

**Attachment F: Local board resolutions on draft Auckland  
Plan 2050 May 2018**

## **Table of contents**

|                                   |    |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| Albert-Eden .....                 | 3  |
| Devonport-Takapuna .....          | 4  |
| Franklin .....                    | 5  |
| Great Barrier .....               | 6  |
| Henderson-Massey .....            | 7  |
| Hibiscus and Bays .....           | 8  |
| Hibiscus and Bays continued ..... | 9  |
| Howick .....                      | 11 |
| Kaipātiki .....                   | 12 |
| Kaipātiki continued .....         | 13 |
| Māngere-Ōtāhuhu .....             | 14 |
| Manurewa .....                    | 15 |
| Manurewa continued .....          | 16 |
| Manurewa continued .....          | 17 |
| Maungakiekie-Tāmaki .....         | 18 |
| Ōrākei .....                      | 19 |
| Ōtara-Papatoetoe .....            | 20 |
| Papakura .....                    | 21 |
| Puketāpapa .....                  | 23 |
| Rodney .....                      | 24 |
| Upper Harbour .....               | 26 |
| Waiheke .....                     | 27 |
| Waitākere .....                   | 29 |
| Waitemata .....                   | 30 |
| Whau .....                        | 31 |

## Albert-Eden

**Moved:** Member Fryer  
**Seconded:** Member Haynes  
**Resolution:** AE/2018/188

That the Albert-Eden Local Board:

Provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- support Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 59 per cent in support of this outcome area.
- support Outcome area 2: Maori identity and wellbeing, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 56 per cent in support of this outcome area.
- support Outcome area 3: Homes and places, noting 43 per cent of the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was fully in support of this outcome area, and 42 per cent was partially in support.
- support Outcome area 4: Transport and access, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 53 per cent in support of this outcome area.
- support Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 59 per cent in support of this outcome area.
- support Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 57 per cent in support of this outcome area.
- support the proposed development strategy, noting 45 per cent of the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was in support of this proposal, and 40 per cent was partially in support.
- request that the Auckland Plan 2050 include a new focus area Enhancing Our Quality of Life or similar which would include:
  - specific reference to each of the four wellbeings: social, economic, environmental and cultural, and objectives which integrate the four wellbeings.
  - explicit reference to built heritage that reflects the importance and value of built heritage to Aucklanders.
  - a greater focus on arts and culture with the core value of Auaha (creativity, innovation) having a greater emphasis throughout the draft plan.
  - a greater focus on sport and recreation and recognition of the key role this plays in the draft plan's outcomes.
- request the following additions to the Auckland Plan 2050:
  - clear short-term and long-term targets to measure the success of the plan including:
    - progress towards zero net carbon emissions
    - transport targets covering access to jobs, traffic congestion, mode share (use of public transport, walking and cycling), household transport costs and deaths and serious injuries (these cover measures 1 – 5)
    - swimmable beaches
    - rates of home building.
  - a bolder approach towards protecting our significant natural landscapes and increasing our urban forest cover.

## Devonport-Takapuna

Moved: Deputy Chairperson G Wood

Seconded: Member J McKenzie

Resolution: DT/2018/59

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

Provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- supports the overarching and strategic objectives outlined in the draft Auckland Plan 2050;
- supports the Belonging and Participation, Homes and Places, Transport and Access, Environment and Cultural Heritage objectives as a long-term strategy for managing Auckland's growth and development over the next 30 years;
- considers that the Maori identity and Well-being and Opportunity and Prosperity outcomes are primarily the responsibility of Central Government, rather than Auckland Council. The local board suggest that council's role for these outcomes areas are to advocate to Central Government for increased funding and services;
- recommends that adequate infrastructure, utilities and services are provided prior to the new developments being undertaken. The local board also note that Auckland Council does have an advocacy role to bring together Central Government and the private sector to develop more own-your-own, affordable housing and rental accommodation for people who are not in a position to purchase a home;
- notes concern with the ongoing displacement of people and the lack of affordable rental accommodation in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area;
- notes that while there has been progress to improve public transport, there is an ongoing need to continually improve the frequency, price and quality of public transport services;
- advocates for the ongoing integration and subsidisation of fares to ensure a consistent public transport network;
- advocates that the Bayswater Ferry Terminal be urgently prioritised to enhance the public transport network in the Devonport peninsular;
- notes concerns with the lack of cultural considerations in the built heritage section of the draft Auckland Plan 2050;
- supports all measures to ensure heritage amenity and value of character suburbs and villages (e.g. Devonport) is retained and enhanced;
- suggest that new developments by guided (as strictly as possible) by the Auckland Design Manual;
- support the draft development strategy, however reiterates that adequate infrastructure needs to be developed provided prior to the new developments being undertaken.
- Recommends there be a greater focus on arts and culture activities in the Auckland Plan.

## Franklin

Moved: Member A Cole  
Seconded: Chairperson A Fulljames  
Resolution: FR/2018/55

That the Franklin Local Board:

supports the proposals in the Draft Auckland Plan 2050, subject to the following feedback:

- belonging and participation – this outcome should be focused on enhancing quality of life and should incorporate arts and culture, sport and recreation, healthy lifestyles, community wellbeing and the provision of community facilities;
- homes and places – a mix of house sizes should be sought, to meet a range of social needs;
- transport and access – this should recognise the limited transport choices available in rural areas and the cumulative impact of the freight movements associated with quarries and other rural businesses;
- environment and cultural heritage – should more strongly recognise that we are a multi-cultural society;
- opportunity and prosperity – more employment choice should be provided locally as part of urban growth, to reduce the need to travel longer distances, and incentives should be offered to large scale employers to locate in the growth areas;
- development strategy – the local board supports the proposal from the Rural Advisory Panel that the last sentence on page 27 of the overview document should be amended to read “To ensure that rural production can continue and develop...” because sustainable growth in rural production and processing facilities is vital for the future economic wellbeing of Franklin communities;
- development strategy – there should be measures put in place to manage the cumulative impact of development, rather than dealing with proposals on an individual basis.

## Great Barrier

Moved: Deputy Chairperson L Coles

Seconded: Member S Daly

Resolution: GBI/2018/44

That the Great Barrier Local Board:

provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- Support the homes and places outcomes focusing on affordable housing as it is difficult to secure affordable housing to purchase or rent on island.
- Strongly suggest that environment, cultural heritage, and arts and culture should each have its own outcome area
- Support the opportunity and prosperity outcome as the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board Plan 2017 has an initiative to develop a life-long learning strategy that coordinates learning opportunities and attracts investment with a platform for local economic development.
- Support the opportunity and prosperity outcome especially focusing on any assistance for technology advancement.

## Henderson-Massey

Moved: Member B Brady

Seconded: Member M Grey

Resolution: HM/2018/53

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050.

- General comments
  - Henderson-Massey Local Board notes that the local board has previously provided feedback to support drafting of the Auckland Plan 2050
  - Notes that the outcomes and objectives in the Henderson-Massey Local Board plan strongly align to the Auckland Plan outcomes, particularly 'Belonging and participation'.
  - The board is supportive of the intent of the outcomes overall, with some specific comments below:
- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - Commentary is missing on the specific contribution of arts and culture.
  - These activities should be specifically referenced in the Auckland Plan as they play a substantial role in connecting communities and contributing to the overall health and wellbeing of societies.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
  - The special relationship with Maori as tangata whenua should be recognised throughout the Auckland Plan.
  - There does not seem to be any recognition of the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan. Suggests this be included.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
  - Pleased to see that the built quality of existing dwellings, including rentals, will be improved and that the investment and support for Maori is a specific focus area.
  - Commentary is missing on the role of sport and how that will be affected by the pressures of a growing city. Providing space for sport in the public realm will be an ongoing challenge and local sports groups in the Henderson-Massey area have indicated concern about this lack in the Auckland plan
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
  - There is strong alignment in the Henderson-Massey Local Board plan with this outcome area.
  - The significant growth challenges in the Northwest mean that a focus on integrated transport solutions is vital.
  - The board is pleased that the importance of environmental protection and reducing greenhouse gas emissions is highlighted.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - Support
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
  - Notes that local boards have limited means to directly influence local economic prosperity and a strong future focused approach in this outcome is welcomed
- Development strategy
  - Support

## Hibiscus and Bays

Moved: Chairperson J Parfitt

Seconded: Member G Holmes

Resolution: HB/2018/61

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 (Attachment F) as follows:

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 34% partially support and 21% do not support an inclusive Auckland.
  - Expresses concern that although belonging is linked with well-being, wellness seems to have been overlooked.
  - Expresses concern that to improve living standards for all Aucklanders by reducing disparities in opportunities will require intervention from central government to make this a reality. It would be difficult if not impossible for council to deliver this on its own. There are issues within affluent areas as well.
  - Expresses concern that massive immigration is not dealt with and new migrants need to be able to integrate into their chosen areas. Council needs to provide programmes that celebrate diversity and inclusion.
  - Expresses concern that the 'Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need' does not seem to acknowledge growth and that investment needs to accommodate growth requirements in poorer areas as well as those areas experiencing substantial growth already.
  - Performance measures are too reliant on perception measures and require some data-based measures as well which can be from sources outside council, e.g. Ministry of Health/regional health boards, regional sporting bodies.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 37% support, 28% partially support and 35% do not support advancing Maori well-being.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 34% support, 40% partially support and 26% do not support affordable homes.
  - The local board acknowledges that 'affordable homes' must be included and also demonstrated to our community as being achievable. Safe and healthy homes are important as many existing homes are not built for the environment, e.g. leaky homes, insufficient insulation.
  - Supports increasing security of tenure and broaden the range of tenure models, particularly for those most in need. The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board would like to see central government take more of a proactive role in the focus area.
  - Supports including access to schools and jobs within this focus.

### Hibiscus and Bays continued

- Outcome area 4: Transport and access:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 36% partially support and 19% do not support moving easily around Auckland.
  - Supports the transport and access outcome but would like to see more recognition of current growth that has exceeded council's expectations and how this will be managed.
  - Supports a second harbour crossing sooner rather than later to enable rail for North Shore given that we are already exceeding bus patronage numbers now that were anticipated to be met a decade later.
  - Supports better use of transport networks and providing opportunities for travel including providing more ferry services from Gulf Harbour including a weekend ferry service trial.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and Heritage:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 54% support, 33% partially support and 13% do not support protecting and enhancing our environment.
  - Suggests the outcome needs to provide more information about protection of coastal environments.
  - Suggests that cultural values should not just embrace to ao Māori values, they should also recognise that people come from different cultures and we must respect those cultures and learn about them too.
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 36% partially support and 19% do not support equipping people for future jobs.
  - Suggests that living and working locally is an important factor to include. Aucklanders need the security of finding fulltime quality employment.
  - Suggests the need to protect industrial areas to allow for growth and employment opportunities, e.g. Silverdale area.
  - Suggests the need to include developing young people who can adapt to change and be resilient.
  - Suggests that as more technology will eventually reduce jobs for many, people need alternatives and manual trade skills will still be required.
- Development Strategy:
  - Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 39% support, 38% partially support and 23% do not support shaping our growth.
  - Open space protection needs to be included. Corridors and parks seem to be missing.
  - Coastal protection needs to be included as a priority.
  - Environmental protection needs to be included as a priority.
  - Business land needs to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).
  - There is a lack of focus on the Silverdale area and its business-zoned land.
  - Relocation of the port needs to be included/considered as it will have wide-ranging implications.
  - Refers to a quality compact model but provides no view of this.

## Planning Committee (5 June 2018)

- Concerns as to how to ensure the development strategy is flexible to change when indicated/required as it needs to be far more of a living document to keep up and adapt more easily to change.
- Concern that decisions made by Council Controlled Organisations such as Auckland Transport and Panuku Development Auckland should be consistent with council's Auckland Plan. This does not seem to have been achieved to date. All agencies of council need to be bound by and deliver the strategic directions in the Auckland Plan, area plans and local board plans.

## Howick

Moved: Chairperson D Collings

Seconded: Member B Wichman

Resolution: HW/2018/61

That the Howick Local Board:

supports the proposals in the Draft Auckland Plan 2050, subject to the following feedback:

- with regard to Outcome area 2: Maori Identity and Wellbeing, the local board seeks further clarification of the meaning of the phrase “customary rights” and it’s relationship with endangered species

## Kaipātiki

Moved: Chairperson J Gillon

Seconded: Member L Waugh

Resolution: KT/2018/74

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 as per the tabled document, with the following amendment:

- replace the text under Outcome area 4: Transport and access to:
  - *The Kaipātiki Local Board supports Auckland Transport's prioritisation for public transport in our local board plan but submit that the Auckland Plan expand outcome 4 to include travelling regionally including for recreation and health. It should reach beyond pure infrastructure provision to infrastructure development that adds amenity value.*
- Overall views on Auckland Plan outcomes
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to see reference being made in the Auckland Plan to the four well-beings as listed out in Part 6, s79(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board notes the marked absence throughout the Auckland Plan of specific references to Arts and Culture, Sport and Recreation, and Built Heritage.
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board notes a disjunction between the values enumerated in the Auckland Plan and the text under each outcome area, particularly the value of Auaha, Creativity and Innovation, which is not reflected in any of the six Outcome areas. Adherence to this value would see all infrastructure development including transport, storm and wastewater projects, delivering more than just function, but contributing visual, cultural and recreational amenity.
- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to see social and cultural differences being celebrated as opposed to merely recognised. For example focus area 1 could be rephrased to better reflect the aims of Atawhai and Kotahi in its wording, reaching beyond recognition to celebrating diversity. Additionally, health and wellness, regardless of the means to achieve it, should be mentioned in this outcome area in line with direction 2.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board supports this outcome area in line with feedback received from the community, but would like specific reference to mataawaka.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board would like a focus on the importance of healthy, secure and affordable housing development that is well-designed while having minimal impact on the environment at the same time.
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board notes that whilst streets and roadways may have flexible uses, they are not sufficient contributions by developers for public space provision under direction 4, which should typically be in the form of parks, playgrounds, town squares, etc.

**Kaipātiki continued**

- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board supports Auckland Transport's prioritisation for public transport in our local board plan but submit that the Auckland Plan expand outcome 4 to include travelling regionally including for recreation and health. It should reach beyond pure infrastructure provision to infrastructure development that adds amenity value.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board supports greater emphasis on the environment, particularly clean water and beaches given the numerous streams and significant coastlines bordering the area. The Kaipātiki Local Board supports increased focus on native flora and fauna protection, stream monitoring, and stricter enforcement of sedimentation controls at all developments.
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to note a distinction between cultural and built heritage and would appreciate a greater focus on built heritage given the presence of buildings of historical significance in the Kaipātiki local board area.
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board would like the directions set out in this Outcome area to reach beyond productivity and employment growth towards an understanding of the unique opportunities offered by Auckland city.

## **Māngere-Ōtāhuhu**

Moved: Chairperson L Sosene

Seconded: Member C Elliott

Resolution: MO/2018/60

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report (Attachment A).

The board supports the six outcomes and development strategy in the Auckland Plan refresh, and notes the close alignment of the six outcomes to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017.

- The board supports use of the 33 measures that track progress against outcomes areas.
- The board supports addressing local concerns, such as employment, accommodation conditions, affordability, public transport and improved community facilities and spaces.
- The board considers that addressing social and economic wellbeing is key to achieve the Auckland Plan.
- The board area has the most youthful population in Auckland and supports actions to create choices that are sustainable for the future generation.

## Manurewa

Moved: Chairperson A Dalton

Seconded: Member S Cattle

Resolution: MR/2018/62

That the Manurewa Local Board:

provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - The local board is concerned that there is little in the Auckland Plan relating to additional investment in sport and recreation - a critical component in uniting communities.
  - The Manurewa Local Board Plan 2017 acknowledges people in Manurewa are actively connecting everywhere, every day. Manurewa has a wide range of community places where people gather to celebrate, take part in their favourite past times, exercise and compete, work together on community projects or socialise with friends and family.
  - The board supports Ngāti Paoa sentiments:
    - to reduce the number of liquor shops in the south
    - the integration of council community services with Māori warden services,
    - for better understanding, education and comprehension of the Treaty amongst other communities
    - that the Treaty of Waitangi be the corner stone to all council policy
    - to establish and support iwi homeless services.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
  - The Manurewa Local Board is in full support of the direction and focus areas of Māori identity and wellbeing. Manurewa has a significant number of Māori residing in the area, both mana whenua and mataawaka, and we look forward to seeing strong gains for our Māori community.
  - We would like to stress the importance of the Auckland Plan acknowledging and reflecting through action, the diversity and intricacy of Māori experience, identity and sense of belonging.
  - The plan needs to be visible in its approach to assist and respond to those Māori who can't align to their own whakapapa – both those who don't know where they come from, and those who have lost touch with their ability to connect back to their tribal areas.
  - For Māori who live in Tāmaki Makaurau, and are well immersed in their whakapapa, it is important to acknowledge that their tribal identity will often precede other identities.

**Manurewa continued**

- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
  - The Manurewa Local Board supports the Auckland Plan's aspirations to accelerate the development of quality homes affordable to a range of Aucklanders. We trust that this will address the current issue of house prices remaining out of reach for most low-income earners in Counties-Manukau, which is also threatening intergenerational stability. To support this, we would like to see speedy action on the development of infrastructure that supports the volume of homes being built. 'Affordable' must offer real hope to low income households and facilitate access to decent accommodation for people who find themselves homeless.
  - The delivery of affordable housing must include public space around those dwellings.
  - The board supports the intention to improve the quality of existing dwellings, particularly rentals, and to see the investment and support for Māori continue.
  - It is vital that urban spaces are well designed and cared for, for all to enjoy. In this context, the board anticipates that Manurewa will not be left with large apartments and flats being built and then disregarded, and that newly built environments retain the integrity and style of the local area.
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
  - The Manurewa Local Board believes the Transport and Access themes are on track and workable. Important to us is how they are applied equally across the region, including decent cycleways across the south that are safe and encouraging for people to use, and go beyond the hazardous green bicycle lanes on the sides of busy highways.
  - The south continues to require public transport investment given the increased growth that is currently being experienced.
  - The board will always advocate for an increase in user friendly and accessible public transport that offers real alternatives to as many people as possible, while contributing to the reduction of private vehicles on the road. This includes affordability for young people and families and the use of universal design principles to increase accessibility.
  - We would like to see an improvement in the security and safety of park and ride facilities, to further increase the uptake of public transport.
  - The board supports the prioritisation of the Mill Road project as paramount to keeping traffic moving easily across the southern region.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - The local board supports the six focus areas of environment and heritage and expects that the Auckland Plan will truly take account of the whole of the Auckland region when considering environmental and cultural heritage priorities. There are areas of the Waitemata Harbour that are in excellent condition and we hope this is an aspirational goal for the Manukau Harbour also.
  - The board also encourages the plan to address further swimsafe locations in the south, thus creating equitable access to beaches across the region.
  - The board supports the request from Ngāti Paoa to establish a guardian of the harbours co-governance regime that enables the joint management of the clean-up and restoration of Auckland's harbours tributaries fresh waterways and catchments.
  - The board supports the Te Ora O Manukau statement that the environment needs to be as sustainable as the growth for the Auckland population and that we need to ensure that there is adequate resourcing to support the cleaning up of our environment equivalent to the investment in the growth of Auckland.

### **Manurewa continued**

- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
  - The Manurewa Local Board believes that the focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will support Manurewa to adapt to rapid technology changes. However as an area that houses the third largest Pacific population in Auckland (over 25,020 and 33% of our total population) we would like to see Focus Area 3 (advance Māori employment and support Māori business and iwi organisations to be significant drivers of Auckland's economy) and Focus Area 5 (increase educational achievement, lifelong learning and training, with a focus on those most in need) extended to our Pacific people.
  - The board strongly supports Focus Area 5 because success in education is fundamental to the wellbeing of people, their families and the wider community. Focus Area 5 also relates to Focus Area 3 because a more highly educated workforce boosts productivity and economic wellbeing.
  - However Pacific people are still significantly behind in many social areas, particularly education and employment:
    - In 2015, the labour force participation rate for Pacific Peoples was at 63.5% while the participation rate for Māori was 67.2% - both which are still lower than the participation rate (69.2%) for all people.
    - In March 2017, a significantly high proportion of Māori (19.5%) and Pacific youth (17%) were not in employment, education or training (NEET).
    - Despite some improvements in educational outcomes, Māori and Pacific people still have the highest proportion of people with no qualifications.
  - If we want opportunity and prosperity for ALL, the Auckland Plan needs to deliver outcomes for Pacific people too. When our Pacific people are thriving, Auckland is thriving.
- Development strategy
  - The local board agrees with the Auckland Plan intention that people should be able to work and play where they live and supports a continuous review of the development strategy to ensure its relevance to a growing and diverse population.
  - The local board also supports expansion of the rural urban boundary to free up land which will create affordable housing whilst maintaining retention of fertile market garden areas.
  - It is important to ensure that existing communities experiencing brownfield intensification are supported with infrastructure to match the population growth, for example hospitals, stormwater, retirement villages, schools etc.
- The regions must benefit, as the city does, from Urban Design, Panuku and ATEED investment and support.

## **Maungakiekie-Tāmaki**

Moved: Chairperson C Makoare

Seconded: Member A Verrall

Resolution: MT/2018/57

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050.

- Confirms its support for the Auckland Plan outcomes
- notes that Outcome 2 Maori Identity and Wellbeing needs to give strong signal as to how we will financially support initiatives that benefit outcomes for Maori
- That sport and recreation could be included more prominently (potentially in participation outcome)
- That there could be more of a focus on heritage
- That there focus more on local economic development as this is a strong outcome in many local board plans
- Environmental outcomes, including clean waterways should be more prominent in the Auckland Plan to reflect the feedback and proposed investment.

## Ōrākei

Moved: Chairman C Davis  
Seconded: Member C Claridge  
Resolution: OR/2018/74

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 based on the consultation feedback from residents and businesses of the Ōrākei Local Board area that the Board has received as follows:

- supports Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation and request the inclusion of clear and specific mention of art and culture, seniors, community safety, sport and recreation.
- supports Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing along with cultural wellbeing of all Aucklanders.
- supports Outcome area 3: Homes and places and request inclusion of clear and specific mention of the importance of maintaining built heritage in Auckland
- supports Outcome area 4: Transport and access namely moving easily around Auckland.
- supports Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage namely protecting and enhancing our environment.
- supports Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity namely equipping people for future jobs.
- supports the approach to shaping our growth as proposed by the Development Strategy.

## Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Moved: Chairperson L Fuli

Seconded: Deputy Chairperson R Robertson

Resolution: OP/2018/59

That the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board:

provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 (Attachment E).

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity: The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes
- Development strategy The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes

## Papakura

Moved: Deputy Chairperson F Auva'a

Seconded: Member K Winn

Resolution: PPK/2018/65

That the Papakura Local Board:

provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - The Papakura Local Board supports the outcome 'Belonging and participation' however feels that in the focus areas there needs to be two threads added:
    - 'sport and recreation' and
    - 'arts and culture'.
  - These activities should be specifically referenced in the Auckland Plan as these activities are connecting communities and contribute to the overall health and wellbeing of societies.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
  - Delivering on Auckland Council's commitment to Māori at a local level is a priority for the Papakura Local Board<sup>1</sup> hence the board is supportive of the 'Māori identity and wellbeing' outcome.
  - The board would like to point out that there does not seem to be anything recognising the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan and suggests this be included.
  - There is also insufficient Maori representation on council as a whole.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
  - The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the emphasis being put on making the housing system work in Auckland with the aim of providing secure, healthy and affordable homes for all residents.
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
  - The Papakura Local Board strongly supports the 'Transport and access' outcome area in the Auckland Plan. This outcome will support the move to a multi-nodal model of urban development for Auckland.
  - The board would like to point out the challenges for South Auckland with the additional pressure of significant growth happening in the South and the limited existing networks.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the 'Environment and cultural heritage' outcome area however would like to point out that the focus areas seem to be solely focused on the environment. The board felt the focus areas should also reflect the built environment and cultural heritage aspects as well.
  - Council could also be ambitious and seek alignment between the Auckland Plan and Low Carbon Auckland.
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
  - Although the Papakura Local Board supports the intent of the 'opportunity and prosperity' outcome, the board would like to see the local economic development element captured in the focus areas as well.
  - The board would like to make a plea for specifically mentioning the opportunities provided by working in partnership with external organisations

and believes the Southern Initiative should be reflected more prominently in the Plan.

- Development strategy
  - The Papakura Local Board believes that Papakura should be mentioned specifically in the 'Intensifying the existing urban area' column of the development strategy as it is zoned as a Metropolitan centre in the Unitary Plan.
  - The board is supportive of the concept of creating flexible and adaptable business areas as long as newly created business areas complement already existing areas and not start to compete with established areas.

## Puketāpapa

Moved: Member A-M Coury

Seconded: Member S Kaushal

Resolution: PKTPP/2018/60

That the Puketapapa Local Board:

provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050, as per Attachment A. The board notes that all Outcome Areas are supported by the majority of Puketapapa submitters and has the following comments, for consideration by the Governing Body:

- Belonging and Participation: The board supports this Outcome
  - FA1 Seek the inclusion of the concept of “learning”
  - FA2 - Support the inclusion of “soft infrastructure”
  - FA4 - Support the concept of “Te Tiriti o Waitangi /the Treaty of Waitangi as the bi-cultural foundation for a multicultural Auckland”
  - FA6 - Support particularly in relation to ensuring we create and support mixed neighbourhoods and avoid communities that exclude people.
- Māori identity and wellbeing: The board supports this Outcome
  - FA 4 - Support “Grow Māori intergenerational wealth.” but would like to see the inclusion of other cultures too.
- Homes and places: The board supports this Outcome
  - FA1 – Need to acknowledge that good transport planning is crucial to giving people housing choices, with the link between the cost of housing and the distance needed to travel for work/study.
  - Dependency on private car for transport limits both transport and housing choices, and increases costs for many households.
  - FA2 - Support – “...broaden the range of tenure...” but additional wording needed to include “identification with neighbourhoods” to enable strong resilient connections.
  - FA3: need wording that addresses “healthy homes” specifically
- Transport and access
  - The board supports this Outcome
  - FA 6 – amend to a “safe and shared transport network...” to reflect that every transport mode needs to share space e.g. cars and bikes; bikes and pedestrians;etc
- Environment and cultural heritage
  - Strong local support for this Outcome
  - The board seeks specific reference to climate change reduction
  - FA1 – amend to “Encourage and incentivise all Aucklanders to be stewards...”
- Opportunity and prosperity
  - The board supports this Outcome
  - Want to see more focus at local level
  - FA2: add word “investment”
  - FA5: seek inclusion of the important contribution of “volunteering”
- Development strategy
  - The board supports this Outcome but would like to see more priority given to local development, such as Spatial Priority Areas (the Inner-West Triangle)

## Rodney

Moved: Deputy Chairperson P Pirrie

Seconded: Member L Johnston

Resolution: RD/2018/40

That the Rodney Local Board:

provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- General
  - note that overall submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show weak levels of support for all focus areas within the outcomes in the draft plan
  - expresses its concern that the feedback received on the draft Plan is indicative of the Auckland Plan's shortcomings in addressing issues of relevance to the people of Rodney.
- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - generally supports the focus areas for the outcome "Belonging and participation" but notes that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 38% support and 27% do not support this focus area.
  - request the addition of a focus on the key challenges associated with growth and request that the plan place greater emphasis on how to address those key challenges
  - expresses concern that the 'Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need' does not acknowledge that investment is needed to accommodate growth in rural areas
  - support references to valuing and celebrating Auckland's diversity and suggests that the focus on diversity should recognise both demographically and geographically diverse communities in particular coastal and rural communities, not just social/ethnic diversity
  - support references to resilient, thriving communities and considers that the draft plan should be expanded to explicitly support community-led outcomes, in particular the desire of communities to participate in the delivery and creation of assets for their local community.
- Outcome area 2: Maori Identity and Wellbeing
  - note that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 31% support and 41% do not support this focus area, preferring a more inclusive approach to this outcome
- Outcome area 3: Homes and Places
  - note that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 28% support and 34% do not support this focus area
  - note that the focus on a compact city does not recognise the level of growth occurring in outlying areas and suggests that greater emphasis needs to be placed on the impact felt in those outlying areas
  - suggest that, in line with public feedback on this outcome, the plan address issues and perceived failures with existing infrastructure as a priority
  - note that the current focus on challenges associated with growth does not address the environmental impacts of growth in outlying areas, such as the proliferation of clean fills in non-urban environments
  - consider that the draft plan maintains a narrow focus on the city centre and dense urban living and will not resonate with communities that do not meet

- that description, including those that live within the 46% of Auckland's land mass that falls within the Rodney ward
- support the focus on “urban areas that work” and suggests that this be expanded to include explicit provision for adequate open space and recreation areas in tandem with growth
  - question the direction on “secure and affordable homes” given that council's role in the delivery of such outcomes is limited with central government being the key decision maker in this area and notes that this outcome does not address the needs of the wider community and is not supported by past community feedback that the council should be focusing on providing core services such as roading.
- Outcome area 4: Transport and Access
    - generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Transport and Access” and notes that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show 41% support and 24% do not support this focus area
    - reiterate that transport remains the highest priority for Rodney as a core council function
  - Outcome area 5: Environment and Cultural Heritage
    - generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Environment and Cultural Heritage”
    - support the Focus 1 (encouraging Aucklanders to be stewards of the environment) and Focus 2 (restoring environments) particularly given the pressures resulting from accelerated growth
    - suggest that Focus 7 (adapt to changing water future) should include a regional focus on improving the health of our harbours and waterways given the unique geography of the Auckland region with its multiple harbours as a key point of difference
    - request the inclusion of a focus on understanding the rural environment and the role of rural settlements within the region.
  - Outcome area 6: Opportunity and Prosperity
    - generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Opportunity and Prosperity”
    - suggest that the draft plan be expanded to explicitly address the issue of the location of employment given its capacity to have positive benefits in terms of growing local communities and relieving pressure on transport infrastructure
    - request the inclusion of a focus on understanding the rural economy within the region.
  - Development Strategy
    - generally supports the “Development Strategy”
    - suggest the Development Strategy refers to business land needing to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).

## **Upper Harbour**

Moved: Member N Mayne

Seconded: Member U Balouch

Resolution: UHCF/2018/15

That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:

receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

## Waiheke

Moved: Chairperson C Handley

Seconded: Member J Meeuwsen

Resolution: WHK/2018/110

That the Waiheke Local Board:

provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 through Chairperson C Handley, following a further workshop on the subject.

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - Supports the focus areas for the “Belonging and participation” outcome, noting community feedback was generally supportive with 42 per cent support and 43 per cent partially support.
  - Supports the concept of resilient, thriving communities and recommends emphasis on enabling and supporting locally-led and delivered solutions to improve sense of belonging.
  - Recommends more emphasis on fostering belonging, improving living standards, and reducing disparities in opportunities that can apply to small, remoter rural and island communities.
- Outcome area 2: Maori Identity and Wellbeing
  - Supports the focus areas for the “Maori Identify and Wellbeing” outcome, with feedback from the community at 48 per cent support and 37 per cent partial support.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and Places
  - Supports the focus areas for the outcome “Homes and Places” outcome, with feedback from the community showing 31 per cent support and 46 per cent partial support.
  - Notes community concern around the lack of affordable homes, availability of rental property and security of tenure, and the built quality of rental homes.
- Outcome area 4: Transport and Access
  - Supports the focus areas for the “Transport and Access” outcome, noting feedback from the community shows 47 per cent support and 38 per cent partial support.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and Cultural Heritage
  - Supports the focus areas for the “Environment and Cultural Heritage” outcome, with feedback from the community showing 45 per cent support and 43 per cent partial support.
  - Recommend inclusion of a clear and strong statement about how Sea Change Tai Timu Tai Pari will be actioned.
  - Recommend the reinstatement of the built environment within Outcome 5 so that the draft Plan reads: “Environment, Cultural and Historic Heritage.” Wherever the words “cultural heritage” are used, they could be expanded to “cultural and historic heritage.”
  - Additionally, we ask for the 2012 chapter (14 pages) on historic heritage be reinstated in the Auckland Plan. To quote from that chapter: “Auckland expects that our unique historic heritage will continue to improve our quality of life – by reinforcing our sense of place and identity – and provide a legacy for future generations.”
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and Prosperity

- Supports the focus areas for the “Opportunity and Prosperity” outcome, with feedback from the community showing 43 per cent support and 41 per cent partial support.
- Development Strategy
  - Notes feedback on the outcome “Shaping our Growth” was mixed with 25 per cent of community feedback not in support.
- General
  - Request that the Auckland Plan 2050 include a new focus area Enhancing Our Quality of Life or similar which would include:
    - Specific reference to each of the four wellbeings: social, economic, environmental and cultural, and objectives which integrate the four wellbeings.
    - A greater focus on arts and culture with the core value of Auaha (creativity, innovation) having a greater emphasis throughout the draft plan.
    - A greater focus on sport and recreation and recognition of the key role this plays in the draft plan’s outcomes.
  - Requests the following additions to the Auckland Plan 2050:
    - Clear short-term and long-term targets to measure the success of the plan including:
      - Progress towards zero net carbon emissions
      - Transport targets covering access to jobs, traffic congestion, mode share (use of public transport, walking and cycling), household transport costs and deaths and serious injuries
      - Swimmable beaches and waterways
      - Rates of home building.
- A bolder approach towards protecting our significant natural landscapes and increasing our urban forest cover.

## Waitākere

Moved: Chairperson G Presland

Seconded: by Member S Tollestrup

Resolution: WTK/2018/41

That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 as outlined in Attachment A

- General feedback
  - Note that the local board has twice provided feedback to support drafting of the Auckland Plan 2050.
  - In developing the refreshed Auckland Plan a decision has been made to create a more condensed document and this appears to have left some gaps in the document around some core city issues being faced such as health and wellbeing, increasing older persons population, and the role of arts in a creative city.
  - The local board acknowledge that staff in briefings have noted that many policies have been developed since the first Auckland Plan and set direction, however the LB do not feel these linkages between AP and policies can be assumed and that the Council policies are for Council whereas the Auckland Plan is to set a framework for the city as a whole including groups such as; corporates, educational institutes, District Health Boards, and Mana Whenua trusts.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - Perceive that the use of phase cultural heritage appear to be different to standard use of phrase
  - Identify that historic heritage should be picked up alongside of cultural heritage with its own emphasis.
  - *Change, to text -May 22 – Identify that historic heritage picks up aspect of post-colonial activity and should be explicitly added.*
- Development strategy
  - Advocate a changing in timing of development strategy and that Glen Eden should be identified for significant development before the projected 11-30 years. The local board propose this should be in the 1-3 year phase as multi-story development and public transport oriented development and supporting activity is already occurring and town centre needs investment now.
  - Note the Unitary Plan zoning in Glen Eden supports significant intensification around the town centre and rail/bus transport node. There is capacity in the waste and storm water systems and a supportive political environment

## Waitemata

Moved: Chairperson P Coom

Seconded: Chairperson S Chambers

Resolution: WTM/2018/40

That the Waitematā Local Board:

provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050

- confirm our support for a bold and ambitious 30-year spatial plan, which responds to the challenges facing Auckland and sets a strategic direction for Auckland.
- urge that the Auckland Plan 2050 includes a new Focus Area called Enhancing Our Quality of Life or similar which would cover:
  - specific reference to each of the four wellbeings; social, economic, environmental and cultural and objectives that integrates the four wellbeings
  - explicit reference to built heritage that reflects the importance and value of built heritage to Aucklanders
  - a greater focus on arts and culture with the core value of Auaha (creativity, innovation) having a greater emphasis throughout the draft plan
  - a greater focus on sport and recreation and recognition of the key role this plays in the draft plan's outcomes
- We also urge the addition of the following to the Plan
  - clear targets to measure the success of the plan including:
    - zero net carbon emissions
    - transport targets covering access to jobs, traffic congestion, mode share (use of public transport, walking and cycling), household transport costs and deaths and serious injuries (these cover measures 1 – 5)
    - swimmable beaches
    - rates of home building
- A bolder approach towards increasing our significant environments and urban forest cover

## Whau

Moved: Chairperson T Mulholland

Seconded: Member D Battersby

Resolution: WH/2018/41

That the Whau Local Board:

provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

- General comments
  - notes that the local board has previously provided feedback to support development of the Auckland Plan 2050.
  - notes that the outcomes and objectives in the Whau Local Board plan strongly align to the Auckland Plan outcomes.
  - notes the Whau community support shown in the recent consultation for the outcomes
  - is supportive of the intent of the outcomes overall, with some specific comments below
- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
  - Arts and cultural activities should be more strongly referenced in the Auckland Plan as they play a substantial role in connecting communities and contributing to the overall health and wellbeing of societies.
  - Active living and sport and recreation are not acknowledged in Direction 2.
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
  - The special relationship with Maori as tangata whenua should be recognised throughout the Auckland Plan.
  - There does not seem to be any recognition of the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan. Suggest this be included.
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
  - Pleased to see that the built quality of existing dwellings, including rentals, will be improved.
  - Commentary is missing on the role of sport and recreation in public places and how that will be affected by the pressures of a growing city. Providing space for sport in the public realm will be an ongoing challenge.
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
  - There is strong alignment in the Whau Local Board plan with this outcome area. Walking links need to be more strongly acknowledged alongside cycling. The role of travel to education is not considered strongly enough. Levels of congestion drop markedly when schools are on holiday regularly demonstrating the negative impact of a badly planned school commute on Auckland's transport system.
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
  - The board is pleased that the importance of environmental protection and that reducing greenhouse gas emissions is highlighted.
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
  - Note the need to clearly identify the indirect influence local boards can have on economic development and the need to better understand the opportunities and best practice. A strong future focused approach in this outcome is welcomed.