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From: Scott Cleghorn  
Sent: Monday, 17 June 2019 1:31 PM  
To: Danielle Grant (Kaipatiki Local Board)  
Subject: RE: Alcohol Ban in Downing Street Reserve

Hi Danielle,

At the moment we are having a lot of issues with drunk and disorderly members of the public coming from the park and into our carpark. They are bothering our customers asking for cigarettes and spare change. We are constantly finding cans and bottles scattered throughout the carpark and we have also seen an increase of car break ins and vandalism.

We had an incident last year where drunk under age people and gang members came into our bar from the park and started a fight. This was all in front of families and children and some of our regulars were injured trying to watch out for their families.

Obviously, we want to create a safe and enjoyable environment for our customers and staff alike and having undesirables loitering in our carpark does not create the kind of atmosphere we need.

While the fence was up between the park and our carpark, we did have less incidents however, we did find more people hanging around in the carpark drinking as well. While our managers try to keep on top of asking people to leave, it can be quite intimidating when you aren’t sure if you are dealing with someone who is sober, intoxicated or on drugs. This also causes issues with our staff leaving at 1-2am with undesirables hanging around in our carpark.

As most know, we do have a lot of young female staff and a lot of them don’t feel safe walking to their cars at night as they don’t know who is at the park and who may be around the corner.

Over all the liquor ban would greatly benefit us in regards to staff and customer safety. As well as a sense of ease knowing that we wouldn’t have to worry about what was happening in the park meaning we can focus on our venue and ensure our customers are enjoying their time with us.

I look forward to hearing from you and will see you at the meeting on Wednesday.

Scott Cleghorn

Corner of Downing Street & Glenfield Road, Glenfield, Auckland 0629

Website: www.postmanslog.co.nz  
Facebook: www.facebook.com/ThePostmansLog
From: Scott Cleghorn  
Sent: Monday, 17 June 2019 1:31 PM  
To: Danielle Grant (Kaipatiki Local Board)  
Subject: RE: Alcohol Ban in Downing Street Reserve

Hi Danielle,

At the moment we are having a lot of issues with drunk and disorderly members of the public coming from the park and into our carpark. They are bothering our customers asking for cigarettes and spare change. We are constantly finding cans and bottles scattered throughout the carpark and we have also seen an increase of car break ins and vandalism.

We had an incident last year where drunk under age people and gang members came into our bar from the park and started a fight. This was all in front of families and children and some of our regulars were injured trying to watch out for their families. Obviously, we want to create a safe and enjoyable environment for our customers and staff a like and having undesirables loitering in our carpark does not create the kind of atmosphere we need.

While the fence was up between the park and our carpark, we did have less incidents however, we did find more people hanging around in the carpark drinking as well. While our managers try to keep on top of asking people to leave, it can be quite intimidating when you aren’t sure if you are dealing with someone who is sober, intoxicated or on drugs. This also causes issues with our staff leaving at 1-2am with undesirables hanging around in our carpark.

As most know, we do have a lot of young female staff and a lot of them don’t feel safe walking to their cars at night as they don’t know who is at the park and who may be around the corner.

Over all the liquor ban would greatly benefit us in regards to staff and customer safety. As well as a sense of ease knowing that we wouldn’t have to worry about what was happening in the park meaning we can focus on our venue and ensure our customers are enjoying their time with us.

I look forward to hearing from you and will see you at the meeting on Wednesday.

Scott Cleghorn

Corner of Downing Street & Glenfield Road, Glenfield, Auckland 0629

Website: www.postmanslog.co.nz  
Facebook: www.facebook.com/ThePostmansLog
6.0 THE LOCATION AND SITE DESCRIPTION OF DOWNING STREET DOMAIN

6.0.1 The area encompassed by this Management Plan comprises 2 separate parcels of land and covers an area of 1.0935 ha. (see Map I, page 4) in Glenfield Ward. The two areas of reserve land are separated by a busy through road, Downing Street.

6.0.2 The larger of the two parcels of reserve land lies south of Downing Street and is known as Downing Street Domain. The Domain is situated in a residential area adjacent to commercial development. It is located to the east of the Glenfield Tavern on a prominent hill slope and overlooks the hub of active recreational and commercial facilities to the north. To the east and south the reserve is bordered by low density residential development. The site has now been extensively modified and developed for use as a passive recreation reserve. Prior to the development work, the steeply contoured site was dominated by a deeply cut watercourse in the eastern section of the site, with a knoll and vegetated banks. Its gradient made access to the central area particularly difficult. The re-contouring of the reserve provides access around the perimeter and up to a feature knoll via newly installed footpaths.

6.0.3 To the north of Downing Street is a smaller, triangular shaped parcel of grass covered land, originally vested in the Council as a Local Purpose (drainage) reserve and classified in 1997 as a recreation reserve. It is steeply contoured, sloping down from south to north with a deep depression and old retaining wall in its centre. A piped gully runs from south to north through the reserve. This land will form part of the Downing Street Domain. As yet no development work has taken place on this site.

7.0 STATUTORY AND PLANNING CONTEXT

The following section covers the status of Downing Street Domain in terms of its legal description and the statutory requirements which govern the use, development and management of the reserve under the Reserves Act 1977 and the Resource Management Act 1991.

7.1 Legal Description and Classifications

The area which will form the reserve known as Downing Street Domain is comprised of two separate titles, as shown on Map II, page 7.

Lot 3 DP 59513 (CT 15A/1161) 0.8038 ha.
Lot 2 DP 94804 (CT 26A/226) 0.2897 ha.

Total 1.0935 ha.
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Memorandum

To: Kaipatiki Local Board

Subject: Service Provision Assessment for Little Shoal Bay - PSR 19/20 Work Programme

From: Michelle Sanderson (Portfolio Manager)

Purpose
To provide the local board with a brief to undertake a Service Provision Assessment for Little Shoal Bay as part of the 2019/2020 Community Services - Parks, Sport and Recreation Work Programme.

Summary
It is proposed to development a service assessment which will identify community needs in relation to the beach and park at an estimated cost of $15,000 funded from LDI Opex in 2019/2020.

This will enable options for the long-term management of Little Shoal Bay to be identified and assessed to inform future public consultation, project design and delivery.

Context/Background
An increase in coastal inundation events coupled with rising sea levels has identified there is a desire to have a collaborative management process for Little Shoal Bay. This management process will identify potential community outcomes and options to achieve this.

The Parks and Places Specialist has been investigating options to measure visitor numbers and analyse how visitors are currently using the reserve space. A range of options are being considered.

Discussion
The coastal inundation of the reserve has led to a need to develop a framework for the future management of the reserve. This assessment will identify the current usage of the reserve.

The data captured from this survey will be used to inform engagement with reserve users to gather evidence on the experiences that are valued by reserve visitors.

Understanding these valued experiences will help in assessing service provision and community outcomes at Little Shoal Bay against potential long-term management responses.

The assessment will provide strategic outcomes that, along with the Coastal Processes Assessment and Morphum report, will be used in future public consultation.

It is proposed to develop a service assessment which will identify community needs in relation to the beach and park. A preferred management approach needs to be selected from the service assessment to allow for the future investigation of parks projects.

This service assessment will provide the framework for how the reserve is managed in future years. This will be outcomes focused rather than asset driven.

The cost of the service assessment is estimated at $15,000 and is proposed to be funded by the local board’s LDI Opex funding in 2019/2020.
Next steps

This memo provides information for the local board to consider the Service Provision Assessment for Little Shool Bay as part of the 2019/2020 Community Services - Parks, Sport and Recreation Work Programme. If the local board approves the project, it will be added to Sharepoint and reported against quarterly. Updates will also be provided to the local board at workshops as the project progresses. It is anticipated the service assessment will be completed by June 2020.
KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT

JOHN GILLON - JUNE 2019

Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw

On 28 Feb 2019, the Governing Body adopted the Statement of Proposal for changes to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 and Dog Management Bylaw 2012. A memo was subsequently sent on 12 Mar to local boards with a date of 3 May at which they may provide feedback to the Hearings Panel. Public consultation was held on the proposed changes to the bylaw from 1 Apr till 10 May. This meant that submitters’ feedback would not have been available to inform local board feedback to the hearings panel.

An open letter to the Mayor signed by all local board chairpersons was sent on 8 Apr, expressing concerns about the time and method by which local boards are given to present their views to the hearings panel during formal consultation on bylaws. The date for local boards to speak to the hearings panel was subsequently postponed to the first day of the panels deliberations on 21 Jun.

At the 17 Apr business meeting, the Kaipātiki Local Board resolved to defer consideration of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal to a subsequent meeting following the release of the consultation submissions (Resolution number KT2019/58).

Submissions from public consultation on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw were released on 12 Jun. As the date for local boards to provide feedback to the hearings panel is 21 Jun, the next available business meeting for the Kaipātiki Local Board to resolve feedback on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal would have to be 19 Jun.

Kaipātiki Local Board submissions

8,024 submissions were received on the review of Auckland Council’s Policy on Dogs and the Dog Management bylaw. Of these, 1,961 were pro forma submissions.

There were 421 submissions for the Kaipātiki local board area. Of these, 119 submissions were pro forma.

KLB Submitters’ demographics

Age groups

296 submitters provided information on their age. 125 submitters did not provide information on their age.

Age groups of Kaipātiki submitters
Gender

293 submitters provided information on their genders. 128 submitters elected not to provide this information.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kaipātiki submitters by gender

Ethnicity

A large majority of submitters identified as Pakeha/NZ European. However, many submitters identified as a mixed range of ethnicities that did not easily fit into the standard categories of Pakeha/NZ European/Māori/Pacific Islands/Asian/MELAA (Middle Eastern, Latin American, and African).

Analysis

The proposed changes aim to:

- reorganise the Policy and Bylaw information into user friendly themes
- remove duplication from the Bylaw, which will simplify future amendments
- reduce confusion about dog access rules and improve voluntary compliance by:
  - presenting the rules in the schedule in a consistent manner
  - applying a consistent definition of time and season
  - applying consistent rules to multiple dog ownership
- address emerging issues around dog management by:
  - including specific reference to the Code of Welfare
  - extending environmental protection to include flora
- clarifying the enforcement requirement to neuter uncontrolled dogs
- clarifying the council’s jurisdiction on privately owned public spaces
- promoting responsible dog ownership amongst owners of dogs classified as ‘menacing’ on the basis of their behaviour
- reviewing access rules in regional parks.

Seven proposals to achieve these aims were presented to submitters for feedback as part of public consultation:

1. Removal of the schedule of access rules from the Dog Management Bylaw 2012 to enable changes to be made to the schedule in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs without requiring Governing Body approval

2. Standardisation of the presentation of local board dog access rules in Schedule 2 of the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs to list designated dog exercise areas, off leash areas, areas where a time and season rule is applied, and prohibited areas. Public spaces not listed in Schedule 2 will have the default rule of dogs on leash. This proposal does not change local board dog access rules currently in place in local beaches, parks and reserves, only their presentation. Submitters were additionally asked to list any local beaches or parks with local board dog access rules that might be changed as a result of this proposal.

3. Requiring a multiple dog ownership licence for urban residential properties where there are more than two dogs to achieve a consistent approach to licensing across Auckland
4. Amendment of the time and season definition in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs to ‘10am-7pm from the Saturday of Labour Weekend to 31 March’. This would apply to all areas currently using a time and season rule (including identified regional parks). Submitters were asked to indicate:
   a. their preference between a standardised regional definition or allowing for local variation;
   b. their support for the proposed definition; and
   c. their preference for start time and end time, and season start date and season end date

5. Implementation of a standard lambing season rule to prohibit dogs from 1 July to 1 December in regional parks with stock, amendments to dog access rules in the following regional parks to protect wildlife:
   a. Glenfern Sanctuary;
   b. Muriwai Regional Park;
   c. Long Bay Regional Park; and
   d. Waitākere Regional Park – Waipu; and

   the retention of all other dog access rules in regional parks

6. The extension of council’s ability to make temporary changes to dog access rules to protect flora vulnerable to dogs, such as kauri, specifically the provision to temporarily prohibit dogs from areas to protect vulnerable wildlife

7. Offering to owners of dogs classified as menacing the opportunity to have their dog’s classification reviewed so long as evidence is supplied of completing a dog obedience course (at the owner’s expense), and no infringements were recorded in relation to the dog within a 12-month period. The removal of a dog’s classification is up to Council’s discretion.

Given local board member interest in on-leash/off-leash and the time and season rules, only submitters’ feedback on Proposals 2, 4 and 6 are included below.

Proposal 2

Proposal 2 asked submitters to additionally list any local parks or beaches with current local dog access rules that they felt might be affected by the proposal. A list of five local parks and beaches in the Kaipātiki Local Board area suggested by submitters, along with the recommended actions, may be found on page 56 of the deliberations report. These five locations identified by submitters from the Kaipātiki Local Board area as potentially affected by Proposal 2 were:

1. Kaun Point Domain (beach)
2. Little Shoal Bay Foreshore Reserve
3. Rosecamp Road Foreshore Reserve
4. Telephone Road Reserve
5. Mangere Mountain and adjacent reserves (note that Mangere Mountain is under the jurisdiction of the Maunga Authority)
Proposal 4

The proposal that received the most responses among the seven proposals, this concerned submitters’ preference between a standard regional definition or local variations of the time and season rule.

Submitters from the Kaipātiki Local Board area largely shared the regional preference for local variation on the time and season rules.

Local vs Regional submitters’ preference on approach to time and season rules

In contrast to the overall preference among all submitters for local variations of the time and season rules, non-dog owners favoured a standardised regional definition instead. Supporters favoured the consistency a standardised regional definition would provide, avoiding confusion among dog owners, and allowing non-dog owners uncomfortable around dogs a period they may enjoy the beach in relative peace. However, non-dog owners were outnumbered by dog owners in terms of submissions and responses to this particular question, and this is reflected in the overall preference for local variations on time and season rules.

Local vs Regional non-dog owners’ preference on approach to time and season rules
In contrast to non-dog owners, dog owners favoured local variations on time and seasons rule, many comments pointing out that this allowed for more flexibility. Dog owners noted that beaches less popular with beach-goers could have less restrictive times while the more popular ones like Takapuna and Milford could have more stringent rules.

Local vs Regional dog owners’ preference on approach to time and season rules

Regional standard start time

Submitters were also asked for their preference regarding start and end times for the regional standard. The overall preference was for the status quo of a 10am start time.

Local vs Regional submitters’ preference for regional standard start time
Non-dog owners had mixed views on their preferred start time. Regionally, non-dog owners favoured an earlier start time, while submitters from the Kaipātiki Local Board area marginally favoured the proposed 10am.

Local vs Regional non-dog owners' preference for regional standard start time

A clear majority of dog owners preferred the proposed 10am start time, with the next largest group favouring a later start time.

Local vs Regional dog owners' preference for regional standard start time
Regional standard end time

Regionally, a clear majority of submitters preferred an earlier end time to the regional standard of the time and season rule. This received many comments, most of them from dog owners who pointed out that an end time of 7pm meant that they would then be forced to walk their dogs in the dark, and those with young children would be unable to do so at all.

Local submitters likewise favoured an earlier end time. This group made up an even larger proportion of local submitters than regional submitters supportive of an earlier end time.

Local vs Regional submitters’ preference for regional standard end time

Non-dog owners indicated a preference for an earlier end time to the regional standard of the time and season rule, with comments raising concerns about safety for dog owners being forced to walk their dogs in the dark, dogs’ need to exercise and socialise, and the impact on lifestyles, particularly those of families who will otherwise be unable to enjoy the beach with their dog. Notably, a larger proportion of local non-dog owners preferred an earlier end time to the regional standard than across the region.

Local vs Regional non-dog owners’ preference for regional standard end time
Understandably, an overwhelming majority of dog owners favoured an earlier end time to the regional standard. In addition to the points raised about later end times forcing dog owners to walk their dogs in the dark and making it difficult for families with young children to walk their dogs, dog owners also pointed out that less popular beaches could accommodate earlier end times for dog owners to resort to.

Local vs Regional dog owners' preference for regional standard end time

Regional standard season start

Submitters were asked to indicate their preference for the commencement of the regional standard of the time and season rules. Notably, many comments from both dog owners and non-dog owners alike stated a preference for an actual date, many pointing out that hinging commencement on public holidays or daylight savings meant that this time could potentially differ from year to year.
Most non-dog owners favoured an earlier start to the regional standard of the time and season rule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Regional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour weekend</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>20.59%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Labour weekend</td>
<td>20.59%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20.59%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local vs Regional non-dog owners’ preference on regional standard season start

In contrast, dog owners largely favoured a later start to the regional standard of the time and season rule. Notably, the difference in proportions between supporters of the various options is much smaller than for non-dog owners, and a significant minority of dog owners still indicated a preference for an earlier commencement date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Regional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour weekend</td>
<td>20.69%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>33.10%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Labour weekend</td>
<td>43.45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.76%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local vs Regional dog owners’ preference on regional standard season start

Regional standard season end

Like for the commencement of the regional standard of the time and season rules, submitters were also asked to indicate their preference for the end date. A large majority preferred an earlier end date to the regional standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Regional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;31 Mar</td>
<td>62.91%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Mar</td>
<td>16.23%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;31-Mar</td>
<td>17.55%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.11%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local vs Regional submitters’ preference on regional standard season end
In contrast to the overall preference for an earlier end date to the regional standard of the time and season rules, non-dog owners preferred a later end date.

**Local vs Regional non-dog owners’ preference on regional standard season end**

A large majority of dog owners understandably favoured an earlier end date for the regional standard.

**Local vs Regional dog owners’ preference on regional standard season end**
Proposal 6

For Proposal 6, submitters had to indicate their support for an extension of Council’s ability to make temporary changes to dog access rules to protect flora vulnerable to dogs, such as kauri. Specifically, this referred to the provision to temporarily prohibit dogs from areas to protect vulnerable wildlife.

A large majority of submitters, both dog owners and non-dog owners supported this proposal. Those that supported this proposal cited the importance of protecting native flora, but also raised concerns around implementation, questioning if any enforcement would be available. Education of dog owners, including in the proper use of cleaning stations, and proper signage, were suggested as being equally important to genuinely protect flora.

Those who disagreed with this proposal, while being in the minority, raised concerns around its actual efficacy in protecting flora, questioning if dogs were the main culprits here. The definition of ‘temporary’, specifically the period this represents, was questioned by both supporters and non-supporters.
Local vs Regional dog owners' views on Proposal 6

Plan Change 26: Clarifying the relationship between the Special Character Areas Overlay and the underlying zone provisions

I have received a number of concerns regarding the proposed plan change 26 and requests for an extension of time due to notification letters being delivered late to affected properties.

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:
(a) request council staff for an extension of the public submission deadline on Plan Change 26 “Clarifying the relationship between the Special Character Areas Overlay and the underlying zone provisions”.
March - April 2019 Meetings & Events

- Chaired March Kaipatiki Local Board business meeting
- Chaired April Kaipatiki Local Board business meeting
- Chaired March Kaipatiki Local Board Community Forum meeting
- Chaired 3x March Kaipatiki Local Board workshops
- Chaired 3x April Kaipatiki Local Board workshops
- March Local Board Chairs' Forum and Chairs-Only Session
- April Local Board Chairs' Forum and Chairs-Only Session
- March Northern Local Boards Subregional workshop
- Local Parks Management Plan working party
- Frank Larking Boat steering group
- KLBKCF Partnering Agreement interview and Chairs' review meeting
- ANZAC Day briefing
- Launch of Pest Free Kaipatiki Halo at Kauri Park School
- MC'd Birkenhead ANZAC Day ceremony at Birkenhead RSA
- Attended Beach Haven Birkenhead Residents Association monthly meeting
- Attended Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw Hearing
- Attended Kaipatiki EcoFun day at Marlborough Park
- Attended Kauri Park School Fair
- Attended and spoke at Korean Day at Eventfinda Stadium
- Attended and spoke at Public Meeting on proposed development at 2 & 2a Tizard Road, Birkenhead Point
- Attended Verran Primary School Fair
- Attended Willow Park Primary School Fair
- Site visit to Downing Street Domain to view retaining wall progress and check on alcohol-related issues
- Site visit to Dudding Ave Reserve to view new tracks and boardwalk
- Site visit to Larking's Landing Reserve to view boat in new location
- Site visit to Larking's Landing Reserve, Lysander Reserve, Tamahere Reserve for OurAuckland photos
- Site visit to Witherford Scenic Reserve after complaint about neglected path
- Meeting with Carla van Wijen, Manager, Birkenhead Beach Haven Community Project
- Meeting with Carol Ryan, Manager, Hearts & Minds
- Meeting with council planner regarding proposed development at 2 & 2a Tizard Road, Birkenhead Point
- Meeting with Garry Holmes, Manager, Northcote Town Centre
- Meeting with George Wood, Chair, Devonport-Takapuna Local Board
- 2x Meetings with Jill Nerhney, manager, Kaipatiki Community Facilities Trust
- Meeting with Jo Knight, Chair, Pest Free Kaipatiki
- Meeting with Nigel Green, Manager, Glenfield Community Centre
- Meeting with Peter Burn, Chair, Kaipatiki Community Facilities Trust
- Meeting with Pete Taylor & Kae Condon, Chair & Manager, Birkenhead Town Centre
- Meeting with Police Area Commander Sunny Patel
- Several meetings with constituents on various matters
- Regular meetings with council staff on various matters and work programmes
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Exec NPHPS
Date: Wed, 19 Jun 2019 at 11:40
Subject: Re: Proposed Plan Change 26
To: John Gillon

Hi John

Many thanks for your email.

We are concerned about the short timeframe allocated for response to this proposal, and have a further concern that the proposals are not widely known in the community. Would you please provide the following information to Kaipātiki Local Board members.

**PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 26 – SPECIAL CHARACTER AREAS OVERLAY**

The Northcote Point Heritage Preservation Society Inc. (NPHPS) requests that more time be provided for submissions on the proposed changes to the Special Character Area Overlay. We also consider that information be provided to residents living in these areas (of which Northcote Point is one) as many homeowners are unaware of the proposed changes and potential impact.

As you will be aware, the proposed changes were notified on 30 May 2019, and submissions are scheduled to close on 28 June 2019. However we understand, with great concern, that only some residents were notified of the proposed changes, whereas should the changes be adopted the impact will be on all homeowners with a possible negative effect on the entire community.

We hope that you will be able to defer the submission close date, and recommend that the Kaipātiki Local Board were able to host some drop in sessions at Northcote Point and provide information in "layman's terms" regarding the proposed changes, and potential impact. The NPHPS are available to assist with these sessions.

Yours sincerely,

Erica Hannam
Secretary
Northcote Point Heritage Preservation Society Inc.