

## Summary of Decision Requested by the Submitters

### Resource Consent (Package 4) - BUN60081843 (LUC60290847 - Land Use, DIS60278235 – Regional Stormwater, DIS60277563 – Regional Air Quality and DIS60277566 – Regional Wastewater)

No.	Name	Address for Service	Support / Oppose (S/O)	To be Heard (Y/N)	Submission Point No.	Summary of Decision Requested by the Submitter Note: The specific relief sought is summarised. In addition, some submissions seek unspecified further or consequential relief.
1	Housing New Zealand Corporation	205 Great North Road, Greenlane	-	N	1.001	Accept the application subject to appropriate landscaping to reduce visual impact on adjoining properties.
					1.002	Accept the application subject to appropriate traffic management.
2	William and Miriam Heffernan	103 Tidal Road, Mangere	O	N	2.001	Reject application due to concerns around disposal of waste into water.
					2.002	Reject application due to concerns around disposal to the atmosphere.
					2.003	Reject application due to concerns over the use and storage of hazardous substances to people.
3	Roger Clark, John Self and Adriana Self	115 Bryant Road, Papakura	-	Y	3.001	Seeks that stormwater runoff from the development is not contaminated nor produces odour which affects their property or the Waiokauri Creek.
					3.002	Seeks consideration of the risks of use and storage of hazardous substances to the animals or people on their property.
					3.003	Seeks that air emissions do not produce odour which affects animals or people on their property.
					3.004	Seeks that security measures be put in place given the exposed boundary that resulted from felling of the Macrocarpa trees.
					3.005	Seeks removal of an existing hedge and fencing along the southern site boundary for safety and security purposes.
4	Peter Bishop	40 Onehunga Mall, Onehunga	O	Y	4.001	Reject application based on concerns related to stormwater runoff from the development.
					4.002	Reject application due to concerns over air emissions.
					4.003	Reject application due to concerns over acoustics.
					4.004	Reject application due to concerns over traffic flows in a residential area resulting from the development.
5	Respect Our Community Campaign	-	O	Y	5.001	Reject the application based on concerns over air emissions from use of Toluene Diisocyanate and small combustion sources from the manufacturing process.
					5.002	Reject the application due to concerns over the use and storage of hazardous

No.	Name	Address for Service	Support / Oppose (S/O)	To be Heard (Y/N)	Submission Point No.	<b>Summary of Decision Requested by the Submitter</b> Note: The specific relief sought is summarised. In addition, some submissions seek unspecified further or consequential relief.
						substances above the allowed thresholds.
6	Te Akitai Waiohua	PO Box 59185, Mangere Bridge	O	Y	6.001	Reject the application due to concerns over cultural, spiritual and historical relation of the Waiokauri Creek headland and the historical portage.
					6.002	Reject the application given insufficient consultation with Tangata Whenua has been undertaken.
7	Roger Fowler	5 Tennessee Avenue, Mangere	O	Y	7.001	Reject the application based on concerns over air emissions from use of Toluene Diisocyanate and small combustion sources from the manufacturing process.
					7.002	Reject the application due to concerns over the use and storage of hazardous substances above the allowed thresholds.

### Resource Consent (Package 5) – LUC6030115

No.	Name	Address for Service	S/O	To be Heard (Y/N)	Submission Point No.	Summary of Decision Requested by the Submitter
						Note: The specific relief sought is summarised – note that some submissions in addition seek unspecified further or consequential relief.
1	Roger Clark, John Self and Adriana Self	115 Bryant Road, Papakura	-	Y	1.001	Seeks security of their property and requires security fencing on the boundary and above the retaining wall.
					1.002	Seeks clarity as to why the outstanding natural feature extends into the subject property.
					1.003	Seeks clarity as to why the portage route extends over the subject property.
2	Peter Bishop	40 Onehunga Mall, Onehunga	O	Y	2.001	Reject the application given concerns over visual effects of the development.
					2.002	Reject the application due to concerns associated with air emissions.
					2.003	Reject the application due to acoustic concerns.
					2.004	Reject application given concerns over traffic flows in a residential area resulting from the development.
3	Auckland Volcanic Cones Society	29 Mt St Johns Avenue, Epsom	O	Y	3.001	Reject the application based on effects on an outstanding natural feature.
					3.002	Reject the application due to concerns surrounding the outstanding natural feature and its location within the Coastal Marine Area as defined in the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.
					3.003	Reject the application given concerns and protection under section 6b of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).
					3.004	Reject the application as the effects of the land disturbance proposal should be avoided not mitigated.
4	Te Akitai Waiohua	PO Box 59185, Mangere Bridge	O	Y	4.001	Reject the application given concerns over cultural, spiritual and historical relation of the Waiokauri Creek headland and the historical portage.
					4.002	Reject the application as insufficient consultation has been undertaken with Tangata Whenua.
5	Ngati Te Ata	-	O	-	5.001	Reject the application as it fails to adequately assess potential effects on the environmental including cumulative effects and effects on the outstanding natural feature, the remaining volcanic crater and view shafts.
					5.002	Seeks cultural monitoring of the land disturbance activities.
					5.003	Reject the application as another factory backing onto the footprint of Crater Hill is not supported.
					5.004	Reject the application as it fails to comply with Part 2 of the RMA.
					5.005	Seeks that discharge volumes are managed and water quality is improved from the current state should consent be issued.

