

Planning Committee Auckland Plan Refresh Workshop 23

MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the briefing held in the Reception Lounge, Level 2, Auckland Town Hall on Thursday 3 May 2018 at 1.39pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson Cr Chris Darby	
Cr Cathy Casey	From 2.47pm (on council business)
Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore	From 2.17pm, until 3.00pm (on council business)
Cr Linda Cooper	From 2.15pm, until 3.05pm (on council business)
Cr Christine Fletcher	From 2.32pm (on council business)
Cr Richard Hills	From 2.17pm (on council business)
Cr Penny Hulse	From 2.06pm (on council business)
Cr Mike Lee	
IMSB Member Liane Ngamane	From 1.52pm
Cr Desley Simpson	From 2.17pm (on council business)
Cr Wayne Walker	From 1.48pm, until 2.49pm
Cr John Watson	From 1.55pm, until 4.24pm
IMSB Member Glenn Wilcox	(alternate)

APOLOGIES

Cr Josephine Bartley	On council business
Cr Ross Clow	On council business
Cr Efeso Collins	
Cr Alf Filipaina	
Mayor Phil Goff	On council business
Cr Dick Quax	For leave of absence
Cr Greg Sayers	On council business
Cr Sharon Stewart	
Cr John Walker	

ABSENT

Cr Daniel Newman

ALSO PRESENT

Viv Beck	Auckland City Centre Advisory Board Chair
Shirin Brown	Waiheke Local Board Member
Brent Catchpole	Papakura Local Board Chair
Janet Clews	Seniors Advisory Panel Chair
Leaupepe Ralph Erika	Pacific Panel Advisory Panel Chair

Angela Fulljames
Cath Handley
Veisia Maka
Chris Makoare
Amy Malcolm
Richard Northey
Lemauga Lydia Sosene
George Wood

Franklin Local Board Chair
Waiheke Local Board Chair
Youth Advisory Panel Chair
Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board Chair
Auckland City Centre Advisory Board Member
Waitemata Local Board Member
Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Chair
Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Chair

Note: No decisions or resolutions may be made by a workshop, unless the Governing Body or Committee resolution establishing the working party, specifically instructs such action.

Purpose of workshop

- To receive an analysis of the feedback received on the Auckland Plan during consultation and discuss the implications for the final Auckland Plan.

Declarations of Interest

- There were no declarations of interest.

Workshop notes

The following topics were discussed and a PowerPoint presentation and supporting briefing reports were provided.

- National Policy Statement requirements (Development Strategy)
- Arts and culture / Sport and recreation
- Built heritage
- Monitoring framework (Targets)
- Māori Identity and Wellbeing

The workshop closed at 4.38pm.

Auckland Plan 2050

Items 1 and 2: Context / Overview

3 May

Planning Committee workshop

Consultation is complete

- High level of engagement:
 - 18,742 written submissions
 - 5,865 in-person comments
- All feedback has been processed and reviewed
- ATAP and central government discussions also progressed
- Briefing reports developed to support decisions to finalise plan



Submission type	Volume
Online form	11,168
People's panel online form	2,141
Hard copy form	4,670
Non form*	763
Total	18,742

* Letter, email, pro forma

Demographics

GENDER	#	%
Male	7,656	47%
Female	8,422	52%
Gender diverse	150	1%
Total	16,228	100%

AGE	Male	Female	Diverse	Total	%
< 15	116	161	1	375	2%
15 – 24	718	964	31	1847	11%
25 – 34	1,186	1,365	22	2,633	16%
35 – 44	1,423	1,641	27	3,163	19%
45 – 54	1,345	1,634	27	3,072	19%
55 – 64	1,089	1,264	9	2,417	15%
65 – 74	1,121	921	15	2,127	13%
75 +	526	341	10	929	6%
Total				16,563	100%

Do you consider yourself part of the following communities?

Disability	599
Rainbow	762


ETHNICITY	#	%
European	11,603	71%
Pakeha/NZ European	10,696	66%
Other European	907	6%
Maori	1,591	10%
Pacific	1,089	7%
Samoan	487	3%
Tongan	194	1%
Other Pacific	408	3%
Asian	3,054	19%
Chinese	1,694	10%
Indian	568	3%
Other Asian	792	5%
African/Middle Eastern/Latin	266	2%
Other	550	3%
New Zealander/Kiwi	476	3%
Other	74	0%
Total	16,293	NA*

May workshops

- Consideration of feedback and implications

3 May	10 May	14 May
<p>Key topic discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sport and recreation / Arts and culture• Built heritage• NPS requirements• Targets <p>Maori identity and wellbeing – Briefing report</p>	<p>Briefing reports on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Belonging and participation• Homes and places• Environment and cultural heritage• Opportunity and prosperity	<p>Briefing reports on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transport and access• Development strategy• Other feedback (including targets)

Finalising the Auckland Plan 2050



Auckland Plan 2050

Item 3a:

Discussion - National Policy Statement on
Urban Development Capacity

3 May

Planning Committee workshop

Context

- The Auckland Plan Development Strategy sets out how Auckland will grow and change over the next 30 years
- The NPS on Urban Development Capacity requires high-growth councils to prepare a Future Development Strategy
- For Auckland, this requirement will be met through the Development Strategy in the Auckland Plan

NPS: UDC requirements

- High-growth councils must prepare a Future Development Strategy demonstrating sufficient, feasible development capacity in the medium and long term
- Informed by the Housing and Business Development Capacity Assessment (HBDCA) for Auckland
- Demonstrate how the minimum targets for housing development capacity will be met
- Since November 2017
 - Detailed guidance released for the NPS
 - Auckland's HBDCA completed and published
 - Targets agreed
 - Public feedback received

MfE Guidance

The guidance is extensive. The key areas we need to focus on are:

- Set minimum targets for feasible development capacity
- Housing and business development capacity assessment to demonstrate how any identified development capacity is sufficient and feasible
- Maps and tables outlining the location, timing, and sequencing of development capacity
- Identification of infrastructure required to support future development capacity

New information - HBDCA and Targets

- HBDCA indicates feasible capacity for approximately 326,000 homes
- Targets for development capacity for housing
 - Medium term 189,800
 - Long term 408,300



Consultation feedback

- Does the Unitary Plan enable enough capacity given the revised capacity assessments? (Housing New Zealand)
- Concern over population and housing growth projections - consistency (Ben Ross and Greater Auckland)
- Specific recommendations relating to meeting NPS requirements and Future Development Strategy (MfE/MBIE)

Changes required for final plan

- Include clear reference to minimum targets
- Explain how targets will be met
- Clearer information about infrastructure and infrastructure constraints
- More detail on location, timing and capacity over the medium and long term - updated tables

Specific changes

- Long term target of feasible development capacity for 408,300 dwellings
- HBDCA indicates feasible capacity approx. 326,000 – Shortfall of 82,000 in long-term
- Shortfall can be addressed through committed programmes:
 - Housing New Zealand / HLC programme
 - KiwiBuild/Urban Development Corporation
 - Panuku (direct actions and land aggregation and sales)
 - Planning decisions
 - Identified and funded public transport projects
 - Town centre improvements
 - Over time trends towards denser urban living

Specific changes

- Updated table to show balance of growth

	Population 2018	Pop Growth 2018-2048	Dwellings 2018	Dwellings 2018-2048	Feasible Capacity 2017
City Centre and City Fringe	82,173	58,430	32,095	25,239	219
Nodes	53,859	111,755	17,426	43,692	43,732
Development areas	543,898	196,768	171,851	80,474	50,524
Remaining urban	812,024	143,998	271,782	73,304	62,680
Future urban area	38,284	175,721	13,249	71,272	75,170
Rural	135,571	42,519	50,416	23,679	53,686
Total	1,665,809	557,188	557,419	317,661	286,011

Note: Numbers to be finalised

Specific changes

- Updated table for Nodes, Development Areas and Future Urban Areas

	Location	Development at scale				Expected dwelling growth	Feasible capacity 2017
		Decade 1 (2018-2027)		Decade 2 (2028 - 2037)	Decade 3 (2038 - 2047)		
		Short (2018 - 2020)	Medium (2021 - 2027)	Long (2028 - 2047)			
	City Centre and City Fringe					25,239	219
	Albany					5,747	991
	Westgate					33,191	41,186
	Manukau					4,754	1,555
	Sunnynook					987	991
Cluster	Takapuna					5,393	1,145
	Northcote					1,449	884
	Birkenhead					2,818	2,009
	Te Atatu Peninsula					1,114	702
Outer West Cluster	Te Atatu South					292	604
	Glendene					-	390
	Henderson					3,776	571
	Sunnysvale					533	413
	Avondale					2,436	981
West Cluster	New Lynn					6,851	284
	Fruitvale					1,151	991
	Glen Eden					1,547	1,265

Note: Numbers to be finalised

What next...

- Above changes will be included in the Development Strategy briefing report to be considered by Planning Committee 14 May



Auckland Plan 2050

Item 3b:

Discussion – feedback relating to arts and culture and sports and recreation

3 May

Planning Committee workshop

Arts and culture and sports and recreation

Context

Feedback requested more emphasis on arts and culture and sports, recreation and physical activity

Recommendation

New 'Quality of life' focus area that recognises a range of elements important to improving Aucklanders' quality of life, focusing on arts, culture, sport and recreation

Arts and culture feedback

- Addressing arts and culture feedback overall today
- Feedback cuts across a range of outcomes:
 - Belonging and participation
 - Homes and places
 - Opportunity and prosperity
- All other feedback on these outcomes will be discussed on 10 May

Arts and culture feedback

- Belonging and participation outcome

Main feedback themes:

- lack of focus on arts and culture as a priority
 - include promotion of and proactive support for arts and culture
 - provide diverse opportunities to participate in and experience arts and culture
- recognise contribution of arts and culture to a vibrant Auckland
- recognise contribution of participating in arts and culture to belonging including health and wellbeing
- include cultural infrastructure alongside social infrastructure

Arts and culture as a priority

Feedback

There was a large number of comments requesting a new **focus area** that recognises:

- arts and culture as a priority with appropriate promotion and proactive support
- role that participation in arts and culture plays in:
 - building social capital and connection
 - enabling cultural expression, exchange and sharing
 - celebrating and overcoming of difference within our communities

Comment

Draft plan refers to providing opportunities for cultural expression and participation including the arts, and outlines the importance of social infrastructure in making this possible:

- helping people learn, socialise and connect with each other as well as providing venues for arts and cultural events.

Recommended action

New 'quality of life' focus area that recognises the role that participation in arts and cultural initiatives plays in enhancing our sense of belonging.

Arts and culture for a vibrant Auckland

Feedback

- Arts and culture makes important contribution to Aucklanders' quality of life
- Events, community celebrations and participatory activities are vital to a culturally rich city and thriving communities, making Auckland a great place to live for residents/visitors

Comment

Research indicates that arts and culture contributes to city vibrancy

Recommended action

- New 'quality of life' focus area that recognises the role that participation in arts and cultural initiatives plays in enhancing our sense of belonging

Arts and culture infrastructure

Feedback

Include cultural infrastructure (e.g. museum, art galleries, amenities and programmes) alongside social infrastructure

Comment

- Narrative already recognises role of cultural infrastructure in enabling participation and providing venues for events
- More explicit reference to importance of cultural infrastructure may help to highlight its importance

Recommended action

Consider including 'social and cultural infrastructure' in the heading of focus area 2 and updating narrative to reference cultural infrastructure

Arts and culture feedback

- Homes and places outcome

Main feedback theme:

- Acknowledge role of public art in reflecting cultures and identities of Aucklanders



Role of public art

Feedback

Need to acknowledge role public art (experiences, objects) plays in reflecting cultures and identities of Aucklanders within their environment

Comment

- Narrative already discusses need to reflect local character and heritage in public places as well as our unique Māori cultural identity
- Also discussed as part of placemaking

Recommended action

- Additional emphasis in Focus Area 5 to recognise public art as a function of placemaking and as part of our identity in the built environment

Arts and culture feedback

- Opportunity and prosperity outcome

Main feedback themes:

- Importance of creative economy
- Thriving arts and culture as an attractor
- Developing creative skills

Importance of creative economy

Feedback

Comments requesting:

- reference to importance of creative economy
- recognition of arts sector and creative industries as vital source of innovation, talent and entrepreneurial strategy

Comment

- Though significant nationally, Auckland creative sector ranks behind several others, e.g. 13th in employment and 8th in GDP/employee
- Additional narrative on contribution of creative sector to innovation
- More explicitly acknowledge role of Auckland creative sector nationally

Recommended action

- Consider additional wording for emphasis in Direction 1
- Add a link to 2017 report on the creative sector

Thriving arts and culture as an attractor

Feedback

Comments suggesting stronger emphasis on the role a thriving arts and culture environment plays in attracting and retaining talent in the city

Comment

- Some reference to this in Direction 2
- Additional emphasis on how a strong arts and cultural environment with plentiful opportunities to participate creates a vibrant city that attracts people

Recommended action

Consider additional wording in Direction 2 to emphasise the role of arts and culture as an attractor

Developing creative skills

Feedback

1. Need to build skills and capability of Auckland's creative talent
2. Provide better opportunities for young people to learn how to think and act creatively

Comment

1. Skill building and focus on young people is a fundamental component of this outcome. Could be additional emphasis in Direction 2 on growing creative skills and capacity to create a vibrant city
2. Opportunities for young people to develop their creative thinking skills already referenced in Focus Area 5

Recommended action

1. Consider additional wording for Direction 2 re growing creative skills and capacity to create a vibrant city
2. No change

Summary of proposed changes

Belonging and participation – new focus area

- ‘Quality of life’ focus area recognising broader value of arts and culture to improving Aucklanders’ quality of life

Belonging and participation – within focus area 2.

- include reference to cultural infrastructure in heading and narrative

Homes and places – additional wording (overview)

- recognise public art as a function of place making and as part of our identity in the built environment

Opportunity and prosperity - Direction 1 - additional wording and hyperlink

- acknowledge contribution of creative sector to innovation and link to sector report

Opportunity and prosperity - Direction 2 – revision and additional wording

- clarify and emphasise how a strong arts and cultural environment with opportunities to participate creates a vibrant city that attracts people
- include reference to growing creative skills and capacity to create a vibrant city

Sports and recreation feedback

- Addressing sports and recreation feedback overall today
- Feedback cuts across two outcomes:
 - Belonging and participation
 - Homes and places
- All other feedback on these outcomes will be discussed on 10 May

Sports and recreation feedback

- Belonging and participation outcome

Main feedback themes:

- lack of focus on sports, recreation and physical activity as a priority
 - recognise wider value of sport and recreation and multiple benefits it offers
 - recognise vital role sport and recreation plays in our communities, and contribution it makes to social wellbeing and health of Aucklanders/communities
- need for more emphasis on access to high quality facilities to fulfil current and future needs
- sport and recreation are an important part of what makes Auckland a great place to live/important for a vibrant city

Sports and recreation as a priority

Feedback

A large number of comments requesting a new **focus area** that recognises the role of participation in sports, recreation and physical activity in supporting social cohesion and creating an inclusive Auckland

Comment

Draft plan recognises participation in sport brings people together and helps people connect but does not include a specific sports and recreation focus area

Recommended action

Consider new 'quality of life' focus area - provide accessible opportunities for current and future Aucklanders to participate in physical activities, sport and recreation

Sports and recreation facilities

Feedback

A large number of comments on the need for continued investment in sports and recreation facilities and sports grounds/parks/open spaces to fulfil current needs and those of a growing and diverse population

Comment

- Sports and recreation services and facilities included in definition of social infrastructure in focus area 2
- Participants noted collaboration across sports sector for efficient use of existing facilities and the need to prioritise investment
- A range of solutions proposed to implement current plans (LTP)

Recommended action

- Consider adding emphasis on fit for purpose facilities and spaces to enable Aucklanders to live active, healthy lives within proposed new focus area

Contribution of sports and recreation to a vibrant Auckland

Feedback

A range of comments on the contribution of sport, recreation and physical activity to Aucklanders' social wellbeing and to healthy, vibrant, connected communities

Comment

Health is already recognised as an important aspect of quality of life and wellbeing, but the relationship to sport and recreation could be more explicit

Recommended action

- New 'quality of life' focus area that recognises importance of participation in sport and recreation

Sports and recreation feedback

– Homes and places outcome

Main feedback themes

- Provide more emphasis on the role of public spaces for sport and recreation
- Value of green spaces and outdoor activities in promoting physical activity

Comment

- Draft plan recognises sports fields, parks and greenways provide opportunities for Aucklanders to interact and connect (Direction 4, Focus Area 5)
- More emphasis could be given to sport and recreation in relation to green spaces

Recommended action:

Consider adding emphasis on sport and recreation in relation to green spaces

Summary of proposed changes

- **Belonging and participation – new focus area**
 - new ‘Quality of life’ focus area recognising broader value of sports, recreation and physical activity to improving Aucklanders’ quality of life
- **Homes and places - Direction 4 - additional emphasis**
 - recognising the role of public spaces for sport and recreation

What 'quality of life' focus area could include

- Direction 2 of Belonging and Participation encompasses health and wellbeing - quality of life is an important aspect
- Evidence that participating in arts, culture, sports and recreation improves self-esteem, confidence and perceived life satisfaction/quality of life
- Arts, culture, sports and recreation create strong and cohesive communities by fostering identity and pride, building social connection and increasing our sense of belonging
- Providing increased opportunities for all Aucklanders to access, participate and experience arts, culture, sport and recreation, as part of their everyday lives, enhances quality of life
- Being a culturally rich and active city is essential if Auckland is to continue to be a desirable place to live

Auckland Plan 2050


Item 3c:
Discussion – Built heritage

3 May
Planning Committee workshop

Environment and cultural heritage

Feedback

Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment as growth and development happens.




Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?



Environment and cultural heritage


Feedback

- Of the ~15,000 submissions received, only 30% of submitters (~4,500) provided additional comments on the Environment and Cultural Heritage outcome to inform specific revisions to the draft.




Environment and Cultural Heritage Feedback

- Heritage-related submissions formed a relatively small proportion of comments on the Environment and Cultural Heritage outcome
- A number regional stakeholders held strong views on built heritage
- A small number of heritage-related submissions were identified in other outcomes and in the 10 Year Budget submissions



Environment and Cultural Heritage Feedback

- ~300 heritage-related submissions were identified within the Environment and Cultural Heritage outcome submissions
- These submissions covered the breadth of submission responses (Yes, No, Partially Agree and Other)



Heritage-Related Submissions:

Key Themes

Built Heritage

~1/3 of heritage-related comments refer specifically to 'built' heritage. These comments however fall broadly into two distinct perspectives:

- Lack of protection for built heritage. Focus provided in previous Auckland Plan has been lost
- Heritage should not limit the construction of critical infrastructure or obstruct growth/intensification

Importance of Cultural Heritage

- Auckland's cultural heritage (not just built heritage, but inclusive of broader aspects such as Māori heritage and natural heritage) is an important part of our identity and should be preserved

Too much focus on Heritage

- Too much focus on heritage, the outcome should be limited to the protection and restoration of the natural environment

Built Heritage

Feedback

- Lack of protection for built heritage. Focus provided in previous Auckland Plan has been lost
- Heritage should not limit the construction of critical infrastructure or obstruct growth/intensification

Comment

The Auckland Plan sets the vision for Auckland, the Unitary Plan provides protection for specific aspects such as heritage.

- Heritage and development can co-exist, and heritage buildings are an important contributor to a quality compact urban form
- The focus on built heritage sits best in the Homes and Places outcome

Recommended Action

- Provide additional focus on built heritage within Homes and Places outcome, as a contributor to a quality compact urban form

Importance of Cultural Heritage

Feedback

- Auckland's cultural heritage (not just limited to buildings, but inclusive of broader aspects such as Māori heritage and natural heritage) is an important part of our identity and should be preserved.

Comment

- The link between the natural environment and our shared cultural heritage is an underpinning theme in the reshaping of the Auckland Plan 2050's approach to environmental protection.
- This link is also recognised across other submissions on the outcome however clarity on the important connection between these aspects would be beneficial.

Recommended Actions

- Retain broad cultural heritage definition and focus of Environment and Cultural Heritage outcome.
- Provide further context on importance of cultural and built heritage within Belonging and Participation Outcome

Too much focus on Heritage

Feedback

- There is too much focus on heritage, the outcome should be limited to the protection and restoration of the natural environment.
- Submitters raised examples of degraded natural environments or environmental topics/issues they would like to see receive additional focus.

Comment

Placing the focus on 'built heritage' within the Homes and Places Outcome allows greater emphasis to be placed on the 'natural' environment in this outcome.

- Other feedback (both related specifically to heritage and for the outcome more broadly) suggests the environment-people link should remain core to this outcome.

Recommended Actions

- Emphasise the 'natural' (versus built) environment focus of this outcome.
- Provide further context on importance of cultural and built heritage (e.g. Heritage Festival) within Belonging and Participation Outcome.

Summary of Proposed Changes

Environment and Cultural Heritage Outcome

- Retain current broad definition of ‘cultural heritage’
- Provide clarity on ‘natural environment’ rather than ‘built environment’ focus.

Homes and Places Outcome

- Provide additional focus on built heritage within Homes and Places outcome, as a contributor to a quality compact urban form

Belonging and Participation Outcome

- Provide further context on importance of cultural and built heritage within Belonging and Participation Outcome.

Auckland Plan 2050


Item 3d:

Discussion – Monitoring Framework

3 May

Planning Committee workshop

Proposed Monitoring Framework




Feedback

1. Supported the 33 measures with suggestions of additional measures
2. Need goals/targets to track progress
3. Need to set aspirational targets


Discussion

Option 1:

- 33 measures reported annually
- Use targets set outside the Plan as part of 3-yearly report




Handout



Discussion

Option 2:

- Option 1, plus small set of aspirational targets
- Run process to determine targets and where they best sit
- Developed with key stakeholders responsible for delivery



Focus of targets:

- Housing
- Transport
- Environment
- Would need work with Central Government to agree
- Unlikely to achieve by end May

Auckland Plan 2050

Item 4:


Discussion – Māori identity and wellbeing

3 May

Planning Committee workshop

Overview

- Strong engagement with the Māori identity and wellbeing outcome
- Māori participation rate (10%), a large increase from 2015 LTP
- Consultation question on advancing Māori wellbeing
 - 14,935 written submissions, 810 in person, 3 social media feedback
- CCO feedback – ATEED only
- Written submissions (14,935)
 - 45% agree
 - 26% partially agree
 - 25% no
 - 3% comment (no response)
 - Of the 14,935 submissions, 26% provided commentary
- In person (810)
 - 25% agree
 - 15% partially agree
 - 13% no
 - 47% comment (no categorisation)



Feedback – key themes

- General comments of support for the outcome/focus areas
 - Particularly focus areas 1, 3, 6 (children and young people doing well, use of te Reo and showcasing/celebrating Māori culture)
- Qualified support in the ‘partial’ category, as well as new themes (e.g. critical role of education in Māori advancement) and the importance of effective implementation of the focus areas
- Overarching theme that outcome was not reflecting an inclusive approach in Auckland as a multicultural and diverse city, and focusing on one ethnic group over others should not be supported
- Detail provided in Attachment 1

Other feedback

- Mana whenua submissions generally expressed good support for the outcome
 - Common theme being the importance of engagement, relationships and a partnership approach
- Regional stakeholder submissions generally expressed good support for the outcome
- CCO feedback – outcome aligns to ATEED’s strategy
- Detail provided in Attachments 2 and 3

Discussion

- Limited substantive changes to outcome recommended given:
 - general support expressed for outcome and focus areas (45%) and few specific suggested changes/amendments to focus areas
 - feedback theme is:
 - already adequately addressed within outcome, or elsewhere within the Plan itself
 - general across all outcome areas of the Plan and is being addressed through the Overview report
 - not in the scope of the Plan to resolve or address
 - out of the scope of the Plan itself, being broad-ranging project/operational/funding and implementation requests or commentary.

Proposed changes

- Overarching theme – not an inclusive approach
 - Propose new text in front section of the Plan which notes the diversity of Auckland, the recognition of Māori as the indigenous people of Aotearoa New Zealand, Treaty of Waitangi as the nation’s founding document and local government obligations which are specific to Māori
- Clarification of terms to make clearer/more consistent
 - Intergenerational wealth – write definition into text of focus area itself
 - Rangatira – proposed text amendments/updates to glossary
- Detail provided in Attachment 4