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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

An apology for absence has been received from Member Paula Bold-Wilson.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

The following are declared interests of elected members of the Henderson-Massey Local Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOARD MEMBER</th>
<th>ORGANISATION</th>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>Updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shane Henderson (Chairman)</td>
<td>Waitakere Licensing Trust</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td>21 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitakere Badminton</td>
<td>Patron</td>
<td>4 Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colwill School</td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Chan, JP (Deputy Chairman)</td>
<td>Cantonese Opera Society of NZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>21 Feb 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian Leaders Forum</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>5 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NZ-Hong Kong Business Association</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NZ-China Business Association</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Chinese Environment Protection Association (ACEPA)</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whau Coastal Walkway Trust</td>
<td>Advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Brady, JP</td>
<td>Safer West Community Trust</td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Grey</td>
<td>West Auckland Youth Development Trust</td>
<td>Director and Board Member</td>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Billy Graham Youth Foundation</td>
<td>Affiliate</td>
<td>16 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Bold-Wilson</td>
<td>Community Waitakere</td>
<td>Board member</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unitec Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Neeson, JP</td>
<td>Village Green Quilters</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranui Advisory Group</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Flauntly, QSM</td>
<td>NorSGA Properties</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Trusts Community Foundation Ltd</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>5 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life North West Pharmacy</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>18 Sep 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitemata District Health Board</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitakere Licensing Trust</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massey Birdwood Settlers Ass.</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taupaki Residents &amp; Ratepayers Association</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henderson Rotary</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will Flavell</td>
<td>Te Atatū Tennis Club</td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td>15 Nov 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia New Zealand Leadership Network</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rutherford College</td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitākere Literacy Board</td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 18 June 2019, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Henderson-Massey Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation: Laurie Ross - Dangers of 5G electromagnetic frequencies on health and safety

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. 5G wireless radiation technologies are proposed for roll out in Auckland in 2020 without public consent or consultation on dangers.

2. Laurie Ross is seeking to outline her health and safety concerns with regards to the technology and to request the Henderson-Massey Local Board to invoke the precautionary principle to prevent roll-out of 5G wireless technology in west Auckland.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Laurie Ross with regards to her health and safety concerns in regards to 5G wireless radiation technologies.

Attachments

A Supporting material: Danger of 5G.................................................. 71
8.2 Deputation: Scout hall relocation from Riverpark Reserve to Blomfield Reserve.

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To respectfully request that the Henderson-Massey Local Board grant an extension of time to Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group to February 2020 to uplift the scout hall.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Scout Association of New Zealand owns the scout hall on Riverpark Reserve. In late 2014, the Swanson-Lincoln Scout Group who occupied the hall merged with the Kereru-Massey Scout Group and vacated the premises. In 2016, The Scout Association of New Zealand offered the Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group the hall for relocation to a new site.

3. Since being offered the hall, the Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group has been working tirelessly to obtain all necessary consents involved in relocating the hall including; landowner approval, agreement to lease with community lease, plus the necessary regulatory building and resource consents.

4. The Scout Group are requesting an extension of time beyond what was originally proposed.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive deputation from Vanessa Belton and Brian Belton representing the Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group.

Attachments
A Presentation: Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group.......................................................... 73

8.3 Deputations: Elsa Vujnovich and John Newick, Henderson 175 Community Dinner proposal

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. A presentation will be made by Elsa Vujnovich and John Newick from Henderson Community Celebration Trust with regards to celebrating the 175th anniversary of the founding of Henderson through holding a community dinner.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Elsa Vujnovich and John Newick from Henderson Community Celebration Trust.
9  **Public Forum**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10  **Extraordinary Business**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to respond to requests on transport-related matters, provide an update on the current status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF), provide a summary of consultation material sent to the board and, provide transport related information on matters of specific application and interest to the Henderson-Massey Local Board and its community.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. In particular, this report:
   • Provides updates on the Local Board Transport Fund projects in the Henderson/Massey Local Board Area.
   • Notes consultation information sent to the Board for feedback and details decisions of the Traffic Control Committee as they affect the Board area.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:
a) receive the report entitled Auckland Transport Update to the Henderson-Massey Local Board – July 2019.

Horopaki

Context
3. This report updates the board on Auckland Transport (AT) projects and operations in the local board area, it updates the board on their advocacy and consultations, and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.
4. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. We report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in our Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within the governance of Auckland on behalf of their local communities.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice
5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:
   • be safe
   • not impede network efficiency
   • be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).
6. The Henderson-Massey Local Board’s funding allocation under the LBTCF was $4,623,969 for the current political term. In addition, there is a sum of $1,253,083 which has been approved by Council and is available from 1 July 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henderson Massey Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Available in current political term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Budget left</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Henderson North Zone proposed Residential Speed Management project update – 24 June 2019**

7. The Henderson-Massey Local Board resolved at its December meeting, approving the allocation of up to $1.1 million to the Henderson North Zone proposed Residential Speed Management project. Auckland Transport has awarded the contract to a consultant to carry out the investigation component of this project.

8. Consultation closed on the 25 June. AT will be looking through submissions and aiming to send out responses by mid-July. AT will be booking a workshop with the Local Board towards the end of July to go through the outcome of the consultation, scheme design and rough order of cost for the Local Board to consider.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

9. The impact of information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

**Birdwood and Chamberlain Roads Maintenance Requests**

10. Auckland Transport received a request regarding multiple maintenance issues on Birdwood and Chamberlain Roads, Massey. Auckland Transport has actioned the repairs and safety improvements have been made. The vegetation issue has been referred to AC Parks for action.

**Rebuilt Pedestrian Crossing on Edmonton Road near School Road Issues**

11. Auckland Transport received a request from the Local Board to relook at the design and signage of a new raised crossing.

12. In general terms the intent of these raised crossings, (hey are more aggressive than many of the other humps that have been built around the network in the past), is to bring vehicle speeds down to no more than 30km/h (survivable speeds for a pedestrian being hit). This is in comparison to what was done in the past, where crossings were only designed to encourage compliance with 50kph in urban areas.

13. Auckland Transport has visited the site and noticed vehicles slowing down quite a bit, which in AT’s opinion is very good. There is a bit more work to be done to this area in terms of the signage and markings but the table profile has been built to AT specifications.
Waitakere Lane, Henderson - Between The Library And Unitec Safety Issues
14. A request has come in from the Local Board for Auckland Transport to investigate the safety concerns coming from Trading Place up to the pedestrian crossing between the Library and Unitec.
15. Auckland Transport has investigated the safety issues and are looking at installing a speed hump sign on the uphill approach to this zebra crossing. AT will also have the zebra markings refreshed as they are somewhat worn. Photos are in attachment A of this report.

40km School Speed Sign at Roundabout of Don Buck, Redhill's Roads
17. A request has come through from the Local Board for Auckland Transport to look at the 40km school speed signage at the ending on Red Hills Road.
18. Auckland Transport has identified that the “school zone ends” sign has been rotated (possibly clipped by a truck or similar) and now faces the wrong direction. AT will have this fixed as soon as possible. Photos are in attachment A of this report.

Te Pai Traffic Management Issues
19. A request has come through from the Local Board about the cones and signs outside Douglas Pharmaceuticals on Te Pai Place, stating there is "no right turn" which is confusing drivers as the signs are not in the right place.
20. Auckland Transport has given approval for the traffic management signs until the end of July. Contractor (Dempsey Wood) has been asked by Auckland Transport to review the signage, to lessen the confusion for the traffic approaching Central Park Drive from Te Pai Place.

Local Board Issues Being Investigated
21. The Local board have requested the following issues be investigated. These are still under investigation:
   - Anastasis Coffee Shop on McLeod - parking issues.
   - Walking and Cycling on Don Buck Road – Lack of connections to Ranui and Swanson
   - Royal Road School - safety issues during drop off and pick up times
   - Waimanu Bay Reserve, Te Atatu Peninsula - speeding and antisocial behaviour issues

Consultation documents on proposed improvements
22. Consultation documents for the following proposals have been provided to the Henderson-Massey Local Board for its feedback and are summarised below for information purposes only.
23. After consultation, Auckland Transport considers the feedback received and determines whether to proceed further with the proposal as consulted on or proceed with an amended proposal if changes are considered necessary.
   - Proposed changes on the new roundabout at the intersection of School Road and Vodanovich Road in Te Atatu South
   - Proposal to improve Emergency Services' Access in CIVL Avenue, Te Atatu South
   - Proposal to improve road safety in Central Park Drive, Te Atatu South

Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee (TCC) report
24. Decisions of the TCC during the month of May 2019 affecting the Henderson-Massey Local Board area are listed below.
### Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

**Māori impact statement**

25. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

### Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

**Financial implications**

26. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

### Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

**Risks and mitigations**

27. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

### Ngā koringa ā-muri

**Next steps**

28. Auckland Transport provides the Henderson-Massey Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in the local board area.

### Ngā tāpirihanga

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

### Ngā kaihaina

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Owena Schuster - Elected Members Relationship Manager (Western Boards)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers | Jonathan Anyon - Manager Elected Member Relationship Unit, Auckland Transport  
Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau |
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve the proposed Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc Business Improvement District (BID) Programme boundary establishment map.

2. To approve a funding grant of $55,000 to assist with the costs of the Business Improvement District (BID) establishment costs including the BID ballot.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. The BID programme provides sustainable funding to business associations. These funds are raised by applying a targeted rate to commercial properties within a defined geographic area.

4. The Auckland Council Business Improvement District Policy 2016 (BID Policy 2016) requires the local board to approve any new BID Programme boundary, and recommend to the Governing Body the striking of the targeted rates.

5. The Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc (CPHBA) operates as a voluntary business association. The CPHBA came together in late 2016 as a combination/amalgamation of the two separate business associations Henderson Lincoln Metro and Central Park Business Association which were operating within the business community.

6. In March 2017 the combined business associations worked together on the 2017 BID establishment ballot. The 2017 ballot result did not meet the required voting mandate and was deemed unsuccessful.

7. Following the unsuccessful BID ballot the two associations continued to work together, attracting membership and developing a robust business and communication plan. In 2018 the two associations formally amalgamated to become the CPHBA registering as an incorporated society with the Companies Office.

8. The CPHBA has continued to focus and work towards a BID establishment project, which looks to include the geographic area of Central Park Drive, Lincoln Rd and Henderson business communities.

9. The Central Park Henderson BID Programme will be located within the Henderson Massey Local Board boundary and will involve a postal ballot of business ratepayers and business owners to determine the level of support for the proposed new BID programme.

10. If the ballot is successful, the Central Park Henderson BID programme will represent approximately 2,500 business ratepayers and business owners, with a proposed BID targeted rate of $500,000, as of 1 July 2020.

11. At the CPHBA 2019 special general meeting (SGM) held on 11 April 2019 the proposed BID establishment map (Attachment A) was presented and approved by the CPHBA membership.

12. The CPHBA is seeking a local board grant of $55,000 to assist with the cost of the BID establishment project which includes developing a register of all eligible voters and the cost to undertake the BID postal ballot.
13. In accordance with the council’s BID Policy, the Henderson Massey Local Board must approve the proposed BID establishment map that will identify the new Central Park Henderson BID programme prior to CPHBA undertaking the postal ballot stage of the establishment project.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) approve the Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc proposed Business Improvement District (BID) boundary establishment to include properties identified in a map of the proposed BID boundary as per Attachment A.

b) approve the funding request of $55,000, as a discretionary grant from its Community Response Fund, to assist with the cost of the BID establishment project including the BID ballot.

**Horopaki**

**Context**

14. Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc (CPHBA) has been a registered incorporated business association with the Companies Office since 2018. CPHBA is a combination of the previous Central Park and Henderson Metro Business Associations who came together in late 2016 in support of the 2017 BID establishment project.

15. After the unsuccessful 2016 BID ballot the combined associations undertook a review of the 2016/2017 BID establishment project. A number of learnings were identified in the review and noted for any future BID establishment project.

16. Between March 2017 and 2018 the combined business associations pooled their resources and worked on developing a comprehensive and robust business and communication plan. During this time a vigorous business engagement programme was undertaken to tell the CPHBA story, identify areas of opportunity and build interest in a new business association.

17. In 2018 the new CPHBA Inc was launched and registered with the Companies Office.

18. CPHBA has been focused on building business association membership, developing and implementing their communication plan including the launch and distribution of their new business magazine We St, printing 3000+ copies bi-monthly. As a result, there are now over 200 members of the CPHBA, and this number is continuing to grow.

19. In mid-2018 the CPHBA Board met with the council’s BID Team to discuss revisiting a new BID establishment project taking into account the past learnings. From this discussion the CPHBA focused on identifying business leaders keen to carry the new BID establishment message and strengthening the CPHBA board to ensure there was a strong foundation to lead the new BID project.

20. The CPHBA board met with the BID Team again in February 2019 to work up a BID establishment project plan and establishment project budget (Attachment B) with the aim of implementing a BID ballot in March 2020.

21. The BID Team presented the BID establishment project plan and project budget to the Henderson Massey Local Board workshop meeting on the 26 March 2019 supported by the CPHBA Chair Kelvin Armstrong.

22. CPHBA have worked with the BID Team to identify the proposed Central Park Henderson BID establishment map, signalling and approving the proposed new BID programme boundary map (Attachment A) and BID establishment project at the CPHBA special general meeting (SGM) held on 11 April 2019.
Council decision making

23. Council approves new BID programmes and accompanying BID targeted rate through the annual budget report process.

24. BID targeted rates appear in the local board budget report and these funds are paid, in the form of a BID grant, to the business association for the operation and implementation of their BID Programme.

25. The BID Policy (2016) and Operating Standards states there are two areas of a BID establishment project that require approval by the local board.

26. Under the BID Policy, Local boards (as a whole) have allocated governance and decision-making to:
   - approve BID programme boundary maps
   - approve BID programme boundary establishments

27. According to the BID Policy, the local board must pass a resolution approving the proposed new BID programme map and support for the project.

28. The Local Board must also approve the new BID programme and boundary, and recommend to the Governing Body to strike the BID programme targeted rate.

29. All BID establishment projects require further local board approval after the completion of the BID establishment project, voter engagement and ballot have been completed. This is to ensure the local board has the opportunity to view the final outcome of the completed BID establishment project, review the ballot results, and consider whether the business association has met the criteria for a BID establishment set out in the BID Policy (2016) and Operating Standards.

30. Council staff are seeking the approval from the Henderson Massey Local Board to approve the map (Attachment A) and accompanying funding request (Attachment B) for the Central Park Henderson BID programme establishment project, so that the CPHBA has local board support to proceed with the BID establishment project.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

31. The establishment for the Central Park Henderson BID programme is contained within the Henderson Massey Local Board area (Attachment A).

32. The proposal will mean all business rated properties located within this BID establishment area will be subjected to the Central Park Henderson BID targeted rate.

33. If the BID establishment project is successful GPHBA will have approx. 2,500 BID members. The proposed BID targeted rate will be $500,000 as of 1 July 2020.

34. Council staff are supportive of this BID establishment project and recommend the Henderson Massey Local Board approves the proposed Central Park Henderson BID programme establishment map (Attachment A). There is no reason under the BID Policy (2016) not to support the proposed Central Park Henderson BID establishment map.

BID establishment campaign, consultation and voter engagement

35. The CPHBA will implement a professional and detailed communication plan to all eligible voters starting in mid-2019 and will continue through to the last voting date in March 2020. This is to ensure that all eligible voters have received one-on-one visit or contact, are provided with detailed information on the proposed boundary expansion and given the opportunity to enquire more about the BID programme and ballot process.

36. The Auckland Council BID Policy requires CPHBA to host a minimum of 3 public meetings, inviting eligible voters to an information briefing and question and answer session. This policy requirement will be completed during the BID establishment campaign process.
37. Election Services Ltd has been commissioned to provide an independent polling service for the Central Park Henderson BID establishment ballot. In early 2020 CPHBA will be preparing the ballot pack documents and finalising the business database of eligible voters. This information will be forwarded to Election Services Ltd along with the eligible business ratepayer database prepared by Auckland Council staff.

38. Ballot packs will be lodged with NZ Post. The ballot period must be no less than four weeks and conclude by the end of March 2020. The ballot must achieve a return of voters of 25% or more and 51% of those returned need to be in support of the BID boundary expansion for the ballot to be successful.

39. From late 2019 the CPHBA will begin an extensive voter campaign to ensure voters vote and return their ballots by the due date.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
40. The results of the Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc. BID establishment ballot will determine if there is a sufficient level of support for this BID establishment and associated BID targeted rate.

41. Henderson Massey Local Board has supported the Business Improvement District (BID) approach in the past, as it brings together local businesses collectively to invest in improvements that enhance the local business environment such as delivering better security for business centres. A BID also has the ability to advocate and collaborate with the council on behalf of local businesses.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
42. Māori businesses located within the Central Park Henderson BID establishment boundary area will be included in the ballot process under the same policy regulations as non-Māori businesses. The BID programme may identify opportunities for niche support or development of any Māori business sector in the area.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
43. A funding request to the Henderson Massey Local Board is included as part of this project and report. A request for a grant of $55,000 is being sought to cover the cost of the BID establishment project and BID ballot costs. A budget for the expenditure of these funds is attached (Attachment B).

44. The funding of the Central Park Henderson BID programme and BID targeted rate amount of $500,000 will be gained through a BID targeted rate levied on business zoned property owners. Therefore, this will be cost neutral to Auckland Council and the Henderson Massey Local Board.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
45. There are no foreseen risks relating to the request.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
46. Below is a table showing the reporting path for a BID establishment project and indicates where the project is at within the BID establishment process.
Henderson-Massey Local Board
16 July 2019

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Proposed Central Park Henderson BID establishment map</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Central Park Henderson BID establishment project budget</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire Siddens - BID Partnership Advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alastair Cameron - Manager - CCO Governance &amp; External Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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### Proposed Central Park Henderson Business Association Inc Business Improvement District (BID) Programme Establishment Map.

#### BUDGET 2019/2020

**Central Park Henderson Business Assn.**

**BID Establishment Budget - (Excl. GST)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUGUST</th>
<th>SEPT</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MARCH</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BID Establishment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of BID collateral</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID Ballot Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing of BID collateral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder Engagement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Engagement</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billboards / signage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personnel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Fee</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face to face engagement *</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Updating</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUDGET does not include ancillary costs that will be incurred.

CPHBA will endeavour to undertake these to show commitment to the undertaking.

We see costs in developing a Champions video, magazine articles, area info BBQ's etc.

CPHB Board estimates further costs of $20k to be realistic.

We take this opportunity to thank the HMLB for their ongoing support to Business in our West.

Our Board continues to appreciate our great working relationship.

CPHBA will be the face and voice of this project.
Re-naming of Kelston Community Centre

File No.: CP2019/12839

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve the names gifted by mana whenua for the re-naming of Kelston Community Centre and its community rooms, located at 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. In July 2018, the local board endorsed the Te Kete Rukuruku programme, which provides a best practice framework for naming in partnership with mana whenua.

3. In November 2018, the local board invited mana whenua to gift a Māori name and narrative for the existing community place currently referred to as Kelston Community Centre, and its community rooms.

4. Staff have engaged with mana whenua from Te Kawerau a Maki to progress the gifting of names.

5. Te Kawerau a Maki have gifted a suite of names, which reflect landmarks and lines of sight from the rooms.

6. The local board discussed the gifted names at a workshop in March 2019.

7. Staff recommend that the local board approved the gifted names to replace the existing names for the community buildings and rooms because they celebrate stories, support te reo Māori to be visible and reduce ambiguity around the existing name.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) approve ‘Te Pae o Kura’ for the re-naming of the community place located at 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale currently referred to as Kelston Community Centre.

b) approve the following names for the four community rooms located at 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale:
   i) Room 1: Huia
   ii) Room 2: Hikurangi
   iii) Room 3: Waitematā
   iv) Room 4: Tāmaki.

Horopaki
Context

8. Auckland Council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi and its obligations to Māori are informed in the key strategic planning documents Whiria Te Muka Tangata - The Māori Responsiveness Framework, and Māori Language Policy.
9. In July 2018, the local board endorsed the Te Kete Rukuruku programme, which provides a best practice framework for naming in partnership with mana whenua. It involves the collection and telling of the unique stories of Tāmaki Makaurau and represents a partnership between council and mana whenua.

10. In November 2018, the local board invited mana whenua to gift a Māori name and narrative for the existing community place currently referred to as Kelston Community Centre, and its community rooms (HM/2018/176).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

11. Staff have engaged with mana whenua from Te Kawerau a Maki to progress the gifting of a name for Kelston Community Centre, which has included site visits.

12. The process of re-naming council buildings with names that are gifted by mana whenua supports the local board to celebrate stories of places and support te reo Māori to be visible, spoken and learnt.

13. Te Kawerau a Maki have gifted a suite of names, which reflect landmarks and lines of sight from the rooms.

14. Table 1 outlines the names and narratives gifted by Te Kawerau a Maki for the renaming of Kelston Community Centre and its rooms.

Table 1: Names and narratives gifted by Te Kawerau a Maki

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing name</th>
<th>Name gifted by Te Kawerau a Maki</th>
<th>Te Kawerau a Maki narrative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelston Community Centre</td>
<td>Te Pae o Kura</td>
<td>‘Pae’ means region, direction, vicinity, area and horizon. ‘Kura’ relates to Auckland being called ‘Te Ipu Kura a Maki’ before it was named Tāmaki Makaurau. Therefore, ‘Te Pae o Kura’ refers to the amazing view of Auckland that can be seen from the top of the hill where the community place is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 1 Activity Room</td>
<td>Huia</td>
<td>Te Kawerau a Maki occupied many areas throughout Hikurangi. At Huia, there is still evidence of one of the Pā built by Kowhatukiteuru, who took over the tribe after Te Kawerau a Maki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 2 Activity 1</td>
<td>Hikurangi</td>
<td>Room 2 looks out towards a park and Waitākere. Te Kawerau a Maki have not traditionally used the name Waitākere for the west because the traditional name for the wider West Auckland area is Hikurangi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 3 Committee Room</td>
<td>Waitematā</td>
<td>Room 3 looks out towards the Waitematā Harbour. The name refers to the ‘sparkling waters’ of the harbour. The Waitematā was an important waterway for Te Kawerau a Maki to travel, a source of kaimoana and a location for temporary villages along its banks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 4 Main Hall</td>
<td>Tāmaki</td>
<td>Room 4 looks out towards Auckland Central. Tāmaki is short for Tāmaki Makaurau, which is the current name for the wider Auckland area. The name Tāmaki Makaurau refers to the many battles over possession of the area, which Te Kawerau a Maki, and other Māori tribes, participated in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. Figure 1 shows the layout of the existing rooms, and gifted names.

Figure 1 - Floor plan of 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale

16. The local board commissioned a community consultation report, which noted that Kelston Community Centre is a well-used venue for hire, however there is confusion over which community it serves because it is also located near to Glendene and Sunnyvale.

17. Staff recommend that the local board approve the gifted names to replace the existing names for the community building and rooms, which will reduce ambiguity around the existing geographic reference to Kelston, and support its approach to te reo naming of community places under the Te Kete Rukuruku programme.

18. Staff advise that the signage implementation can proceed independently of any future works at Kelston Community Centre.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

19. The new signage will be implemented by Community Facilities.

20. The Te Kete Rukuruku Programme was developed by Parks, Sports and Recreation and has been endorsed by the local board to be used by Community Places to manage the naming process.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

21. In November 2018, the local board invited mana whenua to gift a Māori name and narrative for the community building and rooms at 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale (HM/2018/176).

22. The renaming of the community building and rooms at 126 Awaroa Road, Sunnyvale supports the delivery of local board plan outcome “community facilities are vibrant and welcoming places at the heart of our communities”.
23. The local board discuss the gifted names at a workshop in March 2019.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

24. The local board invited mana whenua to gift names as part of the Te Kete Rukuruku programme, which promotes the increase of Māori language and stories and enables Māori to see and hear their culture and language being used in their community.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

25. Existing operational budget will be used to fund internal and external signage associated with the naming of the building and rooms.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

26. There is a risk that renaming an existing community facility may cause confusion with users and hirers, which could result in a reduction in community usage, and identity. This will be mitigated with a communications plan to inform customers of the change and to support creating connection to the new names.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

27. Staff will work with Community Facilities to progress the signage implementation.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sharon McGinity - Project Manager Community Places</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Graham Bodman - General Manager Arts, Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To seek local board feedback on the draft Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The draft Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery has been developed to ensure Auckland is better prepared to recover from a disaster.
3. The planning framework set out in the document:
   • Identifies community values and priorities
   • Sets a vision for recovery
   • Focuses on the consequences to be addressed in recovery
   • Focuses on building capacity and capability and addressing barriers
   • Identifies actions to build momentum.
4. It has been developed with local board engagement over 2018 and local board feedback is now sought particularly on:
   • community values
   • community priorities
   • the vision
   • the way we will work in recovery
   • the work to be done to be better prepared for recovery

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:
a) Review and provide feedback on the draft Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery.

Horopaki

Context
5. Following the Christchurch and Kaikoura earthquakes the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 was amended, and new guidelines were issued requiring better preparation for, and implementation of, recovery from a disaster.
6. Auckland Emergency Management began development of the Resilient Recovery Strategy to ensure Auckland is better prepared. This included:
   • workshops on recovery with local boards between 24 May and 12 July 2018
   • reporting back on the workshops in September 2018
   • presentations to Local Board Cluster Meetings in March and November 2018
   • updating local boards on the development of the Resilient Recovery Strategy in November 2018 and advising that a draft would go the Civil Defence Emergency Management Group Committee in February 2019.

7. At the beginning of this year the Resilient Recovery Strategy was renamed ‘Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework to Recovery’ (refer Attachment A) as it better described the document’s intent and contents.

8. The Civil Defence Emergency Management Group Committee approved the draft Pathways document for targeted engagement in February 2019.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice


10. The Pathways document is structured around this process as illustrated in the components of Figure 1 in the Pathways document (page 3):
   i) Identifying community values and priorities
      The planning framework set out in the Pathways document is described as community centric. Community values and priorities guide us in our preparations enabling recovery to be set up and implemented in a way that helps to meet community needs and aspirations.
      An initial set of community values and priorities was derived from workshops with local boards and advisory panels. They will be refined through community engagement as a part of actions to build a better understanding of recovery.
   
   ii) Setting the recovery vision
      The Pathways document sets the vision whereby “Auckland’s people, communities, businesses and infrastructure are well-placed to recover from a disaster.”
      Being well placed means being well-prepared.
   
   iii) Anticipation of consequences and opportunities of Auckland hazards and risks
      Anticipating potential consequences and opportunities from the impacts of Auckland’s hazards and risks provides insight into what might be required of a recovery.
      Auckland’s hazards and risks are identified in our Group Plan and some are the focus of the Natural Hazards Risk Management Action Plan. Building on previous work is part of the work programme resulting from the planning framework under the Pathways document.
   
   iv) Building capacity and capability, addressing barriers to recovery
      Another way in which the planning framework is community centric is in the way we will work in a recovery. Taking a collaborative, partnership approach means structuring and implementing recovery in a way that maintains its focus on community outcomes.
A significant recovery will require ‘big government’ structures and processes to effectively mobilise resources and coordinate large scale effort. Such approaches can seem remote from local communities. Effort is required to ensure good communication and community engagement are effectively maintained.

v) Identifying actions to build momentum

Another significant focus is the work we need to do to be better prepared. There are 43 actions identified under 5 focus areas: Recovery is communicated, Recovery is understood, Capacity and Capability is available, Collaboration is supported, and progress is monitored and evaluated.

The actions will form a work programme to be implemented in the lead up to the review of the Auckland Civil Defence Emergency Management Group Plan which is due by October 2021 unless delayed by events.

11. Against this background comments and views on the Pathways to Preparation: A Planning Framework for Recovery strategy is particularly required on:

- community values
- community priorities
- the vision
- the way we will work in recovery
- the work to be done to be better prepared for recovery

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

12. Many parts of the Auckland Council group potentially become involved in responding to a disaster and subsequent recovery. The planning framework in the Pathway’s document seeks to provide clarity about what will be required to support effective collaboration across the Council group in recovery.

13. Views from across the Council group are being sought during targeted engagement through June and July 2019.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

14. Auckland’s hazards and risks may give rise to events with local, sub-regional or region-wide impacts. Their consequences will be influenced by the circumstances of the time and place in which the event took place.

15. Local board views on their community’s values and priorities are important in determining the way we will work together collaboratively in recovering from a disaster.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

16. Recovery addresses the consequences of an emergency and their impacts across the natural, social, built and economic environments. The goals, objectives and execution of recovery holds implications for iwi, environmental guardianship, Māori communities (iwi, hapu and mataawaka), marae, assets and the Māori economy.

17. Building relationships amongst Auckland’s Māori communities to develop a deeper understanding of our potential collaboration across reduction, readiness, response, resilience and recovery is a goal of Auckland Emergency Management. It is also part of the workplan arising from the planning framework set out in the Pathways document.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
18. There are no financial implications arising out of this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
19. Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for and the work programme it will establish are intended to address the risk of Auckland being unprepared to recover from a disaster.

20. Recovering from a disaster is complex, lengthy and costly. An absence or lack of preparation can:
   - delay commencement of recovery efforts and lengthen the time taken to complete recovery
   - inhibit multiagency collaboration
   - lead to increased costs, disruption and distress for affected communities and individuals.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
21. Local board feedback will be collated and considered for reporting to the Civil Defence Emergency Management Committee and incorporation into the final iteration of the Pathways document.


Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
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<td>Authorisers</td>
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Draft

Pathways to Preparedness:
A Planning Framework for Recovery

February 2019
Introduction

How Auckland might recover from a disaster\(^1\) is important.

Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery (the Framework) sets the scene for recovery, provides direction based on community values and principles, outlines our approach to recovery and identifies actions to build momentum on improving our preparedness to recover from a disaster.

A detailed recovery work programme will be developed to deliver on these actions across Auckland Council group and with our partners.

The process we followed

In the wake of lessons learned from Christchurch’s unanticipated, catastrophic earthquakes the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 was amended to make greater provision for recovery. Among other things, the amendments require strategic planning to be undertaken to prepare for recovery before disaster strikes. The Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management issued guidelines stepping out how this can best be done.

We followed this process to:

- identify an initial set of community values and priorities to inform our planning.
- set our recovery vision
- anticipate the consequences and opportunities of Auckland’s hazards and risks
- focus on building capacity and capability; and addressing barriers to recovery
- identify actions to build momentum.

\(^1\) ‘Disaster’ in the Recovery Framework is defined as an emergency (under section 4 of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002) event that requires a recovery.
Figure 1. Pathways to Preparedness
Community Values and Priorities

The Framework takes a community-centric approach, recognising the significant challenges confronting all recovery efforts (from relatively localised events to large-scale disasters).

Community wellbeing is the focus of recovery. In the aftermath of a significant event, individuals and communities will want to get things moving back to normality as quickly as possible. They will also want to see how we keep community at the heart of any recovery effort.

Understanding community values and priorities provides guidance on what will be important to communities, as a basis for pre-event planning and preparations for recovery. They indicate preferences for community involvement and the things communities hold dear. For example, decision-making underestimated the value, the people of Christchurch attached to their built heritage, meaning the pace, manner and extent of demolition caused great upset. Through understanding community values and priorities, we are better able to ensure appropriate decision-making and priority setting processes, and opportunities for participation.

Identifying community values and priorities

Auckland Emergency Management has worked with Auckland Council’s local boards and Auckland Council’s demographic Advisory Panels (Seniors, Ethnic Peoples, Pacific Peoples, Disability, Youth and Rainbow Communities). Our discussions have highlighted some key values and priorities that will be consulted on across Auckland communities.

Strong themes centred on retention of heritage in the natural built and cultural context. The need for local knowledge, leadership, partnerships and voice. Communication and connection was a common theme in the discussions. It was felt that multiple avenues for communicating was a high priority and suggestions for connecting across diversity, hard to reach communities and leveraging traditional and digital media would need to be sought.

The importance of getting key infrastructure such as hospitals, lifelines utilities and social and community infrastructure up and running fast was also identified. Personal safety was also highlighted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identity, Diversity and Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence, Resilience and Self Reliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community, Connection and Culture, Heritage, Amenity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Knowledge, Leadership, Partnership and Voice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Priorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical and Social Connections, Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling Local Input, Lifelines and Key Infrastructure, Economic Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety, Health and Personal Wellbeing (including our pets)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security and Personal Property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our Recovery Vision

Auckland’s people, communities, businesses and infrastructure are well-placed to recovery from a disaster.

Recovery

Recovery means “the coordinated efforts and processes used to bring to about the immediate, medium-term, and long-term holistic regeneration and enhancement of a community following an emergency.” Correspondingly, recovery activities deal with the consequences of an emergency. An emergency is when something happens which causes or may cause loss of life or injury, or endangers public safety or property that:

- cannot be dealt with emergency services or
- requires a significant and coordinated response.

The definition of an emergency refers to the likes of earthquakes, tsunami, tornado, plague and floods as well as the leakage or spillage of dangerous substances or failure of or disruption to an emergency service or lifeline utility. For convenience and brevity, we use ‘disaster’ to mean and emergency event that requires a recovery.

The essential issue of recovery is that, what has been built up over many decades through private and publicly funded development, individual, family and civic effort can be destroyed or damaged all at once, needing to be regenerated within a comparatively short period of time. Resulting disruption to businesses, housing, infrastructure networks, facilities and amenities impact on daily life and living standards, potentially for some time.

Recovery is complex and takes time. Recovery initially faces high levels of uncertainty, as the situation evolves. Time required for recovery to be completed can challenge people’s expectations and aspirations. They may feel like their life is on hold.

Preparations for recovery under this Framework aim to respond to and be fit for purpose for any scale of event. For example, depending on its scale, Auckland Council may have to reprioritise its activities to support a recovery.

What does Well-placed mean?

An underlying theme of recovery and its essential problem is complexity. Well-placed means being well prepared.

Lessons have been learnt from recent large events such as the Christchurch earthquakes and Kaikoura earthquakes. Intentionally preparing for recovery rather than leaving matters to chance or orchestrating recovery on the fly, greatly increases the prospects of more effective recovery – that is:

- the early commencement of organised recovery activities

---

3 Adapted from definitions in the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002.
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- with a clear sense of purpose
- supported by participants and affected communities.

Achieving a successful start to a recovery requires a shared understanding of what a recovery is; what needs to be done (at least initially), and access to funding and resources. This in turn requires clear roles and responsibilities supporting cooperation and collaboration across many organisations and people, across many work streams. At a more detailed level it requires:

- clear, well understood processes for the transition to recovery
- assessing people’s needs and the damage to buildings and infrastructure
- procuring, allocating and managing resources
- managing the delivery of services and implementation of activities and projects.

Reinstatement, regeneration or enhancement?

Ultimately questions arise as to how ambitious or achievable recovery should be.

‘Build Back Better’ is a term arising out of the fourth priority for action (of 4) – “Enhancing disaster preparedness for effective response and to build back better in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction”, of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction endorsed by the United Nations.

“Over the years there has been an appreciation that reconstruction is an opportunity to build back better. Today recovery is defined as the restoration and improvement of facilities, livelihoods and living conditions of disaster-affected communities, including efforts to reduce disaster risk factors,” and is reflected in the definitions for recovery and recovery in the Civil Defence Emergency Act 2002.

What this means in practice can be very difficult. What was lost may not be able to be replaced exactly, the values of assets written down, insurance may only cover what previously existed in its then condition and regulations may impose their own requirements.

Responsible and cost-effective rehabilitation of a community does not guarantee a community will be restored to its original state. However, there may be opportunities to enable communities to improve on previous conditions. Through taking a broad, flexible or innovative view, enhancements may include new behaviours increased personal or community resilience, application or urban design and or universal design principles rather or improved structures or upsized infrastructure.

---

4 UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction, 14-18 March 2015, Sendai, Japan.
Understanding consequences and opportunities

New Zealand and international experience demonstrates the advantages of pre-event planning and preparation over leaving it to chance or having to orchestrate a recovery on the fly.

Pre-event planning and preparation for recovery is supported by analysis of the likely impacts and consequences of emergency events. The potential hazard and its impacts interact with the circumstances existing at the time and in the area the emergency event takes place. Further community values and priorities form part of and inform these circumstances. Understanding the impacts and circumstances, and their interaction in time and place is integral to planning for recovery. Scenario planning and running scenario-based exercises can assist greatly in this area.

This approach helps identify critical factors to an effective recovery, opportunities to improve community resilience and where possible, mitigate existing and identified hazards and risks. Through working with communities, we can prioritise areas of vulnerability while leveraging and supporting continued resilience within recovery.

![Diagram](attachment:1.png)

*Figure 2  Anticipating what recovery may have to address.*

The Auckland CDEM Group’s Plan ‘Resilient Auckland’ identifies several hazards and risks to the Auckland region, including natural events (such as volcanic eruption, severe weather events, tsunami, and coastal inundation) and infrastructure and lifeline utility failures (such as disruption to electricity, water, and transport networks).

When planning for impacts of hazards and risks, consideration needs to be given to the four recovery environments – social, built, economic and natural.

Auckland faces unique challenges - super diversity, rural and urban contexts, housing supply, homelessness, aging infrastructure and high rates of growth and development, which are key considerations for a potential disaster and ongoing recovery effort.

Emergencies and their consequences can be localised, affecting an area within a single local board’s boundaries or of wider impact, affecting an area that is part of multiple local boards, or the entire region.

Some emergencies may involve a series of cascading events, each of which may require different, but complimentary recovery activities. For example, a volcanic eruption in the north...
of the Auckland Volcanic Field may cause evacuations and damage on the North Shore, but ashfall may progressively damage wastewater treatment networks that eventually leads to region-wide lifeline utility failures. The context of a recovery can be extremely dynamic.

It should be noted however, there are limitations to the extent to which impacts of hazards and circumstances can be fully anticipated. Work to better understand Auckland’s hazards and risks and their impacts is part of Auckland Emergency Management’s ongoing work programme.
Building capacity and capability, and addressing barriers

Auckland Emergency Management and the Auckland CDEM Group are particularly focused on building capacity and capability for recovery and to addressing barriers that may inhibit or obstruct effective recovery.

The Framework takes a broad view to shaping the way we will work in recovery and enabling the work we will do recovery, informed by the community values and priorities.

The way we work – a partnership approach

Auckland Emergency Management and the CDEM Group takes a partnership approach, seeking the best of organic forms, supportive of community action and emerging solutions, and highly structured, institutional / governmental forms to provide coordination and operate at scale. This will enable Auckland Emergency Management, Auckland Council and our partners to deliver a more effective and coordinated recovery informed by community values and priorities.

The partnership approach recognises and respects diversity to ensure recovery is inclusive and provides opportunities for community participation. It is implemented through:

- prioritising the wellbeing of individuals, families and communities and their recovery
- restoring and/or improving the function of infrastructure, structures, physical networks and urban fabric that support communities
- enabling the restoration and/or regeneration of natural environments and their habitats and ecosystems
- supporting the interactions between businesses, business people, employees, resources and assets, and the commerce and trade generated in the economic environment.

The partnership approach identifies scalable, flexible and adaptable coordinating structures, aligned to key roles and responsibilities. It is a mechanism to link local and central government, the private sector and non-government (NGO) and community organisations that play a vital role in recovery. For example – the larger the scale of a recovery the more likely it will orient towards government structures and processes. This raises potential for flexibility, innovation and empowering the recovery of individuals to be unintentionally inhibited.

This approach builds on the work of Auckland’s CDEM Group / Auckland Emergency Management across the 5 R’s – reduction, readiness, response, recovery and resilience, our focus on communities and strengthening resilience and the strengths of the Auckland Council group and its partners. It provides opportunities for communities of practice to be activated, and guides and champions in the community to play a role informing and supporting the recovery effort assisting their communities.

Building upon existing partnerships the approach will also work across wider groups to embrace new formal and informal partnerships.
The way we work – collaborating across formal and informal partnerships

Auckland Emergency Management provides the specialist roles serving Auckland Council’s civil defence function under the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 and would lead the initial stages of recovery.


Auckland Council’s governing body has delegated responsibility to the Civil Defence Emergency Management Committee as the decision maker for the Group.

Auckland Emergency Management and the CDEM Group works closely and collaboratively with many stakeholders. For example, the Auckland Welfare Coordination Group is made up of 26-member agencies active in response. Many of these emergency services, social and health service and non-governmental organisations will also support recovery.

Auckland Emergency Management engages Auckland Council’s local boards across the pre-event recovery work programme and will work closely with local boards when undertaking a recovery in their area or areas.

Auckland Emergency Management will further develop its relationships across the emergency management sector and its communities through the implementation of this Framework. Developing and building relationships with Auckland’s iwi and mataawaaka is a particular focus and a priority.

The work we do – addressing barriers to recovery

Recovery gives rise to a range of inherent challenges and issues, as multiple activities are delivered simultaneously across workstreams addressing recovery in the natural, social, built and economic environments.

Through the development of this Framework, engagement with the Ministry of Civil Defence Emergency Management, recovery literature and our engagement with our partners we have identified five focus areas to assist in preparing for recovery. They direct activity towards what is crucial to recovery or address barriers to recovery in Auckland. Focusing on effective recovery the five areas seek to ensure:

- capacity and capability is available
- collaboration is supported
- recovery is communicated
- recovery is understood
- monitoring and evaluation.
Pathways to Preparedness: A Planning Framework for Recovery

![Diagram of Five Focus Areas]

Figure 3 – Five Focus Areas

It is recognised that effective recovery requires supporting work programmes in addition to implementation of the Framework, such as:

- refining Standard Operating Procedures for recovery
- implementing the readiness work programme of the Incident Management Team
- incorporating and learning from international and New Zealand recovery efforts
- supporting the development of emergency management recovery networks, like the Northern Recovery Managers Group.
Actions to build momentum

The following section outlines high-level, short to medium-term actions. They respond to the set of initial community values and priorities outlined earlier and are directed towards the five focus areas.

They will drive the recovery work programme across the breadth of preparation, relationship building and communication. Delivering on the identified actions will progress us towards achieving the longer-term vision, and that progress will be monitored and evaluated.

Auckland Emergency Management will develop a prioritised work programme to deliver on the identified actions. Our Civil Defence Emergency Management partners will be involved along the way to ensure inter-agency operability is maintained, operational needs are assured and to affirm our shared understanding.

Initially focused within Auckland Emergency Management, a whole-of-council approach to implementing the work programme will involve Auckland Council group first, and then our partners, before expanding outwards engaging additional partners and reaching out into the community.
### Auckland's diversity

Auckland hosts a rich and diverse population by age, gender, religion, sexuality, nationality and culture. This is a strength of Auckland while also meaning specific needs might present themselves in a recovery.

Achieving effective recovery will require the flexibility to ensure recovery works for all Aucklanders and their communities.

Communication, understanding recovery, and being able to engage and participate may be challenging for some communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivate improved cultural awareness to be able to understand specific concerns, to enable them to be addressed.</td>
<td>Access and tap into resources across the Auckland Council group and externally to better communicate and engage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage the potential of Auckland Council’s demographic Advisory Panels – Seniors, Ethnic Peoples, Pacific Peoples, Disability, Youth and Rainbow Communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building a better understanding of Recovery

Understandably, recovery is not well understood.

It has a limited profile beyond the CDEM sector and people with personal knowledge.

The current level of understanding is a barrier to people’s ability to anticipate and prepare in advance of an emergency event.

Auckland hosts a rich and diverse population by age, gender, religion, sexuality, nationality and culture. This is a strength of Auckland while also meaning specific needs might present themselves in a recovery.

Achieving effective recovery will require the flexibility to ensure recovery works for all Aucklanders and their communities.

Communication, understanding recovery, and being able to engage and participate may be challenging in some communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop a ‘Recovery story’ supported by key messages and education materials (translated in different languages).</td>
<td>Leverage opportunities to raise the profile and discuss recovery with new audiences through the CDEM Group, Auckland Council group, partners and communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Auckland Emergency Management’s education and outreach programme across the five R’s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivate improved cultural awareness to be able to understand specific concerns, to enable them to be addressed.</td>
<td>Access and tap into resources across the Auckland Council group and externally to better communicate and engage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage the potential of Auckland Council’s demographic Advisory Panels – Seniors, Ethnic Peoples, Pacific Peoples, Disability, Youth and Rainbow Communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Managing Expectations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery is communicated Opportunities</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The disruption to daily life and routines can be sudden and significant. Previously routine tasks become complicated and can subject to repeated change. The level of upset can be exacerbated by ongoing change due to recovery activities or weather changes. Previous plans go on hold. Change of this magnitude can be disempowering and a source of frustration and distress for many. Everyone is eager to return to something that resembles what was normal before the event, as soon as possible. The nature of the event, its impacts and the scale of the recovery effort required inform the type and extent of recovery efforts required.</td>
<td>Clear and consistent communication is critical to maintaining trust in the community. Strike a balance between ambition and achievability in planning and preparations for recovery / in a recovery. Leverage creativity, community spirit and participation in a recovery to promote solutions and assist in the recovery effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity and capability is available</td>
<td>Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy / Local Economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruption can bring business, trade and commerce to a standstill. Orders and commitments may not be met, and employees may have not work. Everybody suffers hardships without cash flow or access to money to access necessities. Disrupted supply lines may need to be restored. Distinctions between rural and urban local economies are also important. For example, seasonal activities may have needs or requirements with potential consequences for production over an extended period.</td>
<td>Investigate opportunities and mechanisms for local sourcing/procurement of goods and services during a recovery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding and resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing capital and social investment, restoring natural ecosystems and regenerating the environments that support social and economic well-being requires significant funding. The commitment of financial and human resources to prioritise recovery activities is also significant. Accessing needed skills and expertise can be additional challenges. Sustaining a recovery, prudent financial management, appropriate project management, while maintaining a focus delivering on the desired outcomes is complex in a pressured environment. Recovery from smaller events can seem disproportionately large, while major and significant events present hurdles that are magnitudes greater. The longer recovery continues the greater the pressure on resources as demand to deliver disrupted projects and work programmes builds. This can pose particular challenges where the event and recovery are limited to a part of the region.</td>
<td>Building shared organisational understanding of what recovery may involve across Auckland Council group. CDEM group, Task Groups, and progressively, with Auckland’s communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent experience of response and recovery from disasters has benefited from the participation, support and leadership of mana whenua and local iwi at all levels – from delivering services to decision making.</td>
<td>Develop a shared understanding of recovery within Auckland Emergency Management’s wider engagement with mana whenua and mataawaka. Build on the opportunities for collaboration to cultivate leadership, participation and outcomes for Māori.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Pre-existing issues

Any existing issues at the time of an event will be magnified in their effect and consequence.

Housing is under pressure in Auckland, with elevated house prices and rental costs, homelessness and high demand for social housing and refuge. Emergency accommodation will be a challenge in these circumstances.

Peoples health conditions, disabilities, or personal circumstances may make them especially vulnerable to sudden change and disruption to their environment.

Transport bottlenecks or previously known weakness in a network may have a pronounced effect in a particular event.

## Psychosocial recovery

International and more recent experiences in New Zealand has raised awareness of the way that emergency events can have very different impacts on people.

Some may be unscathed, and others impacted to varying degrees. Impacts may only become apparent after the passage of time.

A person individual circumstances can make it more difficult to cope with ongoing disruption and change, to make decisions and to support others.

Equally, individual recovery from such impacts takes time and is non-linear or continuous, with many ‘ups’ and ‘downs’ possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Environmental scanning to maintain general awareness of issues and challenges facing Auckland across the four recovery environments. | Maintain engagement with partners and stakeholders and leverage opportunities to gather information and intelligence:  
- in recovery planning and preparations  
- through the duration of recovery. |
| Access expertise, knowledge available, information and advice through the membership of the task groups established to support recovery after an event (see below). |  
- Ensuring people involved in recovery maintain an awareness of the complexities of psychosocial recovery that individuals may be going through.  
- Sharing best practice amongst experienced practitioners with and amongst front-line staff.  
- Apply case management and debriefing principles.  
- Psychosocial first aid training or other for all people in contact roles.  
- Awareness raising of the psychosocial impacts on responding agencies and staff and the putting in place of support mechanisms. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Groups</th>
<th>Capacity and capability is available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Groups are established to provide advice and assistance for each of the natural, social, built and economic environments. Each Task Group has a Terms of Reference, setting out its functions, roles and responsibilities. Task Groups may also comprise sub-task groups. Potential members are practitioners, experts or leaders in their field whose knowledge would benefit a recovery. They are generally busy people, which can be a barrier to maintaining Task Groups, keeping informed and abreast of best practice in recovery. Further, the membership of Task Groups needs to reflect the nature and scale of the task for each event.</td>
<td>Establishing a ‘pool’ of potential Task Group members to ensure readiness and the ability to scale a recovery proportionate to the nature of the disaster. The pool for each recovery environment may be comprised of both: • a core membership comprised of people within the wider Auckland Council group/ emergency sector • a wider membership of people who might only be called upon if the event demands it. Core members would be more involved with up to 4 meetings/exercises a year. Wider group members would be less involved, though steps taken to ensure relationships and awareness is maintained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The intensity and pressure of a response is very demanding. People in lead roles in response can be expected to be exhausted. Although the same agencies may have lead roles/key roles, they will need to identify specific staffing to support the recovery effort.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Collaboration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Effective recovery requires high levels of coordination and collaboration, with everyone actively participating. Achieving this level of collaboration is supported by:  
  - strong institutional and personal relationships  
  - clear roles and responsibilities  
  - a shared understanding of what is to be achieved in a recovery  
  - effective support systems and communication. | Develop guidelines setting out the process, considerations, information/intelligence required and potential sources to assist in considering whether a recovery process needs to be activated - incorporate key elements into Standard Operating Procedures, with thresholds.  
  
  Share Standard Operating Procedures, plans and recovery documentation (and subsequent updates) with partners as appropriate.  
  
  Build and maintain institutional and personal relationships amongst key agencies.  
  
  Clarifying agreed roles and responsibilities amongst leading partners and key agencies.  
  
  Formalise arrangements, roles, responsibilities in key areas through developing protocols, memorandum of understanding or similar.  
  (Key areas = support delivery of a critical service or critical resources or arrangements important in every recovery) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring and evaluation</th>
<th>Development of a monitoring and evaluation framework for recovery able to be applied to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The response to, and recovery from an event are frequently reviewed to identify what went well/not so well and improvements to future practice.</td>
<td>• provide insight into the relevance of high-level independent metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation are integral to programme management and the development of best practice.</td>
<td>• track the extent of progress towards achievement of the Framework’s vision for recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels of disruption or distance from previous norms are readily identifiable from common high-level metrics, such as regional GDP or the unemployment rate. Comparisons of these types of metrics (when available) lend themselves to debates on the progress or success of recovery from a significant event. These types of metrics are important and produced methodically by agencies external to a recovery.</td>
<td>• progress towards completing items on the recovery work programme (generated from the Framework’s actions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More particularly, indicators need to be identified to be able to track progress towards fulfilling the vision and objectives for recovery. Similarly, indicators are required to provide information on the extent to which the principles are being applied.</td>
<td>• provide insight into the overall efficacy of pre-event planning and preparations for recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators are also required to track progress on the tasks/actions identified in Recovery Action Plans, formulated after an event.</td>
<td>• track progress towards the completion of actions and tasks under a Recovery Action Plan formulated for the recovery from an emergency event</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| | • provide insight into the overall efficacy of actions and tasks under a Recovery Action Plan formulated to address the consequences in a disaster.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To present the Henderson-Massey Local Board with its updated governance forward work programme calendar (the calendar).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The calendar for the Henderson-Massey Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The calendar is part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the governance forward work programme calendar for July 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance forward work programme calendar - July 2019</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Busola Martins - Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Henderson-Massey Governance Forward Work Calendar – July 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2019</td>
<td>GFR: minimum service levels</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Animal Management Bylaw</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Resilient Recovery Strategy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update report</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panuku 6 monthly report</td>
<td>Accountability to the public</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Last business meeting report</td>
<td>Local decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Golf Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Last business meeting report</td>
<td>Local decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First business meeting in the 2019 – 2022 electoral term</td>
<td>First business meeting report</td>
<td>Local decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmation of workshop records

File No.: CP2019/12516

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. This report presents records of workshops held by the Henderson-Massey Local Board on:
   - 11 June 2019
   - 18 June 2019
   - 25 June 2019

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary guidance
2. At the workshop held on Tuesday, 11 June 2019, the Henderson-Massey Local Board had briefings on:
   - Board Administration
   - Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Hoani Waititi - today and moving forward
   - Henderson-Massey Quick Response Round Three 2018/2019
   - Project Twin Streams Review and Background
3. At the workshop held on Tuesday, 18 June 2019, the Henderson-Massey Local Board had briefings on:
   - Board administration
   - Sport Waitakere
   - Community Facilities Update
   - Panuku Update
   - Auckland Transport Update
   - Pilot activation planning tool for Henderson-Massey Local Board area
4. At the workshop held on Tuesday, 25 June 2019, the Henderson-Massey Local Board had briefings on:
   - Board administration
   - Animal Management Bylaw
   - Connections Plan
   - Kelston Community centre comprehensive renewal
Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the records of workshops held on:

   i) 11 June 2019
   ii) 18 June 2019
   iii) 25 June 2019

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Henderson-Massey Loca Board Workshop Record - 11 June 2019 - 25 June 2019</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Busola Martins - Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday 11 June 2019, commencing at 10:00 am

## PRESENT

- **Deputy Chairperson:** Peter Chan (Presided over this workshop)
- **Members:**
  - Brenda Brady
  - Paula Bold-Wilson
  - Matt Grey (From 10:38 am; Item 2)
  - Warren Flaunti (Left at 11.50 am; Item 2)

## Apologies

- Vanessa Neeson, Shane Henderson (Chairperson), Will Flavell

## Also present:

- Busola Martins, Wendy Kjestrup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Board Administration</strong></td>
<td>- Staff discussed upcoming events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Hoani Waititi - today and moving forward</strong></td>
<td>- Hare Rua gave a verbal update on the activities of Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Hoani Waititi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hare Rua</td>
<td>- He thanked the Henderson-Massey local board for their support on the work they do and look forward to more partnerships with the Henderson-Massey local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Shin</td>
<td>- Formal decision will be at the 18 June business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Twin Streams Review and Background</strong></td>
<td>- Staff and Community Think who are running a review gave a background to what Project Twin Streams (PTS) is and its origins and discussed the approach to the review. The board went on a site visit to an area in the PTS project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Smith</td>
<td>- The board gave their priority themes for PTS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Tsao</td>
<td>- Realistic targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josh Grigg</td>
<td>- Values - people focused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ensure ongoing community involvement/ownership/responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Holistic approach to kaitiakitanga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Use local groups perspectives and knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Focus on wider ecosystem view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|              | - Draft recommendations will be coming to the board in September.
The workshop concluded at 2.30 pm.
Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday 18 June 2019, commencing at 10.40 am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Shane Henderson
Members: Brenda Brady
         Paula Bold-Wilson
         Peter Chan
         Will Flavell (From 1.30 pm; Item 4)
         Warren Flaunty
         Matt Grey
         Vanessa Neeson

Also present: Busola Martins, Wendy Kjestrup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Administration</td>
<td>- Staff discussed upcoming events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharlene Riley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Waitakere</td>
<td>- Staff discussed the activities of Sport Waitakere for the 19/20 FY and their relationship with the Henderson-Massey Local Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Harris</td>
<td>- Some of their activities include boot camps, yoga, Zumba,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Staff gave recommendations on the local board’s funding agreement with Sport Waitakere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Local board’s funding is $40,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Staff is unable to unpack what the Henderson-Massey LB funding was used for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Council staff will be meeting SW staff to discuss Council’s expectations for the 20/21 financial year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities Update</td>
<td>- Staff provided site analysis and concept options for Bridge Avenue location for Te Waka Ama boat launch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Walen</td>
<td>- Recommended options were:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Quinn</td>
<td>- Do nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cranfield</td>
<td>- Use other sites such as Cove Park, Archibald.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Kunzendorf</td>
<td>- Partner with Te Atatu Boat Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Biffin</td>
<td>- Keep the Whau alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Jennings</td>
<td>- Move Te Whau alignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Graham</td>
<td>- Staff discussed Lloyd Morgan Lions Club Park and Te Atatu South Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The development of concept designs for Lloyd Morgan Lions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christoph Soltau Kim Loose Damian Powley</td>
<td>Club Park and Te Atatū South Park were approved as part of the Henderson-Massey Local Board Community Facilities work programme for the 2018/19 financial year. - The Henderson-Massey Local Board outlined key outcomes for each park, and these have been the basis for developing each concept plan, along with input through the Te Atatū South Plan process. - Community and stakeholder engagement, and initial discussions with Te Kawerau ā Maki were undertaken in parallel with the Te Atatū Centre Plan process. - Next steps include:  - Undertake developed and detail designs for prioritised elements,  - Local board workshop on designs, estimated cost, and timeline.  - Staff also discussed access and way finding for the Te Atatū South Park &amp; Neville Power Memorial Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4 Panuku Update Niko Elsen</td>
<td>Staff discussed Oratia route connecting at north behind Westwave to Henderson Creek Path. - Less long-stay parking occurring in the West Wave Car Park. - Buildings are designed to support low carbon. - Buildings are lifted 2m higher to avoid flooding. - Panuku is currently in exclusive negotiations with a preferred bidder for the C40 Competition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 5 Auckland Transport Update Owen Schuster</td>
<td>Staff discussed upcoming business meeting report to the 18th June business meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Item 6 Pilot activation planning tool for Henderson-Massey Local Board area Julie Roulston Peter Caccioppoli | Staff provided information on a pilot activation planning tool being developed for the local board area. - Parks, Sports and Recreation (PSR) are in the early stages of developing pilot activation planning tools for four local board areas. - The planning tool serves to avoid duplication, identify opportunities and assist staff, local boards and the regional sports trusts/local delivery partners to build on our collective strengths. - The tool is centred around a live map of opportunities for people to be active in each local board area. - It includes a concise supplementary information about national, regional and local groups involved, and relevant plans and strategies. - The tool is focussed on service delivery. - The development of the plans is regionally funded, there is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No cost to local boards.</td>
<td>- An output from each tool is a set of recommendations for the local board for FY 2019-2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Next steps:</strong></td>
<td>PSR aims to have the four pilot plans ready by the end of June.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 7</td>
<td>Staff discussed upcoming business meeting reports at the 18th June Henderson-Massey LB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wendy Kjestrup

The workshop concluded at 3.00 pm.
Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday 25 June 2019, commencing at 11:30 am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Shane Henderson
Members: Brenda Brady
          Peter Chan
          Warren Flautny
          Matt Grey
          Vanessa Neeson

Apologies: Paula Bold-Wilson, Will Flavell

Also present: Busola Martins, Wendy Kjestrup
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>- Staff presented upcoming events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Administration</td>
<td>- Members are reminded to update their declaration of interests online.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td>- Members discussed a direct funding request that had been informally taken to the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharlene Riley</td>
<td>- Members recommend that the funding request go through the community response fund or contestable fund - for transparency and fairness of all funding applicants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>- Staff presented the Animal Management Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Management Bylaw</td>
<td>- This bylaw does not cover dogs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maclean Grindell</td>
<td>- The purpose of the bylaw is to provide for the ownership of animals in a way that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Hickey</td>
<td>i. protects the public from animal nuisance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. maintains and promotes public health and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. minimises the potential for offensive behavior in public places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iv. manages animals in public places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 66% of Aucklanders own an animal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Aucklanders currently report animal nuisances to Auckland Council. These include wandering animals, animals defecating in vegetable gardens, crowing roosters and neighbours feeding wild birds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Cats are the most reported nuisance in the Henderson-Massey local board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Council is not generally responsible to animals on Aucklanders’ property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Current animal controls include bees and horses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Current restrictions on bees include restriction to rural areas, urban keepers require a licence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Members indicated support toward the proposed controls in the draft Animal Management Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- There is also a restriction on number of hives in urban areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Members recommend a cat curfew like the one in Brisbane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Members indicated general support around that cats should have more control than is currently available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Next steps after this workshop is to get a forma report to the local board by September 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>- Staff presented the work on the Connections Plan to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connections Plan</td>
<td>- A number of meetings have taken place outside Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Hodder</td>
<td>with key stakeholders. The stakeholders ranged from Bike Te Atatū, to Auckland Transport and Auckland Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 114 submissions were made online, with 76% of online feedback being in support of the connections plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Feedback from the public engagements were developed into themes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Using the feedback from the key stakeholders, a draft connections plan was made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The objective of the connection plan is to connect key destinations in the Henderson-Massey local board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Elected members gave feedback into the proposed draft plan and the following key routes and connections:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. Massey &amp; Westgate focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Royal Heights &amp; West Harbour focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Te Atatū Peninsula focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iv. Birdwood, Ranui, Sturges and Western Heights focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v. Lincoln focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vi. Te Atatū South and Glendene focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vii. Henderson focus area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Final draft will be approved at a local board business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- It is planned that all stakeholders will be advised of the formal agenda report prior to the business meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item 4**
Kelston Community centre comprehensive renewal

Shelly Ataallah Stewart Helen Biffin

- Staff presented the review of the Kelston Community Centre and the renovation options.
- At present the Kelston Community Centre is well used as a venue for hire but it is not meeting ‘community’ needs, other than for two Council-coordinated (partnered) activities.
- As a venue for hire, the community centre is relatively busy and has had a number of long-term booking clients with varied use.
- There is no relationship between the Kelston Community Centre and other community facilities e.g. schools, churches, the two local ‘hubs’.
- Building was constructed over 44 years ago. Standards are outdated and well past their serviceable lifetime.
- Key attributes include:
  i. It is fit for purpose in terms of room size and layout, kitchen and bathroom facilities – and generally meets expectations
  ii. The location suits – it is visible and accessible to
### Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>most users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. There is generally very good parking availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. The price meets expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. Many hirers say their ‘users’ know the site now – and the address is used in marketing and promotional material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Key issues include:**
  i. General run-down status – walls, floors, ceilings |
  ii. Carpet is old and can smell when wet |
  iii. Storage is inadequate – not enough, need to share (security issues), some storage in rooms is inaccessible at times |
  iv. Leaks |
  v. Blocked toilets/toilets left in a poor state by other users (otherwise, the toilet facilities were considered to be ‘fit for purpose’) |
  vi. Inadequate lighting in the parking area (and the path leading to the basement) – there are some security concerns when people are leaving late (especially on their own). |
  vii. Poor acoustics (within rooms) and noise between rooms (soundproofing) |
  viii. Some furniture in poor condition/not enough furniture |
  ix. Heating/cooling issues – the Centre is very hot in the summer |

- **Overall satisfaction with the Kelston Community Centre is good.**

- **$89,000 is needed to keep the building going until a concrete option is decided on.**

- **Staff presented four options to the board.**

- **Board indicated initial support for option 3 as presented however also requests for the cost of demolition and a separate cost for rebuild.**

- **Option 3 includes:**
  i. Full replacement of the joinery units |
  ii. Full re-clad of the timber weatherboard cladding, including replacement of all decay damaged timber framing. |
  iii. Replacement of the metal and fibre cement (asbestos) roofs and associated drainage, underlay and flashings etc. |
  iv. From the limited ‘destructive and invasive’ testing, it is recommended giving an allowance of 50% for
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>timber replacement to the walls and internal gutters to the roof areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v. Interior refurbishment (high priority areas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vi. Re-purposing the main floor area as an alternative area to utilisation of the basement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Members are not keen on using the basement for health and safety reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Budget for this project has a large shortfall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Additional funding is required in the Long-Term Plan and a business report to the Governing Body is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Support for the gifted name Te Pae o Kura was indicated by members. The rooms have also been named.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Signage for the community centre will be replaced immediately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The next step is a formal report to the Henderson-Massey local board in late 2019.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.50 pm.
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. A period of time (10 minutes) has been set aside for the Waitākere Ward Councillor to have an opportunity to update the Henderson-Massey Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) thank Waitākere Ward Councillors for their update.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Busola Martins - Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dangers of 5G Electromagnetic radiation frequencies on Health and Safety in the Community

Dangers of 5G Electromagnetic Radiation

Defining the Problem:

- The 5G Wireless Radiation Technologies are proposed for roll out in Auckland in 2020 without public consent or consultation on dangers.
- The 5G Electromagnetic Frequencies pose serious threats to health of the community and the environment according to substantial scientific research. e.g. Physicians for Safe Technology (www.MDSafeTech.org)
- This is a violation of freedom and democratic process as well as being an unacceptable threat to public health and environment.

How 5G Works

- 5G ElectroMagnetic Frequencies require thousands of antennas, every.
- 100 meters, on street lamp posts or poles throughout the land.
- The non-ionising radiation endangers health, especially of children, pregnant women and the elderly.
- Health threats include: Cancer, brain and heart diseases, Endocrine.
- Disruption, DNA damage, Neurological, Reproductive and Immune system disorders, etc.
- Rockets will launch 20,000 satellites that will pulsate 24/7 blanketing the Earth and space with inescapable radiation over the next few years.
- This short millimeter wave frequency cannot penetrate leaves which die and necessitates massive tree removal.
- Environmental loss of trees and pollinating insects, will worsen Climate.
- Crisis and dramatically lower agricultural productivity etc.
- We need to heed the warning from 230 scientists and doctors in the UN

5G International Appeal calling for a Moratorium on Earth and in Space (https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal)

Industry Position

- The NZ telecommunications companies (Vodafone, Spark and 2 Degrees) demand 5G for increasing internet speeds, more downloads and putting everything under electronic control devices—which they sell.
- Hence it is profit driven marketing of 5G ‘smart’ products and wireless technology that makes it appear necessary, desirable or inescapable.

Lack of Regulations

- Telcos state there are no dangers based on (thermal only) outdated 1998 industry standards of International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP).
- Govt and industry can make mistakes eg. leaded petrol, asbestos, DDT, etc.
- Conflicts of Interest may arise in Official Reports on Radiofrequency radiation see Sue Pocket PhD May 2019 article www.mdpi.com/journal/magnestochemistry.
- There has been no public education on the health risks of 5G millimeter waves
- Millions of dollars for industry promotion of ‘driverless cars’ and 5G ‘smart’ gadgets.
• 5G is a military technology of 'active denial' which can be used in cyber warfare.
• Smart devices are a vehicle for general invasion of privacy and public surveillance.

**Question:** Should everyone be forced to accept increased radiation in the atmosphere that will adversely affect our bodies, minds and the environment - just because some people want it? Do we want to allow 24/7 surveillance by government and any telcos? (not just Huawei)

**Conclusion**
• The public must be informed in advance of the risks to health and safety and have the freedom to reject 5G EMFs in their neighbourhood.
• Majority of people will want to live in 5G FREE areas.
• Those who want 5G technology can have it in certain pre-designated areas.
• 5G should not be imposed on us all (like tobacco, etc)
• New Zealand City councils and Local Boards can refuse to allow unrestricted roll-out of 5G wireless technology by telcos, like many other cities eg. Geneva, Rome, Brussels etc, where people NOT to be used as human guinea pigs.
• We do not have to give up cellphones or computers as they run fine on 4G
• There are better tech alternatives to 5G 'dirty energy' eg. landlines, fibre optics and much safer electrical frequencies can be developed.

**Recommendations**
1. We request Henderson/Massey Local Board/City Council to: Invoke the Precautionary Principle to prevent Roll-out of 5G Wireless Technology in West Auckland.
2. Recommend that Auckland Council Environment and Community Committee calls for an independent safety assessment of 5G EMFs.
3. Recommend that Auckland Council declares a Moratorium on 5G until further independent scientific research is done on the cumulative biological effects of 5G on health and safety of citizens and the environment.
4. Call for NZ government 5G Moratorium until independent scientific research is done to update 1998 Regulations which are currently inadequate for judging harmful effects of the 5G technology.
5. Support the 5G International Appeal to the UN and World Health Organisation from 230 scientists and medical doctors calling for a Moratorium on 5G on Earth and in Space.
Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group

Deputation to the Henderson-Massey Local Board 16 July 2019 to respectfully request its permission for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve

Background:
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group (under its parent body – The Scout Association of New Zealand) has been operating from the Waimauku Community Hall at Glasgow Park, Waimauku since the 1970’s.

The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group with a roll of 117 has out-grown the Waimauku Community Hall. In 2015, the scouts started exploring other options for a facility to best meet its needs and also to share with other similar groups in the rapidly expanding areas of Waimauku and its surrounds.

In late 2014 the Swanson Lincoln scout group who occupied the Scout Association of New Zealand-owned Scout Hall at Riverpark Reserve merged with the Kereru Massey Scout group and utilised its premises at Redhills Rd in Massey. The main reason for this was Hall damage from an electrical fire, and difficulties with subsequent insurability.

This merger resulted in the vacation of the scout hall at Riverpark Reserve.
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve.

In 2016 the Scout Association of New Zealand formally offered the Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group the vacant scout hall at Riverpark Reserve for relocation to an alternative site.

In November 2016, scouts formally applied to council for a community lease of reserve land at Blomfield Reserve, Waimauku on which to relocate the scout hall.

Craig Walker of Craig Walker Building Removals offered the scouts, at no cost, to uplift the hall from Riverpark Reserve and re-site it on Blomfield Reserve if scouts were successful in obtaining a new community lease, building consent and Resource consent.

At its business meeting of 16 March 2017, the Rodney Local Board Transport and Infrastructure Committee resolved to approve the public notification and engagement with iwi about the proposal to grant a community lease to Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group (RODTP/2017/7).
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve.

At its business meeting of 21 September 2017, the Rodney Local Board Transport and Infrastructure Committee resolved to grant a new community lease to The New Zealand Scout Association (as parent body for Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group) for land at Blomfield Reserve, Waimauku on which to relocate its scout hall (RODTP/2017/36).

In Feb 2018 the scouts commenced the regulatory processes of obtaining Building and Resource consent. This required engaging an environmental architect, a Civil Engineer, Commercial planning consultants, an Arborcultural specialist, and a geotechnical specialist.

With over 200 hours of donated time this still was at a cost of $15,000. Scouts anticipated being in a position to relocate its scout hall from Riverpark Reserve to Blomfield Reserve during the dry months of late 2018 to early 2019.

In Dec 2018 scouts obtained the Building consent and in July 2019 the Resource Consent.

**Issues**

In 2018 the hold ups began with the Resource consent application process because of complicated Open reserve planning requirements. This resulted in scouts having to engage additional specialist services at an extra cost of $10,000. As such, scouts missed its window of opportunity in which Craig Walker would have been able to relocate the scout hall. Further, it is now too wet to shift the hall off Riverpark Reserve to store it.
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve.

Risks and mitigations

During the time that scouts has been trying to obtain its necessary regulatory consents, vandals had smashed almost every window at the scout hall. They have also damaged the floor underneath the Hall. Scouts has recently undertaken a weekend of repairs to prevent further damage and regularly visit Riverpark Reserve to keep an eye on the hall.

Scouts worst possible scenario is losing our new home after putting our heart, soul money and time into this project. We can’t do this project without this building.

Scouts has donated building materials and trade labour ready plus many willing parents on standby for its scout hall project. We have worked very hard in the last 2 years raising over $120,000 from our own fundraising activities.

Motutara Waimauku Scout Group has an ever-expanding roll of Keas, Cubs, Scouts, Venturers and Leaders. We help our youth enjoy new adventures; to experience the outdoors; interact with others, gain confidence and have the opportunity to reach their full potential. Our vision is to empower youth through adventurous experiences to lead lives that make a positive difference.
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve.

Existing scout hall on Riverpark Reserve
The Motutara-Waimauku Scout Group respectfully requests permission from the Henderson-Massey Local Board for an extension of time to February 2020 to remove the vacant scout hall from Riverpark Reserve, Waimauku.

Plans for the relocated scout hall on Blomfield Reserve, Waimauku.