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---
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---
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---
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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

The following are declared interests of elected members of the Henderson-Massey Local Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOARD MEMBER</th>
<th>ORGANISATION</th>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>Updated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shane Henderson</td>
<td>Waitakere Licensing Trust</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td>21 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chairman)</td>
<td>Waitakere Badminton</td>
<td>Patron</td>
<td>4 Dec 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colwill School</td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Chan, JP</td>
<td>Cantonese Opera Society of NZ</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>21 Feb 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Deputy Chairman)</td>
<td>Asian Leaders Forum</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>5 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NZ-Hong Kong Business Association</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NZ-China Business Association</td>
<td>Member Advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Chinese Environment Protection Association (ACEPA)</td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whau Coastal Walkway Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Brady, JP</td>
<td>Safer West Community Trust</td>
<td>Trustee</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Grey</td>
<td>West Auckland Youth Development Trust</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Billy Graham Youth Foundation</td>
<td>Board Member Affiliate</td>
<td>16 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Bold-Wilson</td>
<td>Community Waitakere</td>
<td>Board member</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unitec Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Neeson, JP</td>
<td>Village Green Quilters</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ranui Advisory Group</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Flaunty, QSM</td>
<td>NorSGA Properties</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>17 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Trusts Community Foundation Ltd</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>5 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life North West Pharmacy</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td>18 Sep 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitemata District Health Board</td>
<td>Elected Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitakere Licensing Trust</td>
<td>Elected Member Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massey Birdwood Settlers Ass.</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taupaki Residents &amp; Ratepayers Association</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henderson Rotary</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will Flavell</td>
<td>Te Atatu Tennis Club</td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td>15 Nov 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia New Zealand Leadership Network</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rutherford College</td>
<td>Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waitākere Literacy Board</td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 20 August 2019, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

5 **Leave of Absence**

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 **Acknowledgements**

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 **Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 **Deputations**

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Henderson-Massey Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 **Deputation - North West Toy Library, Katherine Wilson**

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. On behalf of North West Toy Library (NWTL), Katherine Wilson would like to thank Henderson-Massey Local Board for the grant they approved and to provide an update on what the money was spent on. Also, she wants to present to the local board the NWTL Grand Opening Day (including a short 1.30 minute video) and answer any questions about their ongoing project.

2. NWTL would like to inform the local board, so they have a greater understanding of this project and of who may benefit from becoming members of the North West Toy Library.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Katherine Wilson from the North West Toy Library and thank her for their presentation.

**Attachments**

A North West Toy Library presentation.................................................. 143
8.2 Deputation - Te Atatu Peninsula Annual Business Association Report

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Te Atatu Peninsula Business Association (TAPBA) seek to deliver their annual report to the Henderson-Massey Local Board.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The TAPBA Report summarises the achievements of the organisation for the 2018-2019 financial year. Stephen Enger, Chair of TAPBA, and Robynne Pringle, Manager of Business Improvement District Te Atatu Peninsula, will speak to the annual report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Stephen Enger and Robynne Pringle, and thank them for their presentation of the Te Atatu Peninsula Business Association Annual Report.

Attachments

8.3 Deputation - Te Atatu Pony Club

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Te Atatū Pony Club (TAPC) would like to be able to continue to use areas A and B (marked in their lease) as well as area D.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Te Atatū Pony Club hope the Local Board and Parks would support the club to continue using Areas A and B (as well as Area D) on a month by month basis until they will be in a position to discuss a longer term lease with Council, as per the Harbourview-Orangihina Park Master Plan. Anne Williams (Secretary) and Jen du Fresne (President) will speak to the TAPC deputation.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Te Atatū Pony Club, and thank Anne Williams and Jen du Fresne for their presentation.

Attachments
A Deputation - Te Atatu Pony Club - Attachment A ....................... 163
B Deputation - Te Atatu Pony Club - Attachment B ....................... 165
8.4 Deputation - Te Pai Park Tennis Club, Rosanna Leman

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The president of Te Pai Park Tennis Club, Rosanna Leman, would like to briefly present to the Henderson-Massey Local Board a request related to pickleball lines.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The request is to have the public courts at the rear of the club be permanently marked with lines for pickleball courts together with the tennis and netball lines in place.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the Te Pai Park Tennis Club deputation and thank Rosanna Leman for her presentation.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. This will be my last Chair’s report of the term, and it gives me great pleasure to offer a little retrospective and most importantly huge thanks to give. My apologies if it is a little indulgent in signing off, and I am very aware that there is much more to do in Henderson-Massey to secure the kind of future these communities need.

Thanks To Give

2. It is most important to thank the wonderful staff that have helped this board throughout the term. I think we are well supported by able and passionate Westies that are crucially important in allowing this board to function and serve the community. While we make the governance decisions that set projects in motion, it is the staff that make sure these decisions are actually carried out and I want to thank them personally for a fantastic job. This incoming board after the election will be lucky indeed.

3. I also wish to thank our community partners, for working alongside the board and delivering amazing results for our community in so many areas that are vital for a good life in Henderson-Massey. Through your work, our community is stronger, our environment is more beautiful, our young people are more confident, and our streets are both safer and more vibrant. Thank you and this board looks forward to continuing strong partnerships.

4. I wish to personally thank the members of this board. We deal with each other in what I like to call the “westie way”. This is a sense of mutual respect, and although we do disagree and sometimes passionately, there is never a focus on personalities and the kind of attack politics that demeans the office. It has been a pleasure working with this board, I like to think this has been three years of achievement and this wouldn’t be possible without constructive and positive relationships.

5. I adore that we conduct elections in our community in a way that respects the public and their wishes, focused on needs and opportunities. Finally, I wish everyone luck in the upcoming election, those seeking re-election and new candidates as well.

Achievements in the Term

6. I do not wish to rehash the progress report, which is an excellent compendium of what has been achieved in the last three years. In this Chair’s Report, I will merely point out a couple of big wins accomplished.

7. This board has been a leader in improving outcomes for Māori, in work that is both our legal and moral duty, and I think is a measure of success when you look back on what has been done. If it doesn’t work for our Māori community, it really doesn’t work at all. So I was glad that this board passed the Toitū Waitākere report, a plan for concrete actions provided by the community from the bottom-up. This board has resourced this plan too, along with our colleagues in the other two West boards, so that we will see results. Te Kete Rukuruku has provided beautiful names for parks and even facilities too. There is obviously huge work to be done, both for Waka Ama and the Te Atatū Marae, and I would personally encourage the new board to continue moving forward on these initiatives.

8. In Henderson and Te Atatū South, there has been great work on planning future communities, in housing and business (in Henderson’s case), and in beautifying parks and a town square (for Te Atatū South). Along the way, there has been great partnerships with agencies and community, and I really value what has been done to set up these communities for a wonderful new future.
9. We had the pleasure this term of opening Royal Reserve, a playground in Massey that has become a destination not just for local residents, but all Westies. With work in Lincoln North, we made streets safer to walk and cycle. We supported great work by community groups in Ranui that have grown young people and the wider community in a meaningful way. If you’ll allow me to say it, I think these are all things this Board can be proud of. I eagerly await what the next three years will bring.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the Chairperson’s September 2019 report.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Shane Hendersaon – Chair Henderson-Massey Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Henderson-Massey Local Board Plan 2017 - 2020 Progress Report
File No.: CP2019/14339

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide a progress report against the key initiatives in the Henderson-Massey Local Board Plan 2017 - 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. The attached report covers the second year of the local board plan period, from November 2018 through to September 2019. The first progress report was received at the November 2018 Henderson-Massey Local Board business meeting.
4. The report is a summary annual overview of the three-year local board plan and complement existing reporting documents such as the quarterly and annual reports.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Henderson Massey Local Board Plan 2017 - 2020 progress report</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Wendy Kjestrup - Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction and context

This progress report provides the second update on progress against the key initiatives in the three-year Henderson-Massey Local Board Plan 2017. The report covers from November 2018 through to September 2019.

Local Board Plan progress reports are a supplementary resource for easy reference; the board regularly receives quarterly performance and annual reports on its monthly business agenda that provide a more detailed record of projects and work programmes. This information is available through Henderson-Massey Local Board business meeting agendas on the Auckland Council website.

Local board plans

A local board plan is refreshed every three years and is one of the first major projects of each newly elected local board. It informs the development of the council’s ten-year plan and local board annual agreements and budgets. It also enables the local board to represent the public’s preferences on regional strategies and plans.

Four local board plans have now been produced since the 2010 amalgamation of the region’s seven city and district councils and formation of the new Auckland Council.

There will be one more annual progress report on the Local Board Plan 2017. After local government elections in October 2019, the newly elected local board will continue to work on the outcomes in this plan while preparing the Local Board Plan 2020.

How the community helped develop the 2017 plan

To help develop the draft plan the board talked informally to the community at local events and library drop-in sessions and the public filled in feedback forms and questionnaires. A draft plan was written based on what the community told the board and then written submissions were called for and hearings held as part of the formal consultation process. The 2017 plan was finalised and adopted in October 2017.

How the local board plans work

Local board plans set a strategic direction and provide enough flexibility to cope with change for three years, so some change of direction in the projects and initiatives is expected from one plan to the next. The test is whether progress can be seen and whether the community’s aspirations are being honoured.

In the 2017 plan, the six outcomes cover broad areas such as transport, placemaking and community life, and environmental concerns. They reflect much the same aspirations that the 2014 outcomes did. Each outcome has a list of objectives to work towards, which then contain two or three specific initiatives that can be focused on.
Māori responsiveness

The Māori Responsiveness Framework in the Auckland Plan (Whiria Te Muka Tangata) sets out council’s commitments and obligations to Māori. Building relationships with Māori, establishing partnerships to support common aspirations and increasing Aucklanders’ understanding of Māori and their needs and aspirations are important ways to demonstrate and realise our commitments to Māori.

For Henderson-Massey Local Board to meet its commitment to this framework in the Auckland Plan, Waitākere ki tua was developed. This was done through kōrero with the west Auckland Māori community, development of the West Auckland Mataawaka Report 2014, and the Toitū Waitākere Report 2017. Both reports were undertaken by Māori leaders in west Auckland who applied a kaupapa Māori methodology and hosted wānanga on marae. The Toitū Waitākere Report in particular, was completed after more than 50 face-to-face interviews and three hui that were held at Hoani Waititi Marae. Waitākere ki tua is an amalgamation of these two reports with a local lens.

Waitākere ki tua honours the process and kōrero by bringing the recommendations of both reports together, so local board decision-making and advocacy reflects Māori community aspirations. Within the wider council family, it will aim to focus future business planning (such as the development of the work programmes), improve external systems and processes for Māori and focus services to reflect council’s legal and Te Tiriti o Waitangi commitments. A significant outcome of this plan is the establishment of a local Māori community broker to take and expand on the actions in the report. Development of the job description and work programme for the Māori community broker is already underway.

Other initiatives include:

Te Kete Rukuruku

Henderson-Massey Local Board is one of 14 local boards that are supporting the Te Kete Rukuruku programme, which aims to showcase the Māori history and stories of Tāmaki Makaurau. One element is to add names significant to Māori to local parks and community places, including libraries and community centres. Te Manawa, the library and community centre in Westgate, was named using the kaupapa of Te Kete Rukuruku.

The programme responds to the council’s Māori Language Policy adopted in 2016 and is a partnership between the council and the 19 mana whenua of Tāmaki Makaurau.

The board have selected other parks and places under this kaupapa that will later be gifted a name by mana whenua.

The Opanuku Link project

Henderson-Massey Local Board contributed funding and approved designs as part of the Opanuku Link project, made up of enhancements to Opanuku Reserve, a new bridge to the Corban Arts Estate and an upgrade to Henderson Valley Road which will include a new pedestrian crossing.

Part of Panuku’s Unlock Henderson programme, the Opanuku Link project uses Te Aranga design principles (founded on intrinsic Māori cultural values) to enhance Opanuku reserve in Henderson. The design incorporates natural play opportunities developed on the theme of
papa tākaro whanau (family play) and the bridge (Te Mana o Tane) is in the form of a fallen kauri, designed by Johnson Witehira and inspired by the tale of Rata and the tree.

Te Atatū waka ama club

The Waitākere Outrigger Canoe (Waka Ama) Club approached the local board with a desire to build their own clubrooms and storage facility. Currently they are based on the Te Atatū Boat Club premises at Bridge Avenue in Te Atatū South. Henderson-Massey Local Board have been working with council staff to find a suitable site. The challenges to find a site are many, including distance from open water, requirements of legislation around marine reserve land and suitable coastal formation. After investigation, two high-level design options have been developed for Bridge Avenue, both aligning with Te Whau Pathway proposed route. These options will now be explored further, and more detailed costings investigated by staff.

Te Atatū Marae land tenure

The local board supports the Te Atatū Marae Coalition in identifying a suitable land tenure option for a proposed marae on Harbourview-Ōrangihina park in Te Atatū Peninsula. 2.5 hectares of land in the park is designated Māori Special Purposes in the Unitary Plan. A council project sponsor has been given the task of taking this mahi forward. The Coalition are progressing the development of a sustainable business case and are pursuing funding where possible. Further funding applications will happen once the land tenure situation has been resolved.

Kaitiaki project – pā harakeke

The initiative to develop pā harakeke (flax gardens) with Māori communities in the Henderson-Massey Local Board area is now in its 5th year. Te Kawerau ā Maki were involved during the development of the project, including on the pā harakeke locations. Key partners in the well-established Harbourview-Ōrangihina pā harakeke site are the Te Atatu Marae Coalition, local weavers and TAT UpCycle. Recently a site was chosen at Te Rangi Hiroa Park where Te Ūkaipō is a key partner and the Rānui Māori Women’s Welfare League are giving ongoing support.

The next section provides a summary of progress on the local board plan outcomes.
Outcome 1: A network of vibrant and loved urban neighbourhoods

Panuku Development's delivery of Unlock Henderson has progressed significantly, with construction underway on the older people's housing in Henderson Valley Road; detailed design completed on the Opanuku Link; concept designs underway for the sustainable residential development of Alderman carpark and subdivision consent granted for high density housing at 2-4 and 6 Henderson Valley Rd.

The Te Atatū South Centre Plan was adopted by the board in May. This urban design plan was developed alongside the Te Atatū South community and provides a set of placemaking actions to work on in the future. Two key actions in the plan already underway are upgrades to Te Atatū and Lloyd Morgan Parks, including the site of the demolished Whitehouse. The Te Atatū park concept design has been approved by the board and Lloyd Morgan concept design is in the final stages of discussion.

The board have completed a Connections Plan (described in more detail under Outcome 5) to provide a strategic guide for filling existing gaps in the shared path network in Henderson-Massey.

The board have funded the West Auckland Historical Society to coordinate a community-led 175th anniversary celebration of the establishment of Henderson in October. Planning is in the final stages with a variety of activities by community groups.

Central to developing a sense of place and belonging in neighbourhoods are the local board funded community organisations and hubs, who run regular neighbourhood pride activities such as the "Love Massey" murals; Ranui's "Christmas in the carpark" event; Community Waitākere's work with schools in Lincoln North; Manutewhau Hub's Awa Cleanup (removing rubbish from Manutewhau stream) and McLaren Park Henderson South's (MPHS) "Shape Up Neighbourhood" (S.U.N), working with householders at an individual street level to do street clean-ups and prevent further dumping.
**Quality residential intensification is happening in Henderson**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner with Panuku to facilitate mixed-use business and residential development in Henderson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding contribution to the Opanuku Link project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Subdivision consent for 2-4 and 6 Henderson Valley Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction underway at 21-33 Henderson Valley Road of approximately 40 units of housing for older people and additional terraced homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concept plans for residential development of Alderman carpark.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengthen the walking and cycling connections between Henderson centre and nearby public facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Adoption of Te Atatū South Centre Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• &quot;Henderson's Future&quot;. Auckland Transport's walking and cycling consultation on how to enable better walking and cycling connections for people in Henderson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Connections Plan complete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighbourhood centres foster a sense of identity and place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Support local beautification and community pride projects such as murals, shop painting, street clean-ups and general planting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding for Kakano youth collective murals and street banners in Henderson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Land owner approval for a &quot;maker space&quot; in Ranui community garden at Marinich Reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding West Auckland Historical Society to coordinate 175th Henderson anniversary celebration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community-led neighbourhood pride initiatives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encourage localised entry signage and street tree planting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Working with Glendene community to design suburb entry signs for Glendene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Te Atatū South suburb entry signs as part of Te Atatū South Centre Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Create a well-established and attractively landscaped main street of independent businesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding for Henderson fairy lights repair and maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding for Central Park Henderson Business Association to develop a BID targeted rate area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arts, events and cultural activities reflect our many heritages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Support a calendar of signature events across our area which reflects our diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased funding for Waitangi Day at Hoani Waititi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Delivery of key annual events such as Henderson Christmas Festival and Snow in the Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding support for signature community-led events such as Holi and Diwali and local Christmas festivals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding for Henderson Busking festival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding West Auckland Historical Society to coordinate 175th Henderson anniversary celebration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Showcase a range of historic heritage information in our area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Investigation into restoration of the Sunrise Vineyards Arch on Great North Road, Henderson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding to West Auckland Historical Society to coordinate 175th Henderson anniversary celebration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The northwest growth area is an employment, business, community and recreation hub.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment A</th>
<th>Item 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investigate provision of a swimming pool and recreation centre and community facilities to service the rapidly expanding north-west area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Business case for aquatic provision confirmed as part of North West community facilities needs assessment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Investigation underway of land acquisition for sports precinct in Redhills and aquatic facility in the north west.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome 2: A thriving local economy that supports quality of life

There are some challenging issues for Henderson-Massey compared with the rest of the region. Statistics show fewer residents are educated to degree level and there is a greater proportion of adults with no qualifications. Unemployment is also higher than the regional average, particularly for people aged 15 to 24. Because of this the board continues to support youth-focused education and skills training through the Young Enterprise Scheme and Youth Connections.

As part of the Young Enterprise Scheme (YES), local board funding supports delivery of “Kick Start Days”, where students develop creative ideas into actual businesses, complete with real products and services, and experience real profit and loss. YES is a year-long programme for year 12 and 13 students where students develop creative ideas into actual businesses, complete with real products and services and experience real profit and loss.

The board also contributes funding to Youth Connections, which continues to have a positive effect for young people seeking work. Over 50 students completed the vocational pathways programmes offered in 2018/2019, resulting in 26 young people who have transitioned into part time or full-time employment so far. Teachers were part of the vocational programmes and will continue that support. Two of the 10 young people participating in ‘Achieving at Waitakere’s Youth Employment Support Service’ were funded by Youth Connections. One received a certificate of recognition from Māori and Pacific Trade Training Scholarships, both have had successful short-term employment and are pursuing longer term opportunities. Two of the young people are on track to passing their Certificate in Carpentry through Unitec. One of the students has had part time employment with an employer for six months. Youth Connections is now part of the work programme for the newly-established Western Initiative, modelled on the Southern Initiative’s community and social innovation approach.

The expanded Central Park Henderson Business Association (CPHBA) is now ready to go through the process of establishing a Business Improvement District (BID) and the board have provided funding support. To fund an established BID programme, Auckland Council collects a targeted rate from all commercially rated properties located within an approved BID area.

CPHBA also partnered with Youth Connections to facilitate employment and education opportunities between youth and local businesses.
### Innovation and enterprise is enabled

**Work with migrant start-up businesses to enable them to grow and be more viable**
- Funding for a PopUp Business School in 2018, a free 10-day business school that provides education and support for local people interested in starting their own business. A second event was run in 2019 in partnership with Whau and Puketapapa Local Boards and Ministry of Social Development.

**Work with our community partners to identify and fund opportunities to prepare young people for work or further education**
- Funding support for Young Enterprise Scheme Kick Start programme
- Funding support for Youth Connections

### Local business collectives and hubs drive identity and success

**Encourage business associations and other business groups to deliver their strategic plans for improving local prosperity**
- Funding support for Central Park Henderson Business Association BID process.

**Partner with Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development to encourage internationally competitive industries, such as the creative, health and technology sectors, to grow in our area**
- Market testing in 2018 to test the indicative business case for a Henderson Green Tech Hub identified limited interest from businesses with an environmental sustainability focus in a shared working space in Henderson. Other options with a community development focus are now being explored.

**Support local Māori to explore establishing free enterprise/entrepreneurship ideas and points of difference for west Auckland**
- Waitākere ki Tua - action to implement the Western Initiative with its focus on Māori economic development.
- ATEED’s Whāriki Māori Business Network. The network meets about six times a year at locations around Tāmaki Makaurau and met at Henderson Civic Centre in June.

**Revitalise Henderson by promoting a leading sustainability and urban eco-centre identity**
- Sustainability focus in Panuku’s Unlock Henderson work programme.
Outcome 3: Communities know each other and work together on common interests

People know each other and feel connected in their neighbourhoods

Partnering with and empowering community organisations to take a community-led approach is fundamental to the way Henderson-Massey Local Board works. The community prioritises what is important to work on and the board then supports that work.

The board has extended some key organisations’ funding agreements to two-year terms to support financial and planning stability. It means the groups can use their time focusing on community activity rather than seeking funding. The next phase will be to move to a three-year term.

Local community organisations provide neighbourhood-focused activities and initiatives such as youth leadership programmes (for example Te Whanau o Waipareira’s “Youngatira” programme, West Auckland Pacifika Forum’s Tula’i leadership programme and Ranui 135’s ‘The Clubhouse’ mentoring for girls); Massey Matters community fridge and community lunches and dinners as part of a programme to welcome new residents from diverse backgrounds into the Massey area; Ranui Action Project’s work with Western Park Village resulting in a social worker being based there part-time and MPHS’s ‘Just Older Youth (JOY)’ over 65’s club and Child Friendly programmes for children under 5 years.

The Māori responsiveness action plan, Waiākere ki Tua, is a key example of developing priorities and actions through the community getting together and expressing their aspirations and interests.

People know each other and feel connected in their neighbourhoods

Support residents to improve their communities through small local initiatives such as street barbeques, picnics and Neighbours Day events

- Funding support to community organisations to lead and support neighbourhood initiatives e.g. “Love your Neighbourhood” programme; Manutewhau Awa Cleanup; McLaren Park Henderson South’s “Shape Up Neighbourhood” (S.U.N)
- Local Board Community Grants programme

Establish new ways for people to connect with us such as neighbourhood forums or promoting more use of social media

- Waiākere ki Tua recommendations and actions e.g. 6 monthly hui with Māori community.
- Co-design approach with community on Te Ataā South Plan.
- Community responding to Henderson-Massey Local Board Facebook page.
## Strong community organisations are making a difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Develop long-term funding agreements to support community groups to focus on what matters locally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Key community organisation funding agreements increased from one to two-year terms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased funding to some key community groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Champion active community participation and encourage volunteering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding support to community organisations to facilitate resident-led initiatives for street cleanups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding for Te Atatu Pest Free community coordinator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Love your Streams” environmental programme as part of Ecomatters local board funded work programme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Diversity and difference is embraced and valued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support rangatahi in growing their confidence and leadership through projects that build on their interests and challenge them</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding support for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tula’i Youth Leadership Pasifika programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Youth Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Youth Voice implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rangatahi project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ranui 135 The Clubhouse Mentoring for Girls programme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identify and invite advocates and leaders of representative groups the board does not currently have a relationship with to present views and insights to elected members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Waitākere ki Tua recommendations and actions e.g. grow relationship with te Whanau o Waipareira and 6 monthly hui with Māori community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workshop session held with principal of Hoani Waititi Marae to strengthen relationship.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mana whenua and matāwaka rights are acknowledged and their needs and aspirations widely known

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Develop relationships and agree shared goals with mana whenua, local Māori and key Māori organisations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Waitākere ki tua adopted by the three west local boards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mana whenua relationship agreements in development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Working with Te Atatū Merae Coalition towards land tenure agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Te Kete Rukuruku programme - Māori naming of reserves and facilities phase 2 underway. Approval of names for parks in Tranche 1 expected by the end of 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kelston Community Centre gifted name of “Te Pae o Kura” and Westgate community facility gifted name of Te Manawa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Working with Te Atatū Waka Ama club on site options for clubrooms and storage facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Matariki and other significant Māori events as a source of insight to kaupapa Māori</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding support for Matariki at Harbourview/Orangihina.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased funding support for Waitangi Day at Hoani Waititi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Library programme: Whakatūpui te reo Māori - championing and embedding te reo Māori in libraries and communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Library programmes including regionally coordinated and promoted programmes: Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Matariki and Māori Language Week.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome 4: Community facilities are vibrant and welcoming places at the heart of our communities

Community facilities, including parks, sports fields and community centres, are always under pressure as the population grows, so the local board’s focus is on making them fit for purpose and finding ways to use them more effectively. Henderson-Massey Local Board annually invests in a substantial community facilities maintenance and renewals work programme for its parks, sports fields and community buildings. An example of the scale of some of the work is Te Pai Netball Courts, where all the courts had the rubber underlay and top coats renewed and the goal posts replaced. Innovative opportunities can also sometimes be found in the existing budgets such as the new Kelston all-weather volleyball court, installed in April outside the community centre. It’s the only free all-weather professional-sized doubles volleyball court in Auckland and is in response to the high interest in volleyball in the local community.

In July the board approved the concept design for the Kopupaka Reserve playground in Westgate. Part of the award winning Kopupaka Park, $6 million will be invested to develop the area, including a playground, kick-a-ball space, flying fox, ecological education area and restoration of the surrounding stream. The design reflects the history of the area as a strawberry field and will cater to all ages, with picnic and barbecue areas and play areas for younger and older children.

Investigations into play provision in parks and the supply of drinking fountains across Henderson-Massey are complete. The work on drinking fountains was in collaboration with Healthy Families, who also contributed funding. Installation of the fountains is in the 2019/20 work programme in places identified as a priority. The play provision assessment report will be used to guide the development of play spaces in the local board area.

The masterplan for Harbourview/Orangihina in Te Atatū was adopted in February and funding for an ecological restoration plan has been allocated; it will be developed over the 2019/20 financial year.

Upgrades to Te Atatū South and Lloyd Morgan Lions Club Parks are at the concept design stage, and the playground upgrade at Riverpark Reserve in Massey is complete. The board has also contributed funds to develop Te Whau pathway links where it runs through parks in the Henderson-Massey area.

The $36m Te Manawa in Westgate opened in April and is a new way of working for community facilities. It provides integrated library services, creative facilities, community programmes and bookable spaces along with council customer services, all under one roof.

A major refurbishment for Kelston Community Centre is at the planning stage. Operations are also being reviewed at Kelston to identify any opportunities presented by the refurbishment. Refurbishments of the old Te Atatū Peninsula Community House and the cottage at 399 Don Buck Rd in Massey are almost complete and they will then be advertised as available to interested community groups.

A call for formal expressions of interest will soon go out for the old Massey Library building; it remains a community asset and various service-based organisations have already signalled interest in the space including council teams, council contract partners (YMCA) and community groups.
People are more active

**Partner with community sport and recreation groups to lift residents’ exercise levels**
- Funding support for Waitākere BMX club to upgrade the track and facilities at Te Rangi Hiroa park.
- Investigating partnership opportunities with Waiyemata Rugby Football Club with potential to develop multi-sport facilities on Waiyemata Rugby Football Club grounds.

**Support our sport and recreation groups to find appropriate accommodation and playing venues**
- Site investigation for proposed Waitākere Waka Ama clubrooms progressed to design options at Bridge Ave.
- West Auckland Riding for the Disabled covered arena at Henderson Valley Pony Club at resource consent stage.

**Our parks and recreational services provide a range of accessible experiences for our diverse community**

**Extend the variety of play and exercise experiences for a range of ages and abilities**
- Concept design approved for Kopupaka Reserve Playground in Westgate.
- Harbourview/Orangihina masterplan complete.
- Opanuku Reserve concept design complete.
- Installation of drinking fountains in parks across Henderson-Massey.
- Riverpark Reserve playground upgrade complete.
- Play Provision assessment to identify gaps in play provision and types of play experiences complete.

**Deliver on coastal area priorities identified in the open space network plan**
- Local Board funding for building Te Whau Pathway links through parks in Henderson-Massey area.

**Our libraries respond to changing technology and social needs of the community**

**Work to encourage and attract first-time users**
- Ongoing via programmes for children and youth engagement to grow awareness of library resources.
- Te Manawa open and community activities underway.

**Target programmes to users with different needs**
- Ongoing via responsive libraries programming.
Our community facilities are well-used and flexible in meeting community needs

Ensure the programmes run in our facilities are developed in consultation with the communities being served by them
- Ongoing via regular review process for all community facilities programming.
- Expressions of interest process for community use of old Massey Library space.
- Funding in 2019/20 for a project to increase diverse participation in community centres, hubs and houses. To encourage ethnicities and new migrants to develop from a user-centred design approach recommendations and activities that enthuse new users to regularly use community centres, hubs and houses.

Investigate ways to improve community awareness of facility programmes
- Included in project to increase diverse participation in community centres, hubs and houses.
**Outcome 5: It is easy to get around without a car**

Local boards have access to funding from Auckland Transport to allocate to local projects. The projects can be as big or as small as the individual board wants, as long as they are for transport capital works. A separate Auckland Transport Community Safety Fund focusing on local transport safety initiatives provides another fund that the board can allocate to projects around high-risk locations and local schools.

Funds were allocated in December 2018 to design and build a “home and school zone” in Henderson. A Home and School Zone is a series of speed management interventions such as speed tables, zebra crossings and raised intersections in an area where children travel to and from school and there is a concentration of schools. The home and school zone is bordered by Swanson Road, Lincoln Road and Universal Drive. Rathgar Road runs down the middle of the zone and has already had crossings installed.

The new Community Safety fund has been allocated to redesigning and installing traffic lights at the intersection with Rathgar Road and Universal Drive, which will complement the Home and School Zone work.

There are also Auckland Transport funded proposals out for consultation, to improve pedestrian safety in Te Atatū South such as a signalised pedestrian crossing outside 484 Te Atatū Road and a pedestrian refuge island outside of 498 Te Atatū Road. The raising of the pedestrian crossing on Edmonton Road to limit speed has been completed.

The Henderson-Massey Local Board, Auckland Transport and Panuku have worked together on making it easier to walk and cycle around Henderson-Massey. The local board have funded a connections plan – it will guide the creation of a network of walking and shared cycling paths that safely connect people to key destinations such as public transport, schools, local shops, libraries, parks and reserves. Auckland Transport did a detailed consultation and mapping exercise on cycling and walking in Henderson, called “Henderson’s Future” which will guide priorities for upgrades or creation of walking and cycling routes. To complement residential development Panuku is investigating options for extending the Oratia shared path that ends at the intersection of Vitasovich Ave and Edsel St, to join with the Henderson Creek cycle path.

The future City Rail Link (CRL) platform at Henderson will transform the commuter experience to and from Central Auckland. Henderson-Massey Local Board provide local insight into the proposed designs and advocate for good design that enhances accessibility.
**Safe footpaths and cycleways enable people to reach key destinations in a timely manner**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fill existing gaps in the shared path network – getting through the Henderson centre and between the two Project Twin Streams pathways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Panuku plan to connect Orata shared path with Henderson Creek cycle path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• &quot;Henderson’s Future&quot; – Auckland Transport consultation on how to enable better walking and cycling connections for people in Henderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Connections Plan to fill existing gaps in the Henderson-Massey wide shared path network and upgrade the width and lighting of existing shared pathways to encourage greater use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work with neighbouring local boards and Te Whau Walkway Trust to deliver the Te Whau coastal boardwalk shared path project and enhance connectivity between parks and key council facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funding support for Te Whau Pathway Trust operations and for related capital works in Henderson-Massey parks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upgrade the width and lighting of existing shared pathways to encourage greater use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Panuku plan to connect Orata shared path with Henderson Creek cycle path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Connections Plan to provide guidance on priorities for path upgrades.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implement signage and other wayfinding tools to make it easier for people to find their way around and learn more about their community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Bike Henderson and Panuku collaboration on ‘way finding’ signs to assist the cycling community navigating around and through Henderson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facilitating community-designed entry signs in Glendene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Te Atatu South suburb entry signs as part of Te Atatu South Centre Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**A flexible public transport network that meets the varied needs of a growing population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Champion integration of the future Henderson Transport Interchange with Henderson town centre to maximise the opportunity for local economic benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Panuku Development Ltd. residential plans and design for Waitākere Central site at 6 Henderson Valley Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advocacy to CRL on design of new platform and bridge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Champion construction of a dedicated rapid public transport corridor along State Highway 16 from Westgate to the city</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ongoing advocacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submission to the draft Regional Land Transport Plan, strongly supporting light rail along the northwest corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• As part of the Supporting Growth Route Protection Programme in the adopted plan, an AT/NZTA Alliance has been established to look at route protection for the preferred public transport network in the north west, north and southern growth areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encourage Auckland Transport to investigate park-and-ride options to make it easier for residents who live more remotely to access public transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Auckland Transport review of cycle parking facilities across the public transport network (including at rail stations) underway in collaboration with both Bike Auckland and Bikes Welcome.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome 6: Natural spaces are valued and restored

Protecting the natural environment in a fast-growing urban area can be challenging. The board believe that the people who live here are central to help meeting that challenge.

Through funding partnerships with Ecomatters and Community Waitākere, neighbourhood environmental restoration initiatives of planting, stream clean-ups and stewardship and community-led pest control continue to be successful and popular.

Henderson-Masseys Local Board also has a variety of ways that it can engage and influence sustainability focus in urban development. Through engagement during the high-level planning process, for example future development in the Redhills and Westgate precincts in the north west, through commentary on resource consents and as a decision-maker on activity on council land, through the Landowner Approval process.

The adopted Harbourview/Orangihina Masterplan has protection of ecologically significant areas in the park as a key principle. The board has funded development of an ecological restoration plan in 2019/20 to underpin this key principle and provide a guidance framework for the many community groups who are dedicated to ecological restoration and pest management in the park.

The board is in the second year of funding a local contribution to Auckland's Urban Forest (Ngahere) Strategy. In 2018 the board funded spatial mapping of the existing tree canopy cover on public and private land in the local board area to determine the extent, type and age of the urban Ngahere. This will be used to help guide the board to identify potential planting sites in parks and streets and find opportunities to further develop partnerships with the community.

The local board is also funding development of a low carbon action plan in 2019/20 that will include strategic guidance for community-led low carbon initiatives and practical actions that can be taken.

People are central to maintaining our environment

Support Māori as kaitiaki of the environment when developing community and council projects

- Pā harakeke sites across Henderson-Massey, including new site at Te Rangi Hiroa park.
- Supporting Te Atatu Marae Coalition planting at Harbourview/Orangihina using funding from Ministry of Primary Industries Matariki Tu Rākau funding programme.
Bring communities together at neighbourhood level through environmental projects on waste and pollution reduction, water and energy saving, and stream restoration and enhancement

- “Love Your Neighbourhood” grants programme: Supports volunteer-driven practical environmental initiatives such as environmental clean ups and restoration, community planting and food growing.
- “Love Your Streams”: programme to support community stewardship of local streams.
- “War on Weeds” campaign: Jumbo bins provided at key sites in the local board area for a four-week period for community disposal of weeds.
- Ngā Puna Manaaki Inanga project in third year of delivery. Artwork to raise awareness of inanga installed alongside Henderson Creek near Central Park Drive. Created by people from A Supported Life, an organisation that supports adolescents and adults with learning disabilities.
- Funding for North-West Wildlink Assistance Programme.
- Funding for Pest Free To Atata coordinator.
- Low carbon action plan.

The water quality of our streams and tidal areas is improving

Work with the owners of residential and industrial properties adjoining waterways to reduce negative impacts

- Funding for Industry Pollution Prevention Programme: Providing advice and support to local businesses to reduce their impact on stream pollution.
- Rutherford College lower field planting as part of North-West Wildlink assistance programme. The field backs directly onto a fragile saltmarsh wetland area home to both moho pereni (banded rail) and the mātātā (fembird). This site has been identified by Auckland Council as a Significant Ecological Area (SEA).

Install water quality education and information signage at key public access points

- Ongoing as part of parks signage renewal programme

Biodiversity is increasing

Identify, protect and improve rare and endangered habitats

- Ecological restoration plan for Harbourview/Orangihina.
- Third year of Ngā Puna Manaaki Inanga project.
- Rutherford College lower field planting.

Promote private native planting to help restore indigenous ecosystems.

- Council and DoC partnership with Kings Plant Barn to run events entitled ‘Bring the Birds to your Backyard’. The aim of these events is to encourage Aucklanders to purchase environmentally friendly plants.

New urban development contributes to an improved environment

Champion the integration of water saving, and energy and waste reduction initiatives into new council facilities

- Te Manawa design and build focus on sustainability.
- Ongoing as part of Council resource consent and design principles.
Provide guidance to greenfield developers about the value of contributing to the North-West Wildlink corridor of ecosystems

- Landowner approvals, resource consent commentary, engagement in area planning process.
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to respond to requests on transport-related matters, provide an update on the current status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF), provide a summary of consultation material sent to the board and, provide transport related information on matters of specific application and interest to the Henderson-Massey Local Board and its community.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. In particular, this report:
   • Provides updates on the Local Board Transport Fund projects in the Henderson/Massey Local Board area.
   • Notes consultation information sent to the Board for feedback and, details decisions of the Traffic Control Committee as they affect the Board area.
   • Provides updates and responses to transport – related issues by the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board
a) receive the Auckland Transport Update to the Henderson-Massey Local Board – September 2019 report.
b) approve an additional $600,000 budget allocation ($1.7m total allocation) from the Henderson Massey Local Board Transport Fund to the Henderson North Zone proposed Residential Speed Management project due additional costs for lighting and safety measures.

Horopaki

Context
3. This report updates the board on Auckland Transport (AT) projects and operations in the local board area, it updates the board on their advocacy and consultations, and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.
4. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. We report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in our Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within the governance of Auckland on behalf of their local communities.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:
   - be safe
   - not impede network efficiency
   - be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).

6. The Henderson-Massey Local Board’s funding allocation under the LBTCF was $4,623,969 for the current political term. In addition, there was a sum of $1,253,083 which had been approved by Council and is available from 1 July 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henderson Massey Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Available in current political term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Budget left</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Henderson North Zone proposed Residential Speed Management project update – 21 August 2019

7. The Henderson-Massey Local Board resolved at its December 2018 meeting, approving the allocation of up to $1.1 million to the Henderson North Zone proposed Residential Speed Management project.

8. Auckland Transport held a workshop in August 2019 to present the concept and request the Local Board to consent to progress to external consultation phase. The Local Board has consented to this and AT will be undertaking external consultation.

9. Auckland Transport is also requesting approval of an increase of budget allocation for this project from $1.1m to $1.7m, this is due additional costs for lighting and safety measures.

10. Once completion of the external consultation phase, detailed design and firm estimate of costs AT will come back to the Local Board requesting approval to move into construction phase.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

11. The impact of information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

Triangle Road safety issues

12. Auckland Transport is installing line marking, speed humps and safe hit posts as the appropriate safety requirements to reduce and stop the illegal maneuvers made on this stretch of road. A copy of the drawing is attached to this report.
13-15 Lincoln Road footpath repairs – safety issue

13. Auckland Transport have carried out temporary repairs with asphalt for the footpath and back filled with topsoil and grass on the berm behind the footpath to make the site safe.

14. Damage to the footpath was as a result of residential subdivision construction works that have not been repaired by the owners. Two letters informing them of the repairs required to make the site safe have been sent to the property developers. AT will continue to pursue the property owners to complete the vehicle crossing and footpath repairs as has been identified by our compliance team. These recorded repairs also form part of the street damage for the vehicle crossing process.

Sungrove Rise speeding issues

15. To address traffic speeds in residential areas Auckland Transport have adopted an area-based focus for 2019 onwards. This recognises that traffic-calming changes on one street have a flow-on effect on the surrounding neighbourhood. This plan will support all drivers to travel at the appropriate speed and to the road conditions.

16. This programme focuses delivery to areas that have been prioritised for changes to reduce the incidence and impact of crashes. This is based on several factors, including the number of crashes, safety risk, traffic speed, land use and concerns raised by local residents and their elected representatives.

17. Sungrove Rise and Nirmal Place have not been identified in the first group of areas within AT’s Residential Speed Management programme, as other areas in the region are experiencing higher speeds and greater safety risk. Auckland Transport have added your comments to our database to indicate support for safer speeds in your residential area.

18. More information, including the residential areas that will be prioritised for further investigation can be viewed on the Residential Speed Management Programme page on our website.

19. With regards to heavy vehicles parking near the bend on Sungrove Rise, that can often be an inconvenience and are problematic at times. There is no law preventing them from parking on the road in urban areas. AT cannot discriminate against any type of vehicle from using or parking on any road in Auckland, unless there is a major operational reason or safety issue. However, like all other types of vehicles they must be parked legally and those that are not are subject to enforcement.

Royal Road school safety issues during drop off and pick up times

20. Auckland Transport attended a site meeting with the Royal Road principal and the Local Board in June. Auckland Transport is investigating the feasibility of low-cost safety improvements in the vicinity of Royal Road Primary and surrounding streets.

21. Auckland Transport is carrying out traffic counts and, observations will be carried out before the next school holidays start on the 28th September. We will report out finding once completed.

Millbrook Road maintenance and safety issues - update

58 Millbrook Road

22. Auckland Transport compliance auditor visited the site in question. AT has instructed the new developer (that has purchased this development) to reinstate the footpath and proposed Vehicle crossing in hot mix because they still have to do more excavation in future for the development. Auckland Transport will ensure that when the excavations start that a safe work practice will be adopted and enforce the standards set out by Auckland Transport. A TMP will need to be applied for which takes up to 6 weeks to approval which will mean you will not see any immediate changes. Auckland Transport has made the area safe until this can be actioned.
Westside of Millbrook
23. The vehicle that crashed into the Oratia Stream work has been programme in and will be completed in 4 to 6 weeks. The area has been made safe till further work can be done.

Waimanu Bay Reserve, Te Atatu Peninsula speeding and antisocial behaviour issues
24. In general, boy racers are an enforcement issue that Auckland Transport refer to the Police. This road is not a priority area for any other improvement investigations. As AT understands there is boy racer activity at the cul-de-sac end of Onemana Way too, so AT are proposing to install bollards to discourage this. We expect this work to be done by end of October 2019. Bollard installation within the reserve is Auckland Council’s responsibility.

Local Board issues being investigated
25. The Local board have requested the following issues be investigated. These are still under investigation:
   - Health and Safety Issues at Massey Primary School
   - Rutherford College Bus Run
   - Bruce Mc Laren Road Safety Issues outside Bruce McLaren Intermediate School.

Consultation documents on proposed improvements
26. Consultation documents for the following proposals have been provided to the Henderson-Massey Local Board for its feedback and are summarised below for information purposes only.
27. After consultation, Auckland Transport considers the feedback received and determines whether to proceed further with the proposal as consulted on or proceed with an amended proposal if changes are considered necessary.
   - Proposal to improve road safety in Central Park Drive, Te Atatū South;
   - Proposal to improve Emergency Services’ Access in Covil Avenue, Te Atatū South;
   - Sturges Road, Henderson (between Swanson Road and Spring Grove) footpath, kerb and channel and vehicle crossing works;
   - Universal Drive, Henderson (between Swanson Road and Bittern Place) renewal of footpath, kerb and channel and roadside drainage works.

Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee (TCC) report
28. Decisions of the TCC during the month of July 2019 affecting the Henderson-Massey Local Board area are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Street (Suburb)</th>
<th>Type of Report</th>
<th>Nature of Restriction</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-July-19</td>
<td>Edmonton Road, Great North Road, Edsel Street, Henderson</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes combined</td>
<td>Lane Arrow Markings, Bus Lane, Cycle Lane, No Stopping At All Times, Traffic Island, Road Hump, Pedestrian Crossing, Traffic Signal Control, Flush Median, Give-Way Control</td>
<td>CARRIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-July-19</td>
<td>Rathgar Road, Maurice Borich Place,</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, Bus Stop, Bus Shelter, Removal of Bus Shelter, Traffic Island, Removal of Traffic Island,</td>
<td>CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

**Māori impact statement**

29. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

### Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

**Financial implications**

30. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

### Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

**Risks and mitigations**

31. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

### Ngā korinda ā-muri

**Next steps**

32. Auckland Transport provides the Henderson-Massey Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in the local board area.

### Ngā tāpirihanga

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment AT report - Concept Drawing</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ngā kaihaina

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Owena Schuster – Elected Members Relationship Manager (Western Boards)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon – Manager Elected Member Relationship Unit, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION

YELLOW NO PASSING LINES TO TIE INTO EXISTING

NEW MODULAR SPEED HUMP
3m LONG 9m GAP (REFER NOTE 1)

5 NO. SAFE HIT POSTS
2.5m SPACING (REFER NOTE 2)

NEW TRAFFIC SIGN

STAY IN LANE

LEGEND:
- EXISTING CYCLE LANE
- NEW ROAD MARKING
- SAFE HIT FLEXI-POST
- MODULAR SPEED HUMP

NOTES:
1. MODULAR SPEED HUMP TO BE RTL MH07 IN YELLOW AND BLACK MODULES ROAD MOUNTED AS PER MANUFACTURERS INSTRUCTIONS. REFER INSET C.
2. SAFE HIT POSTS TO BE RTL FG300 DELINEATOR POSTS (ORANGE) INSTALLED AT A SPACING OF 2.5m.
3. WORDING OF ADVANCE SIGN TO BE AGREED WITH AUCKLAND TRANSPORT.
4. ALL REDUNDANT EXISTING ROAD MARKINGS TO BE PERMANENTLY REMOVED.
5. ALL EXISTING REFLECTIVE ROAD PAVEMENT MARKERS TO BE REMOVED WITHIN EXTENT OF SAFE HIT POSTS AND MODULAR SPEED HUMPS.
6. NEW YELLOW BI-DIRECTIONAL REFLECTIVE ROAD PAVEMENT MARKERS (RRPM) TO BE INSTALLED BETWEEN NEW YELLOW NO PASSING LINES AT 9m SPACING (REFER INSET D)

ATTACHMENT A

INSET A: NTS

INSET B: NTS

INSET C: MODULAR SPEED HUMP

INSET D: RRPM LAYOUT

SKETCH 1 - TRIANGLE RD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES

SCALE: 1:1000
CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION

SKETCH 2 - VEHICLE TRACKING SOUTHBOUND - 19.0m SEMI-TRAILER
CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION

SKETCH 3 - VEHICLE TRACKING NORTHBOUND - 19.0m SEMI-TRAILER
Wheel Stops & Speed Humps

Our Wheel Stops and Speed Humps are part of our wider suite of traffic calming products. They are installed to create a safe environment for both vehicles and pedestrians within the confined area of a car park. Both the Wheel Stops and Speed Humps are fully compliant to AS/NZ 2890.1:2004 standards and are manufactured from rubber, highly durable and easy to install.

Rubber Wheel Stops

Wheel Stops are ideal for effective delineation of parking areas and are used for the protection of walls, gardens, vehicles and other areas. Wheel Stops are an essential part of any car park, designed to inhibit encroachment into an opposing parking space, or contact with a building or high curb. Recommended for use on level surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubber Construction</th>
<th>Visible</th>
<th>Durable</th>
<th>Flexible</th>
<th>Easy to Install</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A wheel stop that will not warp, crack or rot</td>
<td>Yellow reflectors for increased visibility for both pedestrians and motorists</td>
<td>UV stable and resistant to moisture, oil and extreme temperature variations</td>
<td>Allows conformity to the contour of virtually any road surface</td>
<td>16.5kg makes them easy to handle &amp; able to be installed by only one person, it requires three fixings</td>
<td>Fully compliant to AS/NZ 2890.1:2004 standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modular Speed Humps

Speed Humps are ideal for traffic and pedestrian safety. They are best used in medium to low volume shopping centres, multi-level car parks, commercial driveways, service stations, and anywhere that you want to further reduce the speed of vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modular Design</th>
<th>Rubber Construction</th>
<th>Visible</th>
<th>Durable</th>
<th>Flexible</th>
<th>Easy to Install</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The overall length of the speed humps can be customised to suit your individual needs</td>
<td>Multiple reflectors for increased visibility for both pedestrians and motorists</td>
<td>Resistant to moisture, oil and extreme temperature variations</td>
<td>Allows conformity to the contour of virtually any road surface installed with four fixings per middle section and two per end cap</td>
<td>Fully compliant to AS/NZ 2890.1:2004 standards.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rated to a Maximum: 20 ton truck @ 40km/h

Wheel stops & Speed Humps: Part of our Car Park & Traffic Calming Range

For measurements, fixings & installation instructions, please turn the page

Web: www.rtl.co.nz     Phone: 0800 785 744     Email: sales@rtl.co.nz
## Product Specifications & Installation

### Wheel Stops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Stock code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1650mm</td>
<td>130mm</td>
<td>100mm</td>
<td>16.5kg</td>
<td>MH28 101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Speed Humps - Middle Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Stock code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500mm</td>
<td>350mm</td>
<td>50mm</td>
<td>7.10kg</td>
<td>MH27 7EA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Speed Humps - End Caps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Stock code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250mm</td>
<td>350mm</td>
<td>50mm</td>
<td>3kg</td>
<td>MH27 7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MH27 7B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fixings for Concrete

**For Wheel stops:**
- Sleeve Anchors* (12 x 130mm)
  - RTL Code: MH27 DYN12
  - Washer sold separately

**For Speed humps:**
- Sleeve Anchors* (10 x 75 mm)
  - RTL Code: MH27 DYN10
  - Washer sold separately

**For Both:**
- RTL Washer Code: MH27 WASHER
* Sleeve anchors are also known as Dynabolt or Masonary Anchors™

### Fixings for Asphalt

**For Wheel stops:**
- Rebar Spikes (12 x 250mm)
  - RTL Code: MH27 6RB12
  - RTL Washer Code: MH27 WASHER

**For Speed humps:**
- Coachscrew (10 x 100mm) & Sleeve
  - RTL Code: MH27 6MA
  - Washer included in fixing code

### Installation Instructions

**Step One:** We recommend that you chalk the intended position and the holes prior to drilling.

**Step Two:** Use the appropriate sized drill bit to drill down into the road surface at least 50mm for concrete & 100mm for asphalt.

**For Concrete**

**Step Three:** Tap in the sleeve anchor and tighten into concrete. We recommend a M12 x 28mm x 3mm galvanised washer under the nut of the sleeve anchor to minimise any “pull through”.

**For Asphalt**

**Step Three:** Drive the rebar spikes in the ground using a heavy duty hammer making sure you drive them fully into the rebate area.

**Note:** Bondrox C Adhesive / Epoxy can also be used in conjunction with the fixings, for additional adhesion when installing wheel stops & speed humps in high impact areas. Available in a 700g tube.

**Stock code:**
- MO40 BDXC

### Contact Information

Web: www.rti.co.nz  
Phone: 0800 785 744  
Email: sales@rti.co.nz
New Road Name Approval: One New Private Road at 6-9 Hulme Place, Henderson

File No.: CP2019/16790

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Henderson-Massey Local Board to name a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot, created by Stage 1 of a subdivision development at 6, 8 and 9 Hulme Place, Henderson.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. The developer and applicant, Shah Homes Ltd, has proposed the following names for consideration by the Local Board:
   - Assalaam Lane (applicant preferred)
   - Sharjah Lane (alternative 1)

1. Following engagement with iwi, Te Kawerau a Maki suggested the following additional option:
   - Kaha Lane

2. This report is a re-submission of a road naming application that was deferred by the Henderson-Massey Local Board in August 2019, due to the developer not being in support of the names submitted by their consultant at the time. The developer has since been in direct contact with council staff and has now submitted new names for consideration by the local board.

3. The proposed names have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met, and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana whenua were also consulted.

4. It is for the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the proposed names within the local context.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) approve the name Assalaam Lane for the new private road created by way of subdivision at 6, 8 and 9 Hulme Place, Henderson in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN30578717, SUB60039915).
Horopaki Context
5. Resource consent BUN30578717 and SUB60039915 (SUB-2016-1561) was issued on 15th September 2017 for the construction of 15 new dwellings and one private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL), Stage 1, approved under the Housing Accords and Special Housing Area Act 2013 (HASHAA).
6. The remaining Stage 2 will be completed at a later stage, including the extension of the COAL and the construction of additional residential dwellings.
7. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachments A and B.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice
8. Auckland’s Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the Local Board’s approval.
9. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   – a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   – a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   – an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
10. Theme: The applicant has proposed names around two themes: The founder of the development at Hulme Place; and Arabic words that symbolise the strength and support from all of New Zealand after the Christchurch Mosque attacks on the 15th March 2019. In light of this theme, iwi have also suggested a name option meaning ‘strength’.
11. The proposed names and meanings are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Names &amp; Preferences</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Assalaam Lane (applicant preferred) | Arabic word meaning: Peace  
According to the applicant: “After the Christchurch attack, all of NZ showed their support, and in order to reflect on this, we have chosen ‘Assalaam’ - as this reflects on peace.” |
| Sharjah Lane (alternative option) | Arabic word meaning: Rising Sun  
According to the applicant: “After the Christchurch attack, all of NZ showed their support, and in order to reflect on this, we have chosen ‘Sharjah’, because at every end there is hope and the sun will rise creating this hope.” |
| Kaha Lane (alternative option) | Māori word meaning: strength  
Suggested by Te Kawerau a Maki in light of the above sentiments and the themes of strength and support. |

12. Assessment: The names proposed by the applicant have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.
13. **Iwi Consultation:** All relevant local iwi were written to (via email) and invited to comment. Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua responded to defer to Ngāti Whātua o Kaipara, who in turn deferred to Te Kawerau a Maki. Te Kawerau a Maki suggested the name outlined above. Two others were also suggested, but these were unable to be used due to duplications.

No other iwi provided a response or comments. It is therefore implicit that no iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for this small private road.

14. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

15. **Road type:** ‘Lane’ is an acceptable road type for the new private road, suiting the form and layout of the road, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

16. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

17. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

18. The review sought from the Henderson-Massey Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity. One Māori road name option has been offered.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

19. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

20. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

21. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.
New Road Name Approval: One New Private Road at 6-9 Hulme Place, Henderson

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment A - Site Plan</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Attachment B - Location plan</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
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<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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</tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
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<table>
<thead>
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<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
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Attachment A: Site Plan for 6, 8, 9 Hulme Place Henderson

Masterplan

[Site Plan Image]
Item 14

Attachment A

New Road Name Approval: One New Private Road at 6-9 Hulme Place, Henderson
Attachment B: Location plan for 6 - 9 Hulme Place Henderson
New Road Name Approval: One New Private Road at 6-9 Hulme Place, Henderson
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for the proposed mitigation work on tracks in local parks to protect healthy kauri and prevent kauri dieback spread within the Henderson-Massey Local Board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. There are 307 local parks throughout the Auckland region that contain kauri. Protection of healthy kauri is the primary objective of council’s kauri dieback management approach, as is preventing the spread of kauri dieback through – among other things - the isolation of any diseased specimens.

3. To protect healthy kauri and reduce the impact of kauri dieback disease, staff have analysed all local parks and reserves in the Auckland region and developed recommended mitigation measures for each park.

4. An interim report was presented to the Henderson-Massey Local Board on 19 March 2019 (HM/2019/25). This report obtained the local board’s endorsement of the proposed high-level kauri protection measures prior to the development of a detailed programme of works.

5. This report focuses on the specific programme of works for each park, including the associated costs and timeframes.

6. There are currently 47 local parks across Auckland subject to partial or full track closures. These closures were implemented between April and July 2019, as a temporary measure while mitigation options were developed. Temporary closures will continue until the mitigation works have been completed and tracks have been upgraded to be kauri-safe. In Henderson-Massey, tracks in Shona Esplanade Reserve have been closed temporarily.

7. Seven local parks in Henderson-Massey were initially assessed and prioritised. Six of these were deemed to be low risk as they are not easily accessible and/or had no formed tracks. Detailed investigation was carried out in Shona Esplanade Reserve to determine the appropriate mitigation measures (Attachment A).

8. A workshop was held with the Henderson-Massey Local Board on 20 August 2019 to discuss the proposed detailed mitigation programme.

9. Recommended mitigation measures include re-alignment or re-routing of tracks, installation of new track surface, steps, boardwalks and installation of hygiene stations. Where appropriate, indefinite track closure is also considered as a mitigation option. Public education and engagement are always a part the proposed mitigation measures.

10. Mitigation measures proposed for Shona Esplanade are detailed in Attachment A. One other site requires mulching over kauri roots to protect the roots and to discourage access. Location maps are provided in Attachment B.

11. Further detailed design and procurement is planned for September and October 2019. The identified mitigation works are planned to be undertaken from November 2019 to March 2020, subject to any required consents and other approvals.

12. Track mitigation works will be carried out in accordance with the kauri-safe standards and specifications provided by the Ministry for Primary Industries for Kauri Dieback Management.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) approve the following proposed mitigation work programme to protect healthy kauri and reduce the impact of kauri dieback disease in the Henderson-Massey Local Board area, to be funded by the Natural Environment Targeted Rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Cost Estimate (physical works only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Shona Esplanade Reserve  | • Closure of section of track B-C and removal of assets, together with planting and fencing  
                                • Mitigate track within Kauri Hygiene Areas to national kauri-safe standards  
                                • Upgrade remainder of track to dry track standard  
                                • Install hygiene stations.                                                                                                                     | $150,000                          |
| 2. Moire Park               | • Fence and mulch around kauri trees to prevent access and protect roots.  
                                • Assess four kauri that pose a health and safety risk for removal                                                                             | $4,000                            |

Horopaki
Context
13. There are 307 local parks throughout the Auckland region that contain kauri. The funding available from the natural environment targeted rate will not be able to provide for the protection of all kauri in the region.

14. To manage investment across the region, a risk-based prioritisation approach has been applied. Local parks have been analysed in terms of kauri ecosystem value, recreational value and kauri health status, noting that the council’s primary objective is the protection of healthy kauri.

15. This report outlines the proposed mitigation works for parks that have been prioritised, including the associated implementation costs and estimated timeframes.

16. An interim report (Resolution number HM/2019/25) regarding proposed kauri dieback mitigation in local parks was presented to the Henderson-Massey Local Board on the 19 March 2019. The local board resolved the following:

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) endorse the following high-level kauri protection measures for local parks and reserves:
   i) undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation measures (such as track upgrades, track re-alignment, track re-routing, or other physical works), and consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed to
prevent potentially infected kauri ecosystems in Category A park Shona Esplanade Reserve from becoming a source of infection

ii) discourage public access through barrier planting and signage in the Category B park Colwill Esplanade

iii) investigate appropriate measures to protect kauri in Category C park Moire Park

iv) discourage public access through closure of the northern entrance to Category C park Lowtherhurst Reserve to protect kauri

v) note that the remaining Category C and Category D parks are considered to be low value kauri ecosystems with low value recreational use, thus making them a lower priority for mitigation investment at this stage. These parks are:

A) Epping Esplanade
B) Palomino Esplanade
C) Henderson Valley Park
D) Sunhill Scenic Reserve
E) Chorley Reserve

b) note that a detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme with costs and timelines will be developed and submitted to a local board business meeting in mid-2019 for approval. The kauri dieback budget is dedicated to protecting kauri and preventing the spread of kauri dieback disease, through the provision of new assets or upgrading of existing assets. Natural environment targeted rate budget cannot be used for the renewal of tracks in kauri forest, unless it is specifically allocated to protecting kauri.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

17. The interim report provided to the Henderson-Massey Local Board on 19 March 2019 (HM/2019/25) included the results of the prioritisation of local parks and sought endorsement of the recommended high-level kauri protection actions prior to the development of the detailed programmed of works.

18. There are currently 47 local parks across Auckland subject to partial or full track closures. These closures were implemented between April and July 2019, as a temporary measure while mitigation options were developed. In Henderson-Massey, tracks within Shona Esplanade Reserve have been closed temporarily.

19. Seven local parks in Henderson-Massey were initially assessed and prioritised. Six of these were deemed to be low risk as they are not easily accessible and/or had no formed tracks adjoining kauri. A detailed investigation was carried out in Shona Esplanade Reserve to determine appropriate mitigation measures (Attachment A and Attachment B).

20. Each track was assessed and prioritised on the following basis:

- the value of the kauri ecosystem, which was classified as high, medium or low. A kauri ecosystem value was assigned by council ecologists based on the work undertaken by Singers et al (2017): Indigenous terrestrial and wetland ecosystems of Auckland
- the health status of the kauri, which was noted as infected, possibly infected or symptom free. This information was sourced from the council’s active surveillance programme, which includes soil sampling
- the recreational value of the park, which was identified as high, medium or low. The analysis considered key recreational activities such as recreational trails, active transport, visitor destinations, volunteer activity and sports and recreation use, whether there were alternative tracks available and potential future growth. Reviews of reserve
management plans (if applicable) and any other relevant strategic documents were undertaken.

21. The priority for natural environment targeted rate funding is on formal tracks with high value kauri areas and high recreational use. Mitigation of unformed or informal tracks is generally not a high priority. Those tracks are normally recommended for indefinite closure.

22. Consultation has been undertaken with the local board, key park stakeholders and mana whenua.

23. The kauri dieback disease mitigation programme below identifies some of the key milestones. Timeframes are estimates only, and are subject to resourcing, weather conditions (for construction) and the actual scope of the works that are required to be undertaken.

24. The mitigation options for Shona Esplanade in Henderson-Massey are described in detail in Attachment A. Precautionary mitigation measures for the low risk Moire Park are also proposed. Location maps are provided in Attachment B.

25. The recommended mitigation works are summarised as follows:

a. **Shona Esplanade Reserve**: Indefinite closure of a small section of track (B-C), removal of public assets, fencing and planting. Mitigation of track sections within Kauri Hygiene Areas to national kauri-safe standard, and upgrading the remainder of the track to a dry track standard. Installation of Hygiene Stations. The estimated cost is $150,000. The estimated timeframe for completion of the works is March 2020, subject to contractor availability and weather conditions.

b. **Moiré Park**: Fence and mulch around kauri close to open green space to prevent access and protect roots. Assess four diseased trees for removal alongside the rugby club facilities.
26. An assessment of Sunhill Scenic Reserve, Palomino Esplanade, Epping Esplanade, Lowtherhurst Reserve and Colwill Esplanade concluded that mitigation is not required as the kauri trees are difficult to access, with no formed tracks leading to/ passing the kauri. The risk to kauri has therefore been assessed as low.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

27. The recommendations in this report have been developed through collaboration between council’s Environmental Services department, Parks, Sports and Recreation department and Community Facilities department.

28. Representatives from these key departments are working as part of a dedicated and ongoing project team, to ensure that all aspects of the kauri dieback mitigation programme are undertaken in an integrated manner.

29. Auckland Council Biosecurity specialists and kauri dieback team members have visited all parks in the Auckland regions that have kauri in close proximity to tracks to assess possible mitigation options.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

30. On 19 March 2019, an interim report was presented to Henderson-Massey Local Board where multiple high-level kauri protection measures for local parks and reserves were endorsed.

31. Closing tracks in parks or reserves will have an impact on recreational activities available in the local board area. These impacts were taken into consideration when determining suitable kauri dieback mitigation measures.

32. Key stakeholder groups that have an interest in the tracks have been informed regarding the proposed mitigation works and will continue to be kept informed on the timing for the planned track works.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

33. Auckland Council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi and its broader legal obligations to Māori. The council recognises these responsibilities are distinct from the Crown’s Treaty obligations and fall within a local government Tāmaki Makaurau context. These commitments are articulated in the council’s key strategic planning documents: the Auckland Plan, the 2015-2025 Long-term Plan, the Unitary Plan and local board plans.

34. Tāmaki Makaurau Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Kaimahi representatives have stressed the importance of the kauri species and expressed a desire to work more closely with the council and the Department of Conservation. Staff will work with mana whenua on the approach to kauri dieback on a site by site basis, where appropriate.

35. Mana Whenua were engaged during the scoping of the parks but did not attend. A workshop with Mana Whenua was held on 7th August 2019 where the proposed mitigation works were discussed in detail. Mana Whenua were supportive of the works required to protect kauri. Any further updates regarding feedback received will be provided at the business meeting.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

36. In May 2018, the Governing Body approved a natural environment targeted rate to support environmental initiatives, including addressing kauri dieback. The rate will raise $311 million over the duration of the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (resolution GB/2018/91).
37. The natural environment targeted rate provides funding for kauri dieback control, including new infrastructure such as track upgrades to kauri-safe standard.

38. Where track works are already programmed in the renewals budget, additional works required to protect kauri, such as removing muddy sections of track where kauri are at risk, will be funded by the natural environment targeted rate.

39. Due to the required new standards and hygiene operating procedures the costs for building tracks to kauri-safe standard are expected to be higher than previous track projects.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

40. The main risk is the spread of kauri dieback disease, where tracks are located within three times the drip line radius of kauri.

41. Closing tracks in parks and reserves, whether temporary (until upgrade works are completed) or indefinitely (where upgrade works are not recommended), will have an impact on the recreational activities available in the local board area. This may result in additional recreational pressure on other parks and reserves.

42. To mitigate this risk, information will be provided to the public about alternative recreational activities. As part of the kauri dieback community engagement and education programme, the public is provided with information about the reasons for the track closures, the objectives of the kauri dieback mitigation programme and hygiene around kauri.

43. There is also a risk of non-compliance, where mitigation measures are disregarded by the public, particularly with respect to track closures (where tracks continue to be used despite closure notices) and hygiene stations (where hygiene stations are not used, or not used correctly).

44. Risk mitigation includes the provision of appropriate information and effective implementation of track closures, including signage and physical barriers.

45. In undertaking the mitigation works, strict adherence to the standards and hygiene operating requirements will be required and enforced to reduce the risk of the spread of kauri dieback disease by contractors, volunteers and council staff.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

46. Following the local board’s decision on the recommendations provided in this report, further design, consenting (if required) and procurement (if required) will be undertaken. Contractors will then be engaged to undertake park/track mitigation works in late 2019 and/or early 2020.

47. A priority system will be in place to determine the order of works, considering the impact on the community, volume of track users, alternative routes and safety.

48. The local board and the local community will be kept updated and informed on the timing for the planned works.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**
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ATTACHMENT A: Description of proposed mitigation works for local parks in Henderson-Massey Local Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Park Name</th>
<th>Shona Esplanade Reserve (Refer to location map in ATTACHMENT B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Address</td>
<td>Shona Place, Henderson, Auckland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site Background**

Shona Esplanade Reserve (95.3 Hectares) is an esplanade reserve with a bush track connection from Palomino Dr to Khaleel Place with multiple suburban connections including Palomino Dr (A), Chardon Pl (D), Clanet Pl (G), Fitzwater Pl (J), and a shared pathway between Smythe Rd and Khaleel Pl (K). Shona Esplanade Reserve and Border Rd Esplanade are contiguous and form a loop track.

There are a number of kauri at the south western end of Shona Esplanade Reserve off Border Road. Less than 5% of the track length is within its Kauri Hygiene Area. There are several kauri close to the track in this area that are infected with kauri dieback.

Some of the trees in the area behind Rhinevale Close, away from the track, are showing possible symptoms of kauri dieback disease.

**Current Status**

The track at Border Road and Chardon Place, as well as the informal entry from Rhinevale Close have been temporarily closed to restrict access to kauri.

**Assessment Criteria**

- Kauri forest ecological value - High
- Recreational value - Medium
- Availability of alternative tracks – Yes, there are alternative walking tracks in the local vicinity.

**Proposed mitigation options**

- Mitigate tracks to kauri-safe standard with geocell cellular stabilising material, Bark Aggregate Mix (BAM) and box steps;
- Close the informal, low-use track B-C by planting, fencing and removal of public assets;
- Bring the rest of the track to a formed, dry track standard with box steps, resurfacing, reshaping and side drains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Analysis and Recommendation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option A</td>
<td>Keep tracks closed indefinitely</td>
<td>Kauri could potentially be exposed to kauri dieback if people do not adhere to the closure.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>This option is not recommended because it does not protect the kauri present in the reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B</td>
<td>Mitigate the track within Kauri Hygiene Areas to kauri-safe national standard. Upgrade the remainder of the track to dry track standard. Indefinite closure of the informal accessway at Rhinevale Close (Track B-C)</td>
<td>Kauri are protected due to track upgrades to kauri-safe standard and exposure to kauri dieback is significantly reduced.</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>This is the recommended option because it provides maximum kauri protection at a cost commensurate with the recreational use of this reserve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Low Risk Reserve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Site Information</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Proposed Mitigation</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moire Park</td>
<td>This is a 3.71 hectare landscaped town centre reserve. There are formed tracks within the reserve as well as playing fields and rugby club facilities. There are a number of non-symptomatic kauri located within the cultivated reserve, alongside the rugby club and on the periphery of the bush walk. The tracks do not provide access to or pass the kauri. This is a low risk reserve.</td>
<td>Kauri forest ecological value - Low. Recreational value - High.</td>
<td>Fance and mulch around the kauri close to open green space to prevent access and protect roots. Assess four diseased kauri for removal alongside the rugby club facilities. These kauri are planted and have little ecological value, and their removal will prevent them from becoming a source of infection to stands of mature kauri.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Kauri Dieback Disease - Local Park Track Mitigation in the Henderson-Massey Local Board Area
Waitākere BMX Club grant for facility improvements

File No.: CP2019/15674

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To approve a grant to Waitākere BMX Club Incorporated towards the costs of facility improvements at the BMX track at Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve, Ranui.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Waitākere BMX Clubs requires urgent financial support in order to progress during summer of 2019/2020 earthworks and foundations for future floodlighting poles.

3. Having considered various options for supporting Waitākere BMX Club during 2019, the Henderson-Massey Local Board has decided to make a grant of $14,000 to assist the club to progress its floodlighting project.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) allocate $14,000 Locally Driven Initiatives operational funding from the Community Response Fund for a grant to Waitakere BMX Club Incorporated towards the costs of facility improvements at the BMX track at Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve, Ranui.

b) authorise the General Manager Parks, Sport and Recreation to prepare and execute development funding agreement in favour of the Waitākere BMX Club Incorporated.

c) note that the grant to Waitākere BMX Club Incorporated is primarily intended to ensure the club will be able to complete earthworks for floodlights during the summer of 2019/2020 and, as a secondary outcome in case of a surplus, support other facility improvement projects at the track.

d) congratulate Waitākere BMX Club Incorporated for successfully fundraising $249,000.00 towards facility improvements projects.

Horopaki

Context

4. Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve is a 37.44 hectare park located north of Glen Rd, Ranui and east of Birdwood Rd, Ranui. The park is designated as open space - sport and active reaction zone. The park accommodates a destination youth playground and various community sport and recreation groups including: Massey Pony Club, West City Darts Association, Western Districts Model Railway Association, and Waitakere BMX Club.

5. Waitākere BMX Club Incorporated is a volunteer-run community sports organisation providing BMX cycle training and racing.

6. Waitakere BMX Club holds a lease of an area approximately 9,400m² within Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve, outlined green in Attachment A, within which it maintains its BMX track, outfield and ancillary facilities. The BMX facility is immediately adjacent to a destination youth park (outlined red in Attachment A).

7. Between 2015 and 2018 Waitākere BMX Club redeveloped its track and outfield, with financial support from Auckland Council (facility partnership fund, since disestablished) and
third-party funders. The track was closed during this time, and the club lost members as a result.

8. The redeveloped BMX track reopened in 2018 and membership is recovering.

9. Further facility improvements are required for the Waitākere BMX track to comply with new Cycling NZ criteria for hosting accredited BMX competitions.

10. At a business meeting on 16 October 2018 the Henderson-Massey Local Board received a deputation from Waitākere BMX Club. The club requested financial support from the local board in order to undertake the necessary facility improvements. The local board resolved to request staff to investigate options to support Waitakere BMX Club.

11. Options to support Waitākere BMX Club were discussed with Henderson-Massey Local Board in workshops on 5 February 2019, 14 May 2019 and 20 August 2019.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. Design and construction of the BMX facility occurred alongside the development of the destination youth park by council. The two facilities lend amenity to each other further supporting the desired active recreation outcomes. The club is trying to ensure its facility aligns with and complements the youth park.

13. Waitākere BMX Club is actively working to build capability and improve its promotions in order to increase BMX participation and club membership. A new logo and website have been developed, new programming and promotional activities are planned or underway (Attachment B).

14. To meet Cycling NZ specification for hosting accredited BMX competitions, Waitākere BMX Club needs to erect a canopy over its start gate and install an electronic timing system to the track.

15. Waitākere BMX Club also plans further improvements including floodlights, drainage and track works, mural-painting on containers, and sealing high traffic areas.

16. To meet the costs of these works, Waitākere BMX Club has successfully fundraised approximately $250,000 from non-council sources.

17. In light of historic landfill activities in the vicinity of the BMX track, safe earthworks practice requires that earthworks for floodlighting pole foundations must occur during dry summer months. This a critical project deadline, because if missed it means a 12-month delay.

18. However, due to the timing of project payments the club has a current cashflow shortfall of $14,000 for progressing the floodlighting project. There is a risk that the club will miss the earthworks window and the project will be significantly delayed.

19. Henderson-Massey Local Board has discussed options to support Waitākere BMX Club and identified that this cashflow dilemma is an opportunity to assist the club maintain momentum in its facility improvement projects.

20. It is recommended that the Henderson-Massey Local Board grant $14,000 to Waitākere BMX Club to ensure that the club is able to progress earthworks on schedule.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

21. The facility improvement projects proposed by Waitakere BMX Club are aligned with the following strategic documents:

   a) Auckland Plan 2050
      Participation in sport and recreation contributes to belonging and participation outcomes by enhancing quality-of-life for Aucklanders.

   b) Henderson-Massey Local Board Plan 2017
See “Local impacts”, below.

c) Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (2017 refresh)
Provision of fit-for-purpose facilities supports increased participation in sport and recreation

22. A council Closed Landfill Management Specialist from Infrastructure and Environmental Services has assessed potential contamination issues arising from historical landfill practices at Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve. The assessment has found low risk of contamination problems and Asset Owner Approval is awaiting receipt of a site management plan from Waitākere BMX Club.

23. Other council views have been provided via Land Owner Approval process. This application will be brought before the local board once Asset Owner Approval has been confirmed.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**
**Local impacts and local board views**

24. The Waitakere BMX Club proposed facility improvements align with the Henderson-Massey Local board Plan 2017 Outcome 3: “Community facilities are vibrant and welcoming places at the heart of our communities”:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Key initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People are more active.</td>
<td>Partner with community sport and recreation groups to lift residents’ exercise levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support our sport and recreation groups to find appropriate accommodation and playing venues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our parks and recreational services provide a range of accessible experiences for our diverse community.</td>
<td>Extend the variety of play and exercise experiences for a range of ages and abilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. Local board has discussed supporting Waitākere BMX Club in three workshops during 2019, including the option of making a grant.

26. The local impact of the proposed facility improvements will be an increase the capacity (riding hours) of the track, and Waitākere BMX Club being able to host national level BMX competition races. In both cases, the improved venue will enable the club increase its activities and service offering to the community.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**
**Māori impact statement**

27. The Waitākere BMX Club proposed facility improvements were presented to mana whenua representatives on 3 August 2019. Further information was requested due to historical landfill activities at Te Rangi Hiroa Birdwood Reserve. Waitākere BMX Club has obtained detailed site investigation (DSI) for its proposed works. The DSI concludes low risk of contamination. The DSI was provided to mana whenua on Wednesday 4 September 2019.

28. Mana whenua feedback will be included in consideration of Land Owner Approval and resource consent applications.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**
**Financial implications**

29. It is recommended that $14,000 Locally Driven Initiatives operational funding be allocated from the Community Response Fund for the purposes of a grant to Waitākere BMX Club.

30. Together with third-party funding, the $14,000 contribution from Henderson-Massey Local Board is expected to be sufficient to meet the costs of proposed facility improvements at Waitakere BMX Club grant for facility improvements
Waitākere BMX Club. Once these projects are completed, the club will have a fit-for-purpose facility that should require little further investment for several years.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

31. Risk of contamination problems arising from historical landfill activities has been assessed by council staff and found to be low. Final mitigations will be made by requiring Waitākere BMX Club to submit a site management plan for earthworks.

**Ngā koriringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

32. Staff will prepare a funding agreement and progress the grant payment as a matter of urgency in order to facilitate the Waitākere BMX Club floodlighting project.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**
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New Riders & Sprockets Training

Updated Aug 27

Our New Rider & Sprockets training runs on Thursdays, from 5-6pm at the Waitakere BMX Track. The cost is $5 per rider payable to the coach on the night.

Learn how to balance on the Gates, working on your pumping skills, pedal positions •

SPROCKET TRAINING

WAITAKERE BMX TRACK
THURSDAYS, 5-6pm
$5 per RIDER

All sprockets/new riders should have shoes, long pants, long-sleeved top, full-fingered gloves, a helmet, and a bike. It is also a requirement that their parent/guardian remains on site during the training session.

Please make sure you are there on time and ready to ride at 5pm.

Any cancellations will be posted to the Facebook page.

See you there.

OPEN DAY 2019

Saturday, September 28th 2019, 11am-2pm

TRY BMX FOR FREE

SATURDAY 28th SEPTEMBER 2019

11-2PM

Come and check out what BMX racing is all about. We will have race bikes, helmets and gloves available for everyone to give it a go.

Our canteen will open for some tasty lunch so make sure you bring your wallet and why not have lunch at the track.

TIMETABLE

• 11:00 Sign-in, Gear Up, Ride
• 12:15 Racing Demo
• 12:30 Jumping Demo
• 1:30 Lolly Scramble

EVENTS ON THE DAY

• Demos, Prizes, Raffles, Sausage Sizzle, 2nd Hand Gear Market, Canteen, Lolly Scramble

WHAT TO BRING
Informal local board workshop views on the draft findings of the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 review

File No.: CP2019/15701

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To provide a summary to local boards of informal views presented at recent workshops on the draft findings of the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 review, and to provide an opportunity for any formal resolutions from local boards.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Auckland Council is reviewing the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 as part of its required five-year statutory review.

3. In May 2019, staff circulated a draft findings report on the bylaw review to all local boards. Eighteen local boards requested individual workshops to ask staff questions and provide informal views on the draft findings. Staff conducted these workshops in June and July 2019.

4. The workshop discussions about the draft findings report included:
   - animal nuisances occurring regionally and locally
   - issues with some definitions in the bylaw
   - requirements to provide identification for owned animals
   - Auckland Council’s processes for managing animals
   - current and suggested controls on specific animals, e.g. stock, bees, horses, and cats.

5. This report summarises the informal views provided at these workshops. These informal views will guide staff in developing and assessing options for managing animals in Auckland.

6. This report also gives local boards an opportunity to formalise any views before staff present findings and options to the Regulatory Committee in early 2020. Staff will seek direction from the committee at that time if the bylaw needs to be confirmed, amended, or revoked.

7. Local boards will have another opportunity to provide formal views when staff develop a statement of proposal following the Regulatory Committee’s recommendations.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive this report on informal workshop summary views from local boards on the draft findings of the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 review.

b) provide any formal views on the draft findings of the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 review.
Horopaki

Context


9. The purpose of the bylaw is to provide for the ownership of animals in a way that:
   - protects the public from nuisance
   - maintains and promotes public health and safety
   - minimises the potential for offensive behaviour in public places
   - manages animals in public places.

10. To help achieve its purpose, the bylaw enables rules to be made on specific animals in separate controls (see Figure 1 below). The bylaw contains controls for:
   - beekeeping in urban areas
   - keeping stock in urban areas
   - horse riding in a public place.

Figure 1 – Animal Management Bylaw 2015 framework

The bylaw does not address dogs


12. The bylaw regulates owners of any animal of the animal kingdom except humans and dogs.

The bylaw does not regulate animal welfare

13. The Local Government Act 2002 and Health Act 1956 under which the bylaw was created, provide powers to protect people from nuisance and harm, not animals.

The bylaw must be reviewed to ensure it is still necessary and appropriate

15. Auckland Council must complete a statutory review of the bylaw by 30 April 2020 to prevent it from expiring.

16. Following the statutory review, the council can propose the bylaw be confirmed, amended, revoked or replaced using a public consultative procedure.

17. In May 2019, staff completed a draft findings report for the bylaw review. The draft report identified current issues with animal nuisance and potential areas of improvement for the bylaw.

Staff held local board workshops to obtain informal views on the draft findings report

18. In May 2019, staff provided a copy of the draft findings report to all local boards. Eighteen local boards requested workshops which were conducted in June and July 2019.

19. At these workshops, local boards provided informal views and asked questions on the draft findings report. These informal views will aid staff in producing a range of options to respond to identified animal nuisance and management issues.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

20. The following sections summarise informal local board views from the workshops collectively. The sections provide informal views on:

- ongoing animal nuisance issues
- the bylaw’s definition of ‘owner’
- the bylaw’s definition of ‘nuisance’
- exclusion rules for companion animals
- identifying owned animals
- the council’s processes for managing animals
- views on existing and new controls for specific animals.

21. The PowerPoint presented at the local board workshops is provided in Attachment A. The sub-sections below reference the relevant slide pages.

22. Questions from local boards at the workshops are provided in Attachment B. These questions will be further explored during the options analysis.

There are ongoing issues with animal nuisance (Slides 9-10)

23. At the workshops, staff presented known animal nuisances occurring regionally and locally. Previous engagement captured many types of nuisance, but local boards added and emphasised the nuisances listed below:

| Bees | • Bees leaving excrement on cars is a minor nuisance.  
|      | • Some people, especially those with bee allergies, are fearful of bees coming onto their property.  |
| Birds | • Types of nuisance caused by birds is very subjective.  
|      | • People are abandoning geese and ducks.  
|      | • Breeding parrots is a nuisance.  
|      | • Turkeys and peacocks are causing a nuisance in rural areas.  |
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| Item 17 |

| **Cats** | • Feeding wild pigeons and seagulls is causing a nuisance. |
| **Cats** | • There are large numbers of stray cats across the region. |
| **Cats** | • Cats breed in construction and development spaces. |
| **Cats** | • Cats cause a nuisance by defecating in vegetable gardens. |
| **Cats** | • Abandoned kittens become feral and cause nuisance. |
| **Cats** | • Cats are eating native wildlife. |
| **Pigs** | • In urban areas, temporarily keeping pigs for fattening causes nuisance. |
| **Rabbits** | • Rabbit infestations on council land cause nuisance to neighbouring properties. |
| **Roosters** | • Roosters are a nuisance and can be vicious, harmful animals. |
| **Roosters** | • In rural areas, people are abandoning roosters. |
| **Roosters** | • Rural areas have a higher tolerance for roosters. |
| **Stock** | • In rural areas there are issues with fences deteriorating and stock escaping. |
| **Stock** | • Loose chickens and wandering stock are a nuisance. |
| **Vermin** | • People complain about vermin and water rats in waterways, low tide or the deep bush. |
| **Vermin** | • Open composting could create issues with vermin. |
| **Vermin** | • Complaints about rats are increasing. |

**The bylaw’s definition of ‘owner’ needs to be reviewed** *(Slide 15)*

24. The bylaw focuses on the responsibilities of owners of animals. It is unclear if someone who is providing for the needs of an animal, such as food or shelter, becomes responsible for that animal as their ‘owner’.

25. Most local boards view that the bylaw’s definition of ‘owner’ should be clearer.

**Table 2 - Local Board informal views on the definition of ‘owner’**

| • Any animal, whether owned or unowned, should be addressed in the bylaw. |
| • The current definition is useful as it captures a broad scope of animal owners. |
| • The definition should elaborate on criteria for the phrase ‘under that person’s care’. |
| • Owner definition should include accountability for feeding wild animals but should: |
| o not punish volunteers who care for the animals’ wellbeing |
| o allow animal control officers to feed animals to trap them. |

26. In response to questions from local boards at the workshops, staff note the following.

| • The Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029 manages cats that are not microchipped or identified by a collar and that are on significant ecological areas. |
| • The Wildlife Act 1953 provides that a wild animal is the property of the Crown until it has been lawfully taken or killed. At that point, it becomes the property of the killer or trapper. This act specifically excludes some animals, such as cats, pigeons and rats, from being vested in the Crown. |
| • In areas of high conservation value or where there is serious threat, the council will undertake control of certain pest animals. In general, landowners and occupiers are primarily responsible for managing pests. |
The bylaw’s definition of ‘nuisance’ needs to be reviewed (Slide 15)
27. The bylaw uses the Health Act 1956 definition of ‘nuisance’. This includes a person, animal thing, or circumstance causing unreasonable interference with the peace, comfort, or convenience of another person.

28. Local boards provided a mix of informal views on the definition of ‘nuisance’. Some local boards commented that the definition should have more specific criteria, while others said the bylaw should retain the current broad definition.

Table 3 - Local board informal views on the definition of ‘nuisance’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The definition of nuisance in the Health Act 1956 is outdated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having specific and measurable criteria for nuisance is good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The nuisance definition is difficult to enforce without some specific criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensification and tenancy laws allowing for pets will increase nuisance incidents, so the definition needs more specific criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting animal nuisance can cause tension between neighbours. Specific criteria would be useful, so neighbours are not left to interpret nuisance on their own.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A broader definition of nuisance fits with common law and covers more occurrences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There cannot be one definition of nuisance since there is no one definition of Aucklanders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The definition of nuisance in the bylaw should have both general and specific parts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incorporating companion animals into the bylaw needs to be reviewed (Slide 15)
29. Currently, the bylaw does not mention companion animals (pets). The bylaw manages animals equally unless they are stock, poultry or bees.

30. Some Aucklanders find it confusing that the bylaw does not specifically address companion animals. There is misunderstanding that stock animals which are kept as pets instead of food, such as pigs and goats, are not subject to the bylaw’s stock controls.

31. Local boards had mixed views about creating a definition for companion animals. Some viewed the rules should apply based on how the animal is kept. Other local boards said the rules should apply regardless if the animal is a pet.

Table 4 - Local board informal views on adding companion animals in the bylaw’s definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companion animals should have separate rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some animals should be defined as companion animals in the bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The bylaw should make exceptions if any animal is defined as stock but is a pet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companion animals should be excluded from the bylaw rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats are popular pets and can be good companions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm animals as pets can provide the same benefits as traditional pets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companion animals should not have separate rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Companion animals which are stock animals should still require the same licensing process as other stock animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companion animals should not have their own rules as some neighbours are not familiar or okay with stock animals being kept as pets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a specific definition increases complexity and introduces subjectivity. It</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

should not matter what a person says about their animal.

- People should not be allowed to have livestock as pets in urban areas.
- An animal is an animal no matter how it is kept. Since the nuisance effects on neighbours are the same, there should be no distinctions.

32. In response to questions from local boards at the workshops, staff note that you cannot buy or take ownership of a pest animal. If you already own a pest animal, you can keep it, but you cannot abandon it, give it to a new owner, or allow the pest animal to breed. The Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029 classifies unowned cats as pests.

Requirements for identifying owned animals needs to be reviewed *(Slide 17)*

33. The bylaw does not require owners to provide their animal with identification.

34. The draft findings report revealed that requiring animal identification would facilitate addressing animal nuisance issues. Most local boards viewed animal identification as helpful but impractical.

Table 5 - Local board informal views on identifying owned animals

- If your animal is going to leave your property, it should be identified.
- Council should offer a form of assistance to identify your animal.
- Every farm animal should be tagged and named.
- Identifying animals would prevent people from feeding unowned animals.
- Identifying animals is useful but impractical.
- The council should collaborate with the National Animal Identification and Tracing database.

35. In response to questions from local boards at the workshops, staff note that provided there is a valid purpose, the council has power to regulate animal registration. Any requirement would need to match the size and scale of the issue and would need to show it would effectively reduce harm and nuisance to people.

There is uncertainty about the council’s processes for managing animals *(Slide 17)*

36. The draft findings report identified that some Aucklanders are unclear about the council’s processes and protocols for managing animals, especially unowned animals. This confusion reduces people’s willingness to report nuisance as they are unsure who is responsible. Only 2 per cent of surveyed respondents who experienced animal nuisance reported it to the council.

37. The draft findings report identified the bylaw could be strengthened by providing information about non-regulatory processes and protocols for managing animals, especially unowned animals. Most local boards viewed that the council’s processes could be clearer.

Table 6 - Local board informal views on council processes for managing animals

- The bylaw should be clear on what the council does and does not do regarding animal management.
- The council should clarify the process for reporting unowned animals causing nuisance.
- The bylaw’s animal management processes need to align with the Regional Pest Management Plan.
• The council should offer mediation services for disgruntled neighbours over animal nuisance.

38. In response to questions from local boards at the workshops, staff note the following:
  • A property owner may trap and/or lawfully kill an animal on their property. It is a criminal offence to kill an owned animal or destroy the animal inhumanely.
  • To prove a legal claim for damage to private property by an owned animal, the property owner would need to show that the owner of the animal had failed to take reasonable care to avoid the damage.
  • Culling is managed by central government laws and regulations, rather than the Animal Management Bylaw 2015.

**Views on existing controls for specific animals in the bylaw** *(Slide 22)*

39. Around 90 per cent of surveyed Aucklanders said the current bylaw controls for bees, stock and horses were about right or had no view.

40. The draft findings report showed council compliance response officers would find limits to urban beehives and more specific requirements for chicken coop locations easier to enforce than the current bylaw controls.

41. Local boards had a mix of views. Some had views on needing more controls, and some had views to keep the controls the same or less.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Current control</th>
<th>Views on more control</th>
<th>Views on same or less control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Bees** | • Any properties, urban or rural, can keep any number of bees.  
  • Beekeepers must manage the flight path and temperament of their bees.  
  • Beekeepers must ensure nuisance from their bees’ excrement is minimised, and the bees have a suitable water source on the premises. | • The council should restrict beekeeping if people have bee-sting allergies.  
  • Limit the number of beehives in an area to prevent colony competition.  
  • Increase awareness and visibility of who keeps bees in an area.  
  • Restrict beekeeping to rural areas.  
  • Restrict the number of beehives a person can have in urban areas.  
  • Restrict beehive ownership by size of property.  
  • There should be minimum training or qualification to own bees. You need experience.  
  • Amateur beekeepers should be treated differently to commercial beekeepers. | • Bees are not causing much nuisance, so there is no need for more regulation.  
  • We should be encouraging beekeeping. Should regulate rather than overregulate.  
  • Do not restrict bees to just urban areas.  
  • Bees should be unregulated.  
  • Would be concerned if licensing costs for beekeeping were introduced.  
  • Should be careful about restricting bees as they are important to the ecosystem. |
<p>| <strong>Horses</strong> | • Local boards are able to set specific controls for horses | • The same access rules for dogs on beaches should be applied to horses. | • Horse owners should be responsible for removing manure. The bylaw |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Current control</th>
<th>Views on more control</th>
<th>Views on same or less control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| for local parks and beaches.  
- Horses are currently not allowed to be kept in urban areas without a licence from the council unless the premises is larger than 4000 square metres.  
Horses are permitted in public spaces if:  
- manure is removed  
- consideration is taken to not intimidate or cause a nuisance for other public space users  
- beach dune damage is minimised. | - Do not prohibit horses on beaches but restrict them to off-peak times.  
- Should lobby central government to include the same powers that protect native fauna and wildlife from dogs for horses. | should encourage accountability and consider that picking up manure is not always practical, e.g. on busy roads.  
- Should be allowed to ride horses on berms.  
- Horses should not be banned from roads. There are few places to ride.  
- Increase communication and awareness of current controls to horse owners.  
- Would rather have horses on the roads than scooters. |
| Chickens, ducks, geese, pheasants and quail are the only stock animals currently permitted by the bylaw in urban areas without a licence from the council. Any other stock animal, including roosters, would require a licence from the council in urban areas unless the premises is larger than 4000 square metres.  
Stock in urban areas must also be restrained within the boundaries of the premises on which they are kept, and chicken coops must not cause a nuisance and must be regularly cleaned.  
In rural areas the above controls do not apply. Rural residents must ensure their animals do not cause a nuisance to any other person. | - Stock should not be kept in urban areas. This is also humane for the animal.  
- There should be penalties for poor stock fencing by roads in rural areas.  
- The bylaw needs a mechanism to deal with repeat ‘wandering stock’ offenders.  
- The criteria for keeping goats and other herbivores should be defined by the amount of grassy area on the property.  
- There should be restrictions on how far a chicken coop should be from the property boundary.  
- Fewer chickens should be allowed in urban areas.  
- Roosters should not be allowed in rural lifestyle blocks in urban areas. | - The current stock controls are adequate.  
- Support allowing pheasants in urban areas.  
- There are already legal consequences for not fencing your stock. The bylaw does not need to address.  
- If you have a large property in an urban area, goats should be allowed.  
- Make sure urban pet days are still allowed.  
- It does not matter where the chicken coop sits on the property if it is cleaned regularly.  
- There should not be a complete ban on roosters in urban areas. |
Views on new controls for specific animals (Slide 23)

42. A quarter of surveyed Aucklanders (26 per cent) said the bylaw should introduce controls for other animals. Of those wanting controls for other animals, over half (57 per cent) wanted controls introduced for cats.

43. The draft findings report identified that council compliance officers and the SPCA support microchipping and registering of cats.

44. Local boards provided mixed views on introducing controls for new animals. The local boards agreed that any regulatory response would need to match the scale of the issue, be cost-effective, and have measurable effects on reducing nuisance.

Table 8 - Local board informal views on controls for cats and other animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informal local board views on controls for cats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informal views on introducing controls for cats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The bylaw should limit the number of cats a person can own.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Should make sure extremes are restricted, such as having 30+ cats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The bylaw should require the de-sexing of cats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o The council should work closely with the SPCA in this matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Make it compulsory for cat owners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local boards have varying support for requiring microchipping of cats including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o full compulsory microchipping across the region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o limited microchipping only to cats living in eco-sensitive areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The bylaw should have the same registration process for cats as the council has for dogs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There should be a curfew for cats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There should be controls to dissuade people from feeding stray cats as it reinforces the cats' behaviour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publish best practices for tourists with cats and other animals visiting Hauraki Gulf Islands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The council should restrict cats from wandering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The council should restrict certain cat breeds, like Bengals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Informal views on not introducing controls for cats

• Cat registration is difficult and has failed before. Auckland Council already has difficulty registering and enforcing dogs.
• Rely on the Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029 guidelines.
• Cats naturally wander. Containing them would be cruel.
• The council should invest in substantial long-term public education regarding cats.
• If the council restricts caring for stray cats, it could create animal welfare issues.
• Controlling cats is too trivial for the council to get involved.

Informal local board views on controls for other animals

• Rules are needed to restrict feeding wild animals in public, especially birds.
• How many animals a person can own should be restricted by section size.
• There should be a higher management expectation on animal owners in urban areas.
• The bylaw should address the health risks that animals can cause their owners.
• There should be a complete ban on snakes and ferrets.
• Rabbits are a major pest, especially in urban areas. The bylaw should restrict breeding.
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- There should be controls on keeping birds in small cages.
- Unless there is a significant problem, neighbours should sort out their own problems.

45. In response to questions from local boards at the workshops, staff note the following:

- Any costs for managing stray cats would be investigated during the options development phase to respond to nuisance issues.
- The Local Government Act 2002 would give the council power to impose a curfew on cats if it was an appropriate response to the scale of the nuisance and would clearly show how the curfew would reduce harm and nuisance to humans.
- The council currently has more legal power to respond to dog nuisance than cat nuisance. The Dog Control Act 1996 gives the council wide-varying powers to address dog issues. There is no similar legislation for cats.
- Rat pest control is addressed through the Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029.
- The Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029 lists some tropical animals that can be treated as pests. These include eastern water dragons, Indian ring-necked parakeets, and snake-necked turtles.
- Chickens were not classified as pests in the Regional Pest Management Plan 2019-2029. The purpose of the plan is to protect the Auckland region’s important biodiversity assets. There are no significant biodiversity benefits to managing feral chickens at a regional level. Feral chickens are primarily a human nuisance issue centred in the urban areas where people feed them.

Other views from local boards

Rights of property owners and protection

46. The bylaw does not explain what options property owners have to handle animal nuisance on their property themselves. It is unclear which animals property owners are allowed to trap and dispose of on their own and which animals are protected.

47. Some local boards said the bylaw should clarify property owners’ rights.

Enforcement

48. Some local boards said the council should be prepared to enforce any rules it may introduce.

49. The Local Government Act 2002 does not give the power to issue an infringement notice under a bylaw. Compliance officers have said this inhibits their ability to address nuisance issues as, after trying to elicit voluntary compliance, the next step is prosecution. This can be costly to the council.

50. Some local boards provided views that the Local Government Act 2002 should be amended to allow for infringement fines. Some local boards viewed that the bylaw would already be fit for purpose if it could be enforced with infringements.

Education

51. Most local boards said the council needs to increase education and awareness about the current animal management rules. Some local boards viewed that the council should focus more on informing Aucklanders of responsible animal management than increasing regulation.

52. Some local boards also advised that any changes to the bylaw, if required, would need to have a strong communication and awareness plan.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
53. The bylaw affects the operation of council units involved in animal management. These include biosecurity, animal management and compliance response officers. Staff held face-to-face meetings and a workshop with council officers. These views were provided in the draft findings report and workshops.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
54. Staff captured informal local board views through cluster workshops in March 2019. The draft findings report was shared with all local boards in May 2019, and staff attended individual local board workshops through June and July 2019.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
55. Staff sought views from mana whenua at the Infrastructure and Environmental Services Forum in April 2019. The members present at the hui sought clarity that the bylaw’s reference of ‘public places’ does not extend to papakāinga (communal Māori land).
56. Members were also concerned with threats to estuaries, beaches, and waterways from unregulated coastal horse trails. These views were provided in the draft findings report and options development will consider these views.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
57. The cost of the bylaw review and implementation will be met within existing budgets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
58. There is a risk that the public may perceive this report as formal local board views or an attempt to regulate cats without public engagement. This risk can be mitigated by replying to any emerging media or public concerns by saying that no additions or changes will be made to the Animal Management Bylaw 2015 without full public consultation.
59. Local boards will have an opportunity to provide formal resolutions on any changes proposed to the bylaw in early 2020 before a public consultative procedure.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
60. Following any additional formalised views from local boards, staff will generate and assess options to respond to identified animal nuisances. Staff will present these findings and options in a report to the relevant committee in the new council term in early 2020.
61. Staff will seek formal local board views when developing a statement of proposal once the committee gives direction on animal management.
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Attachment A

Item 17
What is the bylaw about?

The purpose of the bylaw is to provide for the ownership of animals in a way that:

- protects the public from nuisance
- maintains and promotes public health and safety
- minimises the potential for offensive behavior in public places
- manages animals in public places.

Contains specific controls for:

- keeping of bees in an urban area
- keeping of stock in an urban area
- horses in public places.

Bylaw was adopted in 2015 and replaced 18 legacy bylaws.
What legislation gives the bylaw its power?

Section 145: General bylaw-making power for territorial authorities
A territorial authority may make bylaws for:
- protecting the public from nuisance
- protecting, promoting, and maintaining public health and safety
- minimising the potential for offensive behaviour in public places.

Section 146: Specific bylaw-making powers of territorial authorities
Without limiting section 145, a territorial authority may make bylaws for the purposes of:
- regulating the keeping of animals, bees and poultry
- managing and protecting reserves or other land under the control of the territorial authority from, damage, misuse, or loss.

Section 64: Bylaws
Every local authority may make bylaws for:
- improving, promoting, or protecting public health, and preventing or abating nuisances
- regulating, licensing, or prohibiting the keeping of any animals in the district
- preventing the outbreak or spread of disease by the agency of flies, mosquitoes, or other insects, or of rats, mice, or other vermin.
Why is the council reviewing the bylaw?

Local Government Act 2002 – Statutory review

- Bylaw must be reviewed within five years of being made
- The council must decide whether:
  - a bylaw is the most appropriate way of addressing the perceived problem
  - the bylaw is ‘fit for purpose’
  - the current bylaw gives rise to any Bill of Rights implications
  - to retain, amend, replace, or revoke the bylaw
- Auckland Council Regulatory Committee
Most Aucklanders own animals
### People’s Panel data on animal ownership

#### No animal ownership (pg. 9)

**Overall (pg. 7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animals on property</th>
<th>Percentage of respondents</th>
<th>Average amount (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.6 (1-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>1.4 (1-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickens / roosters</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6.7 (1-150,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish (indoor and/or outdoor)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13.2 (1-200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>(1-3,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>(1-50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bees</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>(1-80 hives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cows</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>(1-740)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>(1-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Included - insects, frogs, hedgehogs, and worms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horses / ponies</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>(1-33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducks</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(1-950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(1-83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pigs</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(1-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice / rats</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(1-200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(1-1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owns no animals</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
People’s Panel data on animal ownership

Cats (pg. 8)  Chickens and roosters (pg. 10)
Many Aucklanders are experiencing animal nuisance
Top issues from complaints data and People’s Panel

Council complaints data 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Examples of complaints</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wandering</td>
<td>Stock on roads and property</td>
<td>117,601 (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Animals getting into left out rubbish</td>
<td>107,374 (involving dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,227 (without dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Barking and crowing</td>
<td>88,187 (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86,657 (involving dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,530 (without dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>Wandering animals leaving poop on property</td>
<td>2,206 (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owners not picking up after their animals</td>
<td>1,795 (involving dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>411 (without dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead animals</td>
<td>Dead animals dumped on side of roads</td>
<td>1,266 (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dead animals in ponds and storm water fields</td>
<td>671 (involving dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>595 (without dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smell</td>
<td>Bad odours attracting mice and rats</td>
<td>1,244 (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decomposing animals</td>
<td>408 (involving dogs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smelly chicken coops</td>
<td>836 (without dogs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

People’s Panel April 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Examples of nuisance</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Animal faeces left in parks, walkways or on private property, especially vegetable gardens</td>
<td>1,350 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhygienic behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal wandering</td>
<td>Animals wandering onto neighbouring property</td>
<td>895 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbours harbouring rats in overgrown sections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory nuisance</td>
<td>Odour from animal excreta</td>
<td>697 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loud animals, especially crowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to property</td>
<td>Scratched deck furniture</td>
<td>531 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yard dig up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Property damage from animal faeces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Animals attacking native wildlife</td>
<td>423 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fighting wild animals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aggressive looking livestock in public areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discouraged animals in public areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad behaviour</td>
<td>Pets and people being attacked by aggressive animals</td>
<td>370 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaughter</td>
<td>Finding the practice of killing animals offensive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Witnessing slaughter or leftover remains</td>
<td>55 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Top nuisances (People’s Panel)

Overall nuisance rates (pg. 17)

Nuisance rates by local board area
- Ōtara-Papaturanga: 65%
- Henderson: 42%
- Maungakiekie-Takapuna: 43%
- Waitakere-Ranges: 45%
- Albert-Ben: 44%
- Upper Harbour: 40%
- Waitakere: 44%
- Davenport-Takapuna: 39%
- Mangere-Ötara: 47%
- Manurewa: 42%
- Henderson-Massey: 41%
- Papakura: 41%
- Waitakere: 40%
- Davenport-Takapuna: 39%
- Whau: 48%
- Ōtara-Papaturanga: 55%
- Henderson: 42%
- Maungakiekie-Takapuna: 43%
- Waitakere-Ranges: 45%
- Albert-Ben: 44%
- Upper Harbour: 40%
- Waitakere: 44%
- Davenport-Takapuna: 39%
- Mangere-Ötara: 47%
- Manurewa: 42%
- Henderson-Massey: 41%
- Papakura: 41%
- Waitakere: 40%
- Davenport-Takapuna: 39%
- Whau: 48%

Which animals have caused a nuisance in the past year? (pg. 19)

**Hot topics**
- Cats defecating in vegetable gardens, wandering onto neighbouring properties, owners not doing anything about it
- Harbouring vermin in tall grass or rubbish
- Neighbours feeding wild birds
- Smelly chicken coops, loose chickens
- Crowing roosters
How the bylaw currently addresses animal nuisance
Bylaw structure (1/2)

- **General nuisance clause**
  - Owners must ensure their animals do not cause a nuisance to any other person or cause a risk to public health and safety.

- **Obligations of animal owners in public places**
  - Owners must ensure their animals do not damage property belonging to another person.
  - Requires licence to keep bees or graze stock in public places.

- **Slaughter, hunting, removal or release of animals**
  - A person must ensure slaughter does not create a nuisance, including animal remains.
  - No slaughtering in public places or urban premises less than 4000 square metres (besides poultry)
  - No release or abandonment in a public place unless written approval from the council
  - No hunting or removing an animal in a public place unless written approval from the council

- **Controls**
  (next slide)
Bylaw structure (2/2)

- **Controls**
  - Keeping of bees in urban areas
    - bee management
    - flight path management
    - provision of water
  - Keeping of stock in urban areas
    - the number of stock that may be kept
    - the conditions in which they are kept
  - Horses in public places
    - general conditions of use
    - places with additional conditions
    - places where prohibited
Uncertainty on some definitions in the bylaw
Definition challenges

- **Owner** — “any person who has an animal in their possession or custody, or under that person’s care, control or supervision.”

- **Nuisance** — bylaw uses Health Act 1956 definition, and “includes a circumstance causing unreasonable interferences with the peace, comfort or convenience of another person.”

- **Animal management** — animal management officers mostly enforce dogs. AMOs not responsible for cats, wildlife, animal pests, birds, marine mammals or urban poultry, bees or stock.
  - **Stock** — “cattle, deer…poultry and any other animal kept in captivity, or farmed, an dependent on humans for their care and sustenance.”
    
  - **Poultry** — “means any live bird that is kept or raised for the purpose of producing eggs, hatching eggs or poultry products and includes chickens, ducks… roosters and swans.”
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Uncertainty on processes and identifying owned animals
Processes and identifying animal owners

- Only two per cent of People’s Panel respondents experiencing nuisance reported their nuisance to the council.

- The council is generally not responsible for pests on your own property.

- The bylaw is difficult to enforce without an identified owner.
Some Aucklanders and compliance staff want additional controls on animals (particularly cats)
## Current bylaw controls (1/3)

### Beekeeping in urban areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keeping of Bees Control - Flight path management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Every person keeping bees in an urban area must take all reasonable steps to ensure beehives are positioned and managed in a way that has minimal impact to any other person.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keeping of Bees Control - Bee management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2) Every person keeping bees in an urban area must maintain honey bee colonies with a calm temperament and must take all reasonable steps to control swarming.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keeping of Bees Control - Provision of water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) Every person keeping bees in an urban area must ensure there is a suitable water source for the bees on the premises on which the beehives are kept.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keeping of Bees Control - Bee excrement management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4) Every person keeping bees in an urban area must take all reasonable steps to minimise nuisance to any other person from bee excrement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Horse riding in a public place

#### Horses in a Public Place - General conditions
- (1) In a public place, the owner of a horse:
  - (a) must remove or safely dispose of any horse manure that is deposited in a public place;
  - (b) must show due consideration for other public place users at all times;
  - (c) must, when on a beach, ride or lead their horse in a manner that does not intimidate, cause a danger or nuisance to other beach users; and
  - (d) must not ride or lead their horse on coastal dunes except when accessing the beach, an adjoining property or road in a manner that does not cause, nor is likely to cause, damage to any part of that dune, and that utilises the most direct route possible.

#### Horses in a Public Place - Conditions for specified beaches
- (2) The following conditions apply to the presence of horses on Aigles Beach, Hatfields Beach, Martins Bay Beach, Ohope Beach, Orewa Beach and Snells Beach –
  - (a) horses must only be ridden or lead along the beach between the times of mid and low tide, and must be ridden or led along the beach below the high tide mark;
  - (b) between 1 December and 15 February (including weekends), horses are only allowed before 9:00am and after 7:00pm; and
  - (c) horses are prohibited at Easter weekend (Friday to Monday inclusive) and Labour weekend (Saturday to Monday inclusive).

- (3) The following conditions apply to the presence of horses on Karitohi Beach as shown in Schedule 1 –
  - (a) during high use periods, horses are restricted to a walk within the 1km ZONE, at all other times horses are restricted to a walk within the Safe Zone;
  - (b) within the 1km ZONE, horses must remain within 10 metres of the water’s edge wherever possible;
  - (c) horse manure must be removed from the 1km ZONE; and
  - (d) the unloading of horses is only permitted in the Horse Unloading Area.
Current bylaw controls (2/3)

Keeping of stock in urban areas (1/2)

<p>| Table 1: Number of stock allowed to be kept in an urban area without a licence |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of stock</th>
<th>Premises smaller than 2000 square metres</th>
<th>Premises larger than 2000 square metres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickens</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkeys</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geese</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llamas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peacocks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peahens</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheasants</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quail</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosters</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanatory note: obligations of animal owners still apply as contained in clauses 6, 7 and 8 of the Animal Management Bylaw.
Current bylaw controls (3/3)

Keeping of stock in urban areas (2/2)

Keeping of Stock Control – Prevention of wandering stock

(2) The owner of any stock in an urban area must ensure their stock is restrained within the boundaries of the premises on which they are kept.

Keeping of stock control - Containment of chickens

(3) The owner of any chicken must ensure that any chickens are confined on the premises in such a manner that the chicken cannot freely leave the premises. This can be achieved by providing either:
(a) an enclosed chicken coop with an attached run, or
(b) an enclosed chicken coop and adequate fencing of the premises.

Keeping of stock control - Location of chicken coops

(4) The owner of any chicken must not allow their chicken coop to cause a nuisance to any other person.

Keeping of stock control - Chicken coop cleanliness

(5) The owner of any chicken must regularly clean their chicken coop as appropriate to maintain the chicken coop in a dry, clean condition and state of good repair, free from any offensive smell, overflow and vermin.
Views on existing controls

- **Bees (pg. 53)** — restrict to rural, require urban licence, restrict number of hives in urban, excrement unenforceable
  
  People's Panel – Bee controls
  
  - About right: 66%
  - No view/don't know: 24%
  - Less control: 7%
  - More control: 4%

- **Horses (pg. 56)** — ban from beaches, stricter manure accountability, regulation on roads
  
  People's Panel – Horse controls
  
  - About right: 64%
  - No view/don't know: 27%
  - More control: 6%
  - Less control: 3%

- **Stock (pg. 59)** — no stock in urban areas, ban roosters in urban areas and rural-urban boundary, stricter fencing rules, restrict how close coops to property boundaries
  
  People's Panel – Stock controls
  
  - About right: 63%
  - No view/don't know: 25%
  - More control: 9%
  - Less control: 3%
Views on new controls

- **Cats** - registration, microchipping, de-sexing, more owner accountability, protection of native wildlife

- **Birds** – no birds in small cages, exotic birds should be monitored and licenced
Any other views?
Local board questions from the Animal Management Bylaw review workshops

Local board questions on definition of ‘owner’
- Who is responsible or the owner for cat colonies?
- What happens if someone says it is not my pet when it clearly is?
- Any case law on owner definition of ‘under that person’s care’?
- What happens if you trap an animal and keep the baby?
- If you trap a pest on your property and no one comes and picks it up do you become the “owner”?
- Do compliance officers who seize an animal then become its owner?
- Who owns animals in public spaces? The Crown? The council?
- Who is responsible for unowned animals in public spaces?
- What is council’s responsibility for unowned animals?
- If someone feeds unowned chickens every day are the chickens under their care? At what point do they become an owner?

Local board questions on definition on companion animals
- What is a “pest”?
- Can you keep pests as pets?

Local board questions on identifying owned animals
- Can a bylaw require that owners register their pets on an externally owned database such as the NZ Companion Animal Registry?

Local board questions on council processes
- What are the range of options property owners have to respond to animal nuisance?
- Is the question of culling managed under this bylaw or some other act?
- What is the process for obtaining an animal management licence?
- What is the process for keeping bees?
- How does and can Auckland Council manage pet owners living on boundaries of the Domain and large parks?
- Who enforces grazing stock in public places?
- What is the local board process for changing horse controls?

Local board questions on cats
- What is the cost for managing stray cats?
- Could the council implement a curfew on cats?
- What would a council rat control policy look like?
- What are the controls in place for tropical animals?
- Why are chickens not classified as pests in the Regional Pest Management Plan?
- Why could Omaui consider banning cats?
- What do we do about cats coming onto property and killing birds you’ve been looking after?
- What is the definition of feral cats in the Regional Pest Management Plan? Who is responsible for cat colonies?

**Other questions**

- Does the Crematoria bylaw cover animal crematoria? If not, does the odour (and nuisance) from them therefore come under the scope of the Animal Management bylaw?
- How do stock rules apply in semi-urban areas?
- How should the bylaw address bees that make toxic honey from contaminated tutu flower pollen?
- Will housing intensification increase animal nuisance problems?
- Should the bylaw manage the behaviour of humans, not animals?
- What are the rules for slaughter outside a regulated space?
- Will the Tenancy Act allowing pets increase the problem?
- Can the landowner take action to destroy animals that come onto their property? What methods will be allowed?
- What are the controls in place for tropical animals?
- What is the definition of wildlife?
- What animal management powers do we have under the Reserves Act?
- Muslim community on views on slaughter? Any approved process?
- Is the question of culling managed under this bylaw or some other act?
- What rights do property owners have to deal with the problem themselves?
- What happens if you abandon a fish in your private steams that runs into public water?
- What happens if your private lake floods and the aquatic pets get into public waterways?
- Could the bylaw say “no feeding of animals in a public place?”
- Can a bylaw require that owners register their pets on an externally owned database such as NZCAC?
- What are the range of options property owners have to respond to animal nuisance?
- Is the Regional Pest Management Plan adopted? Were chickens purposefully not classified as pests?
- If an animal trespasses on my property is this a nuisance?
Temporary arrangements for urgent decisions and staff delegations during the election period

File No.: CP2019/15791

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Henderson-Massey Local Board approval for temporary arrangements during the election period for:
   - urgent decisions
   - decisions made by staff under delegated authority from the local board that require consultation with local board members under delegation protocols.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Between the last local board business meeting of the current electoral term, and the first business meeting of the new term, there may be decisions needed or routine business as usual to process that cannot wait until after the incoming local board’s first business meeting in the new electoral term.

3. As for each of the previous terms, temporary arrangements are therefore needed for urgent decisions of the local board, and decisions made by staff under existing delegated authority.

4. Between the last business meeting and the declaration of election results on 21 October, current members are still in office, and can make urgent decisions if delegated to do so. If the board does not have an existing urgent decision-making process already in place, it is recommended that the board delegate to the chair and deputy chair the power to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board during this period.

5. Following the declaration of results, the current members are no longer in office. New members come into office the day after the declaration of results but cannot act until they give their statutory declaration at the inaugural meeting. During this period, urgent decisions will be made by the Chief Executive under his existing delegated authority.

6. All local boards have made a general delegation to the Chief Executive. This delegation is subject to a requirement to comply with delegation protocols approved by the local board, which require, amongst other matters, staff to consult with local board portfolio holders on certain matters. The most common area requiring consultation is landowner consents relating to local parks. Where there is no portfolio holder, staff consult with the local board chair.

7. After the election, there will be no local board portfolio holders or chairs to consult until new arrangements are made in the new term. During this time, staff will need to continue to process routine business as usual matters, including routine requests from third parties for landowner approval.

8. As a temporary measure, approval is sought from the local board to allow officers to continue to process business as usual decisions that cannot wait until after the local board’s first business meeting. This is irrespective of the requirements of the current delegation protocols to consult with the nominated portfolio holder on landowner consents. Staff will consult with the local board chair following the inaugural meeting until new arrangements are made at the first business meeting in the term.

9. Appointments made by the local board to external bodies will cease on the date of the election. New appointments will need to be made by the local board in the new term.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) utilise the board’s existing urgent decision-making process between the final local board business meeting and the commencement of the term of office of new local board members OR delegate to the chair and deputy chair the power to make, on behalf of the local board, urgent decisions that may be needed between the final local board business meeting and the commencement of the term of office of new local board members.

b) note that from the commencement of the term of office of new local board members until the inaugural meeting of the incoming local board, urgent decision-making will be undertaken by the Chief Executive under existing delegations.

c) approve that staff, as a temporary measure, can make business as usual decisions under their existing delegated authority without requiring compliance with the requirement in the current delegation protocols to consult with the nominated portfolio holder from 22 October 2019, noting that staff will consult with the chair following the inaugural meeting until the until new arrangements are made at the first business meeting in the new term.

d) note that existing appointments by the local board to external bodies will cease at the election and new appointments will need to be made by the local board in the new term.

Horopaki
Context

10. Current elected members remain in office until the new members’ term of office commences, which is the day after the declaration of election results (Sections 115 and 116, Local Electoral Act 2001). The declaration will be publicly notified on 21 October 2019, with the term of office of current members ending and the term of office of new members commencing on 22 October 2019.

11. The new members cannot act as members of the local board until they have made their statutory declaration at the inaugural local board meeting (Clause 14, Schedule 7, Local Government Act 2002).

12. Following the last local board meeting of the current electoral term, there may be decisions needed or routine business as usual to process that cannot wait until after the incoming local board’s first business meeting in the new electoral term.

13. As with each of the previous electoral terms, temporary arrangements need to be made for:
   - urgent decisions
   - decisions made by staff under delegated authority from the local board that require consultation with local board members under delegation protocols.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Urgent decisions

14. Between the last business meeting and the declaration of results on 21 October, current members are still in office, and can make urgent decisions if delegated to do so. If the board does not have an existing urgent decision-making process already in place, it is
recommended that the board delegate to the chair and deputy chair the power to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board during this period.

15. Board members that have delegated responsibilities, for example, delegations to provide feedback on notified resource consents, notified plan changes and notices of requirement, may continue to exercise those delegations until their term of office ends on 22 October (or earlier if the delegation was specified to end earlier).

16. Between the declaration of results and the inaugural meeting, the current members are no longer in office, the new members cannot act until they give their statutory declaration, and new chairs and deputies will not be in place. During this period, urgent decisions will be made by the Chief Executive under his existing delegated authority (which includes a financial cap).

**Decisions made by staff under delegated authority**

17. All local boards have made a delegation to the Chief Executive. The delegation is subject to a requirement to comply with delegation protocols approved by the local board. These delegation protocols require, amongst other things, staff to consult with nominated portfolio holders on certain issues. Where there is no nominated portfolio holder, staff consult with the local board chair.

18. The most common area requiring consultation is landowner consents relating to local parks. The portfolio holder can refer the matter to the local board for a decision.

19. Parks staff receive a large number of landowner consent requests each month that relate to local parks across Auckland. The majority of these need to be processed within 20 working days (or less), either in order to meet the applicant’s timeframes and provide good customer service, or to meet statutory timeframes associated with resource consents. Only a small number of landowner requests are referred by the portfolio holder to the local board for a decision.

20. Prior to the election, staff can continue to consult with portfolio holders as required by the delegation protocols. However, after the election, there will be no portfolio holders in place to consult with until the local board makes new arrangements in the new term.

21. During this time, staff will need to continue to process routine business as usual matters, including routine requests from third parties for landowner approval such as commercial operator permits, temporary access requests and affected party approvals.

22. As a temporary measure, it is recommended that the local board allow staff to continue to process business as usual decisions that cannot wait until after the local board’s first business meeting. This is irrespective of the requirements of the current delegation protocols to consult with the nominated portfolio holder on landowner consents. Staff will consult with the local board chair following the inaugural meeting until new arrangements are made at the first business meeting in the term.

**Appointment to external bodies**

23. Appointments made by the local board to external bodies will cease at the election. New appointments will need to be made by the local board in the new term. Staff will advise external bodies that local board members will no longer attend meetings of their organisations as an Auckland Council representative from 22 October 2019, until new representatives are appointed in the new term.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views**

24. The arrangements proposed in this report enable the council to process routine local matters during the election period. They apply only to local boards. The reduced political decision-making will be communicated to the wider council group.

25. The governing body has made its own arrangements to cover the election period, including delegating the power to make urgent decisions between the last governing body meeting of
the term and the day the current term ends, to any two of the Mayor, Deputy Mayor and a chairperson of a committee of the whole. From the commencement of the term of office of the new members until the governing body’s inaugural meeting, the Chief Executive will carry out decision-making under his current delegations.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

26. This is a report to all local boards that proposes arrangements to enable the council to process routine local matters during the election period.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

27. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have specific implications for Māori, and the arrangements proposed in this report do not affect the Māori community differently to the rest of the community.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

28. The decisions sought in this report are procedural and there are no significant financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

29. There is a risk that unforeseen decisions will arise during this period, such as a decision that is politically significant or a decision that exceeds the Chief Executive’s financial delegations.

30. This risk has been mitigated by scheduling meetings as late possible in the current term, and communicating to reporting staff that significant decisions should not be made during October 2019.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

31. The decision of the local board will be communicated to senior staff so that they are aware of the arrangements for the month of October 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Tracey Wisnewski - Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the Henderson-Massey Local Board with its updated governance forward work programme calendar (the calendar).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The calendar for the Henderson-Massey Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The calendar is part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is expected and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:
a) receive the governance forward work programme calendar for September 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Attachment A - Governance forward work programme calendar - September 2019</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rodica Chelaru – Acting Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Henderson-Massey Governance Forward Work Calendar – September 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business meeting</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>Animal management bylaw review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>Last business meeting report (delegations for election period)</td>
<td>Local decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>First business meeting report</td>
<td>Local decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>November/December</td>
<td>Auckland climate action plan (previously Low Carbon Auckland)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraordinary Business Meeting</td>
<td>Early December</td>
<td>Agree consultation material</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Confirm priorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmation of Workshop Records

File No.: CP2019/16357

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To present the records of workshops held by the Henderson-Massey Local Board on the following dates:
   - 6 August 2019
   - 13 August 2019
   - 20 August 2019
   - 27 August 2019

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Briefings provided at the workshops held as follows:

   6 August 2019
   - Board Administration
   - Te Whānau o Waipareira
   - Kākano
   - West Auckland Pasifika Forum
   - Lloyd Morgan Lions Club
   - Introduction and Q&A with the Western Initiative team
   - West Auckland Historical Society

   13 August 2019
   - Board Administration
   - 2019/2020 Henderson-Massey Local Grant Round One and Multi-board Grant Round One
   - Auckland Transport Monthly Update
   - Ngā Puna Manaaki Īnanaga project
   - Waitākere workspace - for-community-good co-working offices
   - Pest Free Te Atatū project update from Community Waitakere
   - Confidential: Panuku/AT Park and Ride development proposal

   20 August 2019
   - Board Administration
   - Community Facilities Update
   - Sports and recreation update
   - Te Atatū South Plan action delivery
   - Upcoming business meeting reports
27 August 2019

- Board Administration
- Henderson North Home and School Zone update
- Lincoln Road upgrade project update
- Local board member community engagement
- Te Pae o Kura Community Centre and Crescendo Trust
- Accessible play space scope and process
- Te Atatū Pony Club lease
- First floor, 20 Alderman Drive, Henderson lease
- Royal NZ Plunket, Kaumātua Reserve
- Local Ngahere Analysis report

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the workshop records for:
   - i. 6 August 2019
   - ii. 13 August 2019
   - iii. 20 August 2019
   - iv. 27 August 2019

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Workshop records for 3 August, 13 August, 20 August, 27 August 2019</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rodica Chelaru – Acting Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday, 6 August 2019 commencing at 10.00 am

**PRESENT**

Chairperson: Shane Henderson  
Members: Brenda Brady, Paula Bold-Wilson, Peter Chan, Warren Flautny, Will Flavell, Matt Grey, Vanessa Neeson  
Also present: Wendy Kjesstrup, Busola Martins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Item 1** Board Administration  
Wendy Kjesstrup  
Sharlene Riley | - Returning technology  
- Concerns around the West hub moving to Albany |
| **Item 2** Te Whanau o Waipareira  
Josh Koko  
Jana nee | - Te Whanau o Waipareira presented their activities based on funding agreements with the Henderson-Massey Local Board.  
- The presentation is attached to this workshop record. |
| **Item 3** Kakano  
Mandy Patmore | - Kakano presented their activities based on funding agreements with the Henderson-Massey Local Board.  
- The presentation is attached to this workshop record. |
| **Item 4** West Auckland Pasifika Forum  
Melissa Lelo | - West Auckland Pasifika Forum presented their activities based on funding agreements with the Henderson-Massey Local Board.  
- The presentation is attached to this workshop record. |
| **Item 5** Lloyd Morgan Lions Club  
Kim Loose  
Damian Powley | - Staff presented and discussed the Lloyd Morgan Lions Club landscape project.  
- The concept is to create a heart to Te Atatu South with a space that invites the community to come, play and stay.  
- Some identified opportunities include large open spaces, good vegetation, existing community center and the park opening to Rangeview Intermediate school.  
- Some constraints include heavy traffic on arterial road (Edmonton & Te Atatu Road), no connection between park and retail area and closed frontages to the park  
- Outcomes identified and supported by the local board include reduced dominance of vehicles, improved street appeal and improved connectivity through the park and within the park. |
| **Item 6** Introduction and Q&A with the Western Initiative team  
Alex Hauera | - The board expressed their dissatisfaction with the name "West Worx".  
- The team of staff presented their current work and aspirations in the local board areas. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhaya Haran</td>
<td>- Youth connection funding report for the 18/19 funding will come to the board in December 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frac Cairns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 7</td>
<td>- Gail Bishop discussed the plans for the 175th year celebration for Henderson and requests for more funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Auckland Historical Society</td>
<td>- Board supports additional funds to support this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail Bishop</td>
<td>- Formal decision will be at the 20 August business meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3:45 pm.
Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday, 13 August 2019 commencing at 10.00 am

PRESENT

Chairperson: Shane Henderson
Members: Brenda Brady
Paula Bold-Wilson
Peter Chan
Warren Flauty
Will Flavell - (From 1.20 pm; Item 6)
Matt Grey
Vanessa Neeson

Also present: Wendy Kjestrup, Busola Martins, Rodica Chelaru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1 Board Administration Wendy Kjestrup Sharlene Riley</td>
<td>- Staff discussed fund allocation to the 175th celebration of Henderson and directions for future report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Item 2 2019/2020 Henderson-Massey Local Grant Round One and Multi-board Grant Round One Erin Shin | - Staff discussed grants applications for the 2019/2020 Henderson-Massey Local Grant Round One and Multi-board Grant Round One.  
- Formal decision will be at the 20 August business meeting. |
| Item 3 Auckland Transport Monthly Update Owena Schuster | - Staff discussed Auckland Transport's upcoming business meeting reports.  
- Formal reports will be received at the 20 August business meeting. |
| Item 4 Nga Puna Manaaki Inanaga project Nick Fitzherbert | - Staff updated the LB on the progress and opportunities of the project |
| Item 5 Waitakere workspace - for community-good co-working offices Mark Allen | - Staff sought the Board's governance support to develop a partnership project for a new and expanded co-working space for local and regional community good workers for west Auckland in Henderson |
| Item 6 Pest Free Te Atatu project update from Community Waitakere Chris Burton Chris Bindon | - For Environmental Services staff and Community Waitakere to update the board on the Pest Free Te Atatu project, specifically:  
i. the work that has occurred through funding provided to Community Waitakere by the local board of a coordinator, restoration plantings at Rutherford College and Trap building  
ii. work planned to occur in the coming year |
<p>| Item 7 - Confidential Panuku/AT Park and Ride development proposal Marian Webb |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.20 pm.
Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday, 20 August 2019 commencing at 11.00 am

**PRESENT**

Chairperson: Shane Henderson
Members: Brenda Brady, Paula Bold-Wilson, Peter Chan, Warren Fainting, Will Flavell (From 1.30 pm; Item 3), Matt Grey, Vanessa Neeson

Also present: Busola Martins, Wendy Kjestrup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1 Board Administration</td>
<td>- Staff presented upcoming events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharlene Riley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2 Community Facilities</td>
<td>- Staff provided verbal updates on operations management and maintenance matters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cranfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Kunzendorff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Jennings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Loose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Little</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3 Sports and recreation update</td>
<td>- Staff provided an update on the options for supporting Waitakere BMX Club in its aspirations to develop a BMX facility capable of hosting national level BMX racing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Harris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waitakere BMX Club is undertaking projects to improve its facility at Te Rangi Hira Birdwood Reserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The club has fundraised almost $250,000 towards these projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Two factors currently affect the length of the BMX riding season: daylight and rain.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The club seeks additional support to ensure the floodlighting project can be completed in time for autumn 2020.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The foundations for proposed floodlighting will require earthworks which can only be done during summer months. The club is aiming to undertake these works in the summer of 2019/2020 in order that the lighting will be available next autumn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Board supports funding the project in principle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Formal decision is on 17 September 2019.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4 Te Atatu South Plan action delivery</td>
<td>- To brief the Henderson-Massey Local Board on projects from the adopted Te Atatu South Plan (&quot;the plan&quot;) that are programmed for delivery in 2019/20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Moffatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Te Atatu South Plan was prepared by the council, Henderson-Massey Local Board, and community stakeholders in conjunction with consultants Isthmus during 2018 and 2019.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The local board discussed projects listed in the plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lloyd Morgan Lions Club Park and the Te Atatu South Park plans are already being delivered in the 19/20 FY.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 5 Upcoming business meeting reports</td>
<td>- Staff discussed the reports for the 20 August 2019 business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.40 pm.
Henderson-Massey Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Henderson-Massey Local Board held in the Council Chamber (level 2), 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson on Tuesday, 27 August commencing at 10 am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Peter Chan
Members: Brenda Brady
Paula Bold-Wilson
Warren Flaunt
Will Flavell (from 1.55 pm)
Matt Grey

Apologies: Vanessa Neeson, Shane Henderson

Also present: Wendy Kjestrup, Tracey Wisnewski

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Reminded board members of upcoming community events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Administration</td>
<td>- Discussed the Landowner Approval for large planting and memorial plaques at Harbourview-Orangahina Park and the event planned for 15 September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Kjestrup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharlene Riley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Internal consultation and draft scheme plan design completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson North Home and School Zone update</td>
<td>- Preliminary estimate of cost $1.7m (budget increase of $600k from initial estimate) but as this is not yet at detailed design stage or undergone external consultation this may change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owena Schuster</td>
<td>- Next AT capex funding due June 2020. $800k remaining in current AT capex budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Board discussed options to scale down the project to reduce cost and focus on the main routes through this area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The board endorsed AT going out for external consultation on the draft proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>$86.7m AT budget for improvements down the length of Lincoln Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Road upgrade project update</td>
<td>- This project is currently in detailed design phase with design process completed in early 2021. The project will progress under a staged approach beginning with the motorway end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owena Schuster</td>
<td>- Revised design has reduced impact on the businesses along this route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sreekanth Vidhyadharan (AT)</td>
<td>- The board discussed a dedicated communications channel for the public during the process and consulting with local board members and councillors in the same sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>General update on local board members community engagements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local board member community engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Item 5: Te Pae o Kura Community Centre and Crescendo Trust  
Kurt Timmins  
Jessica Kelly  
Marcus Powell  
Catherine Percy  
Melanie Rice |  
- Hikurangi is the name of activity room two at Te Pae o Kura and Crescendo Trust are seeking exclusive use of this room for two years and would like to be involved in the upcoming renewal of the centre.  
- They have outgrown the Plunket room at the centre.  
- Community Facilities are working with Crescendo Trust and current regular hirers of this space and are supportive of this proposal in order to support local rangatahi.  
- The Place Manager would like to get Crescendo Trust exclusively into the space prior to refurbishment.  
- The board are fully supportive. |
| Item 6: Accessible play space scope and process  
Tracy Hodder |  
- Staff discussed the scope and process for the development of a service assessment for an all accessible play space in the area.  
- The basis for this is inclusive play (which also includes accessibility) meaning that play structures are for both disabled and able-bodied people alike.  
- Budget in 2020/2021 for design but no budget yet for construction.  
- Staff presented a range of current inclusive play spaces across Auckland and approximate attached budgets.  
- Staff will set up a reference group involving a range of abilities to identify the needs and the nice-to-have structures and infrastructure for a successful play space.  
- The board reminded staff of the space on Henderson Valley Road in Henderson near where RDA are sited. Investment in McLaren Park South or similar low-income communities would be preferred by the board. |
| Item 7: Te Atatū Pony Club lease  
Michelle Knudsen |  
- Supportive of continuing a month-by-month lease agreement.  
- A formal report will come to the local board stating the new leased area following NZTA leaving the site. |
| Item 8: First floor, 20 Alderman Drive, Henderson lease  
Michelle Knudsen |  
- Community Waitākere have requested a direct lease of this space without an EOI process. Their current space is no longer fit for purpose.  
- The board voiced concern around inconsistency in holding EOI processes. In this situation there are health and safety issues with current location. This group are also long-standing community partners of the local board.  
- The board are supportive of the direct lease option. |
| Item 9: Royal NZ Plunket, Kaumatua Reserve  
Michelle Knudsen |  
- Plunket currently have two small rooms and share toilet and kitchen facilities with other uses. Moving will give them more space, more sun and their own facilities.  
- The board are supportive of Plunket moving into the council-owned brick building without an EOI process.  
- The whole of the small community house can then go out for EOI. |
### Workshop Item | Summary of Discussions
--- | ---
**Item 10**  
Local Ngahere Analysis report  
Howell Davies | - Staff sought feedback on the draft Ngahere Analysis report which shows the tree cover across the local board area and suggested opportunities for increasing urban forest.  
- It was noted that any planting of extra trees will need to come from the board budget.  
- It was noted that the report shows that AT are removing trees as part of road corridor development.  
- A business meeting report will come to the local board for endorsement of the report.

*The workshop concluded at 3.15 pm.*
Ward Councillors' Update

File No.: CP2019/16568

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. A period of time (10 minutes) has been set aside for the Waitākere Ward Councillors to have an opportunity to update the Henderson-Massey Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:
a) thank Waitākere Ward Councillors for their update.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rodica Chelaru – Acting Local Board Democracy Advisor (West)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Glenn Boyd - Relationship Manager Henderson-Massey, Waitakere Ranges, Whau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Attachment</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>North West Toy Library presentation</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Te Atatu Peninsula Business Association Report 2018-2019</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Deputation - Te Atatu Pony Club - Attachment A</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Deputation - Te Atatu Pony Club - Attachment B</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North West Toy Library

- Similar to a library - but with toys!
- Non-for-profit organisation
- In association with Toy Library Federation of NZ
- Membership organisation
- Location: 7-9 Westgate Drive, Massey
North West Toy Library – our story so far

- A 10-month journey (began October 2018)
- Venue – 150m² floor space (Westgate Outlet Centre, Massey)
- Over 400 toys (approximately 350 donated)
- Grand Opening Day – Saturday 6 July 2019
- 48 members total – even spread across the three local boards
Next steps

- Keep doors open
- Aim = 80 members
- Marketing the NWTL
- Apply for grants to cover opex
- Increase number of volunteers
• New development: The Point attracted new businesses Refill Nation, Mr Mullingworth, The Sugar Grill, Village Wines (ex West Liquor), Te Atatu Tradtional Barber. New beauty business also about to open.

• Small new development next to Delicious Foodstore, almost finished.

• Gunner Drive apartments undergoing repair work; however businesses underneath still operating.

• New business the Fresh Beer Brew Co.

• The Fish and Chippery has opened in old Hooked on Fish premises.

• Te Atatu Lawmowers closed, and second new barber Golden Touch Barber opened in space.

• New owner at Anthony Wong Dental; new Opal Dental.

• House of Patchwork moved to Helensville but Peninsula Hair will spread into space.

• New bakery Appetite in Gunner Drive.

• Anna Thai Massage relocated away from town centre, due to fire damage.

• Westpac Bank has left Te Atatu.
This Strategic Plan is drawing to a close and a new plan is currently being formulated. Our 2016 – 2019 goals:

**Goal One:** A strong and well-supported business association

**Goal Two:** A clear and recognised brand for Te Atatu Peninsula

**Goal Three:** A town centre that always looks its best

TAPBA nurtures relationships with landlords and business people, embracing and supporting new members.

Following a community branding competition, TAPBA decided to work with the brand ‘Life On T.A.P.’. Subsequently we have obtained a legal opinion on the ownership of that brand and have currently contracted branding experts Makeready, to develop the brand to the point where we can utilise it on merchandise, and it can be taken up by the various sectors of the Peninsula.

TAPBA has worked constantly to keep the town centre safe for shoppers. We continue to work toward our aim of a streetscape upgrade to enable the town centre to cope with the rapid growth of the Peninsula and continue to attract first rate and community focused developers.
Streetscape

Crossings Improvements

- Following the crossings safety improvements work, there was concern from pedestrians about traffic moving through the southern-most crossing too fast. This was backed up by the observations of Police who manned a pop-up stall adjacent the crossing. TABBA followed up with A.T. but no changes were made.

- Also needing follow up was drainage, next to the Te Atatu Rd/Taikata roundabout. Significant flooding occurred whenever it rained, with water rising over the footpath. Eventually, after logging urgent jobs and contacting A.T.’s project manager, remedial works were carried out and a drain installed.
Streetscape Cntd

Other Examples

Jobs logged with Auckland Council to have a dead tree removed; complaint from member of public following inaction; after intervention from Brenda Brady, finally removed.

- Hole left by the removed post box outside Te Atatu Menswear repaired.
- Tripping accident - elderly woman tripped on uneven paving outside butchers. TAPBA and public logged jobs. Injured woman taken by ambulance to hospital with head injury.
- Wheel stops in front of restaurant investigated – road resealed so much over years that road surface raised and curb not high enough.
- A.T. contacted re: maintenance of verges and berms alongside motorway on and off ramps. No follow up so matter was referred to M.P. Phil Twyford. Matter resolved.
- Landlord requested assistance liaising with tenant who had concerns about property maintenance.
- Liaised with landlord about rear section which had long grass, rubbish and unsecured bins; business owner about empty freezer down the side of business - located metal recycler to arrange disposal
- Illegal furniture dumping with glass shards - disposal arranged.
Marketing

- Promotions:
  Seasonal promotions - prizes such as luxury overnight accommodation, technology devices, grocery and petrol vouchers, drawn by Henderson Police.
- Te Atatu Views
  Seasonal Te Atatu Views issues – welcome stories for new businesses, stories supporting community organisations, Community Notice Board, Fire, Police and CPNZ safety page, special interest columns, business directory.
  Facebook: www.facebook.com/teatatubusiness/
  Website: https://www.teatatupeninsula.co.nz/
Networking

Business Breakfasts
Between 17-20 of our business-people attend each event.

- Hon Phil Twyford, Minister for Housing and Urban Development and Transport, and MP for Te Atatu, shared his vision for West Auckland’s future.
- Business owners Minesh and Rashmita Patel spoke on how they have used google ratings and Trade Me to advance their business.
- Hosted at NVS Hair Design in Te Atatu South, speaker Russell Dixon of the Great Scott Company, spoke about the company’s work and his career.
- Local business-people, Peter Milne and Sharlene Ellis of Restore Wellbeing, told us about the effect of stress on our bodies and ways to combat this.
Business, Supporting Local Initiatives

- Free Family Fun Day Mash Up, Sat April 27th
TAPBA sponsored this event, the aim being to draw people into the town centre. Event featured entertainment for kids and adults, with Captain Festus McBoyle’s Travellin’ Variety Show, live music, Kapa Haka performances, kids disco and community stalls. TAPBA arranged for our Community Constable to be in attendance. The event went well for a first attempt, although the large hall of the Community Centre was acoustically unsuitable.

- Christmas Parade
Each year, TAPBA sponsors the Te Atatu Peninsula Christmas Parade, business float prizes.

- Supporting Local
 Throughout the year, TAPBA supports through our communications such as the e-news to businesses, and Te Atatu Views marketing, organisations who are seeking buy-in from the businesses, or whose project fits well with our aims.

For example, Bike Te Atatu which ran a ‘shop local by bike’ photo competition in mid February.

Another example is Te Atatu Foodbank, which ran out of donations, with demand from needy families increasing. TAPBA liaised with businesses Delicious Foodstore and Tasti Foods to arrange regular donations.
In the past year, there has been an increase in crime in the town centre. Pizza Haven reported that they had suffered several burglary attempts, as had Peninsula Hair, John Chan Takeaway and the dairy in the same block.

The Deluxe Superette (Anna’s Dairy) was broken into and ram raided three times. The owners have since installed bollards, put up grills and installed a fog machine.

A Crime and Safety meeting was planned with Sergeant Greg Wilson and new Community Constable Sam Yerro, who were to speak to those business owners concerned about crime, at the Community Centre. A notice was translated into Korean and Chinese and interest generated, however it was postponed due to police resources being stretched following the mosque attacks and subsequently cancelled.

There have been two graffiti attacks and attempts made to identify vandals via CCTV. Tag Out Trust used whenever possible.

In each issue of the Te Atatu Views, we provide a Community Safety page featuring advice from the Fire Service, Police and a notice from CPNZ.
Community Christmas Display

- Wreaths
  Application to HMLB for funding to cover wreaths plus the installation and taking down of our existing flags and the wreaths, was successful. However, we were not advised of our successful grant application in time to order the wreaths for Christmas 2018. Subsequently purchased the wreaths after Christmas, to be used in 2019. They will arrive in September.

- Banners
  Although our Christmas banners are frayed, there are enough to be displayed for one more season.

- Tree
  Our six metre Christmas tree was purchased almost five years ago, for approx. $15,000, from our own budget. Each year since it has cost approx. $2,500 each year (also from our own budget) to install and takedown. For other BID's it is a lot more, however TAPBA's committee members volunteer their labour, and we are fortunate to have free storage of the tree at Tasti Foods. Installation is done professionally by Highwire Electrical, who are also height specialists. Strict Health and Safety processes are adhered to. Our tree frame will last indefinitely as we had it galvanized, however the foliage will need replacing next year.

- Christmas Window competition: There were 8 entries and the winner was judged by Helen Moore, to be Te Atatu Chiropractic.
TAPBA Committee

Chair: Stephen Enger (Te Atatu Memorial RSA)
Treasurer: Peter Hohepa (Hohepa Chartered Accountants)
Manager: Robynne Pringle

Leonie Higgins (Barfoot and Thompson)
Malcolm Buscomb (Te Atatu Menswear)
Rob Stewart (Te Atatu Chiropractic)
Sue Kirkby (Carevets)
Michelle Nuttall (West Auckland Counselling)
Sean Greenshields (McDonalds Te Atatu)
Troy Cassidy (Cassidy Eyecare)

• New Committee Members induction – all new committee members attend induction to maintain best practice governance.

• Auckland Council BID team annual accountability requirements (2018) – all reporting requirements completed.

• Audits - As the BID has a targeted rates income under $100,000, we are required to have our financial accounts audited only once every two years, however the TAPBA committee elects to complete a yearly audit.
Henderson-Massey Local Board
17 September 2019
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Annual Marketview Report

**Highlights**

- Spending was $52.7M, up 1.3% from last year.
- Transactions were $1.9M, up 2.3% on last year.
- Average transaction value was $28.49, down 4% from last year (reflecting increase of businesses attracting small transactions e.g. cafes, bars etc., as opposed to petrol station with large transactions).

---

Te Atatu Annual Highlights

**Spending**

- Duration: 2019 to Jun 2019
- Amount: $52.7M
- Change: 1.3%

**Highest Spending Country**

- Australia
- $0.1M

**Storetype with the Highest Spending**

- Groceries & Liquor
- $33.1M

**Customer Origin**

**Top Spending Area**

- Durham Green
- $13.2M

**Storetype with the Strongest Growth**

- Cafes, Restaurants & Takeaways
- 18.6%
## Topic
Can Te Atatu Pony Club continue to Areas A and B (marked in their lease) as well as Area D?

## Purpose: *(Why do you want to speak at a deputation?)*
My understanding is that when Michelle Knudsen spoke to the Local Board on our behalf she asked if we could continue use of Areas A and B until the ground and grass in Area D had settled enough to be used by us for grazing rotation again. And that the Local Board was very supportive of that.

We're very appreciative of Michelle making this request on our behalf but we'd actually hoped for this to be a fall back or secondary position and what we'd hoped the Local Board and Parks would discuss was whether they could support us to continue using Areas A and B (as well as Area D) on a month by month basis until we are in a position to discuss a longer term lease with Council, as per the Harbourview-Orangihina Park Master Plan. We were disappointed when we learned that this had not been discussed, for whatever reason - maybe time pressures, lack of continuity between our meetings (I met with Michelle and Tracey way back in May and perhaps things have got lost with time) or maybe I didn't present our request clearly enough when I spoke with them.

## Background:
we would like it to be considered because:-
- bottom line - the land is really useful to us as it helps us get through the winter by allowing us to rotate the horses across more paddocks, reducing the amount of damage they cause to wet ground and allowing each paddock to have a longer recovery time.
- the coastal and shore birds use the paddocks extensively because the grass is longer and they are protected from dogs and humans by the fencing. Last year we had dotterels nesting in Area A and as a pony club we alerted DOC and then worked with them to cordon off their nesting site and protect them from kids and horses until the chicks had hatched and the family was mobile and moved back to the coast
- it saves the council from the time and expense of removing all the fencing which we understand you provided as part of the deal to use pony club land for the NZTA works
- it saves the council / parks from having to mow and maintain this large expanse of land if we
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continue to graze it
- when the Marae is under construction we know will need to give up grazing to accommodate these activities, just like we did for the motorway construction works
- Without the use of some or all of Areas A and B, the final footprint of the Marae, access road, bird viewing platform etc will split the club grounds into two sections and permanently reduce the amount of riding land and grazing (and therefore the sustainability of our membership, reducing the number of kids we can offer this facility to) and when that time comes (and the Council is ready to talk to us about a longer term lease as supported by the Harbourview-Orangihina Master Plan) we would be asking the Council to consider if we can again lease some or all of Areas A and B anyway. Although it is hard to know without surveying, the Harbourview-Orangihina Master Plan indicates that Area B will be used for overflow parking for events on the peninsula (and therefore might be suitable as an ongoing shared resource with the pony club if it remains in grass), and Area A seems to indicate that, in addition to planting around the culvert, it might also still be used by Pony Club. We have some maps to show you which seem to support this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Outcomes: Clearly articulate What do you want from the Board?</th>
<th>Approval that our new month-by-month lease can include Areas A and B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Date/Time:</td>
<td>17 September 2019 / 4.00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of deputation:</td>
<td>Up to 10 minutes after which members may ask questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue:</td>
<td>Council Chamber (level 2) 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenters</td>
<td>Jen du Fresne (President), Anne Williams (Secretary), TBC – Delia Cato (Grazing Manager)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email through preparatory material and / or presentation no later than:</td>
<td>By Monday 2nd September 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A request to Henderson-Massey Local Board to:

Continue including Areas A and B in the Te Atatu Pony Club month-by-month lease until related development as per the Harbourview-Orangihina Park masterplan has progressed and a new long term lease can be agreed

Jenny Du Fresne – President Te Atatu Pony Club
Anne Williams – Secretary Te Atatu Pony Club
September 2019
Who are Te Atatu Pony Club?

We are a part of the New Zealand Pony Clubs Association which is a not for profit, youth voluntary organisation for young people interested in ponies/horses and riding.

Te Atatu Pony Club is one of the smallest clubs in Auckland as all members must graze on site. We have no nearby private pasture for off-club members to ride from. A few years ago membership dropped to 10 but we have a new bunch of horse-mad kids with supportive families and it is now nearly 20. Last season our membership was:

13 Riding Members (aged 6 – 17)  2 Riding Members (aged 18 – 25)  4 Adult Riders (parents)

Grounds are maintained by 8 families. Activities include
- Fence repairs
- Trough maintenance & cleaning
- Fixing leaks
- Weed-eating
- Slashing long grass in summer
- Harrowing
- Maintaining gates
Background

• Our current Agreement to Lease was made in Dec 2012 to meet NZTA’s need for a construction yard to undertake the Waterview Connection Project. The yard use was later extended to support the project to widening SH16.

• To compensate Te Atatu Pony Club for losing part of its land (marked Area D) to NZTA, the Auckland Council agreed to temporarily provide other land (Areas A and B) for our use during the construction works period. Council fenced the land and our lease commenced.

• The Harbourview-Orangihina Park Masterplan was subsequently developed and adopted in February 2019.
Te Atatu Pony Club Request to Henderson-Massey Local Board

- We wish to continue leasing areas A and B month-by-month AFTER we resume use of Area D, until related development described in the Harbourview-Orangihina Park masterplan has progressed. This includes the marae construction, associated access roads, building relocation, bird viewing platforms and so forth.

- Our Community Lease Specialist has explained that Auckland Council will then be in a position to move us to a long term lease because the final footprint and boundaries of all the elements will be known.

- We have carefully reviewed the masterplan for Harbourview-Orangihina Park. We understand the kaupapa of the plan is to:
  - provide a clear guide for future development and the ongoing use of the park
  - to be used to inform the Henderson-Massey Local Board’s decision making and funding allocation for future development.

We believe our proposal respects the plan and aligns with this.
Te Atatu Pony Club Rationale

- The land is really useful to us as it helps us maintain our membership, particularly over winter. This is because we can rotate the horses across more paddocks, minimising the impact they have on wet ground and allowing each paddock to have a longer recovery time.

- The Harbourview - Orangihina Park Masterplan recognises the value the fenced pony club paddocks play in protecting shorebirds from dogs and humans. Areas A and B in particular are constantly used by large bird flocks and leaving these areas as protected grazing paddocks provides a safer habitat than returning them to open parkland.

- It saves Council / Parks from having to mow and maintain this land if we continue to graze it.

- It saves the Council / Parks from the time and expense of removing all the fencing which was provided as part of the arrangement to use Pony Club land for the NZTA works.
Te Atatu Pony Club Rationale continued

- Retaining A and B will be critical in enabling us to sustain our membership when the Marae is under construction as we’ll need to give up grazing and riding land again to make way for this work just as we did for the motorway construction and widening.

- Without the use of some or all of Areas A and B, the final footprint of the Marae, fenced access road, bird viewing platform etc will permanently and substantially reduce the amount of riding land and grazing (see following maps). This will impact on the ongoing sustainability of our membership, reducing the number of young riders we can offer this experience to. When that time comes and the Council is ready to talk to us about the longer term lease as supported by the masterplan, we would be asking the Council to consider if we can again lease some or all of Areas A and B anyway.

- Regarding Area B: The masterplan indicates that Area B will remain in grass and be used for overflow parking for events on the peninsula. We propose therefore that in future it might be suitable as an ongoing shared use resource with the Pony Club as this would help to mitigate the loss of the other grazing and riding land.

- Regarding Area A: And finally, although it is hard to know without surveying, the masterplan shows that, in addition to planting around the culvert, there seems to be an intent that some or all of Area A remains Pony Club land. See maps next slide.
Using purple guide lines to try and align our lease map with the Masterplan.
In summary we are asking you to consider letting us retain the lease on A and B to mitigate these changes.

Thank you, Henderson-Massey Local Board, for considering our proposal.