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1 Welcome

The Chair will open the meeting and welcome everyone present.

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 17 September 2019 and the extraordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 29 October 2019, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Franklin Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Scott Kelso, Franklin Basketball Association

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. Scott Kelso, Community Partnerships Manager, Franklin Basketball Association, wishes to address the board regarding basketball facilities in the Franklin Local Board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. The Franklin Basketball Association wish to discuss the basketball facilities in the Franklin Local Board area, including the Franklin Pool and Leisure Centre.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Scott Kelso of the Franklin Basketball Association, and thank him for his attendance.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Local board appointments and delegations for the 2019-2022 electoral term
File No.: CP2019/19027

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To recommend that the Franklin Local Board appoints a local board member to:
   - be the nominated local board member for landowner consents (including affected party approvals)
   - be the nominated local board member for film applications
   - be the nominated local board member for events
   - provide formal reports on liquor licence applications and attendance at hearings
   - provide formal views on whether a resource consent should proceed as a non-notified, limited notified or fully notified application
   - provide formal views (feedback) on notified resource consents and attend the council hearings.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. To enable effective and efficient decision-making, the council delegates some responsibilities to staff or individual elected members. This report seeks to appoint nominated local board members who will be consulted on landowner consents and events, and who will provide feedback on liquor licences and resource consents.

3. If local boards choose not to appoint a nominated board member for landowner consents staff will consult with the local board chairperson, as outlined in the Local Board Delegation Protocols.

4. District Licensing Committees consider, and grant or renew applications for liquor licences and manager’s certificates. These applications are publicly notified and local boards can provide views on an application to the District Licensing Committee. A delegation to a nominated local board member is recommended to allow local boards to provide formal views as part of the liquor licensing process.

5. Local boards can provide feedback on whether resource consent applications should be publicly notified. Local boards can also provide written feedback once the applications are notified and can subsequently speak to their feedback to support their views at the council hearing. A delegation to a nominated local board member is recommended.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) appoint XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, to be the nominated local board members for landowner consents and authorise them to:
   i) be the point of consultation for staff on all applications for landowner consent and, at their discretion, refer any application for landowner consent to the local board for a local board decision, and
   ii) to be the point of consultation for staff on proposed asset renewal works and, at their discretion, refer any proposed asset renewal works to the local board
for a local board decision

iii) receive staff notifications of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

b) appoint XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, to be the nominated local board members for landowner consents for filming and authorises them to:

i) to be the point of consultation with staff on all applications for landowner consent for filming and, at their discretion, refer any applications for landowner consent for filming to the local board for a local board decision

ii) receive notifications from staff of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

c) appoint XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, to be the nominated local board members for events and authorises them to receive staff notifications of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

d) delegate to XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, the authority to prepare and provide local board views and speak to those local board views at any hearings on applications for liquor licences

e) delegate to XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, the authority to provide the local board views on whether a resource consent should proceed as a non-notified, limited notified or fully notified application

f) delegate to XXXX and XXXX, as an alternate, the authority to prepare and provide local board views and speak those local board views at any hearings on notified resource consents.

Horopaki Context

Background

6. Decision-making within Auckland Council is shared between the Governing Body and local boards. Local boards have made a general delegation to the Chief Executive of all of their responsibilities, duties and powers subject to the exclusions, restrictions and clarifications set out in the Chief Executive’s Delegations Register. The Chief Executive has in turn delegated those responsibilities, duties and powers to staff. The exercise of those responsibilities, duties and powers is subject to a set of delegation protocols. These protocols provide a set of expectations and directions to staff and require a number of actions that are relevant to all local activities. These delegations help Auckland Council to operate efficiently and effectively.

7. In some cases, delegations are given to individual local board members, usually due to short timeframes constrained by operational requirements, customer expectations and deadlines set by statute. Having a delegation in place to one local board member helps to ensure that council can continue to undertake its normal business practices without undue delays.

8. Local boards have allocated responsibility for decision-making with respect to local parks and have delegated landowner consent decisions to staff subject to a number of delegation protocols. The delegation protocols require that the nominated local board member is consulted on every landowner consent. Landowner consents encompass a broad range of activities, including affected party approvals, filming and events. Local boards are also able to provide their formal views in a report at liquor licence hearings.

9. Under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 the Governing Body must consider any views and preferences expressed by a local board, where a Governing Body decision affects or may affect the responsibilities or operation of the local board or the well-
being of communities within its local board area. Local boards’ ability to provide local views can be affected because of statutory timeframes or external agency deadlines. Delegating authority for providing local board views to individual members provides local boards the opportunity to give local views within prescribed timeframes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Landowner consents
10. Under Auckland Council’s Combined Chief Executive Delegations Register, council staff are delegated authority to approve landowner consents on behalf of local boards. This delegation is subject to the Local Board Delegations Protocols. These protocols require that before exercising their delegations, staff must consult with a nominated local board member for landowner consents. If required, by the nominated local board member, the staff member must refer the landowner consent decision to a local board business meeting for a decision.

11. It is therefore recommended that the local board appoint a nominated local board member for landowner consents to enable staff to exercise their delegation.

Landowner consents for filming
12. Screen Auckland (Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development) processes requests for filming in the Auckland Region, and seeks landowner consent from local boards. Over 600 permits are granted each year, with the largest number of permits being granted in Waitematā, Wāitakere Ranges and Rodney Local Board areas.

13. Screen Auckland must process the applications within three to five working days, and therefore require feedback from local boards within two working days. These timeframes are short because filming activities often have a fast turnaround for productions from concept to delivery. To keep filming in Auckland, in a competitive international market, film crews often have to work within short timeframes.

14. Due to the extremely short timeframes for film applications, where local boards have a large number of filming applications, it may be beneficial for this subset of landowner consents to be referred to a different nominated local board member, to manage workloads.

Events
15. Under the Local Board Delegations Protocols staff must consult with and obtain the views of the nominated local board member on:

- applications to hold events on council-owned land in the local board area that require regulatory approval and involve one or more of the following matters:
  - complete or substantial closure of the public open space
  - more than 500 people
  - road closure
  - liquor
  - Ticketed event.

- Any regulatory decision to set fees and charges for holding local events on council-owned local parks and reserve (and refer the matter to the local board to obtain local board views and input where required by the delegated local board member).

- staff are also required to notify the nominated local board member of:
  - areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk
  - decisions to approve events on council owned land in the local board area.

16. The appointment of a nominated local board member for events is therefore recommended to enable staff to exercise their delegation.
17. Under the Local Board Delegations Protocols landowner consent is also required for all event proposals on local parks. To avoid double-handling of applications, it is recommended that the local board member nominated for events is the same as that local board member nominated for landowner consents.

**Formal submissions at liquor licence hearings**

18. District Licensing Committees consider, and grant or renew applications for liquor licences and manager’s certificates. When a business applies for an on-licence, off-licence, or club licence, new or renewed, they are publicly notified. On 25 September 2014, the Governing Body (GB/2014/103) agreed to a process where local boards can provide views on an application in a report to the District Licensing Committee. If the District Licensing Committee considers that the local board’s report has raised issues that it needs to hear more about, it can call a hearing and invite the local board to appear and talk to its report and respond to questions as a witness.

19. Once the public notice has been posted online, the local board has 15 working days to provide their report to council.

20. This report recommends a delegation to a nominated local board member to allow local boards to provide formal views as part of the liquor licensing process.

**Notified resource consents**

21. Local boards can provide feedback, within the statutory timeframes, on whether resource consent applications should be publicly notified. This was resolved by the Governing Body on 28 July 2011 (GB/2011/156). Resource consent planners email the planning lead copies of applications that meet the triggers set by the local boards (last reviewed in 2017). The planning leads have three working days to provide comment on the matter of whether the application should be publicly notified or limited notified to particular persons who may be adversely affected by the proposal. Where comments are provided, these are included verbatim as part of the reporting planner’s notification report to the decision-maker.

22. Local boards can also provide written feedback once resource consent applications have been notified. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). Local boards can subsequently speak to their feedback to support their views at any hearing.

23. This report recommends a planning lead for each local board to provide the local board’s formal views on whether or not resource consents should be notified or limited notified and to provide written feedback on notified applications and speak on the local board’s behalf at the council hearing.

**Options considered**

24. Options available for local boards to input into landowner consents, events, planning processes and liquor licences have been summarised in Tables 1 and 2.

25. It is recommended that local boards select both a nominated local board member and an alternate. The alternate is available to act when the nominated local board member is unable to act (e.g., leave of absence, illness) and has agreed (via written communication) that the alternate take the role of nominated local board member for a specified time period.

26. We recommend that local boards appoint one nominated local board member (and alternate). Appointing more than one nominated local board member increases administration for staff and can create unnecessary confusion where local board members provide differing views to staff.

**Nominated local board members under the Local Board Delegations Protocol**

27. The preferred option is that a nominated local board member is appointed for landowner consents and events (option two in Table 1). This option is preferred because it aligns with
council’s existing delegations and local board delegation protocols and allows for council to undertake core business in a timely manner. There is reputational risk to council if it is unable to administer landowner consents in a timely manner.

Table 1: Options for local boards to address requirement for nominated local board members under the Local Board Delegations Protocol for landowner consents and events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. There are no nominated local board members and staff must consult with the local board chairperson as a primary point of contact | • The local board chairperson will become the subject matter expert for the local board on landowner approvals and events  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that better meets organisational deadlines | • The local board chairperson’s work-load will be increased  
• Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions are not made at a public meeting |
| 2. Nominated local board members appointed for landowner consents and events (preferred option) | • The nominated local board member will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are nominated for  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that better meets organisational deadlines | • Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions made under delegation are not made at a public meeting |

Notified applications (resource consents and liquor licences)

28. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings (option two in Table 2). Because local board reporting timeframes do not usually align with process and statutory timeframes outlined above, in most instances reporting at a business meeting will not be a viable option. Providing a delegation to one local board member and one alternate (option three in Table 2) is considered the most efficient way of providing formal views for the matters discussed in this report.

Table 2: Options for local boards to provide their formal views on notification of resource consents and liquor licences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No formal local board views are provided</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local board views will not be considered by the hearing commissioners</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. Formal local board views are provided at a business meeting | • All local board members contribute to the local board view  
• Provides transparent decision making | • Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines are unlikely to align with statutory deadlines imposed by the planning process |
| 3. Formal local board views are provided by way of delegation to one local board member for all applications (preferred option) | • Nominated local board member will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are nominated for  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines  
• Any feedback can be reported back to the local board | • Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions made under delegation are not made at a public meeting (decisions are made public once submitted via the planning process) |
Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
29. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The
decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The
effects of climate change will not impact the decisions.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
30. This report recommends the appointment of nominated local board members to ensure that
council can undertake its operational and statutory duties in a timely manner, while receiving
local board input and decision-making in matters that are of local importance.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
31. This report seeks to appoint nominated board members to perform particular functions.
32. Any local board member who is appointed as a nominated board member should ensure
that they represent the wider local board views and preferences on each matter before them.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
33. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have a positive or negative impact
for Māori.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
34. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have financial implications on
Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
35. If local boards choose not to appoint a nominated board member for landowner consents
(including film applications) and events, staff will need to seek feedback from the
chairperson. This could potentially lead to a busy workload for the local board chairperson,
in addition to their existing duties.
36. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide views on notified applications, there is a
risk that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to submission closing dates and
miss the opportunity to have their feedback presented and heard at a hearing.
37. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide their views on liquor licences, there is a risk
that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to closings dates for submissions not
coinciding with political meetings.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
38. Nominated local board members providing feedback on landowner consents and events will
engage with staff acting in accordance with the Local Board Delegation Protocols.
39. Training for local board members will be offered on the Resource Management Act 1991
and the preparation of effective feedback for applications notified as part of a Resource
Management Act 1991 process.
40. Nominated local board members (and alternates) who are delegated to provide reports and speak at District Licensing Committee Hearings should sign-up to receive alcohol notices. This will ensure that they hear about new applications as soon as they are open for comment.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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Appointment of local board members to external, regional and sub-regional community organisations

File No.: CP2019/18910

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To appoint board members to external, regional and sub-regional community organisations and as internal appointees relevant to the Franklin Local Board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Elected members participate as representatives of the local board on a number of external, regional and sub-regional community organisations and as relevant internal appointees.
3. The beginning of the new electoral term generates the need for new appointments. This report provides details of the external organisations relevant to the local board and requests that the local board nominates a lead and alternate member to represent the board on those external organisations for the 2019-2022 triennium.
4. In addition, there are a small number of appointments which, due to legislation or the terms in a deed are the responsibility of the Governing Body, but because the relationship between the council and the organisation is local, the Governing Body has delegated its responsibility to nominate an elected member to the relevant local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) appoint the following board members to the external community groups and organisations listed below for the 2019-2022 triennium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Airport Noise Committee Consultative Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardmore Airport Noise Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clevedon Quarry Community Liaison Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry Liaison Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunua Quarry Ecological Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pukekohe Business Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiuku Business and Development Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand Steel Environment Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiuku Waterfront and Reserve Management Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiomanu Pa Kainga Reserve Co-management Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitford Landfill Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Horopaki
Context

5. A number of external organisations provide for the formal participation of Auckland Council elected members in their affairs. Elected member appointees will have a variety of duties and liabilities depending on the individual organisation.

6. At the commencement of each triennium, the Governing Body and local boards make appointments to external organisations.

7. As local board representatives, the nominated members represent the board, and do not attend in a personal capacity. Nominated local board members will provide updates at local board meetings to regularly inform all local board members of discussions and decisions made of their activities, unless good reasons exist for confidentiality. These updates are in the form of business meeting reports which maintain public transparency.

8. The reasons for elected member participation in external organisations can be described in a number of ways:
   - a trust deed, that requires Auckland Council to make an appointment to an organisation
   - an organisation of interest to the local board is inviting elected member representation at its meetings
   - associations entered into by the council which provide for elected member representation
   - organisation governance, or project or programme oversight, such as regional or local parks management groups
   - a statutory or regulatory provision (for example a regulation providing for a community liaison committee) or
   - a resource consent requiring the formation of a committee or hearing panel.

9. In making decisions about these appointments it is suggested that local boards are mindful of:
   - the elected member’s availability
   - any conflict of interests, including whether the local board provides funding to the entity
   - relevance
   - historical relationship with the organisation and Auckland Council.

10. Members are delegated in their capacity as elected local board members. Should they no longer be a local board member, their nominations would be automatically repealed.

11. Local board members may be part of any organisation in their private capacity and personal interests. They are encouraged to disclose memberships of external organisations in the conflict of interest register.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Relevant external organisations

12. The details of the organisations relevant to the local board are detailed below.

13. It is recommended that the board are represented by one appointed member and one alternate member for each organisation.

**Airport Noise Community Consultative Group (ANCG)**

14. Under Designation 1100 of the Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in part, the Auckland International Airport Limited is required to maintain the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group. The purpose of the group is to consider, and where appropriate, make recommendations to Auckland International Airport Limited on aircraft noise and concerns that arise from the operations and activities at the airport.

15. Membership of the group comprise an Auckland Council Governing Body representative, 12 local board representatives, and representatives from industry, Mana Whenua, the community, Airways, Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand and Auckland International Airport Limited.

16. One local board member is selected from each of the following local boards: Mangere-Otāhuhu, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Manurewa, Howick, Franklin, Maungakiekie-Tamaki, Albert-Eden, Puketāpapa, Whau, Orākei, Wāitkere Ranges, and Papakura.

17. The previous local board representatives were Malcolm Bell with Amanda Hopkins alternate.

18. The meetings are held every three months. The next meeting occurs on 9 December 2019, 1-3pm, Pavilion Room 111, Sudima Hotel, 18 Airport Drive, Auckland Airport.

**Ardmore Airport Noise Committee**

19. The Ardmore Airport Noise Management Plan requires a representative from Franklin and Papakura Local Boards to participate in the decision-making process.

20. The committee meet twice a year.

21. The previous local board representatives were Amanda Hopkins with Angela Fulljames as the alternate.

**Forestry Liaison Group**

22. The Regional Development and Operations Committee resolved in 2011 that the Franklin Local Board appoints a board member and an alternate to the group.

23. The purpose of the group is to discuss issues of compliance, sediment control and best practice, consenting and planning processes.

24. The group is administered by council and meet two to three times per year.

25. The previous local board representatives were Alan Cole with Andrew Baker as the alternate.

**Hunua Quarry Ecological Trust**

26. Membership of the Hunua Quarry Ecological Trust was delegated to the Franklin Local Board by the governing body on 1 November 2016.

27. The purpose of the trust is to contribute to, or undertake, charitable activities set out in the Trust Deed: environmental and community initiatives; replanting and revegetation initiatives; riparian enhancement and environmental education initiatives.

28. The board are yet to be invited to a meeting.
29. The previous local board representatives were Malcolm Bell with Angela Fulljames as the alternate.

Pukekohe and Waiuku Business Associations

30. Business Improvement District (BID) Partnership Programmes are local economic development initiatives run by a business association in partnership with the council, supported by a designated targeted rate.

31. The local board has a day-to-day relationship with the business associations as a joint partner in the BID Partnership Programme. The local board will work with the business associations to align the direction for the BID programme and local priorities expressed in the Local Board Plan. The local board will receive regular reporting on the BID Partnership Programme and review progress against objectives.

32. The business associations may invite the appointed member onto the BID governance board or executive committee. The discretion on whether this member has voting rights will lie with the business associations under the rules of their constitution.

33. The Pukekohe Business Association meet on the third Tuesday of each month, and the Waiuku Business Association meet on second Wednesday of each month.

28 The previous local board representatives were Alan Cole with Niko Kloeten as alternate for the Pukekohe Business Association, and Brendon Crompton with Niko Kloeten as alternate for the Waiuku Business Association.

New Zealand Steel Environment Committee

30. The purpose of the committee is to consider various results and reporting required under the company’s resource consents.

31. Meetings were set up over 30 years ago as a way to involve various community groups in understanding what is occurring with the business operations and the environmental responsibilities of the company.

32. There are also representatives from local iwi (Ngāti te Ata, Ngāti Tamaoho), Auckland District Health Board and Federated Farmers.

33. The committee meets three times a year, in March, July and November.

34. The previous local board representatives were Sharlene Druyven with Alan Cole as the alternate.

Waiomanu Pa Kainga Reserve Co-management Committee

35. In 2010, an agreement was signed which placed the reserve (a 5.53 hectare site located behind Waiomanu Bay on the Maraetai Coast Road, just outside Maraetai settlement) under co-management with Ngai Tai ki Tamaki Tribal Trust and the legacy Manukau City Council.

36. As a legacy document, the co-management agreement passed to Auckland Council for implementation through the Franklin Local Board.

37. The board are yet to be invited to a meeting.

38. The previous local board representatives were Malcolm Bell with Amanda Hopkins as the alternate.

Waiuku Waterfront and Reserve Management Committee

39. This committee was set up under the Waiuku Reserve Management Plan as an advisory committee to ensure reserves in Waiuku are developed according to the wishes of the community.

40. Its constitution states that the committee shall include a member of the Franklin Local Board. The committee meet on the second Monday of each month.
41. It focuses on future development and maintenance planning of the following five reserves on an advisory basis: Centennial Park, Tamakae Reserve, Lady Jane Franklin Botanic Reserve, Kevan Lawrence Park and Tiware Stream Reserve.

42. The previous local board representatives were Brendon Crompton with Sharlene Druyven as the alternate.

**Whitford Landfill Committee**

43. This committee was formed as a condition of resource consent.

44. Membership of the Whitford Landfill Committee was delegated to the Franklin Local Board by the governing body on 1 November 2016.

45. The committee four times a year. The dates will be confirmed at next meeting on 5th December 2019.

46. The previous local board representatives were Amanda Hopkins with Malcolm Bell as the alternate.

**Whitford Quarry Community Committee**

47. This committee was formed as a condition of resource consent as a medium through which the Whitford community can be kept informed of activities and operations at Whitford Quarry, and the quarry operator informed of the quarry’s effects on the community.

48. Membership of the Whitford Quarry Community Committee was delegated to the Franklin Local Board by the governing body on 1 November 2016.

49. The previous local board representatives were Amanda Hopkins with Malcolm Bell as the alternate.

**Rural Advisory Panel**

50. A panel of interest to the Franklin Local Board is the Rural Advisory Panel. The panel was established in 2010 and the terms of reference allow for a representative from three local boards, including Franklin Local Board.

51. The panel provides advice to council on council policies, plans and strategies relevant to rural issues, regional and strategic matters relevant to rural issues, and any matter of particular interest or concern to rural communities.

52. The panel meets every two months.

53. The previous local board representatives were Alan Cole with Andrew Baker alternate.

**Manukau Harbour Forum**

54. The Manukau Harbour Forum was established to champion the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour and adjacent communities.

55. The following nine local boards share a boundary with the harbour and make up the Manukau Harbour Forum: Franklin, Papakura, Manurewa, Otara-Papatoetoe, Mangere-Otahuhu, Maungakiekie-Tamaki, Puketapapa, Whau, and Waitakere Ranges.

56. The Terms of Reference allows for each of the member local boards to appoint one member plus an alternate to represent their local board on the forum. The forum meets on a monthly rotation alternating between a workshop and a business meeting.

57. The previous local board representative was Alan Cole.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi Climate impact statement**

58. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decisions.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
59. This report enables Auckland Council to meet its requirements or duties to have
representation on external community organisations.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
60. This report seeks the local board’s decision on representatives to external community
organisations relevant to the local board area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
61. This report has no specific impact on Māori. It covers appointments of local board members
to external organisations and community networks to represent the view of local
communities, including Māori communities.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
62. There are no financial implications as a result of this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
63. Local board members may be part of any organisation in their private capacity and personal
interests. They are encouraged to disclose memberships of external organisations in the
conflict of interest register.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
64. Local Board Services staff will inform each external organisation of the name of the local
board appointment. They will also inform the local board representative of the meeting time,
date and location.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager, Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve the process for making the local board representative appointment to the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) National Council and inform elected members of changes to the LGNZ rules.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) amended its rules at its Annual General Meeting on 7 July 2019 and these were confirmed at a meeting of the LGNZ National Council in September. There are some key changes affecting Auckland.

3. There are now three dedicated seats on the LGNZ National Council for Auckland Council representatives. These will be filled by the Mayor of Auckland (or his alternate) and representatives to be appointed by local boards and the Governing Body. The LGNZ rules require these appointments to be made within eight weeks of the triennial local government elections.

4. This report outlines a process to appoint the local boards representative. Nominations will be open to all local board elected members and this can be done in mid-end November. Local boards are being asked to delegate authority to select the representative by nominating one of its members, preferably the chairperson, to be part of a local board selection panel. This process will enable the representative to be appointed as quickly as possible.

5. The LGNZ rules now excludes Auckland from LGNZ Zone 1. Although not officially a member of an LGNZ zone group, the expectation is that Auckland Council schedules regular meetings with the president and chief executive (or their representatives) of LGNZ and organise itself as if it were a zone group. These meetings could be co-chaired by the councillor and local board member who are appointed to the LGNZ National Council.

6. Other arrangements such as the sector-based groups remain unchanged. Auckland Council is eligible to be a member of the Metropolitan and Regional Groups and the Governing Body will be asked to select representatives to these groups.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) note the amended Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) rules.

b) endorse Option A (selection panel made up of representatives from each local board) as the process for appointing the local board representative to the LGNZ National Council.

c) delegate to the chairperson to be part of the selection panel to appoint the local board representative to the LGNZ National Council.

d) agree in principle to two annual meetings of Auckland Council and LGNZ (or their representatives) with the arrangements to be decided by the three Auckland Council representatives to the LGNZ National Council and staff.

e) endorse the proposal that the meetings of the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings be
Horopaki Context

Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ)

7. LGNZ is an incorporated society (New Zealand Local Government Association Inc) which represents the national interests of councils around New Zealand and leads best practice in the local government sector.

8. The objectives of LGNZ include promoting and advocating matters affecting the national interests of local government. LGNZ holds regular dialogue with government, parliamentarians and government agencies and provides thought leadership and research on matters of interest to local authorities.

9. LGNZ is governed by a national council made up of members elected to represent geographic zones, representatives of various sector groups, Chair of Te Maruata (LGNZ’s national collective of Māori in local government governance roles), the President and three seats reserved for representatives of Auckland Council.

10. The establishment of dedicated Auckland seats were made as part of amendments to the LGNZ Rules agreed at its AGM in July 2019 (available online). The amended rules stipulate that the composition of the National Council will include the Mayor of Auckland (or an alternate) and two further persons: one to represent the Governing Body and one to represent local boards. The appointments are for three years.

11. LGNZ members are organized in zones and sectors generally. These zones and sectors make appointments to the National Council, provide advice on issues affecting their geographical or sector areas and provide information to their members.

12. Auckland Council is no longer a member of any zone group. Due to its size and governance structure, it is expected that the council will organise itself as if it were a zone.

13. The amendments did not change arrangements for sector groups. Auckland Council remains eligible to be a member of the Metro Sector Group and the Regional Sector Groups. The Governing Body usually appoints Auckland Council’s representatives to these groups and will be asked to do so again.

14. Auckland Council’s benefits from its interactions with LGNZ include keeping abreast of national issues affecting local government, advocating for and influencing local government issues on the national agenda and providing a forum where elected representatives connect and network with their peers from across the country.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

Appointment of local board representative to LGNZ National Council

15. The appointment of a local board representative will need to be decided by local boards. This is outlined in LGNZ Rule E1A “(b) one person appointed by Auckland Council local boards, from elected members of the local boards”.

16. The restricted timeframes (eight weeks from start of the term) requires an agile selection process. Staff considered several options and recommend Option A as detailed in the following table:
Process for selection of local board representative to the LGNZ National Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Details of process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A      | Selection panel made up of representatives from each local board | • Each local board delegates authority to one of their members to be part of a selection panel.  
• The selection panel can be called to meet once all candidates are confirmed and they will agree the voting system to be used.  
• One vote per local board is considered a fair way to select a single representative for all 21 local boards.  
• Members can utilise an existing meeting to get the selection panel together (such as the Chair’s Forum).  
• Process can start in mid-November with a two-week nomination period. |
| B      | Reports to local boards seeking decision/preference (may require urgent decisions) | • This would involve seeking a vote/preference from each local board through a formal report and resolution.  
• The report can only be produced once nominations have closed and the candidates list is available – this will delay the report to early December.  
• Where there is a tie between candidates based on local board votes, staff will need to be delegated authority to resolve the candidate by lot or go back to local boards for a decision.  
• This process is unlikely to produce an agreed appointment in a timely fashion. |

17. Staff also considered the option of a popular vote of all local board members. This would involve setting up an online voting system, where each local board member would have one vote. However, this option may not comply with the LGNZ Rules which anticipates a selection by local boards rather than by individual members.

18. The recommended Option A will enable a fair process by giving each local board a vote and an opportunity for their representatives to properly consider each nominee. This selection can take place at the planned meeting of the Chairs’ Forum on 9 December 2019 to avoid arranging an additional meeting.

Nominations for the local board representative

19. The LGNZ anticipates that all local board elected members are eligible to be a candidate for the LGNZ National Council. The nominations process will therefore need to allow self-nominations.

20. To facilitate this process in the timeframes required, staff will call for nominations on Friday 15 November and will allow a two-week period closing on 29 November 2019.

Auckland Council / LGNZ meetings

21. The role of a zone includes receiving reports from LGNZ about matters of national interest to local authorities and communicating to LGNZ the issues and concerns. The key item of interest at Zone meetings is the national update from LGNZ. The president and chief executive of LGNZ (or their representatives) attend to present the update.

22. Auckland Council could continue to meet with the president and chief executive (or their representatives) of LGNZ on a regular basis. Although not expressly set out in the changes to the LGNZ Rules, there is an understanding that Auckland Council will continue with these meetings in order to ensure an ongoing regional dialogue and continue to identify and advise LGNZ on issues and concerns affecting the Auckland region.
23. Staff recommend these meetings are co-chaired by the councillor and local board member appointed to the LGNZ national council. A co-chair approach recognises the shared governance role of local boards. Following discussions with LGNZ, staff also recommend that the meetings be open to all elected members.

24. The proposed meeting dates for the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings are 13 March 2020 and 11 September 2020.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**  
**Climate impact statement**

25. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. Staff will look to schedule meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ on days where there are other city-based activities and meetings for elected members in order to minimise travel requirements. Staff will also explore the use of skype and livestreaming so elected members may choose to avoid travel.

26. Regarding engagement with the LGNZ, Auckland Council has declared a climate emergency, along with other councils around the country, so there will be an opportunity for partnership and joint leadership on this issue.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
**Council group impacts and views**

27. Secretariat support for the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings will be provided by the Governance Division.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**  
**Local impacts and local board views**

28. The changes to the LGNZ Rules and the designated seat on the LGNZ National Council acknowledges the role of local boards and gives it greater recognition in LGNZ.

29. Local board chairs were briefed on anticipated changes at the May 2019 Chairs’ Forum.

30. The amended rules were confirmed at a meeting of the LGNZ National Council in September. Due to the elections and end of term timeframes, staff were unable to seek the views of local boards on the process for appointing a representative.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**  
**Māori impact statement**

31. These decisions are procedural in nature and do not impact on Māori.

32. At the LGNZ level, the LGNZ has provided for representation on the National Council by the Chair of Te Maruata.

33. Te Maruata is LGNZ National Council sub-committee which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. They provide support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups and provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government.

34. Appointments to Te Maruata are not made by councils. In the previous term Councillor Alf Filipaina was invited to be a member of the sub-committee.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**  
**Financial implications**

35. Membership of LGNZ incurs a cost to ratepayers. Auckland Council’s annual subscription to LGNZ in 2019/2020 is $340,148 excluding GST.
36. The establishment of Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings will incur expense currently unbudgeted for. Staff from the Governance Division will support the first meeting using existing resources.

37. As the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings are expected to bring together all elected members from across the region including the islands, this will impact on governance administration budgets over time.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

38. The LGNZ Rules require persons appointed to the LGNZ National Council to assume office within eight weeks of the triennial local government elections. This creates some difficulties in designing a process for all 21 local boards to agree their single representative. The recommended option (Option A) proposed in this report will enable the process to be completed as quickly as possible, on the first working day after the eight week period. The LGNZ secretariat has indicated this would be acceptable.

39. If all local boards do not endorse the same process (Option A), this would affect how quickly the appointment is able to be made.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

40. The Governing Body will be making their appointment in November 2019 including appointment of Auckland Council representatives to the sector groups.

41. Appointments of Auckland Council seats to the LGNZ National Council will be communicated to the LGNZ by 6 December 2019.

42. Staff will work with the appointed representatives of Auckland Council to make arrangements for the first Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Shirley Coutts - Principal Advisor - Governance Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager, Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of a business meeting schedule

File No.: CP2019/18983

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Franklin Local Board meeting schedule for the 2019-2022 electoral term.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules. In particular, clause 19, Schedule 7 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Sections 46, 46(A) and 47 in Part 7 of LGOIMA require that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting, and that local board meetings are open to the public.

3. Adopting a meeting schedule helps with meeting these requirements. Adopting a business meeting schedule also allows for a planned approach to workloads and ensures that local board members have clarity about their commitments.

4. A draft meeting schedule for the 2019-2022 electoral term has been developed and is included below for adoption by the local board.

5. Commencing the business meeting during business hours will enable meetings to be productive and ensures best use of resources.

6. One business meeting per month is sufficient for formal business to be considered. There are some instances for which the local board may need to have meetings in addition to this schedule. The specific times and dates for meetings for matters such as local board plans and local board agreements are yet to be finalised. Local board meeting schedules may need to be updated once these details are confirmed.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) adopt the meeting schedule outlined below for the 2019-2022 electoral term:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>December 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>February 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) agree to commence business meetings at 9.30 am to be held at the Local Board Chambers, 82 Manukau Road, Pukekohe. Public forum and deputations will be scheduled in the early part of the business meeting, to enable participation by the public and stakeholders in the democratic process.

c) note the dates and time for meetings for local board plans and local board agreements are yet to be finalized.

---

Ngā tāpirihanga

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.
**Ngā kaihaina**
**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Anna Bray - Policy and Planning Manager - Local Boards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager, Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adoption of a business meeting schedule
Urgent decision-making process

File No.: CP2019/19029

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek the Franklin Local Board's agreement to use the urgent decision-making process when appropriate.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The urgent decision-making process enables the local board to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum. By agreeing to this process, the local board delegates decision-making authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) adopt the urgent decision-making process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.

b) delegate authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board.

c) agree that the relationship manager, chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) will authorise the urgent decision-making process by signing off an authorisation memo.

d) note that all urgent decisions will be reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board.

Horopaki
Context
3. The urgent decision-making process enables the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum. Examples include during the Christmas and New Year period or for providing input to the council’s central government submission process in tight timeframes.

4. By agreeing to this process, the board delegates decision-making authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles during that period.

5. The Local Government Act 2002 provides for local boards to delegate to committees, members of the local board or Auckland Council staff any of its responsibilities and powers, with some specific exceptions (clause 32, Schedule 7). This legislation enables the urgent decision-making process.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
6. The urgent decision-making process provides an alternative decision-making mechanism to an extraordinary meeting. An extraordinary meeting is called when an urgent decision is required on matters that cannot wait until the next scheduled business meeting of the local board.

7. Urgent decisions are different from emergency decisions, which are only made if there is a risk to public health and safety.

8. All requests for an urgent decision will be supported by a memo stating the nature of the issue, reason for urgency and the decisions or resolutions sought.

9. The local board relationship manager will use the information in this memo to determine whether or not to authorise the urgent decision-making process.

10. A number of factors will be considered by the relationship manager before approval to use the urgent decision-making process is given, such as:
   • the timing of the next scheduled meeting
   • confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision
   • consideration of the rationale for the urgency
   • the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision-making process is appropriate.

11. Once the relationship manager authorises the use of the urgent decision-making process, the chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) also need to approve the use of the urgent decision-making process by signing the same memo.

12. Once the authorisation memo has been approved, the chair and deputy chair will refer to the substantive report for advice and staff recommendations to inform their decision. This report will meet Auckland Council quality advice standards and adhere to the report authorisation processes.

13. Any decision made using the urgent decision-making process will be reported as an information item to the next ordinary meeting of the local board and the signed approval memo will be attached.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
14. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decision.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
15. The urgent decision-making process proposed in this report enables the council group to progress urgent decisions efficiently, when it is not practical to call the full local board together.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
16. This report outlines the local board urgent decision-making process, and seeks the local board’s agreement to adopt this process.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

17. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have specific implications for Māori, and the arrangements proposed in this report do not affect the Māori community differently to the rest of the community.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

18. There are no financial implications arising from the procedural decision sought by this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

19. To mitigate any risk that the urgent decision-making process could be used inappropriately, the relationship manager will assess a number of factors including timing of the next scheduled meeting, the reason for urgency, and significance of the decision. If a matter is of major significance, an extraordinary meeting can be called instead.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

20. If the local board adopts the use of the urgent decision-making process, the local board relationship manager and delegated members will execute the urgent decision-making process outlined in this report if the need arises.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Anna Bray - Policy and Planning Manager - Local Boards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager, Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Road Name Approval: 23 New Roads at the Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6)

File No.: CP2019/19081

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval to name 23 new public roads in the Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6). Approval is also sought to use existing names for roads that have been extended from previously approved subdivisions.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has Road Naming Guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. On behalf of the developer and applicant, Karaka and Drury Consultant Limited (KDCL), agent McKenzie & Co Consultants Limited, has proposed the following names presented in the tables below for consideration by the local board, for 23 new public roads within the Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6).

4. Any of the 62 proposed road name options presented below would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana Whenua were also consulted. Therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

5. Auranga Stage 2 Proposed Road Names:
- 11 new public roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Reference</th>
<th>Applicant Preferred Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
<td>Auranga</td>
<td>Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Tributary</td>
<td>Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 70</td>
<td>Rorotu</td>
<td>Avenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pool of Alternative Names for Auranga Development (Stage 2)

| These alternative names can be used for any of the roads listed above for Stage 2. |
| Note: the road types in the table above are to remain as they are for each road. The road types have been specifically chosen for their respective roads, suiting the roads |
| Ngoi | Babble |
| Tariao | Tūturu |
| Whanake | Agora |
| Bufen | Mazi |
| Heping | Mangeao |
| Milepost | Whakatere |
6. **Auranga Stage 3 Proposed Road Names:**

- 5 new public roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Reference</th>
<th>Applicant Preferred Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 51</td>
<td>Faithful</td>
<td>Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 52</td>
<td>Peara</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 53</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 54</td>
<td>Kaea</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 55</td>
<td>Kapetā</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pool of Alternative Names for Auranga Development (Stage 3)**

These alternative names can be used for any of the roads listed above for Stage 3. Note: the road types in the table above are to remain as they are for each road. The road types have been specifically chosen for their respective roads, suiting the roads specific form and layout.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pea</td>
<td>Taataka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puheke</td>
<td>Tufts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Purposeful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turikakao</td>
<td>Hūrē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pūpū</td>
<td>Mingimmingi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Auranga Stage 6 Proposed Road Names:**

- 3 existing public road extensions, with the existing name proposed to be retained.
- 7 new public roads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Reference</th>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 4 (Extension) Proposed to extend existing name</td>
<td>Kahui</td>
<td>Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 6 (Extension) Proposed to extend existing name</td>
<td>Ata</td>
<td>Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 15 (Extension) Proposed to extend existing name</td>
<td>Huringa</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Reference</th>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 7</td>
<td>Kowharu</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 9</td>
<td>Accord</td>
<td>Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 10</td>
<td>Kauitarā</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 12</td>
<td>Hupana</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) approve 11 names for the following new roads within Stage 2 of the Auranga development in Drury, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974:

i) Road 1: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

ii) Road 3: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

iii) Road 70: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

iv) Road 71: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

v) Road 72: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

vi) Road 73: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

vii) Road 74: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

viii) Road 75: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

ix) Road 76: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

x) Road 78: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

xi) Road 79: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

b) approve 5 names for the following new roads within Stage 3 of the Auranga development in Drury, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60078841 & SUB60225877):

i) Road 51: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

ii) Road 52: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

iii) Road 53: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

iv) Road 54: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

v) Road 55: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

c) approve 7 names for the following new roads within Stage 6 of the Auranga development in Drury, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974:
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development in Drury, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60310376 & SUB60310378):

i) Road 7 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
ii) Road 9 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
iii) Road 10 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
iv) Road 12 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
v) Road 13 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
vi) Road 20 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
vii) Road 21 (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

d) approve the retention of the existing road name ‘Kahui Parade’ for Road 4 to be extended within the Auranga Development (Stage 6), pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.
e) approve the retention of the existing road name ‘Ata Drive’ for Road 6 to be extended within the Auranga Development (Stage 6), pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.
f) approve the retention of the existing road name ‘Huringa Road’ for Road 15 to be extended within the Auranga Development (Stage 6), pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.

Horopaki Context

8. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachments A and B respectively.

9. **Auranga Stage 2** is to be developed in five stages (2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, and 2E), and will create 10 public roads; being Roads 1, 3, 70 – 76, 78, and 79).

- Stage 2A – Approved under resource consent BUN60077737 & SUB60228944
- Stage 2B - Approved under resource consent BUN60303595 & SUB60303688
- Stage 2C - Approved under resource consent BUN60303691 & SUB60303694
- Stage 2D - Approved under resource consent BUN60313511 & SUB60313516
- Stage 2E - Approved under resource consent BUN60336099 & SUB60336181

- Road 3 is a main collector road which will culminate in a cul-de-sac head due to the restrictions of access onto Burberry Road. Road 76 will be formed only to connect Burberry Road to Road 3 to address this restriction.

10. **Auranga Stage 3** - Resource consent BUN60078841 & SUB60225877 was issued 17 November 2017 to create 10 vacant lots and five public roads (Roads 51 - 55).

11. **Auranga Stage 6** - Resource consent BUN60310376 & SUB60310378 was issued 17 November 2017 to create 164 residential lots (including superlots). Stage 6 will be undertaken in four stages. Roads to be named as part of this report are Roads 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 20, and 21.

The extension of existing roads and names:

- The road name ‘Kahui Parade’ was approved for Road 4 by the Franklin Local Board in December 2018 as part of the road naming application for Auranga Stages 1A and 5.
Kahui Parade diverts from and re-enters Bremner Road, extending through Stages 1A, 4B, 5, 6A & 6B.

- The road name ‘Ata Parade’ was approved for Road 6 by the Franklin Local Board in December 2018 as part of the road naming application for Auranga Stages 1A and 5. Ata Parade creates a link between Bremner Road and Kahui Parade.

- The road name ‘Huringa Drive’ was approved for Road 15 by the Franklin Local Board in December 2018 as part of the road naming application for Auranga Stages 1A and 5. Huringa Drive creates a link between Kahui Parade and Ata Parade.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the local board’s approval.

13. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:

- a historical or ancestral linkage to an area
- a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
- an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

14. Themes: The applicant has proposed names that reference:

- the local geology that surrounds Auranga;
- the local market gardens;
- the military history of the local area, as well as as
- names that reflect the values of Auranga (see definition below) and how residents would feel living in its community.

17. Themes: The applicant has also chosen to submit some iwi suggested names that were previously proposed for Stages 1A and 5 but were not approved by the Franklin Local Board. Iwi have reviewed the full list of the applicant proposed names and no objections were received.

15. The Applicant’s preferred names and meanings for Stage 2 are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road 1</th>
<th>1 Auranga Drive</th>
<th>Māori word meaning: a tide of energy that sets progress in motion. The applicant proposes the name ‘Auranga’ as it came from discussions with iwi and is significant to the location and the nearby water. Note: The applicant advises that although the development is called ‘Auranga’, it is not a registered company or business name – it is more of a symbolic name, and therefore it does not go against the road naming guidelines which state that a road name must not be commercially based.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Tributary Parade</td>
<td>Reference to the local waterway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 70</td>
<td>Rorotu Avenue</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (verb) to be favourable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 71</td>
<td>Karihi Road</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: Grandson of Tangaroa (God of the sea) and Father of all sea birds that fly in flocks (e.g. the Kuaka bird).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Auranga Development (Stage 2) Preferred Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road 72</th>
<th>Cronshaw Road</th>
<th>To pay homage to the Drury Hotel that burnt down in 1868.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 73</td>
<td>Enchantment Lane</td>
<td>A type of Heirloom tomato. Homage to market gardens of the area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 74</td>
<td>Rarangi Mai Lane</td>
<td>Reference to ‘the gathering’ of Kuaka. Suggested by iwi from Auranga Stages 1A and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 75</td>
<td>Noia Way</td>
<td>A Te Maketu Chief, Noia, established the pā at Te Maketu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 76</td>
<td>Yellow Pear Lane</td>
<td>A type of Heirloom tomato. Homage to market gardens of the area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 78</td>
<td>Rāpoi Lane</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (verb) To hang together in a cluster, assemble, and gather together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 79</td>
<td>Wild Flower Way</td>
<td>Symbolic of the flowers grown in the historic Greenhouses that were removed to create Auranga.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. The Applicant has also provided a pool of names that can be use as alternatives for Auranga Development (Stage 2):

Table 6: Auranga Development (Stage 2) Pool of Alternative Names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool of alternatives</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ngoi</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) strength, energy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tariao</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: star in the Milky Way - sometimes called the Morning Star. - Leader of the Pai Mārire faith and also the name of a religious movement in Waikato. Between 1875 and 1876 the Kingitanga modified its religious expression when it adopted the Tariao faith. This combined Pai Mārire prayers with new forms of ritual. The Tariao were ministers of the new faith. Tawhiao, the second Māori king, was the head Tariao. Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whanake</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (verb) to move onwards, move upwards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bufen</td>
<td>Chinese word meaning: part of (e.g. part of the Auranga development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heping</td>
<td>Chinese word meaning: peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milepost</td>
<td>In reference to the Military history of the local area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuhaka</td>
<td>Heirloom Nectarine. Homage to market gardens of the area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babble</td>
<td>Reference to proximity to water’s edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tūturu</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: to be fixed, permanent, real, true, actual, authentic, and original.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agora</td>
<td>Greek word meaning: gathering place or assembly. Used in reference of the local garden markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazi</td>
<td>Greek word meaning: together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangeao</td>
<td>A many-branched, small endemic tree with leathery, shiny leaves 5-12 cm long and up to 5 cm wide. The insignificant flowers are scented, with male and female flowers on separate trees. The female trees bear black drupes in autumn.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. The Applicant’s preferred names and meanings for **Stage 3** are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 51</td>
<td>Faithful Drive</td>
<td>In recognition of early settlers and the establishment of the Christian faith, and the first Church (Catholic) of the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 52</td>
<td>Peara Lane</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>peary, pear</em>. Signifying the precious land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 53</td>
<td>Better Way</td>
<td>The applicant describes this name as always improving the way they do things.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 54</td>
<td>Kaea Lane</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb) to lead (a haka).</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 55</td>
<td>Kapetā Lane</td>
<td><em>(noun) school shark, Galeorhinus galeus: A pale brownish-grey shark similar to a bigger version of a dogfish, having a double tail and pointed nose. Teeth small, pointed and sharp. Found in coastal and ocean waters from shallow depths to 1100 m. Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. The Applicant has also provided a pool of names that can be used as alternatives for Auranga Development (Stage 3):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool of alternatives</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pea</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun) pear.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pūheke</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb) to flow.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Refers to the Innovation Precinct which is designed to facilitate future technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turikakao</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>Spinifex - it is a genus of perennial coastal plants in the grass family.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pūpū</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>shellfish</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taataka</td>
<td>Māori word for: <em>Beetle</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previously suggested by iwi (part of Auranga Stages 1A and 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuffs</td>
<td>Tuff is an igneous rock that forms from the products of an explosive volcanic eruption.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Auranga Development (Stage 3) Pool of Alternative Names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purposeful</th>
<th>Reference to local geology.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hūrē</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> Hooray, hurrah, cheer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingimangi</td>
<td>A native shrub with small, narrow leaves which alternate or are in tufts, prickly to touch. Fruit is red, pink, blue or white and the bark is black.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. The Applicant’s preferred names and meanings for Stage 6 are set out in the table below:

Table 8: Auranga Development (Stage 6) Preferred Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 7</td>
<td>Kowharu Road</td>
<td>A shellfish only found in Pahurehure Inlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 9</td>
<td>Accord Place</td>
<td>Meaning to grant or to give. As this land offers to new people a new community living together in harmony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 10</td>
<td>Kauitara Lane</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>Mother of Kuaka – parent of all birds that fly in flocks.</em> Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 12</td>
<td>Hupana Road</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> to fly up (as a spring), fly back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 13</td>
<td>Huataki Parade</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>to rise, lift begin, lead</em> New beginnings, homes rising from the ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 20</td>
<td>Ngākau Road</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> seat of affections, heart, mind, soul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 21</td>
<td>Woven Place</td>
<td>Reference to the Māori word ‘Kete’, which means <em>(noun)</em> basket, kit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. The Applicant has also provided a pool of names that can be use as alternatives for Auranga Development (Stage 6):

Table 9: Auranga Development (Stage 6) Pool of Alternative Names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool of alternatives</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moiweka</td>
<td>Rare NZ Banded Rail. Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ka Mauri</td>
<td>Mussels that are rare and unique to the area, found a meter below the mud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hari</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> To be happy, delighted, and glad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonecutters</td>
<td>Referencing local geology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsophila</td>
<td>Orchards grown in the historic Greenhouses that were removed to create Auranga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemu</td>
<td>Chinese word meaning: <em>togetherness</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fengfu</td>
<td>Chinese word meaning: <em>bountiful</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohatu</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>stone, stony, rocky.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Reference to local geology.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whetiko</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) mud-flat snail, mudsnail, Amphibola crenata - an air breathing mollusc which lives in a habitat that is intermediate between the land and the sea. A very common univalve mollusc on tidal mudflats. Has a wrinkled-looking shell and was eaten in large quantities. Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoopua</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) Pool of water, swimming pool, diving pool, puddle. Previously suggested by iwi (from Auranga Stages 1A &amp; 5 submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Maori word meaning: current of a tide, energy that flows and circulates. Relates to the energy that flows through the tidal waters that surround Auranga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranga</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: to lead, to set in motion, progress. Taking the lead, setting progress in motion. A new area is being created.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. **Assessment:** The names proposed by the Applicant have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

22. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

23. **Road type:** The road types listed in the tables above have been specifically chosen for their respective roads and should not be changed, as they suit the form and layout of the specific roads (road type definitions can be found in the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines).

24. **Iwi Consultation:**
   - Ngāti Tamaoho Ngāti Te Ata and Te Akitai have been involved in the wider development of the site since 2016 through the Special Housing Area and Cultural Impact Assessment processes for the masterplanning of the development and various resource consents.
   - The applicant has had a number of hui with Ngāti Tamaoho, Ngāti Te Ata and Te Akitai to address cultural issues associated with the development, and hui specifically addressing road naming, resulting in a selection of proposed te reo Maori road names for use in the development.
   - In addition to these earlier hui, all relevant local iwi were written to in July 2019 (via email) and invited to comment on proposed names for Auranga Stages 2, 3 and 6 – including some te reo name options from the hui that were previously proposed for Stages 1A and 5 but not selected at that time. Only Ngāti Tamaoho responded in support of the proposed te reo names, and also commented that they were not opposed to any of the other non-Maori names proposed by the applicant. There were no other iwi responses, as Ngāti Tamaoho has been one of the main groups involved in the development.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

25. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
26. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
27. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
28. The review sought from the Franklin Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
29. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
30. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
31. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand who records them on their New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Site Plans - Auranga Development in Drury</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Location Plan - Auranga Development in Drury</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Elizabeth Salter - Subdivision Technical Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Snowdon - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Road Name Approval: 23 New Roads at the Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6)
Road Name Approval: 23 New Roads at the Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6)
SITE PLAN FOR STAGE 6

7 new roads:
- Road 7
- Road 9
- Road 10
- Road 12
- Road 13
- Road 20
- Road 21

3 road extensions:
- Road 4
- Road 6
- Road 15
Attachment B: Location plan for Auranga Development in Drury (Stage 2, 3 & 6)
New road names in the subdivision at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South by Classic Developments Limited.

File No.: CP2019/18924

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Franklin Local Board for names of three new roads and two new private ways to be created in a subdivision known as Hunua Views at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South by Classic Developments Limited.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

3. The applicant, Classic Developments Limited, has submitted the following names for consideration for three new roads (Road numbers 1, 4 & 5) and two new private ways (JOAL’s 1 & 2) it is creating in the subdivision at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road 1 - Proposed Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road 4 - Proposed Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road 5 - Proposed Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roslyn Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Way - 1 Proposed Road Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohukohunui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waharau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private Way - 2 Proposed Road Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waharau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohukohunui</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) approve five names (three road names and two private way names) from the abovementioned list of options created by way of subdivision at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury (council reference SUB60333643), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.
Horopaki
Context
4. The subdivision of 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South is being undertaken in three stages and will include the initial length of road formation of three public roads and two private ways (shown as JOAL’s on the road naming plan).
5. Private way one (JOAL 1) is ‘no exit’ and accessed from Road 2. Private way two (JOAL 2) is a through-way accessed at one end from Road 4. Stage two of the subdivision will extend public roads 2, 4 & 5 and provide access to the other end of private way two (JOAL) 2.
6. Each road and private way will serve more than five (5) lots.
7. The roads and private ways are required to be named in accordance with the national addressing standard as they each serve more than 5 lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
8. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   • a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   • a particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   • an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
9. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name for the local board’s approval.
10. The applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the three new roads and two new private ways created as part of the subdivision at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Hunua Views Proposed Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 4 Preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hunua Ranges are visible from the eastern extent of this street.

First Alternative | Rubble Street | Rubble is rough fragments of stone. Such aggregate is produced at the quarry viewed in the distance.
Second Alternative | Shale Street | Shale is a soft sedimentary rock that is in keeping with the known functions of the area as a high quality mineral deposit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Road 5</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Roslyn Farm Street</td>
<td>The Main Family farm was named Roslyn Farm and was farmed by them from 1974 until 2008. This references the nearby Roslyn Stream and therefore helps to create the sense of identity within the first stage of the development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Trellis Street</td>
<td>A trellis is defined as a network of interlinking streams. To the east the Harrison Stream runs and to the north west the Roslyn Stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Vale Street</td>
<td>A Vale is a wide river valley.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Private Way 1 Name</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Köhukohunui Lane</td>
<td>A sense of identity with the surrounding landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Waharau Lane</td>
<td>A sense of identity with the surrounding landscape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Private Way 2 Name</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Waharau Lane</td>
<td>A sense of identity with the surrounding landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Köhukohunui Lane</td>
<td>A sense of identity with the surrounding landscape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Land Information New Zealand has confirmed that the above names are acceptable to use. However, with regard to Sierra, LINZ recommended changing the suffix from ‘street’ to differentiate it from a road with the same name in Glendowie. The suffix of ‘Street’ has been changed to ‘Way’ for that road name.

12. The proposed suffixes of ‘Drive’, ‘Way’, ‘Street’ and ‘Lane’ are deemed acceptable as it accurately describes the characteristics of the road.

13. The names proposed by the applicant are deemed to meet the road naming guidelines.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement**

14. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

15. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council-controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of this report’s advice.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

16. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

17. The applicant has consulted with local iwi groups and a comprehensive response titled “Formal response regarding Naming of New Road at 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South, Auckland File No: BUN60333641” has been received from Ngaati Whanaunga.

18. Ngaati Whanaunga submitted the names Waharau, Hunua, Kohukohunui, Kookako, Miromiro and Komako. These names meet the road naming guidelines. No further response has been received from any other iwi groups.

19. LINZ has since advised that the road names ‘Hunua’, ‘Kookako’, ‘Komako’ and ‘Miromiro’ are already in use, thus only ‘Kohukohunui’ and ‘Waharau’ are acceptable.

20. As a result of the consultation with Ngaati Whanaunga, the applicant has changed the preferred and alternative names for the two private ways (JOAL’s 1 & 2) to Kohukohunui and Waharau.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

21. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road name.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

22. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

23. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand who records them on their New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment A - Site locality Plan</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Attachment B - Public Road Scheme Plan</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Attachment C - Private Way Scheme Plan</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Lesley Wood - Subdivision Advisor - South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>David Snowdon - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A:

Site locality map of 37 McEldownie Road, Drury South.
Attachment B:

Stage One: Hunua Views Public Road Naming (Roads 2, 4 and 5)

Road 2 (Pink), Roads 4 & 5 (Blue) located within Stage One
Attachment C:

Stage One: Hunua Views Private Way Naming (JOAL 1 and 2)

(Private Ways shown in green, public roads shown in pink and blue)
Urgent Decisions in the period 6 September to 8 November 2019 by the Franklin Local Board

File No.: CP2019/18882

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To report on urgent decisions made by Franklin Local Board in the period 6 September to 8 November 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Franklin Local Board provided local context feedback to inform three governing body submissions in the period 6 September to 8 November 2019. The board also approved minor amendments to a road naming approval and provided commentary on the dedication as a legal road in Maraetai. Approval for a local board meeting on 3 December was made by urgent decision.

3. Local boards were invited to formally provide feedback on council’s draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines. The Franklin Local Board resolved feedback by urgent decision on 16 September 2019 (Attachment A).

4. Local Boards were invited to formally provide feedback on council’s draft submission on the proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land. The Franklin Local Board provided feedback by urgent decision on 6 September 2019 (Attachment B).

5. The board provided formal feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the proposed Freshwater Package by urgent decision on 21 October 2019 (Attachment C).

6. The board was requested to consider minor amendments on two road naming approvals and provided this by urgent decision on 30 October 2019 (Attachment D).

7. The board was invited to provide commentary on the dedication of 46R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai as legal road. This commentary was provided by urgent decision on 17 September 2019 (Attachment E).

8. The board agreed to hold a business meeting on 3 December 2019 to formally approve Annual Plan consultation. This was provided by urgent decision on 3 December 2019 (Attachment F).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the urgent decision feedback on Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines (Attachment A)

b) receive the urgent decision feedback for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to the proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (Attachment B)

c) receive the urgent decision feedback on Auckland Council’s submission on the proposed Freshwater Package (Attachment C)

d) receive the urgent decision on Minor Amendments to Road Naming Approvals
e) receive the urgent decision to provide commentary on the dedication of 26R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai, as legal road (Attachment E)

f) receive the urgent decision to hold a business meeting on Tuesday 3 December 2019 at 9.30 am in the local board chambers, 82 Manukau Road, Pukekohe (Attachment F)

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision feedback on Auckland Council's draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment's proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision feedback for inclusion in Auckland Council's to the proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the proposed Freshwater Package</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision on Minor Amendments to Road Naming Approvals</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision to provide commentary on the dedication of 46R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai as legal road</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>FLB urgent decision to hold a 3 December 2019 business meeting</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
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</table>
Authorisation Memo

11 September 2019

To: Nina Siers, Relationship Manager Franklin & Howick Local Boards
From: Vileeza Naidoo, Advisor Franklin Local Board

Urgent Decision Franklin Local Board – Feedback on the Auckland Council draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.

Purpose
1. The purpose of this memo is to seek authorisation from the local board relationship manager to use the urgent decision-making process.

Authority for the urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 24 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/202) to:
3. b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
   • Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.

Reason for the urgency
4. The board has expressed interest at its workshop on Tuesday 3 September 2019 to provide feedback for inclusion in or attachment to the Auckland Council submission on the proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines. The product stewardship scheme shifts the main responsibility for recovery, recycling and disposal from local government to private industry, incorporating the costs of disposal into the product price. This is of interest to the board as farming plastics are referred to directly in the proposed scheme guidelines. Their advocacy responds to outcome one: a well-cared for natural environment.

5. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council’s submission is 18 September 2019. This deadline is prior to the next scheduled business meeting (Tuesday 17 September 2019).

Authorisation of the use of the urgent decision-making process

[Signature]

Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Franklin & Howick Local Boards

Date: 11/09/2019
Franklin Local Board urgent decision on feedback for on the Auckland Council draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s proposed priority product stewardship scheme guidelines

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose
1. To seek an urgent decision from the chair and deputy chair to provide formal local board feedback on Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s consultation on proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe

Urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 22 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/1) the following in relation to urgent decision-making:

That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair or any person acting in these roles to make an urgent decision on behalf of the local board.
   b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
      • Confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision.
      • Consideration of advice provided that meets the quality advice standards, including the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision process is appropriate.
      • Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.
      • Joint approval of the decision by the Chair and Deputy Chair, or any person acting in these roles.
      • The urgent decision is reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board for information.
3. The relationship manager has signed off the authorisation memo, authorising the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on Wednesday 11 September 2019.

Te take me whakawawe

Reason for urgency
4. The board has expressed interest at its workshop on 3 September 2019 to provide formal local board feedback on Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s proposed priority product and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.
5. The deadline for providing feedback is Monday 16 September 2019.
6. The board’s next scheduled business meeting is Tuesday 17 September 2019.
7. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing feedback to be considered for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission is prior to the next scheduled business meeting.
Te horopaki

Context

8. The Ministry for the Environment is consulting on proposed priority product and stewardship guidelines. A product stewardship scheme shifts the main responsibility for recovery, recycling and disposal from local government to private industry, incorporating the costs of disposal into the product price.

9. To date, no mandatory product stewardship schemes have been introduced by the government. While voluntary schemes exist for some products, such as soft plastics, glass packaging, agricultural chemicals (and packaging), used oil and paint, they are not available nation-wide. They have also had limited effectiveness in terms of reducing the volumes of these materials sent to landfill.

10. The current system of disposal for products in New Zealand means that the people who design and sell products do not pay for the disposal costs and the environmental harm when their products become waste. In many cases, the direct customers who buy these products also do not bear these costs. Instead, they are largely borne by the wider community and future generations.

11. The Ministry for the Environment’s consultation document proposes a co-design approach, involving industry, community and product stakeholders, to establish regulated product stewardship schemes for priority products.

12. Auckland Council are submitting on this proposal, and on 27 August 2019 all boards were provided with the draft council submission to provide feedback on.

13. Local boards are invited to provide feedback to be considered for the Auckland Council submission.

14. The final deadline for submissions to central government is 4 October 2019.

Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu

Analysis and advice

15. The government has identified the need to introduce a productive stewardship scheme for the first time in New Zealand.

16. A product stewardship scheme shifts the main responsibility for recovery, recycling and disposal from local government to private industry, incorporating the costs of disposal into the product.

17. Product stewardship schemes create a situation where value is placed on items even when they are at the end of their usable life. Because of this value items are less likely to be illegally dumped or to become litter, which has a positive impact on local communities.

18. The Ministry for the Environment’s consultation document proposes a co-design approach, involving industry, community and product stakeholders, to establish regulated product stewardship schemes for priority products.

19. As part of this proposal six priority products have been selected by the Ministry for the Environment for declaration as suitable for product stewardship schemes. The six priority products proposed are:

- Tyres
- Electrical and electronic products
- Agrichemicals and their containers
- Refrigerants and other synthetic greenhouse gases
- Farm plastics
- Packaging
20. Auckland Council’s draft submission supports the 6 priority products listed in the proposal and suggests the inclusion of two additional product categories:
   - Commercial sources of plastic packaging
   - Paper and cardboard packaging
21. At a direction setting workshop on 3 September 2019 the Franklin Local Board discussed the proposal and council’s draft submission. The Franklin Local Board supported council’s draft submission. However, the board believed the phrase ‘farm plastics’ should be removed from the proposal, as it unfairly targets one commercial source of plastics.

**Ngā mahi ā-muri**

**Next steps**

22. If the recommendations are adopted the next steps are:
   a) for the recommendations to be forwarded as feedback to Lucy Hawcroft, Principal Advisor, for incorporation into or attachment to the Auckland Council submission.
   b) to report to the next business meeting for information the associated authorisation memo and this urgent decision.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) support Auckland Council’s draft submission, and in particular its recommendation that commercial sources of plastic packaging be included as a priority product
   b) recommend the removal of the phrase ‘farm plastics’ from the proposal, as it unfairly targets one commercial source of plastics.

**Ohiatanga**

**Approval**

The chair and deputy chair acting under delegated authority (FR/2016/2) confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

**Authorised for release:**

____________________________  12/09/2019

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board  Date
Signatories

Angela Fulljames
Chair, Franklin Local Board

Andrew Baker
Deputy Chair, Franklin Local Board

13/09/2019
Date

16/09/2019
Date
Authorisation Memo

29 August 2019

To: Nina Siers, Relationship Manager Franklin & Howick Local Boards

From: Georgina Gilmour, Senior Adviser Franklin Local Board

Urgent Decision Franklin Local Board – Feedback for inclusion in Auckland Council submission on Proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land

Purpose
1. The purpose of this memo is to seek authorisation from the local board relationship manager to use the urgent decision-making process.

Authority for the urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 24 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/202) to:
3. b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
   - Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.

Reason for the urgency
4. The board has expressed interest at its workshop on Tuesday 27 August 2019 to provide feedback for inclusion in or attachment to the Auckland Council submission on the Proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land. Protection of elite soils unique to the Pukekohe area is an issue of local significance and aligns with local economic development and growth management strategic outcomes i.e. Franklin Local Board Plan outcomes 2 and 4.

5. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council’s submission is 12 September 2019. This deadline is prior to the next scheduled business meeting (Tuesday 17 September 2019).

Authorisation of the use of the urgent decision-making process

[Signature]

Date: 29/08/19

Nina Siers

Relationship Manager, Franklin & Howick Local Boards
Franklin Local Board urgent decision on feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the Proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose
1. To seek an urgent decision from the chair and deputy chair to provide formal local board feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the Proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (Proposed NPS).

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe
Urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 22 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/1) the following in relation to urgent decision-making:

That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair or any person acting in these roles to make an urgent decision on behalf of the local board.
   b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
      • Confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision.
      • Consideration of advice provided that meets the quality advice standards, including the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision process is appropriate.
      • Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.
      • Joint approval of the decision by the Chair and Deputy Chair, or any person acting in these roles.
      • The urgent decision is reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board for information.

3. The relationship manager has signed off the authorisation memo, authorising the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on Thursday 29 August 2019.

Te take me whakawawe
Reason for urgency
4. The board has expressed interest at its workshop on 27 August 2019 to provide formal local board feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the Proposed NPS.

5. The deadline for providing feedback is Thursday 12 September 2019.

6. The board’s next scheduled business meeting is Tuesday 17 September 2019.

7. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing feedback to be considered for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission is prior to the next scheduled business meeting.

Te horopaki
Context
8. The Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) and the Ministry for the Environment have released a discussion document on national direction for protecting Highly Productive Land, including proposed wording for a National Policy Statement (NPS). The need for the national direction
has arisen from concerns over the loss of New Zealand’s elite soils through urban encroachment and rural lifestyle development.

9. On 21 August 2019 all local boards were notified that Auckland Council is proposing to make a submission on the Proposed NPS.

10. The Franklin Local Board subsequently requested a workshop from staff developing the submission on the basis that protection of elite soils in the Pukekohe area is of significant local interest noting that a number of communities are experiencing and anticipating significant local development.

11. The board support the protection of elite soils and have advocated for national direction on this issue, which is aligned with current local board plan outcomes developed in consultation with communities within the Franklin Local Board area;

   **Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively**

   **Objective: Well-planned growth areas**

   **Initiative: Plan for growth in the right places, centred on local and town centres, to protect productive soil used for local agriculture and horticulture.**

12. Local boards are invited to provide feedback to be considered for the Auckland Council submission.

13. The proposed council submission, including local board feedback, will be considered and workshopped by the Planning Committee in mid-September. The final submission will be signed off by delegated councillors (resolution GB/2019/75) by 7 October 2019.


**Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

15. The government has identified the loss of New Zealand’s elite soils through urban encroachment and rural lifestyle development as a matter of national importance, and is most notably an issue in Pukekohe where highly productive land has been, and is being, urbanised.

16. The proposed NPS will direct councils to protect Highly Productive Land from inappropriate subdivision use and development and maintain their availability for primary production.

17. Highly Productive Land will need to be defined by councils for their regions. In the interim, the NPS will use the Land Use Classification (LUC) system classes 1-3 as a ‘placeholder’ for Highly Productive Land.

18. The proposed wording of the NPS states that Highly Productive Land does not include existing urban areas or areas zoned Future Urban in a District Plan.

19. In Auckland, the Future Urban Zone’s have already excluded highly productive land for the next 30 years of planned urban expansion (into the Future Urban zone).

20. The NPS direction will not therefore generate changes to the existing framework, however will inform any challenges to the the planning framework e.g. plan change applications.

21. The board considered the advice at a workshop on 27 August 2019 and provided their feedback to ensure the rural perspective was adequately represented, and agreed that they would formalise their position through this urgent decision.
Ngā mahi ā-muri

Next steps

22. If the recommendations are adopted the next steps are:
   
a) for the recommendations to be forwarded as feedback to Ryan Bradley, Principal Planner for incorporation into or attachment to the Auckland Council submission.
   
b) to report to the next business meeting for information the associated authorisation memo and this urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:
   
a) support a NPS on Highly Productive Land as the best tool to protect highly productive land
b) suggest a review mechanism is established, as farming and land use may change over time
c) recognise the NPS is solely focused on protecting primary production in soil; and suggest a complimentary legislation that acknowledges the 'right to farm', which is similar to recent legislation passed in New South Wales, Australia.

Ohiatangata
Approval

The chair and deputy chair acting under delegated authority (FR/2016/2) confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

Authorised for release:

[Signature]

05/09/2019

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board

Date

Signatories

[Signature]

06/09/2019

Angela Fulljames
Chair, Franklin Local Board

Date

06/09/2019
Andrew Baker
Deputy Chair, Franklin Local Board
Franklin Local Board urgent decision on feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the proposed Essential Freshwater Package

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose
1. To seek an urgent decision from the chair and deputy chair to provide formal local board feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on central government’s proposed Freshwater package.

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe
Urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 22 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/1) the following in relation to urgent decision-making:

   That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair or any person acting in these roles to make an urgent decision on behalf of the local board.
   b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
      • Confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision.
      • Consideration of advice provided that meets the quality advice standards, including the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision process is appropriate.
      • Authority by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.
      • Joint approval of the decision by the Chair and Deputy Chair, or any person acting in these roles.
      • The urgent decision is reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board for information.

3. The relationship manager has approved the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on Monday 21 October 2019.

Te take me whakawawe
Reason for urgency
4. The board expressed interest through an email on 18 October 2019 to provide formal local board feedback for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission on the proposed Essential Freshwater Package.

5. The deadline for providing feedback is Monday 21 October 2019.

6. The board’s next scheduled business meeting is Tuesday 26 November 2019.

7. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing feedback to be considered for inclusion in the Auckland Council submission is prior to the next scheduled business meeting.

Te horopaki
Context
8. Central government have publicly released their discussion document entitled Action for Healthy Waterways, which is a key outcome of the Essential Freshwater work programme.

9. The Essential Freshwater Package covers three instruments:
- National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management (NPS FM)
- National Environmental Standards on Freshwater Management (NES FM)
- 3 Water Review.

10. The Essential Freshwater package will work with other initiatives from central government including a National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land and a National Policy Statement for Urban Development.

11. On 19 September 2019 there was a Planning Committee workshop, attended by the Franklin Local Board Chair, where the three national directions were discussed.

12. Local boards are responsible for decision making on local issues, activities and services. They are also responsible for providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.

13. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Central government’s proposed Essential Freshwater package has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives in the 2017 Franklin Local Board Plan:

   - Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment - Enhance, protect and maintain our diverse natural environment and make sure it's able to be enjoyed.
   - Outcome 2: A thriving local economy - Franklin has a strong economy and attracts people to live, work locally and visit its attractions.
   - Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively - Make full use of existing outdoor space and community facilities before developing new.

14. Local boards are invited to provide feedback to be considered for the Auckland Council submission.

15. The final council submission, including local board feedback, will be signed off by delegated councillors by 21 October 2019.

**Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

16. The discussion document places significant emphases on improving freshwater outcomes through regulatory and non-regulatory actions. The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management is the primary regulatory instrument being reviewed, with the introduction of other measures through a new National Environmental Standard for Freshwater Management.

17. Proposals include greater emphasis on Te Mana o te Wai, ecosystem health attributes, and reducing the effects of rural activities.

18. The discussion document has a focus on improving farming practices through introducing new regulations for rural land use.

19. Rural land use is particularly important in Franklin, which contains significant commercial vegetable growing areas and pastoral systems.

20. The board considered the information and provided their feedback to ensure the rural perspective was adequately represented, and agreed that they would formalise their position through this urgent decision.
Ngā mahi ā-muri

Next steps
21. If the recommendations are adopted the next steps are:
   a) for the recommendations to be forwarded as feedback to Dave Allen, Manager Natural Environment Strategy, for incorporation into or attachment to the Auckland Council submission.
   b) to report to the next business meeting for information the associated authorisation memo and this urgent decision.

Ngā tūtuhunga

Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) support Auckland Council’s submission to the Ministry for the Environment’s Essential Freshwater – Action for Healthy Waterways discussion document
   b) suggest adding the word ‘agriculture’ to line 215 e), so that it reads ‘reliance on Overseer, which is inappropriate to measure contaminants for horticulture and agriculture’
   c) suggest a consistent approach across all sectors when setting compliance timeframes. For example, the discussion document sets a timeframe for compliance for the agriculture sector, and therefore wastewater operators should be set a timeframe for compliance too.

Ohiatanga

Approval

The chair and deputy chair acting under delegated authority (FR/2016/2) confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

Authorised for release:

[Signature] 21/10/2019
Signed by Carol McKenzie-Rex
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board Date

Signatories

[Signature] 21/10/2019
Angela Fulljames Date
Chair, Franklin Local Board
Andrew Baker
Deputy Chair, Franklin Local Board

21/10/2019
Authorisation Memo

To: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Relationship Manager Franklin & Howick Local Boards
From: Vilecea Naidoo, Advisor to the Franklin Local Board.

Urgent Decision Franklin Local Board – Minor Amendments to Road Naming Approvals

Purpose
1. The purpose of this memo is to seek authorisation from the local board relationship manager to use the urgent decision-making process.

Authority for the urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 24 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/202) to:
3. b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
   • Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.

Reason for the urgency
4. There are minor amendments requested for two road naming approvals in the Franklin Local Board area. Approval for these amendments are required because the process of getting new titles for the subdivision has been delayed.
5. The board resolved at its meeting on 17 September 2019 to approve a road name in Clevedon as ‘Rossburn Lane’. However, council has since been advised that the spelling should be ‘Rossbern Lane’.
6. Additionally, the board resolved at its meeting on 2 May 2017 to approve 14 new road names in a Special Housing Development in Paerata Rise, Pukekohe. Road 12 was approved as ‘Hiwi Tauroa Road’. Since then, the layout of the Road 12 is in a different position in the subdivision. There is a proposed amendment to change the location of Road 12, but maintain the road name ‘Hiwi Tauroa Road’.

A decision is required by 30th October, and the next business meeting is not scheduled until 26th November 2019. Therefore, the board will need to provide formal commentary via urgent decision to facilitate the process.

Authorisation of the use of the urgent decision-making process

Carol McKenzie-Rex
Relationship Mana

Date 29/10/2019
Franklin Local Board urgent decision on Minor Amendments to Road Naming Approvals

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose
1. To seek urgent approval to two minor amendments to previously approved road names in the subdivisions of:
   - 102 – 110 McNicol Road, Clevedon, and
   - Wesley Town (Phase 1), Paerata Rise accessed from Paerata Road, Pukekohe.

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe
Urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 17 September 2019, the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2019/152) the following in relation to temporary arrangements for urgent decision-making during the election period:

   That the Franklin Local Board:

   a) utilise the board’s existing urgent decision-making process between the final local board business meeting and the commencement of the term of office of new local board members
   b) note that from the commencement of the term of office of new local board members until the inaugural meeting of the incoming local board, urgent decision-making will be undertaken by the Chief Executive under existing delegations
   c) approve that, as a temporary measure, can make business as usual decisions under their existing delegated authority without requiring compliance with the requirement in the current delegation protocols to consult with the nominated portfolio holder (or chair where there is no portfolio holder in place), from 22 October 2019, noting that staff will consult with the chair following the inaugural meeting until new arrangements are made at the first business meeting in the new term
   d) note that existing appointments by the local board to external bodies will cease at the election and new appointments will need to be made by the local board in the new term.

3. The relationship manager has approved the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on Tuesday 29 October 2019.

Te take me whakawawae
Reason for urgency
4. Approval to the amendments is required because the process of getting new titles for the subdivision is being delayed.
5. The deadline for providing feedback is Wednesday 30 October 2019.
6. The board’s next scheduled business meeting is Tuesday 26 November 2019.
7. An urgent decision is required because the deadline for providing approval is prior to the next scheduled business meeting.

Te horopaki
Context
102 – 110 McNichol Road, Clevedon
8. At its meeting on 17 September 2019, the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2019/1) to approve a new road name in the subdivision of 102 – 110 McNichol Road, Clevedon by
Roscommon Properties Limited. The road name approved was Rossburn Lane.

9. On 30 September 2019 council was notified by the consent holders agent (Hollier Greig Limited) that the spelling provided by them for the road name was incorrect, and the spelling should be Rossbern Lane.

10. The consent holder would prefer that the spelling Rossbern is used. This name still references the Ross family, who are well known local identities that owned and farmed this property and a large block of land surrounding it, before it was later subdivided. The spelling now also directly references Bernie Ross of the Ross family.

Wesley Town, Paerata Rise, Pukekohe

11. At its meeting on 2 May 2017 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2017/56) to approve fourteen new road names in the subdivision of Stages One, Two and Three of the Wesley Special Housing development with main access from Paerata Road, Pukekohe. Road 12 was approved as ‘Hiwi Tauroa Road’ in respect of Edward Te Rangihiwinui (Hiwi Tauroa).

12. Since that time, the roading layout of the subdivision has changed and Road 12 is now located in a different position within the Wesley Town subdivision (refer attachments).

13. The consent holder would prefer Hiwi Tauroa Road to be used in its new location within the subdivision at Wesley Town, Paerata Rise, Pukekohe.

Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu

Analysis and advice

14. The reasoning given to the Franklin Local Board for the name Rossburn and its spelling was provided by the consent holders agent (Hollier Greig Limited) as shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rossburn Lane (approved)</td>
<td>This name links back to the Ross family who are well known local identities that owned and farmed this property and a large block of land surrounding it before it was later subdivided. The Ross farm was named ‘Rossburn’ with ‘burn’ being a term for a watercourse and referring to the various streams that are a feature in the local topography.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The consent holders agent has provided the following reasons for changing the spelling of the name to Rossbern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rossbern Lane (proposed change)</td>
<td>This name still references the Ross family who are well known local identities that owned and farmed this property and a large block of land surrounding it before it was later subdivided. The spelling now also directly references Bernie Ross of the Ross family. Bernie Ross passed away at least 15 years ago.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. The proposed amendment to the Wesley Town, Paerata Rise, Pukekohe road naming approval will change the location of Road 12, but not the choice of road name ‘Hiwi Tauroa Road’.
Ngā mahi ā-muri

Next steps

16. If the recommendations are adopted the next steps are:
   a) for the recommendations to be forwarded to Lesley Wood, Sudvision Advisor-Resource Consents.
   b) to report to the next business meeting for information, the associated authorisation memo and this urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) approve ‘Rossbern Lane’ for the correct spelling of the new road name in the subdivision at 102 – 110 McNicol Road, Clevedon in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.

b) approve ‘Hiwi Tauroa Road’ in its new location within the subdivision at Wesley Town, Paerata Rise, Pukekohe in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are two attachments for this report relating to the amended road location within the Wesley Town subdivision, Paerata Rise, Pukekohe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment One</th>
<th>Initial Road Naming Plan. Ref: (25000-01-SK-701)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attachment Two</td>
<td>Updated Road Naming Plan. Ref: (25000-01-SK-702)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ohiatanga
Approval

The chair, acting under delegated authority (FR/2019/152), confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

Authorised for release:

Signed by Carol McKenzie-Rex
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board

Date
Signatories

Andrew Baker
Chair, Franklin Local Board

Date 30/10/2019

Attachment One - Initial Roading Layout plan
Showing the proposed location of Road 12 as presented to Franklin Local Board meeting on 2 May 2017

Attachment Two - Updated Road naming Plan
Shows the new location of Road 12 ('Hiwi Tauroa Road') and the previous location.
Authorisation Memo

To: Nina Siers, Relationship Manager Franklin & Howick Local Boards
From: Georgina Gilmour, Senior Adviser to the Franklin Local Board.

12 September 2019

Urgent Decision Franklin Local Board – dedication as legal road 46R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai

Purpose
1. The purpose of this memo is to seek authorisation from the local board relationship manager to use the urgent decision-making process.

Authority for the urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 24 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/202) to:
3. b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:
   • Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.

Reason for the urgency
4. The property at 46R Carlton Crescent was one of several reserves created on the early subdivision of the land at Maraetai in 1926 specifically for the purpose of future road development.
5. The owner of 1 Maraetai Coast Road is currently in the process of preparing an application for a subdivision consent, that will require access via 46R Carlton Crescent. This is the only point where physical and legal access may be gained to the site.

The property at 46R Carlton Crescent is held under the Reserves Act 1977 and Section 111 of the Reserves Act provides for land that was vested in the council for the purposes of a road reserve to be dedicated as a road by council resolution. That resolution is passed by a committee of the governing body. The Environment and Community Committee resolved (ENV/2019/156) as follows:

That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) approve the dedication as legal road pursuant to Section 111 of the Reserves Act 1977, the road reserve situated at 46R Carlton Crescent being Lot 2 Deposited Plan 19097 upon approval being granted by Auckland Transport to accept the road into the Transport Network, this resolution becoming effective after consultation with the Franklin Local Board at a meeting to be held in September 2019 (and upon there being no issues consequential to the dedication that cannot be resolved).

b) note that prior to the dedication as a legal road, an occupation licence will be granted to the developer of the adjoining property (to the east) for the purpose of undertaking earthworks and construction of the road.

As the 17 September Franklin Local Board meeting agenda was published at the time of the resolution and noting that this is the last meeting of the term, the board will need to provide formal commentary via urgent decision to facilitate the process.
Initial discussion with the board chair has indicated that the board are comfortable with the proposal, however it is likely that the board will take the opportunity to provide more general commentary on sub-division access provisions in providing their endorsement.

Authorisation of the use of the urgent decision-making process

[Signature]

12/09/2019

Nina Siers

Date

Relationship Manager, Franklin & Howick Local Boards
Franklin Local Board urgent decision to provide commentary on the dedication of 46R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai as legal road

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose

1. To seek an approval from the chair and deputy chair for Franklin Local Board commentary on the dedication of 46R Carlton Crescent, Maraetai as legal road.

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe

Urgent decision-making process

2. At its meeting on 22 November 2016 the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2016/1) the following in relation to urgent decision-making:

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair or any person acting in these roles to make an urgent decision on behalf of the local board.

b) adopt the following urgent decision process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum:

- Confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision.
- Consideration of advice provided that meets the quality advice standards, including the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision process is appropriate.
- Authorisation by the Relationship Manager to commence the process.
- Joint approval of the decision by the Chair and Deputy Chair, or any person acting in these roles.
- The urgent decision is reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board for information.

3. The relationship manager has signed off the authorisation memo, authorising the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on 12 September 2019.

Te take me whakawawe

Reason for urgency

4. The property at 46R Carlton Crescent is held under the Reserves Act 1977 and Section 111 of the Reserves Act provides for land that was vested in the council for the purposes of a road reserve to be dedicated as a road by council resolution. That resolution is passed by a committee of the governing body. The Environment and Community Committee resolved (ENV/2019/156) as follows:

That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) approve the dedication as legal road pursuant to Section 111 of the Reserves Act 1977, the road reserve situated at 46R Carlton Crescent being Lot 2 Deposited Plan 19097 upon approval being granted by Auckland Transport to accept the road into the Transport Network, this resolution becoming effective after consultation with the Franklin Local Board at a meeting to be held in September 2019 (and upon there being no issues consequential to the dedication that cannot be resolved).

5. The board’s next scheduled business meeting, and the last meeting of the current term is Tuesday 17 September 2019. The agenda for this meeting closed on Tuesday 6 September and was published Wednesday 11 September. The board will not have an opportunity to consider this matter as a board before the end of this term.
6. The response to Environment and Community Committee resolution ENV/2019/156 is required outside of the business meeting schedule to facilitate an outcome for the applicant and representation of local views within the current term.

**Te horopaki**

**Context**

7. The property at 46R Carlton Crescent was one of several reserves created on the early subdivision of the land at Maraetai in 1926 specifically for the purpose of future road development.

8. The owner of 1 Maraetai Coast Road is currently in the process of preparing an application for a subdivision consent, that will require access via 46R Carlton Crescent. This is the only point where physical and legal access may be gained to the site.

9. The property at 46R Carlton Crescent is held under the Reserves Act 1977 and Section 111 of the Reserves Act provides for land that was vested in the council for the purposes of a road reserve to be dedicated as a road by council resolution. That resolution is passed by a committee of the governing body.

10. The Environment and Community Committee resolved approval for the dedication on 10 September contingent on consultation with the Franklin Local Board in September 2019, and resolution of any issues identified by the board as a consequence of the dedication.

**Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

11. A full analysis of this request is provided through the report to the Environment and Community Committee attached (Attachment A Report ECC Dedication of Road Reserve 46R Carlton Cres, Maraetai).

12. The Franklin Local Board Plan 2017 outcome 3: “An improved transport system” responds to the challenges of increased growth and changes in road use. Volume of road users at Maraetai are referenced within the plan (Page 25).

13. The dedication of the road reserve will enable the subdivision of 83 lots in Maraetai to proceed, providing additional housing for the Auckland region.

14. The decision to dedicate the reserve as a road is allocated to Auckland Council under the Reserves Act 1977.

15. The Environment and Community Committee approved the request on behalf of Auckland Council contingent on board commentary.

16. The request is in keeping with the Auckland Unitary Plan and is in accordance with the classification of the reserve.

17. The dedication will not proceed immediately but only after the developer has completed its obligations under the subdivision consent, which will be considered by Auckland Council (the governing body) in the context of unitary plan provisions as part of its specific statutory decision-making responsibilities.

18. The Franklin Local Board’s role is therefore restricted to local advocacy on projects and proposals (such as these). Board feedback on the proposal is therefore as follows;
i. Support the Environment and Community Committee in their approval to dedicate land that was vested in the council for the purposes of a road reserve to be dedicated as a road by council resolution.

ii. Request that design and consenting of this new subdivision carefully consider road widths so they accommodate emergency services and on-road parking.

iii. Indicate concern on the impact on the Carlton Crescent junction with Rewa Road and request that the safety concerns (previously raised by the board with Auckland Transport) be addressed. One measure may be to restrict the way that motorists can turn.

iv. Suggest that a sub-division of this size will have significant impact on the local roading network. An additional access point and other impacts should be considered throughout the resource consent process.

v. Note that the Franklin Local Board wish to be consulted on the sub-division resource consent.

Ngā mahi ā-muri

Next steps
19. If the Franklin Local Board commentary is approved by the Chair and Deputy Chair the next steps are:

a) urgent decision will be sent to the Stakeholder & Land Advisory department for consideration and response.

b) report this urgent decision at the next business meeting for information.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendations
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) Support the Environment and Community Committee in their approval to dedicate land that was vested in the council for the purposes of a road reserve to be dedicated as a road by council resolution.

b) Request that design and consenting of this new subdivision carefully consider road widths so they accommodate emergency services and on-road parking.

c) Indicate concern on the impact on the Carlton Crescent junction with Rewa Road and request that the safety concerns (previously raised by the board with Auckland Transport) be addressed. One measure may be to restrict the way that motorists can turn.

d) Suggest that a sub-division of this size will have significant impact on the local roading network. An additional access point and other impacts should be considered throughout the resource consent process.

e) Note that the Franklin Local Board wish to be consulted on the sub-division resource consent.
### Attachment E

#### Item 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Report ECC Dedication of Road Reserve 46R Carlton Cres Maraetai</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ohiatanga Approval

The chair and deputy chair acting under delegated authority (FR/2016/2) confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

**Angela Fulljames**
Chair, Franklin Local Board

17/9/19

**Andrew Baker**
Deputy Chair, Franklin Local Board

17/9/19
Franklin Local Board urgent decision to hold a Franklin Local Board business meeting 3 December 2019

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose
1. To seek urgent approval to hold a Franklin Local Board meeting on Tuesday 3 December 2019.

Te tikanga whakatau-kaupapa wawe
Urgent decision-making process
2. At its meeting on 17 September 2019, the Franklin Local Board resolved (FR/2019/152) the following in relation to temporary arrangements for urgent decision-making during the election period:

   That the Franklin Local Board:

   a) utilise the board’s existing urgent decision-making process between the final local board business meeting and the commencement of the term of office of new local board members

   b) note that from the commencement of the term of office of new local board members until the inaugural meeting of the incoming local board, urgent decision-making will be undertaken by the Chief Executive under existing delegations

   c) approve that staff, as a temporary measure, can make business as usual decisions under their existing delegated authority without requiring compliance with the requirement in the current delegation protocols to consult with the nominated portfolio holder (or chair where there is no portfolio holder in place), from 22 October 2019, noting that staff will consult with the chair following the inaugural meeting until new arrangements are made at the first business meeting in the new term

   d) note that existing appointments by the local board to external bodies will cease at the election and new appointments will need to be made by the local board in the new term.

3. The Relationship Manager has approved the use of the urgent decision-making process on this matter on Wednesday 6 November 2019.

Te take me whakawawe
Reason for urgency
4. The Franklin Local board is required to formally approve Annual Plan consultation material within the period 3-5 December 2019. This is so that the Governing Body can consider it as part of the regional annual planning approach. The Governing Body will consider the annual planning approach at its final meeting of the year, 11 December 2019.

5. Local Board approvals are made at local board business meetings. All meetings scheduled for the following month must be publicly notified not more than 14 days and not less than five days before the end of every month, together with the relevant dates, times and places of the meetings. [s 46(1)&(2), LGOIMA 1987]

6. The local board will not have the opportunity to approve the scheduling of a December business meeting until 26th November 2019, which is within the 14 day notification period.

7. The board will need to approve the scheduling of 3 December business meeting via urgent decision to meet their obligations under s 46(1)&(2), LGOIMA 1987 and to enable local annual planning consultation materials to be considered by the Governing Body.
Te horopaki

Context
8. Auckland Council develops a draft annual plan which sets out budget priorities for public consultation annually.
10. All 21 local boards then draft local consultation content, which outlines local budget priorities, which, following public consultation, will inform the local board agreement. The Local Board Agreement is an appendix to the Annual Plan.
11. The Governing Body is responsible for approving the Annual Plan development approach and adopting the final plan.
12. Approval of the Annual Planning approach will take place on 11 December 2019, to allow preparations for public consultation to progress.
13. The first business meeting of the Franklin Local Board will take place on 26 November 2019; however draft materials will not be available for approval in time for this meeting.
14. In order to be considered at the Governing Body meeting, local boards will need to meet and approve consultation materials between 3-5 December 2019.

Tātaritanga me nga tohutohu

Analysis and advice
15. If the Franklin Local Board approves a business meeting by urgent decision, the meeting can be publicly notified within statutory timeframe, and the board will be able to consider and approval local consultation content in time for this to be considered by the Governing Body.
16. If the Franklin Local Board waits to resolve a December business meeting at its 26 November 2019 business meeting (along with the business meeting schedule for the electoral term), staff will be unable to facilitate public notification within the timeframes set out in the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (s 46(1)&(2), LGOIMA 1987).

Ngā mahi ā-muri

Next steps
17. If this urgent decision is approved, next steps are as follows:
   a) a Franklin Local Board business meeting will be publicly notified as taking place on 3 December 2019, at 82 Manukau Road Pukekohe
   b) to report retrospectively to the next business meeting (26 November 2019) for information, this urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
a) approve 3 December 2019 as the second business meeting of the Franklin Local Board, 2019-2022 term.

**Ohiaotanga Approval**

The chair, acting under delegated authority (FR/2019/152), confirm they have made this urgent decision of behalf of the Franklin Local Board.

**Authorised for release:**

Signed by Carol McKenzie-Rex  
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board  
Date

**Signatories**

Andrew Baker  
Chair, Franklin Local Board  
Date
Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar

File No.: CP2019/18922

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To consider the monthly update of the Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report provides an update on the Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar. A schedule of key decisions that will come before the board at business meetings over the next year is attached (Attachment A).
3. The calendar aims to support the local board’s governance role by:
   i) Ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   ii) Clarifying what advice is required and when
   iii) Clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar will be regularly updated to ensure that formal reporting milestones for new projects are added to the schedule. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a less formal but more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
5. At its business meeting on 6 June 2017, Franklin Local Board resolved that the governance forward work calendar would be reported monthly to enable greater public transparency on forthcoming local board key decision timescales (Resolution number FR/2017/82).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) note the November 2019 update of the Franklin governance forward work calendar (Attachment A to the report entitled ‘Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar’).

Horopaki
Context
6. The governance forward work calendar brings together reporting on all of Franklin Local Board’s projects and activities previously approved in the local board plan, long-term plan, departmental work programmes and through other board decisions. It includes governing body policies and initiatives that call for a local board response. Inclusion on a formal business meeting agenda will allow greater transparency for the public.
7. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
8. The forward work calendar is arranged in three columns: ‘Topic’, ‘Purpose’ and ‘Governance Role’:
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
10. This report is an information report providing the governance forward work programme for the next six months.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
11. This report is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The report is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the report’s implementation.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
12. This is an information only report, receiving the report has no impacts on council.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
13. All local boards have been receiving governance forward work calendars on their business meeting agendas. This will support more effective management of the local board’s governance work.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
14. The projects and processes referred to in the governance forward work calendar will have a range of implications for Māori which will be considered when the work is reported.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
15. There are no financial implications relating to this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
16. This report is a point in time of the governance forward work calendar. It is a living document and updated month to month. It minimises the risk of the board being unaware of planned topics for their consideration.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
17. Staff will review the calendar each month and will report an updated calendar to the board.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>26 November 2019 Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraordinary</td>
<td>Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>February/March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>March/April</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board workshop records
File No.: CP2019/19268

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Franklin Local Board workshop record for workshops held on 12 November 2019

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Workshop records for the Franklin Local Board are attached for 12 November 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
 a) receive the Franklin Local Board workshop record for 12 November 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>12 November 2019 Franklin Local Board workshop record</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager for Franklin and Howick Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe Service Centre on 12 November 2019, commencing at 9.30am.

**PRESENT**
- **Chairperson:** Andrew Baker
- **Members:** Angela Fulljamess, Alan Cole, Sharlene Druyven (to 1.47pm), Amanda Kinzett, Matthew Murphy, Lance Gedge, Logan Soole
- **Apologies:** Malcolm Bell
- **Also present:** Carol McKenzie-Rex, Relationship Manager Georgina Gilmour
- **Senior Advisor:** Denise Gunn
- **Democracy Advisor:** Coral Timmins
- **Strategic Broker:** Vilecea Naidoo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induction sessions: Obligations to Maori, iwi relationships</td>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>The board reviewed a video and discussed relationships in the Franklin Local Board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans and Places introduction Update on the Waiuku Scoping Study</td>
<td>Induction and keeping informed</td>
<td>An overview of Plans and Places activity in the Franklin area was provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A verbal summary of the Waiuku Scoping study was provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction: Appointments to external organisations</td>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>The board reviewed appointments to external organisations, prior to a report coming to the business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Planning workshop 1 – overview of local board work programmes and local board agreement process</td>
<td>Setting direction/priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board reviewed the work programme as grouped by local board outcomes, and provided some initial feedback on proposals presented. The Local Board Agreement process was reviewed. Further details will be discussed in the January workshop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.54 pm