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Devonport-Takapuna Local Board  
OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board held in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Chamber, Takapuna Service Centre, Level 3, 1 The Strand, Takapuna on Tuesday, 16 April 2019 at 4.00pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson       George Wood, CNZM
Deputy Chairperson Dr Grant Gillon
Members           Mike Cohen, QSM, JP  
                 Jennifer McKenzie  
                 Jan O'Connor, QSM  
                 Mike Sheehy

ABSENT

ALSO PRESENT

IN ATTENDANCE
Devonport-Takapuna Local Board  
16 April 2019

1 Welcome
2 Apologies
   There were no apologies.
3 Declaration of Interest
   There were no declarations of interest.
4 Confirmation of Minutes
   Resolution number DT/2019/46
   MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon:
   That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 19 March 2019, as true and correct.

   CARRIED
5 Leave of Absence
   There were no leaves of absence.
6 Acknowledgements
   There were no acknowledgements.
7 Petitions
7.1 Katherine Mason – 2019 ANZAC Services in Devonport
   Katherine Mason was in attendance to address the board regarding 2019 ANZAC services in Devonport.
   Petition Prayer:
   “That the Devonport Civic ANZAC Service parade continue as normal in honor of the hundreds of residents who have sacrificed their lives to serve our country. Respecting their families and the community who wish to commemorate them and acknowledging Devonport’s role in NZ Military history”
   A copy of the petition was provided. A copy has been placed on the official minutes.
   Resolution number DT/2019/47
   MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member M Cohen:
   That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
   a) thanks Katherine Mason for her presentation regarding the 2019 ANZAC services in Devonport and receive the petition.

   CARRIED

Attachments
A 16 April 2019 - Item 7.1 Katherine Mason - 2019 ANZAC Services in Devonport Petition
8 Deputations

There were no deputations.

9 Public Forum

9.1 Peter McNee and John Godfrey, Sunnynook Community Association

Peter McNee and John Godfrey were in attendance to address the board in relation to item 13 of the agenda report.

Resolution number DT/2019/48

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member M Cohen:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) receives the presentation from Peter McNee and John Godfrey and thank them for their attendance.

CARRIED

10 Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

11 Notices of Motion

Under Standing Order 2.5.1 (LBS 3.11.1) or Standing Order 1.9.1 (LBS 3.10.17) (revoke or alter a previous resolution) Notices of Motion have been received from <Member Names> for consideration under items 12 and 13 respectively.

12 Notice of Motion - NZ Super Fund

Resolution number DT/2019/49

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon, seconded by Member J O’Connor:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) supports the decision of the Guardians of the NZ Super Fund to divest its investments in gun manufacturing and gun retailing business.

b) confirms its support to the Waitemata Harbour crossing tunnel project; and

c) writes to the Guardians of the NZ Super Fund to request that they explore investing in the crossing project and in doing so assist in securing the timelines and viability of the crossing and even to bring in forward.

CARRIED
13 Notice of Motion - Disposal recommendation report for 2 Forrest Hill Road

Resolution number DT/2019/50

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon, seconded by Chairperson G Wood:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) rescinds clause b) of resolution number DT/2016/122 passed at the 19 July 2016 meeting of the board, which reads as follows:

Disposal recommendation report – 2 Forrest Hill Road

Moved by Chairperson J Bergin, seconded by Member DP Hale:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

(b) endorse Panuku Development Auckland’s recommendation to the Finance and Performance Committee to dispose of 2 Forrest Hill Road, Forrest Hill, being an estate in fee simple compromising approximately 650m2 more or less being Section 1 SO Plan 484419 contained in computer freehold register 701352, subject to alternative open space acquisitions in this area being identified, noting that the area is not within an acceptable range for walking distance provision target for neighbourhood parks

And replace with:

b) confirms its support to retain the land at 2 Forrest Hill Road so it can be developed as a neighbourhood park in the Forrest Hill area.

c) requests that the land at 2 Forrest Hill Road be transferred to the service portfolio so that it can be included in the scope of the board’s Open Space Management Plan, which will be undertaken in the 2019/2020 financial year.

d) notes that the local board has provisionally allocated $60,000 from its locally driven initiatives operational budget towards the Open Space Management Plan, which will enable the land at 2 Forrest Hill Road to be reclassified as a reserve.

e) notes that no alteration or revocation has been suggested to clause a) of resolution number DT/2016/122

CARRIED
14 Department of Conservation proposal to revoke Reserves Act 1977 delegations

A copy of the Auckland Council Submission to the Department of Conservation in the matter of the certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 was tabled. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number DT/2019/51

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) recommends that no decision on the proposed changes to the Reserve Act 1977 Ministerial delegations be made until the appeal of the Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council [2018] NZCA 262 decision to the Supreme Court has been completed;

b) provides the following feedback on the Auckland Council Proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 submission:

i. Supports the council's position to not support the proposal to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers within the Reserves Act 1977, delegated to local authorities if decision-making for local parks and reserves is allocated to local boards. This would include returning of delegated decision-making of films and events on local reserves and parks, including the receipt of fees associated with events and films; and approval for disposal of all land, including the divestment of surplus land.

ii. Supports the following submission points:

- that substantive justification for the proposed revocation of delegations has not been proven, and a clear identification of the problems exercising dual delegations by councils under the Reserves Act 1977 is lacking;
- that the proposed revocation of delegations will undermine local decision-making and community outcomes and would be a backwards step to undo decision-making at the local level for local communities;
- that the proposed revocation of delegations will add significant delays to all Reserves Act 1977 processes, and council's ability to be responsive to communities will be severely impacted;
- that the revocation of delegations will mean that the Minister of Conservation (or delegate) will largely focus on whether procedural steps were followed, and decision-making would be undertaken with little understanding of local issues,

iii. Notes that it is premature to justify changes to the Reserves Act 1977 Ministerial delegations, as they are based on comments made by the Court of Appeal in its decision of Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council [2018] NZCA 262, and this case has also been appealed to the Supreme Court;

submission requesting that if the delegations are to remain with local authorities, then some form of appeal rights are allocated to the Department of Conservation under special or exceptional circumstances

v. Supports other key points highlighted in the council submission, which include:

- council has significant experience exercising its responsibilities and delegations under the Reserves Act 1977;
- council has invested in processes, systems and practices to allow robust dual decision-making, while managing any potential or actual conflicts of interest;
- the Ministerial delegations are essential for council to manage the enormous scale and volume of decisions that are required for the effective and efficient administration of reserves, as required under the Reserves Act 1977.

CARRIED

Note: Member O'Connor abstained from voting on the above resolution
Note: Member Sheehy left the meeting at 4.50pm
Note: member Sheehy returned to the meeting at 4.51pm

15 Auckland Transport monthly update - April 2019
Resolution number DT/2019/52
MOVED by Member J McKenzie, seconded by Member M Sheehy:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) receives the Auckland Transport April 2019 monthly update report and thank Marilyn Nicholls for her presentation and attendance.

CARRIED

16 Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028
Resolution number DT/2019/53
MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon, seconded by Member M Sheehy:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:


b) approves its advocacy initiatives for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement.

i. That the local board advocates for funding from the natural environment targeted rate to support initiatives that will improve biodiversity outcomes for Lake Pupuke and the Wairau Estuary, including initiatives around the control of invasive pest species.

ii. That the local board advocates for funding from the water quality targeted rate to improve water quality and clarity across the local board area, in particular a continuation of the investigative works being undertaken on Takapuna and Milford Beach and necessary actions identified as part of this projects.
iii. That the local board advocates for an amendment to the allocation of non-regulatory decision making table so that local boards are allocated decision-making on:
   1. the acquisition and divestment of all land,
   2. the disposal of surplus land; with the local board approval being required before the final decision by the Governing Body.

c) receives consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from people or organisations based in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area.

d) provides the following feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 regional topics, based on comments and feedback received from the community during consultation:

   i. does not support the proposed $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs;

   ii. does not support extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available;

   iii. does not support phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021);

   iv. supports adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanized areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours);

   v. supports the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations; and

   vi. does not support an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, harbour master fees and animal management fees).

e) provides the following feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 based on comments and feedback received from the community during consultation:

   i. supports the transfer of legal ownership of the city centre water front properties currently owned by Panuku to Auckland Council.

f) request that the Governing Body consider consultation as part of the 2020/2021 Annual Budget or next Ten-year Budget, an amendment to the allocation of non-regulatory decision making table so that local boards are allocated decision-making on:

   i. the acquisition and divestment of all land,

   ii. the disposal of surplus land; with the local board approval being required before the final decision by the governing body.

CARRIED
17  **Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal resolution from Governing Body**

Resolution number DT/2019/54

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member J McKenzie:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:


b) requests staff provide a workshop with the local board to present the public consultation findings, which will inform the local board’s feedback at the 21 June 2019 hearing.

c) requests advice from staff on whether the proposed dog exercise area at Barry’s Point Reserve, Takapuna, which is currently under development can be included in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw.

d) notes that the local board will be presenting its views on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal to the hearings panel on 21 June 2019.

**CARRIED**

Note: The meeting adjourned at 5.57pm and reconvened at 6.05pm
All members of the meeting were present.

18  **Request for an additional business meeting to support the Auckland Council 2019/2020 Annual Budget and proposed amendment to the 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 timeframes**

Resolution number DT/2019/55

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member M Sheehy:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) approves one meeting date to be added to the 2016-2019 Devonport-Takapuna Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 timeframes as follows:

- Tuesday, 4 June 2019 at 5pm, in the Council Chamber, Level 3, Takapuna Service Centre, 1-7 The Strand, Takapuna.

b) notes that as this meeting has been approved as an addition to the meeting schedule, the board retains the ability to consider other items of business (in addition to the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028) in the event the need arises.

**CARRIED**
19 Feedback on the Auckland Council draft Increasing Aucklanders’ Participation in Sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039

Resolution number DT/2019/56

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member M Sheehy:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) endorses the overarching purpose, objectives and outcomes associated with the draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039, however expresses concern that the high-level nature of the plan, lack of information on council’s current investment, and lack of information on any potential current under-funding may result in unintended consequences to the sport sector when implemented.

b) provides the following feedback on the draft Increasing Aucklanders’ Participation in Sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 for consideration of the Environment and Community Committee:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key shifts</td>
<td>1. endorse the key shifts in the council’s future investment approach provided they don’t disregard existing investment in both facilities and people;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. recognizes in some situations the benefits of developing multisport facilities to cater for future sporting needs acknowledging that some facilities of a certain scale need a lead user.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>3. endorse the principle of equity as outlined in the draft Sport Investment Plan however request a baseline level of service is established to maintain adequate access to sport for all Aucklanders;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. note that current and future needs cannot be addressed with current facilities, due to a significant historic under-investment in sports facilities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. request the plan has a greater emphasis on sustainability of clubs and community groups, to ensure their long-term viability and success.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment framework</td>
<td>6. endorse the proposed investment framework as it will ensure a structured, evidenced-based approach for sport investment;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. request a level of flexibility is provided for within the proposed investment framework for local boards to react to local investment decisions that are relatively minor in the total scheme of council’s investment in sport;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. endorse using the draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 as a guiding document for the investment into new facilities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. request that investment decisions should be based on community outcomes as outlined in key documents such as Local Board Plans;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. note that the plan may be used as a tool to help inform local board decision making on the future of local assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>11. endorse the people-centric approach used to develop the plan;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. express concern that having only one objective for council’s...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minutes
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment in sport - to increase participation in community sport - is potentially limiting, particularly considering the agenda report states that 'the plan will guide all council investment in sport';</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. note that both active and passive sport and recreation contribute to enhanced outcomes, in particular physical activity, health and wellbeing, and social and community benefits;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. request connecting new and emerging sports with well-established sports to leverage off existing facility investment and to enable trial projects to be undertaken, such as adding temporary court markings, before investing in more permanent solutions;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. express concern that incidental infrastructure such as clubrooms are identified as lower priority as these facilities are a key part of bringing people and communities together;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. express concern should this plan be used to inadvertently add costs to our sports clubs and organisations through charges for sports field use, increased facility hire or increased community lease costs;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. express concern that the draft plan includes limited analysis and information relating to the quantum of funding council currently provides in support of sport, and in particular, the lack of information regarding the potential under-funding of sport now and in the future;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. express concern that the draft Sport Investment Plan may have a detrimental impact on the ability of community sports clubs or organisations to partner with council to receive support for renewal and maintenance costs associated with community-owned buildings and assets (such as playing surfaces) on council-owned land express concern that the draft Sport Investment Plan has the ability to undermine the current community club based sport model and does not analyse the potential financial and social impact if the sport delivery model is changed;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. express concern with the lack of analysis on the potential impact on sport volunteers (administrators, managers, coaches etc.) and seek confirmation on how council will mobilise and coordinate the volunteer participation in sport if community sports clubs are weakened;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. endorse the use of partnerships to address the current and future investment required in sport facilities;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. endorse the close alignment between the Sport Investment Plan and the Facility Partnerships Policy when setting up and investing in community partnerships with the various sporting codes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) expresses concern that the local board were not provided with sufficient support and advice to from staff leading the project, and this in turn made it difficult for the local board to confidently provide its views and preferences the Plan, due to local board feedback being sought concurrently with general public feedback an analysis of public submissions was not provided to enable the local board to hear it’s community’s views; 

d) requests clarification on the process, outcomes and how local board and community priorities can be supported from the Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund;
e) expresses concern that the proposed $120 million to implement the plan is insufficient to meet current and future demand for sport and recreation activities;

f) does not support the reallocation or redistribution of local board budgets (e.g. renewals) to implement the plan; and

g) requests confirmation that this plan will not be used to inadvertently add costs to sports clubs and organisations through charges for sports field use, increased facility hire or increased community lease costs

CARRIED

20 Chairpersons’ Report

Resolution number DT/2019/57

MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member M Sheehy:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) receives and thank Chairperson G Wood for his verbal report

CARRIED

21 Elected Members’ Reports

A document prepared by the Senior Advisor in response to matters raised in member O’Connor’s report was tabled.

A document distributed by the chief operating officer on Friday 13 April 2019 titled ANZAC Day Commemorations – 2019 FAQs was tabled.

A copy of each of the tabled documents has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minute attachment.

Resolution number DT/2019/58

MOVED by Member J O’Connor, seconded by Chairperson G Wood:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) receives the tabled document prepared by the Senior Advisor in response to matters raised in member O’Connor’s report.

b) ensures the timetables for buses terminating at the Devonport Wharf and Bayswater Wharf be made more flexible so that priority is given to allowing ferry passengers to board awaiting buses.

c) urgently investigates how to include the Takapuna Leisure Centre in the partnership of the Plunket Auckland, YMCA Auckland and Auckland Council which offers half price swimming and water safety for pre-schoolers aged between 3 months and 5 years.

d) receives and thank Member J O’Connor for her written report.

CARRIED
Resolution number DT/2019/59
MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
e) receives and thank Member Cohen for his verbal report.
CARRIED

Resolution number DT/2019/80
MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member J McKenzie:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
f) receives and thank Member Sheehy for his verbal report.
CARRIED

Resolution number DT/2019/81
MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member J O’Connor:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
g) receives and thank Deputy Chairperson Gillon for his verbal report.
h) understands that there is the possibility of informal ANZAC day gatherings and that there is a risk to public safety if any such events occur.
i) requests that the chairperson raise the issue of informal ANZAC day gatherings.
j) encourages people, in light of police advice, to quietly reflect on the day and lay a poppy or wreath at some stage during the day if they so desire.
k) receives the tabled document distributed by the chief operating officer on Friday 13 April 2019 titled ANZAC Day Commemorations – 2019 FAQs.
CARRIED

Attachments
A  16 April 2019 - Item 21 Elected Members’ Reports - Response to Member O’Connor’s report
B  16 April 2019 - Item 21 Elected Members’ Reports - ANZAC Day Commemorations - 2019 FAQs

22 Ward Councillors Update
The Ward Councillors were not in attendance for this item.

23 Devonport-Takapuna Local Board - Record of Workshops March 2019
Resolution number DT/2019/62
MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
a) receives the records of the workshops held in March 2019.
CARRIED
24 Governance Forward Work Calendar
Resolution number DT/2019/63
MOVED by Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Deputy Chairperson G Gillon:
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:
   a) notes the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board governance forward work calendar for April as set out in Attachment A of this agenda report.

CARRIED

25 Consideration of Extraordinary Items
There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

6.46 pm
The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE DEVONPORT-TAKAPUNA LOCAL BOARD HELD ON

DATE: .................................................................

CHAIRPERSON: ..................................................
Submission to the Office for Seniors
Better Later Life – draft Strategy
He Oranga kaumātua 2019-2034

Auckland Council, June 2019
Mihimihi

Ka mihia ake ariki nga mungia here kōrero,
ki nga pani whakarongo tai,
ki nga awa tuku kiri o ōna manawhenua,
ōna mana a-riki laketake mai, tauwhiri atu.
Tāmaki – mātou a te rau, mātou a te tini, wenerau a teramo.
Kāhoe tō rite i te aotearo.

I greet the mountains, repository of all that has been
said of this place,
there I greet the cliffs that have heard the ebb and
flow of the tides of time,
and the rivers that cleansed the forebears of all who
came those born of this land
and the newcomers among us all.
Auckland – beloved of hundreds, famed among the
multitude, envy of thousands.
You are unique in the world.
Ko te tāpaetanga o te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Auckland Council Submission June 2019

Title: Submission on Better Later Life, He Oranga kaumātua 2019-2034

Introduction

1. This submission is from Auckland Council (the council) and has been approved by the Environment and Community Committee.

Executive Summary

2. The council supports the Office for Seniors Better Later Life / He Oranga kaumātua 2019-2034 draft Strategy (the Strategy).

3. The draft Strategy aligns with the council’s vision set out in the Auckland Plan 2050, where all Aucklanders feel they belong and can participate in society.

4. We support the Strategy’s vision and guiding principles, particularly:
   - the whole-of-life and whānau centred approach to ageing
   - the importance of recognising diversity.

5. We agree with the key action areas and what needs to happen to achieve them, particularly the focus on:
   - providing housing choices and options so people can age in the community
   - encouraging accessible built environments so people can participate in their community
   - improving access to services, especially for our most vulnerable groups
   - enhancing opportunities for social connection and participation.

6. We consider the draft Strategy could be strengthened by:
   - supporting initiatives that enable working older people to transition to retirement
   - increasing the focus on addressing existing and immediate needs and inequities
   - involving kaumātua Māori in the design and delivery of services and a by-Māori-for-Māori approach in the Strategy’s implementation
   - increasing the focus on culture and diversity throughout the Strategy, particularly for the design and delivery of places and services to meet diverse needs
   - making legislative changes to ensure implementation of universal design and greater accessibility in the built environment
   - making a commitment to substantially reducing elder abuse
   - working with the private sector to ensure they provide for older peoples’ needs
   - considering the ‘whole of journey experience’ to ensure accessible and affordable transport.

7. We also suggest strengthening the language used throughout the Strategy to be more directive, demonstrating and ensuring the government’s commitment to action.

8. The council looks forward to working with the government in implementing the Strategy.
Horopaki / Context

9. Auckland Council has a strong interest in the wellbeing of older people, because:
   - Auckland has New Zealand’s largest population of older people\(^1\)
   - while most of the current 65+ population are well-placed, some face hardship and this is likely to increase\(^2\)
   - the council has committed to becoming a member of the World Health Organisation Global Network of Age-friendly Cities and Communities.


11. This submission follows the format and action areas outlined in the draft Strategy.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and Advice

Vision and Guiding Principles

12. The council supports the vision and guiding principles.

Preparing for financial and economic security

13. We support the Strategy’s discussion on workplace participation, including supporting flexible working arrangements and additional support to retrain older workers.

14. The Strategy should include support for initiatives that enable older people, who stay in the workforce, to transition to retirement. This will allow the transmission of important skills and knowledge while also creating a smooth transition for older workers.

15. The council suggests the Strategy also reference addressing the gender pay imbalance and inequities in the workplace. Given the fact women statistically live longer than men, this may create greater risk for women of poor financial and economic security.\(^3\)

16. The focus of the Strategy in this area appears to be on future-proofing rather than addressing the current needs of older people who face hardship. While the council supports ensuring those entering later life are financially prepared, the Strategy should also focus more on addressing existing and immediate needs and inequities.

Improving access to health and social services

17. The council acknowledges that consideration has been given to the needs of kaumātua Māori and strongly supports culturally appropriate and whānau-centred services.

18. Council recommends kaumātua Māori be involved in the design and delivery of health and social services, employing a by-Māori-for-Māori approach. This approach would ensure services are effective for Māori, whilst being sensitive and respectful of cultural needs.

19. We agree that a whānau-centred approach is also important in other cultures. We encourage consideration of all cultures when designing services for older people,

---

1 22.9% (163,161) estimated in 2017
2 Ministry of Social Development. *Briefing to the incoming Ministers*, October 2014, p.31.
tailoring them to meet diverse needs. This is important as the diversity of older people is growing and many communities have different ideas of ageing and what this means.

Providing housing choices and options so people can age in the community

20. The council supports the provision of greater housing options to support ageing in place. We have adopted the position ‘affordable homes for all’ and are investigating opportunities to improve affordable housing outcomes in Tāmaki Makaurau. Preserving social connections and living in local communities are key factors in maintaining health and wellbeing.⁴

21. We recognise that older people are a critical and growing ‘at risk’ group in the region, lacking tenure security, living in poor conditions and paying unsustainable housing costs. Greater investment is needed to support financially vulnerable older Aucklanders.

22. The council supports the Strategy’s encouragement of universal design. We recommend this includes legislative and regulatory improvements (for example strengthening the building standards) to ensure the application of universal design principles in the built environment. This would benefit all people as the use of universal design (including dementia-friendly design) improves accessibility and removes barriers.⁵

23. We would welcome opportunities to partner with government to foster better housing outcomes for vulnerable older people.

Enhancing opportunities for social connection and participation

24. The council supports the Strategy’s objectives to enhance opportunities for social connection and participation and welcome references to kaumātua and their role in their whānau.

25. We encourage the Strategy to consider the diversity of older people when designing communities and to create opportunities for people to connect. Inclusive services, facilities and activities support life-long participation, intergenerational activity and social connection.

26. We support the Strategy’s commitment to address safety concerns for older people and recommend strengthening the aim to substantially reducing elder abuse, instead of simply ‘reducing its prevalence’.

27. The council supports the development of digital inclusion policies and the innovation for technological solutions to help older people. We recommend that the Strategy work with the private sector to ensure alternative channels are made available for those unable to access digital services. This will help bridge the gap and ensure older people are able to remain connected.

Supporting and acknowledging diversity

28. The council supports the government’s recognition of the diverse range of New Zealanders however, the Strategy makes little specific mention of certain population groups, some of which may face greater challenges as they age.

⁴ Auckland Council. (2016). Determinants of Wellbeing for Older Aucklanders. RHMU.
29. In particular, there should be a stronger focus on Pacific peoples’ points of view, experiences and needs because New Zealand is a significant Pacific nation.\(^6\)

30. As the city with the largest Pacific population, we want to ensure the needs of our older Pacific peoples are met, many of whom are more likely to face greater economic hardship as they age.

31. Rainbow community members are more likely to face ageing alone or without as much personal support as their heterosexual peers.\(^7\)

32. Acknowledging the full spectrum of diversity throughout the Strategy will ensure culturally appropriate and barrier-free access to services and facilities that cater to the needs of diverse populations.

33. It is important that intercultural and intergenerational connections are also included and encouraged. This fosters respect, empathy and understanding, provides opportunities for social connections and builds social cohesion.

**Providing accessible built environments so people can participate in their community**

34. The council supports the Strategy’s commitment to improved accessibility for older people.

35. The Strategy should also encourage the private sector to provide for older peoples’ needs as customers and consumers, for example: providing seating, toilets, clear and wide aisles for wheelchairs and walkers; and training staff to identify and assist older people with vision or hearing needs, not using jargon and speaking clearly.

36. We recommend extending discussion on transport and mobility to encompass the ‘whole of journey experience’. This could include walkability, safety, mobility parking, electric mobility vehicle parking and access to buildings.

37. We suggest the Strategy also include better promotion of public transport. It is important that older people are familiar and confident users of public transport by the time they receive their Super Gold card, knowing they can rely on it because it is safe, frequent, accessible and affordable.

**Recognising the important role local government has in supporting older people**

38. The council has resolved to become a member of the World Health Organisation’s Global Network of Age-friendly Cities and Communities and is in the process of developing an age-friendly Auckland action plan.

39. We support the responsibility and role of local government in relation to the Strategy.

40. It is recommended that local government is involved in any relevant legislative changes resulting from the Strategy and Implementation Plan. This will help ensure any impacts to local government and their local communities are considered.

---

\(^6\) The 2013 Census indicates 295,541 pacific people in NZ, 194,656 of whom live in Auckland.\(^6\)


Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

41. We support the development of a comprehensive Implementation Plan to underpin delivery of the Strategy. We also support the development of an evaluation framework with clear outcome measures and indicators to monitor progress towards outcomes.

42. It will be essential that the Implementation Plan is well resourced including adequate funding for local services that support the health and wellbeing of older people.

43. The council encourages a quicker timeframe for the development of the Implementation Plan, to improve the lives of older people and better prepare those entering older age.

44. The council would like to remain connected to further development of the Strategy and Implementation Plan. We welcome discussion on our submission to ensure the Strategy and Implementation Plan consider impacts on local government and the communities we serve.

45. Council remains committed to its leadership role in Auckland, and as an employer. We will continue to work with central government and others to improve the lives of older people and supports initiatives to prepare those entering later life.
Report Name: Board Chairman George Wood

Report Period: From 16/Apr/2019 – To 21/May/2019

1. Milford Estuary Bridge: Rumor is that the bridge came back into service on Monday 20 May. Devonport-Takapuna Local Board have a presentation from Community Facilities on Tuesday 18 June – 2.30pm to 3.30pm to hear more about the issues surrounding the operation of this bridge.

2. Integrated fares with the cross Waitemata Harbour ferry services: This will mean that the feeder buses on the North shore and the connections on the south side of the harbour out to Newmarket and other places will not now be charged as additional to the ferry fares when commuters use an AT HOP card. I believe this could place more pressure on the 801, 806, 807 and 814 feeder buses if the community take up the opportunity to use these bus routes. Have asked the Chief Financial Officer of Auckland Transport to look closer at the bus capacity issues, in light of how feeder buses are being taken up, with good community use, on other parts of the North Shore.

3. Ethnic Peoples Advisory Panel: Attended the seminar meeting of this Auckland Council panel on Monday 20 May. It was held at the Takapuna War Memorial Hall.

Signatories

[Signature]

| Author | George Wood – Chairman, Devonport-Takapuna Local Board |
Mike Cohen Devonport-Takapuna Board Member’s Report

To The Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Meeting 21st May 2019

Transport

Residential Parking Permits Anne Street Devonport

I sent off emails to both AT and Local Board Staff relating to the treatment and processes around the giving of residential permits in Anne Street yesterday.

The situation developing is another case where a CCO takes a very narrow interest in a particular issue. I’m not saying that it is their fault, but in fact relates to the direction they are given by the Governing Body of Auckland Council. This can also be seen in Panuku where they are charged to achieve income and sale of property targets but seem to have little responsibility towards local people their surrounding neighbourhoods/communities and the potential adverse impact on the local business village’s viability and robustness.

There seems no incentive to look at the wider picture to achieve positive outcomes for the immediate local people, town and village as against the interests of the wider Auckland Region.

When the Devonport Community Board of North Shore City Council responded to the issues developing in Anne Street it was on the basis to achieve certain key factors:

Heritage Conservation

It was recognised that many of the heritage homes in the street were built very close to the footpath. It was determined that in Devonport most homes had 2 to 5 vehicles, although in Anne Street given its location and size of home it was more likely to be 2 or even less. Therefore compelling people to find off-street parking solutions would potentially have an adverse affect on the building
itself and on the streetscape of this unique street. It can also be observed in
the street that there is a near general absence of carports and garages, thereby
retaining the house line along the street.

It was critical to the Council that we continue to make every effort to conserve
our heritage and not take measures that would undermine this special street in
Devonport.

Traffic Management

The other factor that the Devonport Community Board took into account was
traffic management. Given the streets close proximity to the ferry building it
was proving an attractive place to park ones vehicle to catch the ferry both to
Auckland and for much longer periods (4 to 7 days) to Waiheke or other
harbour islands. Bringing in restricted 180 minute parking without
consideration of the local people in the street was unfair and unjust if we also
wanted to retain and conserve the look of this heritage street. Therefore we
introduced residential permits at an unsubsidised cost of $12pa

We also look at the situation taking into account property owners and tenants
and who should have the permit.

Further we address the situation where the property owner’s children who
lived in another part of the Northshore endeavoured to obtain a residential car
park permit.

In the many years that it operated in North Shore it achieved what we wanted.

Retained and conserve the heritage of the street provided a fair and practical
outcome for the residents of the street.

It seems AT to ensure consistency throughout Auckland is endeavouring to
phase out the programme, thereby completely ignoring the heritage
implications on one hand and creating an unfair outcome for the local
residents on the other.
That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

1. Requests Auckland Transport to clarify their real intentions for Anne Street Residential Parking Permits particularly where AT promise to honour the legacy parking permit in their policy.
2. What consideration has AT made in respect to Heritage Implications for Anne Street and how do they propose to address it.

ANZAC 2019

I was obviously disappointed at the lack of Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Community Leadership relating to this year’s ANZAC Day Commemoration.
I ended up giving the ANZAC Dedication at Devonport’s RSA official unofficial ANZAC Commemoration held in the Devonport RSA Hall.
An amazing performance from the RNZN’S Rebecca Nelson was very moving.

Being aware of the unofficial ANZAC Ceremony to be held at the Devonport War Memorial and given the vacuum created, because the DTLB was not prepared to provide community leadership, I got in touch with the Northshore Police Command to make them aware of what was going to happen in Devonport.

They were obviously aware of the unofficial inside Devonport RSA Ceremony, but had no knowledge of the outside unofficial Community Ceremony. They were somewhat surprised and concerned by the complete lack of information from our Local Board/Auckland Council on this community led and inspired initiative.

I communicated there was very strong community feeling as to how Devonport had been treated and that local people would go ahead with their own ceremony anyway. I said numbers were tricky given the likelihood that many locals were taking the opportunity for a long weekend away. That notwithstanding the numbers could be anywhere from a 100 to 1,000, but I would not be surprised that at least 500 would participate.

The Command was very appreciative of being made aware and gaining a better understanding of the unfolding situation in Devonport that would occur on the 25th so they could accommodate it in their planning for the Day.
They also communicated with me on the day as to what they did in respect to Devonport and that they the NZ Police also took the opportunity to place a wreath at our Memorial.

The feedback from locals was overwhelmingly positive to the Commemoration held at our Devonport War Memorial. It was focused, to the point and very moving.

**Sunnynook Outside Community Space**

We are apparently having a briefing on Tuesday the 28th of May on the Sunnynook Community Space outside from the Community House. But it seems there is some great concern from the local community over the proposals. Given the initiative was from the outset community driven there is an urgent need to sit down with them as to where the issues are on what should be a great community initiative.