I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board will be held on:

**Date:** Thursday, 18 April 2019  
**Time:** 10:00am  
**Meeting Room:** Waitākere Ranges Local Board Office  
**Venue:** 39 Glenmall Place  
Glen Eden

---

**Waitākere Ranges Local Board**  
**OPEN AGENDA**

---

**MEMBERSHIP**
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<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Greg Presland</td>
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<tr>
<td>Deputy Chairperson</td>
<td>Saffron Toms</td>
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<td>Members</td>
<td>Sandra Coney, QSO</td>
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neil Henderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steve Tollestrup</td>
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<td></td>
<td>Ken Turner</td>
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*(Quorum 3 members)*

---

Brenda Railey  
Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges  

10 April 2019

Contact Telephone: +64 21 820 781  
Email: brenda.railey@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz  
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

---

**Note:** The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. Should Members require further information relating to any reports, please contact the relevant manager, Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson.
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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members were reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

Specifically members are asked to identify any new interests they have not previously disclosed, an interest that might be considered as a conflict of interest with a matter on the agenda.

The following are declared interests of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Member</th>
<th>Organisation/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Coney</td>
<td>- Waitemata District Health Board – <em>Elected Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Women’s Health Action Trust – <em>Patron</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- New Zealand Society of Genealogists – <em>Patron</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- New Zealand Military Defence Society – <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Cartwright Collective – <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Titirangi RSA – <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Portage Trust – <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- West Auckland Trust Services - <em>Director</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Henderson</td>
<td>- Portage Trust – <em>Elected Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- West Auckland Trust Services (WATS) Board – <em>Trustee/Director</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Kaipatiki Project - <em>Employee</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Presland</td>
<td>- Lopdell House Development Trust – <em>Trustee</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Whau Coastal Walkway Environmental Trust – <em>Trustee</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Combined Youth Services Trust – <em>Trustee</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Glen Eden Bid – <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Titirangi Ratepayers and Residents Association - <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Waitakere Ranges Protection Society - <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Titirangi RSA - <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Maungakiekie Golf Club - <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Tollestrup</td>
<td>- Waitakere Licensing Trust – <em>Elected Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Waitakere Task force on Family Violence – <em>Appointee</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Piha RSA - <em>Member</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saffron Toms</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Turner</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Member appointments
Board members are appointed to the following bodies. In these appointments the board members represent Auckland Council:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board</th>
<th>Organisation/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Coney</td>
<td>Friends of Arataki Incorporated – Trustee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Henderson</td>
<td>Friends of Arataki Incorporated – Trustee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Advisory Panel - Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Tollestrup</td>
<td>Glen Eden Business Improvement District - Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aircraft Noise Consultative Committee Group - Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Government New Zealand Zone One Committee - Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Presland</td>
<td>Glen Eden Business Improvement District (alternate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saffron Toms</td>
<td>Ark in the Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum - Chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 28 March 2019, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

*An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-*
(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Waitākere Ranges Local Board  
18 April 2019

Auckland Transport's update for April 2019  
File No.: CP2019/05155

Te take mō te pūrongo  
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Waitākere Ranges Local Board (the Board) on transport matters in their area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua  
Executive summary
2. This report updates the Board on the safe speed bylaw, Community Safety Fund, Safe Schools Streets, the enhanced seal programme, Victory Road stability issues, trial bus services and other current issues.

Ngā tūtohunga  
Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:
a) receive Auckland Transport’s update for April 2019.

Horopaki  
Context
3. This report addresses transport related matters in the local board area.
4. Auckland Transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways and reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu  
Analysis and advice
5. AT is currently fast-tracking implementation of a speed management plan for Auckland and Public consultation has closed on the speed bylaw. AT received 11,007 submissions on its proposal to reduce speeds on some 700km of high-risk roads around the region.
6. Auckland is facing a road safety crisis with a 78 per cent increase in deaths and a 68 per cent rise in serious injuries since 2014. Lowering speeds and working with Police to enforce those limits is one of the easiest and most effective interventions available.

   Submissions are currently being analysed and those who have requested will present to a Hearings Panel of Auckland Transport Board members and senior executives later in April. If adopted, the speed limit changes will come into effect in August this year.

Seal Extension Guideline Review
7. The board were made aware of the review of the road sealing guideline. A workshop will be scheduled in the near future.

Community Safety Fund
8. On Thursday 4 April, the Board was briefed and workshoppered on the Community Safety Fund. The fund is available to promote projects with a safety element. The Board has been
allocated just over $700,000 for the 2019/20 and 2020/21 financial years. The workshop will feed into a later workshop on allocating funding.

Safe School Streets
9. AT is piloting an initiative to improve safety around schools particularly around pick-up and drop-off times. A briefing and workshop will be scheduled for the Board in the near future. The Board will be made aware of the issues. The aims and objectives of the programme and the tool box available of measures, the schools, AT and the Board can consider to improve safety.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
10. The other issues reported are confined to AT and do not impact on other parts of the Council group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

Victory Road land stability issues
11. AT was made are of land stability issues on Victory Road, Langholm. AT’s Road Corridor Manager and contractors have investigated the matter. A number of measures are under way including geo-technical investigations, building a temporary wall to prevent further slippage and sealing cracks to stop further ingress of water. To address the matter, further design work is under way and should be complete by mid-April.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
12. For all projects consideration of impacts and opportunities for engagement will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
13. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
14. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no risks. AT has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
15. AT provides the Waitakere Ranges Local Board with the opportunity to comment on the transport projects being delivered in the local board area.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Buce Thomas – Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers      | Jonathan Anyon – Elected Member Relationship Team Manager  
                   Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau  |
Waitākere Ranges Local Board Grants Programme 2019/2020

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Waitākere Ranges Grants Programme 2019/2020

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
3. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year.
4. This report presents the Waitākere Ranges Grants Programme 2019/2020 for adoption (see Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:
a) adopt the Waitākere Ranges Grants Programme 2019/2020

Horopaki
Context
5. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
6. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year. The local board grants programme guides community groups and individuals when making applications to the local board.
7. The local board community grants programme includes:
a) outcomes as identified in the local board plan
b) specific local board grant priorities
c) which grant types will operate, the number of grant rounds and opening and closing dates
d) any additional criteria or exclusions that will apply
e) other factors the local board consider to be significant to their decision-making.
8. Once the local board grants programme 2019/2020 has been adopted, the types of grants, grant rounds, criteria and eligibility will be advertised through an integrated communication and marketing approach which includes utilising the local board channels.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. The new Waitākere Ranges Grants Programme has been workshopped with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2019/2020.

10. The new grant programme includes:
   a) grant round dates which exclude decision dates coinciding with the local government elections in October 2019

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

11. The grants programme has no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations and therefore their views are not required.

12. Based on the main focus of an application, a subject matter expert from the relevant council unit will provide input and advice. The main focus of an application is identified as arts, community, events, sport and recreation, environment or heritage.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

13. The grants programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of their grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

14. All grant programmes respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to organisations delivering positive outcomes for Māori. Applicants are asked how their project aims to increase Māori outcomes in the application process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

15. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-term Plan 2018 - 2028 and local board agreements.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

16. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy. Therefore, there is minimal risk associated with the adoption of the grants programme.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

17. An implementation plan is underway and the local board grants programme will be locally advertised through the local board and council channels, including the council website, local board facebook page and communication with past recipients of grants.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Waitākere Ranges Grants Programme 2019/2020</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Marion Davies - Grants and Incentives Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Shane King - Head of Service Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waitākere Ranges Local Board Grants Programme 2019/2020

Our Local Grants Programme aims to provide contestable and discretionary community grants to local communities

Outcomes sought from the local grants programme

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan:

- People actively protect the Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area
- Our unique natural habitats are protected and enhanced
- Local communities feel good about where they live
- People experience local arts and culture, and recognise our heritage.

Our priorities for grants

The Waitākere Ranges Local Board welcomes grant applications for projects, events and activities that align with one or more of the following priorities:

People celebrate, enhance and protect the Waitākere Ranges heritage area’s special character

- Create greater awareness of the values and heritage features of the heritage area and help residents and visitors understand their duty of care for the fragile heritage area
- Support mana whenua and local communities to protect, and tell the stories of, cultural and historic sites of importance
- Promote, preserve and restore our distinctive historic and cultural places.

Protect and enhance our unique natural habitats

- Protect marine and coastal environments, and support ecological protection and restoration initiatives for our vulnerable native species
- Enable community-based weed and animal pest control on public and private property
- Implement Kauri dieback awareness raising and action
- Improve water quality in coastal lagoons and harbours
- Carry out weed removal, focusing on areas identified in the Waitākere Ranges Strategic Weed Plan
- Inspire and support residents and visitors to make everyday sustainable living choices and reduce factors that contribute negatively to climate change.
Local communities are resilient, empowered and connected

- Organise community events and activities that celebrate our diverse local identities and bring people together
- Support our communities to be healthy and safe and people feel that they are valued, connected and belong
- Encourage people to enjoy community spaces, parks, sports and recreation facilities
- Promote healthy lifestyle choices and provide sporting opportunities
- Inspire the community to be more active and make best use of our walkways and cycleways
- Empower young people to realise their full potential and develop their leadership talent and job skill set
- Enable and support Mana whenua and mataawaka to achieve their aspirations.
- Support communities to lead their own place-making initiatives.

People experience local arts and culture, and recognise our cultural and historic heritage

- Support arts and cultural activities that create a sense of place, interest and local identity
- Promote arts programmes that include emerging talent and creatives
- Value and support our talented local artists and showcase their work
- Increase opportunities for Māori arts and cultural expression
- Protect key local sites of cultural and historic importance and tell their stories
- Hold heritage events which celebrate the past, present and future.

*Note:*
- These priorities relate to the local board outcomes outlined in the *Waitākere Ranges Local Board Plan 2017*
- All applications are expected to demonstrate how their project will positively contribute to one or more of the local board plan outcomes
- All applications will be assessed on their own merits.

Higher Priority for support

- Waitākere Ranges Local Board will prioritise applications which commit to the smokefree policy and healthy food provision for events and projects
- The local board will also support applications which encourage waste minimisation and endorse zero waste practices.

Lower priority and exclusions

In addition to eligibility criteria outlined on the Community Grants Policy, Waitākere Ranges Local Board will not fund events that promote a brand or company advertising alcohol or fast food, particularly if the event has children and young people participating.

Please refer to the *Community Grants Policy: “Scope and eligibility”* for general eligibility criteria:
- Ineligible applicants – Paragraph 78
- What we won’t fund – Paragraphs 84-86
- Lower priority – Paragraphs 87-88

**Investment approach**

The Waitākere Ranges Local Board has identified the following grant types:
- Quick Response Grants: maximum amount per grant up to $1,500
- Local Grants: grants over $1,500

**Application Dates**

Grant rounds for 2019/2020 will be as follows:

**Quick Response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round One</td>
<td>7 October 2019</td>
<td>1 November 2019</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>15 December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Two</td>
<td>13 April 2020</td>
<td>8 May 2020</td>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>1 July 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Grants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>3 June 2019</td>
<td>5 July 2019</td>
<td>22 August 2019</td>
<td>1 September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>17 February 2020</td>
<td>27 March 2020</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multi-board funding**

Waitākere Ranges Local Board will also consider funding multi-board grant applications in collaboration with other local boards. Applicants will need to clearly demonstrate how their intended project, event and/or activities will specifically benefit people and communities in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-board grants</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>3 June 2019</td>
<td>19 July 2019</td>
<td>22 August 2019</td>
<td>1 September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>20 January 2020</td>
<td>13 March 2020</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Accountability Measures
The Waitākere Ranges Local Board requires that all successful applicants:

- Complete and submit accountability report by the due date, proving that the grant has been used to deliver the set outcomes and objectives
- Provide all required receipts and sufficient photos for the implemented activities on the grant’s accountability report
- Include local board logo on publicity and signage and display of Waitākere Ranges Local Board branding whenever appropriate in accordance with Council’s brand guidelines
- Acknowledge the Waitākere Ranges Local Board as a supporter in media releases or other communication to the public
- Extend an invitation to the local board members for any event or function funded.
Approval of local park track closures in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area

File No.: CP2019/04541

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To endorse the closures of tracks in local parks to prevent kauri dieback spread and protect healthy Kauri within the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. An interim report was presented to the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area on 13 December 2018, WTK/2018/174. This report obtained the local board’s endorsement of the proposed high-level Kauri protection measures prior to the development of a detailed programme of works.

3. Staff have analysed the tracks in all the local parks and reserves in the Auckland region, and identified 13 walking tracks in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area that are near to Kauri that are already infected or could become infected with Kauri dieback disease.

4. It has been identified that several methods of transferring Kauri dieback disease are by water movement and by foot traffic along the tracks within the reserves.

5. Closure of the 13 tracks in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area is recommended to isolate and restrict the spread of the disease, and so diseased Kauri do not become a source of infection.

6. At this stage, mitigation measures are yet to be confirmed and it is recommended that these tracks are closed to protect the healthy Kauri trees located with these parks.

7. A detailed Kauri dieback mitigation programme will be presented to the board for approval at a mid-2019 business meeting. The report will identify specific works for each park and the associated implementation costs and timeframes.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) endorse the temporary closure of the tracks within the following nine parks and reserves to prevent the spread of Kauri dieback disease, as per Attachment A of the report:
   i) Seibel Scenic Reserve
   ii) Bill Haresnape Walk
   iii) Mahoe Walk
   iv) Opua Reserve
   v) Patuora Way
   vi) Rahui Kahika Reserve
   vii) Titirangi War Memorial
   viii) Okewa Reserve
   ix) Tinopai Reserve – Eric Leigh Hunt Track

b) consider and endorse the temporary closure of the tracks within the following two parks and reserves, refer to Attachment B of the report, to prevent the spread of
Item 13: Kauri dieback disease:

i) Wood Bay Reserve
ii) Arama Reserve

Kauri dieback disease:

i) Wood Bay Reserve
ii) Arama Reserve
c) endorse the continued closure of the tracks and access into the following park to prevent the spread of Kauri dieback disease until tracks upgraded to Kauri Dieback Disease (KDD) standard, reference Attachment C of the report:

i) Concordia Reserve
d) endorse the closure of the tracks within Henderson Valley Scenic Reserve from 31 April 2019 to 31 October 2019 to prevent the spread of Kauri dieback disease
e) request that staff expedite the development programme to enable the high use tracks to be reopened for summer of 2019.

Horopaki Context

8. Funding from the natural environment targeted rate will be integrated with existing renewals budgets where available. This funding will be focused on tracks, or sections of tracks where Kauri are located within 30 metres of the track, and where the park has been identified as high priority (Category A) in accordance with the categorisation process detailed in the analysis and advice section of this report.

9. The Kauri Dieback budget is to mitigate the spread of Kauri Dieback Disease with the provision of new assets or upgrading of existing assets to protect Kauri. The budget is not for the renewal of tracks in kauri dieback areas except where it is specifically to protect kauri. The outcome of integrating the two budgets is that the required track works will be comprehensive with the upgrade of the tracks to the required dry track standards.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

10. There are 55 local parks in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area that are under analysis, as they may or may not contain tracks near Kauri.

11. The parks have been allocated into groups to determine the initial action to be undertaken.

Table 1: Breakdown of proposed initial action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Actions</th>
<th># of Parks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanently close formed track - Install Signage and Barriers - LB approval</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporarily close existing formal track to prevent transfer of Kauri Dieback Disease. Investigate to determine works required to upgrade tracks to KDD standards to protect kauri and allow track to be reopened</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep existing track open. Investigate to confirm if track should remain open and if so, determine works required to upgrade tracks to KDD standards to protect Kauri</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep existing access to park closed to protect Kauri with planting, barriers and signage</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep existing track/park closed to protect Kauri until tracks have been upgraded to KDD standard</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Informal Track/Access - Install Signage and Barriers</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Buffer Planting and or Signage and Barriers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate to confirm if Kauri exist and determine value of ecosystem - Ground truthing</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install Hygiene Stations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. At a local board workshop on the 21st February 2019 the majority of the local board supported the closure of 11 parks. A further two parks have been identified with tracks that could be a possible threat to the spread of kauri and the local board is requested to consider the temporary closure of these two tracks are part of the overall track temporary closure programme. These parks are Wood Bay Reserve and Arama Reserve.

13. Concordia Reserve has been identified to remain closed until the tracks are upgraded to Kauri Dieback Disease standards, due to the proximity of the tracks to Kauri. The neighbours will be informed of the closure and the need to adhere to this request.

14. Henderson Valley Scenic Reserve will remain open during the summer months, due to the popular use of the waterhole. Signage and barriers will be installed over winter to temporarily close these tracks during the wet winter period. The track will be reopened again in spring when the track surface has dried.

15. The following closures and Kauri dieback disease mitigation programme identifies some of the key milestones. The dates are subject to resources, weather and the actual scope of works that is required to be undertaken. It is anticipated that not all tracks will be reopened by 2020.

---

**Interim Report to Local Board on Kauri Programme (December 2018)**

**Local Board Workshop on Closing Tracks (February 2019)**

**Local Board Report to Close Tracks (March 2019)**

**Inform Community Groups and Residents of pending Closures (March 2019)**

** Undertake Closures with signage and barriers (April 2019)**

**Draft Scope of Works updated**

**Separate Workshops with iwi, Internal Staff, External Stakeholders (May June 2019)**

**Draft Scope of Works Produced (April May 2019)**

**Walkovers with iwi, key Internal Staff, Local Board and key External Stakeholders (March April 2019)**

**Local Board Workshop on Scope of Works (July 2019)**

**Local Board Report to endorse Scope of Works (August 2019)**

**Final Scope of Works produced**

**Consent Obtained and Contract prepared (September 2019)**

**Track Reopened (January - April 2020)**

**Handover for Maintenance (January - April 2020)**

**Physical Works undertaken on Priority projects (October 2019 - April 2020)**

**Local Board, iwi, Community and Stakeholders informed of contract**
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

16. The recommendations in this report have been developed through collaboration between council’s Environmental Services department, Parks, Sports and Recreation department and Community Facilities department.

17. Representatives from these key departments are working as part of a dedicated and ongoing project team, to ensure that all aspects of the Kauri dieback mitigation programme is undertaken in an integrated manner.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

18. In February 2019, a workshop was held between the Waitākere Ranges Local Board and staff from Community Facilities and Biosecurity, on the Kauri dieback work programme. The focus was on the temporary closure of several tracks pending investigation of upgrade works.

19. The board was supportive of protecting Kauri and preventing the spread of Kauri dieback disease and supported a number of track closures.

20. Closing tracks in parks or reserves will have an impact on recreational activities available in the local board area. These impacts were taken into consideration when determining suitable Kauri dieback mitigation measures.

21. The local community that use the tracks will be informed of the planned temporary closures, and consulted on the proposed upgrade works prior to closures being undertaken.

22. The local board and the local community will be involved in the review of the planned mitigation works, and kept informed of the timing for the reopening of the tracks.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

23. When the Environment and Community Committee resolved several resolutions to protect Kauri from Kauri dieback, including large scale closures in the Waitākere Ranges in April 2018, they directed staff to ‘work with Te Kawerau ā Maki and jointly agree any further potential openings in the Waitākere Ranges Regional Park.’

24. This has provided direction for the staff to work closely with Te Kawerau ā Maki in relation to mitigation measures for Kauri dieback in local parks in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area.

25. Discussions and walkovers are well advanced with iwi on the proposed mitigation measures.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

26. Funding for the design and mitigation works for the track upgrades to Kauri dry track standards, will be provided for from the natural environment targeted rate.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

27. The main risk is the spread of Kauri dieback disease, where tracks cross over the roots of Kauri trees which may have KDD, and the transfer of the disease to other healthy trees.

28. Closing tracks in parks and reserves, whether temporary (until upgrade works are completed) or indefinitely (where upgrade works are not recommended), will have an impact on the recreational activities available in the local board area. This may result in additional recreational pressure on other parks and reserves.
29. To mitigate this risk, information will be provided to the public about alternative recreational activities. As part of the Kauri dieback education campaign, the public will be provided with information about the reasons for the closures, and the objectives of the Kauri dieback mitigation programme.

30. There is also a risk of non-compliance, where mitigation measures are disregarded by the public, particularly with respect to track closures (where tracks continue to be used despite closure notices) and hygiene stations (where hygiene stations are not used or not used correctly).

31. Risk mitigation includes the provision of appropriate information and effective implementation of track closures, including signage and physical barriers.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

32. Walkovers have already commenced with iwi on several tracks to determine the most appropriate mitigation measures. This will continue throughout March and April.

33. Following the local board’s decision on the closure recommendations provided in this report, staff will communicate with the local community and community groups to inform them of the planned closures, and provide relevant background information.

34. The tracks will be closed once the local community has been informed using signage and barriers. The signage will show alternative routes if available.

35. A Kauri dieback mitigation upgrade programme for each track will be produced with an indicative cost and delivery timeframe.

36. Several workshops will be held with iwi, internal staff and the local community groups to discuss the planned upgrade works, once the investigations have been completed and the programme has been produced.

37. A workshop will be held with the local board on the programme and the iwi and community’s feedback.

38. A report detailing the recommended Kauri dieback mitigation measures for local parks in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area will be submitted to the local board for decision at a mid-2019 business meeting. Feedback from the community and iwi will be provided as part of the report.

39. Design and consenting will be undertaken, and a contractor engaged to undertake the works in late 2019.

40. Due to the volume of mitigation works required across Auckland, all projects may not be able to be undertaken in the 2019/20 construction period, and hence some tracks may not be upgraded and therefore they may be required to remain closed for a further year. This will be communicated to all stakeholders as soon as possible.

41. A priority system will be in place to determine the order of works considering the impact on the community, volume of users, alternative routes and safety.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nine parks and reserves identified for temporary closure of tracks</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Wood Bay Reserve and Arama Reserve</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Concordia Reserve</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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New road names in the The Neil Group Limited Stage 3 and 4 subdivisions at 1-9 Crows Road & 135-153 Birdwood Road Swanson.

File No.: CP2019/04998

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval from the Waitākere Ranges Local Board to name six new public roads created by way of Stage 3 and 4 subdivisions at 1-9 Crows Road & 135-153 Birdwood Road, Swanson.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. The Applicant, The Neil Group Limited, has submitted proposed names for the six new public roads as follows:

   **Stage 3**
   - Saw Lane (preferred)
   - William Calvert Lane (preferred)
   - Barrell Crescent (preferred)

   **Stage 4**
   - Kauri Gum Rise (preferred)
   - Lumber Rise (preferred)
   - Kate Duncan Drive (preferred)

   With the alternatives being:
   - Bark Lane
   - Resin Lane
   - Cask Crescent
   - Kauri Spear Rise

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) approve a name for each of the six new public roads constructed within the subdivisions for Stage 3 & 4 being undertaken by The Neil Group Limited 1-9 Crows Road & 135-153 Birdwood Road Swanson in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.
Horopaki

Context

4. Resource consent has been obtained for Stage 3 & 4 subdivisions for 1-9 Crows Road & 135-153 Birdwood Road, Swanson and the council reference is BUN60309693 and SUB60309227.

5. A site plan of the roads and development can be found in Attachment A.

6. A location map of the development can be found in Attachment B.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

7. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   a) A historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   b) A particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   c) An existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
   d) The use of Maori names is actively encouraged.

8. The Applicant has chosen the proposed names based on the general theme relating to timber and wine making which the land was previously used for. They have also included two names from the book “Historical Window on Swanson”.

9. The Applicant has proposed the road names listed in the table below, in order of preference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Road Naming Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saw Lane (preferred)</td>
<td>Related to the timber and wine making history of the property.</td>
<td>Meets criteria – local significance &amp; thematic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Calvert Lane (preferred)</td>
<td>William Calvert established a butchery in Swanson in 1924 and since then four generations of Calvert’s have operated the butchery. This is currently operated by John Calvert from whom the applicant purchased the land.</td>
<td>Meets criteria – local significance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Duncan Drive (preferred)</td>
<td>The sole teacher at Swanson School from 1901 - 1916.</td>
<td>Meets criteria – local significance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark Lane (alternative)</td>
<td>Related to the timber and wine making history of the property.</td>
<td>Meets criteria – local significance &amp; thematic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin Lane (alternative)</td>
<td>Related to the timber and wine making history of the property</td>
<td>Meets criteria – local significance &amp; thematic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed the proposed and alternative names are acceptable and no duplicates exist within the Auckland region.

All iwi in the Auckland area were written to and invited to comment. Ngāti Whātua o Kaipara supported the proposed names.

No other replies were received.

11. The proposed new names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

12. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on any council groups.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

13. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

14. The applicant has corresponded with local iwi and no objections were received.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

15. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

16. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

17. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand who records them on their New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.
New road names in the The Neil Group Limited Stage 3 and 4 subdivisions at 1-9 Crows Road & 135-153 Birdwood Road Swanson.
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Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028

File No.: CP2019/04771

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve local financial matters for the local board agreement 2019/2020, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 process.
2. To seek feedback on regional topics in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. Auckland Council’s Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures. This report seeks decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including:
   - any new/amended business improvement district (BID) targeted rates
   - any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   - proposed locally driven initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   - release of local board specific reserve funds
   - any advocacy initiatives (to be included in the appendix).

4. Auckland Council consulted with the public from 17 February to 17 March 2019 to seek community views on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This report seeks local board views on both of these plans:
   - regional annual budget topics: including changes to rates and fees, the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2019/2020, and other budget information
   - the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

5. Auckland Council also consulted on the Our Water Future discussion document. A draft strategy from the Our Water Future discussion document will be developed. Local boards will have the opportunity to provide input into this in early 2020.

6. Local board views on these regional plans will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the plans.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the Waitākere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020.
b) recommend any new or amended business improvement district targeted rates to the Governing Body.
c) recommend any new or amended local targeted rate proposals to the Governing Body.
d) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed locally driven initiative capital projects, which are outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility.
e) recommend the release of local board specific reserve funds to the Governing Body.
f) approve its advocacy initiatives for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement.
g) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from people or organisations based in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area.
h) provide feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020.
i) provide feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

Horopaki

Context

7. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s annual budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures. This report details local board decisions and recommendations that need to be made in April/early-May to allow them to be considered by the Governing Body in the annual budget process.

8. Local boards also advocate to the Governing Body for funding for projects that cannot be accommodated within their local budgets. These advocacy initiatives are attached as an appendix to the local board agreement.

9. Local boards are responsible for providing local input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local board plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

10. Auckland Council publicly consulted on the following two plans from 17 February to 17 March 2019:
   • annual budget (which includes both regional issues and local board key priorities)
   • the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget.

11. Across the region, 2278 people attended 65 engagement events. Feedback was received through written, event and social media channels.

12. Consultation feedback on the Waitākere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020, and on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers from people or organisations based in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area are set out in Attachment A. The feedback on local board priorities will be considered by the local board before it agrees its local board agreement in early June 2019.
Local financial matters for the local board agreement

13. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in early May 2019. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the annual budget process (decisions made in June 2019).

Local targeted rate and business improvement district (BID) targeted rate proposals

14. Local boards are required to endorse any new locally targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

Funding for locally driven initiatives (LDI)

15. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. This funding is for 'locally driven initiatives' or LDI. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million; projects over that amount need approval from the Governing Body.

16. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2019/2020 if there is a specific need to do so, or Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

17. Local boards can defer LDI projects where there was an agreed scope and cost, but the project/s have not been delivered.

Local board advocacy

18. Local boards are requested to approve any advocacy initiatives for consideration by the Governing Body and inclusion (as an appendix) to the 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement, noting that in this triennium, a longer-term approach has been taken to progress initiatives that are unable to be funded by local board budgets. The approach used the annual budget, 10-year budget and local board plan processes to progress and advise on a narrower range of local board initiatives in a more comprehensive way.

19. As part of the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, additional funding was provided to progress the priority advocacy initiative of each local board (the one local initiative (OLI)). All OLIs are progressing with funding either allocated or earmarked in the 10-year budget.

Local board input on regional plans

20. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on two plans, the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

Regional issues in the Annual Budget 2019/2020

21. The annual budget sets out Auckland Council priorities and how it is going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed annual budget focused on two topics:
   - changes to rates and fees:
     - annual waste management changes
     - food scraps targeted rate
     - Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
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- urban boundary rating
- rating of religious use properties
- regulatory fees

22. The consultation on the annual budget also included key priorities for each local board area. Decisions on local board priorities will be made when local board agreements are considered in June 2019.

23. The feedback form contained one question relating to changes to rates and fees. Consultation feedback received from the Waitakere Ranges Local Board area on key regional issues in the annual budget is summarised in Attachment A, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

24. Local boards may wish to provide feedback on these regional issues for consideration by the Governing Body.

The proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

25. The regional consultation on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 focused on a proposal to transfer the legal ownership of $790 million of city centre waterfront properties from Panuku to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility.

26. The feedback form contained one question relating to this proposed amendment. Consultation feedback received from the Waitakere Ranges Local Board area on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers is summarised in Attachment A.

27. Local boards may wish to provide feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers for consideration by the Governing Body.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

28. The Annual Budget 2019/2020 is an Auckland Council group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

29. The key impact of the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers on the group is the potential impact on Panuku. Panuku staff and board have been engaged in the development of these options. Governing Body will make their decision regarding this on 20 June 2019.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

30. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

31. Local boards play an important role in the development of the annual budget and local board agreements form part of the annual budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the annual budget, and a special briefing was arranged on the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
32. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.
33. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community.
34. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
35. This report is asking for local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.
36. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
37. Local boards need to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 by 8 May 2019, in order for the Governing Body to be able to make decisions on them when considering the annual budget in May 2019.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
38. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes in June.
39. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant Governing Body committees for consideration during decision-making, as outlined in the table below:

Decision dates for regional plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Decision-maker</th>
<th>Scheduled meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Budget 2019/2020</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>22 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>22 May 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A⇩</td>
<td>WRLB Annual Budget 2019-2020 consultation feedback overview</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation feedback report for Wāitakere Ranges Local Board

1. Purpose

This report summarises feedback relating to the Wāitakere Ranges Local Board received through the Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation. This includes:

- Feedback on the Wāitakere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020
- Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from people or organisations based in the Wāitakere Ranges local board area

The feedback received will inform the Wāitakere Ranges Local Board decisions on allocation of their local budgets in their local board agreement for 2019/2020. It will also inform the Wāitakere Ranges Local Board input and advocacy on regional budgets and proposals that will be agreed at their business meeting on 18 April and subsequently discussed with the Finance and Performance Committee on 8 May.

2. Executive Summary

This report summarises consultation feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (including on local board priorities for 2019/2020).

Council received feedback in person at community engagement events, through written forms (including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters) and through social media.

Feedback on Wāitakere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The local board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Continue to support community environmental initiatives in the Wāitakere Ranges Heritage Area
- Priority 2: Support Shadbolt House to develop into a writer’s residence alongside partners Going West Trust
- Priority 3: Finalise Local Paths (Greenways) Plan
- Priority 4: Continue to support Māori engagement and aspirations

158 submissions were received on Wāitakere Ranges local board priorities for 2019/2020, showing that the majority of people either partially support (53%) or support (23%) the local board’s priorities.

Free-text commentary was broad and challenging to theme. The board received support for its environmental initiatives, though submitters wanted more to be done about water quality at swimming spots (e.g. beaches.) Submitters were generally favourable towards Glen Eden improvement initiatives but had some concerns around future planning and ongoing upkeep.

The board also received some general feedback about transport options and poor road maintenance – specifically with regards to Glen Eden and rural areas. There were not enough free-text comments to generate themed feedback on the other priorities.
Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from the Wāitākere Ranges local board area

Out of the 5,249 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020, 253 submissions on regional issues were from people living in the Wāitākere Ranges local board area. Submitters could comment on one or more issues therefore the following numbers will not add to 253.

Changes to rates and fees

- Annual waste management changes
  Of the 29 individuals who commented, 7 supported the changes, 1 partially supported and 21 did not support it.
  A number of the comments described frustration with the current waste collection system. The increased costs were seen to be unfair as west residents were also required to purchase tags. People questioned the quality of the service as there were issues of dumping and uncleanliness in their area.

- Food scraps targeted rate
  Of the 16 individuals who commented, 8 supported the changes and 8 did not support it.
  Those who opposed the change questioned the value of this initiative to households that already compost food.

- Wāitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
  Of the 184 individuals who commented, 12 supported the changes and 172 did not support it.
  Most who disagreed felt that water quality would decline as a result of the change and were concerned about the lack of upkeep from some owners in the area. Many gave positive feedback about the service currently provided by council contractors.
  A specific analysis of the feedback from this, and those received from outside the board area, will be sent at a later stage by the regional team coding this question.

- Urban boundary rating
  All five submitters who commented on this change were in support.

- Religious properties rates
  Of the 60 individuals who commented, 18 supported the change, 3 partially supported it and 39 did not support it.
  Many commentators wanted religious groups to be charged rates like any other group with no exemptions. A sizeable number also acknowledged that some religious groups may not have reserves to pay for this, and that increased expenses would reduce their capacity to provide social services.

- Regulatory fees
  Of the 13 individuals who commented, 1 supported the change and 12 did not support it.
  Most comments received discussed frustrations with the consenting process and felt the costs were already high. Many questioned whether an increase in fees would reflect an increase in the quality of service provided.
Feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

Out of the 5,249 submissions received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers, 156 submissions were from people living in the Waitakere Ranges local board area. 52% of submitters in the local board area supported the amendment, with a further 28% partially supporting it. Only 17% did not support the amendment.

Those who provided comments were concerned that a transfer would create duplication or inefficiencies but welcomed moves to reduce costs. Some also commented on the accessibility of the waterfront to the general public and did not wish for this to be reduced. Others provided general commentary on their concerns for the future of the waterfront – including decisions on a stadium and the location of the port.

3. Context

Auckland Council consulted on its Annual Budget 2019/2020 and a proposed Amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers between 17 February and 17 March 2019.

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 must include a Local Board Agreement for the Waitakere Ranges Local Board which sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them.

Auckland Council also consulted on the Our Water Future discussion document at the same time. The feedback received on this discussion document will be presented at a later date.

Types of feedback

Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 5,249 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:

- Written feedback – 2,423 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
- In person – feedback was received through 14 have your say events and 51 community events.
- Social media – 19 comments on regional issues were received through Facebook and Twitter.
4. Feedback received on Wāitakere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The local board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Continue to support community environmental initiatives in the Wāitakere Ranges Heritage Area
- Priority 2: Support Shadbolt House to develop into a writer’s residence alongside partners Going West Trust
- Priority 3: Finalise Local Paths (Greenways) Plan
- Priority 4: Continue to support Māori engagement and aspirations

158 submissions were received on Wāitakere Ranges local board priorities for 2019/2020, showing that the majority of people either partially support (53%) or support (23%) the local board’s priorities.

Feedback received through written submissions

158 submissions were received on Wāitakere Ranges Local Board priorities for 2019/2020, showing that the majority of people either partially support (53%) or support (23%) the local board’s priorities.

The responses from submitters in the Wāitakere Ranges local board area is graphed in figure 1.

![Figure 1: Response to "Are we on the right track with our priorities?".](image)

Those who supported the priorities generally did not provide comment. Of those who did, people supported the improvements to Glen Eden.

"I support the board in prioritising investment into the Glen Eden town centre. I support this because maintaining a vibrant and attractive town centre is an important part of creating a liveable and prosperous community. Glen Eden in its current state also has a lot of area for improvement."

"The Wāitakere Ranges Local Board is severely restricted financially due to the lower population, but still has responsibility for the whole of the Wāitakere Ranges Heritage Area that includes the Centennial Park and the Water catchment for Auckland. The Wāitakere Ranges Local Board is therefore tasked with care and custody of the needs of the residents, but also the recreational needs for all of Auckland and the preservation of the asset marketed by ATEED. Their priorities are the best that can be achieved with the severely limited budget at their disposal."

Those who partially agreed did so for a number of reasons including: being unsure of what the priorities were; wanting specific actions to be described before they confirmed their support; concern around how certain priorities are progressing; and wanting more action in their specific areas.
The following are a series of quotes that illustrate these reasons:

"The local board has too much focus on Glen Eden, Titirangi and Huia. Northern parts of the local board (Swanson, Bethells) usually do not get included in any plans."

"The general priorities are right, but we need more specific actions in our area e.g. food scrap collection or community composting, community bokashi bins etc. Also, we need community projects with expert guidance advising neighbourhoods of specific actions individuals can take to protect waterways to clean up the beaches, particularly regarding ameliorating the effects of run-off in heavy rain. We also need incentivisation to a) reduce household water usage year on year, and b) install water tanks to increase water holdings."

Of those who said no, most were generally frustrated with council processes and actions locally and regionally. In particular, people felt their rates did not offer value for money and were generally opposed to any increases. Some also misinterpreted the question and discussed their frustration with the proposed change to the Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate or the regional track closures. Many discussed issues that are the domain of Auckland Transport. A minority of ‘no’ responses actually discussed the priorities and because of low numbers, it was difficult to theme.

**Feedback on local topics**

Free text comments covered a broad range of issues affecting individuals at local level, thus developing themes was challenging. Many, with the exception of the two themes below, did not comment on the priorities listed by the board but generic local issues.

- Environment

People liked the local board’s approach to environmental initiatives but wished to see greater coverage in their areas with respect to weed management and general upkeep. Communities also wished to be engaged in the process of looking at environmental issues within the board area.

A large number of submitters also commented on the quality of their local beaches and swimming areas. Many wished to see greater steps being taken to make these areas clean.

Kauri dieback was also discussed at length but an analysis was not undertaken as this is outside of the scope of the local board. Most discussed the need to re-open the tracks as soon as possible due to the social and psychological impact of the closures on the local community. Any feedback on Kauri dieback was sent through to the regional team co-ordinating the recently finished consultation. As the Annual Budget consultation period overlapped with the Waitākere Tracks consultation, the regional team have agreed to process feedback received via our channels.

Some submitters acknowledged the lower budget available to the board but the high demand for budget towards recreational facilities, such as the ranges, which serve a large portion of Auckland.

- Glen Eden

Many acknowledged the importance of prioritising the Glen Eden town centre, though some residents wanted more of a focus on other areas within the board. There was some concern about the way in which the town centre was being planned – the lack of park and ride options came up frequently as a narrative. General concerns around safety, cleanliness, vehicle congestion and homelessness were also noted.
Feedback received through events
The Waitākere Ranges Local Board held four events:

Have Your Say
- Huia – Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate specific
- Piha – Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate specific
- Glen Eden – general Annual Budget feedback

Community Event
- Titirangi Markets – drop-in and water strategy discussion

A total of 85 people were engaged through these four events.

Feedback on other local topics
These topics were also of local interest, though fall under the jurisdiction of Auckland Transport and as such are largely outside the decision-making scope of the local board.

- Transport
  There were a number of comments on transport, particularly out to and within rural parts of the local board who felt that they weren’t getting a return on their rates. There were also observations on the lack of park and ride options within the board area – Glen Eden and Swanson had specific mentions.

  “I think we need a community shuttle around the Oratia, Piha and Karekare circle — to enable people to get from A to B — both locals and tourists. Transport out here is sparse. Many drink down at Piha at the RSA etc and need a community shuttle till 12 midnight to get people home safely.”

- Roading
  Roading and footpath maintenance was bought up a few times — particularly in areas where there is considerable seasonal traffic e.g. Piha and Huia. One commentator discussed the need for better active transport options to neighbouring local boards. Residents wanted better and more frequent maintenance.

Requests for local funding
There were two requests from the public

One submitter wished to have a skatepark at Piha for young people.

Friends of Regional Parks also made a submission requesting funding. They request funding for Regional Parks staff to:

1. complete the 10 year update to the Regional Park Management Plan, to prepare a regional park acquisition strategy,
2. to prepare park and recreation plans to accommodate population growth, changing demographics, and the impacts of park closure
Information on submitters

The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

Age and Gender

![Age and Gender Graph]

Figure 2 shows that submitters were largely middle aged and evenly split between female and male.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows raw numbers in the gender/age category. There were no submitters who checked ‘gender diverse’.

Ethnicity

![Ethnicity Graph]

Figure 3 shows submitters by ethnicity, revealing a large New Zealand European skew.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakaha/NZ European</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African/Middle Eastern/Latin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total people providing ethnicity 209 105%
5. Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Wāitakere Ranges Local Board area

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees:

- Changes to rates and fees
  - Annual waste management changes
  - Food scraps targeted rate
  - Wāitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
  - Urban boundary rating
  - Religious properties rates
  - Regulatory fees

The submissions received from the Wāitākere Ranges Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

**Changes to rates and fees**

Aucklanders were asked about a proposed small number of rating and fee changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These changes include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• phasing out the Wāitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes*

Out of the 5,249 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020, 253 submissions on regional issues were from people living in the Wāitākere Ranges local board area. Submitters could comment on one or more issues therefore the following numbers will not add to 253.

**Changes to rates and fees**

- Annual waste management changes

  Of the 29 individuals who commented, 7 supported the changes, 1 partially supported and 21 did not support it.

  A number of the comments described frustration with the current waste collection system. The increased costs were seen to be unfair as west residents were also required to purchase tags.
People questioned the quality of the service as there were issues of dumping and uncleanliness in their area.

- **Food scraps targeted rate**
  Of the 16 individuals who commented, 8 supported the changes and 8 did not support it.
  Those who opposed the change questioned the value of this initiative to households that already compost food.

- **Urban boundary rating**
  All five submitters who commented on this change were in support.

- **Religious properties rates**
  Of the 60 individuals who commented, 18 supported the change, 3 partially supported it and 39 did not support it.
  Many commentators wanted religious groups to be charged rates like any other group with no exemptions. A sizeable number also acknowledged that some religious groups may not have reserves to pay for this, and that increased expenses would reduce their capacity to provide social services.

- **Regulatory fees**
  Of the 13 individuals who commented, 1 supported the change and 12 did not support it.
  Most comments received discussed frustrations with the consenting process and felt the costs were already high. Many questioned whether an increase in fees would reflect an increase in the quality of service provided.

- **Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate**
  Of the 184 individuals who commented, 12 supported the changes and 172 did not support it.
  Most who disagreed felt that water quality would decline as a result of the change and were concerned about the lack of upkeep from some owners in the area. Many gave positive feedback about the service currently provided by council contractors.
  A specific analysis of the feedback from this, and those received from outside the board area, will be sent at a later stage by the regional team coding this question.

> "I think the idea of phasing out the septic tank service is a ridiculous idea. Our waterways are already suffering from poorly maintained, aged and damaged septic tanks. The advantage of the council managing this service is that it is done on a scheduled basis avoiding laziness or inattentiveness on the property owners’ part."

**6. Overview of feedback received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from Waitākere Ranges Local Board area**

The question and text below is directly from the feedback form. The feedback from the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area is set out under the question.

| Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other |
development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Out of the 5,249 submissions received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers, 156 submissions were from people living in the Waitākere Ranges local board area. 52% of submitters in the local board area supported the amendment, with a further 28% partially supporting it. Only 17% did not support the amendment.

Those who provided comments were concerned that a transfer would create duplication or inefficiencies but welcomed moves to reduce costs. Some also commented on the accessibility of the waterfront to the general public and did not wish for this to be reduced. Others provided general commentary on their concerns for the future of the waterfront – including decisions on a stadium and the location of the port.

“Any efforts to reduce duplication are welcome so long as costs are not increased by Auckland Council to compensate”

“Support this so long as it does not destroy, public access to waterfront areas. Must stay available to all not just the rich”

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area.

![Pie chart showing responses]

Figure 4 shows the majority of submitters either support or partially support the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 Year Budget Amendment</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is your opinion about this proposal?</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partially support</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do not support</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the position of submitters who gave feedback on this question.
Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal resolution from Governing Body

File No.: CP2019/03693

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive a resolution from the Governing Body and provide feedback on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

Resolution number GB/2019/10

MOVED by Cr L Cooper, seconded by Deputy Mayor BC Cashmore:
That the Governing Body:

a) adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for public consultation, as amended, and confirms that the draft bylaw:
   i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy
   ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

b) forward to local boards and advisory panels:
   i) the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for their views
   ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

c) note delegated authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

d) note delegated authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

e) note delegated authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

f) note the Regulatory Committee’s agreement that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

3. The Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal is included as Attachment B.

4. The Hearings Panel will meet on 3 May 2019 and local boards will have an opportunity to present views.

Ngā tūtohunga
**Recommendation/s**

That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:


b) consider whether to provide views on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal to the hearings panel on the 3 May 2019.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>28 February 2019 - Governing Body report</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Statement of Proposal Auckland Council's new policy on dogs and dog management bylaw <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Sarndra O'Toole - Team Leader Governance Advisors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitākere Ranges Local Board
18 April 2019

Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal referred from the Regulatory Committee

File No.: CP2019/01471

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. To receive the recommendation from the Regulatory Committee and adopt the statement of proposal.

**Whakarāpopototanga matua**

**Executive summary**

2. At its meeting of 14 February 2019, the Regulatory Committee considered the attached report and resolved as follows:

   *Resolution number REG/2019/1*

   **MOVED by Deputy Chairperson BC Cashmore, seconded by IMSB Chair D Taipari:**

   That the Regulatory Committee:

   a) recommend that the Governing Body adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report for public consultation and confirms that the draft bylaw:
      i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy
      ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

   b) recommend that the Governing Body forwards to local boards and advisory panels:
      i) the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report for their views
      ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

   c) appoint a minimum of three panel members, including a chair Cr Cooper and Cr Casey, Cr Wayne Walker, from councillors and the Independent Maori Statutory Board Member Glenn Wilcox to:
      i) attend ‘Have Your Say’ events
      ii) deliberate and make recommendations to the Governing Body based on public feedback on the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report.

   d) delegate authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

   e) delegate authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

   f) delegate authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

   g) rescind the previous direction to “apply dog access rules that protect wildlife in Mahurangi Regional Park to allow dogs under control on-leash on Mita Bay Loop Track and prohibited from Cudlip Point Loop Track, and that a dog-friendly campground be created” [REG/2018/79] and instead retain the status quo rules for the Mahurangi Regional Park.
h) agree that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

3. The original report only to the 14 February 2019 Regulatory Committee is appended at Attachment A.

4. The Regulatory Committee requested changes to the Statement of Proposal prior to it being presented to the Governing Body. Those changes have been made and an amended Statement of Proposal with changes highlighted is appended at Attachment B.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Governing Body:

a) adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for public consultation and confirms that the draft bylaw:
   i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy
   ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

b) forward to local boards and advisory panels:
   i) the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for their views
   ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

c) note delegated authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

d) note delegated authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

e) note delegated authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

f) note the Regulatory Committee’s agreement that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>14 February 2018 - Original Agenda Report to the Regulatory Committee</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Amended Statement of Proposal with changes highlighted</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Authors       | Samdra O'Toole - Team Leader Governance Advisors
Authorisers   | Stephen Town - Chief Executive

Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal referred from the Regulatory Committee
Notice of Motion: Greg Presland - Paturoa Road kauri
File No.: CP2019/05497

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the Waitākere Ranges Local Board that a Notice of Motion was made by Chairperson Greg Presland and Member Sandra Coney.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In accordance with Standing Order 2.5.1 Chairperson Greg Presland has given notice of a motion that he wishes to propose.
3. The notice, signed by Member Sandra Coney as seconder, is appended as Attachment A.
4. Supporting information is also appended with Attachment A.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) urges Auckland Council to:
   i) reinstate the SEA on 40 Paturoa Road so that Awhi Awhi is protected.
   ii) reinstate the SEA on the road reserve outside of 40 and 42 Paturoa Road so that the significant kauri on the road reserve have further protection.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Paturoa Road kauri Notice of Motion dated 8 April 2019</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notice of Motion (Pautoa Road Kauri)

In accordance with Standing Orders, please place the following Notice of Motion on the agenda for the Waitākere Ranges Local Board meeting being held on 18 April 2019.

Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) urges Auckland Council to:

i) reinstate the Significant Ecological Area on 40 Pautoa Road so that Awhi Awhi is protected.

ii) reinstate the Significant Ecological Area on the road reserve outside of 40 and 42 Pautoa Road so that the significant Kauri on the road reserve have further protection.

Background
The fate of a 400 year old Kauri at 40 Pautoa Road (who has been named “Awhi Awhi”) has been a significant local issue for the past four years. Such was the intensity of feeling for the tree two people took up residence in the tree to prevent it from being cut down.

The issue has arisen again recently following an Environmental Court decision where the uplifting of a restraining order preventing its felling has been approved. An injunction against the felling of the tree is to expire shortly.

The Court showed a great deal of sympathy for the tree’s plight and the plight of Kauri generally.

In its judgment it said this:

"The Environment Court has taken a particular interest in the maintenance of Kauri trees as a consequence of the invasive pathogen (phytophthora agathicicida) commonly referred to as Kauri Dieback. Where such trees are protected and where there is the potential for them to be protected from Kauri dieback or other adverse effects, this court has been anxious to ensure that this charismatic megafauna (some might say iconic as the oldest living tree in New Zealand) is protected into the future."

The Court held essentially that as Awhi Awhi is not within a significant ecological area it is not protected. The removal of blanket tree protection which occurred in 2009 through changes made to the Resource Management Act mandated this result.

The properties at 40 and 42 Pautoa Road were partially cleared in accordance with a resource consent issued allowing for building on the property. The original consent was issued on a non-notified basis and without reference to the neighbours.
Following High Court action the consent has been surrendered by the consent holders. There have been concerns raised as to proposed works to stabilise the edge of the property adjacent to the road and also about the building of a driveway over the roots of two roadside Kauri. Defects in relation to the mapping of Kauri root zones were also alleged.

There are three significant Kauri potentially affected by the work. Not only is Awhi Awhi affected but two other Kauri on the road reserve are also seriously threatened. It was claimed in the High Court case relating to the consent that the original proposal for stabilisation of the bank was hopelessly inadequate and that what would be required is a significant retaining wall that would require the roadside Kauri to be felled or at least have their roots systems severely impaired.

Concerns have also been raised about the circumstances of the lifting of the Significant Ecological Area from the property. The Draft Unitary Plan retained the existing SEA over the property. It was contended by the landowner that it should be removed from the cleared area which included Awhi Awhi. The agreement would have kept the SEA in place to cover the more significant Kauri in the road reserve.

The memo submitted to the Unitary plan hearings panel said this:

“Summary of relief sought by submitter:

- reduce extent of SEA_T_5539 from ... 40-42 Paturoa Road, Titirangi where vegetation removal has been undertaken as a result of approved resource consent conditions associated with the titles.

The consent also said that “[t]he remainder of the SEA overlay on all properties where vegetation remains is accurate.”

The attached plan was attached to the submission as is the resulting change to the SEA overlay.

Note that the horseshoe shape has gone. The Kauri to the right on the roadside is protected as it is partially covered. But the Kauri in the centre is not. I understand this is because the Council staff thought the SEA should be contiguous to neighbouring areas but I note that the Kauri’s root system would extend to neighbouring Kauri.

Given that the resource consent has been surrendered it can be asked why the change to the SEA should remain.

Council is under an obligation to consider applications in a timely manner. There was an application for Awhi Awhi to be listed as a notable tree lodged two years ago.

There is a legitimate expectation that these sorts of applications should be considered in a timely manner. To delay consideration of protection of a significant tree because of bureaucratic considerations is not appropriate.

Also Awhi Awhi is within 10 metres of the edge of the road reserve. A fresh application will not necessarily result in permission to construct a dwelling where Awhi Awhi is.

As said by the Environment Court:
“Given that the consent has now been surrendered, it is clear that any application to build on this site would require the applicant to comply with the standards within the plan or seek resource consent. [Counsel for the landowners] Ms Stienstra tells us that there is a 10 m setback from the front boundary of this property for any building and a 6m setback from side boundaries. It is clear from the diagram we have attached as B that the subject Kauri tree is within 10 m of the front boundary and may even be within 6m of the side boundary (although we are not clear on this). In practical terms therefore, it may not be necessary for the owner to remove the tree to construct a home. We appreciate that this simply means that the owner may not have to remove the tree to build a house but would still be entitled to do so as a permitted activity.”

There is no harm to anyone by reinstating the SEA either over Awhi Awhi or over the road reserve. If this does not occur, the fate of three significant Kauri is under threat.

Signatories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Greg Presland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mover</td>
<td>Greg Presland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seconder</td>
<td>Sandra Coney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agreement reached
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SEA Overlay under Unitary Plan
Board member report - Sandra Coney

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To update the Waitākere Ranges Local Board members on projects, activities and issues.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Board members are responsible for leading policy development in their areas of interest, proposing and developing project concepts, overseeing agreed projects within budgets, being active advocates, accessing and providing information and advice.
3. Member Coney is the lead for Historic Heritage/Character and Parks.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:
a) receive Member S Coney’s report for April 2019.

Horopaki / Context

Portable Pump Track
4. On 18 February we enjoyed the launch of the portable pump track purchased by the Board at Sunvue Park in Glen Eden. A great crowd of kids turned up to show us how it worked including neighbours and children from the after-school programme run from Glen Eden Community House. It was great to see children as big as 13 share the space with littlies and all of them having a great time. Good too to see girls using the track. Sport Waitakere and Community Waitakere helped our parks team with running the event, thanks Dawn and Nick.

5. The pump track cost $85,000 and a further $3,500 has been allocated to shift the track from one location to another. After six months at Sunvue Park the pump track will move onto Piha.
Freedom Camping

6. On 27 February on behalf of the Board, I went and made a submission to commissioners Penny Hulse, Linda Cooper and Tau Henare on the proposed Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw. The new bylaw under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 will replace existing legacy bylaw provisions that currently manage freedom camping.

7. The Board supported the recommendations made by Council staff that freedom camping is proposed to be “prohibited” in most parts of the WRLB area, with the exception of a proposed freedom camping place in Swanson. This was because the Local Board felt most locations and local communities were under enough visitor pressure already and there were quite a number of camp grounds in regional and local parks in the area.

8. The Freedom Camping Act 2011 which was designed to open up places during the Rugby World Cup requires Council to manage freedom camping through a bylaw. Any prohibitions or restrictions the council puts in place need to be necessary to protect the area, the health and safety of people who may visit the area, or access to the area.

9. Under this bylaw, enforcement officers will have the ability to issue a $200 infringement fine to anyone who breaches a prohibition or restriction.

315A Glengarry Road Glen Eden

10. In February I went and spoke to commissioners headed by Janine Bell, about why the zoning of this property – owned by Council and held under the Reserves Act – should not be changed from open space to single house residential, whilst I spoke under my own name I took forward the resolved view of the WRLB with regards to the zoning remaining open space. The Council was seeking to make this change as the Governing Body had voted to dispose of the site, despite the WRLB’s expressed view that it wished it retained as parkland.

11. It is a long flat site with excellent access and street frontage and will ideally lend itself to informal open space, play area or community gardens. I visited again the day before I made the submission to take some photos and it was sunny and attractive with mature trees surrounding it.

12. Although about 70-80 submitters had opposed the plan change in writing, I was astounded to learn that I was the only submitter in person on any park rezoning, except for a developer who wanted to change the zoning on a Parnell piece of land. When I realised this I rattled around and through Facebook about a dozen submitters said at short notice I could speak for them.

13. I have not heard the outcome yet.
Flooding at Piha

14. The Board attended a workshop with the Governing Board to hear the results of the Tonkin & Taylor on the 2018 flooding at Piha. We were disappointed that so far we have had no update on the Council’s assets at Piha – the Piha mill Camp, Nigel Hanlon Hut, Piha Camp ground, West Coast Gallery and leases on public land. Despite asking, we do not have a date when this might be ready and so everyone concerned with these spaces is in limbo.

15. In the meantime, I have attended meetings with stakeholders at Piha to work on a resilience plan for locals and I understand an emergency response plan has been developed. I have also kept in touch with Fiona Anderson manager of the Piha campground to offer support.

Kauri Dieback in Local Parks

16. The Board is waiting for a report with recommendations on what actions to take about local parks containing kauri. The WRLB asked for this report in 2017 and has had some workshops but this will be the first chance to make some formal decisions about how to manage kauri dieback in local parks.

Regional Park Track Re-openings

17. On 20 November Cllr Penny Hulse, Chair of the Environment and Community Committee of Council, announced that Kitekite Track and associated tracks would be open before Christmas. This is the only track that was proposed for reopening in the immediate future, although other tracks are being upgraded and will open in due course. The track has been closed along with many others in the forested areas of the Waitākere Ranges Regional Parkland since May last year, with exceptions for coastal tracks and sealed roads.

18. The Council consulted on opening a further tranche of tracks – that would reopen from 2020 - from December 2018 through to mid-March 2019.

19. There was a strong call from communities to open tracks that would serve local people and provide a good forested loop walk for locals. There were public meetings and several means by which people could make written submissions. The consultation was limited by the lack of any costings for kauri-proofing individual tracks, given that a single track could have one kauri or hundreds and the cost would accordingly vary.

20. The Board continues to believe that reopening individual tracks should occur within a planned approach but it is not intended to develop a new plan for the Waitakereas until the review of the Regional Park Management Plan which will not occur until 2020. The consequence of this could well be profound changes in the visitor experience in the Waitakere Ranges occurring in an incremental ad hoc way instead of a planned approach as to the future of the parkland and the visitor experience that is sought.

Swanson – parkland at Penihana, Mettam Drive

21. In March, we met with representatives of Fletcher Building to discuss the future of parkland at the new subdivision at Penihana, on the west side of the rail line.

22. It is a large square space, big enough for many activities, including informal space, seats and shade, play equipment, community gardens, basketball hoop and so on. It is intended that the Board will support consultation with locals to see what they want. The space is currently just grassed and is surrounded by townhouses, so has excellent surveillance and the potential to be well-used and well loved by this new community.

Former Ministry of Education Land at Piha

23. This was purchased by Auckland Council as a local park and for some months the Board has been working with groups at Piha to establish a trust to manage the restoration of the site and the use of the old schoolhouse.
24. In the meantime, maintenance work has been carried out on the building with a view to it being leased to the neighbouring West Coast Gallery.

**Artists in Residence**

25. In February I joined others to help select the Artists in Residence in regional parks, something I have been doing from the inception of this programme at Auckland Regional Council.

26. Once again there was an impressive range of applicants but after several hours a winner emerged. This year's Artist will be based in the West at either Barr Cottage or Craw Homestead.

**Ocean birds**

27. The Local Board has allocated $30,000 for the study of seabirds on the West Coast and Manukau Coast as a follow up to the Big Blue Waitakere report. This will establish the locations of nesting birds on the Tasman Sea and Manukau Harbour Coast. Grey-faced petrels in particular are returning at many places as a result of animal pest programmes in the Waitakeres. These ground-nesting birds are particularly vulnerable to people and dogs. During Seaweek Graeme Taylor of DOC and James Russell of Auckland University spoke at Arataki on seabird studies and risks to these birds.

**Heritage**

**WW1 Commemorations**

28. At the end of last year, I took myself off to visit the graves of the men I had written about in my book Gone West: Great War Memorials of Waitakere and Their Soldiers and also graves of men I am currently writing about kauri bushmen from Piha and the Great War.

29. It was a very sobering experience to see graves and memorials in the remotest of places – so very far from the small villages and settlements in NZ these men had come from. In Belgium, I was particular struck by the enormous flatness of the landscapes and how when we talking about the Anzacs taking a hill or spur or ridge, it would not even qualify for a mention in New Zealand. Hence the need to dig trenches and we were able to visit some of the old trench systems and see crater holes still marking the flat landscape.

30. I was in Le Quesnoy on 4 November 2018, the 100th anniversary of the NZ Rifle Brigade climbing the walls of the old town with ladders, and routing the Germans, without destroying any of the amazing heritage of that town. It was pretty moving to see how much the people of this town still thank the NZers, with NZ flags and displays and welcome signs everywhere.

31. I laid a wreath from the people of Auckland and was very pleased to be present and able to do that, also to be present when Dame Patsy Reddy opening a NZ memorial garden and the commemorative museum was launched.
32. In Gallipoli the adoration of Kemal Ataturk, their hero from Gallipoli and later political leader, was palpable, with his photo on café and residences walls. I had grown up with a father who was a great admirer of Ataturk, as secularising the state, so it was interesting to see how Ataturk’s image is everywhere beside signs that Turkey is reverting to religious practice.

Photo: Laid poppy at last Piha grave, Twelve Tree Copse Cemetery Gallipoli →

33. WW1 truly was a global war but being present at a number of commemorative events and visiting many cemeteries, I was struck so much by how much even today it was and is perceived as an imperial war of major state players. New Zealand proudly sent Maori troops and they demanded to go but the involvement of indigenous troops is almost invisible. There are country memorials to Canada, France, Australia and so on, but you have to hunt to find mention of the Chinese Labour Corps men, the Indian troops who fought alongside NZers at Chunuk Bair and on the Western Front, the Senegalese or mention of the tens of 1000s of African porters who died of starvation and disease.

34. I commemorated the Armistice in Brussels – Belgium was occupied by the Germans for much of the war and was able to visit the memorial of a woman who I particularly admire – Gabrielle Petit – who was shot by a German firing squad for her resistance activities, and refused to wear a blindfold, saying ‘Let them see how a Belgium woman dies’.

35. I continue to attend the Mayor’s advisory group on WW1 which is still working on plans to commemorate WW1 in Auckland in some permanent way.
Anzac Day display

36. In February, I met with Michelle Edge the Council's parks' interp specialist and Joss from Arataki to assist with planned displays at the visitor centre in preparation for Anzac Day.

Waikumete

37. The Governing Body (GB) is currently consulting on a plan for opening up more space for burials at Waikumete. The Unitary Plan closed off large areas which had been designated for burials as these contained areas of gumland vegetation which were deemed to be SEAs.

38. This meant that there was only four years further burial capacity in Waikumete as opposed to a possible 40 years. It is undesirable to have cemeteries closed as they tend to become neglected and resources to manage them diminish. Waikumete is the second largest cemetery in the southern hemisphere and it is desirable to extend its life as much as possible. Most Auckland families have a connection to the cemetery and it is desirable that they can continue to bury family members in the same place for as long as possible.

39. The Local Board wrote supporting the GB’s decision not to go into the heritage parts of the cemetery to try and accommodate more burials. There is actually very little remaining space there, and there was a risk of negative impacts on the heritage of the cemetery which is entirely a Category A Historic Heritage site.

40. Instead the GB is looking to open up some of the lower quality areas in the west but to do that it will have to seek planning changes. The consultation is to seek public input on this proposal.

Sandra Coney
8 April 2019

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Board member report - Steve Tollestrup

File No.: CP2019/05453

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To update the Waitākere Ranges Local Board members on projects, activities and issues.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Board members are responsible for leading policy development in their areas of interest, proposing and developing project concepts, overseeing agreed projects within budgets, being active advocates, accessing and providing information and advice.

3. Member S Tollestrup is the lead for Economic and Community Development.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) receive Member S Tollestrup’s report for April 2019.

Horopaki / Context

Mosque Attacks
4. Arriving in New Plymouth on the afternoon of March 15th for WOMAD, I was unaware of the drama taking place in Christchurch. I went to have a casual look at the news only to find ‘Massacre in Christchurch’ as the headline on CNN. I had to reread over and over to have that awful headline sink in and make sense. I then switched to RNZ where a changing and confusing picture was emerging. Over the next hours and following day, I heard the full extent of the Christchurch Mosque attack.

5. As WRLB portfolio for Ethnic Communities as well as my regular attendance at citizenship ceremonies where I greet and welcome new citizens, many of whom are of Muslim faith, I felt a profound sense of grief and shame. This wasn't supposed to happen here. Yes, we expect it elsewhere but certainly not out here ‘on the edge of the empire’ to coin Dave Dobbyn.

6. To our West Auckland Muslim community, I offer my deepest condolences and sympathy. To our wider and diverse communities, I want to stress again how important to our region you are and how genuinely you are welcome. Within my capacity I want to ensure I support you in feeling safe here and valued.
7. I would also note that as our country grieved, our Prime Minister exemplified to the world how we felt and stepped up to make visible our collective compassion and aroha as well as demonstrating an extraordinary level of international leadership that unified us.

8. Following the attack, I worked closely with the Waitakere Ethnic Board supporting their March of Aroha to the West Auckland Islamic Centre in Ranui on the Friday following the attacks. All along the Mosque and Centre's fence were piled bouquets, placards and notes of sympathy. Being part of the march was a small gesture of solidarity that was warmly appreciated by the Centre’s congregants and leadership though the deep shock and grief of the previous week remained on many of their faces.

9. My colleague Saffron Toms and I were provided with an Invitation for the following Friday to attend Prayers at the Masjid-E-Bilal in Glen Eden. It provided a valuable opportunity to meet with the Mosque’s leadership including Imam Mohammed Hanif. A desire for closer relationship with the mosque and local board was expressed by the Imam and agreed to by both of us.

10. I would like to especially mention and thank the Waitemata District Police for their dignity and poise as they guarded marchers and the mosques in our area.

Titirangi festival

11. It may seem an awkward segue from the Mosque attack to the Titirangi Festival of Music, but I think it makes sense. I opened the event on behalf of the board, a major sponsor and funder, with a deep sense that the festival was a celebration of diversity standing in direct opposition to racism, xenophobia and prejudice. The Louisiana Mardi Gras funk band with its diverse rhythms and mixed members that played on the first night ended any doubt otherwise. The joy of music and celebration diversity was appropriately defiant.

12. The festival not only had ticketed events, but the afternoons enjoyed filled public spaces with a variety of musical treats, art and informed discussions.
13. Thanks to David Parker and his team for once again producing the festival. After 15 years it is one of the key events in our West Auckland Calendar.

Open Skies
14. I held a sidewalk astronomy event first at Movies in the Park at Duck Park, then outside the Glen Eden Library. The events were to bring attention to protecting our dark skies that are under threat and which we are in danger of losing through light pollution. Under the WRHAA legislation we have our dark skies protected. We have very rich skies along our west coast and western slopes of the Ranges as well as the Manukau Harbour heading out to Huia and Whatipu. These skies are graded as a world class standard by the International Dark Sky Association. These pristine skies, like Kauri are worth protecting and celebrating.

15. For the mid-week Glen Eden event I used my own telescope (a Dobsonian with an 8-inch mirror for those who want the details) and focused on the easy target of the moon. The event lasted from 8 until 11 and had 70 locals attend throughout the evening.

16. I like to think of sidewalk astronomy as the 'Democracy of Wonder' and there were plenty of "wows," "amazing," "far-out," "I've never seen the moon through a telescope before and it's so beautiful," outside the Glen Eden Library. After most of the families had left our local street people and rough sleepers joined in. They were engaged, knowledgeable and fascinated by the sky. One gave me a lengthy rundown on Marama the Maori legend of the moon from a tradition handed down within his own tribe I had not encountered before.

17. Our dark sky not only valuable for our own sense of beauty and perspective, but also provides opportunities for Astronomy Tourism which is one of the worlds fastest growing tourism trends. Currently Great Barrier with its exceptional night sky is have a significant increase in visitor numbers eager to see the unpolluted night sky.

18. In the coming months, I'll be taking my telescope out to our communities; Huia/Parau, Titirangi/Laingholm, Piha/Karekare, Bethells, Waitakere, Henderson Valley/Oratia. Please follow the Local Board website for days and places


Airport Noise Consultative Group
20. As a committee member of the Auckland Airport Noise Consultative Group I’ve been made aware that some residents along the Manukau coastline specifically Titirangi and Laingholm have made noise complaints about night-time air traffic in and out of the airport.

21. Under the Heritage Area Act the quietness of the ranges is to be protected and I have pointed this out to Auckland Airport. This is especially critical in the years ahead as the new second runway is completed and air traffic increases.

22. Alternative flightpaths are being considered and I am requesting that the airport’s mobile noise monitoring equipment be placed at various locations within our local board boundaries to monitor noise levels. I will report back on those when they are available.
Piha

23. Working with the local Piha response group and the Auckland Emergency Management team the final draft of the Community Disaster Response Plan has been completed with some minor iterations being made before its presentation to the wider community for consultation in April. The plan has had wide input throughout community representatives, including emergency and critical services such as fire and surf lifesaving.

24. Following completion of the community response plan and integrated coordination plan between local Piha emergency response providers with their central command counterparts will be prepared.

Auckland Transport

25. Responding to concerns from Resident Groups in Henderson Valley and Waitakere Township I have submitted work and community consultation requests to Auckland Transport. In the case of Henderson Valley, residents had voiced concerns around the positioning of the new school bus stop and parking restrictions on Opanuku road as well as a new Mountain Road crossing. I'm please that the situation has been addressed by Auckland Transport to the satisfaction of residents and the primary school.

26. Waitakere Township have requested that subsidence on McEntee Road be repaired as well as removal of unsightly graffiti on the now disused train station. A job order has been made by me and is being followed up. Waitakere Township is one of the lovely towns of our area and it is totally unacceptable that Auckland Transport has neglected its duty of care for the property it owns and is responsible for.

Bethell's Fibre

27. Among my first initiatives when first elected in 2013 was advocating for optic fibre to be provided to Bethell's/ Te Henga. Originally told by Chorus “no way”, “not budgeted for” I have supported the Bethell's Internet Users Group in lobbying for fibre. Over the last two terms the Internet Users Group, local board, Ward Councillor Linda Cooper and I have approached and lobbied Mayors, Committees, Central government, Telco's and private providers. We have explored just about every conceivable option from crowd-funding, targeted rates and locally owned Wi-Fi capability, Satellite, wi-fi towers etc. Throughout pressure and diplomacy on Chorus has been persistent.

28. I'm delighted to say that at this time, optic fibre is being rolled out down the valley. Whereas previously it required an overnight download to deal with email, now users will have their heads spinning at the dizzying download speeds. This will not only have a profound impact on households but will be a major contribution and boost to the local economy and the many emerging and established local businesses. It will also enhance the safety for the beach and civil defence. Congratulations to the Te Henga community.

Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) Meeting March 1st.

29. As the local Board Representative to LGNZ I attended the March 1st meeting held in Orewa. The following salient points and issues were discussed.

- **Localism**: A persistent advocacy point of LGNZ is the concept of ‘Localism.’ Compared to other OECD members New Zealand local government has one on the lowest funding bases compared to central government. It also has limited discretion on infrastructure and some critical local services. Housing would be an example. LGNZ is lobbying for more direct funding be released to local government to manage and oversee. A report on progress for that initiative and a LGNZ conference on Localism in Wellington of provided.

- **Three Waters**: The Government is reviewing how to improve the regulation and supply arrangements of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater (three waters) to better support New Zealand’s prosperity, health, safety and environment. Most three waters...
assets and services, but not all, are owned and delivered by local councils. The programme is focused on collaborating with the local government sector, the water sector and other stakeholders to meet the three-waters challenges facing it. These include funding pressures, rising environmental standards, climate change, seasonal pressure from tourism, and the recommendations of the Havelock North Inquiry. Among concern for LGNZ is that unique regional needs, distribution and waste management of water are not compromised by a ‘one size fits all’ regulatory and policy environment.

- **LGNZ Response to Climate change**: Taking legal advice, LGNZ is reviewing current local government understanding of its responsibility for management of climate change. There is no aligned set of policies or guidance and as climate change intensifies Councils will need to be clearer about demarcation between central and local government, consenting and approved activities, coastal management and the environment.

**Beat and Eats**
30. From 5pm to 8pm every Saturday from 23rd February to March 30th the Local Board has sponsored Beat and Eats outside the Glen Eden Library. This family friendly event has had local musicians and entertainers performing for families picnicking with take-aways from local food providers. The warm summer evenings have seen good turnouts and helped to activate the town centre. Based on the success of this summer, Beats and Eats will return bigger and brighter.

**Other Attendances:**
- Chairing the West Auckland Family Violence Network February meeting
- Presenting the ‘cross-cultural skills for community workers’ module for the Community Waitakere Community Leadership Training
- Attendance during the period under report
- All Citizenship Ceremonies
- Waitakere Ranges Combined Residents Group
- Henderson Valley Resident Association
- Waitakere Residents Association
- Glen Business Association and BID
- Greater Glen Eden Group meeting
- Huia Domain celebration
- Hoani Waititi Marae Waitangi Day
- Kauri Karnival
- Glen Eden Community House AGM
- Friends of Arataki AGM
- Waitakere Ranges Protection Society AGM

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**
There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmation of Workshop Records

File No.: CP2019/05437

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present records of workshops held in March 2019 by the Waitākere Ranges Local Board.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Briefings provided at the workshop held are as follows:

7 March 2019:
- Planning Session with Board
- Arts and culture update:
  a) Review of Matariki event in 2018.
  b) Discuss work programme line item 265: arts activity in Glen Eden town centre.
- Update on Project Streetscapes contracts
- Glen Eden BID

14 March 2019:
- Waitākere Ranges Open Space Network Plan
- Progress update from Community Waitākere
- Improving the process to develop regional policy

21 March 2019:
- Work Programme Workshop 2 (Draft LB work programmes FY20)
- Grant Programme Review 2019/2020
- Update on consent application for the replacement Huia Water Treatment Plant & Reservoirs - Feb 2019

28 March 2019:
- Waitākere Our Backyard Project
- Community Weed Bin 2019/2020 options

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) receive the workshop records for 7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Workshop records for 7, 14, 21 and 28 March 2019</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Waitākere Ranges Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board held in the Waitakere Ranges Local Board office, 39 Glenmall Place, Glen Eden, on 7 March 2019, commencing at 9.30am.

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Greg Presland
- Members: Sandra Coney, Neil Henderson, Steve Tollestrup, Safron Toms, Ken Turner

**Apologies:**

**Also present:** Glenn Boyd, Raewyn Curran, Brett Lane, Claire Liousse, Sharon Davies and Brenda Railey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Planning Session with Board Raewyn Curran</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Presenter: Raewyn Curran (9.30am-12.00pm) Discussion with local board on the progress of current work programme against local board plan (including reallocation of Budget underspend / CAPEX).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Arts and culture update:</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Presenters: Marieke Numan and Ataahua Papa (1.00pm-2.00pm) The board was updated on the Matariki event to support art activities and initiatives to be delivered in Glen Eden that activate local spaces and engage the community as participants/audience and sought feedback on the strategic direction on work programme line item 265.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Review of Matariki event in 2018.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Noted:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Discuss work programme line item 265: arts activity in Glen Eden town centre.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lopdell House – steps are a trip hazard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marieke Numan Arts &amp; Culture advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Atkinson sculpture – cleaning required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataahua Papa Arts &amp; culture programmer</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Debriefing on 2018 Matariki Festival event. Star gazing event well received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 2019 Matariki event – dawn karakia to be held in the Domain led by Waikato Tainui.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Board agreed to $19k budget allocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Actions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. To progress cleaning of Atkinson sculpture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 3. Update on Project Streetscapes contracts

**Tery Everett**, project manager and Jenny Gargiulo, Principal Environmental Specialist

Tracy Tran and Jody Webb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 20</th>
<th>Presenter: Tery Everett (2.00pm-3.00pm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Board was updated on the final Project Streetscapes contracts (following decisions by the Strategic Procurement Committee December last).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Noted:**

- Board has an understanding of the service outcomes for the Project Streetscapes contracts (prior to the contracts starting on 1 April)
- Auckland Transport ran service levels work at a loss.
- Go live dates:
  - 1 April 19 - Green (berm mowing, edging and week management)
  - 1 July 19 - Clean (town centre cleaning)
- General concern about overflowing and emptying of bins

- Board requested support with supporting Heritage Area Act implementation.
- Variables between current pest regional strategy and proposed pest management strategy.
- Weed corridor issue: agapanthus flowering and seeds ready to disperse
- How are areas identified as requiring special attention being managed?

**Actions:**

1. To provide a briefing note under proposed pest management plan – what it means for weed pest control in road corridor areas (Jenny)
### 4. Glen Eden BID

**Jennifer Conlon & Grant Trethewey**

In support: Claire Liousse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenters: Jennifer Conlon and Grant Trethewey (3.30pm-4.00pm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Board was updated on the BID’s annual activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noted:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crime prevention a priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Beautification plan proposed to clean and paint buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Regular maintenance of private gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Review and reprint Glen Eden Prospectus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chinese lanterns taken down and to rehang flower baskets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To review the Voice newsletter noting the editor now lives in Wellington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. To meet with local board to discuss beautification project in next four weeks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Members update and informal discussion session

- Waitākere Ranges trial bus services discussion completed.

**Administration (weekly) - Sharon Davies**

The workshop concluded at 4.00pm.
Waitakere Ranges Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitakere Ranges Local Board held in the Waitakere Ranges Local Board office, 39 Glenmall Place, Glen Eden, on 14 March 2019, commencing at 12.00pm.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Greg Presland
Members: Sandra Coney, Neil Henderson, Steve Tollestrup, Ken Turner
Apologies: Saffron Toms
Also present: Glenn Boyd, Raewyn Curran, Brett Lane, Claire Lioussle, Sharon Davies and Brenda Railey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Waitakere Ranges Open Space Network Plan (Skype) Justine Yu and Emma Golightly</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Presenter: Justine Yu (12.00pm-1.00pm) Current state from the previous workshop and confirm the key moves and introduce the prioritised actions. Noted: • Where are the recreational amenities in the board area? Gaps analysis required. • Key moves identified to improve the parks and open space network: - Growth - Recreation - Connections - Healthy environment • Heritage area special category e.g. Healthy Environment • Recognition of beach and sea access for recreational activity and catering (infrastructure provided by board) for visitors (local, national and international visitors) to WR area. • Capturing local groups working in parks on environment issues e.g. volunteers looking after weed control, bird, pest etc. Actions: 1. To workshop key moves 9 May 19.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. Progress update from Community Waitākere

Mark Allen (executive officer), Aroha Te Namu and Maj de Porter
In support: Claire Liousse

**Keeping informed**

Presenter: Mark Allen and Aroha Te Namu (1.30pm-2.30pm)

The board was updated on the Community Waitākere’s local summary of activities.

**Noted:**
- Local activities and core initiatives outlined
- Open door days bring together sub-regional organisations for networking.
- Ki Tua o Te Tatau (Behind Closed Doors) tamariki reclaiming family violence stories – exhibition at local libraries.

**Action:**
- Further discussion with board on proposed Ethlück event (Parrs Park)

### Administration (weekly) 15 mins

### 3. Improving the process to develop regional policy

Denise O’Shaughnessy, Manager strategic advice

**Input to regional decision-making**

Presenter: Denise O’Shaughnessy (3.00pm-4.00pm)

Governance framework review on local board involvement in regional policy and planning.

Feedback sought on the discussion paper to consider how the process to develop regional policy could be improved to ensure effective local board input.

**Noted:**
- The board requested that Governing Body and local boards meet at least once a year.
- Feedback provided by board members duly noted.

Members update and informal discussion session
- Feedback to Auckland transport on Bus shuttle pilot

The workshop concluded at 4.00pm.
Waitākere Ranges Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board held in the Waitakere Ranges Local Board office, 39 Glenmall Place, Glen Eden, on 21 March 2018, commencing at 9.30am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Greg Presland
Members: Sandra Coney, Neil Henderson, Steve Tollestrup, Ken Turner
Apologies: Saffron Toms
Also present: Glenn Boyd, Raewyn Curran, Brett Lane, Claire Liousse, Sharon Davies and Brenda Railey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Work Programme Workshop 2 (Draft LB work programmes FY20) | Setting direction / priorities / budget | Presenter: Raewyn Curran (9.30am-12.00pm)
A discussion/review of the draft work programmes by activity. Specific items were discussed as follows:
1. Libraries
2. ACE
3. I &E Services
4. Park, Sport & Recreation
5. Community Leases
6. Community Facilities
7. The Southern Initiative
8. Plans & Places
Refer LBS Work Programme Workshop 2 notes for specific discussion items. |
| 2. Grant Programme Review 2019/2020 | Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions | Presenter: Marion Davies (2.00pm-3.00pm) Discussion on proposed improvements to the Community Grants programme for 2019/2020, including criteria, exclusions, lower priorities and grant round dates. And to agree the content of the grants programme for 2019/2020, including criteria, exclusions, lower priorities and grant round dates. Noted: • 2018 improvements include new multi board application • Priorities will remain unchanged as they align with the WRLB plan. • Feedback on higher priorities and exclusions to remain unchanged. • Proposed dates outlined. o Local Grant Round One and Two (open for 2 months) and o Quick Response Round One and Two (open for 1 month). • Generic decline reasons remain unchanged. Action: 1. Waitakere Ranges Local Board Grants Programme 2019/2020 Report to 18 April Agenda |

Weekly Administration – Sharon Davies (15 mins) / Afternoon tea (15 mins)

| 3. Update on consent application for the replacement Huia Water Treatment Plant & Reservoirs - Feb 2019 | Keeping informed | Presenter: Paul Jones and Brent Evans (3.30pm-4.15pm) The board received a briefing on the final consent application for the replacement Huia Water Treatment Plant & Reservoirs’ Note: • No kauri to be removed from water treatment and proposed reservoir sites • Resource Consent Application to be lodged end of April • Mitigation proposals for Gateway entrance Members update and informal discussion session - Feral chickens in Titirangi township - Feedback on the proposed new model for allocating funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux (CAB) – required by 28 March meeting. |

The workshop concluded at 4.00pm.
Waitakere Ranges Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitakere Ranges Local Board held in the Waitakere Ranges Local Board office, 39 Glenmall Place, Glen Eden, on 28 March 2019, commencing at 12.00pm

**PRESENT**

Chairperson: Greg Presland  
Members: Sandra Coney, Neil Henderson, Steve Tollestrup, Saffron Toms, Ken Turner

Apologies:  
Also present: Glenn Boyd, Raewyn Curran, Brett Lane, Claire Liousse, Sharon Davies and Brenda Railey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. **Waitakere Our Backyard Project**  
Holly Cox and Pamela Gill  
In support: Nick FitzHerbert and Sarah Gibbs, Ruairi Flynn and Andrew Nelson | Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions | Presenter: Holly Cox and Pamela Gill (12.00-1.00pm)  
A presentation updating the Board on the Waitakere Our Backyard project.  
Notes as follows:  
- Benefits of the NETR 2019-20 includes completing 2 roadside (buffer/private land) surveys and increased weed control.  
- Regional Pest Management Plan targeted rate funds 80% of the work. Increase on historic levels of protection for significant parkland.  
- Board support the following:  
  - Local board programme continues for next financial year  
  - Addition of further species e.g. climbing asparagus, coarse, jasmine, ginger, climbing pea (suggest excl. pampas)  
  - In principle agreement to co-funding option  
  - Existing information held will not be used for enforcement  
- Regular catch-ups with board as new buffer programme is developed. |
### Attachment A

#### Item 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Community Weed Bin 2019/2020 options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruairi Flynn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Nelson,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick FitzHerbert,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Sutherland and Celia Chan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actions:**

1. To set up ‘Working Group Meeting’ with board members to progress Waitākere Our Backyard Project.

**Presenters:** Ruairi Flynn with input from Andrew Nelson (1.30pm-2.30pm)

Presentation discussed the options for the weed bin project for 2019/20.

**Noted:**

- Bins lined since Oct 18. Overfilling of bins and dumping of inorganics and vegetation at unattended bin sites an issue.
- Overspend due to higher turnover rate for the permanent bins and kauri dieback protocols require the bin is lined,

**Pros and cons of each Option discussed:**

- Options 1: Piha and Huia permanent bins. Service delivery issues remain unresolved.
- Option 2: Piha and Huia run as amnesty bins, two bins for each site, 1 weekend per month. Not within current budget.
- Option 3: Two bins at Piha and Huia from Nov 19-Apr 20120. No bin service during winter months.
- Support for educational/engagement community if required.

**Staff recommendation of Option 3.**

**Actions:**

1. To seek clarification on scope for options 1 and 2
2. Further workshop required to discuss options presented

**Administration (weekly) - Sharon Davies**

**Members update and informal discussion session**

The workshop concluded at 4.00pm.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present the Waitākere Ranges Local Board with its updated governance forward work programme calendar (the calendar).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The calendar for the Waitākere Ranges Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The calendar is part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) receive the governance forward work programme calendar for April 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance forward work programme calendar, April 2019</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor - Waitakere Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>