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1 Welcome

The Chair will open the meeting and welcome everyone present.

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 16 April 2019 and the extraordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 30 April 2019, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Franklin Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:
“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Auckland Council’s Quarterly Performance Report: Franklin Local Board for quarter three 2018/2019

File No.: CP2019/06420

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Franklin Local Board with an integrated quarterly performance report for quarter three, 1 January – 31 March 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report includes financial performance, progress against work programmes, key challenges the board should be aware of and any risks to delivery against the 2018/2019 work programme. This is a retrospective report intended to provide a transparent overview and reflect the progress of how the Franklin Local Board work programme (WP) is tracking at the end of quarter three 2018/2019.
3. The work programme is produced annually and aligns with the Franklin Local Board Plan outcomes.
4. The key activity updates from this quarter are:
   - Pest Free Franklin programme delivered pest control hub days in Hunua, Clevedon, Waiuku and Pukekohe East and promoted the initiative at the Pukekohe (A&P) Show
   - A Waiuku Trail “Sod Turning” event was held to celebrate the start of community-led delivery on 22 March
   - Rosa Birch Park playground renewal (Pukekohe) was successfully completed.
5. All operating departments with agreed work programmes have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery (Attachment A). Most activities are reported with a status of green (on track), amber (some risk or issues, which are being managed) or grey (cancelled, deferred or merged). There are no activities with a red status this quarter.
6. The financial performance report compared to budget 2018/2019 is attached. There are some points for the local board to note.
7. Financial operating performance for the Franklin local board area is overall almost to budget for the third quarter ending March 2019. Operating expenditure is less than two per cent of budget with libraries, active recreation, parks services and facility contracts all over budget in response repairs, and Arts, Events, Leases and Halls underspent. Grants and community response funds in the locally driven initiatives operating expenditure (LDI Opex) are still to be allocated through normal funding rounds. Operating revenue is above budget arising from overall increased hire and rental receipts. Capital expenditure is ahead of budget, achieving spend against the full year budget of 81 per cent.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the performance report for the financial quarter ending 31 March 2019.

b) approve the reallocation of LDI Opex budget from the following work programme line
Horopaki

Context

8. The Franklin Local Board has an approved 2018/2019 work programme for the following operating departments:
   - Arts, Community and Events
   - Parks, Sport and Recreation
   - Libraries and Information
   - Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew
   - Community Leases
   - Infrastructure and Environmental Services
   - Plans and Places
   - The Southern Initiative
   - Local Economic Development.

9. Work programmes are produced annually, to meet the Franklin Local Board outcomes identified in the three-year Franklin Local Board Plan. The local board plan outcomes are:
   - Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
   - Outcome 2: A thriving local economy
   - Outcome 3: An improved transport system
   - Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively
   - Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area

10. The graph below shows how the work programme activities meet Local Board Plan outcomes. Activities that are not part of the approved work programme but contribute towards the local board outcomes, such as advocacy by the local board, are not captured in this graph.

Graph 1: work programme activities by outcome
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Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Local Board Work Programme Snapshot

11. The work programme activities have two statuses; RAG status which measures the performance of the activity (amber and red show issues and risks); and activity status which shows the stage the activity. These two statuses create a snapshot of the progress of the work programmes.

12. The graph below identifies work programme activity by RAG status (red, amber, green and grey). It shows the percentage of work programme activities that are on track (green), in progress but with issues that are being managed (amber), and activities that have significant issues (red) and activities that have been cancelled/deferred/merged (grey).

Graph 2: Franklin Local Board Work Programme by RAG status

13. The graph below shows the activity status of activities in each department’s work programme. The number of activity lines differ by department as approved in the local board work programmes.

Graph 3: Franklin Local Board activity by activity status and department
Key activity updates from quarter three

14. Key activities in quarter three are as follows;
   a) WP ID 96: Movies in Parks events were successful held in Beachlands and Waiuku during March
   b) WP ID 100: Waiuku Trail - A “Sod Turning” event was held to celebrate the start of the community-led Waiuku Trails delivery on 22 March
   c) WP ID 227: Delivery of the inaugural Clevedon Art Trail Open Studios weekend with the support of the Franklin Arts Broker
   d) WP ID 979: Six rural halls were enabled to host “Neighbours Day” events in Ararimu, Beachlands, Buckland, Kawakawa Bay, Glenbrook Beach and Pukeoware
   e) WP ID 983: Franklin Youth Advisory Board funded to deliver a Children’s Day event. The group worked with Pukekohe Lions Club to host the opening of the Samuel Miller Reserve barbecue facility (donated by Rotary) at the event.
   f) WP ID 1966: Rosa Birch Park playground renewal (Pukekohe) was completed.
   g) WP ID 3163: Pohutukawa Trail – began feasibility investigation on two trail sections and began installing way-finder markers along the route.
   h) WP ID 384: Hunua Domain biodiversity improvements – fencing was completed with a community planting day scheduled for May 2019.
   i) WP ID 527: Wairoa River Action Plan progressed with Friends of Te Wairoa facilitating a bait and trap day, a weeding day in March at Clevedon Scenic Reserve and planning for further planting in the winter season.
   j) WP ID 1465: Litter Prevention Plan progressed. Eight “Be a Tidy Kiwi” signs on high volume rural roads were installed to discourage littering behaviour and a Waiuku Litter Blitz event was held on 19 March with 130 students from View Road and Mauku Primary schools collecting 193 kgs of litter in two hours.
   k) WP ID 2698: Pest Free Franklin programme delivered pest control hub days in Hunua, Clevedon, Waiuku and Pukekohe East and promoting the initiative at the Pukekohe (A&P) Show
   l) WP ID 1375: Hunua Trail – a contractor was appointed to advance the establishment of a trust, to progress delivery of the project plan and to assist with the appointment of a Hunua trail manager.

Activities with significant issues

15. Activities with significant issues are reported with red status. There are no red RAG status activities this quarter.

Activities on hold

16. The following work programme activities have been identified by operating departments as on hold:
   - WP ID 1963 Clarks Beach Recreation Reserve Play space renewal on hold pending completion of a recreational facilities needs assessment proposed for 19/20.
   - WP ID # 1992 Clarks Beach Skate Park renewal on hold pending completion of a recreational facilities needs assessment scheduled for 19/20.
   - WP ID # 1989 Te Puru park Skate Park renewal on hold pending completion of a concept planning project proposed for 19/20.
   - WP ID # 2825 Pohutukawa Road Reserve stormwater system on hold pending further information on land ownership.
Changes to the local board work programme

Cancelled activities

17. These activities are cancelled:
   - WP ID 95 Franklin Schools Triathlon cancelled by the event manager for 18/19. It is recommended that allocated funding of $2,000 LDI Opex to be reallocated to WP ID 337 Community Grants.
   - WP ID 2803 Community Response Fund. No allocation opportunities have been identified for the $63,000 balance within this fund. Funding of $7,000 previously granted to Pukekohe Performing Arts is no longer required by the group. It is recommended that the allocated funding of $63,000 and $7,000 LDI Opex be reallocated to WP ID 337 Community Grants.
   - WP ID 101 Franklins Finest Volunteer awards. Although noted as completed in the work programme, this event did not occur in 18/19. The board directed staff via a workshop to use allocated funding to update Franklins Finest Volunteer awards roll of honour boards.
   - WP ID 1984 Puni Reserve Drinking Fountain. The existing drinking fountain has been assessed and found to be in good working order. This project has been closed.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

18. When developing the work programmes council group impacts and views are presented to the boards.
19. Community Services support the recommendation to reallocate LDI Opex budget from cancelled Community Services projects to WP ID 337 Community Grants.
20. The proposed decision has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council-controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of this report’s advice.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

21. This report informs the Franklin Local Board of the performance for the quarter ending 31 March 2019.
22. The board has discussed the reallocation of LDI Opex budget from cancelled Community Services projects to WP ID 337 Community Grants in workshops and have indicated support for this approach.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement

23. The following activities delivered Maori outcomes;
   a) WP ID 226: 5 of the 24 programmes delivered by the Franklin Arts Centre had Maori outcomes including the collaboration with Auckland Arts festival and Auckland Libraries for the performance of Ka Tito Au.
   b) WP ID 1096: Library Staff participated in an outreach event at Nga Hau e Wha Marae.
   c) WP ID 885: Discussions about a Wai o Maru Reserve plan have progressed with Ngai Tai ki Tamaki with further work scheduled to ensure the plan reflects the aspirations and cultural practices of Ngai Tai ki Tamaki.
   d) WP ID 985: Local Maori Responsiveness Action Plan was updated with local board feedback and is scheduled to be reported to the board for final approval in quarter 4.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

24. This report recommends the reallocation of $72,000 LDI Opex within the Community Services work programme, specifically;
   i. $2,000 from WP ID 95 Event Partnership Fund (Franklin Schools Triathlon) to WP ID 337 Community Grants
   ii. $70,000 from WP ID 2803 Community Response Fund to WP ID 337 Community Grants.

Financial Performance

25. Financial comments
   - Operating expenditure overall is over budget mainly for facility response maintenance, facility wages and security while under budget in grants payments, bringing the total overspend to $142,000, less than two per cent.
   - Operating revenue continues its trend and is $74,000 above budget arising from increased hire and rental receipts in the halls
   - Capital Expenditure of $5.4 million is ahead of budget against the full year of 81 per cent.
   - Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) Capex unallocated budget balance at 31 March for the years 2019-2021 is $2.22 million.
   - The Franklin Local Board Financial Performance report is in Attachment B.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

26. While the risk of non-delivery of the entire work programme is rare, the likelihood for risk relating to individual activities does vary. Capital projects for instance, are susceptible to more risk as on-time and on-budget delivery is dependent on weather conditions, approvals (e.g. building consents) and is susceptible to market conditions.

27. Information about any significant risks and how they are being managed and/or mitigated is addressed in the ‘Activities with significant issues’ section.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

28. The local board will receive the next performance update for quarter four following the end of the financial year (June 2019).
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### Operating performance financial summary

#### Operating performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2019 Quarter 3</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating expenditure</td>
<td>8,521</td>
<td>8,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenditure</td>
<td>8,797</td>
<td>8,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>7,344</td>
<td>7,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental services</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Commentary

The Franklin Local Board invested $8.5 million in net operating expenditure up to the third quarter ended 31 March 2019.

Operating revenue is $74,000 above budget arising from increased hire and rental receipts in community halls, in the Franklin Arts Centre, in library services and for the Franklin Family Support Trust, at the Pukekohe Service Centre.

Operating expenditure overall is close to budget with overspend in ABS Opex of $484,000 and underspend of $342,000 in LDI Opex, bringing the total overspend to $142,000, less than two per cent.

- **Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) Operating expenditure** - Community Grants and Community Response Funds are allocated throughout the year in rounds or by response, so are expected to be fully utilised. There are currently no adverse delivery issues to report for other LDI Opex projects, although some are in delay. The local board will consider any fund reallocations before the end of the financial year, with the focus being on contestable grants.

- **Asset Based Services (ABS) Operating expenditure** - is mainly Community Services activities, with overspend of $484,000, close to seven per cent. Response maintenance for property, amenities and parks is $480,000 over budget. For the year to date there is underspend in Arts and Events ($48,000), underspend in Leases and Halls ($22,000), Libraries overall are overspent in salaries, repairs and security ($29,000), and Aquatics is overspent in repairs and maintenance ($52,000).
### LDI Operating Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cost of Service</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Party Facility S and R svc activation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE LDI Staff allocation</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZAC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal erosion - planting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Arts Programmes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community response operating fund</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Safety Initiatives</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community volunteer awards</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a trails network</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological volunteers environmental programme</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and charges subsidy</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYAB</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDI Programme Events in local parks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local civic functions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community grants</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local events fund</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori responsiveness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Halls management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural library service review/AandP programme</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School pool community grants</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport and Active rec facility plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Communities to Lead - Integrated ACE activity</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth connections across Auckland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Community services</strong></td>
<td>632</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## DI Operating Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cost of Service</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity Management Plan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building programme</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal environmental improvements</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community action plan for rural litter</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Environmental restoration</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water quality monitoring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterways</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Environmental services</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally Driven Initiatives (ATEED)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth connections across Auckland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planning</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>1,079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Capital expenditure summary

### Capital expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(000's)</th>
<th>FY2019 Quarter 3</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>5,389</td>
<td>4,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,389</td>
<td>4,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commentary

The Franklin Local Board invested $5.4 million in capital expenditure up to the third quarter ended 31 March 2019.

The 2019 capital programme is ahead of revised budget by $866,000 and has achieved spend against the full year of eighty-one per cent. This includes carry forward of projects and budgets from year 2017/2018 e.g. Constellation Drive playground development and the Sunkist Bay coastal renewal.

Capital projects completed or in delivery this year are coastal asset renewals where the Sandspit seawall is seventy-five per cent complete ($2.3 million), Te Toro Reserve retaining wall ($187,000), Clarks Beach seawall and spit ($640,000), Clarks Beach Constellation Drive play space ($320,000), EcoLight Stadium renewals ($239,000), Sunkist Bay toilet block ($270,000), Sunkist Bay retaining wall ($125,000), plus a further $1.3 million on various sports field, play space and parks asset renewals.

LDI Capex expenditure of $213,000 this year includes Karaka Sports Park civil design work ($117,000), Roulston Park design plans ($23,000), Waiuku Trails Plan ($46,000) and Pohutukawa Coast Trails implementation plan ($12,000). The LDI Capex programme has a balance of $2.22 million in the 2019-2021 funding term.
### Capital Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local asset renewals programme</td>
<td>1,368</td>
<td>2,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Coastal asset renewals</td>
<td>3,029</td>
<td>1,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally driven initiatives (LDI Capex)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playscape development</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport development</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE - Community house and centre renewals</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE - Leases renewals</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway and walkway development</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure facility building renewals</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local library renewals</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Local Board Initiative (OLI)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Sports fields renewals</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slip mediation/ prevention</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports park (Waiuku)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Community services</strong></td>
<td>5,389</td>
<td>4,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,389</td>
<td>4,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of the Franklin Local Board Agreement 2019/2020

File No.: CP2019/08446

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt a Local Board Agreement 2019/2020, a message from the chair, local board advocacy, and a local fees and charges schedule for 2019/2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area.
3. From 17 February to 17 March 2019, council consulted on the Annual Budget 2019/2020. Local boards considered this feedback and then held discussions with the Finance and Performance Committee on 8 May 2019 on regional issues, community feedback and key local board initiatives and advocacy areas.
4. Local boards are now considering local content for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 which includes a local board agreement, a message from the chair, local board advocacy, and a local fees and charges schedule for 2019/2020.
5. On 20 June 2019, the Governing Body will meet to adopt Auckland Council’s Annual Budget 2019/2020, including 21 local board agreements.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) adopts local content for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (Attachment A), including:
   i. a Local Board Agreement 2019/2020
   ii. a message from the Chair
   iii. local board advocacy.

b) adopts local fees and charges schedules for 2019/2020 (Attachment B).

c) delegates authority to the Chair to make any final minor changes to the local content for the Annual Budget 2019/2020, including the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020.

d) notes:
   i. that Local Board Agreement 2019/2020 local activity budgets will be updated to reflect final budget decisions made by the Governing Body on 22 May 2019
   ii. that if there are outstanding (not yet agreed) matters in the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020, the local board should include an explanation of these matters in the Chair’s message at the front of the agreement

a) that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Governing Body when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2019/2020 on 20 June 2019.
Horopaki

Context

6. Local board plans are strategic documents that are developed every three years to set a direction for local boards. Local board plans influence and inform the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (and associated local board agreements) which outlines priorities, budgets and intended levels of service for each financial year. Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area.

7. Local board members have had the opportunity to attend Finance and Performance Committee workshops on key topics and provide local board views on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 to the Finance and Performance Committee.

8. From 17 February to 17 March 2019, council consulted with the public on the Annual Budget 2019/2020. One locally held event was held in the Franklin Local Board area to engage with the community and seek feedback on both regional and local proposals. Feedback was received through written, event and social media channels.

9. A report analysing the feedback on local board priorities, as well as feedback from those living in the local board area related to the regional issues, was included as an attachment on the 16 April 2019 business meeting agenda.

10. Local boards considered this feedback, and then held discussions with the Finance and Performance Committee on 8 May 2019 on regional issues, community feedback and key local board initiatives and advocacy areas.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

11. Both staff and the local board have reviewed the feedback received on Annual Budget 2019/2020 and local boards have received a report analysing the feedback. It is now recommended that local boards adopt local content for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (Attachment A) including the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020, and a local fees and charges schedule for 2019/2020 (Attachment B).

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

12. Local boards worked with council departments to develop their local board work programmes for 2019/2020 that will be adopted at June business meetings. The local board work programmes help inform the local board agreements.

13. Local boards are requested to approve any advocacy initiatives for consideration by the Governing Body and inclusion (as an appendix) to the 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement. Local boards may also include advocacy to Council Controlled Organisation (CCOs).

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

14. This report seeks local board adoption of its content for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and other associated material, including the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement

15. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.
16. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community.

17. Of those who submitted to the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from the Franklin Local Board area five percent identified as Māori. These submissions were provided to the local board for consideration when finalising their local board agreement.

18. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

19. The local board agreement includes the allocation of locally driven initiatives (LDI) funding and asset based services (ABS) funding to projects and services for the 2019/2020 financial year.

20. LDI funding is discretionary funding allocated to local boards based on the Local Board Funding Policy that local boards can spend on local priorities that are important to their communities. Local boards can also utilise LDI funding to increase local levels of service if they wish to do so.

21. Funding for asset based services (ABS) is allocated by the Governing Body to local boards based on current levels of service to run and maintain local assets and services, including parks, pools and recreation facilities, community facilities, and libraries.

22. Local boards have the decision making and oversight responsibility in respect of local fees and charges within parameters set by the Governing Body. A local fees and charges schedule for Active Recreation, Community Venues for Hire (including Library rooms for hire) for 2019/2020 is adopted alongside the Local Board Agreement. The fees and charges have been formulated based on region-wide baseline service levels and revenue targets. Where fees and charges are amended by local board that results in lower revenue for council, the shortfall in revenue will need to be made up by either allocating LDI funds or reducing expenditure on other services to balance overall budgets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

23. Decisions on the local content of the Annual Budget 2019/2020, including the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2019/2020, are required by 7 June 2019 to ensure the Governing Body can adopt the Annual Budget 2019/2020 at its 20 June 2019 meeting.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

24. The resolutions of this meeting will be reported to the Governing Body on 20 June 2019 when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2019/2020, including 21 local board agreements.

25. Minor changes may need to be made to the attachments before the Annual Budget 2019/2020 is adopted, such as correction of any errors identified and minor wording changes. Staff therefore recommend that the local board delegates authority to the Chair to make final changes if necessary.

26. Local board agreements set the priorities and budget envelopes for each financial year. Work programmes then detail the activities that will be delivered within those budget envelopes. Work programmes will be agreed between local boards and operational departments at business meetings in June 2019.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Agreement 2019/2010</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Fees and Charges 2019/2020</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Beth Corlett – Advisor Plans and Programmes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Franklin Local Board

He kōrero mai i te Heamana

Message from the Chair

In 2017, the Franklin Local Board set out how we planned to make Franklin a better community in our Local Board Plan. I am pleased to share some of our achievements for year two, 2018/2019 as we embark on year three.

Goal one of our plan is to enhance, protect and maintain our natural environment. In this past year, we continued to fund community-led programmes such as the waterways protection fund and delivery of the Wairoa River Action Plan. We have actively supported the return of the “Be a Tidy Kiwi” campaign alongside Papakura and Manurewa local boards, focussing our communities on the “put litter in its place” message. We also partnered with Landcare groups to deliver a “pest-free Franklin” programme.

A thriving local economy is the second goal of our plan. In addition to our ongoing commitment to youth employment opportunities through skills development programmes and the Young Enterprise Scheme, our focus this year was to progress the Hunua Trail as a catalyst for local economic development in the south-east. We endorsed this plan in March this year and have secured “Heartland Ride” status within the NZ Cycle Trail network. We look forward to taking this project to its next stage in 2020.

The board is also pleased that Pukeneko was selected as an “Unlock” project location in late 2018, bringing with it the ability to use local land to leverage local regeneration with the support of Panuku Development Auckland. We look forward to continuing to partner with Panuku in the development of the detailed plan.

Goal three, an improved transport system has seen us continue to work toward better public transport and safer roads. Road quality and safety is an ongoing issue, particularly on our rural roads. Our focus in 2018-2019 has been to offer a local perspective on road safety initiatives and programmes including the development of the Speed Management Bylaw.

Goal four focuses on dealing with growth by ensuring our facilities are planned, developed and used to maximum effect. The Karaka Sports park and community hub continues to be considered for regional investment following local board advocacy, we delivered new or renewed playgrounds in Beachlands, at Matakawau and in Pukeneko and we partnered with sports clubs on facility improvements and leasing arrangements.

We have been pleased to support hundreds of community-led projects and events over the past year as part of our goal five commitment to local creativity, quality of life, health and well-being. Waikuku Trail delivery has commenced with board funding and thanks to the efforts of local volunteers.

Our community groups, local businesses and Auckland Council staff have all contributed to these achievements and I thank you all for your service to your community. I look forward to the year ahead as we work together to achieve a shared vision for a better community for all in Franklin.

Angela Fulljames
Franklin Local Board Chairperson
About Local Board Agreements

Auckland Council’s shared governance model means local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. The local board agreement sets out the local board’s budget, funding for activities, levels of service, performance measures and targets for the financial year 2019/2020 which has been agreed with Auckland Council’s governing body.

The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 is a three-year strategic document that guides local board activity, funding and investment decisions. A key role of the local board plan is to provide a basis for development of the annual local board agreement for each financial year, this is set out below. Each local board also develops annual work programmes alongside adoption of their local board agreement.

Local boards also provide input to the governing body on larger scale investments, regional programmes and policy issues such as rates proposals, which are outside local board decision-making responsibilities. A list of key advocacy areas is set out as appendix A.

Te Rohe ā-Poari o Franklin

Franklin Local Board area
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Franklin is...
Three main towns - Pukekohe, Waiuku and Beachlands, as well as smaller villages and settlements

Increase in population expected from
71,100
to
106,800
by 2033, as at 30 June 2015

just under 20,000ha
of parkland, ranging from small urban parks to large regional parks on the coast.

We are home to:
- more than 190 local parks and sportsfields
- two libraries and six rural libraries
- one recreation centre and three pools
- 32 community halls, including eight that are community owned and 16 that are community-run or community-led

Local Board Plan outcomes

The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 sets out the aspirations the local board has for the area. The outcomes in the Franklin Local Board Plan are:

Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
Enhance, protect and maintain our diverse natural environment and make sure it’s able to be enjoyed.

Outcome 2: A thriving local economy
Franklin has a strong economy and attracts people to live, work locally and visit its attractions.

Outcome 3: An improved transport system
Continue to work towards better public transport and safer roads in Franklin.

Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively
Make full use of existing outdoor space and community facilities before developing new.

Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area
Support community participation in helping to shape people’s quality of life, creativity, health and wellbeing.

The local board agreement outlined in this document reflects how we plan to support these outcomes through agreed activities in the 2019/2020 financial year. In addition, each local board carries out responsibilities delegated by the Governing Body in accordance with the delegated power, and with the general priorities and preferences in the local board plan.

Working with Māori

Delivering on Auckland Council’s commitment to Māori at a local level is a priority for local boards. The council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi/ the Treaty of Waitangi and its broader statutory obligations to Māori.

The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017-2020 sets the framework for engaging rangatira ki te rangatira, or chief-to-chief, to share information and work together. We plan to continue to have conversations with mana whenua to explore ways to work together and improve communication. Our local board plan objectives support kaitiakitanga, the guardianship of our environment and special places.

We will work with mana whenua and mataawaka to deliver the Local Māori Responsiveness Action Plan developed in 2018-2019, including making local Māori heritage more visible by participating in the mana whenua endorsed Te Kete Rukuruku project.
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Priorities by activity area

Auckland Council’s 2019/2020 funding priorities for local activities which contribute to key community outcomes in the Franklin local board area are set out below under each local activity.

Levels of service, performance measures and targets are also set out below under each local activity.

Local Community Services

Local community services are a broad activity area, which includes:

- Supporting local arts, culture, events, sport and recreation
- Providing grants and partnering with local organisations to deliver community services
- Maintaining facilities, including local parks, libraries and halls

Our annual budget to deliver these activities includes operating costs of $XX million and capital investment of $XX million.

The key initiatives we have planned for 2019/2020 include:

- Continued support for local placemaking activities through grants, event partnerships and community capacity building projects.
- Continued planning for local trails that will connect our emerging and existing communities with their environment and enhance quality of life for our citizens; and empowering a community-led approach to trail delivery in Waiuku and on the Pohutukawa Coast.
- Reassessment of recreational service needs in Clarks Beach, Beachlands (Te Puru) and Clevedon to inform future local board and regional investment and to inform community-led initiatives.

The local community services and key initiatives outlined above contribute towards achieving the following outcomes in the Franklin Local Board Plan:

- Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
- Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively
- Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area

Levels of Service

We measure our performance against the following measures for each local activity. The level of service statement is in blue below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance measure</th>
<th>Actual 2017/18</th>
<th>LTP Target 2018/19</th>
<th>Annual Plan Target 2019/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We provide library services and programmes that support Aucklanders with reading and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>literacy, and opportunities to participate in community and civic life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of internet session at libraries (unique sessions over public computing</td>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or public WIFI networks) (million)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of visits to library facilities (million)</td>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of customers satisfied with the quality of library service delivery</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We fund, enable and deliver community events and experiences that enhance identity and connect people
Performance measure  | Actual 2017/18 | LTP Target 2018/19 | Annual Plan Target 2019/20
--- | --- | --- | ---
The percentage of attendees satisfied with a nominated local community event  | 100% | 75% | 75%
The number of attendees at Council-led community events  | New Measure 3,100 | 3,100 | 
**We fund, enable and deliver arts and culture experiences that enhance identity and connect people**
The percentage of arts and culture programmes, grants and activities that are community led  | New Measure 52% | 52% | 
**Utilising the Empowered Communities Approach, we support Aucklanders to create thriving, connected and inclusive communities**
The percentage of Empowered Communities activities that are community led  | New Measure 35% | 40% | 
The percentage of Empowered Communities activities that build capacity and capability to assist local communities to achieve their goals  | New Measure 30% | 35% | 
**Provide safe, reliable and accessible social infrastructure for Aucklanders that contributes to placemaking and thriving communities**
Percentage of Aucklanders that feel their local town centre is safe  | Day 63% | Day 67% | Day 67%
Night 12% | Night 12% | Night 12%
**We provide art facilities, community centres and hire venues that enable Aucklanders to run locally responsive activities, promoting participation, inclusion and connection**
The number of participants in activities at art facilities, community centres and hire venues  | New Measure 240,300 | 242,703 | 
The percentage of art facilities, community centres and hire venues network that is community led  | New Measure 71% | 71% | 
**We provide recreation programmes, opportunities and facilities to get Aucklanders more active, more often**
The percentage of park visitors who are satisfied with the overall quality of sportsfields  | New Measure 74% | 74% | 
The customers’ Net Promoter Score for Pool and Leisure Centres  | -1 | 10 | 10
**We provide safe and accessible parks, reserves and beaches**
The percentage of users who are satisfied with the overall quality of local parks  | 62% | 71% | 71%
The percentage of residents who visited a local park in the last 12 months  | 77% | 76% | 76%
**We showcase Auckland’s Māori identity and vibrant Māori culture**
The percentage of local programmes, grants and activities that respond to Māori aspirations  | New Measure 9.1% | 12% | 

Local Planning and Development

This group of activities covers improvements to town centres, the local street environment as well as local environment and heritage protection. These activities also include working with business and community associations to improve local economic development and employment initiatives.
Our annual operating budget to deliver these activities is $XXX. This amount comprises of targeted rates collected for Business Improvement District (BID) programmes. We will work with our BIDs to ensure that their activities are aligned to the outcomes in our local board plan.

The key initiatives we have planned for 2019/2020 include:

- Further development of Panuku Development Auckland’s “Kia Puawai/Unlock Pukokohe” project as a mechanism for developing local employment, town centre regeneration and improved community amenity.
- Developing the Hunua Trail for local tourism and improved local recreational amenity.
- Facilitating local employment opportunities for youth through The Southern Initiative-delivered youth connections programme.

The local planning and development activity, including the key initiatives outlined above contribute towards achieving the following outcome in the Franklin Local Board Plan:

- Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
- Outcome 2: A thriving local economy
- Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively
- Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area.

Levels of Service

We measure our performance against the following measures for each local activity. The level of service statement is in blue below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance measure</th>
<th>Actual 2017/18</th>
<th>LTP Target 2018/19</th>
<th>Annual Plan Target 2019/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We help attract investment, businesses and a skilled workforce to Auckland</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Environmental Management

Local boards work in partnership with local communities and iwi to deliver projects and programmes to improve local environments. Our focus is on indigenous biodiversity, healthy waterways and sustainable living.

These activities include stream restoration, waste minimisation programmes, supporting environmental volunteers to provide a range of environmental initiatives.

Our annual operating budget to deliver these activities is $XXX.

The key initiatives we have planned for 2019/2020 include:

- Continued support for the successful “Pest-free Franklin” project, enabling local communities to manage animal pests and that leverages enhanced local benefit from the natural environment targeted rate.
- A new “Litter-free Franklin” project to enable rural and remote communities to respond to litter issues.
- Support for the community led Beachlands waste minimisation projects, including a localised education and engagement programme.

The local environmental management activity and key initiatives outlined above contribute towards achieving the following outcomes in the Franklin Local Board Plan:

- Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
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- Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area.

Levels of Service

We measure our performance against the following measures for each local activity. The level of service statement is in blue below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance measure</th>
<th>Actual 2017/18</th>
<th>LTP Target 2018/19</th>
<th>Annual Plan Target 2019/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We manage Auckland’s natural environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proportion of local programmes that deliver intended</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environmental actions and/or outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Governance

Activities in this group support our 21 local boards to engage with and represent their communities, and make decisions on local activities. This support includes providing strategic advice, leadership of the preparation of local board plans, support in developing local board agreements, community engagement including relationships with mana whenua and Māori communities, and democracy and administrative support.

The measures for this group of activities are covered under the regional governance group of activities in the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 which determines participation with Auckland Council decision-making in general. This includes local decision-making. There are no significant changes to the measures or targets for 2019/2020.

Our annual operating budget to deliver these activities is $XX million.
**Funding Impact Statement** [To be provided by Financial Planning and Strategy team]

This prospective funding impact statement has been prepared to meet the requirements of Section 21 (5) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009. It covers the year from 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020 and outlines the council’s sources of funding for local activities in this local board area and our plan to apply them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$000</th>
<th>Annual Plan 2019/19</th>
<th>Annual Plan 2019/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial year ending 30 June</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources of operating funding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General rates, UAGCs, rates penalties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidies and grants for operating purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities fuel tax, fines, infringement fees and other receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applications of operating funding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment to staff and suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal charges and overheads applied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating fund applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total applications of operating funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus (deficit) of operating funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources of capital funding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidies and grants for capital expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and financial contributions*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross proceeds from sale of assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lump sum contributions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dedicated capital funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total sources of capital funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application of capital funding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditure:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to meet additional demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to improve the level of service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to replace existing assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total applications of capital funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus (deficit) of capital funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A: Advocacy Initiatives

A key role of the local board is to advocate for initiatives that the local board may not have decision-making responsibilities or funding for in this Annual Budget but recognise the value it will add to the local community.

Key advocacy areas for this local board include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hunua Trail</td>
<td>Inclusion of the Hunua Trail in the regional work programme, noting that local investment is dependent on regional support for this project</td>
<td>The Governing Body/ Environment and Community Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karaka Sportspark</td>
<td>Continue to advocate for regional investment in the delivery of the Karaka Sports Park and Community Hub.</td>
<td>The Governing Body.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: How to contact your Local Board

Local boards have been established to enable local representation and decision-making on behalf of local communities. You are encouraged to contact your elected members to have your say on matters that are important to your community.

Angela Fulljames - Chairperson
Phone: 021 923 278
angela.fulljames@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Andrew Baker – Deputy Chairperson
Phone: 021 283 2222
andrew.baker@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Malcolm Bell
Phone: 021 916 381
malcolm.bell@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Alan Cole
Phone: 021 923 719
alan.cole@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Brendon Crompton
Phone: 021 224 9708
brendon.crompton@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Sharlene Druyven
Phone: 021 538 177
sharlene.druyven@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Amanda Hopkins
Phone: 021 713 853
amanda.hopkins@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Murray Kay
Phone: 021 286 4222
murray.kay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Niko Kloezen
Phone: 021 715 139
niko.kloezen@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

The board can be contacted at the address below:
Private Bag 92300
Victoria Street West
Auckland 1142

For general enquiries, assistance and information, phone 09 301 0101 any time or visit
www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Local board meetings, agendas and minutes are available on the Auckland Council website:
www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz > About council > Meetings and agendas
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Attachment B – Franklin Local Board fees and charges schedules 2019/2020

Community and Arts Facilities

The following categories for venue for hire fees and charges remain unchanged:

- Standard (peak)
- Off peak, 20% off standard
- Regular, 20% off standard (10 or more bookings in financial calendar year)
- LB priority, 50% off standard (based on criteria set by the local board)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Category</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Peak Standard 2020</th>
<th>Off-Peak Standard 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art facility</td>
<td>Franklin Arts Centre</td>
<td>Weta Workshop</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venues for hire</td>
<td>Afriston Hall</td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ardmore Hall</td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>19.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clevedon Community Hall</td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clevedon District Centre</td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Franklin Centre</td>
<td>Allan Wilson Room</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Douglas Wright Room</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edmund Hillary Room</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin Room</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Growers Kitchen</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leslie Comrie Room</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stevenson Room</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>29.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawakawa Bay Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>Pukekohe Old Borough Building</td>
<td>Function Room</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Pukekohe War Memorial Town Hall</td>
<td>Concert Chamber</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>44.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waluku Community Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitford Community Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board
04 June 2019

Franklin Local Grants, Round Two 2018/2019 grant allocations
File No.: CP2019/06919

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To fund, part-fund or decline applications received for Franklin Local Board Local Grants Round Two 2018/2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. This report presents applications received in Franklin Local Board Local Grants, Round Two 2018/2019 (refer to Attachment B), including multi-board applications (Attachment C).
4. The Franklin Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $181,000.00 for the 2018/2019 financial year. A total of $91,973.70 has been allocated for one local grant and two quick response rounds. This leaves a total of $89,026.30 to be allocated for 2018/2019.
5. Twenty-nine applications were received for Franklin Local Board Local Grants Round Two 2018/2019, requesting a total of $323,536.00, and ten multi-board applications requesting a total of $51,702.80.
6. One past applicant, Beachlands Community Trust (application number LG1903-112), is requesting a variation on their grant allocation from a requested amount of $1950 (FR/2019/133) to $4,427.50.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) agree to fund, part-fund or decline each application in Franklin Local Grants Round Two listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-211</td>
<td>South Auckland Performing Arts Competition Society (SAPACS) Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards venue hire costs for the &quot;SAPACS Performing Arts Festival 2019&quot;</td>
<td>$3,435.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-228</td>
<td>Action Education Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards costs to deliver &quot;Spoken Word Poetry&quot; workshops in Franklin schools.</td>
<td>$2,880.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-203</td>
<td>Franklin Family Support Services</td>
<td>Towards concept designs and building consent for the &quot;Community Hub&quot; building.</td>
<td>$34,848.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application ID</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Requesting funding for</td>
<td>Amount requested</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-206</td>
<td>Franklin Agricultural and Pastoral Society</td>
<td>Towards the costs of a new shed for storage and horse measuring at the Pukekohe Showgrounds.</td>
<td>$66,500.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-208</td>
<td>The Rising Foundation Trust</td>
<td>Towards the mentor and leadership programme coordinator wages delivered at Pukekohe High School.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-212</td>
<td>Kids Safe with Dogs Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards the &quot;Kids Safe with Dogs&quot; programmes to be delivered at Franklin Schools including instructor, administration and printing of activity booklets costs.</td>
<td>$8,575.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-216</td>
<td>Paparimu Community Centre Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards door replacement and outside painting of the Paparimu Community Centre.</td>
<td>$11,742.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-218</td>
<td>Beachlands Maraetai U3A</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a laptop, software, speaker and accessories.</td>
<td>$1,946.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-220</td>
<td>Business and Professional Women Franklin Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a projector for monthly presentations.</td>
<td>$1,425.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-221</td>
<td>Joan Loader Knitting Grannies Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the costs of wool to knit garments for babies and knee rugs for rest home residents.</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-224</td>
<td>V3FM Radio Limited</td>
<td>Towards a Frequency Modulation (FM) transmitter and signage costs to enable a wider broadcasting area.</td>
<td>$4,750.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-225</td>
<td>Bloom Pukekohe</td>
<td>Towards pots and potting mix for horticulture programmes from June 2019 to February 2020.</td>
<td>$2,196.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-226</td>
<td>Life Education Trust Counties Manukau</td>
<td>Towards the health and well-being programme including educational resources, insurance, salaries, professional development and mobile classroom costs.</td>
<td>$9,483.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-229</td>
<td>Ramarama School</td>
<td>Towards new playground costs for the Ramarama School including installation, structure and carousel.</td>
<td>$43,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application ID</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Requesting funding for</td>
<td>Amount requested</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-233</td>
<td>Patumahoe Mauku Playcentre</td>
<td>Towards fence replacement at the Patumahoe Mauku Playcentre including preparation, new fence and installation.</td>
<td>$8,200.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-234</td>
<td>The Scout Association of New Zealand Camp Sladdin under the umbrella of The Scout Association of New Zealand</td>
<td>Towards new mattresses and bunks for Camp Sladdin in Clevedon.</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-237</td>
<td>Awhitu Central Community Hall Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards blinds and installation costs at the Awhitu Community Hall.</td>
<td>$8,450.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-238</td>
<td>Youthline Auckland Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards annual operational costs including volunteer counsellors training and Helpline telecommunications.</td>
<td>$3,642.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-240</td>
<td>Te Whangai Trust Board</td>
<td>Towards &quot;He waka eke noa&quot; programme expenses including materials, food and mentor fees.</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-213</td>
<td>Literacy Aotearoa Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards resource costs and application fees for driver license programmes for 80 learners.</td>
<td>$5,432.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-205</td>
<td>Plastic Free Pohutukawa Coast under the umbrella of Building Excellence Group</td>
<td>Towards &quot;Again Again reusable cup scheme&quot; trial period costs for six cafes including cup bonds.</td>
<td>$2,880.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-214</td>
<td>Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the “South-east Wildlink” project including bat monitoring devices and pest control equipment costs.</td>
<td>$14,032.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-222</td>
<td>Plastic Free Pohutukawa Coast under the umbrella of Building Excellence Group</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a marquee and signage to host &quot;regenerate markets&quot; at Beachlands.</td>
<td>$2,450.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application ID</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Requesting funding for</td>
<td>Amount requested</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-230</td>
<td>NZ Regenerate Limited</td>
<td>Towards the restoration and protection project including fencing supplies, labour, native plants and site preparation.</td>
<td>$5,700.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-242</td>
<td>Anglican Parish of Clevedon</td>
<td>Towards resurfacing of the access driveway of the Anglican Parish of Clevedon, an historic heritage place.</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-201</td>
<td>Rotary Club of Pukekohe Incorporated Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards the “Counties Cycle Classic 2019” event in October 2019.</td>
<td>$17,500.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-202</td>
<td>Pukeoware Netball Club</td>
<td>Towards uniforms and bibs for the Pukeoware Netball Club.</td>
<td>$710.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-223</td>
<td>Waiuku District Rugby Football Club Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the club’s annual operating costs including power, insurance, water and website.</td>
<td>$10,200.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG1903-236</td>
<td>Rotary Club of Pohutukawa Coast Incorporated Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards traffic management costs for the &quot;Rotary Allfit Coastal Fun Run&quot; in November 2019.</td>
<td>$4,560.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$323,536.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) agree to fund, part-fund or decline each application in round two of the 2018/2019 Franklin Multi-board applications, listed in the following table:

c) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-211</td>
<td>New Zealand Kiribati National Council</td>
<td>Towards event costs for the Kiribati stick dance event.</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-267</td>
<td>Manukau Orchestral Society Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards venue hire and multi-media costs for the “winter dreams” programme produced by the Manukau Orchestral Society Incorporated.</td>
<td>$859.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Franklin Local Grants, Round Two 2018/2019 grant allocations

### Item 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-209</td>
<td>Age Concern Counties Manukau Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards counselling services costs including wages, travel, supervision, management and administration.</td>
<td>$6,450.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-221</td>
<td>LifeKidz Trust</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of play equipment and the cost of youth worker wages for LifeKidz Trust from 1 July 2019 to 31 January 2020.</td>
<td>$1,750.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-260</td>
<td>Children’s Autism Foundation</td>
<td>Towards extending the outreach service delivery for children with autism and their families, in south and west Auckland.</td>
<td>$1,920.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-269</td>
<td>New Zealand Council of Victim Support Groups Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards recruitment, training expenses and supervision of the volunteer support workers for the six months 1 June to 31 December 2019.</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-220</td>
<td>Project Litefoot Trust</td>
<td>Towards a contribution for the overall costs of the project, including salaries, materials installed at the club, administration costs, travel costs, and promotion for Project Litefoot.</td>
<td>$4,059.94</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-233</td>
<td>Environmental Education for Resource Sustainability Trust</td>
<td>Towards the purchase and delivery of 7,433 native trees and to replace 825 classroom recycling bins in schools and preschools participating in the Paper4trees programme across Auckland.</td>
<td>$3,644.25</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-257</td>
<td>The Kids for Kids Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Towards venue hire and production costs for the “Kids for Kids Aotearoa Big Aroha” event in south Auckland.</td>
<td>$3,019.61</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Franklin Local Grants, Round Two 2018/2019 grant allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB1819-247</td>
<td>Counties Manukau Sports Foundation</td>
<td>Towards the Counties Manukau Sport Foundation sport awards and other programmes including coaching costs for the “Balance-bike and Scooter” programmes from 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020.</td>
<td>$19,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total          | $51,702.80  |

**Horopaki Context**

7. The local board allocates grants to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders and contribute to the vision of being a world class city.

8. The local board grants programme sets out:
   - local board priorities;
   - lower priorities for funding;
   - exclusions;
   - grant types, the number of grant rounds, and when these will open and close
   - any additional accountability requirements.


10. The community grant programmes have been extensively advertised through the council grants webpage, local board webpages, local board e-newsletters, Facebook pages, council publications, radio, and community networks.

11. The Franklin Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $181,000.00 for the 2018/2019 financial year. A total of $91,973.70 has been allocated for one local grant and two quick response rounds. This leaves a total of $89,026.30 to be allocated to one local grant round and one quick response grant round.

12. One past applicant, Beachlands Community Trust (application number LG1903-112), is requesting a variation on their grant allocation from a requested amount of $1,950 (FR/2018/133) to $4,427.50 to increase the allocation to $4,427.50 towards the purchase of a heat pump for the Log Cabin Hall.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

Analysis and advice

13. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. All applications have been assessed utilising the Community Grants Policy and the local board grant programme criteria. The eligibility of each application is identified in the report recommendations.
**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

14. The focus of an application is identified as arts, community, events, sport and recreation, environment or heritage. Based on the focus of an application, a subject matter expert from the relevant department will provide input and advice.

15. The grants programme has no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations and therefore their views are not required.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

16. Local boards are responsible for the decision-making and allocation of local board community grants. The Franklin Local Board is required to fund, part-fund or decline these grant applications against the local board priorities identified in the local board grant programme.

17. The board is requested to note that section 50 of the Community Grants Policy states: “We will also provide feedback to unsuccessful grant applicants about why they have been declined, so they will know what they can do to increase their chances of success next time”.

18. A summary of each application received through 2018/2019 Franklin Local Grants Round Two is provided (refer to Attachment B), including multi-board applications (refer to Attachment C).

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

19. The local board grants programme aims to respond to the council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to individuals and groups who deliver positive outcomes for Māori. Auckland Council’s Māori Responsiveness Unit has provided input and support towards the development of the community grant processes.

20. No organisations applying in this round identified themselves to be a Māori organisation, and fifteen organisations applying in this round have indicated that their project targets Māori or Māori outcomes.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

21. The allocation of grants to community groups or individuals is within the adopted Long-Term Plan 2018-2028 and local board agreements.

22. The Franklin Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $181,000.00 for the 2018/2019 financial year.

23. A total of $91,973.70 has been allocated for one local grant and two quick response rounds. This leaves a total of $89,026.30 to be allocated to one local grant round and one quick response grant round.

24. Twenty-nine applications were received for Franklin Local Board Local Grants Round Two 2018/2019, requesting a total of $323,536.00, and ten multi-board applications requesting a total of $51,702.80.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

25. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. The assessment process has identified a low risk associated with funding the applications in this round.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

26. Following the Franklin Local Board allocating funding for round two local grants, Commercial and Finance staff will notify the applicants of the local board’s decision.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Grant Programme 2018/2019</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Franklin Local Grants Round Two 2018/2019 applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Franklin Multi-board Grants Round Two 2018/2019 applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Agus Castro Pons - Grants Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Marion Davies - Grant Operations Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shane King - Head of Operations Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siars - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board Grants Programme 2018/2019

Our local grants programme aims to provide contestable and discretionary community grants to local communities.

Outcomes sought from the local grants programme

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan 2017:

- Enhance, protect and maintain our diverse natural environment
- Support a thriving local economy
- Empower community and improve people’s quality of life, health and wellbeing.

Our priorities for grants

Franklin Local Board is keen to support its strategic goals through providing grants to local communities. The local board has developed the following specific objectives to guide applicants.

Events funding

The local board aims to:

- Support local events that celebrate Franklin’s unique identity
- Support events which encourage visitors to spend more time in Franklin as a destination
- Support events that aim to be financially sustainable and become a regular part of the Franklin event calendar
- Support events that celebrate our distinctive local communities and bring people together.

Community funding

The local board aims to:

- Support community groups to be effective and contribute to the community, particularly in shaping and developing their areas to be better and safer places
- Support initiatives that celebrate our arts, culture and heritage, and help create a vibrant local art scene
- Preserve and promote our unique cultural and historic heritage
- Encourage healthy lifestyle choices and provide sporting opportunities for the community
- Provide plentiful choices of recreation and entertainment for the community
- Ensure young people have clear pathways from school to work
- Explore Franklin’s tourism potential and increase tourist numbers and visitor spend in Franklin.

Environmental funding

The local board aims to:

- Support local environmental initiatives that enhance and protect our environment
- Encourage activities that reduce waste to landfill and preserve the beauty of our environment
- Support the prevention of kauri dieback in our native forests
- Encourage a weed and pest-free natural environment
- Support community initiatives to enhance waterways and the coastline, including beach clean-ups and planting.
- Promote and support community initiatives that aim for zero waste.

Franklin Waterways Protection Fund

The local board aims to support projects that will protect and enhance the natural environment and improve water quality in the Mauku Stream priority catchment.

Criteria:

The board will support projects that meet the following criteria:

- Applicants are landowners, community and environmental groups located or operating in the priority catchment
- Activities or work that has not yet started or been completed (no retrospective funding)
- Projects on privately-owned land involving fencing off the stream, wetlands, seeps and drains
- Works relating to the fencing, such as enabling alternative water supplies for livestock needed as a consequence of the fencing
• Environmental weed control and restoration planting using eco-sourced plants within fenced-off areas

• Fifty per cent of the project cost to be funded by applicants, including an in-kind contribution or contribution by other donors.

Please refer to the “Franklin Local Board Waterway Protection Fund Guidelines” for more specific requirements and assessment criteria.

Franklin Coastal Rescue Services Fund

The local board aims to support the provision of sea rescue services and survival skills training.

Criteria:

The board will support projects that meet the following criteria:

➢ Local volunteers providing effective sea rescue services to the community including:

• Vessel expenses outside of rescue events e.g. training costs

• Vessel accommodation expenses

• Contribution to equipment replacement

• Crew training and venue expenses.

➢ Public education activities to improve beach and boating safety for visitors and residents including:

• Vessel focused programmes

• Risk and hazard focused programmes

• Survival skills programmes.

Note: learn to swim programmes are funded through other channels and applications for these programmes will not be accepted.

School Pool Funding

The local board aims to support additional operational costs incurred by schools such as pool chemicals and provision of keys to enable them to open their pool to the community over the summer period.

Criteria:

The board will support projects that meet the following criteria:

• Steps have been taken to increase usage over summer by the wider community and evidence of increased usage is provided
• Water testing is undertaken by a certified person (i.e. has attained the NZQA unit standards in swimming pool water quality)

• A user agreement with at least one community user group/organisation (e.g. learn to swim provider) is in place.

• Requests for capital grants such as a new pump are outside the funding criteria and will not be considered.

Investment approach

The Franklin Local Board has allocated budgets to support the local grants programme as follows:

• Quick Response Grants: 50% of the total grant budget per annum
  ➢ Minimum amount per grant $200
  ➢ Maximum amount per grant $2,000

• Local Grants 50% of the total grant budget per annum

• The Franklin Local Board will support match funding as part of the Local Grants fund

Higher priorities

• Franklin Local Board will prioritise applications which adhere to Smokefree Policy; especially for events and activities held on local board administered parks and reserves.

• The board will also support events and activities which promote waste minimisation and endorse Zero waste practices.

Lower priorities and exclusions

In addition to eligibility criteria outlined on the Community Grants Policy, Franklin Local Board will not ordinarily fund the following items:

• Travel
• Salaries
• Catering
• Vehicles
• Sports equipment
• Sports uniforms
Organisations which have a closed membership i.e. there is benefit to the members and limited benefit to the wider community.

Please refer to the Community Grants Policy: “Scope and eligibility” for general eligibility criteria:
- Ineligible applicants – Paragraph 78
- What we won’t fund – Paragraphs 84-86
- Lower priority – Paragraphs 87-88

Application Dates 2018/2019

Grant rounds for the 2018/2019 financial year will be as follows:

Quick Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>2 July 2018</td>
<td>27 July 2018</td>
<td>28 August 2018</td>
<td>1 September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
<td>26 October 2018</td>
<td>27 November 2018</td>
<td>1 December 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Three</td>
<td>15 April 2019</td>
<td>10 May 2019</td>
<td>25 June 2019</td>
<td>1 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>25 June 2018</td>
<td>3 August 2018</td>
<td>25 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>18 February 2019</td>
<td>29 March 2019</td>
<td>28 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Franklin Waterways Protection Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>25 June 2018</td>
<td>3 August 2018</td>
<td>25 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coastal Rescue Services Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>15 April 2019</td>
<td>10 May 2019</td>
<td>25 June 2019</td>
<td>1 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School Swimming Pool Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>2 July 2018</td>
<td>27 July 2018</td>
<td>28 August 2018</td>
<td>1 September 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multi-board applications

Franklin Local Board will also consider funding multi-board grant applications in collaboration with other local boards. Applicants will need to clearly demonstrate how their intended project, event and/or activities will specifically benefit people and communities in the Franklin Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 funding rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>18 June 2018</td>
<td>17 August 2018</td>
<td>25 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>21 January 2019</td>
<td>22 March 2019</td>
<td>28 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accountability Measures

The Franklin Local Board requires that all successful applicants to:

- Complete and submit the accountability report online by the due date, proving that the grant has been used to deliver the set outcomes and objectives.
- Provide all required invoices and sufficient photos for the implemented activities.
- Include local board logo on publicity and signage and display of Franklin Local Board branding whenever appropriate in accordance with council’s guidelines.
- Acknowledge Franklin Local board as a supporter in events, media releases or any other communication to the public.
Franklin Māori Responsiveness Action Plan

File No.: CP2019/07955

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Franklin Māori Responsiveness Action Plan 2019 (Attachment A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 acknowledges the importance of working with Māori, particularly focusing on rangatira ki te rangatira relationships.

3. The 2018/2019 work programme includes developing a Māori Responsiveness Action Plan and commissioning a foundation report to inform the plan.

4. Foundation research was carried out to inform the development of the action plan. The results of the foundational research indicated three areas of focus that were aligned to local board outcomes and these were developed into the draft action plan.

5. The Franklin Māori Responsiveness Action Plan outlines key steps to the development of sustainable, high level relationships between the local board and Mana Whenua and identifies the relevance of annual work programme activity in responding to Māori aspirations.

6. Adopting this plan acknowledges the input of local Māori to the foundation research and reflects the local board’s willingness to enhance and sustain relationships over time and respond to local Māori aspirations.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) adopt the Franklin Māori Responsiveness Action Plan 2019 (Attachment A to the agenda report).

Horopaki
Context
7. The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 acknowledges the importance of working with Māori, particularly focusing on rangatira ki te rangatira relationships.

8. The 2018/2019 work programme includes developing a Māori Responsiveness Action Plan (the action plan) and commissioning a foundation report to inform the plan.

9. Foundation research was carried out to inform the development of the action plan (Attachment B). The results of the foundational research indicated three areas of focus that were aligned to local board outcomes and these were developed into the draft action plan.

10. The foundation report was presented to the local board at a workshop in December 2018.

11. The action plan was developed by Community Empowerment Unit staff and the draft action plan was presented to the local board at a workshop in May 2019.

12. The action plan aligns with the following council strategic documents:
   - Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and
connection to their area

- The Auckland Plan 2050
- The Schedule of Issues of Significance to Māori in Tamaki Makarau and Māori Plan 2017

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

13. The action plan outlines key steps to the development of sustainable, high level relationships between the local board and Mana Whenua.

14. The action plan is an operational level document intended to enable the local board to better understand and identify opportunities to deliver on improved outcomes for Māori. It is intended to guide future business planning, in the areas of engagement and relationships, decision-making, systems and processes, capability and capacity building and provision of services.

15. The action plan can identify opportunities to strengthen mana whenua relationships, respond to Māori aspirations and support change in council culture and practice to respond to Māori aspirations.

16. Staff recommend that the local board adopt the action plan because it will support the following activities:

- Initiate the development of intentional and reciprocal relationships with iwi
- Progress iwi relationship agreements
- Enhance opportunities for cultural competency training in a Kaupapa Māori environment
- Acknowledge the input of local Māori into the foundation research that informed the action plan
- Provide direction for future focus areas of local board activity
- Align to the southern local boards ‘Māori Input into Local Board Decision Making’ project.

17. The action plan can be used by departments across council to inform their current, and identify future, work programmes activities that contribute to Māori aspirations.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

18. The action plan includes input from staff in the Local Board Services, Arts, Community and Events, Parks Sport and Recreation and Te Waka Anga Mua departments.

19. Te Waka Anga Mua feedback acknowledged that appropriate processes have been followed in the development of the plan as it responds directly to the foundation research findings.

20. The following department’s work programme lines will be informed by the action plan:

- Libraries - undertake activities aligned to Matariki and Māori language week.
- Parks, Sport and Recreation - facilitate a regular mana whenua forum and have work programmes that respond to mana whenua aspirations.
- Community Services and Community Facilities - developed a shared Māori responsiveness approach, Karanga Atu! Karanga Mai!
The Southern Initiative - delivery of the Youth Connections programme maintains a focus on rangatahi development.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
21. The foundation report was presented to the local board at a workshop in December 2018 and the draft action plan was presented to the local board at a workshop in May 2019.
22. The action plan supports achieving outcomes in the Franklin Local Board Plan 2017, provides a framework to review and improve local response to Māori aspirations and outlines actions the local board can take.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
23. The action plan, including the foundation report, was developed in consultation with local Māori.
24. The action plan demonstrates the commitment of local board in supporting Māori aspirations.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
25. The local board 2018/2019 work programme includes an allocated budget of $10,000 to develop the action plan.
26. There are no further costs associated with adopting the action plan.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
27. If the action plan is not adopted, the following potential risks have been identified:
   • The participants in the foundation research, which informed the action plan, may feel their input has not been valued or responded to.
   • Development of Iwi Relationship Agreements may be stalled.
   • Work programme activities responding to Māori aspirations may not be easily recognised.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
28. Community Empowerment Unit staff will work with Te Waka Anga Mua to develop a base line of current activity which will enable regular reviews and updates to the action plan.
29. Staff will work with the local board to implement the action plan.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Māori Responsiveness Action Plan</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Franklin Foundational study for Māori Aspirations Plan (Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

Council influences outcomes for Māori through policies, plans and funding, and the various activities it delivers.

This draft action plan is an operational level document intended to enable the local board to better understand and identify opportunities to deliver on improved outcomes for Māori. The plan is intended to guide future business planning, in the areas of engagement and relationships, decision-making, systems and processes, capability and capacity building and provision of services. Its intent is to identify opportunities to strengthen mana whenua relationships and respond to Māori aspirations. This plan is intended to support change in council culture and practice to respond to Māori aspirations.

Korero shared by local Franklin Māori through the Foundational Work for a Māori Aspirations Plan report has informed this plan. The July 2018 report, commissioned by the Franklin Local Board, captured voices of local Franklin Māori. It identified strengths, opportunities and future aspirations of Māori in Franklin.

The recommendations and actions in this document have been developed from that report and themed under focus areas which reflect the aspirations identified by participants.

This guide aligns with the following key council strategic documents:

- Franklin Local Board Plan 2017
- The Auckland Plan 2050
- The Schedule of Issues of Significance to Māori in Tamaki Makarau and Māori Plan 2017
- Whiria Te Muka Tangata, 2014 (Auckland’s Māori Responsiveness Framework)

2. Background

The Franklin local board work programme 2018 included investigating the development of a Māori responsiveness plan to understand and respond to local Māori aspirations.

The Foundational work for a Māori Aspirations Plan report 2018 is a snapshot of views from local hapu, whanau and iwi. It identifies two areas of work to be addressed. One focuses on Mana Whenua engagement and improving relationships with iwi groups across the Franklin area. The other is to understand the priorities for grassroots Māori, including mataawaka (Māori who live in the Franklin local board area and are not in a mana whenua group) communities.

The report was undertaken using a strengths-based approached which challenged participants to consider Te Ao Māori as being a strength and focus on opportunities.

The perspective of leaders focused on the strategic opportunities, commercial developments and honouring partnerships, whereas the grass roots perspective focused largely on addressing daily issues such as unemployment.
3. Alignment to strategic documents

Auckland Council recognises its legal obligations and commitments to Māori and to Te Tiriti o Waitangi as a founding document of New Zealand. As such this approach to Māori responsiveness is in line with the Auckland Plan 2050, Franklin Local Board Plan 2017, Whiria Te Muka Tangata 2014, and issues of significance identified by the Independent Māori Statutory Board.

3.1 Franklin Local Board Plan 2017

Delivering on Auckland Council’s commitment to Māori at a local level is a focus for Franklin local board.

The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 holds a commitment to building strong and meaningful relationships with Māori to ensure that Māori aspirations are understood and a commitment to developing partnerships of mutual respect and openness.

Kaitiakitanga is of great importance to the local board and is supported through the objectives of the local board plan. The vast coastline, significant waterways and rural land masses of the area require collaboration and partnership to provide adequate guardianship of the environment and special places.

3.2 The Auckland Plan 2050

The Auckland Plan 2050 sets the direction for how Auckland will grow and develop over the next 30 years. This plan has six outcomes:

1. Belonging and participation
2. Māori identity and wellbeing
3. Homes and houses
4. Transport and access
5. Identity and cultural heritage
6. Opportunity and prosperity

This plan strives to support the Auckland Plan 2050 outcomes from a Māori perspective with specific attention to Māori identity and wellbeing and focuses on:

1. Promoting Māori success, innovation and enterprise [Direction 2]
2. Collaborating to meet the needs of Māori and support the aspirations of whanau, hapu and iwi
3. Strengthening rangatahi leadership, education and employment outcomes [Focus area 3]
4. Advancing mana whenua rangatiratanga in leadership and decision-making [Focus area 5]
5. Celebrating Māori culture and support te reo Māori to flourish. [Focus area 6]

3.3 Whiria Te Muka Tangata – the Māori Responsiveness Framework 2014

The Auckland Council Māori Responsiveness Framework was developed to better enable council to identify and progress activities that deliver on the Auckland Plan’s outcomes for Māori. It is a core strategic document that outlines our commitment to raise responsiveness to Māori. Three goals identify what the council seeks to achieve through key focus areas:

An empowered organisation

- Focus on staff, senior leaders and elected members building cultural competence, capability and confidence.
- Ensuring policies, strategies and plans effectively consider and support council’s obligations and strategic commitments to Māori.
• Improve processes and systems to enable council to achieve outcomes for Māori more effectively and efficiently.

**Effective Māori participation**

• Focus on building, strengthening and maintaining relationships with Māori at governance and operational levels.
• Ensuring effective Māori participation at key points in the decision-making process.
• Provide shared decision-making opportunities from co-governance and co-management through to opportunities for Māori to determine their involvement on matters of interest to them.

**Strong Māori communities**

• Focus on effective communication and engagement with Māori.
• Council lead, champion or partner to facilitate opportunities to contribute to Māori well-being and build on Māori capability and capacity.
• Māori see themselves as critical to the ongoing success of Tamaki Makaurau.
• The focus areas identified later in this guide align to the goals of Whiria Te Muka Tangata.

3.4 Schedule of Issues of Significance to Māori in Tamaki Makaurau and Māori Plan 2017

To assist in knowing who to engage with on any issue, the IMSB was required, under the Local Government Act 2009, to produce a schedule of issues of significance to Māori in Auckland. They undertook this last in 2011 with the information being combined with the Maori Plan in 2017. The diagram below provides an overview of issues identified as significant for Māori.
4. Review and continued commitment

In order that this plan continues to strengthen the board’s relationship with Iwi and effectively guide future business planning, it is anticipated that regular review is undertaken to determine the following and update the proposed activity accordingly:

- have the proposed actions been delivered
- are they still relevant actions to support local Māori aspirations
- what additional actions may be required to respond to any new or updated aspirations of local Māori

Franklin Local Board are committed to strengthening relationships with mana whenua and mataawaka and develop a greater understanding of their aspirations and the opportunities for the local board to support those aspirations.

5. Māori community aspirations in the Franklin Local Board area

Bringing together the voices heard and information collated, three focus areas reflect the aspirations of Māori in the Franklin local board area captured and shared in the Foundational Work for a Māori Aspirations Plan report 2018. They are:

- Grow respectful and reciprocal relationships
- Celebrate Māori culture and practices
- Empowered and future ready

Focus area 1: Grow respectful and reciprocal relationships

Both the Franklin Local Board and local Māori identified there are positive individual relationships but not strong high-level Board to Trust relationships. Connection and engagement with Māori must be grounded in the practice of whakawhanaungatanga (Process of establishing relationships, relating well to others) which is to grow respectful relationships. To develop these Board to Trust relationships with Mana Whenua, Franklin Local Board will support the process of engagement being formal and informal, face to face hui, that is regular, reciprocal and focuses on opportunities for partnership.

Imperative to respectful relationships is early active involvement in local board processes and ensuring adequate time for community to undertake a kaupapa Māori (Māori approach or customary practice which incorporates the knowledge, skills, attitudes and values of Māori society) process to respond to council requests.

Recommendations

- Develop relationships with Mana Whenua and strengthen networks. Agree on shared goals and commit to involving Mana Whenua representatives in decision-making.
Provide the space, time and relevant information (in appropriate forms) to hold hui throughout the decision-making process. This involves early input into the local board planning process.

Continue investigating appropriate engagement models with Mana Whenua and Mataawaka groups to support the on-going review and further development of a Māori responsiveness plan.

**Focus area 2: Celebrate Māori culture and practices**

Local Māori seek to share their history, culture and practices in order that facilities and services reflect Māori identity through te reo Māori, tikanga (correct procedure, custom, lore, method, way, plan, practice, convention, protocol) and mātaranga (māori knowledge and expertise), and for these to be valued, validated and normalised.

**Recommendations**

- Work with mana whenua in the local board area to build cultural capability, competence and confidence of elected members and staff in order to effectively engage with Māori and respond to Māori aspirations.
- Co-design services and facilities with Māori and provide opportunities and activities that reflect te reo Māori, tikanga, mātaranga and te ao Māori (a Māori world view).

**Focus area 3: Empowered and future ready**

Franklin Māori identified culturally-based business opportunities and entrepreneurship as potential employment pathways for rangatahi (youth, the younger generation). Ensuring education pathways which provide bilingual and hands-on learning opportunities; and developing rangatahi leaders providing governance succession for the future, align with this aspiration.

**Recommendations**

- Advocate where appropriate to improve Māori medium and immersion education (including kōhanga reo, puna reo and early education) and alternative education for rangatahi.
- Provide opportunity for and promote rangatahi development in entrepreneurship and rangatahi leadership.
- Ensure the voice of rangatahi, including rangatahi with accessibility restrictions is represented. Consider rangatahi focussed opportunities within the community.
- Provide opportunities to grow community and cultural capacity with a focus on kaupapa Māori, employment and business investment.
6. Summary of the current response to Māori aspirations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus area</th>
<th>Proposed actions</th>
<th>Interested parties</th>
<th>Proposed Timescale</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Strategic outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grow respectful and reciprocal relationships</strong></td>
<td>1. Board members commit to participating in the Māori Input into Local Board Decision-Making group established to support southern Local Boards.</td>
<td>Local Board Services; CEU</td>
<td>By June 2019</td>
<td>An open invitation has been made to FLB to attend hui.</td>
<td>Local Board Plan 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Franklin Local Board continues developing a process in partnership with Ngāi Tai ki Tamaki that supports ongoing board to trust and chief to chief</td>
<td>Local Board Services; Te Waka Angamua; Iwi</td>
<td>Iwi dependent</td>
<td>TWA and LBS facilitated an initial hui with Ngāi Tai ki Tamaki.</td>
<td>Auckland Plan 2050 Focus Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Franklin Local Board approaches other iwi to discuss an appropriate process to develop and strengthen relationships at the governance level.</td>
<td>Local Board Services; Te Waka Angamua</td>
<td>By June 2019</td>
<td>Ngāti Tamaoho is involved in the Māori Input into Local Board Decision Making group and has indicated an interest in engaging with the Franklin local board. Franklin Local Board understand iwi have differing priorities and will develop relationships over time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Initiate Mana Whenua Relationship Agreements.</td>
<td>Local Board Services; Te Waka Angamua</td>
<td>By July 2020</td>
<td>Relationship Agreements were deferred until FLB could discuss needs with iwi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Continue to work with mana whenua and mataawaka to review and update the actions in this plan.</td>
<td>CEU</td>
<td>Annual WP development</td>
<td>Franklin local board continue to have an annual commitment to review and develop actions to respond to Māori aspirations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus area</td>
<td>Proposed actions</td>
<td>Interested parties</td>
<td>Proposed Timescale</td>
<td>Current status</td>
<td>Strategic outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrate Māori culture Māori identity and practices are reflected in the community</td>
<td>1. Establish locally focused training and development opportunities for local board members in kaupapa Māori, with a commitment to increase cultural competency and historical knowledge.</td>
<td>Local Board Services</td>
<td>Plan to establish by July 2020</td>
<td>Investigate locally delivered training opportunities for local board members and staff, including noho marae or wānanga.</td>
<td>Local Board Plan 2017 Communities feel ownership and connection to their areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Contribute to and promote events e.g. wānanga, Kapa Haka, Tākaro Tawhito (Traditional Māori Sports tournament), Matariki and te reo Māori.</td>
<td>Events (ACE) Department</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Franklin local board support regionally coordinated and promoted programmes: e.g. Matariki and Māori Language Week. Whakatipu i te reo Māori champion and embed te reo Māori in our libraries and communities. Support for events is available through the discretionary funding rounds.</td>
<td>Encourage initiatives that reflect the diversity of our communities and the cultural heritage of our area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Support sports and recreation to increase Māori wellbeing</td>
<td>Parks, Sport and Recreation</td>
<td>WP development</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board support sport and recreation initiatives designed to get residents active in parks through its ‘Out and About’ programme. Future focus could be given to include traditional Māori games and improve Māori participation in this programme.</td>
<td>Auckland Plan 2050 focus areas Advance mana whenua (and as appropriate Māori community) rangatiratanga in leadership and decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Work programme lines clearly identity opportunities for Māori engagement and show how projects respond to Māori aspirations.</td>
<td>Council Departments</td>
<td>WP development</td>
<td>Franklin local board supports the engagement of Māori in place-making projects such as community parks development. Future support for Te Kete Rukuruku, Māori naming of parks and facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Item 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus area</th>
<th>Proposed actions</th>
<th>Interested parties</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Current status</th>
<th>Strategic outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empowered and future ready.</td>
<td>1. Support and enable increased involvement and direction setting of mana whenua and mataawaka in the Franklin local board area.</td>
<td>Local Board Services</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>As relationships with mana whenua groups develop, identify opportunities to develop leadership programmes that provide capability building.</td>
<td>Local Board Plan 2017 Community feel ownership and connection to their areas. Encourage initiatives that reflect the diversity of our communities and the cultural heritage of our area. More local jobs are available. Young people have clear pathways from school to work. Increase tourist numbers and visitor spend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Promote the grant rounds to local Māori detailing the dates and processes for grant applications</td>
<td>Arts, Community &amp; Events Local Board Services Local Board Members</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Grant rounds are promoted publicly via newsletters, websites, social media and council departments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Franklin local board promote their role and become a gateway for advocacy issues including housing, education and health e.g. Wānanga development</td>
<td>Local Board Services Local Board Members</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Local Board members are advocates for the community. Having a greater understanding of the aspirations of Māori will enable them to advocate in partnership with mana whenua.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Support The Southern Initiative with its focus on Māori training and economic development.</td>
<td>The Southern Initiative</td>
<td>WP development</td>
<td>TSI currently delivering a range of activities focused on local training and employment for rangatahi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Promote and support local cultural tourism groups, Māori social enterprise and Māori entrepreneurial activities.</td>
<td>Arts, Community &amp; Events Various Council Departments</td>
<td>WP development</td>
<td>Prioritisation of local cultural groups and performers for local events. Support future opportunities to engage and encourage local Māori enterprise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Attachment A*
### Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hui</td>
<td>Verb, to assemble or meet. Noun, a gathering or meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwi</td>
<td>A number of hapū (section of a tribe) related through a common ancestor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapa haka</td>
<td>Māori cultural group, Māori performing group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaumātua</td>
<td>Elderly man, elderly woman. A person of status within the whānau, hapū, iwi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaupapa Māori</td>
<td>Māori approach or customary practice which incorporates the knowledge,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>skills, attitudes and values of Māori society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Köhanga reo</td>
<td>Māori language preschool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kōrero</td>
<td>Narrative, story, discussion, conversation, information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mana whenua</td>
<td>Hapū and iwi with ancestral relationships to certain areas in Tāmaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Makaurau where they exercise customary authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marae</td>
<td>Courtyard - the open area in front of the wharenui, where formal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>greetings and discussions take place. A term often used often to include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the complex of buildings and spaces around the marae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mataawaka</td>
<td>Māori who live in Franklin and are not in a mana whenua group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mātāuranga</td>
<td>Māori knowledge and expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mātua</td>
<td>Parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakeke</td>
<td>Adult, grown-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puna reo</td>
<td>Māori early childhood centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangatahi</td>
<td>Youth, younger generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangatiratanga</td>
<td>There are two components;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Chieftainship, right to exercise authority, chiefly autonomy, chiefly authority, ownership, leadership of a social group, domain of the rangatira, noble birth, attributes of a chief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Kingdom, realm, sovereignty, principality, self-determination, self-management - connotations extending the original meaning of the word resulting from Bible and Treaty of Waitangi translations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Makaurau</td>
<td>The Māori name for Auckland. Translates to Tamaki desired by many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamariki</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te reo Māori</td>
<td>The Māori language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Tiriti o Waitangi</td>
<td>The Treaty of Waitangi which is the document upon which the British and Māori agreed to find a nation state and build a government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikanga</td>
<td>Correct procedure, custom, lore, method, way, plan, practice, convention, protocol. The customary system of values and practices that have developed over time and are deeply embedded in the social context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wānanga</td>
<td>Verb, to meet and discuss, deliberate, consider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noun, seminar, conference, forum, educational seminar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whānau</td>
<td>Extended family, family group, a familiar term of address to a number of people. Also the primary economic unit of traditional Māori society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whakawhanaungatanga</td>
<td>Process of establishing relationships, relating well to others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To update the Franklin Local Board about transport related matters in its area, including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A decision is not required this month, but the report contains information about the following matters:
   - Responses to resolutions
   - Revocation of election sign sites
   - Construction Works on King Street/ Kitchener Road, Waiuku
   - Love Your Local Campaign for Beachlands
3. This report also provides an update on Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) receive the report entitled ‘Auckland Transport monthly update to the Franklin Local Board May 2019.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport related matters in the local board area and includes information on the progress of the LBTCF projects.
5. Auckland Transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. It reports on a monthly basis to local boards as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting supports the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities on transport matters.
6. The Local Board Transport Capital Fund is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by AT. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important to their communities but are not part of AT’s work programme. Projects must also:
   - be safe
   - not impede network efficiency
   - be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

7. Through Auckland Council’s Long Term Plan 2018-2028, LBTCF funding has been increased to a total of $20.8 million per annum across all 21 local boards.

8. The allocation for the Franklin Local Board has also increased, with the updated figures for the remainder of this electoral term reflected in Table 1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Franklin Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Available</strong> in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount committed</strong> to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Budget left</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Table 2 below shows the status of projects to which LBTCF has already been committed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Status update on current Local Board Transport Capital Fund projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade of Beachlands town centre gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First View Avenue, Beachlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second View Avenue, Beachlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Road parking and pedestrian improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Beachlands Kerb and Channel** | Improvements Project to install kerb and channel in Beachlands on following roads:  
- Shelley Bay Road  
- Karaka Road  
- First View Ave  
- Second View Ave | The local board approved project ROC estimate up to $1.18m to progress to detailed design and report back with Firm Estimate of Cost. Project manager appointed, currently working on documentation for procurement of services. | No | $1.18m |
| **Tourist Road-Monument Road intersection electronic warning signage** | Installation of electronic warning signage on each and smart studs on the Tourist Road. | The local board approved project ROC estimate up to $80,000 to progress to detailed design and report back with Firm Estimate of Cost. Investigation is still currently being undertaken with NZTA and ACC to determine whether this project is feasible. | No | $80,000 |

**Responses to resolutions**

10. At the Franklin Local Board April 2019 business meeting the local board passed the following resolution

**Resolution number FR/2019/50**

That the Franklin Local Board:

b) request that AT investigate options for partnership approach to funding the Station Road parking and pedestrian safety improvements project noting the community safety outcomes anticipated.

c) request that AT review the Local Board Capital Fund projects and provide updated advice to the board on project prioritization taking into consideration any updated project costs and planning for regional programme implementation.

11. Discussions have taken place with officers from Panuku Development Auckland to explore the feasibility of securing the funding shortfall required for the completion of this project.

12. At the time of the writing of this report, Panuku were supportive of the project but were unable to confirm their commitment until such time the proposed ‘Unlock Pupekohe’ programme has been confirmed. This is expected to be finalised in June 2019.

13. Funding has also been sought through the Community Safety Fund. Confirmation as to whether this meets the criteria for funding is still being sought and will be reported back in June 2019.

14. At the Franklin Local Board March 2019 business meeting the local board passed the following resolutions:

**Resolution number FR/2019/25**

That the Franklin Local Board:
b) request that AT provide the Franklin Local Board with a summary of Safer Speeds Bylaw consultation feedback from submitters in the Franklin Local Board boundaries so that the board can consider communities’ views in developing formal feedback on the Safer Speeds Bylaw.

15. The local board was previously advised that the preliminary consultation feedback was to be provided to local board members as soon as this information was available. The intention was to give the AT Board and local boards the preliminary findings for their information before being formally presented to the AT Board for approval.

16. Due to the large number and the detail of public submissions, the processing of the feedback has taken much longer than expected. The volume and detail of the public feedback has resulted in the processing timeline being pushed right up to the date of the deadline for formal submission to the AT Board.

17. Therefore, it is not possible to provide the AT Board or other key stakeholders this preliminary summary to inform their public feedback.

18. It should be noted that the Franklin Local Board has raised its concern about the timeline and inability to provide the preliminary consultation summaries to help inform their submission on the bylaw.

Local projects and activities

Revocation of election sign site

19. On 5 April 2019 in accordance with the Auckland Transport Election Signs Bylaw 2013 the Traffic Control Committee (TCC) resolved to remove site S-F15 from the list of public sites suitable for the display of election signs. This location is situated adjacent to 2301 Hunua Road and opposite the entrance to Frances Street off Hunua road.

20. When originally specified, site S-F15 had been a narrow site on the grass berm in front of two properties (a private residence and an empty lot). The second property has now been developed as an unfenced children’s playground and a footpath has been constructed on the berm. The footpath means that there is now insufficient space for the display of election signs on the originally specified area.

21. The TCC generally seeks to avoid placing election signs between the road and unfenced playgrounds, this being a potential safety hazard, as drivers are unable to see children who might run from the playground onto the road. Also, children have compromised visibility of the road from within the playground.

22. The red area on the image below is what had previously been site S-F15
23. The same area now has much more established vegetation leaving insufficient space within the specified site for election signs.

24. Auckland Transport would be happy to consider adding additional sites in the area if a suitable location is recommended.

**Construction Works on King Street/ Kitchener Road, Waiuku**

25. On 14 May 2019 Auckland Transport started works on the minor improvement project to the carpark on King Street/Kitchener Road, Waiuku.

26. Work includes the construction of a new concrete footpath, installation and relocation of bollards, reconstruction of existing vehicle crossing and new kerb and channel.
27. Work is expected to take several weeks and is planned to be completed in early June 2019. The duration is dependent on weather conditions.

**Love Your Local Campaign for Beachlands**

28. The ‘Love Your Local’ campaign for Beachlands was set to roll out on 20 May and runs through to 20 August 2019.

29. The programme uses local organisations, clubs and individuals who have joined Auckland Transport's “Love Being a Local” campaign to promote safer speeds on Auckland’s roads.

30. Speeding was a contributing factor in 25 per cent of serious accidents on Auckland’s roads between 2011 and 2015.

**Pukekohe Travel Demand proposal**

31. The Franklin Local Board requested Auckland Transport to support a project within the Pukekohe area to promote a change in travel behaviour and encourage more people to use the public transport options available, particularly local bus services but also the train.

32. The Travel Demand team were supportive of this proposal and initially expected to have this in place by the first quarter of 2019.

33. The Travel Demand team has had to reschedule this work and are currently proposing to include this programme as part of their 2019/2020 Travel Demand programme.

34. In terms of timeframes, they would seek to roll out a Travel Demand Management strategy in June 2019, once confirmed.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views**

35. The impact of information (or decisions) in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

Auckland Transport consultations

Local Board consultations
36. Auckland Transport provides the Franklin Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in the local board area.
37. The local board’s views on any proposed schemes are taken into account during consultation on those proposals.
38. In the reporting period ending April 2019, no proposals were put forward for comment by the Franklin Local Board.

Traffic Control Committee resolutions
39. Traffic Control Committee decisions within the Franklin Local Board area are reported on a monthly basis. The decisions within the local board area in the period of April 2019 are reflected in Table 3 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>WORK</th>
<th>DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glenbrook Road, Ostrich Road, Kingsseat Road, Brookside Road, Charles Road, Batty Road, Pearson Road, Conroy Way, Wymer Road, Glenbrook Station Road, Cuff Road, Gearon Lane, Smeaton Lane</td>
<td>Glenbrook</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunua Road</td>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>Amended Public Election Sign Site Report</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Street, Bowen Street, Kitchener Road</td>
<td>Waiuku</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massey Avenue</td>
<td>Pukekohe</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other regional AT activities

Back to School Marketing Campaign, Term 2 2019
40. Auckland Transport delivers a quarterly regional speed campaign at the start of each school term to remind motorists to slow down around schools to keep our children safe. We are targeting all motorists across the Auckland region, particularly those driving past schools and parents who drive children to/from school.
41. Safety around schools is paramount and the issue of speed is an ongoing problem. Across the Auckland region speed related crashes are of a high concern due to the number of deaths and serious injuries resulting from these crashes. In Auckland between 2013 and 2017, there were 741 death and serious injury (DSI) speed related crashes. These crashes resulted in 96 deaths, with 645 people being seriously injured.
42. In 2015, 2016 and 2017 no children died whilst walking to/from school. However, over the past 5 years (2013-2017) serious injuries of school-aged children have been high and rising.
43. A total of 83 children were seriously injured, and the injuries occurred between 3-6pm. The predominant behaviours were coming home from school and crossing midblock between parked or stationary vehicles between 3-6pm.

44. Based on the success of the 2018 campaign, AT is using the existing campaign’s creative approach. Key results from the post-campaign evaluation report is as follows:
   - On social media, we reached 663,296 Aucklanders 18-54 years old, up from previous posts by over 76,000 people.
   - Radio ads reached 60 per cent of all Aucklanders 18+.

45. There is agreement this campaign is easy to understand, relevant and an important message to promote. Media channels (radio, bus backs, Adshels and social media) are successful to speak to motorists during key moments as they are driving past schools.

46. The measures of success are to contribute to the target of reducing death and serious injuries by 60 per cent over the next ten years.

**Bus services affected by Employment Relations Act (ERA) amendments**

47. Recent amendments to the ERA, aimed at improving working conditions, has resulted in changes to some of the bus services in the Auckland region, mainly due to rostering requirements. AT has worked closely with bus operators and unions to minimise disruptions to services.

48. The changes came into effect on Monday 6 May and prescribe more regular work time breaks for bus drivers. This in turn means some timetable changes or service cancellations.

49. The result of the cooperative approach with bus companies and unions means that less than one per cent of services will be affected (approximately 120 of the 13,043 services operated daily). Most of the affected services are off-peak.

50. Earlier in the week, AT announced that approximately 120 of the 13,043 services operated each day would be cancelled but that number is now down to 41 on a weekday and even fewer on the weekend.

51. AT Group Manager Metro Services, Stacey Van Der Putten, says there’s been a great collaborative effort. “In the past few weeks, we have worked closely with our operators; they have done a great job, helping us keep the impact very low. To have the number of affected services drop further this week is great news for our customers.”

52. All trips that are affected will be cancelled in our real time information services so that customers have the most up to date information both online, through the AT Mobile app and at bus stops.

53. Within the Franklin Local Board area, 4 bus trips have been cancelled, but over the next few weeks AT will work with the bus operators to reinstate as many of bus services as possible and inform patrons and the local board of where we land.

**Rail Franchise Procurement**

54. The Rail Franchise team is advertising the market engagement process and inviting interested parties to take part in consultation to inform the scope and terms of the future Auckland rail franchise.

55. Invitations have been sent to a number of global rail operators along with tender notices and adverts in industry newsletters and on social media (LinkedIn and Twitter).

Waiheke New Network bus services Go Live date announced

57. The Auckland Transport Board has approved the final Waiheke New Network decisions report and announced the Go Live date of Sunday, 13 October 2019.

58. As a result of analysing the 932 pieces of feedback, test driving the routes, conducting safety and environmental audits, as well as other influencing factors such as budget and practical constraints, changes were made to all proposed services and three new services were added.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

59. The proposed decision of receiving the report or requesting cost estimates has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

60. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamauratanga
Risks and mitigations

61. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

62. AT will provide another update report to the board at the next monthly meeting.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kenneth Tuai – Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To allocate funding to increase access to third party sport and recreation facilities.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
1. Within the Franklin Local Board area, there is a gap in supply and demand in some areas for access to facilities for football and basketball. This is restricting participation in these sports.

2. Within the Beachlands and Maraetai community there is interest in having access to an indoor heated swimming pool, however there is no facility available. Council’s Community Network Facilities Plan does not identify the need for a council swimming pool in this area.

3. Franklin Local Board has budgeted $30,000 within line item number 743 of the 2018/2019 Locally Driven Initiatives operations budget to:
   - investigate opportunities for public access to third party sport and recreation facilities and
   - establish a pilot programme(s) that demonstrates the potential to connect groups to unlock the capacity of the existing sports facility network.

4. The purpose of the budget is to maximise the utilisation of existing facilities. This can be achieved by matching existing capacity at facilities where the owner is willing to provide public access, with the needs of sport and recreation groups.

5. Two options are recommended to increase access to third party facilities, totaling $10,800 of the $30,000 available. They are:
   - Pukekohe Association Football Club Incorporated: to use the astroturf at Pukekohe High School
   - Franklin Basketball Incorporated: to use the sports hall at Tuakau College.

6. Two options are not recommended for funding at this time. These are:
   - Formosa Golf Resort: a contribution to the cost of refurbishing the swimming pool. The level of funding available would have a small impact due to the expected cost of the project.
   - Beachlands Maraetai Football Club: access to lit training space. The provision of flood lights at Te Puru Park is currently being planned.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That Franklin Local Board:

a) approve the following funds from line item 743 of the 2018/2019 local board work programme:
   i) up to $8,000 for the use of the astroturf at Pukekohe High School for football training and for the provision of a portaloo to meet the training shortfall.
Item 16

ii) up to $2,800 to Tuakau College for the use of the indoor basketball court.

iii) $19,200 to be transferred to the Franklin Local Board Community Grants Fund.

Horopaki Context

7. Within Franklin communities, there are sports facilities owned by the Ministry of Education and private owners that are not used to the extent that they could be. There are also sport and recreation groups that require facilities for training and competition due to council owned facilities being used at their maximum capacity.

8. Before planning and constructing new facilities, underutilised and unused third-party facilities can be considered in regard to their suitability for community use. Providing access to third party facilities is becoming more common due to pressure on council owned facilities. In addition, investment in the refurbishment of third-party facilities ensures that current participation can be maintained or increased.

9. Council’s Facilities Partnership Policy was adopted in December 2018. The policy sets out how council will work with third parties to maintain and increase participation in sport and active recreation. Providing community access and investing in facilities achieves a wide range of sport and recreation outcomes, including enabling Aucklanders to be more active more often.

10. The Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan sets out the vision for sport and recreation in Auckland. Initiatives that align with maintaining and increasing access to third party facilities are as follows: “Continue to:

- support collaborative partnerships to provide sustainable delivery of recreation and sport facilities (initiative 8.1 (a))
- prioritise investment in partnerships which provide multi-use, multisport or maximised use of recreation and sport facilities (initiative 8.1 (b))
- support public access to recreation and sport facilities on private land (initiative 8.1 (c )).”

Franklin Local Board Plan (2017)

11. Outcome 4 in the Franklin Local Board Plan is “Growth is dealt with effectively”. For sport and recreation, the objective and associated key initiative is:

Objective
- Outdoor space and community facilities that support growth.

2018/2019 Franklin Local Board work programme

12. Franklin Local Board has $30,000 in line item 743 of the 2018/2019 Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) operations budget. The purpose of the $30,000 is to identify opportunities for the public to use facilities that are owned and operated by third parties, to meet current and future demand. Once opportunities have been identified and assessed the intention is to establish a partnership agreement to demonstrate the potential of connecting groups to facilities where there is existing capacity.

13. The activity description for line number 743 in the Franklin Local Board work programme for 2018/2019 is:
Stage 1: Staff time to undertake a service assessment that identifies public access opportunities, for sport and recreation purposes, to facilities owned/operated by third parties (for example: schools and sports clubs).

Stage 2: Develop and run a pilot programme(s) that demonstrates the potential of making connections, to unlock the capacity of the existing sports facility network”.

Current situation – Franklin Local Board

Franklin Sport and Active Recreation Facilities Plan

14. In August 2016 Franklin Local Board adopted the Franklin Sport and Active Recreation Facilities Plan. The plan forecasts the number of residents who will be within the main sports playing age (5 – 35 years). Forecasts indicate that demand for sports facilities will continue to increase until 2043 across this age range.

15. The forecast increase in residents within the sports playing age means that there will be increasing demand for access to sports facilities. This is now evident in Pukekohe, Beachlands and Maraetai, as there is a shortage of lit space for football training. Also, in Pukekohe and Waiuku, there is a shortage of indoor court space for basketball.

Needs identified among sports codes

16. At a workshop with Franklin Local Board on 23 October 2018, the current needs of sports codes were discussed. The board requested that opportunities be investigated as follows:

- Pukekohe High School, Pukekohe Intermediate and Wesley College for access to training space for Pukekohe Association Football Club (Pukekohe AFC). This is to address a shortfall in lit training space for football, as identified by council’s sports field data.
- Maraetai Beach School and Beachlands School, for training space for Beachlands Maraetai Football Club. This is to address a shortfall in lit training space for football that has been identified in council’s sports field data.
- Formosa Golf Resort, to assess the opportunity to contribute towards getting the indoor swimming pool operational. Currently the swimming pool is closed as it requires refurbishment.

17. At a workshop on 19 February 2019, the board also requested that opportunities for additional training space for basketball in Pukekohe and Waiuku be investigated.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

Options for consideration in 2018/2019

18. Options considered are presented below.


   Background: There is a shortfall of 10.5 hours of lit space for football training in Pukekohe. The lack of lit training space is restricting participation in training. Club membership is increasing, especially children and young people.

   Assessment: The shortfall in lit training space can be met by the club utilising a non-council owned asset. Pukekohe High School, in partnership with Counties Power, is planning to install floodlights around its astroturf in late 2019. Access to the astroturf has been
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negotiated for the winter season in 2020 at a cost of up to $8,000. This will provide 200
hours of lit training on the astroturf and access to a toilet during training sessions.

Pukekohe Intermediate and Wesley College were unable to provide access to their facilities
at this time.

**Recommendation:** This option for access to the Pukekohe High School astroturf is
recommended for funding support for the following reasons.

- the gap between the supply and current demand for lit training space for football can be
  eliminated. Should more lit space be required in future, there will be additional capacity
  available
- the majority of those who will benefit are children and young people. 75 per cent of the
  club membership is children and young people
- club membership is increasing and this is forecast to continue as the playing age (5 –
  35 year olds) in the wider Pukekohe area is expected to increase until 2046
- provision of access to the astro turf will increase the use of an existing sports asset in
  Pukekohe.
- there is no additional capacity for football training expected at parks in Pukekohe until
  2021/2022. Thereafter, more capacity is expected at Bledisloe Park.

20. **Option 2: Beachlands Maraetai Association Football Club – access to lit training
space for football.**

**Background:** There is shortfall of 8.5 hours of lit space for football training in the
Beachlands Maraetai area. The lack of lit training is restricting participation in football
training. Club membership is increasing, especially among children and young people.

**Assessment:** Beachlands School and Maraetai Beach School each have a soil-based field,
however they have no flood lights. The Parks Services team advised its preference is to
install lights at Te Puru Park prior to considering one of the school options. A concept
plan is being prepared to install lights at Te Puru Park on fields 5, 6 and 7. The investigation and
design team will review options with the local board for the proposed lighting.

The shortfall in lit training space cannot be met at present.

**Recommendation:** This option is not recommended for the following reasons:

- the staff preference is to prioritise installation of flood lights at Te Puru Park
- before Beachlands School field could be considered, it would require draining as it floods
  regularly in winter
- once lights are installed at Te Puru Park and the park is at capacity for football training,
  the option to install lights at a primary school field could be considered.

21. **Option 3: Beachlands Maraetai residents – community access to a swimming pool.**

**Background:** Beachlands Maraetai residents had access all year round to the swimming
pool at Formosa Golf Resort. The pool is closed as it requires refurbishment. There is
community interest in having access to a swimming pool, as evidenced in a recent survey
conducted by Perform Fitness Ltd, the lessee of the fitness center at Formosa Golf Resort.
Council’s Community Network Facilities Plan does not support additional council owned aquatic facilities in this area. The closest swimming pool is 21km away at Lloyd Elsmore Park Pool and Leisure Centre in Pakuranga. A new swimming pool in Flatbush is scheduled to be constructed from 2023 – 2025.

**Assessment:** There are three swimming pools in the Beachlands Maraetai area. Two are outdoor school pools and are used at capacity in the summer months. Franklin Local Board has previously provided operational support for the Maraetai School pool with the most recent grant approved in 2016/2017.

The swimming pool at Formosa Golf Resort is an indoor heated pool and is privately owned and operated. It has previously been used for learn to swim and recreational swimming. The size of the pool is suited to younger children from toddlers to 10/11 years and recreational swimmers. For the pool to be operational, investment of over $350,000 is required. This includes plumbing, filtration, heating, plastering, painting and tiling.

**Recommendation:** This option is not recommended for funding support to contribute to the cost of getting the pool operational for the following reasons:

- it is expected that the majority of the funding required to complete the project would need to be confirmed by Formosa Golf Resort. The company has not confirmed it is willing to invest the level of funds required to upgrade the pool
- the amount of funding available would be a small amount of the total required
- more details are required as to the final cost of the project.

22. **Option 4: Franklin Basketball Incorporated – access to an indoor court for basketball training and competition.**

**Background:** There is a shortage of indoor court space for basketball in Pukekohe and Waiuku. Franklin Basketball Incorporated is seeking additional space to accommodate an increase in participation, especially among children and young people.

**Assessment.** In Waiuku, although there are two sports halls at Waiuku College, one is being refurbished and will not be available to use until late 2020. The Sandspit School hall is used for an after school programme and is not available for junior basketball. Franklin Basketball Incorporated advised the hall is not suitable for team training for young people in year 9 – 13. There are no other options at this time for additional indoor court space in Waiuku.

In the Pukekohe area, Pukekohe High School and Franklin Pool and Leisure have no additional capacity available for basketball. Although Wesley College has an indoor court, there are 360 boarders who use facilities outside school hours. The school does not permit community groups to use the indoor court in the evenings or weekends during the school term for this reason.

The shortfall in space in Pukekohe can be met by Franklin Basketball Incorporated members using the indoor basketball court at Tuakau College. Access to the indoor court has been negotiated at a cost of up to $2,800 based on the number of hours required. A programme will be delivered over three terms for juniors and one term for seniors. Eighty percent of the participants will be from the Franklin Local Board area.

**Recommendation:** The Tuakau College option is recommended for the following reasons:

- participation in basketball can increase. At present there is no additional space where the facility owner is willing to accommodate community basketball
• children and young people are a target group for Auckland Council
• a fit for purpose asset that is outside the Franklin Local Board boundary will benefit Franklin residents
• the programme will be sustainable for both juniors and seniors by the end of Term 2 2020
• the court is accessible to those who wish to participate in basketball. The court is 9.5km from Pukekohe or a 10 minute drive.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
23. There will be a positive impact on the gap between supply and demand for both lit training space for football and for indoor court space for basketball in Pukekohe.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
24. The input and views of the local board were received at a workshop held on 14 May. The local board indicated support for options 1 and 4 in this report. The board acknowledged the funding will address the shortage of space for football training and basketball.
25. In regard to contributing to refurbishment of the swimming pool at Formosa Golf Resort, the local board view is that the funds available would have a very small impact. The local board requested that the owner of the pool lead the project and there may be an opportunity for the local board to invest once this is confirmed.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
26. Enabling access to third party facilities will increase participation in sport and recreation, including an increase in participation for Māori.
27. Within the Franklin Local Board area, 13.2 per cent of the population is Maori.
28. The impact on Māori is likely to be greater than that of general participants from investment into basketball. Maori are 90 per cent more likely to participate in basketball.
29. The impact on Maori is likely to be low for football and swimming. Maori are 10 per cent less likely to participate in football and 22 per cent less likely to participate in swimming than other Franklin residents.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
30. Franklin Local Board has budgeted $30,000 line item 743 within its 2018/2019 work programme.
31. Recommendations for grant funding total $10,800. It is recommended the remainder be reallocated to the Franklin Local Board Community Grants Fund.
32. The recommendations do not give rise to any major financial risks.
33. The economic return on investment from enabling increased participation in football and basketball includes healthier community members as there will be fit-for-purpose facilities for participants.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
34. The sport and recreation team has prepared and managed many funding agreements. The risk of not achieving the expected outcomes from the recommended grant funding for Options 1 and 4 is expected to be low.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
35. Pending the local board consideration of the report, a funding agreement will be prepared and sent to the organisation/s for review and feedback.
36. It should be noted that this work programme item includes a trial. There is no time for a trial so this work programme item will extend into 2019/2020.
37. The funding agreement will be signed once both parties have agreed on the terms and conditions for the funding.
38. The Sport and Recreation Lead will monitor the requirements specified in the funding agreement.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ward - Sport and Recreation Advisor</td>
<td>Mace Ward - General Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Stewart - Manager Sport &amp; Recreation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Panuku Development Auckland Local Board Six Monthly Update from 1 October 2018 to 31 March 2019.

File No.: CP2019/07706

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To update the Franklin Local Board on Panuku Development Auckland (Panuku) activities within the local board area and the region for the six months from 1 October 2018 to 31 March 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Panuku is charged with balancing financial and non-financial outcomes in order to create and manage sustainable and resilient places where people want to live, work, invest, learn and visit. The activities of Panuku cover four broad areas:
   • redevelopment of urban locations, leveraging off council owned land assets, mostly within existing suburbs
   • review of, and where appropriate, redevelopment of council non-service property
   • management of council property assets including commercial, residential, and marina infrastructure
   • other property related services such as redevelopment incorporating a service delivery function, strategic property advice, acquisitions and disposals.

3. The Franklin Local Board endorsed the High Level Project Plan (HLPP) for Pukekohe on 16 April 2019.

4. Panuku currently manages 67 commercial and residential interests in the Franklin Local Board area.

5. One property is currently under review as part of our rationalisation process.

6. Three properties were purchased and no properties were sold in the Franklin Local Board area during the last six months.

7. Panuku leads a multi-year redevelopment programme of the council's Housing for Older People (HfOP) portfolio (Haumaru). There are six HfOP villages in the Franklin Local Board area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the Panuku Development Auckland local nboard update for 1 October 2018 to 31 March 2019
Horopaki Context
8. Panuku helps to rejuvenate parts of Auckland, from small projects that refresh a site or building, to major transformations of town centres or neighbourhoods.
9. The Auckland Plan is the roadmap to deliver on Auckland’s vision to be a world class city, Panuku will play a significant role in achieving the Homes and Places and Belonging and Participation outcomes.
10. Panuku is leading urban redevelopment in Manukau, Onehunga, Wynyard Quarter, Waterfront, Northcote, Avondale, Takapuna, Henderson, Papatoetoe, Ormiston and Flat Bush, Panmure, Pukekohe, City Centre and redevelopment of the Haumaru Portfolio.
11. Panuku manages around $2 billion of council’s non-service property portfolio, which is continuously reviewed to find smart ways to generate income for the region, grow the portfolio, or release land or property that can be better used by others.
12. As at 30 December 2018, the property portfolio comprises 1636 properties, containing 1062 leases. The current portfolio includes vacant land, industrial buildings, warehouses, retail shops, cafes, offices, medical centres, and a large portfolio of residential rental homes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

Unlock Pukekohe
13. On 24 September 2018, following a review of all project locations across the city, the Panuku Board approved the recommendation to Auckland Council that Pukekohe be included as an Unlock location to our work programme.
14. In October 2018, Panuku workshop ped the option of Pukekohe becoming an Unlock location with the Franklin Local Board. The Franklin Local Board formally endorsed Pukekohe becoming an Unlock location at their business meeting on 23 October 2018.
15. A comprehensive Parking Management Plan has been completed by Auckland Transport and is informing the planning process for Panuku.
16. The Franklin Local Board endorsed the High Level Project Plan (HLPP) for Pukekohe on 16 April 2019.
17. The HLPP is scheduled to go to the Planning Committee on 4 June 2019.
18. The HLPP will be presented to the Panuku Local Board on 28 May 2019 and then presented to the Finance and Performance Committee on 18 June 2019.

Properties managed in the Franklin Local Board Area
19. Panuku currently manages 51 commercial and 16 residential interests within the local board area.

Portfolio strategy Optimisation
20. Optimisation is a self-funding development approach targeting sub-optimal service assets approved in 2015. The process involves an agreement between Community Facilities, Panuku and local boards and is led by Panuku. It is designed to equal or enhance levels of service to the local community in a reconfigured form while delivering on strategic outcomes such as housing or urban regeneration with no impact on existing rate assumptions.
21. Using optimisation, underperforming assets will have increased utility and efficiency, lower maintenance and operating costs, as well as improved service delivery benefiting from co-location of other complimentary services or commercial activities. Optimisation will free up a range of undercapitalised development opportunities such as air space, full sites, or part sites.

22. Local boards are allocated decision making for the disposal of local service property and reinvestment of sale proceeds in accordance with the service property optimisation approach.

Portfolio review and rationalisation

Overview

23. Panuku is required to undertake ongoing rationalisation of the council’s non-service assets. This includes identifying properties from within the council’s portfolio that may be suitable for potential sale and development if appropriate. Panuku has a focus on achieving housing and urban regeneration outcomes.

24. Identifying potential sale properties contributes to the Auckland Plan focus of accommodating the significant growth projected for the region over the coming decades, by providing the council with an efficient use of capital and prioritisation of funds to achieve its activities and projects.

Performance

25. Panuku works closely with Auckland Council and Auckland Transport to identify potential surplus properties to help achieve disposal targets.

26. Target for July 2018 to June 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target Description</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$30 million disposal ‘recommendations’</td>
<td>$8.6 million as at 31 March 2019.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Process

27. Once identified as no longer delivering the council service use for which it was acquired, a property is taken through a multi-stage rationalisation process. The agreed process includes engagement with council departments and CCOs, the local board and mana whenua. This is followed by Panuku board approval, engagement with the local ward councillors, the Independent Māori Statutory Board and finally, a Governing Body decision.

Under review

28. Properties currently under review in the Franklin Local Board area are listed below. The list includes any properties that may have recently been approved for sale or development and sale by the Governing Body.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15R First View Avenue, Beachlands</td>
<td>Narrow strip of land remaining from unformed access way vested with the former Manukau City Council in 1963.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panuku and council’s Stakeholder and Land Advisory team are working to resolve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| encroachments associated with the site.  
| Panuku will report to the board June 2019 business meeting for a formal position on the proposed disposal. |

**Acquisitions and disposals**

29. Panuku manages the acquisition and disposal of property on behalf of Auckland Council. Panuku purchases property for development, roads, infrastructure projects and other services. These properties may be sold with or without contractual requirements for development.

**Acquisitions**

30. Panuku does not decide which properties to buy in a local board area. Instead, it is asked to negotiate the terms and conditions of a purchase on behalf of the council.

31. Panuku purchased 11 properties for open space across Auckland in the 2018-19 financial year at a cost of $35.3 million and bought one property for stormwater use at a value of $188,000.

32. Three properties were purchased in the Franklin Local Board area during the reporting period.

33. All land acquisition committee resolutions contain a confidentiality clause due to the commercially sensitive nature of ongoing transactions, and thus cannot be reported on while in process.

**Disposals**

34. In the current financial year to the end of March, the Panuku disposals team has entered into fifteen sale and purchase agreements, with an estimated value of $35.6 million of unconditional net sales proceeds.

35. As part of the Northern Corridor Improvements, Auckland Council has agreed to transfer various parcels of land to NZTA to facilitate the works. The compensation amount totals $6.5 million, of which $1.554 million is advance compensation for required land at Rook Reserve with the final compensation amount still to be agreed.

36. Panuku 2018/19 disposals target is $24 million for the year. The disposals target is agreed with the council and is reviewed on an annual basis.

37. No properties sold in the Franklin Local Board area in this period.

**Housing for Older People**

38. The council owns 1412 units located in 62 villages across Auckland, which provide rental housing to low income older people in Auckland.

39. The Housing for Older People (HfOP) project involved the council partnering with a third-party organisation, The Selwyn Foundation, to deliver social rental housing services for older people across Auckland.

40. The joint venture business, named Haumaru Housing, took over the tenancy, facilities and asset management of the portfolio, under a long-term lease arrangement from 1 July 2017.
41. Haumaru Housing was granted community housing provider (CHP) status in April 2017. Having CHP registration enables Haumaru to access the government’s Income Related Rent Subsidy (IRRS) scheme.

42. Auckland Council has delegated Panuku to lead a new multi-year residential development programme.

43. The first new development project is a 40-unit apartment building on the former Wilsher Village site on 33 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson. Once completed in mid-2019, this development will increase the council’s portfolio to 1452 units.

44. The following HfOP villages are located within the Franklin Local Board area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert Place Village</td>
<td>1 Albert Place, Pukekohe</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Curd Village</td>
<td>4-8 Henry Curd Terrace, Pukekohe</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Street Village</td>
<td>14 Kent Street, Waiuku</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrie Avenue Village</td>
<td>111 Queen Street, Pukekohe</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Rise Village</td>
<td>2 Norfolk Rise, Waiuku</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkway Village</td>
<td>16 Princes Street, Pukekohe</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views
45. The views of the council group are incorporated on a project by project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views
46. Any local or sub-regional impacts related to local activities are considered on a project by project basis.

47. Panuku requests that all feedback and/or queries relating to a property in the local board area be directed in the first instance to localboard@developmentauckland.co.nz.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement
48. Panuku work collaboratively with mana whenua on a range of projects including potential property disposals, development sites in the area and commercial opportunities. Engagement can be on specific individual properties and projects at an operational level with kaitiaki representatives, or with the Panuku Mana Whenua Governance Forum who have a broader mandate.

49. Panuku will continue to partner with Māori on opportunities which enhance Māori social and economic wellbeing.
Ngā ritoanga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
50. There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
51. There are no risks associated with receiving this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
52. The next six-monthly update is scheduled for November 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sa Va'aelu - Engagement Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Helga Sonier - Senior Engagement Advisor, Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waste Bylaw Statement of Proposal

File No.: CP2019/07628

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Waste Bylaw statement of proposal report and attachment, and to provide feedback if required.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Waste Bylaw statement of proposal report and attachment (see Attachment A) has been provided for the board's information.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the Waste Bylaw Statement of Proposal (Attachment A)

b) provide formal feedback by 16 June 2019 should the board decide to do so.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Waste Bylaw statement of proposal (Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive a resolution from the Regulatory Committee and provide feedback on the proposed Waste Management and Minimisation Bylaw and amendments to the Trading and Events in Public Place.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At its meeting on 11 April 2019, the Regulatory Committee resolved as follows:

Resolution number REG/2019/21

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson BC Cashmore, seconded by IMSB Chair D Taipari:

That the Regulatory Committee:

a) note that this committee completed the review of the Solid Waste Bylaw 2012 in March 2019 and:
   i) determined a bylaw is still the most appropriate way to address some waste issues, but not others
   ii) gave directions to prepare a new bylaw about waste

b) recommend the Governing Body adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report for public consultation, and confirm that the proposed new Waste Management and Minimisation Bylaw 2019 and amendments to the Trading and Events in Public Places Bylaw 2015:
   i) are the most appropriate form of bylaw
   ii) do not give rise to any implications and are not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990
   iii) are not inconsistent with the Waste Plan

c) appoint Cr L Cooper, Cr P Hulse Cr W Walker and Independent Māori Statutory Board Member Glenn Wilcox to attend ‘Have Your Say’ events, to deliberate and make recommendations to the Governing Body on public feedback to the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report

d) delegate authority to the Regulatory Committee chairperson to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable

e) delegate authority through the Chief Executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to appoint staff to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events

f) delegate authority through the Chief Executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment A to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body

g) request through the Chief Executive that the manager responsible for local board services include this report and attachments on the waste bylaw statement of proposal on the agenda of all local boards for their information
3. The following is the link to the Regulatory Committee meeting on 11 April 2019 – Item 10 Waste Bylaw Statement of Proposal, page 135
4. Full Regulatory Committee agenda’s are available through
   http://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the 11 April 2019 Regulatory Committee resolution on the proposed Waste Management and Minimisation Bylaw and amendments to the Trading and Events in Public Place.

b) consider whether to provide views on the proposed Waste Management and Minimisation Bylaw and amendments to the Trading and Events in Public Place.

c) note that public consultation on the proposal is scheduled from 10 May to 16 June 2019. A panel will consider any feedback, deliberate and make recommendations to the Governing Body. A final decision on any new bylaw and bylaw amendments will be made on 22 August 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Suad Allie - Governance Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Marguerite Delbet - General Manager Democracy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To seek the Franklin Local Board’s recommendation to the Governing Body to strike the BID (Business Improvement District) targeted rates for the Pukekohe and Waiuku business associations for the 2019-2020 financial year.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. Auckland Council’s Business Improvement District (BID) programme supports business associations by collecting a targeted rate from commercial properties within a defined geographic area. The funds from the targeted rate are then provided by way of a grant to the relevant BID.

3. The BIDs are incorporated societies that are independent of council. For the council to be confident that the funds provided to the BIDs are being used appropriately, council requires the BIDs to comply with the Business Improvement District (BID) Policy (2016) (Hōtaka ā-Rohe Whakapiki Pakihi), known as the BID Policy.

4. The BID Policy was developed to encourage improved governance of BID committees and staff to improve financial management, programme delivery and transparency to their members.

5. This report indicates that the Pukekohe and Waiuku business associations are in compliance with the BID Policy. Information presented in this report is based on documents submitted by the business associations to council’s BID programme team.

6. Staff recommend that as the BID has met the requirements of the BID Policy, the Franklin Local Board should therefore recommend to the Governing Body to strike the targeted rates sought by the BID.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) recommend to the Governing Body to strike the targeted rates for inclusion in the Annual Budget 2019-2020 for the following Business Improvement District (BID) programmes:
   - $462,000 for the Pukekohe Business Association
   - $122,750 for Waiuku Business Association

Horopaki

Context
7. The council adopted the Business Improvement District (BID) Policy (Hōtaka ā-Rohe Whakapiki Pakihi) in 2016. This policy outlines the principles behind the council’s BID programme; creates the process for establishing, expanding, and disestablishing BIDs; prescribes operating standards and guidelines; and sets accountability requirements.

Attachment A provides an overview of key elements of the BID programme.
8. BID targeted rates are applied to all commercially-rated properties within a designated area around a town centre or commercial precinct. Those funds are transferred to the business association operating the BID programme.

9. There are currently 48 BID programmes throughout Auckland which represent more than 25,000 businesses and a combined $17.7 million in targeted rates investment. Refer to Attachment B for current and proposed targeted rates budgets for all BIDs.

10. Under the Auckland Council shared governance arrangements, local boards are allocated several decision-making responsibilities in relation to BIDs. One of these is to annually recommend BID targeted rates to the Governing Body. The local board should recommend the striking of the targeted rate if it is satisfied that the BID is substantially complying with the BID Policy.

11. Recommendations arising from this report are put into effect with the Governing Body’s approval of the Annual Budget 2019-2020 and striking of the targeted rate.

12. This report is a requirement of the BID Policy (2016). The local board must decide whether to endorse the BID targeted rates.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

13. The council’s BID programme team monitors compliance with the BID Policy on an ongoing basis and provides governance advice to BID-operating business associations as needed or requested.

14. As BID programmes are operated by private independent societies, their programmes and services are provided according to their members’ stated priorities. In recognition of their independent corporate status, the policy does not prescribe standards for programme effectiveness. Staff, therefore, cannot base recommendations on these factors, but only on the policy’s express requirements.

Compliance with the BID Policy

15. The BID policy is the means for council to ensure accountability for BID targeted rate funding and to encourage good governance. This is achieved by requiring regular reporting by BID-operating business associations specifically by providing to council the following documents, and staying in touch with their local board(s) at least once a year:

- Current Strategic Plan – evidence of achievable medium- to long-term opportunities.
- Audited Accounts – assurance that the BID-operating business association is managing its members’ BID targeted rate funds responsibly.
- Annual Report on the year just completed – evidence that programmes are addressing priority issues that benefit BID targeted ratepayers.
- Business Plan for the coming year – detailed one-year programme, based on the Strategic Plan, to be achieved and resourced.
- Indicative Budget for the following year – Auckland Council’s Annual Budget requires targeted rates to be identified a year in advance to inform the Annual Budget process which sets all rates.
- Board Charter – establishes guidelines for effective board governance and positive relationships between the association and its members.
- Annual Accountability Agreement – certification that these requirements have been met.
- Programme Agreement – a good faith agreement between each BID-operating business association and council that sets basic parameters of the council-business association relationship.

16. The BID Policy (2016) requires each business association to ratify the BID grant amount/targeted rate and budget for the following financial year. Ōnawe and Waiuku
business associations presented for members’ approval, their 2019-2020 BID grant amount/targeted rate and budget at their 2018 AGM. The approval at the AGM by way of a resolution accepting the 2019-2020 BID grant/amount enables the Franklin Local Board to recommend to the Governing Body the striking of BID targeted rates for 2019-2020.

17. The Pukekohe and Waiuku business associations comply with the BID Policy and, therefore, it is recommended that the Franklin Local Board recommends to the Governing Body the striking of the targeted rate relevant to this business association.

18. The recommendation of this report is supported by evidence of compliance with the BID Policy by the Pukekohe and Waiuku business associations. Refer to Attachments C and D for details.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

19. The proposed decision does not impact other parts of the council group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

20. Recommending that the Governing Body strikes the targeted rates for the Pukekohe and Waiuku business associations means that these BID programmes will continue to be funded from targeted rates on commercial properties in their district and provide services in accordance with their members’ priorities as stated in their strategic plan, and support business growth.

21. Staff does not comment on the effectiveness of individual BID programmes as that is a matter for the members to assess.

22. The Franklin Local Board approved a similar recommendation for these BID programmes last year (resolution number FR/2018/59) as did the 17 other local boards that have BID programmes operating in their areas.

23. Several local boards provide additional funding to local business associations; however, accountability for that funding is set by funding agreements between the local board and the business association. Those requirements are apart from the requirements of the BID Policy and are not covered in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

24. BIDs work for the benefits of all members, including Māori businesses.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

25. There are no financial implications for the local board. Targeted rates for BID-operating business associations are raised directly from commercial ratepayers in the district and used by the business association for improvements within that district. The council’s financial role is only to collect the BID targeted rates and pass them directly to the business association on a quarterly basis.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

26. There are reputational risks to the council if ratepayer funds are misused, but this is rare. Otherwise, there are no direct financial risks to the local board or council that could result from this recommendation to approve the BID targeted rates.
27. The requirements of the BID Policy are intended to help minimise the potential for BIDs to misuse funds, by requiring each BID to plan for the intended use of funds, report on its activities to its members, and to have its accounts audited.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

28. If the board endorses this report, it will recommend to the Governing Body that the BID targeted rates be struck as part of its approval of the Annual Budget 2019-2020.
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## Business Improvement Districts: Key Elements

### What is a BID?

- A specified commercial area – town centre, industrial area, or a combination – designated for a targeted rate with boundaries agreed by the local board and business association.

- Commercial property owners in that area vote in a formal ballot to raise a specified targeted rate amount from their own properties within the district, after a thorough consultation process.

- The targeted rates are collected by council through regular rates invoicing of ratepayers. Those rates are then transferred quarterly by council to the business association which operates the BID programme.

- 100% of rates are returned to the association.

- The business association uses these funds to provide programmes and services according to priorities established by its membership (ratepayers and businesses) that directly benefit the members.

- These programmes are guided by a multi-year Strategic Plan and annual Business Plan approved by the membership at the Annual General Meeting.

- Services provided by the BID may not replace normal council services.

- A BID is not an extension of council.

### Advantages of a BID

- Ratepayers decided for themselves whether to raise a targeted rate from their own property.

- Funds raised from targeted rates are returned directly to the business association in the area they are raised from.

- The targeted ratepayers have full control over how their money is used.

- Targeted rates provided an assured source of funding for on-going programmes, which allows hiring of professional staff to alleviate the burden of relying on volunteers.

- By speaking with one unified voice they can have more influence in advocating for the interests of their community.

- They can raise additional funding to augment their targeted rates revenue.
### Council's expectations and roles

- The governing body strikes the targeted rate for all BIDs as part of the Annual Plan process.
- Council sets accountability requirements through its BID policy, and the BID team monitors compliance throughout the year.
- Council does not tell the association how to use its BID money, nor does it interfere in the governance or management of the BID.
- Council staff report to local boards annually on compliance with the BID policy.
- In the case of serious concerns such as indications of poor financial management, violations of the policy, or ineffectiveness, council may directly intervene in the management of the organisation as allowed by the BID policy.

### Local Board roles:

- The local board approves establishment and expansion of all BIDs.
- Local boards are delegated the authority to recommend to the governing body to strike the targeted rate for BIDs in its area as part of the Annual Plan process.
- Local boards maintain regular contact with the BIDs to keep their local economic development and other interests aligned.
- The board appoints a local board representative to the executive committee of each BID/business association to serve as a conduit of information to and from council.

###

---
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### Two Year Comparison of BID Targeted Rates Revenue for All BIDs (exc. GST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avondale Business Association</td>
<td>$140,000.00</td>
<td>$154,000.00</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birkenhead Town Centre Association</td>
<td>$187,000.00</td>
<td>$187,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockhouse Bay Business Association</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>$58,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Bay Business Association</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business North Harbour Inc.</td>
<td>$690,621.00</td>
<td>$690,621.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport Business Association</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominion Road Business Association</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellerslie Business Association</td>
<td>$152,000.00</td>
<td>$162,000.00</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Eden Business Association</td>
<td>$91,920.00</td>
<td>$91,920.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes Business Association</td>
<td>$166,000.00</td>
<td>$166,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater East Tamaki Business Assoc.</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart of the City Inc.</td>
<td>$4,643,315.00</td>
<td>$4,782,814.00</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick Village Association</td>
<td>$167,711.83</td>
<td>$162,715.00</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunters Corner Town Centre Society</td>
<td>$126,990.00</td>
<td>$126,990.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karangahape Road Business Assoc.</td>
<td>$425,948.00</td>
<td>$435,438.00</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsland Business Society</td>
<td>$210,000.00</td>
<td>$210,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mairangi Bay Business Association</td>
<td>$63,000.00</td>
<td>$65,000.00</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Bridge Progressive Business Assoc.</td>
<td>$28,800.00</td>
<td>$28,800.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere East Village Business</td>
<td>$6,100.00</td>
<td>$6,100.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Town Centre BID Inc.</td>
<td>$284,949.00</td>
<td>$284,949.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Central Business Assoc.</td>
<td>$490,000.00</td>
<td>$490,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa Business Association</td>
<td>$142,470.00</td>
<td>$157,000.00</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford Village Business Association</td>
<td>$132,000.00</td>
<td>$137,332.00</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Eden Village Business Association</td>
<td>$89,035.00</td>
<td>$90,535.00</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Lynn Business Association</td>
<td>$176,091.00</td>
<td>$185,325.00</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newmarket Business Association</td>
<td>$1,634,409.00</td>
<td>$1,691,613.00</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northcote Town Centre Inc.</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West Country Inc.</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onehunga Business Association</td>
<td>$405,000.00</td>
<td>$405,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainstreet Orewa Incorporated (trading as Des)</td>
<td>$232,220.00</td>
<td>$241,509.06</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otahuhu Mainstreet &amp; Commercial Assoc.</td>
<td>$628,425.00</td>
<td>$628,425.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otara Business Association</td>
<td>$90,219.00</td>
<td>$94,729.95</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnure Business Association</td>
<td>$422,750.00</td>
<td>$443,889.04</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura Business Association</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura Central Mainstreet Society (Old Pap)</td>
<td>$113,090.00</td>
<td>$100,892.00</td>
<td>-11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnell Incorporated</td>
<td>$815,000.00</td>
<td>$855,000.00</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponsonby Business Association</td>
<td>$471,586.00</td>
<td>$518,744.00</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pukekohe Business Association</td>
<td>$440,000.00</td>
<td>$462,000.00</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuera Business Association</td>
<td>$242,564.00</td>
<td>$242,564.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosebank Business Association</td>
<td>$430,000.00</td>
<td>$455,000.00</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harbour Business Association</td>
<td>$81,325.00</td>
<td>$81,325.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Heliers Bay Village Association</td>
<td>$138,484.00</td>
<td>$138,484.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takapuna Beach Business Association</td>
<td>$403,541.00</td>
<td>$443,895.10</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Atatu Peninsula Business Assoc.</td>
<td>$92,000.00</td>
<td>$92,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torbay Business Association</td>
<td>$15,415.00</td>
<td>$15,915.00</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptown Business Association</td>
<td>$270,000.00</td>
<td>$296,500.00</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiuku Business &amp; Development Assoc.</td>
<td>$122,750.00</td>
<td>$122,750.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiri Business Improvement Assoc.</td>
<td>$670,000.00</td>
<td>$737,000.00</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** $17,690,097.83 $18,238,981.05 3.1%

*Final amounts are adjusted by reconciliation of previous year over- or under-payments and may vary slightly.*
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**Attachment C: Pukekohe Business Association BID programme**

*Pukekohe Business Association has met the requirements of the BID programme for the 2017-2018 financial year for the purpose of striking the targeted rate for the 2019-2020 financial year.*

**Compliance Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement FY 2017-2018</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Strategic Plan for next 3 – 5 years - reviewed every 3 years.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>2014-2024 Strategic Plan on file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audited financial accounts.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business plan.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual review report:</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Achievement of previous year’s objectives against business plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020 Indicative Budget</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual BID programme reporting and alignment meeting between</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>BID reports meeting held on 12 March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Local Board and business association board.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Accountability Form submitted</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Charter</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendation and Findings**

- **Recommend Targeted Rate**

Staff are satisfied that Pukekohe BID is in substantial compliance with policy reporting requirements for the purpose of the recommendation of this report.
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**Attachment D: Waiuku Business and Development Association BID programme**

Waiuku Business and Development Association has met the requirements of the BID programme for the 2017-18 financial year for the purpose of striking the targeted rate for the 2019-2020 financial year.

**Compliance Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement FY 2017-2018</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Strategic Plan for next 3 – 5 years - reviewed every 3 years.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>2014-2019 Strategic Plan on file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audited financial accounts.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business plan.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual review report:</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Achievement of previous year’s objectives against business plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020 Indicative Budget</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual BID programme reporting and alignment meeting between</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>BID reports meeting held on 26 February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Local Board and business association board.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Accountability Form submitted</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Charter</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation and Findings</td>
<td>Recommend Targeted Rate</td>
<td>Staff are satisfied that Waiuku BID is in substantial compliance with policy reporting requirements for the purpose of the recommendation of this report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To report on urgent decisions made by Franklin Local Board in the period 16 April to 24 May 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Franklin Local Board provided local context feedback to inform two governing body submissions in the period 16 April to 24 May 2019.
3. Local boards were invited to formally provide feedback on council’s draft submission on the Department of Conservation’s proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities. The Franklin Local Board resolved feedback by urgent decision on 18 April 2019 (Attachment A).
4. Local boards were invited to formally provide feedback on council’s draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed childcare allowance. The Franklin Local Board provided feedback by urgent decision on 14 May 2019 (Attachment B).
5. The board also provided formal feedback on Auckland Transport’s proposed Speed Bylaw by an urgent decision on 21 May 2019 (Attachment C).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the urgent decision feedback on Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Department of Conservation’s proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities.

b) receive the urgent decision feedback on Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed childcare allowance.

c) receive the urgent decision feedback on Auckland Transport’s proposed Speed Bylaw 2019.
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Urgent decision: Franklin Local Board

Re: Franklin Local Board feedback on the Auckland Council submission on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

1. Subject
There is an opportunity for Auckland Council to make a submission regarding the Department of Conservation's proposal to revoke certain Ministerial delegations which are currently made to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977.

Local boards have the opportunity to provide feedback on the draft Auckland Council submission, so that their views can be considered by staff drafting the Auckland Council submission. All formal local board feedback will also be appended to the final Auckland Council submission.

This urgent decision resolves Franklin Local Board feedback with approval from the chair and deputy chair on behalf of the board.

2. Background
The Department of Conservation wrote to all territorial authorities on 14 March 2019 seeking feedback on a proposal to revoke most Ministerial powers currently delegated to councils under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal). Territorial authorities have exercised these powers since 2013.

The letter outlining the proposed changes are attached (attachment A).

If the proposal were to proceed, it would mean most decisions regarding local reserves will require Minister of Conservation approval, in addition to the relevant decision made by the local board. These delegated “supervisory” Ministerial decisions currently sit with the Governing Body and have been sub-delegated to staff.

The proposal relates to core responsibilities of local board decision making under the Auckland Council shared governance model and could have potentially far reaching time and cost implications to both local board and community-led initiatives.

Local boards have the opportunity to provide feedback on the draft Auckland Council submission (attachment B), so that their views can be considered by staff drafting the final Auckland Council submission.

Franklin Local Board members have reviewed the draft submission and provided feedback (attachment C).

3. Reason for urgency
Local board input into the Auckland Council submission must be made by 24 April 2019, in order for the submission to be approved by the Environment and Community Committee on 14 May 2019 in time for the Department of Conservation deadline on feedback of 17 May 2019.

The draft Auckland Council submission on the proposal was not available for board consideration until after the 16 April 2019 business meeting. The Franklin Local Board's next scheduled business meeting is Tuesday 4 June 2019 (after the feedback deadline).

The urgent decision will be reported to the full local board during the next scheduled meeting on Tuesday 4 June 2019.
4. Recommendation

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) Request that staff consider the following feedback from the Franklin Local Board on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal) in the Auckland Council submission on the proposal;

i. endorse the Council submission to the Department of Conservation in the matter of the proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal);

ii. does not support the Department of Conservation’s proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 on the basis that:

• substantive justification for the proposed revocation has not been proved and
• if the proposal were to proceed, it would undermine decision-making at the local level for local communities.

iii. Note with concern that should the proposal proceed, the board’s ability to effectively deliver on outcomes identified in the Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 would be compromised, specifically;

• Outcome 1: to enhance, protect and maintain our diverse natural environment and make sure it can be enjoyed.
• Outcome 4: Make full use of existing outdoor space and community facilities before developing new and
• Outcome 5: Support community participation in helping to shape people’s quality of life, creativity, health and well-being.

iv. Note with concern that should the proposal proceed, it is likely to create additional cost and delay to both local board and community-led initiatives, undermining meaningful community empowerment.

b) note that the Franklin Local Board feedback (attachment C) will be attached verbatim to the Auckland Council submission which will be recommended to Environment and Community Committee for their approval on 14 May 2019 and sent to the Department of Conservation by the due date of 17 May 2019.

Authorised for release:

Signed by Nina Siers, Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board Date 23/4/2019

Signatories

Angela Fulljames, Chairperson, Franklin Local Board Date 23/4/2019

Andrew Baker, Deputy Chairperson, Franklin Local Board Date 23/4/2019
Department of Conservation

Ref: DOC 5730277

14 March 2019

Attn: Chief Executive
All Territorial Authorities

Tēnā koe

Subject: The revocation of certain delegations to Territorial Authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

In June 2013, the Minister of Conservation delegated certain powers under the Reserves Act 1977 (the 2013 delegations) to Territorial Authorities. Over the past year or so there have been instances where Territorial Authorities have relied on the delegations to exercise the prior consent role of the Minister in circumstances where they have also made the initial decision to grant the instrument or activity applied for.

In a recent Court of Appeal decision, (Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council) the Court considered a delegation of the Minister’s prior consent role under s 48 of the Reserves Act 1977 to be “highly unusual”. The Court commented that it could be argued that it replaced a two-step process involving two separate decision makers (the Council and the Minister) with a single-step process and a single decision maker. The Court noted that the effect of the delegation was that the Council made both decisions contemplated by s 48(1)(f) of the Reserves Act and observed that “[i]t might be thought that in these circumstances any difference of view between the two decision makers is unlikely”. The Court did not rule on the legality of the delegation because the Appellant had not pursued the matter. Nevertheless, it seems that had the lawfulness of the delegation been in issue the Court would have ruled it to be unlawful.

In light of the above, we have undertaken a review of the 2013 delegations and it is our view that the approach adopted in the recent Court of Appeal decision is applicable to all such delegations. Consequently, it is our view that the 2013 delegations should be revoked in order to bring them into line with the approach adopted in the Court of Appeal decision.

In accordance with our responsibilities under the Reserves Act 1977, we recommend that the Minister of Conservation revoke the 2013 delegations in order to bring them into line with the approach adopted in the Court of Appeal decision.

1 Opua Coastal Preservation Incorporated v Far North District Council & Others [2018] NZCA 262 29 July 2018

Department of Conservation Te Papa Atawhai
Whare Kaupapa Atawhai / Conservation House
PO Box 10420, Wellington 6143,
www.doc.govt.nz

Urgent Decisions in the period 16 April to 24 May 2019 by the Franklin Local Board
In view of the above, the Minister of Conservation is considering a proposal whether to revoke certain delegations and has requested that the Department seek your comments before the Minister makes a decision. Attachment 1 to this letter sets out the delegations proposed to be revoked and contains a column for you to insert your comments/views.

We also take this opportunity to invite you to identify any new delegation proposals that you believe would improve efficiencies for Councils and that you would like the Minister to consider. We ask that you use Attachment 2 for this purpose.

A table of the sections, the power delegated and the reasoning for the revocation is attached, along with a table for any new items you may want considered.

Please forward your responses no later than 26 April 2019 to Sheryll Johnson, sjohnson@doc.govt.nz. All comments will be collated and forwarded to the Minister for a final decision.

Nāku noa, nā

Marie Long
Director, Planning, Permissions and Land
Submission to the Department of Conservation

In the matter of the proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

Auckland Council, (X) May – 2019
Mihimihi

Ka mihia eke ai ki ngā maunga here kōrero,
ki ngā pari whakarongo tahi.
ki ngā ahu tuku kiri o ōna manawhenua,
ōna mana ā-lwi taketake mai, tauiti atu.
Tāmaki – makau a te rau, murau a te tini, wenerau a te mano.
Kāhore tō rite i te ao.

I greet the mountains, repository of all that has been said of this place,
there I greet the cliffs that have heard the ebb and flow of the tides of time,
and the rivers that cleansed the forebears of all who came those born of this land
and the newcomers among us all.
Auckland – beloved of hundreds, famed among the multitude, envy of thousands.
You are unique in the world.
Ko te tāpataanga o te Kaunihera o Tamaki Makaurau

Auckland Council Submission

Taitara/ Title: Submission on the revocation of certain delegations to Territorial Authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

Submission to the Department of Conservation.

1. This submission is from Auckland Council (the council) and has been approved by the Environment and Community Committee.

Key Points

2. The council does not support the proposal to revoke all of the 50 Ministerial powers within the Reserves Act 1977, delegated to local authorities. The reasons for this are outlined below:

3. Substantive justification for the proposed revocation of delegations has not been proved, and cannot be supported by Auckland Council. The council recommends that the Minister be advised that:
   - the Court of Appeal did not make a decision on the lawfulness of the Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977
   - the Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court
   - the leading court decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District Council CV 2004-443-115
   - there is no justification to change the current Ministerial delegation as the leading court decision supports Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 as lawful

4. A clear identification of the problems exercising dual delegations by councils under the Act is lacking and undermines local decision-making and community outcomes. The council recommends that the Minister be advised that:
   - Auckland Council, like other local authorities, is very capable and experienced at differentiating and making decisions under the Act as both the administering body and under Ministerial delegation
   - Auckland Council has in the exercise of delegation fulfilled the supervisory intent of the Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act
   - it would be a backwards step to undo decision-making at the local level for local communities. It will cause delay in delivering a range of health, wellbeing and social outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities
   - Auckland Council has robust processes and systems to appropriately manage potential or actual conflicts of interest when exercising dual decision-making, including having regard to the Reserves Act Guide 2004. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in the Opua decision
• the most appropriate place to identify concerns and responses to specific practice by council when exercising dual decision-making (administering body and under Ministerial delegation) under the Act is through an update of the Reserves Act Guide 2004. A review that we note has been pending for several years.

5. Delays in Ministerial decision-making would be inevitable and would be at the expense of local communities. The council recommend that the Minister be advised that:

• revoking Ministerial delegations will add significant delays to all Reserves Act 1977 processes

• Auckland Council’s ability to be responsive to communities and the changing needs of customers will be severely impacted. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence.

Nga koringa ā-muri/Next Steps

6. Auckland Council is available to discuss and provide its view to the Minister of Conservation before a decision is made. This is essential given the number of reserves held under Reserves Act 1977 in Tāmaki Makaurau and the number of New Zealanders we serve.

7. We also strongly urge the Minister to talk to a range of local authorities, including Local Government New Zealand, before making a decision.

Horopaki/Context

8. This submission responds to correspondence from the Department of Conservation (the Department) to all Chief Executives of Territorial Authorities dated 14 March 2019.

9. The Department requested feedback on a proposal to revoke Ministerial powers under the Reserves Act 1977 (the Act) currently delegated to councils. It proposes to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers currently delegated to local authorities.

10. The Department also requested that territorial authorities identify any new delegation proposals that would improve efficiencies.

Tunga whānui/Overall Position

Substantive justification for the proposed revocation of delegations has not been proved, and cannot be supported by Auckland Council.

11. The council does not support the proposal to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers, delegated to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977.

12. The Department has provided no substantive justification for the proposal.

13. The Department is seeking to justify changes to Ministerial delegations based solely on comments made by the Court of Appeal in its decision of Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council [2018] NZCA 262. The Department has expressed a view of the case that:

• some of the existing Ministerial powers delegated to a council could be potentially unlawful
councils could have a conflict of interest when they make a decision and then use the delegated Ministerial powers

the requirements for the Minister to consult with the council, may mean that council consults with itself, which is potentially another conflict of interest.

14. We are concerned that the Department is seeking to take action in response to the Opua decision, yet the court did not reach a conclusion on the lawfulness of the dual decision-making process in this case. The Department, in undertaking this review, expressly acknowledges that the Court may have decided about the legality of the delegation of Ministerial powers had the Court considered it.

15. Auckland Council respectfully submits that the Department is erring in its advice to the Minister of Conservation regarding the voracity of the comments made by the Court of Appeal.

16. The Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court, which could make any changes premature.

*The Department has overlooked case law on the lawfulness of Ministerial delegations*

17. The leading decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Act, is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District Council CIV 2004-443-115.

18. This decision supports the Ministerial delegations to local authorities as lawful.

19. The council argues that this legal case should be relied on, rather than judicial comments on a case under appeal to the Supreme Court.

20. We recommend that the Minister be advised that:

- the Court of Appeal did not make a decision on the lawfulness of the Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977
- the Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court
- the leading court decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District Council CIV 2004-443-115
- there is no justification to change the current Ministerial delegation as the leading court decision supports Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 as lawful.

*A clear identification of the problems exercising dual delegations by councils under the Act is lacking and undermines local decision-making and community outcomes*

21. Delegation of Ministerial powers to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 have taken place since 1999. This was intended to deliver three primary outcomes:

- devolution of a high level of decision-making to local authorities
- greater flexibility in the approach to reserves management
- standardisation and updating of process and terminology.
22. Further delegation of the Ministerial powers to local authorities in 2013 sought to allow for improved decision-making at a local level. It also recognised that the Ministerial powers were supervisory to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act.

23. The council does not support any proposal that will undermine the primary outcomes sought from improved delegation under the Act. It would be a backwards step to unwind decision-making at the local level and to disempower local communities. There is also a risk that the proposed changes will reduce our ability to deliver a range of health, wellbeing and social outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities.

24. Auckland Council has experience and clear systems and processes to exercise its responsibilities and delegations under the Act.

25. There is no clear and compelling problem definition to support the Department’s proposal. No evidence has been provided by the Department to illustrate unlawful or persistent problems by local authorities exercising dual decision-making under the Act. No evidence has also been provided about local authorities being unable to recognize and manage potential or actual conflict of interest in dual decision-making.

26. The council has more than 20-years’ experience exercising its responsibilities and delegations under the Act. We have invested in clear systems and processes to discharge our functions under the Act and to lawfully use Ministerial delegations.

27. Auckland Council, like other local authorities, is very capable of differentiating and making dual decisions under the Act as both the administering body and under Ministerial delegation.

28. The council has exercised these Ministerial delegations lawfully and responsibly in service to local communities. We have fulfilled the supervisory intent of the delegated Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act.

29. Auckland Council as a unitary authority frequently balances both territorial and regional responsibilities and the inherent conflicts of interest that some of these responsibilities pose. The dual governance structure made up of the Governing Body: Mayor and 20 councillors; and 21 local boards, also provides an additional layer of challenge and scrutiny in decision-making.

30. Elected officials are supported in their decision-making capacity with formal training and advice.

31. We have processes and systems to manage any actual and potential conflicts of interest, particularly for dual decision-making situations: as an administering body, under Ministerial delegation, in quasi-judicial circumstances and as a territorial authority with regional council oversight.

32. These dual roles are frequently implemented for the same proposal. Key examples include landowner and resource consent approval; asset-owner, service provider and environmental compliance, monitoring and enforcement.
33. The council considers that the many processes, systems and practices we have in place allow legally robust dual decision-making while managing any potential or actual conflict of interest. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in the Opua decision.

34. We are also guided by the Reserves Act Guide 2004 and through sharing and debating practice with other local authorities and officials from the Department.

35. The council recommends that the Minister be advised that:

- Auckland Council, like other local authorities is very capable and experienced at differentiating and making decisions under the Act as both the administering body and under Ministerial delegation
- Auckland Council has in the exercise of delegation fulfilled the supervisory intent of the Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act
- it would be a backwards step to undo decision-making at the local level for local communities. It will cause delay in delivering a range of health, wellbeing and social outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities
- Auckland Council has robust processes and systems to appropriately manage potential or actual conflicts of interest when exercising dual decision-making, including having regard to the Reserves Act Guide 2004. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in the Opua decision
- the most appropriate place to identify concerns and responses to specific practice by council when exercising dual decision-making (administering body and under Ministerial delegation) under the Act is through an update of the Reserves Act Guide 2004. A review that we note has been pending for several years.

Ngā whakaaweawe a Kaunihera

36. The following section outlines the impact the revocation of delegations under the Reserves Act 1977 would have, should the proposal proceed.

Auckland is experiencing fast-paced growth and we need to empower and meet diverse community needs

37. Tamaki Makaurau is the largest and fastest growing region in New Zealand.

38. Auckland’s parks and reserves provide critical social infrastructure that support and respond to this growth. They provide opportunities for all Aucklanders to participate in sport and recreation and for a range of social and environmental outcomes.

39. Tamaki Makaurau is one of the most diverse cities in the world with over 180 ethnicities. 40 percent of the population was born overseas.

40. It is essential that council have the most efficient and effective decision-making processes. This enables the council to provide accessible services, social and cultural infrastructure that are responsive to Aucklanders diverse and evolving needs.

41. The Ministerial delegations are essential to manage the enormous scale and volume of decisions that are required for the effective and efficient administration of reserves, as required under the Reserves Act 1977.
42. Tamaki Makaurau has over 4000 parks and reserves amounting to over 18,000 parcels of land. Auckland Council also has 792 leases that authorise sporting and community organisations to occupy recreation reserves and local purpose reserves.

43. The continually evolving processes and systems that council has had in place to implement the delegations since 1999 has ensured that council can deliver effective service for our local communities under the Reserves Act 1977.

Delays in Ministerial decision-making would be inevitable and would be at the expense of local communities

44. The number of reserves that we administer means council will likely request decisions from the Minister on weekly basis if the delegations were to be revoked. These decisions would relate to:
   - reserve management plans
   - classification (recreation, scenic, historic, local purpose) and reclassification
   - land exchanges
   - easements
   - leases and licences.

45. Seeking approval under the Reserves Act 1977 from the Department on any of the above matters would lead to significant delays. The council also seeks an understanding from the Department on how it proposes to resource the significant volume of work required to process these decisions.

46. These delays would have a pronounced impact on our ability to deploy and manage community infrastructure, programmes and activities that are core to our local government function. It would reduce our ability to effectively improve the quality of our environment and social wellbeing across our communities.

47. These issues would be exacerbated in Auckland because of growth and associated high-speed change in infrastructure and the tensions around the delivery of multiple outcomes from scarce resources managed under the Reserves Act 1977.

48. The council is concerned that the Ministerial decision-making would be undertaken with little understanding of the local issues. We do not see any benefit to decision-making from this perspective and we are concerned that this would ultimately cause unnecessary delay to delivering health and wellbeing benefits to our local communities. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence in local and central government.

49. The proposed revocation of delegations will mean that the Minister (or delegate in the Department) will largely focus on whether procedural steps were followed.

50. The council recommend that the Minister be advised that:
   - revoking Ministerial delegations will add significant delays to all Reserves Act 1977 processes
   - council’s ability to be responsive to communities and the changing needs of customers will be severely impacted. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence.
Ngā koringa ā-muri/Next Steps

51. Auckland Council is available to discuss and provide its view to the Minister of Conservation before a decision is made. This is essential given the number of reserves held under Reserves Act 1977 and the number of New Zealanders they serve.

52. We also strongly urge the Minister to talk to a range of local authorities, including Local Government New Zealand, before making a decision.
Attachment A

Item 21
Memorandum

To: Emma Golightly - Parks and Recreation Team Leader - Community & Social Policy

Cc: Nina Siers – Relationship Manager Franklin and Howick Local Boards
    Carol Stewart, Senior Policy Adviser, Local Board Services.

Subject: Franklin Local Board feedback on the Auckland Council submission on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

From: Angela Fulljames, Chair - Franklin Local Board

Purpose

1. To provide local feedback on the draft Auckland Council submission on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the submission) to be appended to the final Auckland Council submission.

Summary

- The Department of Conservation wrote to all territorial authorities on 14 March 2019 seeking feedback on a proposal to revoke most Ministerial powers currently delegated to councils under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal).

- The Franklin Local Board endorses the council submission to the Department of Conservation in the matter of the proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal).

- The proposal relates to core responsibilities of local board decision making under the Auckland Council shared governance model, and could have potentially far reaching time and cost implications to both local board and community-led initiatives.

- The Franklin Local Board does not support the Department of Conservation’s proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 for reasons outlined within Auckland Council’s submission, with additional comment outlined in the “Feedback” section below and as resolved by the Franklin Local Board.

Context/Background

2. The Department of Conservation wrote to all territorial authorities on 14 March 2019 seeking feedback on a proposal to revoke most Ministerial powers currently delegated to councils under the Reserves Act 1977. Territorial authorities have exercised these powers since 2013.

3. If the proposal were to proceed, it would mean most decisions regarding local reserves will require the Minister of Conservation’s approval, in addition to the relevant decision made by the local board. These delegated “supervisory” Ministerial decisions currently sit with the Governing Body and have been sub-delegated to staff.

4. The proposal relates to core responsibilities of local board decision making under the Auckland Council shared governance model and could have potentially far reaching time and cost implications to both local board and community-led initiatives.
Feedback

5. Franklin Local Board members have reviewed the draft submission and have formally resolved the following feedback through the urgent decision process.

That the Franklin Local Board;

a) request that staff consider the following feedback from the Franklin Local Board on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal) in the Auckland Council submission on the proposal;
   i. endorse the council submission to the Department of Conservation in the matter of the proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 (the proposal);
   ii. does not support the Department of Conservation’s proposal to revoke certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 on the basis that;
      • substantive justification for the proposed revocation has not been proved
      • if the proposal were to proceed, it would undermine decision-making at the local level for local communities.
   iii. note with concern that should the proposal proceed, the board’s ability to effectively deliver on outcomes identified in the Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 would be compromised, specifically;
      • Outcome 1: to enhance, protect and maintain our diverse natural environment and make sure it can be enjoyed.
      • Outcome 4: Make full use of existing outdoor space and community facilities before developing new and
      • Outcome 5: Support community participation in helping to shape people’s quality of life, creativity, health and well-being.
   iv. note with concern that should the proposal proceed, it is likely to create additional cost and delays to both local board and community-led initiatives, undermining meaningful community empowerment.

b) note that the Franklin Local Board feedback will be attached verbatim to the Auckland Council submission, which will be recommended to the Environment and Community Committee for their approval on 14 May 2019 and sent to the Department of Conservation by the due date of 17 May 2019.

6. The urgent decision to resolve Franklin Local Board feedback will be reported to the full local board during the next scheduled meeting on Tuesday 4 June 2019.

Next steps/implementation

7. As outlined in the above resolution, the Franklin Local Board request that staff attach this feedback verbatim to the Auckland Council submission and is sent as part of that submission to the Department of Conservation.
Urgent decision: Franklin Local Board

14 May 2019

Nina Siers
Relationship Manager

Re: Franklin Local Board Feedback on Auckland Council's draft submission on the Remuneration Authority's proposed policy on Childcare Allowances for Elected Members of Local Government.

1. Subject
Feedback on Auckland Council's draft submission on the Remuneration Authority's proposed policy on childcare allowances for elected members of local government.

2. Background
Under schedule 7, section 6 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Remuneration Authority must determine the remuneration, allowances, and expenses payable to all elected members of local authorities. Currently, the authority determines the allowances for vehicle mileage, travel time and communications.

The Remuneration Authority undertook a major review of local government and received many submissions from local government members nationwide regarding the difficulties of being in local government due to the lack of financial support for childcare. As a result of this review, the Remuneration Authority have proposed a policy on childcare allowances for elected members of local government (see draft policy paper in Attachment A). The conditions of the proposed policy include:

- The childcare allowance may be paid as a contribution towards childcare expenses incurred while the member is engaged on local authority business
- A cap of $6,000 per annum for any member
- Payment of the allowance requires evidence of the expense incurred
- The allowance cannot be paid to family members
- The child must be under 14 years old
- Payment cannot be greater than $15 per hour and cannot be greater than the actual expense
- Childcare cannot be for more than 8 hours in any 24-hour period.

Council staff have prepared a draft regional submission on the proposed policy (Attachment B), which will be submitted formally to the Remuneration Authority by 31 May 2019.

The draft council submission makes the following key points:

- Auckland Council supports the Remuneration Authority's proposal, as the costs of childcare can deter people with families from considering becoming candidates in local government elections.
- Auckland Council is concerned that the description of the purpose of the allowance is too wide. The council understands that the purpose of the allowance is as a contribution towards the costs of childcare, when a member is undertaking council business. Therefore, the council suggests that the wording should capture the sense that the childcare allowance is a necessary expense in order to undertake council business.
A report on the draft council submission (Attachment C) is due to come to all local boards at their May business meetings. It highlights that if the Authority’s proposal is successful, the council will decide its own provisions to include in its expenses policy within the framework set out by the authority. The report also notes that while salaries paid to Auckland Council elected members are generally higher than the rest of the country, this provision may encourage greater diversity in those standing for election.

Local boards have been invited to formally provide feedback on the council’s draft submission on the proposed policy on childcare allowances for elected members of local government, which will be submitted to the Remuneration Authority by 31 May 2019.

3. Reason for urgency

Submissions to the Remuneration Authority close on 31 May 2019. Any formal feedback received from local boards will be considered to be included in Auckland Council’s submission.

The next scheduled Franklin Local Board business meeting is on 4 June 2019, and therefore feedback by urgent decision is required.

4. Recommendation

That the Franklin Local Board

a) endorse Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances for elected members of local government.

Authorised for release:

Signed by Nina Siers  
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board  
Date 14/05/2019

Signatories

Angela Fulijames  
Chairperson, Franklin Local Board  
Date 14/05/2019

Andrew Baker  
Deputy Chairperson, Franklin Local Board  
Date 14/05/2019
Policy Paper

Childcare Allowance for Elected Members of Local Government

April 2019
Executive Summary

1. Over the past few years, the Remuneration Authority has received submissions from councils, groups of elected members and individuals requesting that there be an allowance for childcare for elected members in local government while they attend to local authority business. There were concerns that a lack of financial support for childcare created a barrier for people, in particular women, to enter into elected positions in local government. Research shows that a number of councils in similar jurisdictions (Australia and the United Kingdom) provide their elected members with allowances to cover costs associated with child and dependent care whilst the elected member is on council business. The Authority has decided to provide for councils to pay a childcare allowance if they wish. This paper contains details of the proposed policy as well as some background information.

Background

2. Under Schedule 7, Section 6 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Authority must determine the remuneration, allowances, and expenses payable to all elected members of local authorities. At present, the Authority determines allowances for vehicle mileage, travel time and communications. Each individual council considers its approach to the expenses and allowances and writes its own policies within the upper limits set by the Authority.

3. In its major review of local government remuneration in 2016-2019, the Authority received numerous comments on the difficulties of being in local government, in particular for mothers, due to the lack of financial support for childcare.

4. Of particular note was a submission from the Young Elected Members (YEM) Network. The YEM Network is an informal group comprising local government elected members aged under 40 years. This included representatives on city, district, regional and unitary councils, as well as on community boards and Auckland local boards. The submission said that its members struggle with balancing the challenges of local government commitments and raising children. Their main concern was that because council commitments are not structured or fixed, parents are forced to pay for full-time care or take the risk of being unable to obtain childcare when they require it. The YEMs called on the Authority to “break down the barrier” for younger people wanting to enter into local government. They believe that if childcare were claimable expense it will make serving in local government a realistic option for parents who are currently prevented from considering the role because of the costs of childcare. The YEMs also believe that failing to do so would see the sector continuing to miss out on the ideas, talent, skills, insights, contacts and passion that young parents could bring to local government.

5. In its information paper following the consultation, the Authority said “another issue that appears to be of growing concern in local government is that caring for dependents may limit options for some people, particularly younger women who may have child care responsibilities. This is seen by many as a barrier to participation. The Authority is looking at the carer issue and
will make a decision prior to the 2019 election. We need to point out, however, that were we to approve councils providing reimbursement for carers, it would be up to each individual council to implement such a decision, as it is with all the allowances and reimbursements included in our local government determinations”.

Dependent care

6. The Authority considered the issue of dependent care allowances. This broadened the scope of any potential allowances and after careful consideration the Authority decided that at this stage it would not take any further the issue of allowances for dependent care outside of child care. Allowances for dependent care raised complex issues that would have taken considerably more time in researching and which we felt would need to be the subject of an extended consultation. Also, and perhaps more importantly at this stage, the issue of a childcare allowance as a mechanism for encouraging more and younger women to enter local government politics was the main driver for this review and was cited more frequently than a general dependent care allowance in responses to our previous consultation. Many responses cited issues around childcare as a significant barrier to diversity on councils.

Childcare

7. In considering the issue of childcare the Authority looked at similar schemes in other jurisdictions and also at the current law around and financial provision of childcare and childcare allowances in New Zealand.

8. In New Zealand, from the age of 14 years, children are allowed to be left alone. They are also legally allowed to babysit other children from the age of 14 years.

9. The cost of childcare and subsidies vary from centre to centre and are not regulated. The cost of care also depends on the type of care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care Type</th>
<th>Average Cost per Hour (source: Huggies.co.nz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanny</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Day Care</td>
<td>$6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Based Care</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average Cost per Hour for Nannies in the location (source: Care.com)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>$17.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington</td>
<td>$16.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td>$16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napier</td>
<td>$15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Kuiti</td>
<td>$13.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Work and Income provide a Childcare Subsidy that helps families with the cost of pre-school childcare. To be eligible for the subsidy, a person must be the main carer of the dependent

Childcare Allowance for Elected Members of Local Government
child, a NZ citizen or permanent resident, and their family is on a low or middle income. The child must be under 5 years of age.

11. The subsidy payment is dependent on the number of children and gross weekly income, however the maximum amount payable is $5.13 per hour, per child ($256.50 per week, per child for 50 hours).

12. The 20 Hour ECE subsidy was introduced in 2007 to reduce cost barriers in order to allow more children to participate in ECE. Under this subsidy, the government funds ECE for up to 6 hours a day and 20 hours per week for eligible children. It is not compulsory for childcare facilities to offer subsidy.

13. Children aged 3, 4 and 5 years old are eligible to the 20 Hours ECE if the facility offers it. This subsidy is regardless of family income, immigration status or any other reason.

**Other jurisdictions**

14. The following overseas council policies were analysed to look for comparisons and options:
   a. Australia
      i. City of Belmont, WA
      ii. District Council of Robe, SA
      iii. City of Burnside, SA
   b. United Kingdom
      i. Lambeth Council, London
      ii. Bracknell Forest Borough Council, London
      iii. Malvern Hills District Council
      iv. Middlesbrough
      v. Scotland
   c. Ireland
      i. Newry, Mourne and Down Council

15. The main themes that arose from these policy documents were:
   a. Provisions of allowances and/or reimbursements for dependant care whilst councillors attended meetings of council, committees, or other council business
   b. Costs covered actual and reasonable costs
   c. Children aged 15 years or younger
   d. Carers must not ordinarily reside with the councillors and must not be an immediate member of the family
   e. Carers must be registered, either with the council or to a recognised professional facility
   f. It is up to the discretion of the council as to whether allowances are incorporated into their policy documents
Tax Consequences

16. We have sought advice from Inland Revenue on whether there are any tax consequences associated with the introduction of a childcare allowance. Inland Revenue are currently considering whether the proposed childcare allowance is tax-free or not. Childcare costs are usually seen as private or of a domestic nature and the proposed allowance could be taxable at source, if the allowance is viewed as employment income. Our view is that the proposed allowance is no different to the other allowances currently provided to local government elected members - that is, the childcare allowance is a contribution towards the expenses incurred by a member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business. We hope to receive Inland Revenue’s advice towards the end of April.

Proposed Approach

17. The Remuneration Authority is proposing that the following be inserted in the next Local Government Determination:

x. Childcare allowance

(1) A local authority may pay a childcare allowance, in accordance with subclauses (2) and (3), to an eligible member as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business.

(2) A member is eligible to be paid a childcare allowance in respect of childcare provided for a child only if:

   (a) the member is a parent or guardian of the child, or is a person who usually has responsibility for the day-to-day care of the child (other than on a temporary basis); and

   (b) the child is aged under 14 years of age; and

   (c) the childcare is provided by a person who-

      (i) is not a family member of the member; and

      (ii) does not ordinarily reside with the member; and

   (d) the member provides evidence satisfactory to the local authority of the amount paid for childcare.

(3) A local authority may not make childcare allowance payments to a member-

   (a) at a rate greater than $15 per hour; or

   (b) that exceed the amount that the member paid to the childcare provider; or
(c) in respect of childcare provided for more than 8 hours in any 24-hour period; or

(d) that total more than $6,000 per annum.

(4) In this regulation, family member of the member means—

(a) a spouse, civil union partner, or de facto partner;

(b) a relative, that is, another person connected with the person within 2 degrees of a relationship, whether by blood relationship or by adoption.
Appendix A – Work and Income Childcare Subsidy

Extract from Work and Income Website: https://www.workandincome.govt.nz/products/a-z-benefits/childcare-subsidy.html

Who can get it:

You may get a Childcare Subsidy if:

- you’re the main carer of a dependent child
- you’re a New Zealand citizen or permanent resident
- your family is on a low or middle income.

You should also normally live in New Zealand and intend to stay here.

It also depends on how much you and your partner earn.

The child must be:

- aged either:
  - under 5, or
  - over 5 if the school has advised they can’t start until the beginning of the term straight after their 5th birthday, or
  - under 6 if you get a Child Disability Allowance for them
- attending an approved early childhood programme for 3 or more hours a week.

Approved programmes include early childhood education and care services, eg:

- kindergartens and preschools
- childcare centres and creches
- playcentres and playgroups
- Kohanga Reo, Punanga Reo, Aoga and other programmes with a language and culture focus
- approved home-based care.
Childcare Subsidy (current)

Below are the Childcare Subsidy income thresholds and maximum rates at 1 April 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of children</th>
<th>Gross weekly income</th>
<th>Childcare Subsidy (per hour, per child)</th>
<th>Childcare Subsidy (per week, per child for 50 hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Less than $800.00</td>
<td>$5.13</td>
<td>$256.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$800.00 to $1,199.99</td>
<td>$4.09</td>
<td>$204.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,200.00 to $1,299.99</td>
<td>$2.86</td>
<td>$143.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,300.00 to $1,399.99</td>
<td>$1.59</td>
<td>$79.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,400.00 or more</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Less than $920.00</td>
<td>$5.13</td>
<td>$256.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$920.00 to $1,379.99</td>
<td>$4.09</td>
<td>$204.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,380.00 to $1,489.99</td>
<td>$2.86</td>
<td>$143.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,490.00 to $1,599.99</td>
<td>$1.59</td>
<td>$79.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,600.00 or more</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more</td>
<td>Less than $1,030.00</td>
<td>$5.13</td>
<td>$256.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,030.00 to $1,539.99</td>
<td>$4.09</td>
<td>$204.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,540.00 to $1,669.99</td>
<td>$2.86</td>
<td>$143.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,670.00 to $1,799.99</td>
<td>$1.59</td>
<td>$79.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,800.00 or more</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remuneration Authority

Draft policy: Childcare Allowance for Elected Members of Local Government

Auckland Council Feedback

[date]

Auckland Council supports the Remuneration Authority in addressing this issue which has been raised by members of other councils through submissions to the Authority. The costs of childcare can be a barrier to people with families considering becoming candidates for local government elections. The council commends the authority for recognising such barriers and for acknowledging the need to address them.

The council’s view is that the proposed conditions to be placed in the authority’s determination are reasonable. It is concerned though that the description of the purpose of the allowance is too wide. The proposed wording is:

A local authority may pay a childcare allowance, in accordance with subclauses (2) and (3), to an eligible member as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business.

The phrase “childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business” could include childcare that is incidental at the time the member is engaged on local authority. For example, a member may arrange childcare on a regular basis, irrespective of undertaking council duties, and on a particular occasion attends to council business papers while the children are at childcare. There could be uncertainty about whether this is claimable. The council understands that the purpose of the allowance is as a contribution towards the cost of childcare where this is an expense of undertaking council business and suggests that the wording should capture this sense of necessary expense in order to undertake council business.
Remuneration Authority - policy on child care allowances

File No.: CP2019/07181

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide feedback on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on child care allowances.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Remuneration Authority has previously received submissions from members in other councils stating that if childcare were a claimable expense, then serving in local government might be a more realistic option for parents who are currently deterred from considering the role because of the costs of childcare. Some jurisdictions in other countries provide such allowances.
3. The authority has distributed its proposed policy in order to get feedback from councils and individual elected members. The proposed policy is in Attachment A. The authority has asked for feedback by 31 May 2019 and intends to include the provision in its determination that comes into force on 1 July 2019.
4. At present, the authority determines allowances for vehicle mileage, travel time and communications. Each individual council considers its approach to the expenses and allowances and writes its own policies within the upper limits set by the authority. If the authority determines that childcare allowances are permissible the council could include, within the framework established by the authority, specific rules in the council’s expenses policy.
5. A draft submission on the proposed policy has been prepared and is attached as Attachment B. Elected members can provide individual comments to the Remuneration Authority if they wish, by 31 May 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.

Horopaki
Context
6. LGNZ has formed a Young Elected Members (YEM) committee with members representing LGNZ Zones. These are elected members who are under the age of 40 years. The YEM network has raised the matter of childcare costs with the Remuneration Authority. They cite examples such as a member of a smaller council who is 24 years of age and on a salary of $18,707. Another, struggling to pay for childcare on her councillor’s salary of $20,634 opted instead to bring her child to meetings.¹

¹ https://www.stuff.co.nz/national/109002369/underrepresentation-of-young-elected-members-woeful
7. The group makes the point that other costs of attending to council duties can be claimed, such as mileage and communications, but not the cost of childcare. The group is broadly interested in developing the ability of younger elected members.

8. The Remuneration Authority has researched childcare allowances and is now inviting feedback on its draft policy, which includes the eligibility and payment conditions which will be included in the authority’s formal determination.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The Remuneration Authority has recognised the need to address childcare costs if those with families are not to be deterred from standing for local government office.

10. Salaries paid to Auckland local board members and governing body members are generally higher than in smaller council districts in New Zealand, where it is likely elected members particularly struggle to meet childcare costs from their salaries. Nevertheless, the provision of the allowance may encourage greater diversity in those standing for election in Auckland.

11. The cost to the council and the ratepayer is reasonable in terms of the total budget for elected members’ remuneration but is a significant help for the member who has to arrange childcare. The policy places a cap of $8,000 per annum for any member. LGNZ statistics show that approximately 8 per cent of elected members are 40 years of age or below. On that basis, the cost to Auckland Council, if 6 per cent of members (10 members) claimed the allowance, would be $60,000.

12. The Remuneration Authority indicates it is in discussion with the Inland Revenue Department about how the allowance will be treated for tax purposes (as income or as an expense reimbursement). It is possible it will be taxed at source (that is by the council) prior to payment.

13. Payment of the allowance under the proposed conditions requires evidence of the expense incurred. This is supported.

14. The allowance cannot be paid to family members. This is supported.

15. The proposed conditions state that a local authority may pay the childcare allowance as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business. This wording may be too wide. Consider a member who has regularly arranged childcare, irrespective of undertaking council duties, deciding to spend two hours preparing for the next council meeting while the children happen to be in childcare. The member would be "engaged on local authority business" and could claim the childcare cost of those two hours.

16. Staff researched conditions around payment by other councils in other jurisdictions. One council simply paid the full cost of child care. Another specified the meetings and events that could be claimed. Staff believe the intent of the provision is to make a contribution toward the cost of child care where that is necessary in order for the member to undertake council duties, such as attend meetings. The wording should indicate more clearly that the payment is for childcare costs that are necessary in order for the member to undertake council duties and are not simply childcare costs that are incurred at the same time the member is undertaking council duties and would have been incurred anyway, if the member was not attending to council duties.

17. Other conditions are:
   (i) the child is under 14 years
   (ii) payment cannot be greater than $15 per hour and cannot be greater than the actual expense
   (iii) childcare cannot be for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period.

---

2 http://www.lgznz.co.nz/assets/041e5fb07f/Elected-Members-Profile-Report-FINAL.pdf
These are supported.

18. Staff recommend that the council supports the proposed policy with the comment, outlined above, that the purpose should more clearly reflect that the expense is a necessary expense in order for the member to attend to duties.

19. Staff also note that the council itself will decide its own provisions to include in its expenses policy, within the framework set by the authority.

20. Members can provide individual comments to the Remuneration Authority if they wish, by 31 May 2019.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

21. The Remuneration Authority’s policy applies only to elected members and does not impact the group.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

22. The policy affects all elected members, including local board members.

**Tauāki whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

23. The Remuneration Authority’s policy may assist those in the Māori community who are potential candidates for election but are deterred by the costs of childcare.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

24. There will be financial implications. As noted in the body of the report, the additional cost is likely to be less than $60,000 and will impact the cost centre for elected members’ remuneration.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

25. There is a small risk of the provision being abused (false claims submitted). The requirement for evidence of the amount paid will mitigate this risk.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

26. This report is being presented to local boards for their feedback. It is also being circulated to Governing Body members for their feedback. The draft submission will be amended if there is a clear majority position in favour of an amendment.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Childcare Allowance Proposed Draft Policy Paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Remuneration Authority draft policy - child care allowances - submission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ngā kaihaina

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Warwick McNaughton - Principal Advisor - Democracy Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Marguerite Delbet - General Manager Democracy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisa Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
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</table>
Urgent decision: Franklin Local Board

21 May 2019

Re: Franklin Local Board feedback to Auckland Transport on the proposed Speed Limits Bylaw 2019

1. Subject
Franklin Local Board feedback to the Auckland Transport (AT) Board on the proposed Speed Limits Bylaw 2019.

2. Background
Auckland Transport is proposing a new speed limits bylaw pursuant to s22AB(1)(d) of the Land Transport Act 1998. This bylaw revokes and replaces the Auckland Transport Speed Limits Bylaw 2012.

The purpose of this new bylaw is to make Auckland’s roads safer. It will set new (lower) speed limits, including lower speed limits for high-risk rural, urban and residential roads, various town centres and the city centre.

The rationale for Rural Road selection (90 per cent of roads signalled for change);

- either high-risk roads or close to high-risk roads
- have high crash rates, or are close to roads with high crash rates
- have speed limits which are unsuitable – they could be winding, hilly or have unsegregated lanes
- change will make it easier for people who don’t know the roads well to know what the appropriate speed is
- don’t have a centre median strip.

The rational for residential and urban road selection;

- large numbers of vulnerable road users (people walking and cycling)
- near schools, kindergartens and other community facilities.
- research into speeds and risk factors
- concerns raised by the community.

The rationale for Town centres and Auckland city centre selection;

- high numbers of vulnerable road users (people walking and cycling).
- population increases mean more people walking and cycling.
- greatest potential to reduce the chance of serious injuries and deaths occurring.

Proposed speed limit changes form part of a wider road safety programme that has been enabled in part by the Regional Fuel Tax. Other initiatives include

- Residential road safety improvements like speed tables or humps and raised intersections.
- Town centre safety improvements including signage, speed humps, and raised intersections.
- new urban traffic boundaries, with more appropriate speeds for newly developed residential areas.
• Implementing a 30km/h speed limit in Auckland’s City Centre.

AT workshoped proposed speed reductions with the Franklin Local Board in December 2017 and October 2018. The purpose was to inform prioritisation of sites, to provide likely insights on local appetite and likely compliance with lower speeds prior to public consultation. Early feedback from the Franklin Local Board is attached (Attachment A).

It was anticipated that a summary of public feedback from within the Franklin Local Board area would be allowed informed formal feedback from the board.

AT has not been able to provide this information within the consultation timeframe. The board requested an extension to the consultation timeframe to enable AT to provide data to allow the board to provide feedback representative of local views. A response to this request was not provided before the deadline for board feedback.

The high proportion roads proposed for change are rural (90 per cent). The Franklin Local Board area (along with Rodney) contains the majority of rural roads and border with neighbouring authorities who are also in the process of reviewing speed limits. On this basis, the board will provide subjective feedback (in the absence of requested local feedback data) to ensure the rural perspective is adequately represented and can be considered by staff in making final amendments and by the AT Board as part of the approvals process.

3. Reason for urgency

Local Board feedback is required by 21 May 2019 if this is to be included in the AT report to the AT Board who will receive and approve the new Speed Limits Bylaw.

The Franklin Local Board had delayed providing feedback on the Speed Limits Bylaw (through earlier business meetings and through the hearings process) in anticipation of receiving a summary of local feedback.

The board was advised that a summary of local feedback would not be provided after the 16 April business meeting and is required before the local board’s next scheduled business meeting on 4 June.

4. Recommendation

That the Franklin Local Board;

a) request that Auckland Transport (AT) consider the following feedback on the proposed Speed Limits Bylaw 2019;

   i. support the intent of the proposed Speed Limits Bylaw as an important mechanism for making Auckland roads safe
   ii. request that AT reduce speed limits by no more than 20km per hour e.g. from 100kmph to 80kmph or from 80kmph to 60kmph, except where evidence shows a more significant reduction is warranted or where the community have indicated a preference for a lower speed through the public consultation process
   iii. support the Speed Limits Bylaw providing a mechanism to amend (reduce) speed limits to reflect an evolving environment noting that green-field development and consented or permitted activities such as quarrying and forestry will have impacts on rural road conditions and road use in the future
   iv. request that limits on roads that lead into the Waikato or Hauraki Districts are consistent with the Waikato and Hauraki District Council imposed limits/approach to speed management
   v. request that communities that have actively sought reduction in speed have reductions prioritised for implementation e.g. Hunua Village, Brookby School
   vi. note that while reduction of speed is a significant contributor to road safety, road quality is of significant concern in rural areas e.g. maintenance
vii. request that AT continue to progress other rural road safety initiatives including intersection lighting, intersection improvements, engineering improvements education, interactive warning signs at identified ‘hot spot’ intersections e.g. Monument Road and Tourist Road (Clevedon).

b) acknowledge the challenges that AT has faced in providing local feedback specific to the Franklin local board area.

c) request that local feedback is presented to the board when available to enable the board to meet their responsibilities under section 18b of the Local Government Auckland Council Act i.e. to identify and communicate the interests and preferences of its community and advocate for these when working with AT in the future.

Authorised for release:

__________________________
Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board  Date 21/5/2019

Signatories

__________________________
Angela Fulljames
Chairperson, Franklin Local Board  Date 21/5/2019

__________________________
Andrew Baker
Deputy Chairperson, Franklin Local Board  Date 21/5/2019
ATTACHMENT 1: Feedback on proposed speed management changes December 2017.

Speed Management Plan changes

Presenters: Jenni Wild, Adam Moller, Jenny Long

Feedback:

- Delay speed changes on Pukekohe-Waiuku Road until the results of road improvements and the speed camera installation. State of seal at ‘collision crossroads’ poor
- Atwell/Patumahoe/Waiuku will be a roundabout at some stage need to wait until after the safety improvements have been made
- Glenbrook (SH22 and Glenbrook) – intersections are crash issues, consider the effect of the safety improvements
- McKenzie Road – fix the problem corners rather than just lower the speed limits, intersections causing problems, no turn-in bays and intersections on blind humps and corners
- Recommend include side roads for lower speed limits if possible.
- Provide statistical breakdown for type of crash (e.g. turning, head-on, speed, medical condition), and the percentage of crash type in relation to road use (i.e. road use has increased)
- Simplify crash statistic map
- Sandstone Road to Ormiston Road - 80km/hr is too slow
- Support reducing speed limits pending public consultation for;
  - The entire Awhitu Peninsular road network
  - Paparimu Road, including all side roads
  - Ararimu Road, to Ramarama
  - Jack Lachlan - 60km/hr
  - North Road - 80km/hr
  - Kawakawa Bay - 80km/hr
  - Maraetai beach front (coast road)
  - Heights Road, to Beatry Road- 80km/hr
  - Whitford Park Road 80 km/hr
    - Pukekohe-Bombay to 50km/hr
    - near the motorway interchange
    - approaching Runciman Road
    - on Runciman Road between tennis courts
    - Rutherford Road around the crater
  - Hunua Road
    - 60km/hr through the gorge
    - 50km/hr through Hunua village
    - Ensure that Papakura Local Board is aware of feedback
  - Alfriston Road at T-intersection with Brookby Road
    - include, in decision-making, consideration of inadequate parking for the school, that it is a chaotic spot, aggravated with truck traffic, roundabout congestion
    - lower school zone to 40km/hr
- Whitford to Maraetai - all side roads 80 km/hr
• Monument Road - 60km/hr
  ▪ start speed reduction just before Tourist Road (i.e. closer to Clevedon to slow people before the intersection)

• Cape Hill - keep the temporary 70km/hr speed limit
  ✷ consult to reduce the speed limit permanently (no faster than 80km/hr)
  ✷ consider if road improvements have contributed to the reduction of crashes
  ✷ Achieve speed limit consistency (presently inconsistent speed limits) along recognising increased traffic movement and the use of McNicol Road by truck and trailer units:
  ✷ Future proof roads against further development, particularly:
    ▪ Puni Road
    ▪ Rolls Road
    ▪ Kingseat Road
    ▪ Mauku Road
    ▪ Waiau Pa Road

• Incorporate slower speeds in smaller town centres as part of other traffic calming/improvement works, such as gateway treatments, threshold treatments and traffic calming measures etc.

• Whitford
  ✷ aim for speed consistency
  ✷ slower target
  ✷ consult with community about preferred speed
  ✷ improve road surface
  ✷ 60km/hr is too slow, 80km/hr is too fast, 70km/hr would be the best speed

• Pukekohe town centre, i.e. everything within ring road reduce to 30km/hr

• Clevedon reduce speed to 40km/hr
  ✷ pedestrianise main business precinct
  ✷ consult the proposal to the community
  ✷ reopen pedestrian crossing consideration
  ✷ Link the speed limits along Pukekohe South Road, Upper Queen’s Street, Bailey Rd, May Wright Road and Tuakau Road with the speed reductions agreed by Waikato District Council

• Liaise with police

**Actions:**

• investigate the placing of a mobile speed camera or a driver speed feedback sign at Henderson Road (Whitford/Maraetai)

• Investigate installing better stop signs at Tourist/Monument Rd intersections

• Return to a workshop in 2018 for further feedback and priorities.
Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar

File No.: CP2019/06423

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To consider the monthly update of the Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. This report provides an update on the Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar. A schedule of key decisions that will come before the board at business meetings over the next year is attached (Attachment A).
3. The calendar aims to support the local board’s governance role by:
   i) Ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   ii) Clarifying what advice is required and when
   iii) Clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar will be regularly updated to ensure that formal reporting milestones for new projects are added to the schedule. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a less formal but more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
5. At its business meeting on 6 June 2017, Franklin Local Board resolved that the governance forward work calendar would be reported monthly to enable greater public transparency on forthcoming local board key decision timescales (Resolution number FR/2017/82).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
  a) note the May 2019 update of the Franklin governance forward work calendar (Attachment A to the report entitled ‘Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar’).

Horopaki / Context
6. The governance forward work calendar brings together reporting on all of Franklin Local Board’s projects and activities previously approved in the local board plan, long-term plan, departmental work programmes and through other board decisions. It includes governing body policies and initiatives that call for a local board response. Inclusion on a formal business meeting agenda will allow greater transparency for the public.
7. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
8. The forward work calendar is arranged in three columns: ‘Topic’, ‘Purpose’ and ‘Governance Role’:
   i.) Topic describes the items and may indicate how they fit in with broader processes such as the annual plan.
   ii.) Purpose indicates the aim of the item, such as formally approving plans or projects, hearing submissions or receiving progress updates.
Governance role is a high-level categorisation of the work of local boards.

At its business meeting on 6 June 2017, Franklin Local Board resolved that the governance forward work calendar would be reported monthly to enable greater public transparency on forthcoming local board key decision timescales (Resolution number FR/2017/82).

This report is an information report providing the governance forward work programme for the next six months.

All local boards have been receiving governance forward work calendars on their business meeting agendas. This will support more effective management of the local board’s governance work.

The projects and processes referred to in the governance forward work calendar will have a range of implications for Māori which will be considered when the work is reported.

There are no financial implications relating to this report.

This report is a point in time of the governance forward work calendar. It is a living document and updated month to month. It minimises the risk of the board being unaware of planned topics for their consideration.

Staff will review the calendar each month and will report an updated calendar to the board.

No. | Title | Page
---|---|---
A0 | Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar | 149

Author | Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin
Authoriser | Nina Siers - Relationship Manager
## Governance Forward Work Programme – April update 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Quarter</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Auckland climate action plan (previously Low Carbon Auckland)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 6 June</td>
<td>Local board agreement - adoption</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Adopt local board work programmes</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formally approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Draft Resilient Recovery Strategy (tbc)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>Animal Management Bylaw</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July/Aug</td>
<td>Open Space Management Framework</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>Last business meeting report (delegations for election period)</td>
<td>Local Decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Draft Golf Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Franklin Local Board workshop records for workshops held on 9, 16 and 30 April; and 7, 14 and 21 May 2019.
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Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 9 April 2019, commencing at 9.30 am.

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Angela Fulljames (Andrew Baker for Corporate Property item)

**Members:** Andrew Baker, Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten (from 9.40 am), Murray Kay, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins, Malcolm Bell

**Apologies:** Brendon Crompton

**Also present:** Nina Siers (Relationship Manager), Vileeza Naidoo (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Lynn Birch (PA/Liaison), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker), Failte Smith (Lead Financial Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Process for developing regional policy**  
Theresa Stratton, GFR | Input into regional decision making | The review of regional policy development was discussed and the board provided feedback. |
| **Auckland Transport update**  
Ben Stallworthy, Elected Member Relationship Manager, AT  
Walter McDonald, Project Manager AT | Local Initiative/ specific decisions | The Station Road parking proposal was presented to the board. |
| **Reviewing and providing direction on Annual Plan Submissions**  
Lucy Stallworthy, Engagement Advisor  
Vileeza Naidoo, Advisor | Engagement | The board were provided an analysis of submissions Annual Plan submissions. |
| **Existing Service Levels report**  
Gary Pemberton, GFR Services levels and funding | Input to regional decision-making | The service level dashboard that has been developed was reviewed with the board. |
The workshop concluded at 3.40 pm
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 16 April 2019, commencing at 11.00 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andrew Baker, Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten, Murray Kay, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins, Malcolm Bell
Apologies: Brendon Crompton
Also present: Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor); Villecea Naidoo (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Te keke rukuruku – proposal for partnership with mana whenua</td>
<td>Local initiative/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board reviewed the staged programme scope proposal. It is proposed to incorporate this into the work programme for 2019/2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure Plan Pukekohe-Paerata - feedback</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making</td>
<td>The board discussed key themes from the feedback provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.20 pm
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 30 April 2019, commencing at 1.40 pm.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andrew Baker, Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins, Malcolm Bell
Apologies: Brendon Crompton, Murray Kay
Also present: Nina Siers (Relationship Manager), Georgina Gilmour (Snr Advisor), Vilecea Naidoo (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), LynnBirch (PA/Liaison), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities monthly reporting</td>
<td>Keeping informed / local initiative / specific decisions</td>
<td>Bledisloe Park surface renewals and field re-alignment proposals were discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigo Pizzaro</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Prospect Terrace walkway proposal was discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nichola Painter</td>
<td></td>
<td>General updates on Franklin-wide projects were provided with the general report and Mahi Tahi paper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kishor Mistry, Phil Gedge, Warwick Fleming,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Southern Initiative</td>
<td>Local Initiative / specific decisions</td>
<td>Proposals to deploy allocated funds were discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhaya Haran</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tania Pouwhare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.55 pm
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 7 May 2019, commencing at 10.30 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andrew Baker, Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten, Murray Kay, Sharlene Druyven, Malcolm Bell
Apologies: Brendon Crompton; Amanda Hopkins
Also present: Nina Siers (Relationship Manager), Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor), Vilecea Naidoo (Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Faithe Smith (Lead Financial Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE update – Arts Broker draft work programme</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board considered an amended Arts Broker programme for the coming financial year. A general ACE update was provided to the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop 3 – work programmes review for FY20</td>
<td>Setting direction/ priorities/ budget</td>
<td>The board reviewed the proposed work programmes for all departments for FY20. A final report will be presented to a June 2019 business meeting for resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgina Gilmour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.58 pm
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 14 May 2019, commencing at 9.45 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Andrew Baker
Members: Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten, Murray Kay, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins (till 12 noon), Malcolm Bell
Apologies: Brendon Crompton, Angela Fulljames
Also present: Georgina Gilmour, Senior Advisor; Vilecea Naidoo Advisor; Lucy Stallworthy Engagement Advisor; Denise Gunn Democracy Advisor, Coral Timmins Strategic Advisor; Lynn Birch, PA/Liaison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I&amp;ES – Wairoa Action Plan update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were updated on the Friends of Te Wairoa actions over the past year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriana Knox, Relationship Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Jones – Wairoa River Action Plan Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libby Caldwell – Senior Healthy Waters Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE update – Maori Responsiveness plan</td>
<td>Setting direction/ priorities/ budget</td>
<td>The board discussed the draft Maori Responsiveness plan. A report will be brought to the next local board business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins, Strategic Broker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>Setting direction/ priorities/ budget</td>
<td>The board discussed possible parks options. A report will be brought to the 25 June 2019 business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezra Barwell, Principle Policy Analyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party sport and recreation facilities</td>
<td>Setting direction/ priorities/ budget</td>
<td>The board reviewed options for access to sport and recreation facilities and indicated support for some proposals. A report will be brought to the next local board meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ward, Sport &amp; Recreation Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Langton, PSR Portfolio Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 1.52 pm.
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Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 21 May 2019, commencing at 9.30 am.

**PRESENT**

Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andrew Baker, Alan Cole, Niko Kloeten, Murray Kay, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins (to 2.15 pm), Malcolm Bell
Apologies: Brendon Crompton
Also present: Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor); Vileeca Naidoo (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grants Round Two and Multi-Board Grants</td>
<td>Local initiative/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board reviewed the grant applications for Round Two and the Multi-board applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agus Castro Pons, Grants Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins, Strategic Broker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td>The 2019 election period policies and guidelines for elected members were discussed with the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Siers, Relationship Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities monthly update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>McShane Reserve playground renewal design concepts were presented for discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigo Pizzaro, Manager Project Delivery</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>Orere Pt playground renewal designs were presented for discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EliEWens, Snr Maintenance Delivery Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td>Te Puru light options were discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennice Stringer, Project Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td>A Mahi Tahi update was provided to the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natia Tucker, Specialist Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine Samuel, Community Led LDI Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.43 pm