notice of the requirement, produce to the council a certificate issued by a veterinarian certifying –
(a) that the dog is or has been neutered; or
(b) that for reasons that are specified in the certificate, the dog will not be in a fit condition to be neutered before a date specified in the certificate.

(2) If a certificate under subclause (1)(b) is produced to the council, the owner must produce to the council, within 1 month after the date specified in that certificate, a further certificate under subclause (1)(a).

Related information
Dog owners that do not get their dog neutered in the time specified may be subject to an infringement and are still required to neuter their dog.

17 Owners of dogs classified as menacing due to behaviour

(1) If a dog has been classified as menacing due to their behaviour, under section 33A of the Dog Control Act 1996, the owner may request the classification be reviewed after a 12-month period if:
(a) the owner provides evidence of completing a dog obedience course, at the owner’s expense; and
(b) the owner has not obtained any infringements in relation to the dog within the preceding 12-month period.

(2) Removing the classification of the dog is at the council’s discretion.

Part 3
Enforcement, offences, penalties

18 Enforcement

(1) The council may use its powers under the Dog Control Act 1996 and the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.

19 Offences and penalties

(1) Every person who breaches this Bylaw commits an offence.

(2) Every person who commits an offence under this Bylaw is liable to a penalty under the Dog Control Act 1996 and the Local Government Act 2002.
Part 4
Savings, transitional provisions

20 Exemption where multiple dog licence not previously required
(1) This clause applies to any owner to which clause 12 applies, who immediately prior to the date of commencement of this Bylaw, was not required to hold a licence to keep multiple dogs in Auckland.

(2) Where subclause (1) applies, an application under clause 12(2)(b) is not required unless –
   (a) the owner changes address; or
   (b) the number of dogs over 3 months of age (other than a working dog) kept on the premises increases for more than 14 consecutive days.

21 Certain applications to be dealt with under former bylaw
(1) This clause applies to any application submitted for multiple dogs in Auckland under the Dog Management Bylaw 2012.

(2) An application to which subclause (1) applies to any valid multiple dog ownership licence held prior to the date of commencement of this Bylaw must be dealt with by the council —
   (a) under the relevant bylaw in subclause (1) as if it was still in force; and
   (b) as if this Bylaw had not been made.

22 Existing approvals to continue in force
(1) Every licence continues in force as if it is a licence of that kind issued pursuant to clause 12(2) of this Bylaw.

(2) Every licence to which subclause (1) applies expires —
   (a) if any owner to which the licence applies changes address;
   (b) if the number of dogs kept on the premises for more than 14 days exceeds the number permitted by the licence;
   (c) if the number of dogs kept on the premises for a continuous period of more than 12 months is fewer than the number permitted by the licence; and
   (d) for any reason specified in the licence.

(3) Unless otherwise stated in the conditions of the licence granted under subclause clause 13(1), the licence will remain valid as long as the circumstances described in the licence remain unchanged.

(4) The licence cannot be transferred to another person or another premise.
Additional Information to Dog Management Bylaw 2012

This document contains matters for information purposes only and does not form part of any bylaw. It includes matters made pursuant to a bylaw and other matters to assist in the ease of understanding, use and maintenance of a bylaw.

The information contained in this document may be updated at any time.
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### Section 1
#### History of Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make</td>
<td>Following dog control bylaws in force on 31 Oct 2010 deemed to have been made by Auckland Council&lt;br&gt;• Rodney District Council, Chapter 15 Dog Control&lt;br&gt;• North Shore City Council, Part 23 Dog Control&lt;br&gt;• Waitakere City Council, Bylaw No 29 Dog Control&lt;br&gt;• Auckland City Council, Bylaw No 12 Dog Control 2009&lt;br&gt;• Manukau City Council, Chapter 6 Dog Control&lt;br&gt;• Papakura District Council, Dog Control Bylaw 2004&lt;br&gt;• Franklin District Council, Dog Control Bylaw 2010</td>
<td>01 Nov 2010</td>
<td>Section 63 Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010</td>
<td>01 Nov 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revoke and Replace</td>
<td>Review of dog control bylaws resulted in replacing the seven existing bylaws with a single bylaw – the Dog Management Bylaw 2012.</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Minor edits or amendments</td>
<td>22 Nov -1 Jul 2013</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Time and season dog access rules amended in Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Area to implement decision of the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board on 23 Sep 2013 (Resolution HB/2013/222).</td>
<td>23 Sep 2013</td>
<td>GB/2013/106</td>
<td>26 Oct 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Various dog access rules amended in the Albert-Eden local board area plus minor edits or amendments</td>
<td>26 Nov 2015</td>
<td>GB/2015/120 GB/2012/157</td>
<td>29 Mar 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Various dog access rules amended in Great Barrier, Puketapapa Papakura, and Whau local board areas plus minor amendments in the Waiheke local board area</td>
<td>29 Sept 2016</td>
<td>GB/2016/225</td>
<td>21 October 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 2
Related Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Description of Document</th>
<th>Location of Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Various, related to Hibiscus and Bays Local Board decisions</td>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays Local Board decisions related to amendments to time and season dog access rules in Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bylaw amended: Agenda and minutes of Governing Body meeting on 26 Sep 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy amended: Agenda and minutes of Hibiscus and Bays Local Board meeting on 23 Sep 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hearing / Decision Report: Agenda and minutes of Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Hearing Panel hearing and deliberations on 19 and 20 Sep 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statement of Proposal: Agenda and minutes of Hibiscus and Bays Local Board on 7 Aug 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy on Dogs</td>
<td>Provides broad approach to dog management in Auckland</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Minutes and Agenda</td>
<td>Background to policy and bylaw on dogs (Decisions on submissions to Statement of Proposal including final policy and bylaw on dogs)</td>
<td>Agenda and minutes of Governing Body meeting on 22 Nov 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing Report</td>
<td>Background to policy and bylaw on dogs (Summary of submissions to Statement of Proposal)</td>
<td>Deliberations Report to Hearing Panel meeting on 8 and 20 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Background Report to Hearing Panel meeting on 17, 24, 27, 29, 30, and 31 Aug and 7, 10 and 14 Sep 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Proposal</td>
<td>Background to policy and bylaw on dogs (Proposals in relation to review of policies and bylaws on dogs publicly notified for submissions)</td>
<td>Agenda and minutes of Governing Body meeting on 22 November 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 3

**Delegations for matters contained in Bylaw**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Function, Duty, Power to be Delegated</th>
<th>Delegated Authority</th>
<th>Date of Delegation Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement of Delegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All powers, duties and functions.</td>
<td>Licensing and Regulatory Compliance (Tier 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(2)</td>
<td>To impose reasonable conditions on the entry and presence of working dogs in prohibited areas</td>
<td>Licensing and Regulatory Compliance (Tier 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure &amp; Environmental Services (Tier 5)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation (Tier 5, 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Temporary Changes to Dog Access Rules (Schedules)</td>
<td>Community Empowerment (Tier 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure &amp; Environmental Services (Tier 5)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause</td>
<td>Function, Duty, Power to be Delegated</td>
<td>Delegated Authority</td>
<td>Date of Delegation Decision</td>
<td>Decision Reference</td>
<td>Commencement of Delegation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Controls for licence for multiple dogs</td>
<td>Licensing and Regulatory Compliance (Tier 4)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Requirement to Neuter Uncontrolled Dog</td>
<td>Licensing and Regulatory Compliance (Tier 3)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sch 1</td>
<td>To grant permission and impose reasonable conditions on the entry and presence of dogs in places with restricted access</td>
<td>Community Empowerment (Tier 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Libraries and Information (Tier 4)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation (Tier 5)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sch 1</td>
<td>To grant permission and impose reasonable conditions on the entry and presence of dogs in cemeteries</td>
<td>Parks Managers (Tier 5)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sch 1</td>
<td>To grant permission and impose reasonable conditions</td>
<td>Parks Managers/ Team Leader (Tier 6)</td>
<td>22 Nov 2012</td>
<td>GB/2012/157</td>
<td>01 Jul 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 4
Register of controls for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* None attached at this time. Refer to delegated authorities in section 3.

### Section 5
Licence process for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* None attached at this time. Refer to delegated authorities in section 3.

### Section 6
Enforcement powers for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Provision</th>
<th>Description of Legislative Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 162 Local Government Act 2002 (s20(5A) Dog Control Act 1996)</td>
<td>District Court may issue injunction restraining a person from committing a breach of a bylaw.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 7
Offences and penalties for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Description of Offence</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Infringement Fee</th>
<th>Other Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl 18(2)</td>
<td>Breach of bylaw</td>
<td>Max. $20,000</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 8
Monitoring and review for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Measured By</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* None attached at this time. Refer to Report on Dog Policy and Practices in section 2.
Memo
(Type date here)

To: (Type recipient/s name here) Manager Policies and Bylaws
cc: (Type name/s here. Delete this line if not required)
From: (Type sender/s name and position who has delegated authority here)

Subject: (Type subject here e.g. Delegated Authority Control / Licence Process pursuant to Clause # of the XZY Bylaw)

That-
(1) pursuant to the delegation from the Governing Body of the (Type “Auckland Council” or “Auckland Transport” here)
(2) to the (Type delegated authority position here)
(3) under (Type standing order reference here)
(4) in relation to (Type the control, or licence process here)
(5) under clause (Type clause here) of the (Type bylaw title here)

The following (Type “control”, or “licence process” here) is (Type “made”, “amended”, “revoked”, “replaced” here) as follows:

(Insert matters here)

Signature: (Appears at the end of document, delete if not required)
(Type name here)
(Type position here)

Encl [attach any attachments, e.g. maps]