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Why a review?

- The sector has complex arrangements
- The landscape changed with amalgamation
- Not clear to institutions what council expects
- Not always clear what is being delivered
Where have we come from?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>When?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investing in Auckland’s cultural infrastructure (consultant report</td>
<td>October 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commissioned by RFA/ANMM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toi Whitiiki</td>
<td>November 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governing Body approves review</td>
<td>May 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and TOR for stage one</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation of Museums of Auckland</td>
<td>Mid 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of Stafford report and</td>
<td>October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>councillor workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of Mana Whenua Kaitiaki</td>
<td>March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forum consultant report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions of Stafford report

- Lack of clarity about what Auckland Council wants from its investment
- Simplify cultural heritage sector governance
- Improve responsiveness to mana whenua, including a clear governance role for mana whenua
- Significant challenges exist, which create opportunities
What is proposed for stage two?

- Same five institutions remain the focus; mana whenua kaitiaki forum has joined the process — collaborative/co-design process
- Note: all institutions have endorsed the process and the stage two terms of reference
- Agree the evidence base
- Determine a strategy for council’s investment, aligned with Toi Wh titiki and other frameworks
- Explore enhanced role for mana whenua
- Clarify challenges and respond to opportunities

Scope of the review

- Role of Auckland’s smaller institutions
- ARAFA system – secondary consideration
- Actual levels of funding excluded
Next steps

- Establish project
- Interim deliverables as recommended by Stafford
- Respond to Te Papa proposal if it continues (budget day today!)
- Strategic priorities to Governing Body early 2020
- Blueprint for future August-October 2020 (ready for LTP)

Other things to note/Conclusions

- Communication with central government (MCH)
- Alignment with central govt’s frameworks
- Focus is on collaboration and using existing resource – not commissioning more reports from consultants
- Membership of project groups will be flexible
- Local Board Services will assist with appropriate involvement of local boards