

Attachment A - Options assessment

	Estimated Cost*	Constraints and risks	Opportunities
<p>Concept 1 (original route):</p> <p>Original design - a 70 metre long suspension bridge and 35 metre long boardwalk tying into the existing pathway.</p> <p>Height difference at the bridge ends is 3 metres.</p>	\$335,000	<p>Landowner approval required to construct the walkway across jointly owned land.</p>	<p>Provides the best level of service of all four options with a shorter, more direct route at the lowest cost.</p> <p>Greater public safety through providing a straight visual route.(CPTED Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design)</p> <p>Lower construction cost.</p> <p>Shortest total walkway length.</p> <p>Easier construction and smaller foundations required.</p> <p>Direct access from Heritage Lane to the track.</p> <p>Avoids the rodeo paddocks.</p> <p>Potential to be a local attraction providing a tree-top experience.</p> <p>Potential to acknowledge the joint landowners through signage and plaques, and to educate the community on the QE II Trust.</p>
<p>Concept 2:</p> <p>70 metre long suspension bridge at a new location and 58 metres of boardwalk and concrete pathway.</p> <p>Height difference at the bridge ends is 3 metres.</p>	\$398,000	<p>Higher cost.</p> <p>Less direct route.</p> <p>Boardwalk and concrete pathway required through the rodeo paddocks.</p> <p>Longer boardwalk structure contributes to additional cost.</p>	<p>Utilises the original suspension bridge design.</p> <p>Easier construction and smaller foundations required.</p> <p>Direct access from Heritage Lane to the track.</p> <p>Potential to be a local attraction providing a tree-top experience.</p>

<p>Concept 3:</p> <p>110 metre long suspension bridge at a new location with 60 metres of boardwalk and concrete pathway.</p> <p>Height difference at bridge ends is 5 metres.</p>	<p>\$560,000</p>	<p>Highest construction cost.</p> <p>Directs users through the Atlas site and future development and timing of this is to be confirmed. The walkway may be dependent on the site development occurring first.</p> <p>The route is adjacent to a former landfill area and construction complexities and costs may escalate through the design and construction phases due to unforeseen factors.</p> <p>Less of a direct route creating a risk of informal local tracks forming through the bush as a short-cut.</p> <p>More visually obtrusive due to larger support towers.</p> <p>Greater length of boardwalk required to transition from the bridge height to ground level, which may also have a visual impact.</p> <p>Long connection path required to provide access to the showgrounds car park.</p>	<p>Avoids the rodeo area.</p> <p>Shortest length of connecting pathway required if the existing sealed accessway can be used.</p> <p>Good site access for construction.</p> <p>Potential to be a local attraction providing a tree-top experience.</p>
<p>Concept 4:</p> <p>15 metre long timber bridge with 450 metres of new concrete pathway.</p>	<p>\$335,000</p>	<p>Least direct route to Heritage Lane. Risk that the walkway will be less likely to be used and informal local tracks will be formed through the bush as a short-cut.</p> <p>Directs users through the Atlas site and future development and timing of this is to be confirmed. The walkway may be dependent on the site development occurring first.</p> <p>Less likely to provide a local attraction experience.</p>	<p>Comparable cost to the original design.</p> <p>Least impact on the surrounding ecological area.</p> <p>Less visual impact.</p> <p>Avoids the rodeo area.</p> <p>Connects with the Atlas site and provides linkages with this part of the reserve and with a proposed Park and Ride facility.</p> <p>Good site access for construction.</p>

**This cost estimate excludes community engagement, specialist reports, internal project management, signage and contingency*