

Attachment B

Regional Community Development Grants Assessment Matrix (2017-2018)

1. Eligibility Check		
Red Flag Status Any red flags on the grants management system for past grants including overdue reports, failed projects	No Proceed	Yes investigate
Regional Status Activities spread across the regional Or that are niche /specialised enough to draw audiences from across the region	Yes proceed	No ineligible
Meets all other eligibility criteria (e.g. a community development /empowerment project - not operational costs)	Yes proceed	No ineligible
Organisational and Project Quality 0= no evidence 1= Satisfactory evidence 2=Excellent Evidence		
Kaupapa/ Concept/ Strategy The concept is sound, well considered and plausible with demonstrated need and demand		
Capacity and capability Qualified, experienced staff with proven ability, the organization performs well and has a stable governance and management structure		
Process/ Methodology/ Planning Evidence of best practice, risk assessment and timeframes/ milestones		
Budget and Finances Budgets are realistic, accurate financial processes are in place and past financial performance informs forecasted targets		
Regional reach or Impact		
Comment:		
Project quality score (max10)		
Empowered Communities Alignment 0= no evidence 1=Little evidence 2= Some Evidence 3= Satisfactory evidence 4=Good evidence 5=Excellent Evidence		
• Respond to Māori aspirations in practical and effective ways		
• Increase diverse community participation		
• Build community capacity to do things for themselves		
• Develop and support community-led placemaking initiatives		
Comment		
ECA assessment total (x2 weighting) Score (max 20)		
Additional funding priorities (from CDS Committee) (0-2)		
Supports Māori Outcomes		
Supporting social innovation		
Increasing community collaboration		
Promoting transformative social change		
Comment:		
Additional priority score (max: 8)		
Overall score (max 58)		