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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its inaugural meeting, held on Tuesday, 29 October 2019, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:
“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board an update on local activities that the Governing Body representative is involved with.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Opportunity for the Governing Body representative to update the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on projects, meetings, events and issues of interest to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board and its community.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
a) receive the Governing Body Member's update.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Tracey Freeman - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Puketapapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Chairperson's Report**

*File No.: CP2019/20071*

---

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. To keep the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board informed on the local activities that the Chairperson is involved with.

**Whakarāpopototanga matua**

**Executive summary**

2. Providing the Chairperson with an opportunity to update the local board on the projects and issues they have been involved with since the last meeting.

---

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) receive the Chairperson’s report.

---

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Chair's Report November-December 2019</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Ngā kaihaina**
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<th>Tracey Freeman - Democracy Advisor</th>
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<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Puketapapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chair Chris Makoare, Board Member Report

021 0206 2990
Chris.Makoare@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

OCTOBER–NOVEMBER 2019

Your Local Board – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Area

(Top Left) – N Henry, D Allan, P McLachlan, T Woodcock (Bottom Left) – M Meredith, C Makoare (Chair), D Burrows (Deputy Chair)

Roles assigned by the local board
- TBC at 3 December Business Meeting

General / assigned roles update
- TBC at 3 December Business Meeting

Meetings / Events Attended: October / November
22 October – MTLB Workshop
29 October – MTLB Workshop
29 October – MTLB Induction Meeting
1 November - Auckland Council Governing Body Inaugural Meeting
4 November - Panama Road School Assembly – Visit Students
5 November - Chair/Deputy Chair/RM/SLB catch up
5 November - MTLB Workshop
6 November - Tāmaki Regeneration Company masterplan for Tāmaki
7 November - Waikaraka Park – meeting with officers
8 November - Eastview Reserve Activation – Glen Innes
Maungakiekie Tāmaki Local Board
Business Meeting – 3rd December 2019

11 November - Chair/ Deputy Chair/ Senior Local Board Advisor/Local Board Advisor/Strategic Broker catch up
11 November - Local Boards Chairs' Forum
12 November - MTLB Workshop
18 November - Chairing at Auckland Council for Chairs
18 November - Citizenship Ceremony - Panmure
19 November - MTLB Workshop
21 November - Waikaraka Park site visit with Mana Whenua / LB
21 November - OMB cycleway walkover - Onehunga Harbour Road Site
21 November - Whiti te Rā Exhibition Event Invitation – Te Oro
22 November - Old Mangere Bridge Replacement Project celebration of construction start
23 November – Onehunga Christmas Parade

Te Mauri o te Matatini

The Green Stone, (the life force) gifted by Ngāi Tahu

Marae all over Auckland were given the opportunity to receive and to have the stone sitting in their space up until Matatini 2021.
A ceremony was held at Ruapotaka Marae on Tuesday 19 November to receive the Te Mauri o te Matatini for Ruapotaka Marae hosting their local schools Kapa Haka event – Te Kotuku festival on Saturday 23 November.
Citizenship Ceremony – Councillor Bartley and I welcomed around 100 new citizens on Monday 18 November.

Site visit to the Onehunga site of the new Māngere walking and cycling bridge where a new temporary path is being installed to allow truck movement to the new building site.
The Old Māngere Bridge

The local board is excited that the new bridge will not only reconnect the Onehunga and Māngere communities but also provide an important link to the walking and cycling network of Auckland.

The Old Māngere Bridge, which is more than a hundred years old, was closed for public safety reasons in November 2018. The new bridge will reflect a much-loved and long-standing community asset while creating an iconic new landmark for the area.

The stunning, architecturally designed new bridge will have plenty of space for walking, running, cycling and fishing. The deck will be 8-metres wide with two fishing bays extending that to 12-metres and bench seating for people to sit and enjoy the harbour. The new bridge will also provide increased clearance underneath and space between the piers for waka, canoes and small watercrafts to travel into the Māngere Inlet.

Recommendation

That this report be received.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To keep the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board informed on the local activities that the local board members are involved with.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Providing board members with an opportunity to update the local board on the projects and issues they have been involved with since the last meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
a) receive the board members report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Deputy Chair Debbie Burrows Report November-December 2019</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Tracey Freeman - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Puketapapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Debbie Burrows, Deputy Chair, Board Member Report

Roles assigned by the local board
- TBC at December Business Meeting

General / assigned roles update
- TBC at December Business Meeting

Meetings / events attended
- Tuesday 15th October – first LB Workshop – new term
- Monday 21st October – equipment distribution & training
- Tuesday 22nd October – LB Workshop
- Tuesday 29th October – LB workshop
- Tuesday 29th October – Inauguration
- Tuesday 5th November – LB workshop
- Thursday 7th November – meeting with Julie Pickering re: Waikaraka Park
- Monday 18th November – Mt Wellington Residents Association Meeting
- Tuesday 19th November – LB Workshop
- Thursday 21st November – meeting with Mana Whenua at Waikaraka Park
- Saturday 23rd November – Onehunga Christmas Parade
- Monday 25th November – Crown Infrastructure meeting hosted by Panuku
- Tuesday 26th November – LB Workshop
- Thursday 28th November – Funding advice at Oranga Community Centre
- Friday 29th November – Onehunga Wharf stakeholder meeting hosted by Panuku
- Friday 29th November – Onehunga Christmas Lights
- Tuesday 3rd December – LB Business Meeting

Conferences / member development
- Thursday 14th November – Maori Rangatahi Conference with Professor Meihana Durie

Disclosures
- N/A

Recommendation
That this report be received.
Local board governance work management for the 2019-2022 triennium

File No.: CP2019/19114

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To outline the options for efficiently and effectively managing the governance work of the local board for 2019-2022 triennium.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the end of each triennium the Local Board Services (LBS) department delivers a review of local board work practices, including the organisational support they require and how well they support the boards in their governance role. The 2016-2019 triennium review gathered feedback from local board members, and staff from LBS and other council departments and Council-controlled Organisations (CCOs).
3. In response to the review, this report outlines a recommended approach for local boards to manage their governance workload as follows:
   - maintain a key focus on annual work programmes and their implementation through quarterly reporting and regular workshops with the whole local board, with decisions made at business meetings
   - appoint nominated local board members who will be consulted on landowner consents and events, and who will provide feedback on liquor licences and resource consents
   - appoint nominated local board members to external organisations.
4. These practices support the local board to undertake their governance role in an efficient and effective way, reflect the priority work of the local board and help the organisation focus its resources. Some of these practices require a decision of the local board, such as specific appointments of local board members, and separate reports cover these recommendations and associated advice.
5. Local boards are also able to identify topic area leads who would act as a champion with the local board on specific topic areas. Leads would focus on work programme activities/projects within their topic areas and understanding relevant community needs and preferences enabling other members to focus their time on other parts of the board’s workload.
6. The review feedback suggests the following advantages for having a full board involved in direction-setting discussions on issues, rather than identifying topic area leads:
   - staff are confident that the direction is the view of the whole board rather than one member
   - knowledge and information is retained by the full local board rather than one member
   - discussions with staff are less likely to enter into management or operational level detail
   - it avoids inefficient duplication, when conversations are held between staff and a lead, and then repeated with the full local board.
7. The feedback from the review highlighted that if a board does appoint topic area leads, the risks should be mitigated by providing a clear scope for that role and ensuring it does not lead to inefficiency or adversely affect staff receiving clear direction from the full local board.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) endorse the following approach to effectively and efficiently manage the governance work of the local board for the 2019-2022 triennium:
   i) maintain a key focus on annual work programmes and their implementation through quarterly reporting and regular workshops with the whole local board, with decisions made at business meetings
   ii) appoint nominated local board members who will be consulted on landowner consents and events, and who will provide feedback on liquor licences and resource consents
   iii) appoint nominated local board members to external organisations.

Horopaki
Context
8. The governance role of an elected member is to:
   • set direction and policy
   • set priorities
   • make significant decisions
   • test advice
   • monitor performance and risk
   • connect with and represent the community
   • be accountable to the public.

9. At the end of each triennium the Local Board Services (LBS) department undertakes a review of the work practices of, and organisational support provided for, local boards and how this supports them in their governance role. Previous reviews have noted the progress the organisation has made in supporting the governance role of local boards over the past nine years. Improved support and delivery from the organisation have enabled local board members' time to be used in a more effective and efficient manner as the governance model has matured.

10. During the 2016-2019 triennium review, feedback was gathered from local board members and staff from LBS and other council departments and council-controlled organisations (CCOs) who work with local boards.

11. Key themes from local board members related to having topic area leads. Both positives and negatives were identified.

12. Key themes from staff were that clear direction is given from the full local board and local board members operate at the governance level. Staff identified both positive and negatives aspects of having topic area leads.

13. The findings from the review have informed the content of this report.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Work practices supporting the governance role of local boards (recommended approach)

14. There are established work practices in place which support the governance role of local boards as follows:

- Local boards adopt an annual work programme each June for implementation by the council organisation in the next financial year (July-June). Local boards maintain a key focus on these annual work programmes and their implementation through quarterly reporting and regular workshops with the whole local board, with decisions made at business meetings.

- Local boards appoint a nominated local board member who will be consulted on landowner consents and events by staff carrying out their delegations. Local boards can also appoint a nominated local board member to provide feedback and attend hearings on liquor licences and notified resource consents to ensure that local board views are taken into account in these timebound processes. These appointments are made via a separate report.

- Local boards appoint nominated local board members to external organisations (via separate report) to exercise their role in the external organisation as per the relevant constitution on behalf of the local board.

15. Together these practices constitute the recommended approach for managing the governance work of the local board for the 2019-2022 triennium, reflect the priority work of the local board and are the focus of the organisation’s staff and resources.

16. This approach allows all members to have an overview and collective understanding of work programme matters, and for the whole local board to be able to provide direction to staff and track performance and delivery throughout the financial year. It also enables collective discussions that utilise individual member’s skills and knowledge and ensures elected member and staff time are used effectively and efficiently.

17. Transparency to the public is ensured by local board decisions occurring through the formal business meeting process with associated standing orders.

Optional addition: Topic area leads (not recommended)

18. An optional addition to the recommended approach is that the local board identifies topic area leads. Leads would:

- act as a champion for the topic area in full local board conversations
- focus on work programme activities / projects within their topic area
- maintain relationships with key stakeholders
- understand relevant community needs and preferences.

19. Leads may also:

- be appointed as the nominated local board member to provide feedback on behalf of the board on relevant matters (e.g. landowner consents) and appointed to related external organisations
- undertake learning and development opportunities and attend conferences (using their individual development budget provided as part of the Kura Kāwana development programme) relevant to the topic area
- highlight relevant issues and emerging priorities during local board plan and work programme development
- act as a key contact for community groups and members of the public on the topic area.
20. Topic area leads would enable individual local board members to use existing or build new knowledge and expertise in the topic area and enable other members to focus their time on other parts of the governance workload.

21. Should the local board identify topic area leads, there are the following risks to consider:
   - a member may provide direction or views which do not reflect those of the full local board
   - staff may seek direction from a topic area lead instead of the full local board, or seek direction from a topic area lead prior to the full local board, resulting in duplication of work
   - key knowledge and information on a topic may be retained with the topic area lead and not shared with the whole local board
   - a topic area lead may enter into discussions at the management or operational level if meeting regularly with staff without a clear governance purpose for the discussion.

22. These risks can be addressed by:
   - using the workshop process as the mechanism for all local board members to receive updates and provide governance direction on approved work programme projects
   - clarifying the limited resources available to any topic area lead.

23. Staff resourcing is focussed on work programme development and delivery, along with advice to support workshops and business meetings. Topic area leads can be supported by staff to undertake the following responsibilities:
   - when issues arise at a full board workshop, the lead can be directed to meet with staff on that issue and explore solutions; staff would report back to the full board for direction, and the lead can assist with explanation and support during that discussion
   - develop local board feedback on regional policies, plans and strategies relevant to the topic area, for full local board approval
   - respond to constituent enquiries relevant to the topic area
   - report back to the local board at workshops, and publicly via board member reports at business meetings, on the activities undertaken as the topic area lead.

24. If a local board does want to appoint topic area leads, it may wish to consider identifying alternates. The role of the alternate would be to support the topic area lead in their responsibilities and undertake any roles the lead has been formally appointed by the whole board when the lead is unavailable. Having an alternate means that the information, knowledge, skills and workload can be shared by more than one member, but it could also lead to confusion between the two roles where the alternate acts as a co-lead.

25. If a local board’s preference is to appoint topic area leads, this will require a local board decision via a resolution to this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

26. This report is procedural in nature so does not have direct climate impacts. However, a key focus for the council in the current term will be how it responds to the climate emergency and this may be a consideration for how local boards manage their governance work.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

27. Feedback was gathered from staff from the LBS department, and other departments and CCOs who work with local boards, about practices to manage the local board governance work through the 2016-2019 triennium review.

28. The practices used by a local board to manage their governance work can impact on the efficiency of staff engagement with members. Some variation in practices is required to reflect local differences, but overall large differences in work practices is challenging and consistency is beneficial.
29. In light of this, Local Board Services has provided consistent advice and recommendations on work practices to all local boards to consider when making decisions on how they will manage their governance work for the 2016-2019 triennium.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

30. Feedback was gathered from local board members about practices to manage local board governance work through the 2016-2019 triennium review. This included: a workshop attended by 13 local board members from 10 local boards; and a survey to all members, with responses provided by 29 members, from 13 local boards.

31. The practices used by a local board to manage their governance work can impact efficiency and effectiveness of engagement with communities and the opportunities that members have to provide local leadership beyond the formal decision-making process.

32. The topic of managing the governance work of the local board was discussed at a workshop on 22 October and 5 November 2019, as part of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board induction programme for the 2019-2022 triennium. The local board supported the findings of the local board work practices review and gave direction to investigate the option of board project leads.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

33. This decision is procedural in nature so does not have immediate impacts on Māori.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

34. This decision is procedural in nature so does not have any financial implications.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

35. The risks and mitigations of having topic area leads are outlined in the ‘Analysis and Advice’ section of this report.

36. Risks relating to any specific decision required for the work practices that form the recommended approach are outlined in the respective separate reports relating to those decisions.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

37. Staff from the Local Board Services department will work with staff from other departments and CCOs to ensure the practices of the local board are implemented.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Roles and responsibilities of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board leads for the 2019-2022 triennium

Local board leads are appointed for significant projects and programmes that are progressing over multiple financial years, involves various stakeholders and require a high-level of relationship management, monitoring and oversight from the local board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role/responsibilities of a lead</th>
<th>Role/responsibilities of the local board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• the go-to person for staff to sense check recommended options that staff are intending to present to the local board for direction/decision</td>
<td>• provide direction at workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• confirming the local boards intention</td>
<td>• make formal decisions at business meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• responding to constituents</td>
<td>• steer questions about the project to the local board lead to respond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• represent local board in the community for issues related to the project area.</td>
<td>• discuss and ask questions on the project during board member only time at workshops and during business meetings when leads provide their board member report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• keeping the local board informed of meetings they have attended and updates they have received (during board member only time at workshops)</td>
<td>• to collate the information discussed in board member only time for the chair to discuss any action points with staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• keeping the community informed of meetings they have attended and any updates on the project (through board member reports at business meetings)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local board appointments and delegations for the 2019-2022 electoral term

File No.: CP2019/19115

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To recommend that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board appoints a local board member to:
   - be the nominated local board member for landowner consents (including affected party approvals)
   - be the nominated local board member for film applications
   - be the nominated local board member for events
   - provide formal reports on liquor licence applications and attendance at hearings
   - provide formal views on whether a resource consent should proceed as a non-notified, limited notified or fully notified application
   - provide formal views (feedback) on notified resource consents and attend the council hearings.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. In order to enable effective and efficient decision-making, the council delegates some responsibilities to staff or individual elected members. This report seeks to appoint nominated local board members who will be consulted on landowner consents and events, and who will provide feedback on liquor licences and resource consents.

3. If local boards choose not to appoint a nominated board member for landowner consents staff will consult with the local board chairperson, as outlined in the Local Board Delegation Protocols.

4. District Licensing Committees consider, and grant or renew applications for liquor licences and manager’s certificates. These applications are publicly notified and local boards can provide views on an application to the District Licensing Committee. A delegation to a nominated local board member is recommended to allow local boards to provide formal views as part of the liquor licensing process.

5. Local boards can provide feedback on whether resource consent applications should be publicly notified. Local boards can also provide written feedback once the applications are notified and can subsequently speak to their feedback to support their views at the council hearing. A delegation to a nominated local board member is recommended

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) appoint Member Maria Meredith and Chairperson Chris Makoare, as an alternate, the nominated local board member for landowner consents (excluding landowner consents for filming) and authorise them to:
   i) be the point of consultation for staff on all applications for landowner consent and, at their discretion, refer any application for landowner consent to the local board for a local board decision, and
   ii) to be the point of consultation for staff on proposed asset renewal works and,
at their discretion, refer any proposed asset renewal works to the local board for a local board decision

iii) receive staff notifications of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

b) appoint Member Peter McGlashan and Member Maria Meredith as an alternate, the nominated local board member for landowner consents for filming and authorises them to:
   i) to be the point of consultation with staff on all applications for landowner consent for filming and, at their discretion, refer any applications for landowner consent for filming to the local board for a local board decision
   ii) receive notifications from staff of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

c) appoint Member Maria Meredith and Chairperson Chris Makoare, as an alternate, the nominated local board member for events and authorises them to receive staff notifications of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk.

d) delegate to the Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, as an alternate, the authority to prepare and provide local board views and speak to those local board views at any hearings on applications for liquor licences.

e) delegate to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Member Maria Meredith, as an alternate, the authority to provide the local board views on whether a resource consent should proceed as a non-notified, limited notified or fully notified application.

f) delegate to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Member Maria Meredith, as an alternate, the authority to prepare and provide local board views and speak those local board views at any hearings on notified resource consents.

Horopaki

Context

Background
6. Decision-making within Auckland Council is shared between the Governing Body and local boards. Local boards have made a general delegation to the Chief Executive of all of their responsibilities, duties and powers subject to the exclusions, restrictions and clarifications set out in the Chief Executive’s Delegations Register. The Chief Executive has in turn delegated those responsibilities, duties and powers to staff. The exercise of those responsibilities, duties and powers is subject to a set of delegation protocols. These protocols provide a set of expectations and directions to staff and require a number of actions that are relevant to all local activities. These delegations help Auckland Council to operate efficiently and effectively.

7. In some cases, delegations are given to individual local board members, usually due to short timeframes constrained by operational requirements, customer expectations and deadlines set by statute. Having a delegation in place to one local board member helps to ensure that council can continue to undertake its normal business practices without undue delays.

8. Local boards have allocated responsibility for decision-making with respect to local parks and have delegated landowner consent decisions to staff subject to a number of delegation protocols. The delegation protocols require that the nominated local board member is consulted on every landowner consent. Landowner consents encompass a broad range of activities, including affected party approvals, filming and events. Local boards also are able to provide their formal views in a report at liquor licence hearings.
9. Under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 the Governing Body must consider any views and preferences expressed by a local board, where a Governing Body decision affects or may affect the responsibilities or operation of the local board or the well-being of communities within its local board area. Local boards’ ability to provide local views can be affected because of statutory timeframes or external agency deadlines. Delegating authority for providing local board views to individual members provides local boards the opportunity to give local views within prescribed timeframes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Landowner consents
10. Under Auckland Council’s Combined Chief Executive Delegations Register, council staff are delegated authority to approve landowner consents on behalf of local boards. This delegation is subject to the Local Board Delegations Protocols. These protocols require that before exercising their delegations, staff must consult with a nominated local board member for landowner consents. If required, by the nominated local board member, the staff member must refer the landowner consent decision to a local board business meeting for a decision.

11. It is therefore recommended that the local board appoint a nominated local board member for landowner consents to enable staff to exercise their delegation.

Landowner consents for filming
12. Screen Auckland (Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development) processes requests for filming in the Auckland Region, and seeks landowner consent from local boards. Over 600 permits are granted each year, with the largest number of permits being granted in Waitematā, Wāitakere Ranges and Rodney Local Board areas.

13. Screen Auckland must process the applications within three to five working days, and therefore require feedback from local boards within two working days. These timeframes are short because filming activities often have a fast turnaround for productions from concept to delivery. To keep filming in Auckland, in a competitive international market, film crews often have to work within short timeframes.

14. Due to the extremely short timeframes for film applications, where local boards have a large number of filming applications, it may be beneficial for this subset of landowner consents to be referred to a different nominated local board member, to manage workloads.

Events
15. Under the Local Board Delegations Protocols staff must consult with and obtain the views of the nominated local board member on:
   - applications to hold events on council-owned land in the local board area that require regulatory approval and involve one or more of the following matters:
     - complete or substantial closure of the public open space
     - more than 500 people
     - road closure
     - liquor
     - ticketed event.
   - Any regulatory decision to set fees and charges for holding local events on council-owned local parks and reserve (and refer the matter to the local board to obtain local board views and input where required by the delegated local board member).
   - Staff are also required to notify the nominated local board member of:
     - areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk
     - decisions to approve events on council owned land in the local board area.
16. The appointment of a nominated local board member for events is therefore recommended to enable staff to exercise their delegation.

17. Under the Local Board Delegations Protocols landowner consent is also required for all event proposals on local parks. To avoid double-handling of applications, it is recommended that the local board member nominated for events is the same as that local board member nominated for landowner consents.

**Formal submissions at liquor licence hearings**

18. District Licensing Committees consider, and grant or renew applications for liquor licences and manager’s certificates. When a business applies for an on-licence, off-licence, or club licence, new or renewed, they are publicly notified. On 25 September 2014, the Governing Body (GB/2014/103) agreed to a process where local boards can provide views on an application in a report to the District Licensing Committee. If the District Licensing Committee considers that the local board’s report has raised issues that it needs to hear more about, it can call a hearing and invite the local board to appear and talk to its report and respond to questions as a witness.

19. Once the public notice has been posted online, the local board has 15 working days to provide their report to council.

20. This report recommends a delegation to a nominated local board member to allow local boards to provide formal views as part of the liquor licensing process.

**Notified resource consents**

21. Local boards can provide feedback, within the statutory timeframes, on whether resource consent applications should be publicly notified. This was resolved by the Governing Body on 28 July 2011 (GB/2011/156). Resource consent planners email the planning lead copies of applications that meet the triggers set by the local boards (last reviewed in 2017). The planning leads have three working days to provide comment on the matter of whether the application should be publicly notified or limited notified to particular persons who may be adversely affected by the proposal. Where comments are provided, these are included verbatim as part of the reporting planner’s notification report to the decision-maker.

22. Local boards can also provide written feedback once resource consent applications have been notified. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). Local boards can subsequently speak to their feedback to support their views at any hearing.

23. This report recommends a planning lead for each local board to provide the local board’s formal views on whether or not resource consents should be notified or limited notified and to provide written feedback on notified applications and speak on the local board’s behalf at the council hearing.

**Options considered**

24. Options available for local boards to input into landowner consents, events, planning processes and liquor licences have been summarised in Tables 1 and 2.

25. It is recommended that local boards select both a nominated local board member and an alternate. The alternate is available to act when the nominated local board member is unable to act (e.g., leave of absence, illness) and has agreed (via written communication) that the alternate take the role of nominated local board member for a specified time period.

26. We recommend that local boards appoint one nominated local board member (and alternate). Appointing more than one nominated local board member increases administration for staff and can create unnecessary confusion where local board members provide differing views to staff.
Nominated local board members under the Local Board Delegations Protocol

27. The preferred option is that a nominated local board member is appointed for landowner consents and events (option two in Table 1). This option is preferred because it aligns with council’s existing delegations and local board delegation protocols and allows for council to undertake core business in a timely manner. There is reputational risk to council if it is unable to administer landowner consents in a timely manner.

Table 1: Options for local boards to address requirement for nominated local board members under the Local Board Delegations Protocol for landowner consents and events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. There are no nominated local board members and staff must consult with the local board chairperson as a primary point of contact | • The local board chairperson will become the subject matter expert for the local board on landowner approvals and events  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that better meets organisational deadlines | • The local board chairperson’s work-load will be increased  
• Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions are not made at a public meeting |
| 2. Nominated local board members appointed for landowner consents and events (preferred option) | • The nominated local board member will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are nominated for  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that better meets organisational deadlines | • Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions made under delegation are not made at a public meeting |

Notified applications (resource consents and liquor licences)

28. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings (option two in Table 2). Because local board reporting timeframes do not usually align with process and statutory timeframes outlined above, in most instances reporting at a business meeting will not be a viable option. Providing a delegation to one local board member and one alternate (option three in Table 2) is considered the most efficient way of providing formal views for the matters discussed in this report.

Table 2: Options for local boards to provide their formal views on notification of resource consents and liquor licences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. No formal local board views are provided                            | • All local board members contribute to the local board view  
• Provides transparent decision making                                   | • Local board views will not be considered by the hearing commissioners |
| 2. Formal local board views are provided at a business meeting         | • Nominated local board member will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are nominated for  
• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines  
• Any feedback can be reported back to the local board                 | • Decisions are not made by the full local board  
• Decisions made under delegation are not made at a public meeting (decisions are made public once submitted via the planning process) |
Tāuākī whakaawean āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
29. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decisions.

Ngā whakaawean me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
30. This report recommends the appointment of nominated local board members to ensure that council can undertake its operational and statutory duties in a timely manner, while receiving local board input and decision-making in matters that are of local importance.

Ngā whakaawean ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
31. This report seeks to appoint nominated board members to perform particular functions.
32. Any local board member who is appointed as a nominated board member should ensure that they represent the wider local board views and preferences on each matter before them.

Tāuākī whakaawean Māori
Māori impact statement
33. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have a positive or negative impact for Māori.

Ngā ritinga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
34. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
35. If local boards choose not to appoint a nominated board member for landowner consents (including film applications) and events, staff will need to seek feedback from the chairperson. This could potentially lead to a busy workload for the local board chairperson, in addition to their existing duties.
36. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide views on notified applications, there is a risk that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to submission closing dates and miss the opportunity to have their feedback presented and heard at a hearing.
37. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide their views on liquor licences, there is a risk that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to closings dates for submissions not coinciding with political meetings.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
38. Nominated local board members providing feedback on landowner consents and events will engage with staff acting in accordance with the Local Board Delegation Protocols.
40. Nominated local board members (and alternates) who are delegated to provide reports and speak at District Licensing Committee Hearings should sign-up to receive alcohol notices. This will ensure that they hear about new applications as soon as they are open for comment.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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Appointment of local board members to external community organisations

File No.: CP2019/19116

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To appoint local board members to external community organisations relevant to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Elected members participate as representatives of the local board on a number of external community and national organisations.

3. The beginning of the new electoral term generates the need for new appointments. This report provides details of the external organisations relevant to the local board and requests that the local board nominates a lead and alternate member to represent the board on those external organisations for the 2019-2022 triennium.

4. In addition, there are a small number of appointments which, due to legislation or the terms in a deed are the responsibility of the Governing Body, but because the relationship between the council and the organisation is local, the Governing Body has delegated its responsibility to nominate an elected member to the relevant local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) appoint the following board members to the external community groups and organisations listed below for the 2019-2022 triennium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panmure Business Association</td>
<td>Nerissa Henry</td>
<td>Peter McGlashan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes Business Association</td>
<td>Peter McGlashan</td>
<td>Maria Meredith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onehunga Business Association</td>
<td>Tony Woodcock</td>
<td>Debbie Burrows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Advice Bureau Onehunga</td>
<td>Don Allan</td>
<td>Debbie Burrows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Advice Bureau Sylvia Park, Panmure-Ellerslie and Glen Inness</td>
<td>Maria Meredith</td>
<td>Chris Makoare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Chair</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Deputy Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panmure Basin Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Maria Meredith</td>
<td>Tony Woodcock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Māngere Bridge Replacement Project Reference Group</td>
<td>Debbie Burrows</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) nominate a local board member to the Mutukaroa Trust as a council representative.

**Horopaki Context**

5. A number of external organisations provide for the formal participation of Auckland Council elected members in their affairs. Elected member appointees will have a variety of duties and liabilities depending on the individual organisation.

6. At the commencement of each triennium, the Governing Body and local boards make appointments to external organisations.

7. As local board representatives, the nominated members represent the board, and do not attend in a personal capacity. Nominated local board members will provide updates at local board meetings to regularly inform all local board members of discussions and decisions made of their activities, unless good reasons exist for confidentiality. These updates are in the form of business meeting reports which maintain public transparency.

8. The reasons for elected member participation in external organisations can be described in a number of ways:
   - a trust deed, that requires Auckland Council to make an appointment to an organisation
   - an organisation of interest to the local board is inviting elected member representation at its meetings
   - associations entered into by the council which provide for elected member representation
   - organisation governance, or project or programme oversight, such as regional or local parks management groups
   - a statutory or regulatory provision (for example a regulation providing for a community liaison committee) or
   - a resource consent requiring the formation of a committee or hearing panel.

9. In making decisions about these appointments, it is suggested that local boards are mindful of:
   - the elected member’s availability
   - any conflict of interests, including whether the local board provides funding to the entity
   - relevance
   - historical relationship with the organisation and Auckland Council.

10. Members are delegated in their capacity as elected local board members. Should they no longer be a local board member, their nominations would be automatically repealed.

11. Local board members may be part of any organisation in their private capacity and personal interests. They are encouraged to disclose memberships of external organisations in the conflict of interest register.

**Relevant external organisations**

12. The details of the organisations relevant to the local board are detailed below.
Glen Innes Business Association, Onehunga Business Association and Panmure Business Association

13. Business Improvement District (BID) Partnership Programmes are local economic development initiatives run by a business association in partnership with the council, supported by a designated targeted rate.

14. The local board has a day-to-day relationship with the business associations as a joint partner in the BID Partnership Programme. The local board will work with the business associations to align the direction for the BID programme and local priorities expressed in the Local Board Plan. The local board will receive regular reporting on the BID Partnership Programme and review progress against objectives.

15. The business association may invite the appointed member onto the BID Governance Board or Executive Committee. The discretion on whether this member has voting rights will lie with the business association under the rules of their constitution.

16. The previous local board representatives and alternates are listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panmure Business Association</td>
<td>Nerissa Henry</td>
<td>Alan Verrall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes Business Association</td>
<td>Chris Makoare</td>
<td>Nerissa Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onehunga Business Association</td>
<td>Debbie Burrows</td>
<td>Don Allan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. It is recommended that the local board appoints a local board member and an alternate to each business association to represent the local board regarding all matters relating to the business association.

Glen Innes CAB, Onehunga CAB, Panmure-Ellerslie CAB, Sylvia Park CAB

18. Each Citizen Advice Bureau (CAB) is an incorporated not for profit organisation, operated by local community volunteers. Each bureau has a Management Board of elected volunteers who plan, govern and promote their CAB.

19. The local board members’ role would be as a point of contact for the CAB to liaise with the local board.

20. The previous local board representatives and alternates are listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Advice Bureau Onehunga</td>
<td>Don Allan</td>
<td>Bernie Diver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Advice Bureau Sylvia Park, Panmure-Ellerslie and Glen Innes</td>
<td>Nerissa Henry</td>
<td>Chris Makoare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. The CAB managers meet with local board representative on a quarterly basis.

22. The local board is asked to appoint one member (and an alternate) as the board liaison to the CAB in Onehunga and appoint one member (and an alternate) as the board liaison to the CABs in Sylvia Park, Panmure-Ellerslie and Glen Innes.

Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group

23. Under Designation 1100 of the Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in part, the Auckland International Airport Limited is required to maintain the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group. The purpose of the group is to consider, and where appropriate, make
recommendations to Auckland International Airport Limited on aircraft noise and concerns that arise from the operations and activities at the airport.

24. Membership of the group comprise an Auckland Council Governing Body representative, 12 local board representatives, and representatives from industry, Mana Whenua, the community, Airways, Board of Airline Representatives of New Zealand and Auckland International Airport Limited.

25. One local board member is selected from each of the following local boards: Mangere-Ōtāhuhu, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Manurewa, Howick, Franklin, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Albert-Eden, Puketāpapa, Whau, Orākei, Wāitakere Ranges, and Papakura.

26. The meetings are held every three months. The next meeting occurs on 9 December 2019, 1-3pm, Pavilion Roam III, Sudima Hotel, 18 Airport Drive, Auckland Airport.

Panmure Basin Advisory Committee

27. The Panmure Basin Advisory Committee work with council to address the health and upkeep of the Panmure Basin. This aligns with outcome two in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan 2017, ‘Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment’.

28. The previous local board representative was Maria Meredith.

29. Meetings are held every two months at the Waipuna Hotel and Conference Centre. The next meeting has not been set.

Old Māngere Bridge Replacement Project Reference Group

30. In the early phases of the project (from 2012), the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) used a reference group of key stakeholders to assist with the development of a new bridge; and coupled with community consultation to help ensure that the views of everyone involved in the development of the bridge, and the end users, would be represented.

31. Now that the construction phase is about to begin the NZTA have re-convened the group with a slightly altered membership to recognise additional stakeholders who can contribute to the project.


33. Meetings are held monthly until construction, then every three months until the opening of the new Māngere Bridge. The next meeting will be at the end of January/start of February 2020.

Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum

34. The Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum (TEEF) is a collaboration between local boards, environmental groups and community representatives.

35. The forum is co-chaired by one community representative and one local board representative.

36. One local board member is selected from each of the following local boards: Mangere-Ōtāhuhu, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Howick, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Orākei. Each of these local board areas boarder the Tāmaki Estuary and are invested in the wellbeing of the Tāmaki Estuary.

37. The previous Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board representative was Nerissa Henry.

38. The meetings are held every two months. The next meeting occurs on 12 December 2019, at the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board office, 7-13 Pilkington Road, Panmure.
Tāmaki College Community Recreation Centre Trust
39. The Tāmaki College Community Recreation Centre is owned by Tāmaki College Board of Trustees and managed by the Tāmaki College Community Recreation Trust Board
40. Council entered into agreement with the Trust to provide up to $100,000 per annum which provides community access to the facility and the activities run at the centre for a reasonable fee. As part of the agreement, the Trust provides accountability reports to council for the funding received and a representative from council is appointed to attend their meetings.
41. The agreement is set to expire in 2022.
42. Following from the previous electoral term, the Governing Body have delegated to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board the appointment of a member to the trust to represent council.
43. The previous local board representative was Chris Makoare.
44. The next meeting of the trust has not been set.

Mutukaroa (Hamlins Hill) Management Trust
45. The Mutukaroa (Hamlins Hill) Management Trust (trust) was set up by trust deed in 1996 by the Crown to make decisions on that part of Mutukaroa which is owned by the Crown and leased by the trust to Auckland Council as a regional park. The trust is set up to support and contribute to the management and protection of the park.
46. The trust deed provides for six trustees two of whom are to be nominated by Auckland Council. Three other trustees are nominated by the Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Trust, Ngāti Paoa Trust Board and Waikato Taipahi Incorporated. The Maungakiekie Member of Parliament is automatically deemed to be a trustee.
47. In 2018 the Governing Body nominated Councillor Josephine Bartley (GB/2018/38) to the trust. Councillor Bartley was then formally appointed on 26 March 2018. This appointment stands for five years (or more) depending on when a threshold for change is met (which includes a trustee being appointed by reason of their office and they no longer hold that office). As Councillor Bartley has retained her position on the Governing Body, following the 2019 local government elections she also retains her seat on the trust.
48. The other Auckland Council position was held by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Member Alan Verrall. As Member Verrall didn’t stand in the local government elections he is deemed to have vacated his role in accordance with the trust deed.
49. In keeping with past practice and the co-governance make up of Auckland Council the Governing Body have invited the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board to nominate a member to the trust to represent council alongside Councillor Josephine Bartley.
50. The next meeting of the trust has not been set.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
51. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decisions.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
52. This report enables Auckland Council to meet its requirements or duties to have representation on external community organisations.
Item 16

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**
Local impacts and local board views

53. This report seeks the local board's decision on representatives to external community organisations relevant to the local board area.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**
Māori impact statement

54. This report has no specific impact on Māori. It covers appointments of local board members to external organisations and community networks to represent the view of local communities, including Māori communities.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**
Financial implications

55. There are no financial implications as a result of this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**
Risks and mitigations

56. Local board members may be part of any organisation in their private capacity and personal interests. They are encouraged to disclose memberships of external organisations in the conflict of interest register.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**
Next steps

57. Local Board Services staff will inform each external organisation of the name of the local board appointment. They will also inform the local board representative of the meeting time, date and location.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Stewart - Senior Policy Advisor</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketapapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process for appointment of Local Government New Zealand National Council representative

File No.: CP2019/19118

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve the process for making the local board representative appointment to the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) National Council and inform elected members of changes to the LGNZ rules.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) amended its rules at its Annual General Meeting on 7 July 2019 and these were confirmed at a meeting of the LGNZ National Council in September. There are some key changes affecting Auckland.

3. There are now three dedicated seats on the LGNZ National Council for Auckland Council representatives. These will be filled by the Mayor of Auckland (or his alternate) and representatives to be appointed by local boards and the Governing Body. The LGNZ rules require these appointments to be made within eight weeks of the triennial local government elections.

4. This report outlines a process to appoint the local boards representative. Nominations will be open to all local board elected members and this can be done in mid-end November. Local boards are being asked to delegate authority to select the representative by nominating one of its members, preferably the chairperson, to be part of a local board selection panel. This process will enable the representative to be appointed as quickly as possible.

5. The LGNZ rules now exclude Auckland from LGNZ Zone 1. Although not officially a member of an LGNZ zone group, the expectation is that Auckland Council schedules regular meetings with the president and chief executive (or their representatives) of LGNZ and organise itself as if it were a zone group. These meetings could be co-chaired by the councillor and local board member who are appointed to the LGNZ National Council.

6. Other arrangements such as the sector-based groups remain unchanged. Auckland Council is eligible to be a member of the Metropolitan and Regional Groups and the Governing Body will be asked to select representatives to these groups.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the amended Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) rules.

b) endorse Option A (selection panel made up of representatives from each local board) as the process for appointing the local board representative to the LGNZ National Council.

c) delegate to the chairperson to be part of the selection panel to appoint the local board representative to the LGNZ National Council.

d) agree in principle to two annual meetings of Auckland Council and LGNZ (or their representatives) with the arrangements to be decided by the three Auckland Council representatives to the LGNZ National Council and staff.
endorse the proposal that the meetings of the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings be co-chaired by the governing body and local board representatives appointed to the LGNZ National Council.

**Horopaki**

**Context**

**Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ)**

7. LGNZ is an incorporated society (New Zealand Local Government Association Inc) which represents the national interests of councils around New Zealand and leads best practice in the local government sector.

8. The objectives of LGNZ include promoting and advocating matters affecting the national interests of local government. LGNZ holds regular dialogue with government, parliamentarians and government agencies and provides thought leadership and research on matters of interest to local authorities.

9. LGNZ is governed by a national council made up of members elected to represent geographic zones, representatives of various sector groups, Chair of Te Maruata (LGNZ’s national collective of Māori in local government governance roles), the President and three seats reserved for representatives of Auckland Council.

10. The establishment of dedicated Auckland seats were made as part of amendments to the LGNZ Rules agreed at its AGM in July 2019 (available online). The amended rules stipulate that the composition of the National Council will include the Mayor of Auckland (or an alternate) and two further persons: one to represent the Governing Body and one to represent local boards. The appointments are for three years.

11. LGNZ members are organized in zones and sectors generally. These zones and sectors make appointments to the National Council, provide advice on issues affecting their geographical or sector areas and provide information to their members.

12. Auckland Council is no longer a member of any zone group. Due to its size and governance structure, it is expected that the council will organise itself as if it were a zone.

13. The amendments did not change arrangements for sector groups. Auckland Council remains eligible to be a member of the Metro Sector Group and the Regional Sector Groups. The Governing Body usually appoints Auckland Council’s representatives to these groups and will be asked to do so again.

14. Auckland Council’s benefits from its interactions with LGNZ include keeping abreast of national issues affecting local government, advocating for and influencing local government issues on the national agenda and providing a forum where elected representatives connect and network with their peers from across the country.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Appointment of local board representative to LGNZ National Council**

15. The appointment of a local board representative will need to be decided by local boards. This is outlined in LGNZ Rule E1A “(b) one person appointed by Auckland Council local boards, from Elected members of the local boards”.

16. The restricted timeframes (eight weeks from start of the term) requires an agile selection process. Staff considered several options and recommend Option A as detailed in the following table:
### Process for selection of local board representative to the LGNZ National Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Details of process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A      | Selection panel made up of representatives from each local board | - Each local board delegates authority to one of their members to be part of a selection panel.  
- The selection panel can be called to meet once all candidates are confirmed and they will agree the voting system to be used.  
- One vote per local board is considered a fair way to select a single representative for all 21 local boards.  
- Members can utilise an existing meeting to get the selection panel together (such as the Chair’s Forum).  
- Process can start in mid-November with a two-week nomination period. |
| B      | Reports to local boards seeking decision/preference (may require urgent decisions) | - This would involve seeking a vote/preference from each local board through a formal report and resolution.  
- The report can only be produced once nominations have closed and the candidates list is available – this will delay the report to early December.  
- Where there is a tie between candidates based on local board votes, staff will need to be delegated authority to resolve the candidate by lot or go back to local boards for a decision.  
- This process is unlikely to produce an agreed appointment in a timely fashion. |

17. Staff also considered the option of a popular vote of all local board members. This would involve setting up an online voting system, where each local board member would have one vote. However, this option may not comply with the LGNZ Rules which anticipates a selection by local boards rather than by individual members.

18. The recommended Option A will enable a fair process by giving each local board a vote and an opportunity for their representatives to properly consider each nominee. This selection can take place at the planned meeting of the Chairs’ Forum on 9 December 2019 to avoid arranging an additional meeting.

### Nominations for the local board representative

19. The LGNZ anticipates that all local board elected members are eligible to be a candidate for the LGNZ National Council. The nominations process will therefore need to allow self-nominations.

20. To facilitate this process in the timeframes required, staff will call for nominations on Friday 15 November and will allow a two-week period closing on 29 November 2019.

### Auckland Council / LGNZ meetings

21. The role of a zone includes receiving reports from LGNZ about matters of national interest to local authorities and communicating to LGNZ the issues and concerns. The key item of interest at Zone meetings is the national update from LGNZ. The president and chief executive of LGNZ (or their representatives) attend to present the update.

22. Auckland Council could continue to meet with the president and chief executive (or their representatives) of LGNZ on a regular basis. Although not expressly set out in the changes to the LGNZ Rules, there is an understanding that Auckland Council will continue with these meetings in order to ensure an ongoing regional dialogue and continue to identify and advise LGNZ on issues and concerns affecting the Auckland region.
23. Staff recommend these meetings are co-chaired by the councillor and local board member appointed to the LGNZ national council. A co-chair approach recognises the shared governance role of local boards. Following discussions with LGNZ, staff also recommend that the meetings be open to all elected members.

24. The proposed meeting dates for the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings are 13 March 2020 and 11 September 2020.

### Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
#### Climate impact statement

25. These decisions are procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. Staff will look to schedule meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ on days where there are other city-based activities and meetings for elected members in order to minimise travel requirements. Staff will also explore the use of skype and livestreaming so elected members may choose to avoid travel.

26. Regarding engagement with the LGNZ, Auckland Council has declared a climate emergency, along with other councils around the country, so there will be an opportunity for partnership and joint leadership on this issue.

### Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
#### Council group impacts and views

27. Secretariat support for the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings will be provided by the Governance Division.

### Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
#### Local impacts and local board views

28. The changes to the LGNZ Rules and the designated seat on the LGNZ National Council acknowledges the role of local boards and gives it greater recognition in LGNZ.

29. Local board chairs were briefed on anticipated changes at the May 2019 Chairs’ Forum.

30. The amended rules were confirmed at a meeting of the LGNZ National Council in September. Due to the elections and end of term timeframes, staff were unable to seek the views of local boards on the process for appointing a representative.

### Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
#### Māori impact statement

31. These decisions are procedural in nature and do not impact on Māori.

32. At the LGNZ level, the LGNZ has provided for representation on the National Council by the Chair of Te Maruata.

33. Te Maruata is LGNZ National Council sub-committee which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. They provide support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups and provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government.

34. Appointments to Te Maruata are not made by councils. In the previous term Councillor Alf Filipaina was invited to be a member of the sub-committee.

### Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
#### Financial implications

35. Membership of LGNZ incurs a cost to ratepayers. Auckland Council’s annual subscription to LGNZ in 2019/2020 is $340,148 excluding GST.
36. The establishment of Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings will incur expense currently unbudgeted for. Staff from the Governance Division will support the first meeting using existing resources.

37. As the Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings are expected to bring together all elected members from across the region including the islands, this will impact on governance administration budgets over time.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

38. The LGNZ Rules require persons appointed to the LGNZ National Council to assume office within eight weeks of the triennial local government elections. This creates some difficulties in designing a process for all 21 local boards to agree their single representative. The recommended option (Option A) proposed in this report will enable the process to be completed as quickly as possible, on the first working day after the eight week period. The LGNZ secretariat has indicated this would be acceptable.

39. If all local boards do not endorse the same process (Option A), this would affect how quickly the appointment is able to be made.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

40. The Governing Body will be making their appointment in November 2019 including appointment of Auckland Council representatives to the sector groups.

41. Appointments of Auckland Council seats to the LGNZ National Council will be communicated to the LGNZ by 6 December 2019.

42. Staff will work with the appointed representatives of Auckland Council to make arrangements for the first Auckland Council/LGNZ meetings.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Shirley Coutts - Principal Advisor - Governance Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of a business meeting schedule

File No.: CP2019/19119

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To adopt the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board meeting schedule for the 2019-2022 electoral term.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules. In particular, clause 19, Schedule 7 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Sections 46, 46(A) and 47 in Part 7 of LGOIMA require that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting, and that local board meetings are open to the public.

3. Adopting a meeting schedule helps with meeting these requirements. Adopting a business meeting schedule also allows for a planned approach to workloads and ensures that local board members have clarity about their commitments.

4. A draft meeting schedule for the 2019-2022 electoral term has been developed and is included below for adoption by the local board.

5. Commencing the business meeting during business hours will enable meetings to be productive and ensures best use of resources.

6. One business meeting per month is sufficient for formal business to be considered. There are some instances for which the local board may need to have meetings in addition to this schedule. The specific times and dates for meetings for matters such as local board plans and local board agreements are yet to be finalised. Local board meeting schedules may need to be updated once these details are confirmed.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) adopt the meeting schedule outlined below for the 2019-2022 electoral term:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 September</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) agree to commence business meetings at 10am to be held at the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Office, 7-13 Pilkington Road, Panmure. Public forum and deputations will be scheduled in the early part of the business meeting, to enable participation by the public and stakeholders in the democratic process.

c) note the dates and time for meetings for local board plans and local board agreements are yet to be finalized.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Anna Bray - Policy and Planning Manager - Local Boards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Urgent decision-making process
File No.: CP2019/19120

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board's agreement to use the urgent decision-making process when appropriate.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The urgent decision-making process enables the local board to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum. By agreeing to this process, the local board delegates decision-making authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) adopt the urgent decision-making process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.

b) delegate authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board.

c) agree that the relationship manager, chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) will authorise the urgent decision-making process by signing off an authorisation memo.

d) note that all urgent decisions will be reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board.

Horopaki
Context
3. The urgent decision-making process enables the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum. Examples include during the Christmas and New Year period or for providing input to the council’s central government submission process in tight timeframes.

4. By agreeing to this process, the board delegates decision-making authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles during that period.

5. The Local Government Act 2002 provides for local boards to delegate to committees, members of the local board or Auckland Council staff any of its responsibilities and powers, with some specific exceptions (clause 32, Schedule 7). This legislation enables the urgent decision-making process.
6. The urgent decision-making process provides an alternative decision-making mechanism to an extraordinary meeting. An extraordinary meeting is called when an urgent decision is required on matters that cannot wait until the next scheduled business meeting of the local board.

7. Urgent decisions are different from emergency decisions, which are only made if there is a risk to public health and safety.

8. All requests for an urgent decision will be supported by a memo stating the nature of the issue, reason for urgency and the decisions or resolutions sought.

9. The local board relationship manager will use the information in this memo to determine whether or not to authorise the urgent decision-making process.

10. A number of factors will be considered by the relationship manager before approval to use the urgent decision-making process is given, such as:

- the timing of the next scheduled meeting
- confirmation that the local board has the delegation to make the decision
- consideration of the rationale for the urgency
- the significance of the decision and whether the urgent decision-making process is appropriate.

11. Once the relationship manager authorises the use of the urgent decision-making process, the chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) also need to approve the use of the urgent decision-making process by signing the same memo.

12. Once the authorisation memo has been approved, the chair and deputy chair will refer to the substantive report for advice and staff recommendations to inform their decision. This report will meet Auckland Council quality advice standards and adhere to the report authorisation processes.

13. Any decision made using the urgent decision-making process will be reported as an information item to the next ordinary meeting of the local board and the signed approval memo will be attached.

14. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decision.

15. The urgent decision-making process proposed in this report enables the council group to progress urgent decisions efficiently, when it is not practical to call the full local board together.

16. This report outlines the local board urgent decision-making process, and seeks the local board’s agreement to adopt this process.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**
**Māori impact statement**
17. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have specific implications for Māori, and the arrangements proposed in this report do not affect the Māori community differently to the rest of the community.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**
**Financial implications**
18. There are no financial implications arising from the procedural decision sought by this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**
**Risks and mitigations**
19. To mitigate any risk that the urgent decision-making process could be used inappropriately, the relationship manager will assess a number of factors including timing of the next scheduled meeting, the reason for urgency, and significance of the decision. If a matter is of major significance, an extraordinary meeting can be called instead.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**
**Next steps**
20. If the local board adopts the use of the urgent decision-making process, the local board relationship manager and delegated members will execute the urgent decision-making process outlined in this report if the need arises.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**
**Attachments**
There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**
**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Anna Bray - Policy and Planning Manager - Local Boards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation

File No.: CP2019/19603

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve local content and supporting information for consultation as part of the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process, along with a local engagement event.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. For each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement that is agreed between the governing body and the local board, for each local board area.

3. Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation will take place from 21 February 2020 - 22 March 2020. Consultation on the proposed content of each local board agreement, which sets out the priorities for the next financial year, must be included as part of that consultation.

4. This report seeks approval from local boards on the local content and supporting information for consultation. It also seeks approval of the Have Your Say event that will be held in their local board area during the consultation period, to give Aucklanders an opportunity to provide face-to-face feedback.

5. It also seeks approval of their local content and supporting information for consultation. This includes the Glen Innes Business Association’s proposed business improvement district (BID) programme boundary expansion, noting that if the council approves the BID programme boundary expansion the targeted rate will increase from $166,000 to $250,000 as of 1 July 2020.

6. The Governing Body and local boards will approve regional and local items respectively for consultation by 13 December. The regional and local consultation items will then be incorporated into the Annual Budget consultation document and supporting information, which will be adopted by the Governing Body on 12 February 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve Attachment A local content for consultation and Attachment B local supporting information for consultation

b) delegate authority to the local board chair to approve any final changes required to the local content and supporting information for the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board for the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation, including online consultation content.

c) approve, for consultation, the Glen Innes Business Association’s proposed business improvement district (BID) programme boundary expansion, noting that if the council approves the BID programme boundary expansion the targeted rate will increase from $166,000 to $250,000 as of 1 July 2020.

d) approve the following Have Your Say event in the local board area during the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation period:

   i) Community Forum, 25 February, 4-6pm, Onehunga Community Centre

   ii) Topics-based public meeting, 10 March, 6pm-8pm, Te Oro

e) delegate authority to the local board chair to approve any final changes required to
the Have Your Say event.

f) delegate to the following elected members and staff the power and responsibility to hear from the public through “spoken (or New Zealand sign language) interaction” in relation to the local board agreement at the council’s public engagement events during the consultation period for the Annual Budget 2020/2021:

i) local board members and chairperson

ii) General Manager Local Board Services, Local Board Relationship Manager, Local Board Senior Advisor, Local Board Advisor, Local Board Engagement Advisor

iii) any additional staff approved by the General Manager Local Board Services or the Group Chief Financial Officer.

Horopaki

Context

7. For each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement that is agreed between the governing body and the local board, for each local board area.

8. Local board agreements set out (among other things) how the council will, in the year to which the agreement relates, reflect the priorities and preferences in the local board’s plan in respect of the local activities to be provided in the local board area.

9. The proposed content of each local board agreement must be included in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation document.


11. Aucklanders will be able to provide feedback during the consultation process through a variety of channels which include face-to-face (verbal), written and social media.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

12. Local boards held workshops during November to determine their priorities for their 2020/2021 local board agreement. Boards are now requested to approve their local content and supporting information for consultation, as attached in Attachment A and B.

13. During the document production stage if changes required to the local content and supporting information are identified these will be provided to the local board chair to approve.

14. Any new local Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates must be consulted on before they can be implemented. Local boards are therefore also requested to approve any new proposals for consultation.

15. Aucklanders who wish to have their views on the proposed content of the local board agreement and Annual Budget 2020/2021 considered by Auckland Council should be provided a reasonable opportunity to present those views in a manner and format that is appropriate to the preferences and needs of those persons, including face-to-face.

16. The council provides for this through its ‘Have Your Say’ events where Aucklanders can have a face-to-face dialogue with elected members or other council representatives with an appropriate delegation. The Have Your Say event recommended to be held in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area is:
   i. Community Forum, 25 February, 4-6pm, Onehunga Community Centre
   ii. Topics-based public meeting, 10 March, 6pm-8pm, Te Oro
17. The consultation period does not begin for a couple of months. If circumstances change between now and the consultation period requiring any changes to the approved Have Your Say event these will be provided to the local board chair to approve.

18. Should a proposal that would require an amendment to the council’s long-term plan (10-year Budget) be identified during the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process, this would require use of the special consultative procedure. Where an amendment to the 10-year Budget is being consulted on at the same time as consultation on the Annual Budget, the Local Government Act 2002 requires the council to use the special consultative procedure in relation to both matters.

19. The special consultative procedure requires the council to provide an opportunity for Aucklanders to present their views to the council in a manner that enables “spoken (or New Zealand sign language) interaction” between the person and the council’s decision-makers, or their official delegates. The recommended Have Your Say events, along with the recommended delegation, provides for this spoken interaction.

Proposed boundary expansion to Glen Innes Business Association Business Improvement District programme

20. As part of the Auckland Council Business Improvement District (BID) Policy the local board must approve the proposed BID programme boundary expansion.

21. The Glen Innes Business Association (GIBA) is proposing to expand the boundary of the Glen Innes BID programme across two local board boundaries, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Ōrākei. The GIBA will hold a postal ballot of the business ratepayers located in the defined Glen Innes BID expansion area in early 2020.

22. If the council approves the BID programme boundary expansion the Glen Innes Business Association membership will also increase, and the BID targeted rate will increase from $166,000 to $250,000 by 1 July 2020.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

23. The decision to consult is procedural in nature and the small scale of the Have Your Say events mean any climate impacts will be negligible. These decisions are unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decisions.

24. However, where practicable, events proposed will be in locations accessible by public transport, to reduce car travel but also increase the opportunities for attendance.

25. Some of the proposed initiatives or projects included in the consultation content may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any initiatives or projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this consultation, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

26. The Annual Budget 2020/2021 is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level.

27. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
28. Local boards will have further opportunities to provide information and views as the council progresses through the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process.
29. Aucklanders will have the opportunity to give feedback on regional and local proposals contained in the budget. All feedback received from submitters residing in the local board area will be analysed by staff and made available for consideration by the board, prior to finalising their local board agreement.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
30. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate the council’s responsiveness to Māori. Local board plans, which were adopted in September and October of 2017, form the basis for local priorities.
31. The approach to Māori engagement for the Annual Budget 2020/2021 will be finalised once consultation topics are confirmed, including development of bespoke materials subject to interest level of topics and confirmation of budget.
32. Regionally supported local Māori engagement in the South and West will be provided subject to interest level of topics and confirmation of budget; this will be integrated with local board plan pre-engagement.
33. There is a need to continue to build local board relationships with iwi and the wider Māori community. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in the council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
34. Event associated costs include venue hire (where council premises cannot be utilised) and catering.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
35. Local boards must approve their local consultation content and supporting information by December 13, in order for it to be formatted and reviewed in time to be incorporated into the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation document and supporting information.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
37. Following consultation, the Governing Body and local boards will make decisions on the budget and local board agreements respectively.
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## Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

**Annual Budget 2020/2021**

### WHAT WE’VE BEEN DOING

Each year we deliver activities and services in your local board area. These are based on our Local Board Plan, which sets our three-year direction for the local board.

In 2019/2020, we completed the Tāmaki Path project, which is a shared path from Panmure Wharf to Point England Reserve.

We began funding a local history of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki project to celebrate our heritage and capture the stories of our area.

We also began planning for the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan and Masterplan. The first phase of consultation has been completed, with the second phase to begin next year.

We were also proud to support several strategic partnerships, including the establishment of a community bike hub at Maybury Reserve by Ecomatters Trust.

### OUR PRIORITIES FOR 2020/2021

We’re not proposing major changes to existing work programmes for 2020/2021 as we continue to deliver the projects identified in our Local Board Plan.

We will continue to support multi-year projects such as development of the local history of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and the local Urban Forest (Ngahere) Strategy.

We also intend to increase our focus on improving walking and cycling connections.

Protecting our environment for future generations remains a priority focus area, including education and ecological restoration.

The Glen Innes Business Association is proposing to expand the boundary of the Business Improvement District (BID) across two local board boundaries, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Ōrākei. If the boundary expansion is successful the Glen Innes BID programme will represent about 190 business ratepayers and owners, with a proposed BID targeted rate of $250,000 as of 1 July 2020.
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Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board supporting information

Each year we deliver activities and services in your local board area. These are based on our Local Board Plan, which sets our three-year direction for the local board.

Message from the chair

This year, we were able to deliver several projects that you told us were local priorities. We allocated over $130,000 in grants to support the delivery of community-led initiatives. We also funded several strategic partnership grants, including the establishment of a community bike hub at Maybury Reserve in partnership with EcoMatters Trust.

The Tāmaki Path was also completed, a shared path connecting Panmure to Glen Innes along the Tāmaki Estuary foreshore. We made improvements to Mt Wellington War Memorial Reserve and Panmure Basin.

We also started planning for a Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan and Masterplan. These plans will enable better park management and integration of works across the entire precinct. We have already completed the first phase of consultation and the second phase will take place in early 2020.

In 2020/2021, we will continue to fund and deliver the local priorities and preferences in our 2017 Local Board Plan.

We will continue to support development of the local history of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki project, to capture and celebrate our local history, and the local Urban Forest (Ngahere) strategy projects as they enter their second phase.

We will also continue to focus on and activate our important open spaces. We also intend to improve walking and cycling connections.

Protecting our environment for future generations is a priority, so we will continue to support education on pests and water quality, as well as contributing to the Manukau Harbour Forum and Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum. We also intend to further empower the community to undertake ecological restoration and protect our waterways such as Ōmaru Creek and Taniwha Reserve waterway.

All of these focus areas are important, so please get involved in helping us decide our funding priorities.

Chairperson

Chris Makoare
What we propose in your local board area in 2020/2021

In 2020/2021, to deliver on our priorities we plan to invest $9.4 million to renew and develop assets and $15 million to maintain and operate assets as well as provide local programmes and initiatives. The budget in the local area is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key areas of spend</th>
<th>Community Services</th>
<th>Environmental Services</th>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Spend 2020/2021</td>
<td>$13m</td>
<td>$0.1m</td>
<td>$1m</td>
<td>$0.9m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital spend 2020/2021</td>
<td>$9.4m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPEX project name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wakaraka Park Reserve Management Plan and Masterplan implementation</td>
<td>Wakaraka Park, Nelson St, Onehunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruapotaka Marae Support</td>
<td>Ruapotaka Reserve, Glen Innes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local history of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>General LB area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPEX project name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastview Reserve Development</td>
<td>Eastview Reserve, Glen Innes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson Domain – implement masterplan</td>
<td>Ferguson Domain, Oranga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jubilee Bridge – renew and upgrade bridge</td>
<td>Panmure Basin, Mt Wellington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed boundary expansion to Glen Innes Business Association Business Improvement District

The Auckland Council Business Improvement District (BID) Policy 2016 requires the local board to approve any BID Programme boundary expansion and recommend the setting of the BID targeted rate to the Governing Body.

The Glen Innes Business Association (GIBA) is proposing to expand the boundary of the Glen Innes BID programme across two local board boundaries, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Ōrākei. The GIBA will hold a postal ballot of the business ratepayers located in the defined Glen Innes BID expansion area in early 2020. If the ballot is successful, the Glen Innes BID programme will represent both current and expanded areas, increasing the membership to about 190 business ratepayers and owners, and the BID targeted rate will increase from $166,000 to $250,000 as of 1 July 2020.

What do you think?

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2020/2021?

Do you support the Glen Innes Business Association boundary expansion of the Glen Innes BID programme?
Elected Members Expense Policy 2019

File No.: CP2019/19731

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the draft Auckland Council Elected Members’ Expense Policy 2019 and provide for the local board to record its feedback for consideration by the Governing Body.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each electoral term, the Remuneration Authority (the Authority) requires all councils to adopt an expense policy and forward the adopted policy to the Authority for its approval.

3. The expense policy provides the rules for elected members’ reimbursement for expenses they incur whilst performing their duties. The Authority has set parameters for the following expense reimbursements:
   i) communications
   ii) mileage
   iii) travel time
   iv) childcare.

4. The Authority has updated vehicle mileage allowance rates to reflect the new kilometre rates for self-employed people and employees published by the Inland Revenue Department on its website as at 7 June 2019.

5. There is a change to approval processes so that approval for mayor and deputy mayor expenses is now by the chair of the Audit and Risk Committee. There are no other changes to provisions for these expenses.

6. Reimbursement of childcare expenses is a new provision and the council has discretion around how this is applied, within the parameters set by the Authority.

7. In the previous term, a discussion paper about the proposed childcare allowance was published by the Authority and was reported to local boards. Most local boards were generally supportive. Based on that feedback, this report proposes rules for inclusion in the council’s Elected Member Expense Policy 2019.

8. The expenses policy also includes rules for the following, which relate to sensitive expenditure and there are no recommended changes to these rules:
   i) travel
   ii) accommodation
   iii) professional development
   iv) hospitality.

9. The draft Auckland Council Elected Members Expense Policy is attached in Attachment A.

10. The council’s Head of Assurance Services has reviewed the draft policy and is satisfied it is in compliance with the Local Government Members (2019/20) Determination and appropriate probity standards.
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board
03 December 2019

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy 2019.

Horopaki
Context

11. The Authority sets remuneration for elected positions in local government annually. It also sets the rules for reimbursement of costs met by members in undertaking their duties.

12. Each electoral term, the Authority requires all councils to adopt an expense policy and forward the adopted policy to the Authority for its approval. The expense policy provides the rules for elected members’ reimbursement for expenses they incur whilst performing their duties.

13. The Authority sets some work-related expenses for elected members:
   - the maximum allowances payable by councils to elected members for certain activities, such as transport and communications
   - the criteria for and amounts payable to, elected members sitting on resource consent hearings.

14. The current policy was approved in November 2016. The Authority has requested the council provide an Elected Members’ Expense Policy to the Authority for its approval at the beginning of this term.

15. In the previous term the Authority circulated a discussion paper seeking feedback on a proposed childcare allowance. When the Authority issued its formal 2019/20 determination it included the childcare allowance. The Explanatory Memorandum in the Determination includes:

   "This year, for the first time, the Authority has introduced a childcare allowance for members who have responsibility for caring for children under the age of 14 years. The allowance is a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for the provision of childcare while the member is engaged on local authority business. The allowance is capped and is subject to certain conditions outlined in clause 14 of this determination.

   Payment of any or all of the allowances is at the discretion of each council. All the allowances included in this determination are reviewed annually."

16. The actual rule about the childcare allowance in the Determination is:

   14  Childcare allowance

   (1) A local authority may pay a childcare allowance, in accordance with subclauses (2) and (3), to an eligible member as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business.

   (2) A member is eligible to be paid a childcare allowance in respect of childcare provided for a child only if—

   (a) the member is a parent or guardian of the child, or is a person who usually has responsibility for the day-to-day care of the child (other than on a temporary basis); and

   (b) the child is aged under 14 years of age; and

   (c) the childcare is provided by a person who—
(i) is not a family member of the member; and
(ii) does not ordinarily reside with the member; and
(d) the member provides evidence satisfactory to the local authority of the amount paid for childcare.

(3) A local authority must not pay childcare allowances to a member that total more than $6,000 per annum, per child.

(4) In this regulation, family member of the member means—
(a) a spouse, civil union partner, or de facto partner:
(b) a relative, that is, another person connected with the member within 2 degrees of a relationship, whether by blood relationship or by adoption.

17. The other change in the Determination relates to vehicle mileage allowance rates to reflect the new kilometre rates for self-employed people and employees published by the Inland Revenue Department on its website as at 7 June 2019.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
18. The major proposed change to the expense policy is to include a provision for childcare allowance in line with the new provision in the Authority’s Determination.

19. Prior to the Authority including this allowance in its Determination, it circulated a discussion paper for feedback. This was reported to local boards. The submission to the Authority was:

‘Auckland Council supports the Remuneration Authority in addressing this issue which has been raised by members of other councils through submissions to the Authority. The costs of childcare can be a barrier to people with families considering becoming candidates for local government elections. The council commends the authority for recognising such barriers and for acknowledging the need to address them.

The council’s view is that the proposed conditions to be placed in the authority’s determination are reasonable. It is concerned though that the description of the purpose of the allowance is too wide. The proposed wording is:

“A local authority may pay a childcare allowance, in accordance with subclauses (2) and (3), to an eligible member as a contribution towards expenses incurred by the member for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business.”

The phrase “childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business” could include childcare that is incidental at the time the member is engaged on local authority. For example, a member may arrange childcare on a regular basis, irrespective of undertaking council duties, and on a particular occasion attends to council business papers while the children are at childcare. There could be uncertainty about whether this is claimable. The council understands that the purpose of the allowance is as a contribution towards the cost of childcare where this is an expense of undertaking council business and suggests that the wording should capture this sense of necessary expense in order to undertake council business.’

20. A summary of local board feedback on the submission is contained in Attachment B. Most local boards endorsed the submission.

21. The submission stated that the payment of a childcare allowance should recognise the additional cost that was caused by attending to council business rather than being paid if childcare was to be provided in any case. In other words, it was to be paid because childcare was caused by attending to council business. This point was not included in the
Authority’s final Determination. However, any potential for over-use of the provision is controlled by the imposition of a cap of $6,000 per annum per child.

22. The proposed wording for the childcare allowance in the Expense Policy is:

**Childcare allowance**

1 Elected members who are the parent, guardian or usually have responsibility for the day to day care of the child may receive the allowance set out in the Remuneration Authority Determination for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business. This is a contribution towards the expense and not intended as a full reimbursement.

2 The childcare allowance may only be claimed for childcare not provided by a family member (spouse, civil union partner or de facto partner or any relative that is connected to the members within 2 degrees of relationship, whether by blood relationship or by adoption) who does not ordinarily reside with the member.

3 The allowance is only claimable:
   a) for children under the age of 14 years
   b) when attending official meetings or workshops of the council
   c) only for actual (or part thereof) expenses that have been incurred, net of any subsidies
   d) when elected members are not on recess
   e) when no other childcare arrangements would normally be made.

4 The allowance rates are as follows:
   a) For childcare services provided by a professional registered company, an hourly rate of up to $35 will be accepted with the receipt of a GST invoice
   b) For childcare services provided via an informal arrangement, an hourly rate of up to $20 will be accepted with the receipt of a signed invoice or signed log book
   c) The total Auckland Council may contribute is $6,000 per annum per child

5 On a case by case basis the General Manager Democracy Services and General Manager Local Board Services may make exceptions to the above provisions within the limits set by the Remuneration Authority.

23. The maximum hourly rates are based on an informal survey of current market rates.

24. Other changes in the draft expense policy are:
   i) approvals for the mayor and deputy mayor travel expenses have been changed to the chair of the Audit and Risk Committee, on her recommendation
   ii) an added section on health, safety and well-being which includes access to:
      * flu vaccinations
      * ergonomic assessments
      * personal support services (Employment Assistance Programme, manawa rahi and the well-being portal).

25. The council’s Head of Assurance Services has reviewed the draft policy and is satisfied it is in compliance with the Local Government Members (2019/20) Determination and appropriate probity standards.
26. The adoption of the expenses policy is largely an administrative decision. Relevant to climate change is the statement in the policy (6.3): “Auckland Council promotes public transport and cycling as the preferred ways of moving around Auckland. Elected members are expected to use public transport in the first instance but may also use their private car or council vehicles when on council business.”

27. The Authority’s Determination and the Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy only affect elected governing body and local board members.

28. The feedback from local boards will be reported to the Governing Body when it decides the Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

29. The childcare allowance recognises that the cost of childcare deters some people from standing for election. The provision of the allowance may encourage more people, including Māori, to consider standing.

30. The number of Auckland Council elected members who will be eligible to claim this allowance is unknown. LGNZ statistics show that approximately 6 per cent of elected members are 40 years of age or below. On that basis, the cost to Auckland Council, if 6 percent of members (10 members) claimed the allowance, would be $60,000 (assuming one child each).

31. The expenditure that is the subject of this policy is sensitive expenditure. The policy needs to withstand public scrutiny and where there is discretion there needs to be a conservative approach. Staff believe that the conditions placed on reimbursement and the processes for approval are appropriate in this context.

32. Feedback from all local boards will be reported to the Governing Body when it decides the Auckland Council Elected Members Expense Policy.

1 http://www.lgnz.co.nz/assets/e41e5fb07f/Elected-Members-Profile-Report-FINAL.pdf
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1 Purpose and scope

1.1 The purpose of this policy is to:
  - identify elected members’ allowances and entitlements
  - explain the approval process for reimbursement of expenses incurred by elected members whilst undertaking their duties.

1.2 Auckland Council’s Democracy Services Department administers this policy. For queries on the policy please contact:

   Jo Iles
   Business Hub Manager Democracy Services Mobile: 027 207 0893
   jo.iles@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

1.3 Other Auckland Council documents containing information relevant to this policy include the:
  - elected members’ code of conduct
  - elected members’ technology policy
  - catering guide
  - vehicle use guide

1.4 This policy may be reviewed regularly and is current until superseded.

2 Overarching principles

2.1 The guiding principles for expenditures are those contained in the Office of the Controller and Auditor-General good practice guide “Controlling sensitive expenditure: Guidelines for public entities”. The expenditure should be subject to standards of probity and financial prudence that are to be expected of a public entity and able to withstand public scrutiny, both perceived and actual.

2.2 Elected members may incur expenses while on council business, for which they can be reimbursed. Reimbursement and use of council-supplied resources apply only to elected members personally, and only while they are acting in their official capacity as elected members.

2.3 To be reimbursable, expenses must:
  - have a justifiable council-related business purpose; council business means representing the council at formal council meetings, committee meetings, workshops, seminars, statutory hearings, training courses, site visits, meetings with staff, meetings with community groups and meetings with members of the public. It does not include events where the primary focus is on social activity or electioneering
  - be moderate and reasonable having regard to the circumstances, i.e. able to pass the test of being prudent use of ratepayers’ money under public scrutiny
  - be actual and justified by an original tax receipt
  - be approved by the relevant authoriser
  - be within relevant budget provisions
  - be presented on the approved Auckland Council claim form and signed as true and correct
  - be consistent with the rules set by the Remuneration Authority, who has responsibility under the Local Government Act 2002 to determine remuneration, expense and allowance rules for local authority members.
2.4 Transparency and accountability guide the reimbursement of elected members’ expenses. The council’s internal audit work programme includes regular testing of expense claims and allowances paid to elected members and staff. External auditors also regularly review elected members’ expenses. To ensure transparency, Democracy Services publishes elected members’ remuneration, expenses, mobile technology and professional development costs on the council’s website on a quarterly basis. The information is located at: https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/elected-members-remuneration-declarations-interest/Pages/elected-members-remuneration.aspx

3 Allowances and benefits

General provisions

3.1 Each year, the Remuneration Authority sets the base remuneration and allowances for all Auckland Council elected members. The Remuneration Authority Determination can be found at:

Communication technology

3.2 Members who choose not to use council communication technology may receive the communications allowance set out in the Remuneration Authority Determination. These will be automatically paid pro rata in the elected members’ fortnightly payment cycle.

3.3 The council will not pay the communications allowance if an elected member’s private hardware and software are outdated and/or incompatible with Auckland Council’s systems. This is because in this case Auckland Council cannot provide the information and support needed for the elected member to perform his/her duties.

3.4 Rules governing the use of council equipment are set out in the Auckland Council Elected Members’ Technology Policy.

Childcare allowance

3.5 Elected members who are the parent, guardian or usually have responsibility for the day to day care of the child may receive the allowance set out in the Remuneration Authority Determination for childcare provided while the member is engaged on local authority business. This is a contribution towards the expense and not intended as a full reimbursement.

3.6 The childcare allowance may only be claimed for childcare not provided by a family member (spouse, civil union partner or de facto partner or any relative that is connected to the members within two degrees of relationship, whether by blood relationship or by adoption) who does not ordinarily reside with the member.

3.7 The allowance is only claimable:

   a) for children under the age of 14 years
   b) when attending official meetings or workshops of the council
   c) only for actual (or part thereof) expenses that have been incurred, net of any subsidies
   d) when elected members are not on recess
   e) when no other childcare arrangements would normally be made.

3.8 The allowance rates are as follows:
Elected members’ expense policy

a) for childcare services provided by a professional registered company, an hourly rate of up to $35 will be accepted with the receipt of a GST invoice.
b) for childcare services provided via an informal arrangement, an hourly rate of up to $20 will be accepted with the receipt of a signed receipt or signed logbook.
c) the total Auckland Council may contribute is $6,000 per annum per child.

3.9 On a case by case basis the General Manager Democracy Services or General Manager Local Board Services may make exceptions to the above provisions within the limits set by the Remuneration Authority.

4 Health, Safety and Well-being

4.1 Elected members have access to the following services which are important to their health, safety and well-being.

**Flu vaccinations**

4.2 Elected members are entitled to the flu vaccination employee benefit as set out by the Remuneration Authority. Elected members can book this service through the intranet when it is made available to staff every autumn.

**Ergonomic assessments**

4.3 An elected member experiencing discomfort, pain, or injury in the workplace, may arrange an ergonomic assessment through their support staff.

**Personal support**

4.4 The Employee Assistance Programme (EAP) is a confidential counselling and advice service that can provide short-term support for personal or work-related issues that are impacting a member and their work life.

4.5 Manawa Rahi is a conflict resolution service which focuses on resolving an issue by equipping the member to make decisions.

4.6 The well-being portal, Re:NEw, is a centralised location help members achieve goals, whether it be fitness, healthy eating or building great relationships.

5 Travel rules and processes

**Booking travel**

5.1 All business-related bookings are arranged via Democracy Services or Local Board Services support staff.

5.2 Travel should be booked as far as practical in advance of the actual travel date to enable best price to be obtained.

**Mixing business and private travel**

5.3 The council will pay for the Mayor’s partner to accompany the Mayor when his/her partner is also taking part in council business.

5.4 The council will not pay for any other elected member’s partner or family member to accompany them on council-related travel.

5.5 Democracy Services and Local Board Services support staff can arrange bookings.
for family members of an elected member if they are travelling together provided a personal credit card is given for payment at the time of booking.

5.6 Elected members can have a stop-over or undertake private travel before, during or at the end of travel paid for by the council provided there is no additional cost to the council. They can also vary their route for private travel at their own expense. They must pay the cost of any private travel before travel is undertaken.

5.7 If the duration of the business trip extends over a weekend, elected members may return home for the weekend, provided the cost of doing so is less than the cost of staying.

6 Land and sea transport

6.1 In accordance with the Remuneration Authority determination the Mayor can be provided with a vehicle for business and private use.

Parking at home office

6.2 Parking is provided at no cost to elected members at their main place of work: for Governing Body members at the Te Wharau o Tāmaki / Auckland House 135 Albert Street, for Local Board members at their Local Board office.

Travel around Auckland

6.3 Auckland Council promotes public transport and cycling as the preferred ways of moving around Auckland. Elected members are expected to use public transport in the first instance, but may also use their private car or council vehicles when on council business.

6.4 For public transport, including ferry, elected members are encouraged to use a HOP card, then print their account statement from the HOP card website and highlight any transaction for which they are seeking reimbursement.

6.5 Road tolls and parking fees incurred when on council business will be reimbursed.

6.6 If elected members use their private car, mileage allowances will be reimbursed according to the rules set out in the Remuneration Authority Determination, at the maximum rate. To satisfy the Inland Revenue Department’s requirements, mileage payments are subject to deduction of tax at the appropriate rate.

6.7 Elected members’ use of private vehicles on council business is not covered by the council’s insurance.

6.8 For the avoidance of doubt an elected member is not required to be a member of a committee or sub-committee to claim mileage for attendance.

6.9 Mileage expenses for elected members conducting District Licensing Committee business are subject to the rules for District Licensing Committee members set up by central government.

Taxis and rental cars

6.10 Taxis are not the preferred mode of transport around Auckland due to cost. However, a taxi or a car sharing, or pooling scheme can be used for safety/security reasons or if other means of travel are more costly, impractical or an inefficient use of time. Elected members who choose to use a taxi or a car sharing, or pooling scheme must pay for the fare and claim the cost, detailing the reason for use.
6.11 A rental or private car can be used by elected members for work-related travel outside the Auckland region if other means of travel are more costly, impractical or an inefficient use of time. The class of the car should be the most economical considering the requirements of the trip (e.g. length of journey, number of passengers).

6.12 Rental cars hired for council business are not to be used for personal travel.

6.13 The council’s insurance policy provides insurance for the use of rental cars anywhere within New Zealand. It is not necessary to purchase further insurance from the rental agency.

6.14 Rental cars must be refilled with fuel before returning them to avoid the high refuelling charges of hire companies.

7 Air travel

7.1 Elected members can fly with any airline provided the flight booked is at best value for the council and scheduled to best meet the business needs of the elected member. Flight choice will not be influenced by the elected members’ own airline loyalty memberships.

7.2 All travel is economy class. An elected member can upgrade to business class in instances when he/she will fly more than six hours continuously and will be engaged in council business within 24 hours of arrival. If upgraded, the elected member must not convert the whole, or part of, the ticket into cash or any other benefit and travel on a cheaper ticket class.

7.3 The council will pay one airline club membership for the Mayor and Deputy Mayor given their frequent travel needs. The council will not pay for any other airline frequent flyer or club membership.

8 Accommodation

8.1 Auckland Council can procure preferential public sector rates at many New Zealand and Australian hotels. These rates are significantly lower than standard rates offered to business customers and are often lower than rates offered through conference organisers. For this reason, all accommodation, including that for conferences must be booked through Democracy Services and Local Board Services support staff.

8.2 Accommodation reserved should be of the standard business range. Staff will advise about options.

8.3 Elected members’ responsibilities include:
- checking the accuracy of the accommodation account when checking out
- signing the account to indicate it is correct
- retaining a copy of the accommodation account for reconciliation purposes

8.4 When travelling on council business an elected member may stay in private accommodation. When this occurs, the elected member can be paid an allowance of NZ$60 including GST per night to cover accommodation, breakfast and dinner expenses. This allowance is intended to be paid to the accommodation provider to cover at least a portion of the costs he/she may incur.

8.5 Elected members sometimes attend evening events as official representatives of
Auckland Council. If the event will conclude after 10.30pm and travel back to the elected member’s residence is impractical, the council will reimburse the cost of overnight accommodation at a standard business range hotel or motel.

8.6 Whenever practical the elected member should obtain prior written approval.

9 **Travel expenses**

*Travel expenses reimbursed*

9.1 The business-related travel expenses outlined in the table below will be reimbursed by Auckland Council when an elected member is away from his/her home for one night or more.

9.2 Expenses are only payable for days the member is on official business, including a weekend if official business requires this.

9.3 For overseas travel, reimbursable expenses are subject to the daily expense guideline rates for the country of travel. Guideline rates are published on the council intranet. The council uses the [guideline rates of Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade](https://www.mfat.govt.nz).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• On the basis of actual and moderate expenditure, or according to the daily rates for international travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meal expenses cannot be claimed if:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a complimentary meal is provided by an airline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a meal is prepaid through an accommodation package (e.g. hotel breakfast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the cost of the meal is included in the cost of a seminar or conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Elected members are entertained by their hosts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entertainment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Before travel, any anticipated entertainment events must be specified on the travel booking form and must at all times, be directly related to council business – see section 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The council will not pay for any alcohol, except for the approved entertainment of third parties – see paragraph 11.9. However, such expenditure is regarded as sensitive expenditure and accordingly should be at a moderately-priced level to avoid any perception of lavishness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Car parking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Airport parking if it is more economical for the elected member to leave his/her car at the airport rather than take a taxi or using car sharing and pooling schemes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International driver's licence fee</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• If a rental car is used as part of the trip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Telephone and data expenses

- Short calls home from overseas
- Prudent data usage to access emails and the internet overseas
- Calling from overseas can be expensive from hotels or roaming cell phones and should be kept to a minimum. Democracy Services Business Hub staff will advise on the most cost-effective way to call home, which may include a calling card

Laundry

- Actual and required laundry expenses
  - for trips in excess of three days
  - if an accident necessitates it

Tips (gratuities) – International travel

In some countries tipping airport baggage handlers, taxi drivers, hotel porters and waiters/waitresses is expected. The council will reimburse moderate tips only where tipping is local custom. It is accepted that the elected member will not have receipts for these, but a record should be kept to accompany any claim.

Visa and vaccination fees

- if needed for travel to some international countries

Travel expenses not reimbursed

9.4 The council will not reimburse any of the expenses listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini bars</td>
<td>• Any alcohol items consumed from mini bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>• Any in-room movies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic infringements</td>
<td>• Any speeding fines and any other traffic infringement notices incurred while on council business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passport application fees</td>
<td>• Elected members are responsible for obtaining or renewing their passport and ensuring that it will not expire within six months following the return date of travel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other international travel expenses

9.5 Elected members undertaking international travel should use their personal credit card and submit an expense claim on their return. However, in countries where credit cards are not widely accepted, and cash is needed for incidental expenses (e.g. taxi fares), foreign exchange (cash advances) can be issued. Support staff from Democracy Services and Local Board Services will coordinate these requests on the elected member’s behalf.

9.6 Cash advances are kept as low as possible to ensure compliance with Auckland Council’s cash handling procedures, which aim to minimise risks of theft and loss. In any event the maximum cash advance cannot exceed the expected total cost of daily meals and incidentals.

9.7 On return all cash advances and foreign exchange must be reconciled within 10 working days. The reconciliation, together with all receipts and unspent cash, should be returned to Democracy Services or Local Board Services support staff.
Upgrades

9.8 If an elected member accepts airline, accommodation or any other upgrades while travelling on council business, he/she must declare them in accordance with the Elected Members’ Code of Conduct.

10 Travel insurance

Coverage

10.1 The council’s travel insurance policy covers all travel in New Zealand and overseas. It covers an elected member who travels to represent the council for periods not exceeding three months and performs ‘low risk’ work activities such as marketing and representation functions. The insurance also covers the Mayor’s partner if he or she is approved to travel with the Mayor – see paragraph 4.3. Partners accompanying the elected member in a private capacity are not covered.

10.2 The primary travel purpose must be council business. The travel insurance extends to provide cover for normal tourist and holiday activities that form part of or are added to the business trip, provided the council business-related portion of the travel is substantially larger than the portion allocated to personal or holiday activities.

10.3 The travel insurance certificate details the types of cover provided and the monetary limits of the cover. Auckland Council’s travel coordinator, upon request, can provide detailed information on any aspect of the insurance cover. There is no cover under this travel insurance for any loss or event or liability which is covered under any other insurance policy, Act of Parliament or reciprocal health agreement between governments.

10.4 The council’s insurance policy provides cover for the use of rental cars both in New Zealand and overseas.

Provisions for pre-existing medical conditions

10.5 The travel insurance may not provide cover for medical expenses incurred for the treatment of an injury or sickness the traveller is suffering prior to the departure date of travel. Should elected members suffer from a serious condition or be aware of a pre-existing injury or sickness that may necessitate treatment whilst overseas, specific arrangements must be made with the insurer to confirm whether or not cover for treatment will be provided.

Claims procedure

10.6 If an event gives rise to a claim, the traveller must immediately follow these steps:

- Do as much as they can to prevent any further loss or expense.
- If the traveller is to be hospitalised, evacuated or repatriated, or has lost their luggage or money, they must contact the "Overseas Emergency Assistance" number provided on their itinerary, advising they are on Auckland Council business.
- As soon as possible after suffering injury or sickness, obtain and follow proper medical advice from a legally qualified medical practitioner.
- Lodge a claim with the insurance company providing all medical certificates, accounts, receipts and information required by the insurance company to support the claim (original documents must be produced).
- Forward a written claim against any person, party, hotel or transporter who
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- may be legally liable for the loss, injury or sickness.
- Provide full particulars of any claim made against the traveller or Auckland Council by any other person and all legal documents served on the traveller or the council.

11 Professional development programmes and conferences

11.1 Auckland Council recognises the need for elected members to broaden their knowledge and experience through specialised development programmes. Any professional development activity paid for by Auckland Council must be relevant to council business. It can include conferences, internal and external courses or workshops. Because related travel and expenditure such as accommodation and meals are classified as sensitive expenditure, the policy aims to balance elected members’ development needs with prudent use of ratepayer funds and to provide transparency and confidence to the public through publication of expenses.

11.2 Auckland Council has a professional development programme, Kura Kāwana for its elected members, for which the budget is set annually. The purpose of this programme is to support elected members in the delivery of their governance role. Bookings to attend the activities included in this programme can be arranged via Democracy Services and Local Boards Services support staff without the need for additional approval.

11.3 If an elected member wants to undertake domestic professional development activities outside the council professional development programme for elected members, he/she must complete the Kura Kāwana external training approval form and submit to the Governance Support Manager (if you are a governing body member) or your Relationship Manager (if you are a local board member). Your request will be processed and forwarded onto the General Manager Democracy Services or General Manager Local Board Services for approval. The request must detail the business benefit, cost and location of the activities, and confirm that the activities comply with the policy principles outlined in section 2.

11.4 Once approved, Democracy Services and Local Board Services support staff will organise the necessary registrations, bookings and payments.

11.5 If the professional development activity requires international travel a business case must be prepared and approved as outlined in paragraph 12.5.

12 Catering, hospitality and entertainment

Principles

12.1 These rules cover expenditure incurred by all elected members on council business while entertaining members of the public, official visitors to Auckland Council, attendance at conferences, workshops, meetings and functions. Entertainment and hospitality can cover a range of items including, but not limited to, tea, coffee, biscuits, catering such as meals and alcohol, and gifts.

12.2 Any expenses claimed for alcohol when entertaining must be moderate and conservative in terms of quantity and price and satisfy the principles set out in paragraph 2.3.

12.3 There may be occasions where the proposed expenditure is not specifically covered by these rules. If this situation arises, elected members must discuss the proposed expenditure with either the General Manager Democracy Services, the General Manager Local Board Services, the Governance Director or the Chief Executive,
and obtain written approval prior to entering into any arrangement or incurring expenditure.

**Catering**

12.4 If there is a justifiable business purpose, catering may be provided for a meeting or event. Catering includes tea and coffee for morning and afternoon tea and meals if the event or meeting must take place over usual meal times.

12.5 The catering guide applies when catering is required for a meeting or event. The policy specifies that all catering within any council premise must be provided by Auckland Council Catering Services, unless it is not practical for them to do so.

12.6 Elected members are welcome to use the council cafes at their personal cost. Catering may be provided in these venues from time to time to support formal meetings and events.

**Civic receptions/functions and official delegations**

12.7 To minimise costs, it is likely most civic events and hosting of official delegations will be held at council premises. Use of the council’s committee and meeting rooms for such events are subject to the approval of either the General Manager Democracy Services, General Manager Local Board Services, Governance Director or Chief Executive.

12.8 Relevant staff will make the arrangements in accordance with these rules on behalf of elected members.

12.9 Serving alcohol may be permissible at some council events. The expenditure on alcohol must be approved by the General Manager Democracy Services or General Manager Local Board Services, after they are satisfied that:

- the costs relating to alcohol are moderate and conservative
- the ratio of alcohol to food is sensible
- the event meets the requirements of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012
- the purpose of serving refreshments at the event is to extend hospitality

12.10 Only the Catering Manager has the authority to purchase alcohol. Alcohol will be supplied at functions and events in accordance with the provisions of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

**Hosting official visitors**

12.11 Elected members may occasionally host official visitors. In most circumstances this will be managed via the International Relations or Civic Events teams.

12.12 If an elected member is required to host a guest at a dinner or purchase a gift in recognition of an event, this expenditure can be claimed back and reimbursed provided prior approval has been obtained. For Councillors prior approval is to be obtained from the General Manager Democracy Services. For Local Board Members prior approval is to be obtained from the General Manager Local Board Services or the relevant Relationship Manager.

**Flowers and gifts**

12.13 Generally, council will not contribute to flowers, gifts, gift vouchers or cards for birthdays, weddings, bereavements, births and farewells. The Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Chief Executive, Governance Director, General Manager Democracy
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Services or General Manager Local Board Services may give prior approval for an elected member’s contribution in specific circumstances.

12.14 When approved, the gifts and expenditure should be moderate and conservative. Whenever possible, the purchase of gifts should reflect sustainable procurement.

13 Approval process

Approval for local and domestic travel including expense claims

13.1 A business case is not required for routine local and domestic travel (including mileage and expenses) undertaken for council business. Travel is booked as described under section 4.

13.2 Pre-approval may be given for a schedule of travel arrangements on an annual basis. An example is a commitment to attend scheduled Local Government New Zealand meetings in Wellington due to the member’s appointment to the National Council.

13.3 The list of authorised approvers for local and domestic travel and expense claims is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected member</th>
<th>Approver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>The Chair of Audit and Risk Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor</td>
<td>The Chair of Audit and Risk Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillors</td>
<td>The Governance Director or the General Manager Democracy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Members</td>
<td>The Governance Director or the General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.4 The relevant staff from the Mayor’s Office, Democracy Services or Local Board Services will check the documentation for completeness and adherence to the policy and then forward it to the relevant approver for sign-off.

Approval for international travel

13.5 Activities involving international travel require a business case. Staff can prepare the business case on behalf of the elected member. The business case must outline:

- the purpose of the trip
- who wishes to attend
- why the elected member is an appropriate attendee
- expected benefits
- demonstrated prudent use of ratepayer’s money
- itinerary
- all anticipated costs
- which budgets will meet the costs
- how the outcomes of the trip should be reported back on return (for instance a written summary or a presentation at a political meeting)
13.6 The list of authorised approvers for international travel is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected member</th>
<th>Approver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>• The Chair of Audit and Risk Committee and the Chief Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor</td>
<td>• The Chair of Audit and Risk Committee and the Chief Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillors</td>
<td>• The Mayor or Deputy Mayor or the Chair of a Committee of the Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• and the Chief Executive or Governance Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local board members</td>
<td>If the travel is financed from the local board’s budget:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The whole local board, by way of a public report and resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• and the Chief Executive or Governance Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the travel is financed by Local Board Services or from another central budget:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The Mayor or Deputy Mayor or the Chair of a Committee of the Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• and the Chief Executive or Governance Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Approval of expense claims post international travel**

13.7 If expenses are in line with current policy and the approved business case, the list of authorised approvers is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected member</th>
<th>Approver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor and Deputy Mayor</td>
<td>• The Chief Executive or Governance Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillors</td>
<td>• The Governance Director or the General Manager Democracy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local board members</td>
<td>• The Governance Director or the General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.8 If expenses are outside of these parameters, they need to be approved by the business case approvers - see paragraph 12.6.

**Claiming expenses**

13.9 All reimbursements to elected members are made via the payroll system. To be reimbursed, elected members must submit their expense claims monthly. Before the end of each financial year Democracy Services will inform all elected members of the cut-off date to submit claims for that financial year.

13.10 For financial reporting and transparency purposes claims need to be accounted for within the financial year that they are incurred, which ends on 30 June. The cut-off date for submitting claims at year end will be early July so that elected members can claim all
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expenses to 30 June of that financial year.

13.11 On election years, at the end of the electoral term, Democracy Services will inform all elected members of the final cut-off date by which expense claims must be submitted.

13.12 Democracy Services will not accept claims after the cut-off date. If elected members miss the cut-off date they can claim relevant expenses through their tax returns.

14 Breach of expense and reimbursement rules

14.1 If an elected member breaches this policy, he/she must reimburse the council for any costs the council may have wrongfully incurred.

14.2 A breach of the policy may lead to an investigation pursuant to the Elected Members’ Code of Conduct.
Resolutions of local boards on the draft submission to the Remuneration Authority's proposal for a childcare allowance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Board</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden Local Board</td>
<td>That the Albert-Eden Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse and support the draft Auckland Council submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna Local Board</td>
<td>That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorses the draft Auckland Council submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) applauds the Young Elected Members Network for their leadership in developing this policy in supporting parents within Local Government New Zealand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Local Board</td>
<td>That the Franklin Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse Auckland Council’s draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances for elected members of local government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier Local Board</td>
<td>That the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances noting the need to broaden the scope for Great Barrier Island as there is no existing registered child care provider nor child care facilities on the island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey Local Board</td>
<td>That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays Local Board</td>
<td>That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick Local Board</td>
<td>That the Howick Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keaotahi Local Board</td>
<td>That the Keaotahi Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft Auckland Council submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa Local Board</td>
<td>That the Manurewa Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) receive the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtahuhu Local Board</td>
<td>That the Māngere-Ōtahuhu Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board | That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:  
  a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances. |
| Ōrākei Local Board | That the Ōrākei Local Board note the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances. |
| Ītara-Papatoetoe Local Board | That the Ītara-Papatoetoe Local Board:  
  a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances. |
| Papakura Local Board | That the Papakura Local Board:  
  a) receive the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances. |
| Pukatāpapa Local Board | That the Pukatāpapa Local Board:  
  a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on allowances.  
  b) request the Remuneration Authority to develop a policy on paid parental leave for elected members  
  c) seek a legislative change to the Citizens Regulations Act 2002 to also enable deputy chairs to take the oath of allegiance/affirmation at Citizenship Ceremonies. |
| Rodney Local Board | That the Rodney Local Board:  
  a) do not endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances, and provide the following feedback to the Remuneration Authority:  
    i) The elected members fee is an honorarium, not a salary, and elected members are expected to meet their own costs while serving on a local board  
    ii) The elected member honorarium does not include provision for any leave entitlements or other benefits, save for mileage travel expenses which are universally claimable in the course of business, so singling out childcare allowances is arbitrary and not in keeping with an honorarium  
    iii) Standing for local government is a community service, not a career path, and all elected members incur hardship of one sort or another; a better focus would be amending the current policies which negatively impact elected members in rural areas who travel vast distances but have a capped sum on the amount of mileage that can be claimed annually  
    iv) if the Remuneration Authority considers that additional benefits should be payable to elected members to encourage more people to stand for election they would be better off increasing the honorarium for all, not allowances for some  
    v) There are other methods available to elected members who wish to recover childcare costs, including tax deductions or other mechanisms through IRD which will not unfairly burden the Auckland ratepayer like the Remuneration Authority proposal will |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Board</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour Local Board</td>
<td>That the Upper Harbour Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) receive the draft Auckland Council submission and report on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiteheke Local Board</td>
<td>That the Waiteheke Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) supports the proposed policy on childcare allowances and provides the following feedback:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the provision of the allowance may encourage greater diversity in those standing for election in Auckland. Currently the remuneration provided is not adequate to cover childcare costs for council work time required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the policy cap of $6,000 would be a cost to Auckland Council of $60,000, based on approximately 6 per cent of elected members being 40 years of age or below. However, it is noted that currently young candidates are predominantly under 25 and tend not to have children, and there are fewer aged between 25-50, possibly due to the need for childcare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the wording should indicate more clearly that the payment is for childcare costs that are necessary for the member to undertake council duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the following conditions are commented on: (i) the subsidy should pay a living wage if that is what is being paid but should not be greater than the actual expense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) childcare should be based on the hours required per day for council business, rather than an 8-hour limit in any 24-hour period. Business meetings together with workshops on the same day can be more than 8 hours per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the following conditions are supported: (iii) the child is under 14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the purpose should more clearly reflect that the expense is a necessary expense for the member to attend council duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the subsidy should be linked to the work expectation of the role. If the expectation of a board member is 20-25 hours/week, that is what should be subsidised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• It is easier to subsidise a fixed amount of time in a childcare facility, than to pay someone on an hourly rate for childcare. Paying only for meeting hours is probably counter-productive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitakere Ranges Local Board</td>
<td>That the Waitakere Ranges Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) delegate to Deputy Chairperson S Toms and member S Coney to prepare the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitakatā Local Board</td>
<td>That the Waitakatā Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances subject to an amendment that the local board supports reimbursement of the actual child care payment up to a maximum of the hourly living wage with the $6,000 annual limit increased proportionately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau Local Board</td>
<td>That the Whau Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) endorse the draft submission on the Remuneration Authority’s proposed policy on childcare allowances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 22
Resinstatement of Manukau Harbour Forum

File No.: CP2019/19889

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve the reconstitution of the Manukau Harbour Forum (the forum), including the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board, for the 2019 to 2022 local government term, and confirm the Terms of Reference for the forum.

2. To nominate a board member, and an alternate, to represent the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on the forum.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. The Manukau Harbour Forum was established in 2012 as a joint committee of nine local boards bordering the Manukau Harbour. Member boards recognised the need for joint decision making and advocacy to achieve water quality improvements for the Manukau Harbour.

4. The committee ceases to exist at the end of every electoral term and can be reconstituted following agreement of the entities involved. This report invites boards to consider reconstitution of the forum, and to nominate a board member (and alternate) to represent this board on the forum.

5. The forum’s purpose is to champion a sustainable management approach for the Manukau Harbour. This is detailed in the attached Terms of Reference, which all nine of the member boards are asked to confirm.

6. A review of the forum was undertaken in mid-2019 to develop a future state direction and new three-year work programme. The review recommended the forum be continued but found that the forum is failing to achieve its vision due to a combination of factors, including resourcing and lack of an integrated strategic plan and must be strengthened and appropriately resourced to deliver on the vision.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) agree to re-constitute the Manukau Harbour Forum Joint Committee with the Franklin, Māngere-Ōtāhuhu, Manurewa, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Papakura, Pukeiti-papa, Whau, and Waitākere Ranges Local Boards for the 2019/22 electoral term.

b) appoint one named member and one named alternate member to the Manukau Harbour Forum.

c) note the first workshop and meeting of the Manukau Harbour Forum is scheduled for 10.00am–1.00pm Friday 13th December 2019 in Mangere.

d) note that the reconstituted Forum will have two co-chairs one being a local board member and one being a governing body representative of the Manukau Harbour Forum. The local board co-chair representative will be elected by a majority of members at the first meeting. An invitation will be extended to the Governing Body to appoint two members noting one will act in a co-chair role.

e) confirm the updated Terms of Reference for the Manukau Harbour Forum as outlined in Attachment A, noting they have been amended enabling two members of the Governing Body to be invited to join the forum, one of the Governing Body members
will be co-chair of the forum.

f) delegate authority to the Manukau Harbour Forum to make decisions within its Terms of Reference, noting that any significant or controversial decisions will only be made by the forum with the confirmation by resolution of all the member boards that would be affected by the decision and that the local board will reserve the right to hold and promote a different view to that of the forum on any issue that may impact the local board area.

g) confirm that any further changes to the Manukau Harbour Forum’s Terms of Reference will be agreed first by the forum then recommended to the nine member boards for their approval, noting that resolutions must be identical.

h) note that in order for the requirements of this agreement for the Manukau Harbour Forum to be reached, the resolutions of each of the nine-member boards made in respect of recommendations a) (b)-(f) above (with the exception of (b) where appointees are to be named) must be identical and that in the absence of identical resolutions by all member boards, the forum will not meet the requirements under clause 30a of Schedule 7 to the Local Government Act 2002.

i) receive and note the Manukau Harbour Forum governance and management support review report (Attachment B).

Horopaki Context

7. Nine local boards (Franklin, Māngere-Ōtāhuhu, Manurewa, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Papakura, Puketāpapa, Whau and Waitākere Ranges Local Boards) together formed the Manukau Harbour Forum with the view to form a means of collective local board advocacy on common issues affecting the Manukau Harbour.

8. The Manukau Harbour Forum was constituted formally as a joint committee of nine local boards under standing orders 2.8.1 and 2.8.2. Standing orders 2.8.1 and 2.8.2 enable a local board to appoint a joint committee with another local board or boards. Being a joint committee enables the forum to conduct business more efficiently, as a local board joint committee can make decisions and provide direction to officers without seeking confirmation and/or ratification from the individual member boards. In accordance with clause 30(7) of the Local Government Act 2002, the Forum automatically dissolved following the 2019 local government elections.

9. An updated Terms of Reference for the Manukau Harbour Forum setting out the purpose and principles of the forum are presented in Attachment A. The purpose of the forum is to champion a sustainable management approach for the Manukau Harbour. Since its formation the Forum has:

- identified issues, such as mangroves, pacific oysters, coastal erosion, stormwater, recreational access/wharves and Maui Dolphin Sanctuary as high priority
- funded a work programme consisting of annual seminar, communications programme, and a business to business education programme
- developed a ‘Manukau Harbour Forum Vision and Strategy’, undertaken a comprehensive stocktake of all research pertaining to the Manukau Harbour and catchment, produced a set of maps and a list of stakeholders
- received regular updates on marine monitoring within the Manukau Harbour
- advocated to central government and Auckland Council (e.g. feedback on the Unitary Plan) on issues affecting the Harbour, including hydrodynamic modelling of sediment runoff
- identified areas of interest and potential projects that the local boards surrounding the Manukau Harbour could progress jointly and through funding from the member local boards’ budget, adopted a work programme to deliver the forum’s objectives.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. It is recommended that each constituting local board agrees to reinstate the Manukau Harbour Forum for another term and appoints one member plus an alternate to represent the board on the forum.

11. During the 2016/19 term of the forum a review was undertaken of the role of the forum in order to recommend a decision-making structure that will enable the objectives relating to the Manukau Harbour to be addressed effectively (Attachment B).

12. The review recommended the continuation of the forum, but found that the forum is failing to achieve its vision and must be strengthened and appropriately resourced to deliver on the vision. The failure was found to be a combination of factors, including:
   - inadequate resourcing through the current funding model and inadequate staffing resource
   - lack of understanding of the health of the catchment and harbour, and absence of long-term integrated strategic planning
   - lack of clear rationale or resourcing for mana whenua involvement
   - a local board-focused work programme that does not address larger scale strategic issues.

13. The forum endorsed the key recommendations of the review at its meeting on 30 August 2019. The forum also resolved to invite Governing Body involvement via two councillor representatives to be appointed to the forum when reconstituted following the 2019 local body elections, including one as co-chair, and retaining local board membership as is. (Resolution number MHFJC/2019/29).

14. Staff have amended the Manukau Harbour Forum Terms of Reference (Attachment A) to include two Governing Body representatives, at the request of the forum.

15. The chair and deputy chair of the forum presented the report to the Environment and Community Committee on 10 September 2019, which resolved (Resolution number ENV/2019/146) to refer the recommendations and review report:
   - to a workshop of the relevant committee in the new term of council
   - to the Auckland Plan Strategy and Research department for evaluation and advice in the new term of council.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

16. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible.

17. The purpose of the forum is to champion a sustainable management approach for the Manukau Harbour. The forum should have positive climate impacts. Climate impacts of any projects or initiatives to be progressed by the forum can be assessed as needed.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

18. The forum has referred the recommendations and report from the 2019 review of the forum to the Governing Body for their consideration, which could result in resourcing impacts for the organisation if these are progressed.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

19. Local boards have previously agreed, through adoption of the forum’s Terms of Reference, that the Manukau Harbour is a regional asset and it was appropriate that local boards
collectively contribute to strategies and outcomes that enhance the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of the harbour.

20. The role of the forum is to champion the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour and adjacent communities. It developed a vision and strategy in line with this which was adopted in September 2014.

21. In previous terms, the forum agreed a work programme following the ratification of each board allocating committed funding from its budget to implement a general work programme allocation to the Manukau Harbour Forum.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

22. The Manukau Harbour Forum recognised mana whenua interest in Manukau Harbour.

23. While the forum did discuss a joint committee with mana whenua, or mana whenua representatives being appointed to the forum, it was agreed that this was inappropriate while treaty negotiations are pending. The forum anticipates receiving further advice and options as to how it interfaces with mana whenua as treaty settlement progresses.

24. Workshops of the Manukau Harbour Forum were held in 2015 to discuss the ongoing role of mana whenua in relation to the forum. Some minor changes were made to the forum’s Terms of Reference as a result of this to reflect the forum’s obligations under Te Tiriti o Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi, and its desire to work with mana whenua on an ongoing basis.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

25. The nine member boards have previously provided funding of between $8,000 and $15,000 from their budgets to implement the forum’s work programme.

26. The forum has $70,000 allocated to it by members boards for the 2019/20 work programme. $48,000 has already been allocated as outlined below with the remaining balance to be given direction to by incoming members:

   i) Delivery of a youth leadership sustainability wananga ($15,000)
   ii) Communications plans ($10,000)
   iii) support for the Southern Auckland youth Environmentalists ($5,000)
   iv) enabling Seaweek volunteer action across the Manukau Harbour ($18,000).

27. Member boards will be asked to continue their funding support to the forum for the triennium.

28. Acquiring adequate resourcing and operational support for delivery of activities within the Manukau Harbour is an action for the forum.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

29. There are no significant risks from reconstituting the forum. Any issues arising from the forum can be mitigated through operational management of the forum.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

30. Arrangements for the meetings of the forum will be made once the forum is reconstituted, and staff will progress the Governing Body’s consideration of the review recommendations.
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Manukau Harbour Forum Joint Committee – Terms of Reference (Updated 30 August 2019)

1. Introduction

The formation of a forum to champion the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour and adjacent communities has arisen through the collective aspirations of local boards bordering the harbour itself. Local Boards have a statutory responsibility and are democratically accountable for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in their Local Board area in relation to the content of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of the Auckland Council.

The Manukau Harbour is a regional taonga/treasure for all the peoples of Auckland. It is therefore appropriate that Local Boards collectively contribute to strategies and outcomes that restore and enhance the well-being of the harbour itself.

A 2019 Manukau Harbour Forum sponsored review identified benefits having closer relationships with the Governing Body and having member representation on the Forum. The Manukau Harbour Forum resolved to invite Governing Body involvement via two councillor representatives to be appointed to the Forum, including one as co-chair and retaining local board membership of nine local boards and increasing overall Forum membership to 11.

2. Background / Context

Prior to November 2010, agencies generally approached issues of community concern in alignment with the previous local authority boundaries, and somewhat independently in each area. Five legacy territorial authorities and the Auckland Regional Council had a direct interest in the harbour due to proximity. Under the Auckland Council, the following local boards1 share a boundary with the harbour and constitute member boards:

- Waitākere Ranges
- Whau
- Puketāpapa
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki
- Otaa-Papakowhai
- Māngere-Ōtahuhu
- Manurewa
- Papakura
- Franklin

There is sufficient commonality of issues and interests, for synergies to be gained from a sub-regional approach to advocacy in relation to the management of the Manukau Harbour. This is also supported by the legislative imperative for local boards to work together where the interests and preferences of communities within each local board area will be better served by doing so.

Furthermore, to enhance the effectiveness and influence of the Forum, representation from the Governing Body is encouraged with two Councillors being invited to be appointed to be members of the Forum, with one Councillor to be co-chair of the Forum alongside of a local board representative.

Likely benefits of such an alliance of multiple local boards along with governing body representation are early identification of and response to emerging issues, a more cohesive approach to multi-causal problems, and greater efficiency and effectiveness of planning and interventions. These benefits are particularly pertinent planning matters relating to:

- Auckland Council's statutory obligations under Te Tiriti o Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi
- The Auckland Plan and the Unitary Plan
- The Annual Plan and the Long-term Plan (LTP)
- The Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008
- The 1990 Manukau Harbour Action Plan
- National Policy Statements and National Environmental Standards

1 Member boards listed in geographical order from north to south
The activities of CCOs in relation to the harbour and the coastal environment
Other harbour and coastal ownership and management issues

3. Purpose
The purpose of the Manukau Harbour Forum is to provide for a means of collective Local Board advocacy on issues affecting the Manukau Harbour, and the adjacent foreshore. Issues to be addressed by the Forum may include:

- Restoration of the health and wellbeing of the Manukau Harbour
- The role of Mana Whenua in relation to the Manukau Harbour
- A unified management-approach to the Manukau Harbour
- Advocacy on issues related to both natural and human activities affecting the harbour foreshore
- Wastewater and stormwater discharges
- The strategic removal of mangroves and Pacific oysters
- Coastal erosion mitigation opportunities
- The enhancement of marine and coastal habitats that assist with increased Biodiversity
- The preservation of sustainable commercial and recreational fisheries within the harbour
- The protection of Maui’s Dolphin and other species
- Catchments and tributary streams that flow into the harbour
- Access to the harbour
- The role of the port operation at Onehunga

4. Principles
The Forum is in principle collectively accountable to the wider community for supporting the development and delivery of the community’s vision in relation to the Manukau Harbour. In practice, individual members are accountable to their own boards and to the constituents whose interests they represent.

The Forum:
• Is strategic, not operational
• Deals only with matters where collaboration and synergy add value (it does not duplicate what already exists, or deal with matters that are better dealt with more locally, i.e. it does not work at a single board level) though it may deal with issues that impact some but not all member boards.
• Is committed to Te Tiriti o Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi, and it will work actively to ensure that it also acknowledges and recognises the interests of mana whenua through continued development of an open relationship with mana whenua
• Recognises the local, regional, and national significance of the Waitakere Ranges and its foothills and coasts, as set out in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008, and acknowledges the statutory obligations to protect the Heritage Area.
• Will focus on commonalities rather than differences.
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Executive Summary

This Review was commissioned to evaluate the performance, structure and governance of the Manukau Harbour Forum. Information to support the Review was obtained from desk top analysis, comparison of other Auckland Council related entities, interviewing Forum members, Councillors, and Council staff, and from a facilitated Manukau Harbour Forum workshop.

Summary of Key Findings

The Manukau Harbour Forum is failing to achieve its Vision and will not do so without significant change and additional resourcing. This does not mean that the Forum should be disestablished; but it must be strengthened and appropriately resourced to deliver on its Vision:

“The Manukau Harbour is recognised and valued as a significant cultural, ecological and economic asset, and through integrated management has a rich and diverse marine and terrestrial environment that is able to be enjoyed by all”

The failure is a combination of several factors, and no single problem has been at the heart of the failure to promote the objectives of the Forum:

- Inadequate resourcing through the current funding model, which undermines the long-term delivery capability of the Forum;
- A lack of baseline understanding of the health of the Catchment and Harbour, coupled with an absence of either long-term integrated strategic planning, or an annual work plan limits the ability of the MHF to establish (or execute) a long-term, outcome focused vision;
- The Forum is the only Harbour-related entity in Auckland without a paid staff role to coordinate and deliver the Forum’s work programme;
- There is inadequate allocation of staff time from within Council, in particular Healthy Waters;
- There is no clear value proposition for mana whenua to be involved, resourcing for mana whenua involvement or articulation of mana whenua perspectives or representation in the Forum;
- The work programme is currently Local Board-centric (in terms of type of activity) and fails to directly address larger scale strategic issues within the Catchment or Harbour; and
- Inadequate integration across local board boundaries makes it difficult for the Forum to develop a strategic, integrated whole-of-catchment approach to influence and direct future work programmes.

Despite this, there have been some notable successes through a mix of advocacy and practical efforts that have served to promote outcomes for the Manukau Harbour as well as to increase the visibility of the Forum’s role as a champion for the Catchment and Harbour. It is important that these types of activities continue as part of future work plans for the Forum.

Recommendations

Although there are 18 short term and long-term recommendations, many could be implemented in parallel.

Continuity of the Manukau Harbour Forum:

Rec1. We do not recommend that the Manukau Harbour Forum be disestablished.
**Mana whenua Relationships:**

MW1. A plan for mana whenua engagement should be co-developed with willing tribal entities.

MW2. The Forum should ensure funding is allocated to compensate mana whenua for their time and effort in working on Forum-related issues.

**Stocktake of Activities:**

ST1: To continue the stocktake as a database for the Forum to identify all the initiatives that are occurring within the Manukau Harbour.

ST2: To incorporate a stocktake of activity within a State of the Harbour report.

ST3: Look into the possibility for including a section in work programme reporting, for Council staff to highlight if the initiative they are leading has any benefits to the Manukau.

**Resourcing & Operational Support:**

R&OS1. Establish a paid position for a project manager / co-ordinator to deliver the Forum’s work programme (8 – 16hrs per week).

R&OS2. Provide dedicated council officer (Healthy Waters) time to align with project manager and ensure maximum value from Council work programmes (especially important once hydrodynamic model etc are completed).

R&OS3. Align with other Harbour initiatives (Tamaki Estuary, Hauraki Gulf, Kaipara) in the Auckland Region. Identify and implement shared learnings and resources that could be adopted.

**Structure and Governance:**

It is necessary to fundamentally re-evaluate governance with a view to creating a fit-for-purpose entity that can achieve the Vision and address the failings above. An alternative governance structure could expand the Forum
membership to better align with the governing body, whilst increasing resourcing and direct interaction with Council staff through the Healthy Waters programme.

**S&G1.** Immediately invite Governing body involvement via two Councillor representatives on the Forum, including co-chair. Retain Local Board membership as-is; thus increasing membership to 11.

**S&G2.** Amend the Forum Terms of Reference to include Councillor representation and seek inclusion of them into the overall list of Terms of Reference of the Governing Body.

**S&G3.** Further develop options to establish greater status, resourcing and accountability for the Forum, including consideration of:

- Costs and benefits of adding additional representation onto Forum beyond elected members – e.g. community, industry, mana whenua.
- Developing new reporting lines & accountability, and status for the Forum within the Auckland Council structure, for example
- Having the Forum as a Subcommittee of Environment and Community Committee, or
- Having the Forum as a Joint Committee of Council, or
- An alternative structure using examples such as the Rural Advisory Panel, Kaitiaki Forum, Tupuna Maunga Authority.

**S&G4.** Seek input to potential long term structural and governance options from the Joint Governance Working Group.

---

**State of the Harbour Recommendations:**

**SOH1.** Source funding for an integrated State of the Harbour Report to provide baseline understanding of the Catchment and Harbour.

**SOH2.** Prepare a State of the Harbour Report to provide baseline understanding of health & wellbeing of catchment, harbour and people living within it.

**SOH3.** Prepare an integrated, outcomes focused Strategic Plan to address issues identified in the State of the Harbour Report. Prioritize changes and outcomes via a programme of works.

**SOH4.** Long Term Plan - funding and resources need to be allocated through the LTP.

**SOH5.** Use Healthy Waters Hydrodynamic Model and other relevant tools to inform Forum focus areas and implications of future land use changes for the Harbour.
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Introduction and Context

The Manukau Harbour Forum
The Manukau Harbour Forum was created by Auckland Council in 2010 in response to concern about the deteriorating state of the Manukau Harbour, and the urgent need for a collaborative response to improve its condition. The Manukau Harbour Forum meets six times a year and is comprised of representatives of the nine local boards that border the Manukau Catchment and Harbour:

- Franklin Local Board
- Papakura Local Board
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board
- Puketāpapa Local Board
- Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
- Waitākere Ranges Local Board
- Manurewa Local Board
- Whau Local Board
- Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

The purpose of the Forum is to provide for a means of collective Local Board advocacy on issues affecting the Manukau Harbour and the adjacent foreshore, and to champion the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour on behalf of the communities they represent. This collective also recognises and values the special relationship that Mana Whenua have in relation to the Harbour1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manukau Harbour Forum Vision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“The Manukau Harbour is recognised and valued as a significant cultural, ecological and economic asset, and through integrated management has a rich and diverse marine and terrestrial environment that is able to be enjoyed by all”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member local boards have ecological outcomes as well as community wellbeing focuses within their respective local board plans (some of which directly enhance the Manukau Catchment and Harbour), and all members contribute funds toward a shared annual Forum work programme.

---

Independent Review of the Manukau Harbour Forum

This Independent Review was commissioned by Auckland Council and considers the current governance, functions, priorities and work programme. It considers the current level of advocacy effectiveness and whether the Forum is meeting the expectations of Forum members and relevant stakeholders.

The scope of the Review provided in the Terms of Reference includes:
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- reviewing the strategic objectives of the Forum
- confirming the continued need for a Forum
- how to maximise influence of the forum
- relationship with Governing Body
- relationship with wider community stakeholders and understanding their interests and views
- establishing effective relationships with mana whenua iwi
- reflection on current and potential governance structures
- identify related operational support models (specific support and wider organisational commitment) – include looking at Hauraki Gulf Forum, WHRA, Maunga Authority etc.
- review of advocacy and activity to date – forum initiated, Local Board initiated, regional
- information currently held, under development and known gaps

Each of these is considered separately in this Report, although many are interlinked and both findings and recommendations are often relevant to more than one topic.

Approach

The Review was conducted over the period May to August 2019. The review has been based on:

- An examination of background material including Forum meeting minutes, a strategic action plan (2013-2015) and local board plans.
- Semi-structured interviews conducted with all Forum members, two Auckland Councillors, and five staff from within Auckland Council.
- Analysis of other Council-associated entities within the Auckland Region to identify possible structural and governance options for the future.
- A facilitated workshop of initial findings and recommendations with the Forum, with an opportunity for members to provide feedback.

Interviews

All interviewees were provided with a range of topics for discussion but not a structured set of questions. The specific focus of each interview was tailored depending on the perspective of the interviewee. Interview notes were qualitatively analysed, with a focus on identifying trends and consistent themes arising from the interviews. No attempt was made to undertake quantitative analysis from the interviews because the semi-structured nature of the interviews does not lend itself to quantitative analysis. Through these interviews, we sought to understand the issues impacting the Forum to date, factors impacting ongoing performance, and opportunities for improvement.

The qualitative analysis of both individual interviews and the full range of interviewees allowed clear and very consistent themes to develop, which comprise much of the findings provided in this Review. The list of people interviewed is provided in Appendix One. No direct attribution of comments made during interviews has been made to any individual to respect confidentiality.

Analysis of other Council-Enabled Entities

The following entities were assessed to provide comparison with the Forum and to identify lessons for future change. There are multiple additional entities within Auckland, and outside the Region, that could have been assessed; this list is not intended to be exhaustive. It does however provide an indication for considering governance and structural options based on Auckland Council experience. The list of entities was drawn from interviews with Forum members, Council staff and Councillors; all were asked whether any other entities provided useful comparison for the purposes of this Review. This analysis was desk-top only and interviews were not conducted with members of any of the entities.
List of Council-enabled entities:

- Rural Advisory Panel
- Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority (Maunga Authority)
- Kai蒂aki Forum
- Auckland Domain Committee
- Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum
- Hauraki Gulf Forum
- Integrated Kaipara Harbour Management Group
EnviroStrat

Findings & Recommendations

Although our findings and recommendations are presented in discrete sections based on the Terms of Reference, several overlap and influence others. For the benefit of the reader, we have interspersed the recommendations throughout the text where there is a clear narrative regarding a particular issue. The recommendations are collectively presented in the Executive Summary. Where relevant, we have included quotes (not attributed to individuals) from people interviewed. These help to reinforce and inform the findings and recommendations of the Review.

1. Continued Need for the Manukau Harbour Forum

A fundamental question we asked all interviewees was whether they feel the Forum should continue to exist. All interviewees agree that the Forum should continue to exist, but that it should not persist under the existing arrangements. Nobody considers that the Forum is achieving its intended Vision, or that it will do so under the current funding, structure and governance models. Equally, interviewees felt very strongly that with changes to funding, structure and governance, the Forum could provide a very strong ‘voice for the Manukau’ that is otherwise lacking. A very consistent theme of interviews was that the Manukau is ‘the forgotten harbour’; much more attention is given by the Governing Body, interest groups and the general public to other coastal and harbour areas within Auckland and that without an entity focused solely on the Catchment and Harbour, this will continue. Our first recommendation reflects this:

Recommendation:

Rec 1. We do not recommend that the Manukau Harbour Forum be disestablished.

2. Influence of the Forum

There is universal frustration regarding the general lack of influence of the Forum; articulated by several members as a ‘lack of teeth’.

This limitation has handicapped the Forum in its ability to effectively advocate for the Catchment and Harbour, leading to frustration from the Forum members (and outside the Forum) and contributing to an overall perception that the MHF is not leading change or influencing decision makers.

The relative lack of influence is seen by some as a function of the structure and governance; 9 local boards, each with their own priorities and areas of geographic interest can result in piecemeal decisions based on specific context rather than the entire Forum advocating for the Manukau Catchment and Harbour. The structure may be part of the reason for lack of influence but we also identified other reasons; in particular the lack of an overarching integrated ‘master plan’ based on strong understanding of current state, trends and risks across the entire Catchment and Harbour. We provide recommendations regarding this later in the Review.

In terms of influence, we disagree with some interviewees that the Forum should be able to enforce regulations or the like (see quotes above for examples) given this is clearly the role of Council. It could however advocate for particular policy outcomes or become more involved in making submissions and seeking to influence decisions of Council and CCOs. There are some examples where the Forum has been able to

"I would recommend that the Manukau Harbour Forum is retained if some changes can be implemented.”

"I would not advocate dissolving the Forum, but change must occur. It is the only group dedicated to improving the entire Manukau Harbour.”

"The Manukau Harbour Forum needs mandated ability to act.”

"My main concern is that the Forum has no teeth and is unable to enforce regulations.”

"I am frustrated with how very little progress is happening. Questioning why we are here.”

"Forum is only providing feedback on consents, but doesn’t have any real ability to influence those.”

"The MHF should have input in new subdivisions and to building inspectors; we need to enforce restrictions on construction if environmental conditions are not being sustained.”

"The Manukau Harbour Forum needs mandated ability to act.”

"My main concern is that the Forum has no teeth and is unable to enforce regulations.”

"I am frustrated with how very little progress is happening. Questioning why we are here.”

"Forum is only providing feedback on consents, but doesn’t have any real ability to influence those.”

"The MHF should have input in new subdivisions and to building inspectors; we need to enforce restrictions on construction if environmental conditions are not being sustained.”
influence decisions of Council, and these were clearly identified as examples of success from the Forum by both Council staff and Forum members.

3. Relationship with Governing Body

Currently there is no reporting line, or accountability to the Governing Body, and this is recognised both by Forum members and those councillors interviewed as a weakness and constraint on the Forum seeking to be more influential. Members are of the view that in future, the Forum work programme needs to be included as a stand-alone ‘line item’ in the Long-Term Plan, to ensure appropriate long term funding, recognition of the regional significance of the Manukau and also to ensure accountability of Council work programmes through LTP and annual plan reporting.

Interviewees also expressed a shared view that the ongoing existence of the Forum should be recognised by the Governing Body as regionally significant, and with long term funding allocated. There are a number of ways in which this could occur, which we examine in more detail in section 7 below, which reflects on possible governance structures.

Overall, there was a high degree of alignment between existing Forum member’s views for the need to have Governing Body representation on the MHF and to increase accountability and strengthen relationships with the Governing Body.

In terms of staff engagement and interaction with the Forum and its work programme, there is insufficient interaction at present between the Forum and Council staff. In particular, Healthy Waters should have stronger links to the Forum and should be assisting it with developing State of the Harbour reporting, longer term planning and aligning Healthy Waters initiatives with Forum priorities. This was agreed by staff we interviewed, and we understand Healthy Waters staff have committed to including dedicated staff resources to work more closely with the Forum. On a positive note, Forum members and Healthy Waters staff reflected on the significant contribution the Forum made to the Council Water Strategy, and in helping ensure Long Term Plan funding for a hydro-dynamic contaminant model for the Manukau. The model, once completed, will provide a much improved ability for the Forum to understand the interaction between contaminants and the coastal and marine environment.

4. Relationship with Wider Community Stakeholders

All members expressed a desire for the Forum to have a stronger relationship with other Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs) and greater visibility and influence over their respective work programmes throughout the Catchment. Some interviewees suggested that representatives of the CCOs should sit on the Forum.

There has been successful community and stakeholder engagement through locally focused initiatives as well as the regular Harbour Symposium. By comparison with other Council-related entities however, there is relatively little depth in the relationship with the wider communities.

Examples elsewhere include the Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum, which has a range of community stakeholders as members, or specific groups created for particular issues such as the Rural Advisory Panel which has representatives from across the primary sector as well as mana whenua and the Governing Body.

With additional funding and dedicated capacity, a much stronger relationship could be built between the Forum and stakeholders.
5. Relationships with Mana Whenua

The Forum does not include mana whenua as members. Views on (the lack of) mana whenua participation in Forum activities were consistent across the local boards, with all members recognising that despite mana whenua involvement being critical, it is notably absent in any meaningful capacity.

Reasons may include:

- There is an expectation from members that mana whenua could be participating in Forum activities, however mana whenua have not been resourced to contribute more meaningfully.
- In an era where there are extremely high demands on mana whenua time, and in particular where pre-Settlement tribes have very limited resource availability or budget, the absence of resourcing, a clear value proposition for mana whenua or strategic alignment between mana whenua aspirations for the Catchment and Harbour, and the Forum has led to very limited relationships or interest in engaging with the Forum.
- Manukau Harbour Treaty Settlement negotiations are not yet under way, and may influence future willingness to be involved in Harbour Governance and work programmes.
- The Forum has no strategy for mana whenua engagement and despite some involvement through events such as the Symposium, most interaction appears ad hoc and/or through local boards rather than the Forum per se.

However, an opportunity exists now for MHF to engage more fully with mana whenua in the short term to be better prepared for the post-settlement era. The emergence of governance structures that better reflect the Crown – iwi partnership as well as significantly greater capacity and capability of mana whenua have been influenced by Treaty settlements. It is important that a detailed assessment of governance recommended by this Review considers how best to incorporate mana whenua perspectives and priorities.

In the short term, other recommendations within this Review (assuming they are implemented) would provide impetus for improving relationships and relevance of the Forum to mana whenua, and we suggest advantage should be taken of the opportunity to work with mana whenua to co-develop a more aligned work programme that is valued by all parties.

This will include leveraging the project manager and Healthy Waters staff roles, additional funding to create the State of the Harbour report, and subsequent strategic work plan. Any future strategic plan for MHF needs to significantly increase mana whenua involvement and offer greater incentive to participate – this could include roles in governance, determining work programmes and priorities, and properly resourcing mana whenua to engage.

All of these should have mana whenua fully involved as co-developers and partners rather than simply stakeholders being consulted. Useful insights could perhaps be gained from the Integrated Kaipara Harbour Management Group, or the Maunga Authority, both of which are iwi-led and within the broader Auckland Region.

**Mana Whenua Recommendations:**

MW1. A plan for mana whenua engagement should be co-developed with willing tribal entities.

MW2. The Forum should ensure funding is allocated to compensate mana whenua for their time and effort in working on Forum-related issues.
6. Reflection on Current and Potential Governance Structures

All interviewees agreed that current governance arrangements of the Forum are not effective and that this is one of the most significant factors contributing to the failure of the Forum to achieve its Vision. This is despite members of the Forum being highly motivated to create positive change. Reasons provided during interviews include:

- The relative infrequency of meetings (six times per year) hinders effective governance and slows progression of the work programme.
- Continuity of Local Board representation is a key ongoing issue for the Forum. The occurrence of Local Board elections severely impacts upon the ability of the Forum to maintain momentum, and disrupts the stability of individual Local Board membership / leadership.
- Lack of clarity of roles and expectations. Several member comments were reflective of a lack of understanding of the roles of individual Local Boards and the expectations around reporting to back to Local Boards or to other divisions of Council. Some members felt that there is little value-add either from the Forum to their respective Boards and noted opportunity costs associated with sitting on the Forum.
- Lack of interaction with, or participation by, Auckland Councillors, despite the fact that the majority of Council wards are partially located within the Manukau Catchment boundaries.
- Lack of Council Controlled Organisation representation on the Forum; CCOs with significant work programmes in the catchment are not given the opportunity to contribute more meaningfully at a governance level.

Further analysis of potential governance changes should occur; this could be reviewed by the Governance Review Committee of Council. Appendix Four provides a high-level summary of potential governance structures that could be considered, including Standing Committee of the Environment & Community Committee, Co-Governance, or a Joint Committee. Additional membership should be considered to provide a broader peer group of politically aware and strong leaders across Council and CCO’s committed to promoting the objectives of the Forum. In the immediate term, the Forum could increase its relationship with the Governing Body by inviting it to nominate Councillors to participate in the Forum alongside current members. This would increase both the visibility and alignment of the Forum within Council.

Forum members all expressed concern regarding the lack of attendance and how the infrequency of meetings is not conducive to sustaining momentum.

There is wide support for an increase in the number of meetings to be held each year (increase from six to nine), and for rotating hosting responsibilities by each of the Local Boards involved with the MHF.

Structure & Governance Recommendations:

S&G1. Immediately invite Governing body involvement via two Councillor representatives on the Forum, including co-chair. Retain Local Board membership as-is; thus increasing total membership to 11.

S&G2. Amend the Forum Terms of Reference to include Councillor representation.

S&G3. Further develop options to establish greater status, resourcing and accountability for the Forum, including consideration of:

- Costs and benefits of adding additional representation onto Forum beyond elected members – e.g. community, industry, mana whenua.
- Developing new reporting lines & accountability, and status for the Forum within the Auckland Council structure, for example
  - Having the Forum as a Subcommittee of the Environment and Community Committee, or
  - Having the Forum as a Joint Committee of Council, or
An alternative structure using examples such as the Rural Advisory Panel, Kaitiaki Forum, Tupuna Maunga Authority.

S&G4. Seek input to potential long term structural and governance options from the Joint Governance Working Group.

7. Identify Resourcing & Operational Support Models

Local board resourcing is currently inadequate to fully deliver on the potential of the Forum. Tensions around the funding model have been created through misaligned priorities of local boards, leading to the Forum risking becoming underfunded if the work programme does not align with the views of all constituent local boards. The disjointed approach to funding introduces significant risk to the Forum being able to deliver upon its Vision and the ability to develop longer term work programmes.

Although some visible and positive actions have been undertaken in the past there is a general sense from members that with greater resourcing the Forum could accomplish significantly more, thereby more effectively promoting its Vision. As part of the Review we compared the MHF with other council-aligned across Auckland to identify opportunities for improved operational support and governance (Table One and Two). The key findings / differences between MHF and other organisations are noted below:

- Most have a paid staff member.
- Most have a stand-alone budget.
- Other organisations have an annual work programme and long-term outlook.
- Most have some form of mana whenua representation
- None have only Local Board representation.
- There is huge variety in function, status, and relationship with Governing Body.
- There is no direct comparison with MHF, however there are useful learnings to be taken from other models.

An important difference between the MHF and other organisations we considered is the lack of a paid staff role to assist with planning a work programme, project identification, management, co-ordination, administration – and ensuring the delivery of Forum work programmes. Appendix Three provides reflections on the benefits and outcomes of having a paid staff role for TEEF.

We believe that this underpins the failings of the MHF. In addition, there are shared learnings and opportunities to collaborate with other harbour related entities in Auckland (i.e. Hauraki Gulf Forum, Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum, Integrated Kaipara Harbour Management Group).

Although they cover different geographic areas and have different issues to deal with, all cover the land-sea boundary, are regionally important and share at least some common problems.

---

**Case Study: Resourcing for Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum**

Although TEEF does not share the same structure or governance arrangement as the MHF, there are many parallels between the two Forums that make comparison useful. Challenges such as Local Board alignment and continuity, connectivity with Council organisations, effective advocacy, and the design and delivery of a work programme are shared by both TEEF and the MHF. For TEEF, overcoming or navigating these challenges has been simplified by having a paid staff role.

Having a paid staff role and a direct officer relationship to assist with planning a work programme, project identification, management, co-ordination, administration, and ensuring the delivery of Forum work programmes has enabled TEEF to become far more effective in its role overall as an advocate for the health of the Tamaki Estuary.
Table One. Comparisons between the Manukau Harbour Forum and other similar entities around Auckland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Paid Staff Member(s)</th>
<th>Governing Body Representation</th>
<th>Local Board Representation</th>
<th>Mana Whenua Representation</th>
<th>Community Representation</th>
<th>Supported by legislation?</th>
<th>Governing Body Contribution to Annual Budget</th>
<th>Annual Work Plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauraki Gulf Forum</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Kaipara Harbour Management Group</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table Two. Governance and structure comparisons between the Manukau Harbour Forum and other model organisations within Auckland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Type of Organisation</th>
<th>Paid Staff Member(s)</th>
<th>Auckland Councillor Representation</th>
<th>Local Board Representation</th>
<th>Mana Whenua Representation</th>
<th>Community Representation</th>
<th>Industry Representation</th>
<th>Supported by legislation?</th>
<th>Allocated Annual Budget</th>
<th>Annual Work Plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>Local Board Forum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Advisory Group</td>
<td>Standing Committee (panel)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaitiaki Forum</td>
<td>Hapū and iwi authority collective (panel)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Domain Committee</td>
<td>Joint Committee</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tupuna Maunga Authority</td>
<td>Co-Governance Body</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resourcing & Operational Support Recommendations:

R&OS1. Establish a paid position for a project manager / co-ordinator to deliver the Forum’s work programme (8 – 16hrs per week).

R&OS2. Provide dedicated council officer (Healthy Waters) time to align with project manager and ensure maximum value from Council work programmes (especially important once hydrodynamic model etc are completed).

R&OS3. Align with other Harbour initiatives (Tamaki Estuary, Hauraki Gulf, Kaipara) in the Auckland Region. Identify and implement shared learnings and resources that could be adopted.

8. Strategic Objectives

Although the Forum has articulated a Vision, there is an absence of regularly updated strategic objectives, that in turn drive an outcome focused work programme.

This means the Forum has relatively little influence over the work undertaken by Council, CCOs or private entities within the Catchment or Harbour. A consistent concern held by interviewees was the absence of an overarching strategy or ‘master plan’ for the catchment. This has restricted the Forum to funding relatively small-scale projects through the respective local boards without any clear alignment to longer term priorities or outcomes underpinning the work.

By way of comparison, both the Integrated Kaipara Harbour Management Group and Hauraki Gulf Forum have invested considerable time and resource into defining their objectives, outcomes and baseline conditions. In the case of the Hauraki Gulf Forum, Sea Change Tai Timu Tai Pari was NZ’s first marine spatial plan, and was initiated by the Forum to address declining health of the Gulf. In Kaipara a stakeholder-led plan has been developed with specific outcomes in mind, and has been broadly accepted by the community. Both examples in turn drive future investment and work programmes by providing a strategic framework and set of objectives to achieve desired outcomes.

9. Review of Advocacy and Activity to Date

There have been a number of examples of successful initiatives from the Manukau Forum since its inception. Examples include:

- Submissions to the Long-Term Plan process to commission a hydrodynamic model for the Manukau Harbour.
- Flagship initiatives with environmentally responsible organisations such as Mainfreight and Villa Maria to promote corporate leadership on environmental issues.
- Supporting the Young Leaders programme.
- A variety of public amenity creation projects and native planting initiatives across the nine Local Board Wards.
- Creation of a promotional video to aid in communications.
- Annual symposium (open to the community to attend) to identify key issues and outcomes that will impact and restore the mauri of the Manukau.

The Forum’s past work programme has included a mix of advocacy and practical efforts that have served to promote outcomes for the Manukau Harbour. It is important that these types of activities continue as part of future work plans for the Forum.

Stocktake of Existing Activities
EnviroStrat

As part of this review we were asked to prepare a high level stocktake of past and current initiatives led by CCOs, Central Government, and other large developers that have directly or indirectly benefited (or negatively affected) the Manukau Harbour. This summary sits alongside an earlier piece of work completed in October 2018 that provided a list of past and current Local Board initiatives in the catchment. This stocktake served to address the gap in visibility and identify potential opportunities for the Forum to collaborate / influence practices or projects across a range of other organisations throughout the entire catchment. The full breakdown of activities throughout the catchment can be found in Appendix Two.

The work programme descriptions do not clearly identify whether initiatives have positive effects on the Manukau Harbour. In future it would be useful include a section in Council and CCO work programme reporting detailing the effects each initiative will have on the Manukau Catchment and Harbour.

The work programme is currently Local Board-centric (in terms of type of activity); an opportunity exists to transition to a more integrated strategic approach without losing the important local initiatives (Integration of activities should cross Local Board boundaries and the land-sea interface). An excel sheet is a clear and easy way for the Manukau Harbour Forum to keep track of the current initiatives that are benefitting the Manukau Harbour. Continuing to add to this database would enable the forum to identify the initiatives being delivered in each local board area, and visualise the potential gaps.

An opportunity exists for the Manukau Harbour Forum to create clear outcome-based priorities. With these, staff can easily identify what priority their project falls under and reflect this in their reporting to the individual boards and shared with the Manukau Harbour Forum.

Limitations of Stocktake

- Limited past information: Council storage only contains work programmes from the 2016/2017 Financial Year onward. Additionally, staff turn-over limits the amount of background knowledge that new staff are aware of.
- Subjective selection: The selection of initiatives was reliant on the clarity of work programme descriptions and our interpretation of the information provided.
- Subjective categories: the category breakdown is not clearly defined, and categorisation was subjective to individual interpretation of the information provided.
- Visibility and detail of CCO-led projects was low (especially regarding the scale of the project – size, cost etc) through publicly available web portals; the same applied to projects led by private developers and central government agencies such as Housing New Zealand.
- Little understanding between Council departments of the types of projects happening across the catchment.

Stocktake Recommendations:

ST1: To continue the stocktake as a database for the Forum to identify all the initiatives that are benefitting the Manukau Harbour. This will enable the Forum to identify where local boards can collaborate with each other and / or CCOs or Council to deliver initiatives with similar objectives.

ST2: To incorporate a stocktake of activity within a State of the Harbour report.

ST3: Look into the possibility for including a section in work programme reporting, for staff to highlight if the initiative they are leading has any benefits to the Manukau.

10. Information Currently Held, Under Development and Known Gaps

There is no clear understanding of the current state of the Harbour, therefore it is difficult to base future priorities and a work programme on priority actions that could add most value. In the absence of shared understanding of current state, causes and sources of problems, it is impossible to develop long term, strategic objectives, or to attract the scale of funding necessary to effect significant change in the Harbour. In both the
Hauraki Gulf and Kaipara examples described above, investment into understanding baseline conditions and causes allowed much more strategic approaches to future change to occur.

We do not believe it will be possible to transform the Manukau Harbour Forum from local issue based to the more strategically focused and influential organisation members aspire to without investment from the Governing Body in the research and analysis needed for both a State of the Harbour baseline report, and a subsequent strategic and integrated Harbour management plan. A plan of this nature should then be supported by targeted allocation of funds for implementation through a line item in the Long-Term Plan. In addition, the Forum should take full advantage of the support from Healthy Waters that the forthcoming hydrodynamic model will provide in order to understand the implications of future land use change on contaminants within the Catchment and Harbour.

State of the Harbour Recommendations:

SOH1. Source funding for an integrated State of the Harbour Report to provide baseline understanding of the Catchment and Harbour.

SOH2. Prepare a State of the Harbour Report to provide baseline understanding of health & wellbeing of catchment, harbour and people living within it.

SOH3. Prepare an integrated, outcomes focused Strategic Plan to address issues identified in the State of the Harbour Report. Prioritise changes and outcomes via a programme of works.

SOH4. Long Term Plan - funding and resources need to be allocated through the LTP.

SOH5. Use Healthy Waters Hydrodynamic Model and other relevant tools to inform Forum focus areas and implications of future land use changes for the Harbour.

Workshop Feedback

On August 11, Forum members participated in a facilitated workshop to discuss initial findings and and draft recommendations of the Review. The following summarises the feedback obtained at the workshop:

- Forum members agreed with all recommendations made in the presentation. No objections were noted. Some additional recommendations were made; in particular
  - The Forum should invite two Auckland Councillors to sit on the Forum immediately following the election.
- Commissioning a State of the Harbour Report is a top priority for the MHF. A Strategic Plan needs to be developed that prioritises solutions to issues presented in the State of the Harbour Report.
- Unanimous agreement on the creation of a paid staff role and the need for greater interaction with Healthy Waters staff.
- Unanimous agreement on the need for greater alignment and accountability to/from the Governing Body.
Summary

The Manukau Harbour Forum is failing to achieve its Vision and will not do so without significant change. This view was expressed unanimously by all interviewees. Equally, all interviewees feel that an entity that has the ability and mandate to cross geographic and sectoral boundaries, and to advocate for, monitor and provide leadership in the Manukau Harbour is important.

We have made 18 recommendations that if adopted would improve the way the Forum is structured and governed, resourced and able to influence the future health and wellbeing of the Manukau Catchment and Harbour. Some of these recommendations are relatively simple to implement and should be put in place immediately, which would have significant beneficial impact on the work of the Forum. Others, whilst more complex and challenging to implement, have the potential to transform the functioning and effectiveness of the Forum to achieve its Vision. It is important that the additional detailed assessment of governance recommended in this review includes consideration of how to maintain momentum following any governance changes.
# Appendix One: List of Interviewees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forum Members</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan Cole &amp; Angela Fulljames</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill McEntee</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrol Elliot</td>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Makoare</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn Trenberth</td>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Dalton</td>
<td>Manurewa Local Board (ex Forum member, representing Joseph Allan in his absence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Holm &amp; Julie Fairey</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Mulholland</td>
<td>Whau Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saffron Toms</td>
<td>Waitākere Ranges Local Board (Chair of MHF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auckland Councillors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Bartley</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Ward Councillor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Franklin Ward Councillor and Deputy Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council Officers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Chin</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Healthy Waters Division (Water Portfolio Manager)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriana Knox</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Relationship Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mara Bebich</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Stakeholder Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hutton</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Treaty Settlement Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Boyd</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warwick McNaughton</td>
<td>Auckland Council, Democracy Services, Principal Advisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix Two: Stocktake of Activities Within the Catchment

Auckland Council Controlled Organisations, Central Government Organisations, and Large Developers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Marine / Terrestrial</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Amount (if available)</th>
<th>Role of Manukau Harbour Forum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2015–</td>
<td>First iteration of Water Quality Accounting (based on data from currently monitored sites) which is presented via the Integrated Watershed Plans</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Marine / Freshwater</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Request updates on water quality / work with community groups to monitor MIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2015–</td>
<td>Region wide Freshwater Management Tool (FWMT) to estimate current catchment contaminant loads associated with various instream water quality states</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Request updates on water quality / work with community groups to monitor local streams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Approval of a Water Quality Targeted Rate under the 2018 Long Term Plan which will provide $452 million of additional investment over the next ten years into water quality outcomes across the region.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Marine / Freshwater / Terrestrial</td>
<td>Implemented</td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$453,000,000</td>
<td>Local board funding grants to improve water quality flowing into MIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2015–</td>
<td>Development of Auckland’s water strategy to provide strategic direction and priorities for the Auckland Council family to improve management of water in all its forms.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Marine / Freshwater</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Submissions to the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Central government swimability targets and at-risk catchment initiatives.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Marine / Freshwater</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Policy / Infrastructure</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Development of SafeSwim model to provide forecasts of coastal water quality and real-time alerts of public health risks.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Marine / Freshwater</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2015–</td>
<td>Strategic reviews with respect to holistic management of sediment, and water allocation across the council.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Terrestrial / Freshwater</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Managemen t</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Submissions to the plan / stakeholder input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018-</td>
<td>Urban and rural streams rehabilitation ($20.4 million). This includes improvements to the ecological health of the streams + improved environmental outcomes associated with urban development in areas such as (Manu Creek in East Tamaki)</td>
<td>Urban areas</td>
<td>Freshwater</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$20,400,000</td>
<td>Identification of priority streams. Support community groups / Council work to restore habitats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018-</td>
<td>Proactive compliance and monitoring of onsite waste water systems ($8.2 million).</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Terrestrial / Freshwater</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Managemen t</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$8,200,000</td>
<td>Local governance role &amp; compliance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Marine / Terrestrial</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Amount (if available)</td>
<td>Role of Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2018-2030</td>
<td>Illicit discharges reduction programme (NZ$0.5 million), aimed at reducing SaferSwim non-compliance alerts, improving amenity value of recreational beaches around the region, and improving freshwater stream environments.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Local governance role &amp; compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>2016-2023</td>
<td>Auckland Wetland, Artillery Tunnel and Grove Rd Culvert: An open channel and culvert with cascading weirs and associated green space to convey the 100 year flood, to service the Takarini Growth Areas.</td>
<td>Takarini</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allocation of local board funds to develop area. Support community groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>2020-2022</td>
<td>The airport to Botany Rapid Transit project will deliver a new public transport link between the airport, Manukau and Botany, to improve accessibility in south-west, southern and eastern areas of Auckland - as well as provide an important public transport link to the rail network at Puhinui.</td>
<td>Manukau - Pakuranga</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$60,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input to development plans. Compliance with construction regulations (e.g., prevention of sediment runoff).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>The Otorohanga town centre upgrade aims to transform the streets and public open spaces, making changes to roads and connections to provide better infrastructure for walking and cycling.</td>
<td>Mangere/ Ōtautahi</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$17,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input to development plans. Compliance with construction regulations (e.g., prevention of sediment runoff).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Te Ara Māia - Future Streets project makes it safer and easier to walk and cycle in the Milliges Central area, improving streets, pathways, crossings, and access to the town centre and local schools.</td>
<td>Mangere</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Upgrade of the Redoubt Road - Mill Road corridor between Manukau, Papakura and Drury. Priority project.</td>
<td>Manukau- Papakura</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$2,000,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>2015-2020</td>
<td>The Southern Corridor Improvements Project covers the stretch of Southern Motorway (SH1) from the SH20/SH1 connection at Manukau down to Papakura in the south. The project includes additional lanes in both directions, upgraded Takarini interchange and a 4.5km shared use pedestrian / cycle path.</td>
<td>Manukau</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$268,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure. Mitigate sediment runoff into waterways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watercare</td>
<td>2016-2023</td>
<td>Water treatment plant and two storage reservoirs in Waiuku, west Auckland. The treatment plant will replace an existing plant that was built in 1928. The reservoir will increase the volume of water stored locally, improving the resilience of the wider water network and accommodating daily demand fluctuations.</td>
<td>Titirangi</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Marine / Terrestrial</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Amount (if available)</td>
<td>Role of Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watercare</td>
<td>2012-</td>
<td>Hounua Pipeline. This 31-kilometre pipeline through Manukau and Auckland cities will meet growing demand and increase resilience.</td>
<td>Manukau</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$400,000,000</td>
<td>Stakeholder input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watercare</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>South West wastewater services: building a high-tech facility at the Waikuku Wastewater Treatment Plant, and to serve Western communities laying pipelines to take the highly treated wastewater to the bypass outlet in the Waikuku River channel, near the Clarks Beach golf course.</td>
<td>Waikuku</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Explore opportunities to improve wastewater outflows into the MH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku</td>
<td>2018 -</td>
<td>An empty site at 20 Borrowdale Place in Manukau will be developed into a residential neighbourhood of up to 300 new homes during the next five years.</td>
<td>Manukau</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Putney Way Upgrade: The upgrade will include a new single-level surface extending from the new bus station to the opposite pathway. Native trees will be planted along both sides of the street to make up rain gardens that help to clean stormwater before it reaches our drains.</td>
<td>Manukau</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku</td>
<td>2020+</td>
<td>Puhinui Stream Upgrade, Stream project as a model of ecological, social, cultural and economic transformation.</td>
<td>Manukau</td>
<td>Terrestrial / Freshwater</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy, funding, stakeholder input &amp; community engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku</td>
<td>2024+</td>
<td>Onehunga Whatip has been acquired by Auckland Council. It will be transformed into a new community with homes, cafes, retail and public space.</td>
<td>Onehunga</td>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Promote public awareness and place-making activity around MH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku</td>
<td>2011-</td>
<td>Taumano Reserve. The project re-establishes access to an Inner Manukau coastal environment, and restores amenity that was lost to the community of Onehunga through the establishment of $400 in the 1970s.</td>
<td>Onehunga</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing NZ</td>
<td>2018-</td>
<td>McLennan is a new community-focused development being built between the open spaces and recreational parks of Papakura and Takapuna. Meeting the demand for quality, affordable housing in Auckland’s thriving south east, McLennan will feature over 600 architecturally-designed homes.</td>
<td>Takapuna</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing NZ</td>
<td>2018+</td>
<td>Mangere Development: The whole development will take 10-15 years and will see an estimated 2,000 Housing New Zealand state homes replaced with up to 10,000 homes.</td>
<td>Mangere</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth / Restoration</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$6,425,000.00 $6,425,000.00</td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Marine / Terrestrial</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>Amount (if available)</td>
<td>Role of Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing NZ</td>
<td>2018-2026</td>
<td>Mt Roskill Development: 10,000 new, quality homes for Aucklanders. Around 3,000 state homes for people who need assistance, 3,500 more affordable homes, and 3,500 homes for the general market. 10-15 years to complete.</td>
<td>Mt Roskill</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth / Restoration</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>$5,365,000.00</td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing NZ</td>
<td>2018-2026</td>
<td>Oranga Development: Improving some of the streets and parks in the neighbourhoods. Over the next eight years, the development will see around 335 old Housing New Zealand state homes replaced with around 1,000 new homes.</td>
<td>Oranga</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Growth / Restoration</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing NZ</td>
<td>2018-2026</td>
<td>120 small to mid-sized developments underway across Auckland. These are replacing old houses that are no longer fit for purpose with warm, dry and safe new homes that make the most efficient use of our land holdings.</td>
<td>Regionwide</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth / Restoration</td>
<td>Small / Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Conservation</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The Conservation Services Programme (CSP) forms part of the work delivered by the Marine Species and Threats team. CSP focuses exclusively on elements of work defined as Conservation Services in the Fisheries Act.</td>
<td>Nationwide</td>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Protection / Restoration</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collaboration with MPI &amp; DOC on management of Manukau Harbour fisheries / environment. Develop ties with MfE fisheries companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature Homes</td>
<td>2017-2020</td>
<td>Wattle Downs is a green-field development of 112 homes in Manurewa, Auckland. This mixed-tenure community will include social housing provided by Accessible Properties, Police Health Trust and Emge Aotearoa. It will also include private sales and Kiwibuild homes.</td>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder input. Ensure compliance. Encourage implementation of green stormwater infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Dollar values were not publicly available for many of the projects listed, so each one was given a scaling grade (Small / Medium / Large). Small = Projects under $1m / smaller than a neighbourhood. Medium = Projects $1m - $5m / larger than a neighbourhood. Large = Projects $5m+ / local board area or larger.
### Stocktake of Local Board Activities in Manukau Catchment and Harbour (provided by Council staff)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore Investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FR/2015/140</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>Planting and maintenance of existing planting along streams supported as part of the Waikare programme</td>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>IES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>greenways plan (Waikare trail plan)</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PIR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483/938</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Volunteers - community involvement in local parks, Waikare estuary (FY17)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PIR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972/28</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Strategic weed control (Manukau recreational and plantation reserve)</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>IES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2265/29</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Water quality monitoring (manukau harbour-waiuku)</td>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>IES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2905/10</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waterways protection fund (Manukau Stream)</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3439</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Big Bay Reserve Boat ramp and seawalls renewers</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$8,523</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3440</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Centennial Park - Waikare carpark renewers</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$33,291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3441</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Clarks beach boat ramp seawall (adjacent to club boat ramp) renewal</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3442</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Clarks beach boat ramp vehicular barriers renewal</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$32,312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3443</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Clarks beach recreation reserve &amp; golf club carpark west renewals</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$21,877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3404</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Glenbrook Beach Beachfront coastal renewers</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$35,182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3405</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Glenbrook road recreation reserve carpark west renewers</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3472</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Sandpark Reserve coastal renewals</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3475</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Tamakiwai reserve wharf access water band rotundula renewers</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$15,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3476</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Tamakiwai reserve wharf/Bayview (FY14)</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$463,193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3480</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waiuku Beach boat ramp reserve paths renewal</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$35,105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3540</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Tamakiwai Point reserve carpark renewal</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$51,680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3941</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>tamakiwai recreation and plantation reserve retaining wall</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex (FY12) Growth (FY18)</td>
<td>$17,142</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4503</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Clarks Beach Franklin design new statepark</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Implementation of biodiversity management plan actions for high priority reserves (Tamakiwai reserve)</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>IES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Weed control at Manukau esplanade</td>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Mānukau Harbour and Foreshore Investment</td>
<td>Category (Outcome)</td>
<td>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>FY17 (K)</td>
<td>FY18 (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Event Partnership Fund (Waikuku Duck Boat derby) (Waikuku Lions sand to mud run and family day)</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Events</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3083</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Matakauri Point - develop playground</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3084</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Matakauri Point Reserve - renew wharf</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MĀNGERE-OHANGA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Green Assets</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531/593</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Mangrove management and removal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Volunteers Parks - support volunteer activity on Parks and reserves programme being developed (Haruna Creek &amp; Kiwi Esplanade)</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1492/46</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Wai Care - Māngere-Ohanga (Haruna and Tararua Creek, Onurangi Awa)</td>
<td>Water Quality/Biodiversities/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1494/50</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Otautuwa Weed Control</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955/39</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Mānukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967/38</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Industry Pollution Prevention Programme</td>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968/51</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Onurangi - Riparian Restoration Planting</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970/49</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Pulakir Crater</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3286</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Kiwi Esplanade (Bird Refuge &amp; Pump Hse) Coastal asset renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$53,840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3293</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Māngere-Ohanga Path renewals</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$43,522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2342</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Pukekohe: Neighbourhood Development - Friends of the Park and Māngere East Community Hub</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Community Engagement</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3245/2406</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Naylors Esplanade Reserve Structure Renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3246/2421</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Peninsula Point Reserve Bridge and Footpath Renewal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$15,176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3386/2407</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Norana Park Walkway</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$1,870,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Business waste minimisation education programme</td>
<td>Community engagement/Environment</td>
<td>I&amp;E&amp;S: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1581</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Ecological volunteers and environmental programme</td>
<td>Environment/Biodiversity</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>MANUREWA</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore investment</td>
<td>Category (Outcome)</td>
<td>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>FY17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Programmes and events - education and recreation activities on parks and reserves (Waitemata)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR/ Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 91,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Volunteers - community involvement in local parks (Puhinui stream &amp; Weymouth reserves)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR/ Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1466</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Industry Pollution Prevention Programme</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1468/32</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2244/19</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Event Partnership Fund (Non-contestable) - Puhinui Stream Event/challenge</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Events</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2795</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Mangrove removal - Waitemata inlet</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3134</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Bellers Road Coastal and Furniture Renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 16,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3156/359</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Pitt Avenue Foreshore Coastal Renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 40,560</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3388/2340</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Waitemata Reserve new play area and walkway F Od</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>$ 75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Puhinui Stream Restoration Project</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Planning for mangrove removal (Puhinui Stream)</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2133</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Renew park furniture and fixtures (foreshores &amp; reserves)</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 260,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2135</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Manukau coastal walkway network - develop walkways</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 565,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2856</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Weymouth boating club - renew boat ramp</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>MAUNGAKIEKI-TAMAKI</th>
<th>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Volunteers local parks (Animal pest control at Tauamau reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR/ Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046/128</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour water quality improvement (Forum)</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047/45</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Water sensitive in schools (Manukau Harbour)/ (Maungakiekie area)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 22,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048/184</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Industry Pollution Prevention Programme/Spill training (Manukau Harbour)/ (Maungakiekie area)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2358/389</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Onehunga Festival (Local events programme)</td>
<td>Access/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Events</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3334</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Local parks playground renewals - onehunga bay spaces renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3393/330</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Onehunga Bay Reserve GO</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Growth (FY17)</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td>$ 95,487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment B

### Item 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4143/2370</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>OBIFU - Onehunga Bay foreshore upgrade</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$1,120,049</td>
<td>$163,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4527</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Onehunga Bay Reserve - parking, signage and line marking</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$4,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2839</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Ecological volunteer and environmental programme (fossilino reserve - animal pest control)</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>Programmes and events in local parks (Puhinui Reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586/1487</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Puhinui Stream and Walkway volunteers</td>
<td>Water quality/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>Volunteers parks (puhinui reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2054/11</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2056/15</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Industry Pollution prevention Programme (IPPP)</td>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1703</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Ecological volunteers programme (Puhinui Reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>Programmes and events - education and recreation activities on parks and reserves (conifer grove and pauhauhe inlet)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$47,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1390</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>War-Care - Papakura → Riparian restoration (Kerikeri Stream park → 35A Berry Creek)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1391</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Strategic weed initiative</td>
<td>Ecological restoration</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1393/81</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3359</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Mangrove Removal - Pauhauhe and Conifer Grove</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$299,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Mangrove Seeding/Juvenile Removal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: Project Delivery</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3253</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Pauhauhe Esplanade Boardwalk and walkway</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$367,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3258</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Conifer Grove Esplanade Reserve Structure Renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$26,008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3264</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Papakura Furnace Equipment renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$16,410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3270</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Ray Small Park Retaining wall renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$16,800</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore Investment</td>
<td>Category (Outcome)</td>
<td>Work Unit (Delivery Dept)</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>FY18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3272</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Slippery Creek reserve structure renewals</td>
<td>Amenities/Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3776</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Ernie Clark Reserve replacement or removal of bridge</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 49,720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4189</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Gough Cove Reserve assessment of floating pontoons for renewal or removal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 382,838</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4198</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Ray Small Skate Park</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 662,171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4220</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Wharf Site to Prince Edward boardwalk connection</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 327,546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1425</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>PPK Local Parks: Ecological volunteers programme (cleaning-up beaches and reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/Communi ty Engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>PPK Queen Street White corner and active programme (Ray Small Park)</td>
<td>Access/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 27,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Industry Pollution Prevention Programme</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2728</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Renew park structures 17-18</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3296</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Ray Small Park - reinstate skate park</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 969,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3498</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Ecological restoration projects</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$ 660,099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PUKEATAPA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit (Delivery Dept)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Environmental Volunteer initiatives (Himalaya Reserve)</td>
<td>Environment/commun ity engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603/1943</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Foreshore pine tree removal</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 42,000</td>
<td>$ 42,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour foreshore weed/pest management</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Volunteers Local Parks</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1547/54</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Ecological restoration programme (Himalaya reserve network)</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;ES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2042</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Local Civic Events (Maraetai Coastal Boardwalk Completion)</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Events</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2944</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Pukeatapapa Furniture FY17-18 Renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2945</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>LF WCR - Pukeatapapa Coastal Walkways</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2958/2508</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Manukau Domain steps renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>$ 80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2959/2502</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Pukeatapapa Structure Renewals (Waikaraka Stream Reserve, Kingswood reserve, Himalaya reserve, Taylor's Bay road reserve)</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
<td>$ 150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3419/2440</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Waikaraka Coastal Boardwalk - Stage 2 GWD</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Growth Capex (FY17)</td>
<td>$ 1,860,000</td>
<td>$ 180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4210</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waikaraka Coastal Boardwalk - Stage 1</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 982,791</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6330/1016</td>
<td>16/17-17/18</td>
<td>Lynfield Cove Reserve track renewals</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ 56,480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachment B** Item 22
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>راتلاسه Onor M Moran Investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit/Delivery Dept</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>799</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Puketapapa area Parks, Sport and recreation services planning (Waiukuhi reserve)</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1148</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Informal social recreation projects</td>
<td>Access/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Active Recreations</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 3,946</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Puketapapa local parks: Ecological volunteers and environmental programme FY17/18</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1941</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Native forest restoration and ecological restoration programmes</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2494</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Waitakere Reserve - renew play space</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3228</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Renew coastal walkways</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 2,837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WAITAKERE RANGES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Waitakere Ranges Local Parks</th>
<th>Environment/Community engagement</th>
<th>CS: PSR: Local Parks</th>
<th>LDI: Opex</th>
<th>$ 32,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>644</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Walkway development</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 336,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949/136</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waitakere Ranges Manukau Harbour Forum Support</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;S: Waste Solutions - I&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/136</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waitakere Ranges EcoWest Festival Support</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/141</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waitakere Ranges EcoWest Festival Support</td>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>I&amp;S: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/130</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Septic Tank Pump out programme for WRILB</td>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>I&amp;S: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$ 602,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2691</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Faculty Partnership 2014 Titirangi Waia Ama (WTK)</td>
<td>Access/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Sport &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3131</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waitakere Ranges Footpath Renewal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3846</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Waitakere Ranges Footpath Renewal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$ 1,700,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4206</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Haroma Bay Reurban toilet block</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4205</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Alex Jenkins Memorial toilet renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 321,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4278</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Takaranga Reserve playground renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 33,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4279</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Takaranga Reserve Track renewal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4283</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Takaranga Reserve Track renewal</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 26,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4282</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>TFB - WR - French Bay Esplanade toilet/changing room renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 2,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>635</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Coastal and Marine Environment programme</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;S: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>662</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Apply the empowered communities approach - connecting communities, forming agreements and collaboration between AC and community groups</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: ACE: Community Empowerment</td>
<td>STAFF TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachment B Item 22**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore Investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit (Delivery Dept)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>810</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Parks information project</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Celebrate park openings and events programme</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Local Parks: Ecological volunteers and environmental programme</td>
<td>Ecological restoration</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 33,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2462</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Armour Bay Reserve - renew park roads and carpark</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 78,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2470</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Hatai Domain - renew coastal structure</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 650,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2471</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Hatai Domain - renew park roads and carpark</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2485</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Waiataro Ranges - renew park furniture (Sandy’s Parade)</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 39,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3056</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Little Muddy Creek - Install walkway</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 115,628</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WHAU**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Manukau Harbour and Foreshore Investment</th>
<th>Category (Outcome)</th>
<th>Work Unit (Delivery Dept)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>675</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Reduce Herbicide support (reduce chemical use in Whau parks)</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Park community partnerships (pest control, planting and restoration involving volunteers)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 65,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1482</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>LDI Volunteer Programme (Shadbolt &amp; Craigh avatar Park)</td>
<td>Environment/Community engagement</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Local Parks</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 37,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Support</td>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>I&amp;M: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2106</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Whau Local Low Carbon Action Plan</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>I&amp;M: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3273</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Blockhouse Bay Recreation Reserve Playground renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 254,435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3282</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Green Bay Domain Building Renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3289</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Craigh avatar Park Bollard Renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 77,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3290</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Whau furniture renewal</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 10,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>Industrial pollution prevention programme</td>
<td>Water quality/community engagement</td>
<td>I&amp;M: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Integrated ecological framework for the Whau</td>
<td>Ecological restoration</td>
<td>I&amp;M: Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Ecological volunteers and environmental programme</td>
<td>Ecological restoration</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$ 37,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2214</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Gittos Domain and Rial Reserve - renew park structures</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2254</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Renew park furniture Taunton and Blockhouse Bay Beach Reserve</td>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>CS: PSR: Park Services</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$ 58,534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3833</td>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>Ecological restoration contracts</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$ 217,618</td>
<td>$ 107,747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix Three: Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum Case Study

Although not within the scope of the Review, we have also incorporated some perspectives from the Tamaki Estuary Environmental Coordinator in order to convey some of the benefits to having a paid staff position on a similar Forum.

The Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum (TEEF) has had a paid staff position since early 2018. Prior to that, TEEF has operated for the last 30 years entirely through community-led volunteer work.

Below is a summary of the recent activity over the last 12-18 months undertaken by TEEF:

| Doubled the number of participating organisations | Built links with the Hauraki Gulf Forum | Produced robust citizen science on threatened bird populations | Submitted on the Stormwater Network Discharge consent application |
| Submitted on the Trade Waste Bylaw | Submitted on the Maungakiekie – Tāmaki Draft Open Space Plan | Ran 7 clean up events throughout the estuary with partner groups | Initiated discussion on sedimentation with various affected parties |
| Established an online Facebook platform with regular updates | Produced footage to create a TEEF promotional video | Ran several microplastic clean ups / workshops with EnviroSchools | Lobbided Local Boards on greater support for threatened biodiversity |
| Removed in excess of 4,000 mothplant pods from the catchments | Ran a clean up & threatened shorebird workshop for Chinese residents | Collaborated with a local entrepreneur on innovative plastic removal methods | Initiated dialogue with Plastics NZ on a collaboration |

Reflections from the Coordinator

- Having a Coordinator has enabled TEEF to maintain activity levels year-round – ensuring delivery of the work programme – there was no evidence of this happening prior to having paid staff.
- A Coordinator in addition to a Healthy Waters staff member with allocated hours to TEEF has established greater connectivity with Council work programmes and facilitated alignment between community-led initiatives and larger strategic Council objectives. Without a direct link to Council staff and the additional insights that come with the associated contacts, it can be difficult for the Coordinator to effectively manage the alignment and opportunities between local governance and the Forum.
- The Coordinator also manages a Facebook platform in order to enhance community outreach; although this was not initially included in the contract, having a paid staff member to administrate the page ensures reliability and continuity of content.
- The amount of events / submissions / activities / advocacy has increased significantly over the past 18 months; a portion of this progress is likely due to paid staffing.
- It is important to have clear role definitions. In the case of TEEF, the role of the Coordinator has evolved over time and extends from being a networker, social media administrator, to project manager.
• Most communication primarily occurs between the Co-Chairs and the Coordinator. This simplifies communications, filters information quality, streamlines decision-making, and allows a Community / Local Board perspective to balance decisions.

• Ideally, the co-ordinators role should cede project management to individual champions within the forum, and then support them in achieving what they set out to do. This enhances the community-led aspects, ownership, and buy-in of a public forum.

• The Coordinator plays an important role as the key point of contact for organisations who are looking to collaborate with the forum; meeting one-on-one with new potential partners (or with a Co-Chair) and to represent the Forum’s interests.

• Having a Coordinator involved has enabled the Forum to continue to operate effectively throughout the Local Board election period and facilitated planning of the work programme in advance to minimise disruption.
Appendix Four: Analysis of Potential Governance Structures

Feedback the Forum membership received at the Workshop held on August 11 requested additional detail and analysis of potential governance structures that could be adopted by the MHF. Below are the three primary governance arrangements identified through our discussions with the Forum and an exploration of other working models. Although there was some discussion during the interviews and at the workshop regarding the Forum to become a subcommittee of the Auckland Council Environment and Community Committee, we believe that the hierarchical nature of this option would not be appropriate for the MHF.

Furthermore, we note that consideration needs to be given to the overall size of the Forum membership should the governance arrangement change. There are significant challenges associated with having a large membership group; balancing the optimal number of participants with the type of organisational structure will require further investigation.

Standing Committee
Committee with a continued existence, formed to do its assigned work on an ongoing basis. Budget and finance committees generally are standing committees. Examples of Standing Committees within Auckland Council include:

- **Audit and Risk Committee:**
  - Decision-Making Powers:
    - The committee has no decision-making powers other than those in these terms of reference.
    - The committee may request expert advice through the chief executive where necessary.
    - The committee may make recommendations to the Governing Body and/or chief executive.

- **Community Development and Safety Committee:**
  - Parent committee is the Environment and Community Committee (reports directly).

- **Strategic Procurement Committee:**
  - Decision-Making Powers:
    - The committee will have responsibility for:
    - awarding of contracts of $22.5 million or greater.
    - awarding of contracts less than $22.5 million which are sensitive or may impact on the reputation of the council if the chief executive refers the matter to the committee.
    - oversight over the procurement processes and procedures.

Co-Governance Arrangement
Some natural resources are “co-governed” – the work to restore or conserve them is led as a result of negotiated decision-making arrangements between iwi and/or other groups, central government, and/or local government. Many of these arrangements have come about after long negotiations, including Treaty of Waitangi settlements. The arrangements have many legal forms and include statutory bodies, trusts, and other relationships. Examples of co-governance arrangements regarding natural resources within Auckland include:

- Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority
- Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Reserves Board; and
- Parakai Recreation Reserve Board.

Joint Committee
Joint committees tend to meet as necessary to consider governance matters of mutual interest to the Governing Body and other special interest groups (e.g. Local Boards, Ministry for Primary Industries,
Department of Conservation etc) and to report its findings. Joint committees may have greater decision-making power than standing committees.

Examples of joint committees within Auckland include:

- Auckland Domain Committee
- Hauraki Gulf Forum
- Joint Governance Working Party
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on transport matters in the local board area and an update on its local board transport capital fund (LBTCF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Progress on the local board’s LBTCF funded projects is noted. The local board has $739,391 remaining in its LBTCF. A further funding allocation will be available to the local board from 1 July 2020.
3. A brief update on the status of other significant projects in the local board area is provided.
4. Auckland Transport’s (AT) new speed management bylaw was approved in October 2019.
5. Included also is a list of public consultations sent to the local board in October and November 2019 for comment and the decisions of the Traffic Control Committee of AT for September and October 2019 that affect the local board area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport December 2019 update report.

Horopaki
Context
6. This report addresses transport related matters in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.
7. Auckland Transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. Reports are provided on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.
8. Other matters, such as road closures, are reported to the board on an as needed basis for timeliness.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
Update on Funded Maungakiekie-Tāmaki LBTCF projects
9. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport (AT). Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they have identified as a priority but are not part of AT’s work programme.
10. Projects must:
• be safe
• not impede network efficiency
• be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).

11. There is $739,391 left in the local board’s LBTCF. This together with the local board’s allocation for the new term equals $3,622,841, with the bulk of this being available from 1 July 2020. Projects which have had funding allocated to them are noted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Funds Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jubilee Shared Bridge</td>
<td>Construction of shared path and bridge.</td>
<td>A further update can be given at the next meeting in February 2020.</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tāmaki Shared Paths</td>
<td>In Stage 2 for the link to the existing Tāmaki Path from Kotae Road – in feasibility stage.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line/Taniwha Raised Crossings</td>
<td>Located on Line Road, between Eastview and Taniwha Reserves, and Taniwha Road, between Taniwha and Maybury Reserves</td>
<td>Auckland Council Parks are still exploring options for best placement of the pathway and the crossing. A further update can be given at the next meeting in February 2020.</td>
<td>$190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripoli Road Raised Crossing</td>
<td>Upgrading of existing pedestrian crossings</td>
<td>Options are still being discussed for this. A further update can be given at the next meeting in February 2020.</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onehunga Mall Raised Crossing Paths</td>
<td>Two pedestrian crossing to provide a better connection to Laneway 2, 4 &amp; 5.</td>
<td>Awaiting final options from Panuku.</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AT’s Speed Management Bylaw

12. At the end of October 2019, after considering nearly 12,000 public submissions and reviewing technical reports, Auckland Transport’s board approved a bylaw will reduce speed limits on around 10 per cent of Auckland’s urban and rural roads.

13. The greatest impact of the speed-limit reductions will be on high-risk rural roads, town centre streets and Auckland’s central business district.

14. Under the new Safe Speed Limits Bylaw, there are three important changes to speed limits:
   • following public feedback, most of Auckland’s city centre will have a speed limit of 30km/h (the current 10km/h combined pedestrian and vehicle zones will remain) apart
from Hobson, Fanshawe and Nelson Streets which will be 40km/h with engineering treatments to protect vulnerable road users;

- fourteen town centres, such as Orewa, Te Atatu South and Westgate, will also have 30km/h speed limits;
- around 700kms of rural roads across the Auckland region will have new lower speed limits. The board decided to leave existing speed limits on 20 roads, mostly in rural areas in the south.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

15. Auckland Transport are committed to minimizing the negative effects that transport operations have on climate change. This includes encouraging emission neutral modes (walking and cycling) and low emission modes (public transport and ride sharing).

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

16. The other issues reported are confined to Auckland Transport and do not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual basis.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

17. An introduction to how AT supports elected members was presented at the November Board workshop and an outline of the new process for progressing local board transport capital projects.

**Auckland Transport Consultations**

18. AT provides the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in their area. The consultations below were sent to the local board in September – November period for comment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes Cycleway</td>
<td>Dedicated cycleways in the suburbs of Glen Innes, Stonefields, Saint Johns, and Point England. AT consulted on this proposal in October 2017 and received 235 submissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Wellington Highway</td>
<td>A southbound bus/truck lane operating 24 hours seven days a week. Narrowing of the painted central median. Kerb and footpath realignments on the west side of the road. Signalized pedestrian crossing and associated kerb widening. Upgrading pram crossings at Longford Street. New bus stop, bus stop and shelter relocation and bus stop improvements. Some removal of on-street parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central crosstown buses – 650 bus</td>
<td>Increase frequency of the 650 to every 15 minutes. Adding more bus trips to Manukau Road at peak times. New school bus service between Epsom schools, Balmoral and Mt Eden.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic Control Committee resolutions

19. The decisions of the Traffic Control Committee that affected the local board area in September and October 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manukau Road, One Tree Hill</th>
<th>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</th>
<th>Temporary Traffic and Parking restrictions</th>
<th>Carried</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bassant Avenue, Penrose</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, Give-Way control</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beasley Avenue / Station Road and surrounding streets, Penrose</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

20. On all projects, consideration of impacts and opportunities for engagement will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

21. The recommendation to receive this report has no financial implications.

22. The table below gives the LBTCF financial summary for the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board.

| Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Total funds available in the 2019-2022 triennium            | $2,967,581 |
| Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction | $2,228,190 |
| Remaining budget left for FY19/20                          | $ 739,391 |

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamauratanga
Risks and mitigations

23. The recommendation to receive this report has no risks. AT has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

24. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board in February 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Caroline Tauevihi – Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Elected Member Relationship Team Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To endorse the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report condensed summary (Attachment A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report (the scoping report) identifies opportunities for investment in public art over the next ten years, as part of the upgrade project for the network of reserves in the Ōmaru Catchment area – from Apirana Reserve to Point England Reserve.

3. The development of the scoping report included research and engagement workshops with key stakeholders and partners.

4. The scoping report recommends the following three-phased approach to any future investment in public art in the Ōmaru Reserves:
   - Short Term: Public Art team to facilitate support for locally led creative community activations and actively scan for evolving opportunities.
   - Medium Term: Public Art team to revisit and assess the opportunity to create a standout public artwork of regional scale where the Ōmaru Creek and Tāmaki Estuary meet.
   - Long Term: Public Art team to revisit the opportunity to create a significant public artwork that celebrates the complete restoration of the Ōmaru Creek.

5. The condensed version of the scoping report was prepared to provide a concise summary of the findings and recommendations to organisations and individuals who contributed to the report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) endorse the condensed version of the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report (Attachment A to the agenda report).

Horopaki
Context

6. The scoping report was commissioned by Arts and Culture in June 2018, to guide council’s investment in public art, to align with the Community Facilities’ upgrade project for the network of reserves along the Ōmaru Creek.

7. The scope of the report was to identify public art opportunities over the next 10 years and within the Ōmaru Catchment area, from Apirana Reserve to Point England Reserve (Attachment B).

8. The report was developed in the context of existing consultation, strategies, the Tāmaki Regeneration Company’s master plan, council’s Public Art Policy and existing public art provision in Glen Innes.
9. In July 2018, a steering group was established, which included members from council and Tāmaki Regeneration Company (TRC).

10. Research and engagement for the report involved communications with mana whenua and workshops with the following key stakeholders:
   - Iwi representatives.
   - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board.
   - Auckland Council stakeholders.
   - Developers - Tāmaki Regeneration Company and Homes Land Community.
   - Community organisations and individuals, including Te Oro, Mad Ave Community Trust, The Good The Bad Charitable Trust, creative practitioners, community workers and educators.

11. Between November 2018 and April 2019, the Public Art team shared and tested the key findings of the scoping report with community leaders, iwi representatives, and local creative representatives, all of whom supported in principle the recommendations being made.

12. At a workshop with the local board in April 2019, the Public Art team recommended a condensed version of the scoping report be prepared to provide a concise summary of the findings and recommendations to organisations and individuals who contributed to the report.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

13. The scoping report is divided into two parts. The first is a summary of contextual findings and the second is a set of guidelines and recommendations for future investment in public art in the Ōmaru Reserves area.

14. The scoping report outlines the following contextual considerations that define the opportunity for investment in the Ōmaru Reserves area over the next ten years:
   - **Mana whenua**: Council recognises mana whenua as the indigenous people of the area and as integral partners in future scoping exercises.
   - **Ōmaru Reserves**: The Ōmaru Stream and its catchment of networked reserves provide the geospatial framework for the scoping report. Ongoing work to repair the stream is acknowledged as transformational. Investment in public art would be complementary to this work.
   - **Planning context**: Urban development and intensification in the Glen Innes township provide potential opportunity for alignment and investment in planned public artworks in the local area.
   - **Distinct physical character**: There is opportunity for a public artwork to weave together the cultural, physical and social whakapapa of Tāmaki and celebrate the treasured natural environment of the Ōmaru Reserves.
   - **Living heritage**: The diverse living heritage of Glen Innes and, in particular, its strong local creative identity are key considerations when planning any investment in public art in the area.

15. The scoping report identifies the following key findings:
   - There is a complex array of planned works and major changes underway in the Ōmaru Reserves area, which is resulting in a high-level of disruption and change for the local community.
Within this planned work there are several critical unknowns, such as Te Tiriti o Waitangi settlement and land exchanges around Pt. England, and uncertainty around the community facility requirements and Ruapotaka Marae development.

Future public art in Glen Innes needs to be distinctive, high-profile signature works that reinforces the specific character of the Ōmaru Reserves and activates connections between people, nature and heritage.

16. The scoping report recommends that any future investment in public art in the Ōmaru Reserves area is guided by the following objectives:

- **Authenticity:** Public artworks will make sense in this place and express the living heritage of the Ōmaru Reserves and surrounding neighbourhood.
- **Belonging:** Public artworks will be distinctive identifiers and touchstones of the Ōmaru Reserves.
- **Living connections:** Public artworks will invite people to engage and encourage interaction and playful participation. Public artworks will invite connection.
- **Exceptional:** Public artworks will strive for excellence using innovative creative practices and technologies, including excellence of intent, concept, process and delivery.
- **Responding to change:** Public artworks will look to the future and be designed for resilience, durability, sustainability, legacy and longevity, supporting changes in community and environment.
- **Complementary:** Public artworks will sit alongside and be complementary to other cultural and creative initiatives in public space.

17. The scoping report recommends the following three-phased approach to future investment in public art in the Ōmaru Reserves catchment to maximise the timings, scale and partnerships of each opportunity:

- **Short Term (2019 - 2024):** Public Art team to facilitate support for locally led creative community activations and actively scan for evolving opportunities.
- **Medium Term (2025 - 2029):** Public Art team to revisit and assess the opportunity to create a standout public artwork of regional scale where the Ōmaru Creek and Tāmaki Estuary meet.
- **Long Term (2029+):** Public Art team to revisit the opportunity to create a significant public artwork that celebrates the complete restoration of the Ōmaru Creek.

18. The scoping report will be used as an internal, working document to guide council’s investment in public art and to ensure investment aligns with planned works in the Ōmaru Reserves catchment area.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

19. Ōmaru Creek is acknowledged as the essential physical and cultural reference point for the area. The scoping report aligns to the ongoing transformational work by council organisations, TRC and the local community to restore the waterway and upgrade connectivity of the open space network. The waterway and network of reserves provides a connected setting to celebrate creativity in new, positive and aspirational ways within a healthy environment. Council recommends that investment in public art in this area be designed for resilience and sustainability, supporting changes in community and environment.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

20. Development of the scoping report included consultation with the following council stakeholders:
   • Local Board advisors.
   • Development Programme Office.
   • Auckland Transport.
   • Healthy Waters.
   • Park Services.
   • Community Facilities.
   • Arts, Community and Events.

21. Feedback received from groups and individuals consulted is acknowledged and incorporated in the final scoping report.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

22. At a workshop in April 2019, the Public Art team updated the local board on the key findings of the scoping report which were received well, and the recommendations for the Public Art team to:
   • return to the area in several years’ time to scope large-scale investment opportunities after unknowns have been clarified
   • focus in the short-term on facilitating support for community-led creative practice and actively scan for evolving opportunities.
   • provide a condensed version of the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report to the local board for endorsement.

23. The scoping report contributes to the following Local Board Plan 2017 outcomes:
   Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community; and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

24. The following consultation with Māori occurred as part of the development of the scoping report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Public Art activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>Public Art introduced the scoping report and presented initial research findings to iwi representatives at the South/Central Mana Whenua Engagement Forum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November/December 2018</td>
<td>Public Art informed iwi representatives and Ruapotaka Marae of the scoping report by email, with request for interest in attending a hui to workshop the report. Representatives from Ngāti Whātua o Kaipara and Ngāti Whātua o Ōrakei requested to be kept informed of updates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>Public Art informed iwi representatives and Ruapotaka Marae that the scope of work was reduced and that Public Art recommended postponing formal consultation and hui until significant unknowns were resolved. Iwi respondents supported this recommendation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
25. The scoping report recognises mana whenua as the indigenous people of this area and as integral partners in future scoping exercises and the recommendations are informed by Treaty negotiations.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

26. The scoping report was funded by Arts and Culture public art operational budget.

27. There are no financial implications for the local board associated with the scoping report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

28. Key findings of the scoping report include several critical unknowns within the planned environment, such as Te Tiriti o Waitangi settlement and land exchanges around Pt. England and uncertainty around the community facility requirements and Ruapotaka Marae development.

29. Taking the complexities of this planning environment into consideration, the recommendation is that Public Art returns to investigating large-scale, permanent public art opportunities in several years' time, after the key unknowns have been clarified. This will allow the Public Art team to engage in a more meaningful exploration of investment at the appropriate time.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

30. The scoping report will be distributed to key stakeholders that were engaged during the project development and internally within council.

31. Public Art will formally commence feasibility assessments on recommended opportunities, when appropriate and will update the local board.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Condensed version of the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Apirana Reserve to Point England Reserve map</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Omāru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report
Condensed Summary

September 2019
In close proximity to central Auckland, the Ōmaru Reserves network links the Glen Innes town centre to the Tāmaki Estuary at Point England. Adapted from diagram: Tamaki Reference Plan.
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The Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report was developed through the contributions and support of many.

The report was informed by input from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board, Tāmaki Regeneration Company, mana whenua, creative practitioners and organisations in the area, and the wider family of Auckland Council organisations.

Ngā mihi nui ki a koutou katoa.

This document is a condensed summary of the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report.
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Foreword

As part of Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Auckland Council's ongoing work to transform and enrich Auckland's public spaces, opportunities for investment in public art are being explored in areas of population growth and urban intensification.

Recognising that the township of Glen Innes and associated Ōmaru Reserves area¹ are undergoing significant redevelopment and transformation over the next ten years, a scoping report has been undertaken to better understand how public art could manifest in this area and positively contribute to Auckland's public space.

Extensive engagement, strategies, plans and work programmes are already underway for the wider Tāmaki area and are outlined in the Tāmaki Precinct Master Plan. This work is being led by the Tāmaki Regeneration Company (TRC) in partnership with mana whenua. Having met with developers, planners and local creative practitioners, Auckland Council has undertaken preliminary scoping and assessment of opportunities for major public art capital investment in the Ōmaru Reserves catchment area over the next ten years.

This research and analysis attempt to answer the framing question:

What approach to investment in public art will create transformative value for the Ōmaru Reserves catchment area?

This work was compiled into a detailed working document for internal use at Auckland Council, referred to as the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report.

The following document is a condensed summary of the Ōmaru Reserves Public Art Scoping Report.

¹ Ōmaru Reserves is a descriptive term used to refer to Apirana, Eastview, Taniwha, Maybury and Point England Reserves – the focus area for this report.
1 Context

1.1 Planning context: Public art in an evolving Tāmaki Makaurau

Rapid population growth, urban intensification and regeneration throughout Tāmaki Makaurau provide the dramatic backdrop to this opportunity – bringing with it significant capital investment in infrastructure and urban development; a strategic drive to see public art transform and enrich Auckland’s public spaces; and a desire to align significant works.

Auckland Council recognises that it is one of many players working in the spectrum of public art, but only one of a few commissioning large-scale artworks. To ensure better value and impact, Auckland Council is increasingly investing in large-scale, permanent, transformational public art projects, and decreasing its investment in smaller projects with less impact. The vision is to deliver inspiring, high quality and culturally vibrant signature public artworks across Auckland.

The following selection from key planning documents act as useful guidelines for determining investment in public art in Tāmaki Makaurau:

**Auckland Plan**

- Belonging and participation: All Aucklanders will be part of and contribute to society, access opportunities, and have the chance to develop to their full potential.
- Māori identity and wellbeing: A thriving Māori identity is Auckland’s point of difference in the world that advances prosperity for Māori and benefits all Aucklanders.
- Environment and cultural heritage: Aucklanders preserve, protect and care for the natural environment as our shared cultural heritage, for its intrinsic value and for the benefit of present and future generations.

**Public Art Policy (2013):**

- Inspiring: Everyone has the opportunity to experience thought-provoking, culturally vibrant, enjoyable and challenging public art and public space
- Unique and distinctive: Public art that responds to our place
- For all Aucklanders and visitors: Public art that delights, welcomes, challenges and inspires
- Known for its artistic quality, variety, depth and innovation: Public art that celebrates richness and creativity and models international best practice
- Making a difference: Public art that transforms Auckland’s public places
Planning context - Glen Innes

Glen Innes has been identified by Auckland Council as a priority area for development and regeneration.

With numerous multi-layered plans and work programmes directly affecting the community, local residents are experiencing a significant level of ‘engagement’ fatigue and stress as a result of the disruption and change caused by an intense regeneration work programme. Council aims to build on the kōrero and engagement work already underway.

To avoid further consultation fatigue, the following selection from local planning documents have been identified as strategic guidelines for investment in public art in the Omara Reserves:

- Tāmaki Precinct Master Plan:
  - Celebration of Tāmaki’s unique identify and culture – Mahi Toi and Tohu
  - Active Well Used Spaces – aims to create well used and much loved spaces that are safe and useable by all and includes fostering creativity

- Glen Innes Town Centre Revitalisation Plan:
  - a thriving town centre that meets the needs of the current and future populations while maintaining the Tāmaki culture.
  - a ‘green gateway’ leading to the Tāmaki Strait from the town centre via a green corridor
  - improving connectivity
  - enhancing public space

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:
  - people are at the heart of this plan
  - listen and work with the community
  - promote the arts and celebrate our diverse cultures
  - an active and engaged community
  - a community that cares about its environment
  - the place to be

Other planned works of note in the local area:

- Tāmaki Path project: a shared path (5km) alongside the Tāmaki Estuary from Wai o Taiki Bay to Panmure

- Glen Innes to Tāmaki Drive Shared Path project: a shared path for cyclists and pedestrians from Merton Road near Glen Innes Station to Tāmaki
• Glen Innes Rail Station improvement project: planned pedestrian overbridge connecting Glen Innes Train Station to the town centre at Mayfair Place

• Glen Innes and Panmure Community Facilities Needs Assessment: Community service needs assessment and associated implications and options for community facilities in Glen Innes and Panmure, due for completion in late 2019

Summary comment – planning context

Auckland Council recognises that public art has an important role to play within the urban redevelopment context and is a key contributor to human experience, connection, cultural visibility, identity, a sense of belonging and aspirations for the future.

Within the local planning context of Glen Innes, Council notes the potential for public art to contribute to meeting the outcomes and vision of the Auckland Plan, the Public Art Policy and urban development plans for Tāmaki by investing in contemporary public art projects of scale that transform public spaces.

1.2 Distinct physical character

Glen Innes sits adjacent to Te Wai o Taiki (Tāmaki Estuary) – the waterway connecting Te Waitematā to Tīkapa Moana and Te Moana Nui a Kiwa. The township is nestled between two maunga – Taurere (Mount Taylor) and Maungarei (Mount Wellington). Maungarei is a 137-metre volcanic cone – one of the largest scoria cones in Auckland’s volcanic field - and its imposing presence is a distinctive physical feature and cultural landmark.

Ōmaru Creek flows through the network of reserves starting at Apirana Reserve, and moving through East View Reserve, Taniwha Reserve, Maybury Reserve to Point England Reserve. This network of reserves offers a unique but currently under-developed ‘green-blue’ physical landscape, reaching from the north of Glen Innes town centre to the receiving environment at the Tāmaki Estuary and onward to the Hauraki Gulf beyond.

The maunga, waterways and land are defining ecological, recreational, and cultural attributes of the area. For hundreds of years, this physical landscape has welcomed and provided generations of communities a place to visit, live, settle and stay. The natural environment has sustained a network of open space, with large tracts of land used for cultivation and farming; offering plentiful natural water resources, shelter from the weather and access to the harbour. The wider area’s physical features and corresponding natural resources encouraged patterns of human movement that have brought people to gather and live around the town centre of Glen Innes.
Summary comment – distinct physical character

The distinct physical features of this area are closely intertwined with human patterns of movement, settlement and living heritage. Recognising that public art has an important role to play in connecting people to place, nature and to one another, there is an opportunity for a ‘hero’ public artwork at the site where Ōmaru Creek meets the Tāmaki Estuary; an artwork of regional significance with a clear Māori identity and distinct point of difference that weaves together the cultural, physical and social whakapapa of Tāmaki and celebrates this treasured natural environment.

The location is complex in terms of its cultural significance, ongoing land claims and ownership disputes, with many vital questions likely to take years to resolve to a point where the public art opportunity and feasibility can be considered in practical terms.

It is recommended that this opportunity be investigated further once key decisions have been made in relation to settlement of Treaty claims, land exchanges and significant progress in the restoration of the Ōmaru Creek.

1.3 Ōmaru Creek: Regeneration and transformation

Central to the redevelopment of Glen Innes is the repair and restoration of this waterway. Mana whenua, Community Facilities, Healthy Waters, TRC and others are working closely with local communities to restore the water quality of this landmark waterway and upgrade the reserves. This work is a powerful and transformational gesture – both culturally and ecologically.

Several community-led projects are focused on this important issue. Research and kōrero with key creative stakeholders and planners have confirmed that restoration of the Ōmaru Creek and the surrounding reserves is a unifying goal across the community and is central to cultural expression in Glen Innes. This work is a symbol of community identity and pride, and is increasingly generating a sense of ownership and empowerment in a period of significant urban transformation, redevelopment and change.

Two major planning documents capture the regeneration of the Ōmaru Creek. The following selections are significant to this scoping report:

- Ōmaru Creek Stormwater Management Plan (2019):
  - Tāmaki Wai-ora: Restoring the mauri (life spirit) to the water of Tāmaki; benefitting health, land and community; interweaving strands of history through distinctive elements and creative spaces which are unexpected and unique; acting as a transport route, ahi kā, food source, cultural expression, (Mahi Toi), ecological linkage (Mauri tu), connection to landscape (Taiao)
• Tāmaki Open Space Network Plan (2017-2032):
  o sets out priorities for developing a comprehensive programme of work to deliver a sustainable, high-quality open space network for the Tāmaki area, including the reserves that run alongside the Ōmaru Creek
  o highlights the importance the residents of Glen Innes place on strengthening the connection between people and nature
  o notes the importance of close engagement with mana whenua, mataawaka and active inclusion of Te Aranga Design Principles together with artistic and cultural design elements

Summary comment – Ōmaru Creek
Ōmaru Creek is acknowledged as the essential physical and cultural reference point for the area. In recognition of its significance, Ōmaru Reserves catchment provides the geospatial footprint for the scoping report.

Auckland Council recognises the ongoing work by council organisations, TRC and the local community to restore the waterway and upgrade connectivity of the open space network as transformational. Any investment by Council in large-scale, regional public artworks should be a complement to this work.

It is acknowledged that common strands of importance throughout the planned regeneration of the Ōmaru Creek area include the health and wellbeing of the community and the environment. Public art, in its complementary and various forms, can make a rich contribution and connection across these two vital areas. Special consideration for the spiritual and cultural significance of the Ōmaru Creek should be made for any investment in large-scale public artworks in this area.

Kōrero with stakeholders confirmed that it is too soon to look at large-scale, transformational public art opportunities in this catchment area given the current state of the waterway. Once restoration of the waterway is further advanced and people are starting to use the upgraded reserves differently, public art investment opportunities in relation to Ōmaru stories and celebration of the restoration of the waterway can be explored.

1.4 Living heritages: interweaving communities and a creative identity

Drawn by fertile land, water, shelter and links to the harbour, waves of inhabitants have flooded the Glen Innes area for hundreds of years – each impacting the local environment and leaving lasting impressions. A mix of cultural and social practices, representations, knowledge and skills enrich the community intergenerationally and are interwoven with a contemporary experience of Glen Innes.
Relevant data about Maungakiekie-Tāmaki residents today:
- population of 70,000: young demographic, predominantly made up of families
- Māori population ranks 6th in size out of the 21 Auckland local boards
- Mana whenua iwi Ngāti Whātau Ōrākei, Ngāti Pāoa and Ngai Tai Ti Tāmaki have significant connections to the Tāmaki boundaries along with nine other iwi or hapu groups

Living Heritages Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'900 - present</td>
<td>Māori have occupied the area for the last 800-1000 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'900 - 1800s</td>
<td>Tāmaki tribes lived and flourished here, becoming a central trading route between the Manukau and Waitemata harbours as well as the Hauraki Gulf and Hauraki plains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'900 - 1800s</td>
<td>This area was known as Ukutoia, referring to the sound of the waka being pulled ashore. Stories suggest that hundreds of waka could be seen here. A place where waka could be borrowed to travel further north or south.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880s-1920s</td>
<td>After European settlers arrived, several large farms and market gardens and orchards were established on the rich volcanic soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920s-1950s</td>
<td>Gradually most of the land was sold off and absorbed into the Glen Innes township and its surrounding suburbs. In the 1940s, land was sold to the Government for state housing to be gifted to serviceman returning from WWII.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940s</td>
<td>Point England Reserve remained as farmland and then became a reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950s</td>
<td>The Government built higher density housing with several apartment-style books, many of which quickly fell into disrepair, experienced security and social problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955 - 1980</td>
<td>Glen Innes township was planned and built – with 40-50 shops, post office and a hotel. A library was built (1965) and the Ruapotaka Marae was established (1979).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>The town centre was given a facelift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Glen Innes is home to a mix of around 150 businesses characterised by small-business enterprise. Some of the original shops are still trading, with a few of those still run by the original owners’ families.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regeneration
- A major programme of regeneration and housing intensification is underway in the wider area. Redevelopment of Glen Innes is central to this programme. Te Oro was one of Auckland Council’s first major public art capital infrastructure investment projects developed under the Tāmaki Master Plan.

To the future
- Glen Innes is a cultural and community hub offering diverse and inclusive community services, creative activities and programmes. Multiple council and external project partners are currently planning and developing a sustainable infrastructure to enable strong connections with the wider network of reserves.

---

2 Source: Tāmaki Reference Plan V0.16, Tāmaki Regeneration Company, 2016
Of note to this report, regarding Glen Innes of 2019:

- culturally diverse – with many languages are spoken in the streets – English, Samoan, Māori, amongst others
- a rich ethnic diversity of Pacific, Māori and Asian as well as Iraqi, Fijian Indian and European families
- historically low income, working class area with around 1,500 state houses
- Ruapotaka Marae is an urban marae located adjacent to Te Oro and the Glen Innes Library and provides whānau-based services to Māori and to the wider community.
- The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local board area is relatively rich in terms of existing public art – ranking in the top five of the 21 local board areas – with 24 public artworks

Creative identity
A distinctive characteristic of Glen Innes’ cultural identity is its increasingly rich, diverse and active creative community. A wide range of individual creative practitioners and creative organisations with a strong history of dynamic local arts and community activation practice public art here. Emanating from community-led creative projects, practitioners draw on arts and culture, social politics, the natural environment, education and entrepreneurial elements to deliver works. Projects are often a collaborative mix of support, funding and sponsorship from the Local Board, contestable Council funds, external arts funds, sponsors, developers, and local organisations, such as the Glen Innes Business Association.

There are multiple examples of locally led creative projects. Some examples include:

- the Mad Ave Community Trust (Mad Ave), including The River Talks series of creative sustainability activations, which invite engagement and combine science and creativity to explore the historical, ecological and cultural significance of Ōmaru Creek.
- The Good the Bad Arts Charitable Trust (TGTB) initiated the Bradley Lane Murals Project. Now in its seventh year, TGTB attracts artists from around New Zealand to participate in its mural design in and around the Glen Innes township. TGTB has led the creation of 25 large murals around Glen Innes and events featuring performance, community participation and guided tours of the murals.
- Every year, the Te Ara Rama Matatiki Light Trail turns Maybury Reserve into a magical winter landscape attracting over 10,000 people to the area in June and July, celebrating the harvest, giving thanks for our kai and remembering loved ones. This annual event features Māori and Pacific music.
- Manalakalani Education Trust’s Community of Learning programmes develop creative digital and education projects for 13 mostly Decile 1 schools across Glen Innes, Point England and Panmure.
Infrastructure design and placemaking

Council and TRC’s regeneration projects are strongly underpinned by Te Aranga Design Principles and consistently feature a high level of consultation and engagement with mana whenua and local communities. For example, the Tāmaki Path Bridge concept design is being developed in partnership with Ngāti Pāoa and Ngāi tau tā Tāmaki artists, and Tāmaki Regeneration Company has a significant placemaking programme involving active collaboration with local creative groups and practitioners.

Summary comment – living heritages and creative identity

Every day, local communities navigate, share and enrich the living heritage of Glen Innes. Each group brings a distinct set of cultural expressions, practices, traditions and knowledge to this vibrant township. Rapid population growth will bring more people to the area and new interactions with existing local communities. Public art, alongside other creative and cultural expression, has an important role to play in weaving together daily moments of interaction into experiences of respect, integration and appreciation – amplifying community connections in public space in multi-layered, positive and meaningful ways.

Auckland Council acknowledges the community-led creative initiatives as transformational, and TRC’s ongoing investment in placemaking and the community-led creative projects as significant for this area.

Council’s commitment to investing in large-scale transformational and regional public artworks should be a complement to this active and rich local creative environment. Of significance is the opportunity for council to invest in public art that acknowledges the past, that connects, responds, and gives voice to the diverse identity of this place and people, and that captures aspirations for the future. Cultural diversity and collaboration will be an important factor in investment in public art here, as it responds to the complex and vibrant living heritage of Glenn Innes.

1.5 Mana whenua

Auckland Council recognises mana whenua as the indigenous people of Glen Innes.

Auckland Council sees a very clear alignment with the intent of Te Tiriti o Waitangi – recognition, reciprocity and working together for mutual benefit.

Advancing Te Ao Māori as the city’s point of difference is a key objective of the Auckland Plan and strategic public art policy and planning frameworks. Auckland Council’s Public Art Policy outlines that mana whenua have articulated public art as a vehicle for expression for the indigenous culture of Tāmaki Makaurau, and that they wish to see:

- that the special relationship between mana whenua and Auckland Council is recognised
that Māori have visibility across Auckland, especially in key locations where it might be expected (such as regional gateways)
- early involvement with the council, including CCOs, in planning
- a consistent, simple and streamlined interface with Auckland Council
- an Auckland identity that is contemporary and unique in the world

Council recognises mana whenua as integral partners in future scoping exercises.

1.6 Context – summary

Ōmaru Reserves sits within a complex and multi-layered planning landscape.

The scale and impact of the Tāmaki Precinct Masterplan means considerable changes to current housing and other built infrastructure in the next 10 years, and – as a consequence – disruption and stress will likely be experienced by the local community over that time.

There has been considerable engagement with mana whenua, mataawaka, community groups and businesses about the community’s views and wishes. With new intensified housing, people will place greater importance on and use open spaces differently in the future. TRC is implementing a comprehensive placemaking programme in collaboration with local community creatives and development partners as an integral component of the Masterplan.

The Ōmaru Reserves catchment area’s proximity to central Auckland and membership of a regionally significant network of coastal reserves strongly suggests there is a unique opportunity here for a large-scale transformational public art development. Such an artwork could offer positive meaning for residents and attract visitors to the area – and would have the potential to achieve a strong creative expression of interaction, shared experience and belonging; and encourage connections between diverse communities, nature and living heritages in the common ground of appreciation, humanity and delight.

Recommended approach

To ensure public art is integrated into the development of the area and delivers maximum impact for the people of Auckland:

- A staged approach to public art investment in the Ōmaru reserves area is recommended. This will allow Auckland Council to plan and deliver effectively – in particular, to clarify key unknowns which include Te Tiriti o Waitangi settlement outcomes, land exchanges, community facility redevelopment and Ruapotaka Marae options. This will enable the timely identification of public art opportunities and alignment with the range of planned regeneration and development projects
- In the shorter term, Public Art should continue to connect with and support the very rich array of locally led creative activity and initiatives which are already playing a key role shaping the creative identity of Glen Innes and which have the potential to inform a Council-led, large-scale permanent public art project in the future
2 A guide for future investment

Informed by the features noted in Section 1, Auckland Council proposes the following guidelines for investment in public art in the Ōmaru Reserves catchment over the next ten years:

2.1 Te Ao Māori

Auckland Council acknowledges the special relationship that mana whenua have with Ōmaru Creek, the Tāmaki Estuary and the surrounding land and waterways. It is important that a Te Ao Māori conceptual framing is provided to support a high-level way of thinking about approaches to and concepts for public artwork in the Ōmaru Reserves catchment area.

Depending on the project, outcomes may align to or differentiate from projects with a purpose / kaupapa focused on Māori design and / or cultural narrative approaches. These ideas can provide ongoing guidance and give a conceptual framing to public art projects in relevant Te Ao Māori terms.

The kaupapa will be explored in future when key unknowns have been clarified, which may occur opportunity by opportunity.

2.2 Objectives

- To create, distinctive high-profile signature works of public art

This references Public Art’s strategic drive to invest in capital assets that are ‘transformational and of regional significance.’

- To make visible and reinforce the specific character of Ōmaru Reserves

This references the distinct character of this physical and cultural landscape – as outlined in Section 1.

- To activate relationships and connections between people, nature and heritage

This references the significance of the relationships between the many different resident communities of Glen Innes and visitors to the area, the rich interwoven nature of the local cultural landscape, the built environment (both existing and planned), the strong potential of blue-greenway networks and the new ways people will walk, cycle and move through, in and out of the township and the connecting reserves in the future.
2.3 Principles

Authenticity
Public artworks that make sense in this place and express the living heritage of the Ōmaru Reserves and surrounding neighbourhood.

Belonging
Public artworks will be distinctive identifiers and touchstones of the Ōmaru Reserves catchment.

Living connections
Public artworks will invite people to engage and encourage interaction and playful participation. Public artworks will invite connection.

Exceptional
Public artworks will strive for excellence using innovative creative practices and technologies, including excellence of intent, concept, process and delivery.

Responding to change
Public artworks will look to the future and be designed for resilience, durability, sustainability, legacy and longevity, supporting changes in community and environment.

Complementary
Public artworks sit alongside and are complementary to other cultural and creative initiatives in public space. Auckland Council’s investment in public art will build on and be complementary to the many creative collaborations that continue to shape Glen Innes’s experiences of public and cultural space.

2.4 Geospatial framework

The Ōmaru Reserves catchment provides a geospatial framework for investment – running from Apirana Reserve through Glen Innes town centre to the Tāmaki Estuary at Point England.

This network of reserves provides a powerfully connected setting to celebrate creativity in new, positive and aspirational ways within a healthy environment.

The reserves area offers future opportunities for public art to amplify and activate connections between people, nature and heritage both locally and in relation to the wider Auckland region.
2.5 Opportunity Assessment

Public art opportunities may evolve as plans and projects develop. In navigating the complex landscape of diverse opportunities, a matrix of assessment criteria has been developed to assess opportunities identified against measurable objectives and priorities.

As with all potential projects, a case should be made for investment in public art that delivers high-value transformative public art outcomes. If this is better achieved through investment in other activities, public art should not be prioritised.

Assessment criteria matrix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Unsuitable</th>
<th>Undesirable</th>
<th>Suitable</th>
<th>Desirable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High profile, “hero” signature works</td>
<td>Public art projects that are small in scale, temporary or of poor quality.</td>
<td>Public art that is small in scale, temporary and can be funded by others.</td>
<td>Public art that is well conceived, of good quality, is ‘exceptionally’ transformational and will draw in visitors - even if small in scale or temporary.</td>
<td>Public art that provides transformational value (culturally, regionally and / or creatively); A work of scale and to the highest quality (intent, concept, and delivery); built for durability and resilience; a beacon for and a touchstone of Auckland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iconic - stand-alone and / or integrated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makes visible distinct character of Ōmaru Reserves Local and regional impact</td>
<td>Public art that degrades, erodes or undermines the natural, built and cultural identity and environment of the Ōmaru Reserves.</td>
<td>Public art that has the potential to negatively impact on the natural, built and cultural identity and environment Ōmaru Reserves.</td>
<td>Public art that contributes to making visible the natural, built and cultural identity and environment of Ōmaru Reserves.</td>
<td>Public art that complements, enhances and makes visible the natural, built and cultural identity and environment of Ōmaru Reserves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activates relationships and connections Local and regional impact</td>
<td>Public art that may negatively impact on existing community connections between people, nature, living heritage and place.</td>
<td>Public art that does not engage. Public art that does not connect people to the world around them.</td>
<td>Public art that has the capacity to be engaging and to connect people to nature, living heritage and place.</td>
<td>Public art that engages and encourages meaningful participation and connection between people, nature, living heritages and place.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3 Recommendations for investment

A phased approach is recommended, to allow strategic opportunities to be revisited once uncertainties (site status and timings) regarding key opportunities are clarified.

This approach:

- recognises the strength of locally led creative art making, the extent of collaborative placemaking and cultural integration of urban design already taking place and planned.
- acknowledges that Council’s capital investment in public art is focused on permanent, transformational, large-scale opportunities of regional significance
- ensures public art investment is effectively maximised in the longer term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short term</th>
<th>Medium term</th>
<th>Long term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 - 2024</td>
<td>2025 - 2029</td>
<td>2029 +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public art team to:**
- support and facilitate locally led creative community activations and actively scan for evolving opportunities.

**Public Art team to:**
- revisit and assess the opportunity to create a standout public artwork of regional scale where the Ōmaru Creek and Tāmaki Estuary meets.

**Public Art team to:**
- revisit the opportunity to celebrate the complete restoration of the Ōmaru Creek with a significant public artwork.
There is an opportunity for Auckland Council’s Arts and Culture team to support facilitating existing connections for those active creatively in public space in the Ōmaru Reserves and Glen Innes area.

Due to ongoing works and multiple unknowns in the planning context, now is not the time for capital investment in large-scale, permanent public artworks.

**Public Art team to support and facilitate connections** between locally led creative art projects and the Arts & Culture funding teams, specifically Contestable Regional Fund and Creative Communities Scheme.

**Public Art team to actively scan for evolving opportunities** for future capital investment in large-scale permanent works, which may come out of community-led projects.
Medium Term
2025 – 2029

Point England hero gateway public artwork


There is an opportunity to create a highly visible, standout public artwork of regional scale where the Ōmaru Creek and estuary meet.

Framed by the spectacular natural setting of Point England and Wai o Taiki Nature Reserves, this site is set in the dramatic context of redevelopment, ecological restoration, rich cultural significance and city-wide connectivity.

This site presents an important opportunity to respond creatively to Te Ao Māori, history and cultural expression, offering new perspectives and connections to the story of the changing shorelines and principles of sustainability and longevity.

This provides a strong aspirational opportunity to create a high-profile signature public artwork with a clear Māori identity and distinct point of difference. This would be an ambitious and bold undertaking with local and regional significance.

Timelines for this opportunity are currently unknown as the Treaty of Waitangi Ngāti Paoa claim is yet to be settled, and decision-making about building a marae at Point England and land exchanges at this key location is still in progress.

Public art to revisit this opportunity in 5-10 years when opportunities and feasibility can be more fully assessed.
There is an opportunity to celebrate the complete restoration of Ōmaru Creek with a significant public artwork.

Over a 30-year period, the mauri of the Ōmaru Creek will be progressively restored. The vision is to see the Ōmaru Creek and Reserves blue-greenway fully regenerated from its source in Apirana Reserve to Wai o Taiki Bay.

Timing would be dependent on sufficient and visible progress on the successful restoration of this waterway and upgrading of surrounding reserves.

Public art to revisit this opportunity in 10 years, when opportunities and feasibility can be more fully assessed.
Attachment A
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Kotuku, Dion Hitchens, 2003, Mayfair Place, Glen Innes.
Auckland Council Public Art Collection.
Image: Auckland Council
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Approval for a new road name at 87 Commissariat Road, Mount Wellington

File No.: CP2019/19515

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board to name a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot, created by way of a subdivision development at 87 Commissariat Road, Mount Wellington.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. The applicant, Kāinga Ora, has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board:
   - Kereti Lane (Applicant Preferred)
   - Kawariki Lane (Alternative 1)
   - Mataara Lane (Alternative 2)

4. Any of the three proposed road name options would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana whenua were also consulted. Therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve the name (local board to insert chosen name and road type) for the new private road created by way of subdivision at 87 Commissariat Road, Mount Wellington in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60337252 & SUB60337254).

Horopaki
Context

5. Resource consent BUN60337252 and SUB60337254 was issued October 2019 for the construction of 16 residential dwellings and one commonly owned access lot (COAL).

6. The COAL will service 13 of the dwellings.

7. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards for road naming (the AS/NZS 4819-2011 standard), the COAL requires a road name because it serves more than five lots.

8. Site and location plans of the development can be found in attachments A and B respectively.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the local board’s approval.

10. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

11. Theme: all names were suggested by Ngaati Whanaunga iwi to recognise the Irish heritage in the area, along with names which reflect aspirations and customs for communities.

12. The Applicant’s proposed names and meanings are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Names &amp; Preferences</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by Ngati Whanaunga)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kereti Lane</td>
<td>Maori word meaning: Celtic. This name reflects the area’s connection to Irish culture through many of its current street names. Suggested by Ngaati Whanaunga iwi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Applicant preferred)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawariki Lane (alternative 1)</td>
<td>Kawariki is a plant which is closely related to the more common Karamu. This is essentially a native shrub the scientific name is Comprosma grandifolia. Kawariki is a bitter plant that was given to children to make them stronger. It is a metaphor for the hard times one goes through in life that makes them stronger. So it contrasts with the rengarenga, which was fed to kids as a treat &amp; represents the good times and complacency. This is one of the meanings of Tawhiao’s tongi: Me whakatupu ki te hua o te rengarenga, me whakapakari ki te hua o te kawariki. Suggested by Ngaati Whanaunga iwi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mataara Lane (alternative 2)</td>
<td>Maori word meaning: Vigilant and Alert. This name reflects a valuable quality in whanau and community. Suggested by Ngaati Whanaunga iwi.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. **Assessment:** The names proposed by the Applicant have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

14. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

15. **Road type:** ‘Lane’ is an acceptable road type for the new private road, suiting the form and layout of the road, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.
16. **Iwi Consultation:** All 15 relevant local iwi were written to (via email) and invited to comment by council staff.

   i. Ngaati Whanaunga suggested five names: two names were duplicates and therefore not accepted for use; and the remaining three acceptable name suggestions have been included in the applicant's proposal for consideration by the local board.

   ii. Te Ahiwaru – Waiohua commented that they were not opposed to Ngaati Whanaunga’s names.

   iii. Ngā Maunga Whakahii o Kaipara deferred to Ngāti Paoa, who in turn responded in support of Ngaati Whanaunga’s names.

   No other iwi provided responses or comments. It is therefore implied that no iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for this small private road.

---

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

17. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

18. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

19. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

---

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

20. The decision sought from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity.

21. Three Māori road name options have been proposed.

---

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

22. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

---

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

23. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

24. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board with an integrated quarterly performance report for quarter one, 1 July – 30 September 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report includes financial performance, progress against work programmes, key challenges the board should be aware of and any risks to delivery against the 2019/2020 work programme.
3. The work programme is produced annually and aligns with the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan outcomes.
4. The key activity updates from this quarter are:
   • the local board approved the reserve declaration and classification of land at Waikaraka Park at its 27 August 2019 business meeting and the first round of consultation to inform the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan has been completed.
   • the local board allocated $59,752.44 in its first local community grant round, leaving $60,247 for the second local grants round and a quick response round.
   • staff are supporting Ruapōtaka Marae to develop their business plan which is planned to be finalised in 2020.
   • the Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum have developed a promotional video, information pamphlets and created a Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum Facebook page to raise the profile of the forum.
5. The activity, youth connections is at risk of non-delivery due to capacity of a partnering external organisation. It is recommended that the $10,000 from the youth connections activity be allocated to the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop agility area as is currently pending funding allocation.
6. All operating departments with agreed work programmes have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery. Activities are reported with a status of green (on track), amber (some risk or issues, which are being managed) or grey (cancelled, deferred or merged).
7. The overall operating result is 9 percent below the budget due to lower operating revenue and expenditure. Revenue is below budget and is from lower venue hire and miscellaneous income from libraries. Operating expenditure is 9 percent below budget. Higher facility maintenance in community centres and libraries are offset by lower park maintenance expenditure. In locally driven initiatives, expenditure is below budget as several projects are in progress. Capital expenditure is below budget by 21 per cent.
8. The financial performance report is attachment B.
Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) receive the performance report for quarter one ending 30 September 2019.

b) note that the Community Facility 2019/2020 work programme and 2020-2022 indicative work programme has been updated to reflect financial deferrals as a part of the Annual Plan process as shown in Attachment C.

c) approve the amendment to the Community Facilities 2019/2020 work programme and 2020-2022 indicative work programme to add the following projects to the Risk Adjusted Programme:

i) SharePoint ID 2131 Onehunga War Memorial Pool – comprehensive renewal

d) reallocate the following 2019/2020 locally driven initiative operating expenditure budgets to the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area.

i) $10,000 from the activity, youth connections.

Horopaki

Context

9. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board has an approved 2019/2020 work programme for the following operating departments:

- Arts, Community and Events;
- Parks, Sport and Recreation;
- Libraries and Information;
- Community Services: Service, Strategy and Integration;
- Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew;
- Community Leases;
- Infrastructure and Environmental Services;
- Plans and Places;
- The Southern Initiative;
- ATEED.

10. Work programmes are produced annually, to meet the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board outcomes identified in the three-year [name] Local Board Plan. The local board plan outcomes are:

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth.

11. The graph below shows how the work programme activities meet Local Board Plan outcomes. Activities that are not part of the approved work programme but contribute towards the local board outcomes, such as advocacy by the local board, are not captured in this graph.
**Graph 1: Work programme activities by outcome**

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Work Programme Activities by Outcome

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment
- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth

Number of Activities

---

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Local Board Work Programme Snapshot**

12. The graph below identifies work programme activity by RAG status (red, amber, green and grey). It shows the percentage of work programme activities that are on track (green), in progress but with issues that are being managed (amber), and activities that have significant issues (red) and activities that have been cancelled/deferred/merged (grey).

**Graph 2: Work programme by RAG status**

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Work Programme by RAG Status

- **Red** indicates significant issues
- **Amber** warning: some risk or issues, being managed
- **Green** On track
- **Grey** Cancelled/Deferred/Merged

13. The graph below shows the activity status of activities which shows the stage of the activity in each departments the work programmes. The number of activity lines differ by department as approved in the local board work programmes.
Key activity updates from quarter one

14. **Waikaraka Park – improve sports park and extend sports field**: the start of this activity is currently delayed as this land currently has a notice of requirement from New Zealand Transport Agency for the East West Link project. A Waikaraka Precinct Master Plan alongside the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan are being developed and will inform scope of works within sports park.

15. **Dunkirk Activity Centre – renew roof and refurbish interior**: project timelines have been extended due to contamination and seismic issues with the building. Staff will provide an update to the local board in quarter three.

16. **Youth connections**: a project within this activity, to collaborate with Toll Group is no longer able to be delivered due to staff capacity at Toll Group. Staff will be seeking the local board’s direction in quarter two on recommended projects for delivery of this activity in this financial year.

17. **Local community grants**: the local board completed its first local grants round of the financial year. A total of $59,752.44 was allocated, leaving $60,247 for the second local grants round and a quick response round.

18. **Love your neighbourhood**: one application of $500 was approved in Maungakiekie-Tāmaki in quarter one, leaving $8,000 remaining for future applications.

19. **Ruapōtaka Marae support**: staff are working with Ruapōtaka Marae, who have formed a working group to develop a business plan for the marae. The business plan is planned to be finalised in 2020.

20. **Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum**: a promotional video and information pamphlets have been developed and uploaded to the newly created Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum Facebook page, used to raise the profile of the forum.

21. **Programming in Community Places**: Onehunga and Oranga Community Centres have partnered with key stakeholders to deliver an increased number of programmes that engage and empower youth and families to be self-determined and improve whānau wellbeing.

22. **Engaged communities**: Together with Housing New Zealand, Panama Road School, Waka Ama Trust and the Good Seeds Trust, staff organised a Welcome to Panama day for new residents. Funding agreements have also been completed for Onehunga, Panmure and Glen Innes business associations to enable the delivery of local events, and to Onehunga and Tāmaki Community Patrols, as well as the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Pacific Wardens.
23. **Youth empowerment**: Flipping East have been testing the Tāmaki Wellbeing Index, are supporting the formation of student councils in four local schools and produced a student council toolkit to be used as a resource. The Tāmaki Youth Council established regular meetings and have started to form their action plan for 2019/2020. Synergy will deliver their FUZE Youth Mentoring programme in youth hubs and schools, and the Oranga Community Centre youth drop-in and holiday programme at Oranga Community Centre. The 312 Hub are supporting youth in individual business and leadership development as well as delivering local community activations.

24. **Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan** the local board approved the reserve declaration and classification of land at Waikaraka Park at its 27 August 2019 business meeting (MT/2019/115), and the first round of consultation to inform the reserve management plan has been completed.

25. **The following activities have been approved carry forwards of previous locally driven initiative operating expenditure budget that is now reflected in the Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report (Attachment A):**
   - local civic events – $10,000
   - strategic partnerships – $76,000
   - Manukau Harbour Forum – $3,000
   - Urban Forest Ngāhere Strategy – $5,000
   - youth connections – $40,000.

**Activities on hold**

26. The following work programme activities have been identified by operating departments as on hold:
   - **Mt Wellington War Memorial Park – provide new dual toilet facility**: this activity is currently on hold as there is ongoing discussion with the rugby club regarding the location and layout of the facility. Staff will recommend a way forward after further investigation
   - **Stone Cottage – renew roof and joinery**: the activity is currently on hold due to seismic implications. The seismic team will undertake an investigation and recommend a way forward
   - **175-243 Neilson St, Onehunga – Lease to Auckland Stock and Saloon Car Club Incorporated**: this lease is on hold until the completion of the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan. Staff will provide further advice after the completion of the plan, which is estimated for the end of 2020
   - **Waikaraka Park, 246 Nielson St, Onehunga – Lease to Auckland Canine Agility Club Incorporated**: this lease is on hold until the completion of the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan. Staff will provide further advice after the completion of the plan, which is estimated for the end of 2020
   - **Waikaraka Park, 175-243 Nielson St, Te Papapa – Lease to Onehunga Combined Sports Trust**: this lease is on hold until the completion of the Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan. Staff will provide further advice after the completion of the plan, which is estimated for the end of 2020.

**Budget reallocation**

27. Staff recommend the local board to reallocate $10,000 of the 2018/2019 locally driven initiative operating expenditure (LDI Opex) budget from the activity, youth connections, to the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area.
28. Reallocating this locally driven initiative operating expenditure budget will enable the delivery of the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area, and will mitigate the risk of the youth connections activity being below budget.

29. If $10,000 LDI Opex budget from the youth connections activity is not reallocated to the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area, this activity will not be delivered until appropriate budget is allocated.

30. The local board approved its Community Services 2019/2020 Work Programme at its 25 June 2019 business meeting (MT/2019/84). Through this, the local board approved the allocation of $10,000 to the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area.

31. The local board was not aware when approving its Community Services 2019/2020 Work Programme that there was insufficient budget to deliver the activity, Onehunga Bay Reserve – develop dog agility area.

32. The activity, youth connections, in the 2019/2020 work programme (Attachment A) is unable to deliver the $10,000 LDI Opex budget that was allocated to partner with Toll Group, as Toll Group currently does not have capacity to partner with the local board on this project.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

33. Receiving performance monitoring reports will not result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

34. Work programmes were approved in June 2019 and delivery is already underway. Should significant changes to any projects be required, climate impacts will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

35. The recommendations on amendments to timelines in the Community Facilities work programmes are unlikely to have climate impacts.

36. The local board is currently investing in a number of sustainability projects, which aim to build awareness around individual carbon emissions, and changing behaviour at a local level. These include:

- **Industrial prevention pollution programme – Penrose and Mt Wellington:** this is an educational programme aimed to inform industries and businesses about the impacts on local waterways

- **Low carbon lifestyles:** this project supports and empowers households to lead low carbon lifestyles through its two objectives to reduce residential energy use and associated carbon admissions, as well as to improve residential health by keeping houses warmer and drier.

- **Onehunga sustainability development programme:** this project focuses on retail and services businesses, providing a free on-the-spot waste assessment, envisioned to improve waste minimisation practices.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

37. When developing the work programmes council group impacts and views are presented to the boards.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

38. This report informs the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board of the performance for the quarter ending 30 September 2019.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
39. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board remains committed to integrating and supporting work that contributes to outcomes for Māori. This includes enhancing partnerships and collaborative ways of working with mana whenua and mataawaka.
40. Some of the activities in the local board’s 2019/2020 work programme (Attachment A) have specific impact on the wider community, this includes:
   • continued collaboration with Ruapōtaka Marae and their marae redevelopment. The marae is currently working on its business plan which is planned to be completed by 2020.
   • the local board is supporting the programme, Te Kete Rukuruku, Māori naming of parks and places. The local board approved it’s first tranche of parks at its 27 August 2019 business meeting and invited mana whenua to provide a Māori name and narrative for these parks.
   • through the activity, local events programme, the local board support the Glen Innes Business Association to coordinate the delivery of Te Ara Rama Matariki Light Trail, an annual event at Maybury Reserve that brings the community together to celebrate Matariki.
   • all three libraries in the local board area are committed to ‘celebrating Te Ao Māori and strengthening responsiveness to Māori. Whakatipu i te reo Māori’. Each of the libraries celebrated Matariki and Te Wiki o te Reo Māori with displays, through their regular programming and supporting the delivery of local events.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
41. There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Financial Performance
42. Revenue is below budget. The Glen Innes Community Hall is under construction to reconfigure and refurbish the interior of the hall. Income from the libraries is lower than expected.
43. Expenditure is below the budget by $3,771,000. Higher facility maintenance in community centres and libraries are offset by lower park maintenance expenditure. Locally driven initiatives are below budget by $193,000 as projects are in progress.
44. Capital spend is below budget by $326,000. Work is in progress at Taniwha Reserve and asset renewals.
45. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Financial Performance report is in Attachment B.

Revised Budget
46. For quarterly reporting purposes, annual plan budgets are revised to reflect changes in timing of delivery for individual projects.
47. Projects that were still in progress at 30 June 2019 have had their remaining required budget carried forward to the current or future financial years to fund the remaining works.
48. If a multi-year capital project was completed earlier than anticipated, the annual plan budget is reduced or brought forward to 30 June 2019 to reflect early completion.
49. Consideration is also given to the status of current capital projects and where required budgets are rephased in whole or part to outer years to reflect current timelines for delivery.
50. The net budgetary impact of these changes is reflected in the revised budget for the local board.

51. The Community Facilities Build Maintain Renew work programme financial allocations have been updated in accordance with the carry forwards (refer attachment C). Points to note, some of which require minor alterations to the work programme wording are as follows:

- SharePoint ID 2248 project ‘Taniwha Reserve - general park development’ includes a funding deferral into FY2020/2021. This is due to a delay in receiving the appropriate resource consents. Funding of $500,000 has been deferred accordingly. This is not expected to impact delivery at this stage.

Risk Adjusted Projects (RAP)

52. The approved Community Facilities 2019/2020 work programme and 2020-2022 indicative work programme includes projects identified as part of the Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP). These are projects that the Community Facilities delivery team will progress, if possible, in advance of the programmed delivery year. This flexibility in delivery timing will help to achieve 100 per cent financial delivery for the 2019/2020 financial year, by ensuring that if projects intended for delivery in the 2019/2020 financial year are delayed due to unforeseen circumstances, that other projects can be progressed while the causes for delays are addressed.

53. Since approval of the local work programmes in June 2019 investigation and design (including forecasting of delivery) has commenced. As a result, it has become apparent that some projects that had been included for delivery in the 2019/2020 financial year may not be able to be progressed as quickly as anticipated. Reasons for delays include matters such as consenting, heritage items identified, and consultation requirements. Therefore, in order to enable 100 per cent delivery to budget Community Facilities request approval to RAP and progress the following project:

- SharePoint ID 2131 Onehunga War Memorial Pool – comprehensive renewal

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

54. While the risk of non-delivery of the entire work programme is rare, the likelihood for risk relating to individual activities does vary. Capital projects for instance, are susceptible to more risk as on-time and on-budget delivery is dependent on weather conditions, approvals (e.g. building consents) and is susceptible to market conditions.

55. Information about any significant risks and how they are being managed and/or mitigated is addressed in the ‘Activities with significant issues’ section

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

56. The local board will receive the next performance update following the end of quarter two, December 2019.
Ngā tāpirihanga
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### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

**Item 26**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CEO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>QT Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Apply the empowered communities approach – connecting communities (MT)</td>
<td>Broker holocentric collaborative relationships and resources within the community. This includes five key activity areas: 1. Engaging communities; reaching out to less accessible and diverse groups - focussing on capacity building and inclusion; • supporting existing community groups and relationships; 2. Strengthen community-led placemaking and planning initiatives - empowering communities to; • provide input into placemaking initiatives; • influence decision-making on place-based planning and implementation. This includes urban revitalisation activities, collaborating with relevant council departments and council-controlled organisations; 3. Ensuring council; • supporting groups to gain access to operational and technical expertise and identify and address barriers to community empowerment; 4. Responding to the aspirations of mana whenua, mātakau, māriae and Māori organisations; • this does not replace or duplicate any stand-alone local board Māori responsiveness activities; 5. Reporting back to local board members on progress in activity areas 1-4.</td>
<td>CS: ACE; Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LDX: Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The broker worked with community stakeholders including the; - Sustainable Schools Advisor and Graffiti Vandalism Advisor to explore ways to reduce graffiti vandalism; - Strategic Partnership: Broker to identify new funding opportunities to empower community organisations; - Orange Community Collective to seek ways to deliver the aspirations for the Ferguson Domain through inputting into developments of housing HNZ and Homes Land and Community; - Panana Road School, Riverside Community Centre and Housing NZ to plan an event to welcome new residents into the community to increase diverse participation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

118 Ruapotaka Marae support

Support Ruapotaka Marae in progressing the marae redevelopment project by liaising with the marae on behalf of council and coordinating the council response, and providing capability building opportunities, professional advice and liaison services to the marae as required (e.g. liaison coordinator, funding advice). This activity will deliver on the local board’s objective “Our suburbs and town centres are sought after destinations to live, work and play”

CS: ACE; Community Empowerment | LDX: Opex | $30,000 | In progress | Green | Staff met with the Ruapotaka Marae Board of Trustees in September 2019 to present the work completed to date and a proposed approach to develop the marae business plan. The marae board endorsed the approach and confirmed they will form a working group to support the development of the business plan. The first meeting with the working group will take place in October 2019, with the business plan to be finalised in 2020. The General Manager of the Marae approved the videos of the history and stories of Ruapotaka Marae, with three videos being gifted to the Marae. The marae development board is under development and staff expect to be completed in Q2. Funding agreements have been completed for the following two events with $25,000 either paid out or currently awaiting the return of funding agreements or payment: - Grow In The Park $10,000 - Panana Fun Day $15,000.

268 Local Events Programme – Maungakiekie and Tāmaki (Externally Delivered Events)

- Grow In The Park $10,000 (Maungakiekie Community Trust) - Orange Community Christmas Event $5,000 (Synergy Project Trust) - Onewhanga Festival $25,000 (Onewhanga Festival Committee) - Metakei Light Trail $20,000 (Tāmaki Business Association) - Panana Fun Family Fun Day $15,000 (Panana Business Association) Event Survey (budget for a second event survey; the LTP covers first) Board to specify event - $2,300

CS: ACE; Events | LDX: Opex | $87,300 | In progress | Green | The local board has confirmed that $2,300 will be used to pay for an event survey of the Metakei Light Trail 2020. The event date has been confirmed for Friday 29 November 2019 at Metakei Park, Onewhanga and a permit application has been submitted. Programming will focus on engaging local community participation, with entertainment, activities and food stalls. |

269 Local Events Programme – Onewhanga Christmas Lights Event

Delivery of aristmas event at Jellicoe Park featuring a programme of entertainment and stalls, to coincide with the lighting of the tree.

CS: ACE; Events | LDX: Opex | $30,000 | In progress | Green | The event date has been confirmed for Friday 29 November 2019 at Jellicoe Park, Onewhanga and a permit application has been submitted. Programming will focus on engaging local community participation, with entertainment, activities and food stalls. |

270 Citizenship Ceremonies - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Deliver an annual programme of citizenship ceremonies in conjunction with the Department of Internal Affairs.

CS: ACE; Events | ABS: Opex | $24,088 | In progress | Green | The Civic Events team delivered two citizenship ceremonies on two separate occasions during Q1 with 237 people from the local board area becoming new citizens. |

271 Local Civic Events - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Deliver and support civic events within the local board area. Note: the 2019/2020 budget figure shown for this activity includes the $9,000 originally approved plus $10,000 carried forward from 2018/19.

CS: ACE; Events | LDX: Opex | $19,000 | In progress | Green | The following two civic events were confirmed to be held in 2019/20: - Onewhanga Bay reserve (50th turning) - Tamaki Plate activation. Both events scheduled for Q3, planning will commence in Q2. |

272 Local Events Programme – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki (Movies in Parks)

Programme and deliver two Regional Movies in Parks series events.

CS: ACE; Events | LDX: Opex | $27,000 | In progress | Green | Programming and delivery planning for two Regional Movies in Parks series events are underway. Movie listings and screening locations will be confirmed in Q2. Engagement will be sourced locally during Q2, for engagement and activation by those from within the community. |

273 Arzac Services - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Support and deliver Arzac services and parades within the local board area.

CS: ACE; Events | LDX: Opex | $6,300 | Approved | Green | Scheduled for Q4, planning will commence in Q2. |

343 Local community grants

Contestable grant funding to support local community groups. This will be administered through three rounds.

CS: ACE; Community Empowerment | LDX: Opex | $120,000 | In progress | Green | The local board has allocated $59,752.44 in local grants round one, leaving a total of $66,247.56 to be allocated to one local grants round and one quick response round. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept (Hall or CCD)</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>727</td>
<td>Operational Expenditure - Te Oro (Council Funds)</td>
<td>Operate Te Oro as a music and arts centre for youth.</td>
<td>CS: ACE, Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$395,808</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729</td>
<td>Te Oro Business Plan and governance review</td>
<td>Undertake a review of the business plan, including the governance, for Te Oro</td>
<td>CS: ACE, Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>Te Oro Programme Delivery</td>
<td>Provide a programme of activities including classes, workshops, events and community engagement at Te Oro (approximately $117,000) that align to the Te Oro Charter and Business Plan.</td>
<td>CS: ACE, Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>Access to Community Places - MT</td>
<td>Provide fair, easy and affordable access to a safe and welcoming venues in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.</td>
<td>CS: Community Places</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1066</td>
<td>Activation of Community Places - MT</td>
<td>Enable and co-ordinate a wide range of activities that cater to the diversity of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local community.</td>
<td>CS: Community Places</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$49,791</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069</td>
<td>Programming in Community Places - MT</td>
<td>Develop and deliver programmes that respond to a need or gap in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local community.</td>
<td>CS: Community Places</td>
<td>ABS, Opex</td>
<td>$117,201</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070</td>
<td>Service Improvement - Parure Community Hall Programme Delivery</td>
<td>Increased level of service and activation - Plan, develop, deliver and evaluate a programme of activities that - aligns to the outcome area &quot;Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community&quot;, with a strong focus on delivering for young people, promoting the wellbeing and safety in our communities and celebrating diversity. - ensures community participation. - enables more residents to feel connected to their community spaces allows participants to learn, grow and come together to have fun.</td>
<td>CS: Community Places</td>
<td>LDX, Opex</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1071</td>
<td>Service Improvement - Riverside Community Centre Programme Delivery</td>
<td>Increased level of service and activation - To strengthen existing community relationships and initiate programme activations from the Riverside Co-design Project to increase community engagement and participation. Scope potential partners to deliver programming.</td>
<td>CS: Community Places</td>
<td>LDX, Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit or GPO</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Phase</td>
<td>RAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1095</td>
<td>Strategic Partnerships</td>
<td>Support community organisations to access resources from organisations other than the local board by connecting them with each other and with funders; and building the organisations’ capabilities to become sustainable, plan and evaluate activities and programmes, and upskill as required. This will be achieved through: - programmes funded via a combination of contestable and targeted funding - supporting community organisations to strengthen funding position and attract diverse funding - the engagement of a Partnerships Broker whose role will be to identify gaps and opportunities, including potential partnerships which increase participation and belonging, and amplify the value of local board investment; influence key local activity to minimise impact towards local board outcomes, particularly Outcome One ‘Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community’ This activity will deliver on the local board’s objective ‘people are cared for and enabled to participate, celebrate and contribute to their community’. Note: the 2019/20 budget figure shown for this activity includes the $315,000 originally approved plus $76,000 carried forward from 2018/19. This budget allocation includes $75,000 for the partnerships broker, and the remainder for contestable and non-contestable grants.</td>
<td>CS: Age Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LDI Opex</td>
<td>$351,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1096</td>
<td>Engaged Communities</td>
<td>Develop and deliver a programme of activity that delivers on the following priorities - Neighbourhood Development - Active participation - Economic growth - Improving outcomes for Māori - Safety The programme of activity will be funded via a combination of contestable and targeted funding. The targeted funding will include initiatives such as: events or event-related costs by the Onehunga, Parnell and Glen Innes business associations ($45,000), Rent Smart programme to increase community members’ financial literacy and their understanding of tenancy rights/responsibilities, support potential tenants to access accommodation in the area etc. ($48,000), actions from the Riverine Community Centre Capacity and Capability Plan to support the development of the Paramedics and to identify key areas of responsibility for management of the Riverine Community Centre - activities that increase neighbourhood connectedness and resilience, including intergenerational activities, partnerships with local community safety organisations. This activity will deliver on the local board’s objectives ‘our community is a safer place’ and ‘people are cared for and enabled to participate, celebrate and contribute to the community’</td>
<td>CS: Age Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LDI Opex</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1128</td>
<td>Youth Empowerment</td>
<td>Partner with youth organisations to provide opportunities for local young people to lead or participate in projects that strengthen young people’s engagement in the community, enhance their wellbeing, provide pathways into education or employment, and strengthen their connections to their cultural backgrounds. Partner organisations will include: - Flipside East Club - Te Awarangi - The 312 Hub - Synergy Projects This activity will deliver on the local board’s objective ‘our young people are engaged in the community and have access to a wide range of opportunities’.</td>
<td>CS: Age Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LDI Opex</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>Onehunga Sustainability Development Programme</td>
<td>The proposed project is a business sustainability development programme targeting businesses in Onehunga town centre area. This is the first year of a two-year project, with the first stage focusing on retail and services businesses. The programme will actively engage with businesses by “door knocking” and providing a free on-site waste audit. The proposed approach will help businesses that do not typically engage in waste minimisation to identify potential diversion opportunities. It’s envisaged that businesses will improve their waste minimisation practices after participating in the programme. The programme will actively engage with businesses in the following ways: site-specific waste minimisation advice – through waste audits and reports providing practical solutions; facilitating collaborative waste solutions – through workshops, linking businesses and exploring solutions with service providers; waste communications – detailing success stories and highlighting recycling services through Onehunga Business Association communication channels.</td>
<td>ATEED Local Economic Growth</td>
<td>LXI &amp; Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The programme has commenced. A consultant has been working with the local businesses to undertake initial assessments of their current waste levels. In response to a funding request from Onehunga Business Association (OBA), ATEED offered $5000 to OBA as sponsorship funding to enhance the project and outcomes. The funding would be in addition to the $20,000 local board funding. ATEED is waiting for OBA to advise if they would like to proceed with the sponsorship from ATEED and outline the additional project elements that would be delivered as a result of the additional funding along with the associated outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239</td>
<td>Pop-up Business School (MT)</td>
<td>This Pop Up Business School provides a free 10 day business school to provides education, support for local people interested in starting their own business. Examples elsewhere have had positive results in terms of the numbers of businesses established. Provides local community access to a free course to help them develop business skills and confidence to start their own business.</td>
<td>ATEED Local Economic Growth</td>
<td>LXI &amp; Opex</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Venue and dates for the PopUp confirmed for March 23rd to 3rd April 2020 and event listed on ATEED website and events page for registrations. Promotion will commence in the new year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Waitakarua Park – improve sports park &amp; extend sports fields</td>
<td>The components of this improvement project is as follows: Upgrade fields 9, 10 and 12 to two artificial turf fields and one sand carpet field including floodlighting to sports fields; toilet block and changing facilities; an additional 100 car parking spaces; one children’s playground, footpath and cycleway connections to the adjacent coastal cycleway and walkway, the neighbouring cemetery and Waitakarua Park. FY19/20: investigation and design; FY20/21: commences physical works; FY20/21: complete physical works; FY20/21: Growth Contribution $210,000; FY21/22: Growth Contribution $250,000.</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>A5S, Capex: Development; A6S, Capex: Growth</td>
<td>$1,570,418</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>The land currently has a notice of requirement from New Zealand Transport Agency for the East West Link motorway project. Proposed work on the Waitakarua Precinct Master Plan will inform scope of works within sports park. Develops a new concept design subject to New Zealand Transport Agency approval. Physical works budget deferred to financial year 2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Jubilee Bridge – renew and upgrade bridge</td>
<td>Overview – renew and upgrade Jubilee Bridge which includes the design, consultation, consent, tender and construction of a new bridge. Additional funding contribution has been sourced from Auckland Transport and Viccor. FY19/20: Detailed design options will be submitted to the local board for approval in advance of physical works: Secure required funding. FY20/21: Renew and complete renewal works. Construction to include demolition of existing bridge and reinstatement where required.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>A5S, Capex: Development; External funding</td>
<td>$151,191</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Value engineering completed. Recommendations to reduce costs have been implemented and a workshop to review these options with the Board in early August was presented with recommendations to pursue a steel bridge at a lower construction cost. Next steps: Proceed with a steel design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Tamaki Greenvays – develop a shared path</td>
<td>Creation of a shared path from Parnure Whatu To Whau o Tai Nature Reserve. This project is completed and was delivered in advance of the planned timeline. The budget remains in the original allocated year on it was committed.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>A5S, Capex: Delivery</td>
<td>$463,076</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Construction work including planting works are complete and the walkway open for use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Waitakarua Park Cemetery – renew paving and furniture</td>
<td>Renew pavement and furniture assets in Waitakarua Park Cemetery. FY19/20: topographical survey and pavement design has been completed for the access road. Procurement for physical works currently underway FY19/20 – plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>A5S, Capex: Renewals</td>
<td>$308,821</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: A detailed pavement renewal design has been completed for the cemetery access roads. Physical works have been carried forward to the FY20 Year to combine with FY20 budget to complete the renewal of the entire Access Road under one project thereby realising savings through economy of scale and reduced establishment costs at least stage. Procure Contractor to carry out the cemetery access road pavement renewal as designed from November 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew paving, car park and structure FY17</td>
<td>Renew paving, car parks and structures at various sites. Priority sites identified as follows: Communal Reservoir, Easewa Reservoir, Fanerston Domain, Hamilton Reservoir, Mount Roskill Reservoir, Mount Hobson Reservoir, Penmurre Basin, Point England Reservoir, Rangitoto Island Reservoir, Royal Park Reservoir, Smaug Park, Tamaki Reserve and Thompson Park. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP-ID 2061).</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$49,577</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Pavement and structure renewals within the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki area have been completed for the 2019/2020 year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043</td>
<td>Penmurre Basin - implement masterplan priorities</td>
<td>Improvements to open space infrastructure across the Penmurre Basin area to support population growth in the area and in accordance with the approved Penmurre Master Plan. This project is completed and was delivered in advance of the planned timeframe. The renovation remains in the original allocated year as it was committed.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed March 2019. Project scope of works included widening of footpath between Petone Reserve car park and the Van Damme Lagoon Creek overbridge, realignment and line marking of the Petone Reserve car park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2089</td>
<td>Mt Wellington War Memorial Reserve - renew coastal wall</td>
<td>Renewal of the coastal structures at Dunkirk Reserve and Rangitata Reserve. FY18/19 investigate and design FY19/2019 construct and planning FY20/2020 deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed March 2019. Scope of works including: 1. Renewal of the damaged section of seawall at Mt Wellington War Memorial Reserve 2. Renewal of the ramp / rock revetment along Dunkirk Reserve and between the Marsden Club rooms and new planting along the top of the revetment to prevent further erosion of the coastal edge 3. Renewal of the damaged areas of ramp / rock revetment at Rangitata Reserve and now planting along the top of the revetment to prevent further erosion of the coastal edge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2131</td>
<td>Onehunga War Memorial Pool - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Comprehensive renewal to include the following: 3-yearly paint and refurbishment, auto drain system; pool pump replacement; lift outdoor changing rooms, refurbish swim club building and changing rooms; upgrade of the air con system; and upgrade pool concourse area. FY19/20 - Stage 1 renewal works (swimming pool, plantroom, starting blocks and bench seats) are complete. FY20/21 - Stage 2 works to renew the changing rooms and toilet are planned for late 2019.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Design of proposed work to renew the indoor pool changing rooms and foyer (Stage 2) is being finalised. Completion of works (Stage 3) is in progress. Next steps. Contractor procurement is anticipated in October to November to enable finalisation of Stage 3 design with contractor input, lodgement of building consent in November, and planning for physical works to start in January 2020 after the summer school holidays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2161</td>
<td>Dunkirk Activity Centre - renew roof and refurbish interior</td>
<td>Renew the roof including reroof framing, flashing, gutters and downpipes, interior works include GRC ceilings, insulation, floor coverings, painting and minor carpentry. Consider options for talat removal. FY19/20 - investigation design and scope FY19/20 - detailed design to be approved, plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Project timelines have moved into FY20/21 due to contamination and seismic issues that have been found with the building. The project needs further investigation and planning to ensure all issues have been addressed in the design stage of the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164</td>
<td>Glen Innes Pool - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Overview - renew the pool facilities including replacement of the filter and the PA system in the facility; renewal of the roof and spa hot pool; interior and adjacent repair and replacement of the office carpet. Scope of work to also include carpark marking and repaving pot holes FY18/19 - FY19/20 investigate, design and scope required works FY19/20 - plan and deliver physical works. This is a multi-year funded project and is a continuation of the FY18/19 work programme.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$187,045</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Design of add Security to Reception Area scope discussed and consulted with Consultant. New concrete path for staff to access plant room at the rear Replace the external gym awning and concrete the external gym area Next steps. On receipt of Reception design and finalize. Document and process procurement of access way, gym awning and concrete on receipt of quotes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2189</td>
<td>Legion Pool - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renew pool facilities including the following works: the refurbishment of the outdoor pool and the pool surrounds, renewal of the pool deck changing rooms; replacement of the required roof and tiled areas; upgrade of the floor system; setting of the pools, and renewal of the flooring. FY18/19 - physical works including the indoor pool are complete FY19/20 - scope for further works to be consulted with the local board and physical works to be delivered. Options for heating the outdoor pool will be investigated and presented to the board.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AE5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$154,341</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. A priority list has been developed for work that can be completed with remaining budget (as Stage 3). Next steps. Execute Stage 3 works and finalize scope of works for Project Initiation Forms to be presented to the local board for consideration as future renewals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>2196</td>
<td>Onehunga Library - renew furniture fixtures and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Onehunga Library. This project was previously a bundled project in the 2018/19 work programme (reference Sharepoint ID: 2152), and the libraries have now been allocated individual project funding. FY18/19 - investigation design and scope is complete. Physical works have commenced. FY20/21 - continue physical works to complete required work.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,421</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed June 2019. Renewal works completed include a carpet replacement, 2 new air conditioning units installed on the roof and new furniture for the library. Some remedial works are still required relating to a cable channel running through the middle of the library (aluminum strip visible on the carpet). Solutions are being investigated to find the most cost effective solution with the least disruption to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Mt Wellington War Memorial Park - provide new dual toilet facility</td>
<td>Development of toilet facilities to support the new sports infrastructure that will meet the demand due to an increase in population in the immediate area. FY19/20 investigate and design. FY20/21 deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>On-going discussions are occurring with the rugby club about the location and layout of the toilet block. This is likely to be a grant payment to the club as per the previous agreement. Council staff attempted to reach an agreement with the club in June 2019 regarding contribution to the toilets and changing rooms. However, the agreement has not been settled and the project remains on hold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2244</td>
<td>Tamaki Reserve - general park development</td>
<td>Develop park as part of the Tamaki Regeneration. FY18/19 investigate and design. FY19/20 deliver physical works. (FY19/20 External Funding Contribution $1,960,000). Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Growth, External Funding</td>
<td>$1,815,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Resource consent decision expected October. Next steps: Detailed design will be completed following consent decision with physical works anticipated to start early 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2293</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tamaki - renew park roads and car parks FY19</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5 car park assets in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. Nominated car park sites are listed as follows: Alphonse Reserve, Bert Hincham Park, Captain Springs Reserve, Hamilton Park, Jordan Park and Maungatapu Reserve. Nominated park roads are listed as follows: Restari Reserve, Hockenfield Point (The Groby Waterfront) and Maungatapu Reserve. FY19/20 - investigate, design and scope the works required. Sites identified for renewal to be agreed with the local board. FY20/21 - plan and initiate a programme to deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$11,060</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Scope for renewal works is currently being assessed. Next steps: Renewals scope to be presented to the local board for prioritisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2294</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tamaki - renew play spaces FY19</td>
<td>Renew play equipment and play spaces at various sites in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. Nominated sites are identified as: Fong Reserve, Hincham Reserve, Jellicoe Park and Onhunga War Memorial Pools, M滋生 Reserve, One Tree Hill Domain, Onhunga Bay Reserve, Paruna Basin, Savage Park, and the playground at Glen Innes Shops. The local board 's Accessible report will inform the play space renewals FY18/19 - undertake condition assessments at playgrounds throughout the local board area. FY19/20 - continue condition assessments. Sites identified for renewal will be reviewed and prioritised by the local board and a programme for physical works will be agreed FY20/21 - FY21/22 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$128,915</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Scope for renewal works is currently being assessed. Next steps: Renewals scope to be presented to the local board for prioritisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2295</td>
<td>Maungatapu Reserve - develop general park</td>
<td>Develop neighbourhood park as part of the Tamaki Regeneration priority projects. FY18/19 investigate development. FY19/20 detailed design and scope. FY20/21 consenting and planning. FY20/22 commerce physical works. FY20/23 complete physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. This local board resolved on the area they are willing to consider a lease within for the RuaPoota Māori development (resolution MT/2019/444). Awaiting Tāmaki response on land exchange proposal to allow for reserve development. Next steps: Procurement for professional services to begin following discussions and agreement from Tāmaki on land exchange. Concept design phase anticipated to commence early 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305</td>
<td>Piersce Street Community Hall - refurbish interior</td>
<td>Interior refurbishment requires renewal of flooring, walls, doors, bathrooms and kitchen. FY18/19 - investigation design and scope is complete. FY19/20 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Scope of interior refurbishment complete, tenders received. Next steps: Award works on confirmation of works with community tenancies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<td>2386</td>
<td>Communalist Playground - renew play space</td>
<td>Renew play space including options to propose to the local board for assets that will benefit from an increased level of service. The investigation and design of the playground is now complete with local board agreement: FY2020 - plan and deliver agroad physical works. This is a multi-year funded project and is a continuation of the FY18/19 work programme.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$87,430</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Playground upgrade is complete. Drinking fountain will be installed once Watercare installs a new water meter at the reserve. Application for new water meter was lodged in February 2019 however approval is still pending. Next steps: Install drinking fountain and close off project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2396</td>
<td>Eketahuna Reserve - develop general park</td>
<td>Develop neighbourhood park as part of the Tamaki Regeneration priority projects. FY19/20 investigate development. FY20/21 detailed design and consulting. FY20/21 commerce physical works. FY21/22 complete physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$107,022</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Investigations and preliminary design underway along with co-design process for Tamaki Reserves Community Engagement Strategy. Next steps: Public consultation scheduled for October/November 2019 and preliminary design due for completion early 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Ferguson Domain - renew and upgrade courts to multi purpose courts</td>
<td>Renew and increase the level of service of the hardcourts by upgrading to multisport courts. The renewal of these courts will align with the implementation programme for the Ferguson Domain concept plan. FY18/19 - FY19/20: investigation and design phase to scope proposed works. Further design work to occur following the approval of the refreshed Ferguson Domain concept plan. FY20/21: physical works to be undertaken. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Procurement underway for design and consents for renewal of the courts. Next steps: Commence design works for the courts. Present concepts for the court design to the local board by March 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2473</td>
<td>Parnumia Reserve - renew play space</td>
<td>Renew the playground including the 1 in 20 swings: FY19/20 - investigation, design and scope of work. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Concept design approved by local board in March 2019. Detailed design phase completed. Consent application was expected to be lodged by mid-July 2019 however lodgement date has been delayed as we are still awaiting land owner consent from Transpower. Next steps: Complete consent lodgement and start or tender for physical works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2521</td>
<td>Wa-oi-take Nature Reserve - develop nature trail</td>
<td>Investigate the options for a nature trail and present to the local board with cost estimates for further decision making.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>LDE - Capex</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Project timelines have been adjusted to allow for Local Board to approve consultation plan in February 2020. Initial site investigations are complete and options are being drafted, pending consultation with community in February - March 2020. More Whenua consultation is also programmed to commence in February 2020. Next steps: Complete feasibility stage and provide consultation plan to the local board by February 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2577</td>
<td>139 Mt Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington - renew facility</td>
<td>Highway House Plant: - The condition assessment has indicated that targeted renewal works are required for both the interior and the exterior of the building. Works will include the roof, the ceiling, the brick exterior window frames and door. FY19/20 - investigate, design and scope required works. FY19/20 to FY20/21 - plan and deliver agreed targeted works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current steps: Concept progressing with scoping of the works. Next steps: Finalise scope and price the works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2766</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tamaki - review east to coast walkway signage</td>
<td>Renew the walkway signage on Campbell Road and Manukau Road to ensure they are fit for purpose.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved in principle</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Analysis report was presented to the local board for their approval at the business meeting. Work is underway to develop an outline of a long term planning programme. Next step will be to present to the local board after the elections in Q3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2794</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tamaki - renew park furniture and fixtures FY20</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5 park furniture and fixtures in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. FY19/20 - investigate, design and scope the works required. Sites identified for renewal to be agreed with the local board. FY20/21 - plan and initiate a programme to deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>AB5 - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Scope for renewal works is currently being assessed. Next steps: Renewals scope to be presented to the local board for prioritisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit or CC</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3140</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Full Facilities maintenance contracts</td>
<td>The Full Facilities maintenance contracts include all buildings, parks and open space assets, sports fields, coastal management and storm damage prevention.</td>
<td>CF - Operations</td>
<td>ABS- Opex</td>
<td>$5,537,733</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3141</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Arboriculture Contracts</td>
<td>The Arboriculture maintenance contracts include tree management and maintenance.</td>
<td>CF - Operations</td>
<td>ABS- Opex</td>
<td>$1,036,084</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3142</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Ecological Restoration Contracts</td>
<td>The Ecological Restoration maintenance contracts include pest plant and animal pest management within ecologically significant areas.</td>
<td>CF - Operations</td>
<td>ABS- Opex</td>
<td>$84,243</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3251</td>
<td>Boundary Reserve East - development</td>
<td>Development of open space infrastructure to meet the demands of the new subdivisions in the area and population growth across the local network. FY21/22 invest and design FY22/23 physical works</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS- Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3266</td>
<td>Glen Innes Community Hall - Citizens Advice Bureau - refurbish interior</td>
<td>Reconfigure and refurbish the interior of the Glen Innes Community Hall to ensure it is fit for purpose for the relocation of the Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB). Concept design and procurement for professional services is complete. FY19/20 - undertake detailed design in consultation with the local board and stakeholder engagement. Deliver physical works. Demolition works for the CAB’s current facilities will be scheduled following the CAB relocation to the refurbished community hall.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS- Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$400,788</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3267</td>
<td>Glen Innes Community Hall - renew community hall facilty</td>
<td>Renew the community hall to encourage better use of the facility by the local community. Proposed interior works to include removal of the flooring and fixtures in the main hall, drainage works in the toilet facilities, and interior painting. Proposed exterior works include lighting and signage. FY19/20 - investigate, scope and design works. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS- Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The first quarter of the year has seen a wet and cooler climate, leading to slower growth. Our contractors have been working through the wet period to install public amenities such as signage and pathway paving. All of the landscape and maintenance work is proceeding as per the programme. Although there have been some issues with the supply of materials, these are anticipated to be resolved in the coming months. The programme is on track and on budget.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit / CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3268</td>
<td>Glen Innes Library - renew furniture, fittings and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Glen Innes Library. The renewal of this library was previously included in a bundled project in the FY19/20 work programme. Libraries included as part of the bundle have now been allocated funding on an individual basis. FY19/20 - investigate scope and design required works. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3269</td>
<td>Ferguson Domain - implement concept plan park improvements</td>
<td>Pen philosophy has funded a refresh of the Ferguson Domain concept plan and once approved by the local board, recommendations for renewal works and service level improvements will be prioritised and scheduled for delivery as part of an implementation programme for the domain. FY19/20 - investigation, design and scope works to align with the refreshed concept plan. Once the scope is agreed a prioritised programme of works will be scheduled. FY20/21 - initiate the delivery of physical works as projects are prioritised. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3270</td>
<td>Glen Innes Pool and Leisure Centre - renew carpark</td>
<td>Renew the carpark for the pool and leisure centre. FY19/20 - Investigate, scope and design required works. Options for service level improvements to be presented to the local board for review and input. FY20/21 - finalise detailed design, plan and deliver agreed physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3271</td>
<td>Ian Shaw Reserve - renew carpark</td>
<td>Renew and potentially upgrade the carpark at Ian Shaw Reserve. Current capacity will be considered in the investigation and design phase. FY19/20 - Investigate, scope and design required works. Options for service level improvements to be presented to the local board for review and input. FY20/21 - finalise detailed design, plan and deliver agreed physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3273</td>
<td>Harlin Park - develop concept plan</td>
<td>Develop a concept plan for Harlin Park to identify and prioritise a programme of works that will improve and upgrade facilities to ensure levels of service are aligned with the use of the park. FY19/20 - develop a concept plan to be submitted to the local board for approval. FY20/21 - plan and deliver a prioritised programme of physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LME: Capex</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3274</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - Auckland Urban Forest (UGA) Strategy - Growing Phase</td>
<td>FY20: Deliver year two ‘Growing phase’. Community Facilities will deliver the planning phase, as informed by the year one (FY19) ‘Planning phase’, for the local board’s specific implementation of the Urban Highways Strategy (UHS). This part of the programme is likely to be ongoing where tree cover is identified as being low. NOTE: Year 2 is being delivered in two components. This activity line is to physically deliver the planning phase and complements the Parks Services programme management of the ‘Growing phase’ referenced in line item 420.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>LME: Capex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3297</td>
<td>Parnell Library - renew furniture, fittings and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Parnell Library. The renewal of this library was previously included in a bundled project in the FY19/20 work programme. Libraries included as part of the bundle have now been allocated funding on an individual basis. FY19/20 - investigate scope and design required works. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept (Unit or COG)</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3296</td>
<td>Riverside Community Centre - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renew the facility, which may include interior and exterior refurbishment, to ensure the facility is fit for purpose.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status, Investigation, and preparation of scope. Next steps: Confirm scope with stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3332</td>
<td>Stone Cottage - renew heritage facility</td>
<td>Renew the facility which may include both interior and exterior refurbishment to preserve and restore this heritage asset. FY2023 - investigate design and scope works to renew the facility. Liaise with heritage for input into the scope of works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved in principle</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project will be scoped and completed in future years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3333</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew booths FY19/20</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 &amp; 5 booths at parks and reserves in the local board area. Two priority sites have been identified for booths renewal include Anns Creek and Homes Reserve. Additional booths will be assessed for potential renewal and prioritised for delivery in consultation with the local board. FY19/20 - investigate design and scope works to renew booths at Anns Creek and Homes Reserve. Plan and initiate physical works. Assess booths throughout the local board area to identify further required renewals. FY2021 - continue to deliver booths renewal physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Scope for renewal works is currently being assessed. Next steps: Renewals scope to be presented to the local board for prioritisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3335</td>
<td>OteleHunga Bay Reserve - develop dog agility area</td>
<td>Develop a concept plan for a proposed dog agility area at OteleHunga Bay Reserve. Concept plan to be workshopped with the local board for input, review and approval prior to commencing physical works.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>LDI - Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Feasibility and design options are being investigated. Next steps: Finalise design options for local board approval by March 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3568</td>
<td>Jordan Recreation Centre - refurbish stadium and changing room</td>
<td>Refurbish the stadium to ensure the facility remains fit for purpose. Change room refurbishment to male and female change rooms in stadium. This project was carried forward from FY17/18, previous SharePoint ID 2845.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex</td>
<td>$61,426</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. The project has gone to tender and a price has been obtained from Venita which was above the project budget. Venita are reviewing their price and will resubmit for review and value engineering. Next steps: Auckland Council will assess the price and options to achieve the most cost effective outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3583</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - LDI minor capex fund 2018/19</td>
<td>Funding to deliver minor capex projects throughout the financial year as approved in the monthly local board workshops.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDI - Capex</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Projects to be funded from the LDI minor capex funding are yet to be identified. Potential projects will be presented to the local board for consideration and approval. Next steps: Workshop with the local board to confirm the minor new assets to be allocated Locally Driven Initiative minor Capex funding and submit a report for funding approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3653</td>
<td>Hochstatter Point - install interpretation signage</td>
<td>Complete investigation and design phase and instal interpretation signage for Hochstatter Pond.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDI - Capex</td>
<td>$11,962</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Draft design completed, being reviewed by Council branding and communications team. Resource consent granted. Next steps: Submit to Local Board for review in October. Installation anticipated to be by November / December 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3660</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - signwriting</td>
<td>MT Signwriting Renewal. This project was carried over from FY2017/2018, previous SharePoint ID 3067.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex</td>
<td>$49,142</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Resources in need of sign renewal have been assessed and identified. Next steps: Renewals scope to be workshopped with the local board for prioritisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3681</td>
<td>Onehunga War Memorial Park - renovate tree &amp; renew boundary wall</td>
<td>Remove tree, renew boundary wall. This project is carried over from the 2017/18 programme (previous ID 3132).</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex</td>
<td>$5,908</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed August 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3716</td>
<td>Stone Cottage - renew roof and joinery</td>
<td>Renew roof and joinery to ensure weather tightness. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SharePoint ID 2309).</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$53,081</td>
<td>On hold</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Risk: Issues. Project is currently on hold due to seismic implications. The seismic team will undertake an investigation and recommend a way forward for this project. Current status: Project has been identified as not ready for delivery as it requires further strategic assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3767</td>
<td>OteleHunga Bay Reserve - build skatepark</td>
<td>Construct a new street style skate facility that will complement the existing vert ramp and increase the range of play/seek provision. The provision of a new street style skate facility will appeal to a broader range of ages and age groups which will increase participation and usage. Stage one - investigate, design and scope physical works (including options to propose to the local board for assets that may benefit from an increased level of service). Stage two - physical works commence. This project is a multi-year funded project and is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme. $400,000 of the board's discretionary funding was allocated to this project in 2017/2018.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDI - Capex</td>
<td>$191,368</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Procurement for design and consenting for the skatepark and basketball court completed. Design work is underway and second round of consultation planned for October 2019. Next step: Complete concept design for local board approval by end of 2019. Physical works are planned for March to May 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
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<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or COG</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1279</td>
<td>Parnure Library - unfit building and replace partial roof</td>
<td>Comprehensive building retrofit, including carpinit and finish in both public and staff areas. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (Grant No. 18/28)</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>AEB - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$701,832</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Water tightness repairs to roof completed December 2018. Further internal remedial works proposed by operators. Next steps: Await the buildings future outcome from Parnure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1280</td>
<td>Waitematā Peninsula Park Reserve Management Plan</td>
<td>Develop a reserve management plan (year 2 of 3) for Waitematā Peninsula Park including sports fields, speedway and carpark.</td>
<td>CS - Service Strategy and Integration</td>
<td>LDX - Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Planned for Q2: Community engagement planned for October/November 2019. Completion of first round of consultation to inform development of the plan. Decisions for classification of park land were made in August 2018 MT/009/115. Planned for Q2: Public notification of classification proposals will be completed. Workshops will be held on consultation findings. Further engagement with stakeholders will be completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1285</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Parks Management Plan</td>
<td>Develop a multi-year management plan (year 1 of 2) that prioritises the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board in managing, use, development and protection of all parks, reserves and other open space they have allocated or control.</td>
<td>CS - Service Strategy and Integration</td>
<td>LDX - Opex</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Work programme has been approved by the local board. Activity has not started. Planned for Q2: Workshop to discuss the scope and initiating the project including first round of consultation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Infrastructure and Environmental Services

- **613** Industrial Pollution Prevention - Penrose and Mt Wellington
  - This programme is primarily educational and aims to inform urban industries and businesses about the impacts their activities may be having on local waterways. The programme includes site inspection and discussion with the business owners about potential impacts on their businesses. This may include subsidies for litter traps. If changes are recommended, a report is sent to the business. The programme involves a GIS mapping exercise to ensure that commercial businesses understand the stormwater network connections in relation to local waterways.
  - In progress: $12,000
  - Green: Procurement has been finalised and the project is due to commence in quarter two.

- **688** Pest education and management for schools
  - This project educates and empowers school students to undertake investigations and management in their schools and households to improve biodiversity and manage pest species. Specific activities undertaken in this project include:• experiential education sessions to connect students with the biodiversity of the local environment• professional development for teachers to support their students learning and inquiry• facilitation of student-led citizen science to understand local issues• facilitation of student-led action projects to protect or enhance the local environment.
  - In progress: $20,000
  - Green: Auckland Zoo has been directly awarded this contract (alongside the contract for the board’s experiential learning and action for water in schools project due to their high standard of delivery in the previous year’s project and the strategic relationship the programme has created, with Auckland Zoo investing further time and resources into schools in the local board area. The Sustainable Schools team have a priority list of schools to engage in this year’s programme based on location, previous involvement in programmes and engagement level. The contractor will start engaging with these schools in quarter two.

- **690** Experiential learning and action for water in schools
  - This project will focus on educating and empowering school students, through experiential learning and citizen science, to undertake investigations and action for water and marine environments. Specific activities to be undertaken in this project are:• experiential freshwater and marine education sessions to connect students with their local environment• professional development for teachers to support their students learning and inquiry• facilitation of student-led citizen science to understand local issues• facilitation of student-led action projects to protect or enhance the local environment.
  - In progress: $40,000
  - Green: Auckland Zoo has been directly awarded this contract (alongside the contract for the board’s experiential learning and action for water in schools project) due to their high standard of delivery in the previous year’s project and the strategic relationship the programme has created, with Auckland Zoo investing further time and resources into schools in the local board area. The Sustainable Schools team have a priority list of schools to engage in this year’s programme based on location, previous involvement in programmes and engagement level. The contractor will start engaging with these schools in quarter two.
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit / CC</th>
<th>Budget Service</th>
<th>Budget</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>993</td>
<td>Love Your Neighbourhood - Environment Trust</td>
<td>This project will: 1) provide rapid response assistance up to a value of $500 to support volunteer-driven practical environmental initiatives such as environmental clean-ups and restoration, community planting and food growing 2) provide practical assistance to not-for-profit preschools to enable environmental education initiatives; in particular edible gardens and water saving/collection devices 3) promote the availability of the assistance through appropriate networks across the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD1 Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>Low Carbon Lifestyles - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>The project supports and empowers householders to lead low carbon lifestyles, helping them to live well, save money and care for the planet. The project has two objectives: 1) to reduce residential energy use and associated carbon emissions 2) to improve resident health by keeping houses warmer and dryer. Home insulation, ventilation and efficient heating are critical to making homes warmer, lowering energy use and improving health outcomes in Auckland, and among low income and ethnically diverse residents in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local board area. Lower income areas are targeted as part of this project, as determined by census data. Targeted advice will be provided to residents on home energy efficiency with the potential to extend this to water conservation, zero waste, smarter mobility and/or food security. The project involves adoorstep conversation with residents and may also include the provision of energy saving devices. A follow up survey evaluates the effectiveness of the action taken, converting to carbon and money saved.</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD1 Opex</td>
<td>$26,250</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820</td>
<td>Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum (Maungakiekie-Tāmaki)</td>
<td>The Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum operates as a collaboration between five local boards and several community organisations to advocate for the Tāmaki catchment. The forum’s vision is “to see the Waioeka Taiao (the Tāmaki Estuary) as a thriving, dynamic and healthy ecosystem that is loved and used by the community and which positively enhances and connects with the Manukau Harbour, the Waitematā Harbour and the Hauraki Gulf” This is the third year where Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board budget will enable the funding of a coordinator for 10 hours per week to support the forum and associated groups in progressing the vision for the Tāmaki Estuary. Remaining funds not used for the coordinator contract will be used to implement projects, such as beach clean-ups, which progress the vision of the forum.</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD1 Opex</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>To continue to support the implementation of the Manukau Harbour Forum work programme. This year’s work programme includes a youth leadership sustainability workshops (educational programme held over three days), a communications plan. Support for young environmentalists in southern Auckland and supporting volunteer action during Seaweed. Additional proposal projects informed by the governance and management support review report will be considered by the forum early in 2020. Note the 2019/2020 budget figure shown for this activity includes the $8,000 originally approved plus $3,800 carried forward from 2018/2019.</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD1 Opex</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944</td>
<td>Access to Library Service - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Deliver a library service - help customers find what they need, when they need it, and help them navigate our services and digital offerings. Providing information, library collection lending services and eResources as well as support for customers using library digital resources, PCs and Wi-Fi. Hours of service: Glen Innes Library for 52 hours over 6 days per week. ($344,177) Onehunga Library for 52 hours over 7 days per week. ($347,774) Parnell Library for 56 hours over 7 days per week. ($489,569) (Budget based on FYF18/19, will be updated when available)</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>A55 Opex</td>
<td>$1,341,520</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Our digital and eCollections continue to grow with an increase of 16% across all libraries when compared to the same quarter last year. Glen Innes Library saw a 5% increase in physical issues compared to the same quarter last year. The number of new patrons increased by 35% at Glen Innes Library and 4% at Parnell Library compared to the same quarter last year. Visitor numbers have been affected by the measles outbreak, and are down 16% compared to the same quarter last year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept. / Unit</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Phase</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945</td>
<td>Preschool programming - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Provide programming for preschoolers that encourages early literacy, active movement, and supports parents and caregivers to participate confidently in their children’s early development and learning. Programmes include: Wonder and Rhyme, Rhyme Time, Storytime.</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AES: Opera</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Attendance at preschool programmes and outreach to early childhood centres and playgroups have been affected by the measles outbreak. A total of 4,131 children and their parents and guardians attended a total of 113 rhyme time, storytime and sing-a-long sessions at the three libraries this quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>946</td>
<td>Children and Youth engagement - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Provide children and youth services and programming which encourage learning, literacy and social interaction. Engage with children, youth and whānau along with local schools to support literacy and grow awareness of library resources.</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AES: Opera</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>A successful July school holiday programme was delivered across all three libraries. Onehunga Library collaborated with Onehunga Community Centre, with the highlight being Pole Hunt, where children learnt about animals that live in the South Pole through a fantastic scavenger hunt in the library. The most popular activity at Panmure library was the Science Station, which reached 82 children and parents. As part of Onehunga Library’s annual Winter Evening Programme for children and families, July saw a circus-themed Storytime with 32 in attendance. A monthly book ‘Book Club’ started in May 2019 at Onehunga Library in collaboration with Massey University. Glen Innes Library has been involved in a Tongan Reading Together programme at St Pius School. Panmure Library continues its involvement with Panmure Bridge School with the Reading Together programme, school visits, and outreach to the school’s annual Book Week programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>947</td>
<td>Support customer and community connection and celebrate cultural diversity and local places, people and heritage - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Provide services and programmes that facilitate customer connection with the library and empower communities through collaborative design and partnerships with Council and other agencies. Celebrate local communities, cultural diversity and heritage. Gather, protect and share the stories, old and new, that celebrate our people, communities and Tamaki Makaurau.</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AES: Opera</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Cook Island and Tongan Language weeks were celebrated across all three libraries. Glen Innes and Panmure Libraries collaborated with Te Cie and community groups to run very successful language programmes that were attended by local dignitaries. An increasing number of community groups are using library spaces for meetings at all three libraries. Celebrations at Onehunga and Glen Innes Libraries for the Chinese Moon Festival attracted large numbers of people. Onehunga Library hosted a bilingual border inspection talk by New Zealand Customs. Onehunga Library supported Auckland City Mission’s Winter Appeal by collecting cans and non-perishable food items. It was an overwhelming success! In response to popular demand, the yoga class at Panmure Library is now being offered fortnightly thanks to our community volunteers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>948</td>
<td>Celebrating Te Ao Māori and strengthening responsiveness to Māori - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Engage and build partnerships with Ruapuke Maori, local iwi and Māori organisations to support local Māori communities. Celebrating te ao Māori with events and programmes including regionally coordinated and promoted programmes: Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Mātekino and Māori Language Week. Whakataupi i te reo Māori - champion and endorsed te reo Māori in our libraries and communities.</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AES: Opera</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Mātoriki was celebrated at all three libraries with displays, Māori-themed regular programmes, school holiday activities and craft activities for adults and children. Glen Innes Library collaborated with Te Oro and community groups for Mātoriki events in Glen Innes. Glen Innes Library worked with local kōrero reo and kura kaupapa for Māori Language Week with students coming to the library for a special story telling session. After school activities were also run. Onehunga and Panmure Libraries celebrated with displays, themed Storytime and sing-a-long and rhyme sessions. Panmure Library held a bilingual cooking club, where children and parents shared their culinary creations and learned to talk about food and cooking in reo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>949</td>
<td>Learning and Literacy support - Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>Provide learning programmes and events throughout the year. Support our programme to embrace new ways of doing things. Lift literacy in the communities that need it most. Help customers and whānau learn and grow, and provide opportunities for knowledge creation and innovation.</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AES: Opera</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>32 adult learning activities were held across the three libraries, reaching a total of 572 adults. 124 classes and workshops were held, reaching 879 adults and 152 children and young people. 48 Book a Librarian sessions were held, reaching 77 adults and 160 children and young people. In particular, Glen Innes Library promoted Book a Librarian sessions with special assistance on researching your family history throughout Family History Month. All three libraries encouraged reading and literacy during Comic Book Month with displays and a region-wide Take 3 book promotion. Glen Innes and Panmure Libraries collaborated with Upokids to deliver adult literacy classes at local factories. Onehunga Library continues to hold monthly Book Clubs, with all sessions consistently well attended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCC</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Glen Innes Pool &amp; Leisure Centre Operations</td>
<td>Operate Glen Innes Pool &amp; Leisure Centre in a safe and sustainable manner, through a management agreement with the YMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. These services include fitness, group fitness, learn to swim, aquatic and recreation services. Along with core programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Active Recreation</td>
<td>ABS, Oper</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The current road works to upgrade the wastewater has affected parking and visitor numbers. The project will affect the centre's operations until at least March 2020. The management of the Glen Innes Pool have been working closely with the contractors who are delivering the Glen Innes wastewater upgrade to ensure their members and users for the facility are able to enter and exit the facility. The centre provide Facebook updates as well as newsletters. Due to the reduction in car park space the back area has had to be used from time to time for the users. YMCA and Auckland Council have partnered with Plantnet this year. This promotes offers 50 per cent discount for all baby and toddlers who enrol in weekday classes with a referral from Plantnet. The site has seen an increase in bookings this term with Wet Wave being closed for several months. The undersea hockey club will utilise one of the pools on Wednesday nights. Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is 31.4. This rating is a slight decrease of 5.0 compared to Q1 2018/19. The decrease represents the extended shut down of the spa which is now operational after a full upgrade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>Lagoon Pool &amp; Leisure Centre Operations</td>
<td>Operate Lagoon Pool &amp; Leisure Centre in a safe and sustainable manner, through a management agreement with the YMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. These services include fitness, group fitness, learn to swim, aquatic and recreation services. Along with core programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Active Recreation</td>
<td>ABS, Oper</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The fitness centre has seen new pieces of equipment introduced this quarter. The fitness timetable has been reviewed and due to the spin classes continuing to be popular, these classes retain high occupancy. Other changes allowed for Yoga and Pilates to return to the programme. Year 1 &amp; 2, 7 &amp; 9 students at Penrith Bridge School and Sommerville Special School, attended Greater Auckland Aquatic Action Plan (GAAAP) lessons this quarter. The AMETI roadworks have impacted on the access to the centre. YMCA management are working closely with Fulton &amp; Hogan to assist with the road management through weekly Monday meetings and updates. A traffic management program is being created to inform users of the centre of road works and possible timings. Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is 53.4. This rating is a slight decrease of 3.5 compared to Q1 2018/19, however this is a good score when compared to the rest of the network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>Lagoon Stadium</td>
<td>Operate Lagoon Stadium in a safe and sustainable manner, through a management agreement with the YMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. This includes fitness, group fitness, and recreation services, along with core programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Active Recreation</td>
<td>ABS, Oper</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The stadium is currently being utilised for basketball, badminton, futsal and table tennis. There are also various rentals such as the boxing gym, church groups and corporate basketball leagues. Two new additions of sport programs that will be introduced at the stadium are pickleball and 3-on-3 basketball. These would ideally be used during off-peak times to ensure full utilisation of the centre. Auckland Transport are currently working on the new access to the stadium via Domain Road, this is estimated to be completed at the end of October 2019. This will result in two access points to the stadium to be used until such time as the current access on Lagoon Drive is closed. YMCA management are working closely with Fulton Hogan (the contractors) to assist with road management through weekly meetings and updates. A traffic management program is being created to inform users of the stadium about road works and possible timings. Participation in activities at the centre has increased. The after-school programme continues to be popular. After-school activities include swimming, sports, playground, arts and crafts and K Club (Kids fitness in the gym). The fitness centre continues to grow with members utilising the use of personal training, group fitness classes and smaller group training. Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is 57.7. This rating is a slight decrease of 0.7 compared to Q1 2018/19. The decrease represents the dissatisfaction with the changing room (upgrade planned for Q2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>Onehunga War Memorial Pool and Leisure Centre Operations</td>
<td>Operate the centre in a safe and sustainable manner, through a management agreement with the YMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. This includes fitness, group fitness, and recreation services, along with core programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Active Recreation</td>
<td>ABS, Oper</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Participation in activities at the centre has increased. The after-school programme continues to be popular. After-school activities include swimming, sports, playground, arts and crafts and K Club (Kids fitness in the gym). The fitness centre continues to grow with members utilising the use of personal training, group fitness classes and smaller group training. Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is 57.7. This rating is a slight decrease of 0.7 compared to Q1 2018/19. The decrease represents the dissatisfaction with the changing room (upgrade planned for Q2).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept/ Unit or CFO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Phase</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>MT Urban Forest (North) Strategy FY20</td>
<td>This is the second year of the local board specific implementation of Auckland’s North Strategy. The local board area ‘winning’ report, from year one (2018/2019), will be used to help guide the board on options in a planning workshop. This includes a desktop exercise identifying potential sites in parks and streets across the local board area, recommending species, and investigating opportunities to further develop partnerships (including community groups, schools, volunteers). This will be delivered in partnership with Community Facilities Operations activity line if which is the ABIS CAPEx for planting the trees in Autumn/Winter 2020. Phase three (2020/2021) will develop the Protecting Phase, in addition to the ongoing growing programme.</td>
<td>CS, PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>Pt Eng Reserve Service Assessment</td>
<td>Prepare a service assessment for Pt Eng Reserve. Note: this activity is dependent on the outcome of the Treaty settlement negotiations.</td>
<td>CS, PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>MT Parks, Amenity Service assessment</td>
<td>This is an ongoing programme to support community and volunteer ecocenotic and environmental initiatives. This includes: annual pest plant and animal control, local park clean-ups, and community environmental education and events. Additional activities have been planned throughout the year. Q1: Winter/spring community plantings Q3: Prepare for autumn planting.</td>
<td>CS, PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>MT Ecological volunteers and environmental programme 2019-2020</td>
<td>This is an ongoing programme to support community and volunteer ecocenotic and environmental initiatives. This includes: annual pest plant and animal control, local park clean-ups, and community environmental education and events. Additional activities have been planned throughout the year. Q1: Winter/spring community plantings Q3: Prepare for autumn planting.</td>
<td>CS, PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205</td>
<td>MT Te Kete Rukiau (Mori naming of parks and places) FY20</td>
<td>Moti naming (and associated story telling) of parks and places in partnership with mana whenua to value and promote Auckland’s Moti identity and use of te reo Māori.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Te Waka Tauihanga</td>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

**Attachment A**

**Item 26**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept/Unit or CCO</th>
<th>CL: Lease Commencement Date</th>
<th>CL: Lease Expiry Date</th>
<th>CL: Lease Years</th>
<th>CL: Annual Rent (excluding GST)</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1447</td>
<td>Renewal of building Lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/11/2014</td>
<td>31/10/2024</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>In-progress</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1448</td>
<td>Renewal of ground lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/03/2015</td>
<td>28/02/2025</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>In-progress</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1449</td>
<td>Renewal of ground lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/10/2017</td>
<td>30/09/2021</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td>In-progress</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>New ground lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>24/09/2014</td>
<td>23/09/2019</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Archived</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1451</td>
<td>New building lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/08/2004</td>
<td>31/07/2019</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1452</td>
<td>New ground lease</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/08/2005</td>
<td>31/05/2020</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1453</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1454</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3443</td>
<td>New reserve lease to Auckland Rowing Club</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>21/11/2003</td>
<td>21/11/2018</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>In-progress</td>
<td>In-progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3444</td>
<td>Renew of ground and building lease to Mount Wellington Tennis Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/01/2009</td>
<td>31/12/2023</td>
<td>$290.00</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept/Unit or COO</th>
<th>CL: Lease Commencement Date</th>
<th>CL: Lease Expiry Date</th>
<th>CL: Final Lease Expiry Date</th>
<th>CL: Annual Rent (excluding GST)</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG Status</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3445</td>
<td>Waitakaruru Park, 246 Helson Street, Orakei</td>
<td>New community ground lease to Auckland Community Agility Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>10/04/2014</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>This matter cannot be progressed until the Waitakaruru Park Reserve Management Plan is completed at the end of 2020. The group has surrendered the use of the building, and returned the keys to the cottage which they have not been using since July 2019. They are using the surrounding ground area only to train dogs. They seek a license to occupy this space, which is on hold pending completion of the Reserve Management Plan for Waitakaruru Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3447</td>
<td>Waitakaruru Park, 175-243 Helson Street, Te Paparoa</td>
<td>New community ground lease to Onehunga Combined Sports Trust</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>15/08/2003</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>14/08/2018</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>This lease matter cannot be progressed until the Waitakaruru Park Reserve Management Plan is completed at the end of 2020. Operational Management and Maintenance and Leasing are working with the group on the full agreement costs for maintaining the club-owned restrooms open to the public. This matter cannot be progressed until the Waitakaruru Park Reserve Management Plan is completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3448</td>
<td>Parmore Silene Cottage, 5 Kings Road, Pakuranga</td>
<td>New community lease for operation and management of Parmore Silene Cottage to Parmore Historical Society Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/10/2009</td>
<td>1 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Engagement with Mana Whenua was completed in August 2019. The new management agreement proposal will be worked up with the local board after the election.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3449</td>
<td>Savage Park, 1 Hamlin Road, Mount Wellington</td>
<td>New community ground lease to Scout Association of NZ - Manurewa Scout Group</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2014</td>
<td>1 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This lease matter requires a workshop with the local board in quarter two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3450</td>
<td>134E Elsbree Avenue, Point England</td>
<td>New ground and building lease to Te Ao Hou Community Childcare Centre Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/12/2003</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>A business report seeking approval of the new lease to the group will be presented at the first business meeting of the new local board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3451</td>
<td>Porirua Park, Whitianga Road Greensite</td>
<td>New lease to Elstree Tennis Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/10/2003</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/12/2017</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3452</td>
<td>Elstree North Reserve, 36A Elsbree Avenue Glen Innes</td>
<td>Renew lease to Tamaki Model Aero Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2008</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2021</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The group has sought a variation to their lease agreement for a reduction in rent. This will not be able to go through the streamlined renewal process and requires a business resolution. A business report will be available at the first business meeting after incorporation of the new board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3453</td>
<td>Ruapotake Reserve, 92-106 Line Rd Glen Innes, Lease to HRH Plumlet Society - Glen Innes</td>
<td>New community lease to HRH Plumlet Society - Glen Innes</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2001</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2016</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3457</td>
<td>92-106 Line Road Glen Innes; Lease to Citizens Advice Bureau - Glen Innes</td>
<td>New community lease to Citizens Advice Bureau - Glen Innes (Ruapotake Reserve)</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2001</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2016</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This renewal is dependent on execution of the head lease which is with Legal Services for review. Progress with the renewal will commence as soon as the review is completed and the head lease deed is executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3458</td>
<td>Onahenga Community Centre, 181 Church Street Onehunga</td>
<td>New community lease to Citizens Advice Bureau - Onehunga</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2001</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2016</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This renewal is dependent on execution of the head lease which is with Legal Services for review. Progress with the renewal will commence as soon as the review is completed and the head lease deed is executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3459</td>
<td>Parmore Community Centre, 7-13 Hinkington Road Pakuranga</td>
<td>New lease to Citizens Advice Bureau - Pakuranga</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/04/2001</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>31/03/2016</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This renewal is dependent on execution of the head lease which is with Legal Services for review. Progress with the renewal will commence as soon as the review is completed and the head lease deed is executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3460</td>
<td>Pt England Reserve, 122 Elsbree Avenue Glen Innes; Renewal airspace lease to Tamaki Model Aero Club Incorporated</td>
<td>Renew airspace lease to Tamaki Model Aero Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/03/2007</td>
<td>2 x 5 years</td>
<td>28/02/2022</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The group have requested a variation to their lease agreement regarding rental. This can no longer go through the streamlined renewal process. A business report seeking approval of the renewal of lease and variation will be presented at the next business meeting of the new board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q1 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Department/Unit or CCDO</th>
<th>CL: Lease Commencement Date</th>
<th>CL: Right of Renewal</th>
<th>CL: Final Lease Expiry Date</th>
<th>CL: Annual Rent Excluding GST</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3461</td>
<td>Alienby Reserve, 30-52 Alienby Road Panmure</td>
<td>Lease to The Scout Association of NZ - Panmure Scout Group</td>
<td>CL: Community Leases</td>
<td>10/7/1997</td>
<td>1x 5 years</td>
<td>30/6/2017</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff had difficulty making contact with the new group (a different branch of NZ Scouts) and the regional office. Matter was worked through with the local board who provided direction regarding moving the EOI process as the group were already onsite and affiliated with the original group. Site visit was completed in July after contact was finally made with the group, and worked through with the local board. In August, Matter has been scheduled for re-engagement in November 2019. A business report will be presented at the next business meeting of the new board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3511</td>
<td>Knox Reserve, 12 Spring Street, Onehunga</td>
<td>Lease to Dolphin Theatre Incorporated - Onehunga</td>
<td>CL: Community Leases</td>
<td>1/11/2009</td>
<td>2x 5 years</td>
<td>31/10/2024</td>
<td>$1,864.00</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This group is due for a lease renewal 1/11/2019 and was not on the work programme 2018/2019. The group has been contacted by email and sent a lease renewal application to be completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Operating performance financial summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Performance</th>
<th>FY20 Quarter 1</th>
<th>FY20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating expenditure</td>
<td>3,583</td>
<td>3,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenue</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenditure</td>
<td>3,771</td>
<td>4,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>3,232</td>
<td>3,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental services</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes ABS and LDI

LDI by activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating expenditure</th>
<th>285</th>
<th>478</th>
<th>193</th>
<th>1627</th>
<th>1413</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>1,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental services</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commentary

Overall operating result for the first three months of the year is $3,583,000. This is 9 percent ($346,000) below the budget.

Operating revenue is $18,000 below budget, mainly from lower venue hire fees and miscellaneous in come from libraries. The Glen Innes Community Hall is under construction to reconfigure and refurbish the interior of the hall.

Operating expenditure is $3,771,000 and is 9 percent ($364,000) below budget. Higher facility maintenance in community centres and libraries are offset by lower park maintenance expenditure. In locally driven initiatives, several projects are in progress and is currently $193,000 below budget.

LDI expenditure of $285,000 in this quarter includes
- Local community grants allocation of nearly $60,000
- Local events funding $25,000 for Glow in the Park and Panmure Basin Fund Day
- Strategic Partnership fund $85,000
- Expenditure on environmental projects $31,000
- Onehunga sustainability Development Programme $20,000

Further details are in the Quarter 1 work programme update.
### LDI Operating Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cost of Service</th>
<th>Year to Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE LDI Staff allocation</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities in Park</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZAC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas events</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community placemaking initiatives /Strategic broker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Places programme LDI top up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community response operating fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating a Maori identity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological volunteers environmental programme</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental community assistance fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB Film income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local civic functions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community grants</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local events fund</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space management / Waikaraka Park Reserve management plan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panmure hall activation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruapotaka Marae support projects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Communities to Lead - Integrated ACE activity /Strategic Partnership Fund</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LDI Operating Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cost of Service</th>
<th>Year to Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forest (Ngahere) strategy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Co-design events</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Community services</strong></td>
<td>234</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon reduction initiatives</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eco City activation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Schools Project</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Est/Panmure Basin water qual impr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary environmental forum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality projects</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Environmental services</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local history</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally Driven Initiatives (ATEED) – Onehunga Sustainability Dev Prog, Pop-Up Business School</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth connections across Auckland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Planning</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capital expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY20 Quarter 1</th>
<th>FY20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditure</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes ABS and LDI

Commentary

Capital delivery expenditure is $756,000 and is 21 percent below budget.

Expenditure in the first three months of the calendar year include:

Local asset renewals $444,000 - Onehunga War Memorial Pool, renew car park in Glen Innes Pool, renew wall in Onehunga Pools, renew play space at Commissariat Playground.

LDI capital expenditure $171,000 is mostly spend on Glen Innes Community Hall.
Further details by projects are in the Quarter 1 work programme update.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Expenditure – all projects</th>
<th>Year to Date ($000)</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local asset renewal programmes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General park development at Tamaki Reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally driven initiatives (LDI) Capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sportspark Development (Waikatea Park)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade ( Jubilee Bridge)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway and walkway (Sail Woolbridge Park)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage one development (Sail Woolbridge Park)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Community services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidies and grants for capital expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Decision Points</th>
<th>LB Plan Outcome</th>
<th>Lead Dept/ Unit or CCC</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>2019/2020</th>
<th>2020/2021</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3140</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Full Facilities maintenance contracts</td>
<td>The Full Facilities maintenance contracts include all buildings, parks and open space assets, sports fields, coastal management and storm damage repairs.</td>
<td>The Full Facilities maintenance contracts include maintenance and repair of all assets across buildings, parks and open spaces, and sports fields, funded from local board budgets.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated.</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4</td>
<td>AB&amp;S: Opex</td>
<td>$5,337,733</td>
<td>$5,444,480</td>
<td>$5,503,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3141</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Arboriculture Contracts</td>
<td>The Arboriculture maintenance contracts include tree management and maintenance.</td>
<td>The Arboriculture maintenance contracts include tree management and maintenance in parks and on streets, funded from local board budgets.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated.</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4</td>
<td>AB&amp;S: Opex</td>
<td>$1,036,084</td>
<td>$1,056,806</td>
<td>$1,077,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3142</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Ecological Restoration Contracts</td>
<td>The Ecological Restoration maintenance contracts include pest plant and animal pest management within ecologically significant areas and storm damage repairs.</td>
<td>The Ecological Restoration maintenance contracts include pest plant and animal pest management within ecologically significant parks and reserves.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated.</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4</td>
<td>AB&amp;S: Opex</td>
<td>$89,243</td>
<td>$91,028</td>
<td>$92,849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2577</td>
<td>129 Mt Wellington Highway, Mount Wellington - renew facility</td>
<td>Highway House Flanket - The condition assessment has indicated that targeted renewal works are required for both the interior and the exterior of the building. Works will include the roof, the ceiling, the brick exterior window frames and door. FY19/20 - investigate, design and scope required works. FY19/20 to FY20/21 - plan and deliver agreed targeted works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Options to be agreed with local board at workshop</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion date June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3251</td>
<td>Boundary Reserve East - development</td>
<td>Development of open space infrastructure to meet the demands of the new subdivisions in the area and population growth across the local network. FY21/22 investigate and design FY22/23 physical works</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area</td>
<td>Design to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2020</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2388</td>
<td>Commissariat Playground - renew play space</td>
<td>Renew play space including options to propose to the local board for assets that will benefit from an increased level of service. The investigation and design of the playground is now complete with local board agreement. FY19/20 - plan and deliver agreed physical works. This is a multi-year funded project and is a continuation of the FY18/19 work programme.</td>
<td>Improved recreational facilities for the local community to enjoy Design options to be presented at workshop and agreed with the local board</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is December 2019</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$112,564</td>
<td>$87,438</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2361</td>
<td>Danluk Activity Centre - renew roof and refurbish interior</td>
<td>Renew roof including new framing, flashings, guttering and downpipes. Interior works to include GIB ceilings, insulation, floor coverings, painting and minor carpentry. Consider options for toilet removal. FY18/19 - investigation design and scope FY19/20 - detailed design to be approved, plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for the local community to enjoy Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2023</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$23,641</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$140,360</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$314,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2308</td>
<td>Eastview Reserve - develop general park</td>
<td>Develop neighbourhood park as part of the Tamaki Regional priority projects. FY18/19 investigate development FY19/20 detailed design and consenting FY20/21 commence physical works FY21/22 complete physical works Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area</td>
<td>Workshop-design options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2022</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$17,078</td>
<td>$107,022</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$1,675,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3269</td>
<td>Ferguson Domain - implement concept plan park improvements</td>
<td>Panuku has funded a refresh of the Ferguson Domain concept plan and once approved by the local board, recommendations for renewal works and service level improvements will be prioritised and scheduled for delivery as part of an implementation programme for the domain. FY2020 - investigation, design and scope works to align with the refreshed concept plan. Once the scope is agreed a prioritised programme of works will be scheduled. FY2021 - initiate the delivery of physical works as projects and prioritised Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Improved park facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Concept plan to be approved by the local board and a programme of works to be prioritised for delivery.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimate completion is yet to be confirmed</td>
<td>ABS Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Ferguson Domain - renew and upgrade courts to multi-purpose courts</td>
<td>Renew and increase the level of service of the hardcourts by upgrading to multisport courts. The renewal of these courts will align with the implementation programme for the Ferguson Domain concept plan. FY18/19 - FY19/20 - investigation and design phase to scope proposed works. Further design work to occur following the approval of the refreshed Ferguson Domain concept plan. FY20/21 - physical works to be undertaken. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project. (LDI Capex contribution $19,000 FY18/19)</td>
<td>Improved recreational facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Design options to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated project completion June 2021</td>
<td>ABS Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3266</td>
<td>Glen Innes Community Hall - Citizens Advice Bureau - refurbish interior</td>
<td>Reconceive and refurbish the interior of the Glen Innes Community Hall space to ensure fit for purpose for the relocation of the Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB). Concept design and procurement for professional services is complete. FY19/20 - undertake detailed design in consultation with the local board and stakeholder engagement. Deliver physical works. Demolition works for the CAB’s current facilities will be scheduled following the CAB relocation to the refurbished community hall.</td>
<td>Improved use of the facility to ensure fit for purpose</td>
<td>Design to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2020</td>
<td>ABS Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$367,357</td>
<td>$470,768</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$768,145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3267</td>
<td>Glen Innes Community Hall - renew community places facility</td>
<td>Renew the community hall to encourage better use of the facility by the local community. Proposed interior works to include renewal of the flooring and fixtures in the main hall, drainage works in the toilet facilities, and interior painting. Proposed exterior works include lighting and signage. FY19/20 - investigate, scope and design works. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2020</td>
<td>ABS Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Decision Points for LB</th>
<th>LB Plan Outcome</th>
<th>Lead Dept/Unit or ICC</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>2019/2020</th>
<th>2020/2021</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3268</td>
<td>Glen Innes Library - renew furniture, fixtures and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Glen Innes Library. The renewal of this library was previously included as a bundled project in the FY18/19 work programme. Libraries included as part of the bundle have now been allocated funding on an individual basis. FY19/20 - investigate scope and design required works. FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for the local community to enjoy Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and approval. Prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Measuring local challenges</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164</td>
<td>Glen Innes Pool - Comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Overview - renew the pool facilities including replacement of the filter and the PA system in the facility. Renewal of the roof and spa.新建泵, interior and exterior repair and replacement of the office carpark. Scope of work to also include carpark marking and repairing pot holes. FY18/19 - FY19/20 investigate, design and scope required works. FY19/20 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Design and funding options to be consulted and approved with the board</td>
<td>Measuring local challenges</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2023</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals, ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$115,455</td>
<td>$187,645</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$302,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3270</td>
<td>Glen Innes Pool and Leisure Centre - renew carpark</td>
<td>Renew the carpark for the pool and leisure centre. FY19/20 - Investigate, scope and design required works. Options for service level improvements to be presented to the local board for review and input. FY20/21 - finalise detailed design, plan and deliver agreed physical works.</td>
<td>Improved access to recreational facilities Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Measuring local challenges</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3273</td>
<td>Hamlin Park - develop concept plan</td>
<td>Develop a concept plan for Hamlin Park to identify and prioritise a programme of works that will improve and upgrade facilities to ensure levels of service are aligned with the use of the park FY19/20 - develop a concept plan to be submitted to the local board for approval. FY20/21 - plan and deliver a prioritised programme of physical works. Complete investigation and design phase and install interpretation signage for Hamlin Park</td>
<td>Improved park facilities for the local community to enjoy Concept plan to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Measuring local challenges</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2020</td>
<td>LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3653</td>
<td>Hochstetter Pond - install interpretation signage</td>
<td>Improved park facilities</td>
<td>Options to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Measuring local challenges</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td></td>
<td>LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$3,048</td>
<td>$11,962</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3271</td>
<td>Ian Shaw Reserve - renew carpark</td>
<td>Renew and potentially upgrade the carpark at Ian Shaw Reserve. Current capacity will be considered in the investigation and design phase. FY19/20 - Investigate, scope and design required works. Options for service level improvements to be presented to the local board for review and input. FY20/21 - Finalise detailed design, plan and deliver agreed and physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Improve accessibility to the reserve. Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3566</td>
<td>Jordan Recreation Centre - refurbish stadium and changing room</td>
<td>Refurbish the stadium to ensure the facility remains fit for purpose. Change room refurbishment to make and female change rooms in stadium. This project was carried forward from FY17/18, previous SharePoint ID:03345</td>
<td>Maintaining current service levels. No further decisions anticipated. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community. CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$23,096</td>
<td>$91,426</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$84,524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1667</td>
<td>Jubilee Bridge - renew and upgrade bridge</td>
<td>Oversee - renew and upgrade Jubilee Bridge which includes the design, consultations, consent, tender and construction of a new bridge. Additional funding contribution has been sourced from Auckland Transport and Victor. FY19/20 - Detailed design options will be submitted to the local board for approval in advance of physical works. Secure required funding. FY20/21 - plan and commence physical works. Construction to include demolition of existing bridge and reinstatement where required.</td>
<td>Improved accessibility within the local community. Detailed design and funding options to be approved by the local board. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion is yet to be scheduled.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Development, External funding</td>
<td>$283,235</td>
<td>$151,191</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,594,426</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2189</td>
<td>Lagoon Pool - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renew pool facilities including the following works: the refurbishment of the outdoor pool and the pool surrounds; renewal of the pool deck changing rooms; replacement of the sauna; renewal of the fire system; refilling of the pools; and renewal of the flooring. FY15/16 - physical works including the indoor pool concourse are complete FY19/20. Scope for further work to be consulted with the local board and physical works to be delivered Options for heating the outdoor pool will be investigated and presented to the board.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels. Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community. CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2019</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$1,038,270</td>
<td>$154,341</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,190,612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2054</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Auckland Urban Forest (Faghette) Strategy - Growing Phase</td>
<td>FY20: Deliver year two 'Growing' phase. Community Facilities will deliver the planting plan, as informed by the year one (FY19) 'Growing' phase, for the local board's specific implementation of the Urban Natives Strategy (UNS). This part of the program is likely to be ongoing where tree cover is identified as being low. NOTE: Year 2 is being delivered in two components. This activity line is to physically deliver the planting plan and complements the Parks Services programme management of the &quot;Growing&quot; phase referenced in the item 450.</td>
<td>To increase and protect the urban forest so that no local board area has less than 15% canopy cover. Parks Services will lead the workshops on the UNS 'Growing' Report and present the UNS Growing Programme to the local board for adoption. This will include the Planting Plans as an attachment. Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment. CF: Operations</td>
<td>Estimated completion is June 2020</td>
<td>LDC: Capex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3563</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - LDI minor capex fund 2018/19</td>
<td>Funding to deliver minor capex projects throughout the financial year as approved in the monthly local board workshops.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities Options to be approved by the local board Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>0 LD: Capex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3333</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew bollards FY19/20</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5 bollards at parks and reserves in the local board area. Two priority sites have been identified for bollards renewal include Annes Creek and Horns Reserve. Additional bollards will be assessed for potential renewal and prioritised for delivery in consultation with the local board.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>CF Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion in June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2788</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew coast to coast walkway signage</td>
<td>Renew the walkway signage on Campbell Road and Manukau Road to ensure they are fit for purpose.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion in June 2020</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2794</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew park furniture and fixtures FY20</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5 park furniture and fixtures in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. FY19/20 investigate, design and scope the works required. Sites identified for renewal to be agreed with the local board FY20/21 - plan and initiate a programme to deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Sites for renewal to be agreed and prioritised by the local board at workshop prior to commencing works Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion in June 2022</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2253</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew park roads and car parks FY19</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5 park assets in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. Nominated car park sites are listed as follows: Almond Reserve, Birk Hirohia Park, Captain Springs Reserve, Harmin Park, Jordan Park and Maungatapu Reserve. Nominated park roads are listed as follows: Bisaccard Reserve, Hinchinbrook Ponds (The Grato Wetland) and Maungatapu Reserve.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels Sites for renewal to be agreed and prioritised by the local board at workshop prior to commencing works Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>CF Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion in June 2023</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$3,040</td>
<td>$11,060</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew parking, car park and structure FY17</td>
<td>Renew park, carpark and structure at various sites. Prior sites identified as: Commerical Reserve, Eastview Reserve, Ferguson Domain, Harrison Reserve, Jordon Reserve, Marcus Reserve, Maunainia Reserve, Pakiri-Pahia Reserve, Nall Burgess Reserve, Point England Reserve, Stockell Reserve, Rospolaka Reserve, Savage Park, Taratree Reserve and Throggs Park. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP 18.10 CY18).</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels. No further decisions anticipated. Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. CF: Project Delivery. Estimated completion in June 2020.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals.</td>
<td>$298,658</td>
<td>$90,577</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$306,435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2294</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew play space FY19</td>
<td>Renew play equipment and play spaces at various sites in the local board area. Assets that require renewal will be identified and then prioritised for delivery. Nominated sites are identified as: Fong Reserve, Horoum Reserve, Jellicoe Park and Onehunga War Memorial Pools, Messay Reserve, One Tree Hill Reserve, Onehunga Bay Reserve, Point England Reserve, Savage Park, and the playground at Glen Innes Shops. This local board site Accessible report will inform the play space renewal FY19/20 - undertake condition assessments at playgrounds throughout the local board area FY19/20. Continue condition assessments. Sites identified for renewal will be reviewed and prioritised by the local board and a programme for physical works will be agreed FY20/21 - FY21/22 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Improved park facilities for the local community to enjoy. Sites for renewal to be agreed and prioritised by the local board at workshop prior to commencing works.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community. CF: Project Delivery. Estimated project completion in June 2022.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals.</td>
<td>$5,065</td>
<td>$128,915</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$735,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3860</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki - renew signage</td>
<td>MT: Signage Renewal, the project was carried over from FY20/21/22. (previous SP 18.07)</td>
<td>Renewal of existing assets. No further decisions anticipated.</td>
<td>MT: Signage Renewal.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$8,008</td>
<td>$249,142</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$257,150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2555</td>
<td>Māhurangi Reserve - develop general park</td>
<td>Develop neighborhood park as part of the Tamaki Regeneration priority projects. FY18/19 investigate development. FY19/20 detailed design and mapping. FY20/21 consenting and planning. FY21/22 commence physical works. CF: Investigation and Design. Estimated completion in June 2025.</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area.</td>
<td>Workshop: design options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation. Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth.</td>
<td>$9,040</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>$390,000</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$7,000,000</td>
<td>$10,985,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Mt Wellington War Memorial Park - provide new dual toilet facility</td>
<td>Development of toilet facilities to support the new sporting infrastructure that will meet the demand due to an increase in population in the immediate area. FY18/19 investigate and design FY20/21 deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area.</td>
<td>Workshop: design options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation. Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. CF: Investigation and Design. Estimated completion in June 2021.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth.</td>
<td>$2,050</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$302,900</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$305,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2089</td>
<td>Mt Wellington War Memorial Reserve - renew coastal wall</td>
<td>Renewal of the coastal structures at Dunleith Reserve and Riverlea Reserve. FY17/18 investigate and design. FY18/19 consenting and planning. FY19/20 deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Maintaining current service levels in accordance to the Coastal Compartimental Management Plan. Workshop: design options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation. Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery. Estimated completion in June 2020.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals.</td>
<td>$3,890,460</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,890,460</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board
03 December 2019
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## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3767</td>
<td>Onehunga Bay Reserve - build skatepark</td>
<td>Construct a new street style skate facility that will complement the existing vert ramp and increase the range of skate provision. The provision of a new street style skate facility will appeal to a broader range of users and age groups which will increase participation and usage. Stage one - investigate, design and scope physical works (including options to propose to the local board for assets that may benefit from an increased level of service). Stage two - physical works commence. This project is a multi-year funded project and is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme. $430,000 of the board's discretionary funding was allocated to this project in 2017/2018.</td>
<td>Increased park facilities to meet development needs</td>
<td>Design options to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LDi: Capex</td>
<td>$411,133</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$903,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3335</td>
<td>Onehunga Bay Reserve - develop dog agility area</td>
<td>Develop a concept plan for a proposed dog agility area at Onehunga Bay Reserve. Concept plan to be worked up and presented to the local board for input, review and approval prior to commencing physical works.</td>
<td>Increased dog friendly areas for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Concept plan to be approved by the local board</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion: June 2020</td>
<td>LDi: Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2196</td>
<td>Onehunga Library - renew furniture fixtures and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Onehunga Library. This project was previously a bundled project in the 18/19 work programme (reference SharePoint ID 2132), and the library has now been allocated the individual project funding.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion: September 2019</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewsals</td>
<td>$329,579</td>
<td>$50,421</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2131</td>
<td>Onehunga War Memorial Pool - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Comprehensive renewal to include the following: 3-yearly paint and refurbishment; auto closing system; pool pumps replacement; refit outdoor changing rooms; refurbish pool club building and changing rooms; upgrade of the air con system; and upgrade pool concourse area.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion: yet to be scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewsals</td>
<td>$772,858</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$85,534</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3681</td>
<td>Onehunga War Memorial Pool - remove tree &amp; renew boundary wall</td>
<td>Remove tree, renew boundary wall. This project is carried over from the 2017/18 programme (previous ID 1132).</td>
<td>Renewals</td>
<td>No further decision anticipated</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex</td>
<td>$44,690</td>
<td>$5,968</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2043</td>
<td>Panmure Basin - implement masterplan priorities</td>
<td>Improvements to open space infrastructure across the Panmure Basin area to support population growth in the area and in accordance to the approved Panmure Master Plan This project is completed and was delivered in advance of the planned timeframe. The budget remains in the original allocated year as it was committed</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area</td>
<td>Design to be agreed with local board</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Project Completed</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$1,094,322</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,094,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2473</td>
<td>Panmure Basin - renew play space</td>
<td>Renew the playground including the T Bar swings: FY19/20 - investigation, design and scope required works FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project</td>
<td>Improved recreational facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion: June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$50,942</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$154,058</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$255,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3771</td>
<td>Panmure Library - refit building and replace partial roof</td>
<td>Comprehensive building refit, including carpet and vinyl in both public and staff areas. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SPP ID 2336)</td>
<td>Continued use of facility/asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$313,168</td>
<td>$711,832</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,015,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3297</td>
<td>Panmure Library - renew furniture, fixtures and equipment</td>
<td>Renew furniture, fittings and equipment at Glen Innes Library. The renewal of this library was previously included in a bundled project in the FY18/19 work programme. Libraries included as part of the bundle have now been allocated funding on an individual basis. FY19/20 - investigate scope and design required works: FY20/21 - plan and deliver physical works</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for our community to enjoy</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated completion: June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>Pearce Street Community Hall - refurbish interior</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Estimated project completion: June 2021</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3296</td>
<td>Riverside Community Centre - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renew the facility, which may include interior and exterior refurbishment, to ensure the facility is fit for purpose.</td>
<td>Improved community facilities for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3332</td>
<td>Stone Cottage - renew heritage facility</td>
<td>Renew the facility which may include both interior and exterior refurbishment to preserve and restore this heritage asset. FY22/23 - investigate design and scope works to renew the facility. Listed with heritage for input into the scope of works</td>
<td>Restored heritage facility for the local community to enjoy</td>
<td>Scope of works to be presented to the local board for their review and input prior to commencing physical works</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Estimated completion is yet to be scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3716</td>
<td>Stone Cottage - renew roof and joinery</td>
<td>Renew roof and joinery to ensure weather tightness. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SPP ID 2329)</td>
<td>Protecting our heritage assets</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$28,919</td>
<td>$53,561</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$82,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2019 – 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Decision Points for LB</th>
<th>LB Plan Outcome</th>
<th>Lead Dept/ Unit or CC0</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>2018/19 &amp; prior budget</th>
<th>2019/20</th>
<th>2020/2021</th>
<th>2021/2022</th>
<th>2022/2023</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Tamaki Greenways - develop a shared path</td>
<td>Creation of a shared path from Parmure Wharf to Wi-o-Tahi Nature Reserve. This project is completed and was delivered in advance of the planned timeframe. The budget remains in the original allocated year as it was committed Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Implementation of greenways connection as per Tamaki Greenways plan. No further decisions are anticipated.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. C[f] Project Delivery. Estimated project completion: June 2020.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$5,773,062</td>
<td>$443,076</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,236,159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2248</td>
<td>Tamaki Reserve - general park development</td>
<td>Develop park as part of the Tamaki Regeneration. FY18/19 investigate and design. FY19/20 deliver physical works. FY19/20 External Funding Contribution: $1,560,000. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Increase in open space infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth and reserve development in the area. Workshop options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. C[f] Investigation and Design. Estimated completion: June 2020.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth; External funding</td>
<td>$265,052</td>
<td>$1,815,000</td>
<td>$604,048</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,695,001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Waikaraka Park - improve sports park &amp; extend sports fields</td>
<td>The components of this improvement project is as follows: Upgrade fields 8, 9 and 10 to two artificial turf fields and one sand carpet field including floodlighting to sports fields, toilet block and changing facilities. An additional 100 car parking spaces. One children’s playground, and footpath and cycleway connections to the adjacent coastal cycle and walkway, the neighbouring cemetery and Waikaraka Park. FY18/19 investigation and design. FY19/20 commence physical works. FY20/21 complete physical works. (FY20/21 Growth Contribution: $230,000). (FY21/22 Growth Contribution: $250,000). Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>Increase in sports infrastructure provision to meet the demand due to population growth in the area. Workshop options with local board to seek direction prior to implementation.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. C[f] Operations. Estimated completion: June 2022.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$399,569</td>
<td>$1,570,418</td>
<td>$210,080</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,430,007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>Waikaraka Park Cemetery - renew paving and furniture</td>
<td>New paving and furniture assets in Waikaraka Park Cemetery. FY18/19 - topographical survey and pavement design has been completed for the access road. Procurement for physical works currently underway. FY19/20 - plan and deliver physical works.</td>
<td>Maintain current service levels. No further decisions anticipated.</td>
<td>Meungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth. C[f] Project Delivery. Estimated project completion: June 2020.</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Ranewaiti</td>
<td>$51,469</td>
<td>$338,827</td>
<td>$84,000</td>
<td>$84,000</td>
<td>$84,000</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2521</td>
<td>Wi-o-Tahi Nature Reserve - develop nature trail</td>
<td>Investigate the options for a nature trail and present to the local board with cost estimates for further decision making.</td>
<td>Design options to be approved by the local board Meungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment. C[f] Project Delivery. Not scheduled</td>
<td>LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$5,071</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$94,929</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Local board feedback on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines

File No.: CP2019/19274

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows to provide local board feedback on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines (resolution: MT/2019/133).
3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to the Ministry of Environment.
4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
a) note the local board’s feedback on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Local board feedback on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

| Author                  | Louis Mason - GM Local Board Services
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
The Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines
9 September 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context
1. The Ministry for the Environment is consulting on proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines.
2. A product stewardship scheme shifts the main responsibility for recovery, recycling and disposal from local government to private industry, incorporating the costs of disposal into the product price.
3. The consultation document proposes introducing product stewardship schemes for six identified priority products: tyres, electrical products, agrichemicals, refrigerants, farm plastics and packaging (beverage containers are specifically mentioned).
4. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 business meeting, it delegated authority to Chairperson, Chris Makoare and Deputy Chair, Debbie Burrows to input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines (resolution: MT/2019/133).
5. The due date for submissions to the Ministry for the Environment is 4 October 2019. The draft Auckland Council submission will be presented to the Environment and Community Committee for its approval on 10 September. To meet these timeframes local board feedback is due by 10am on 9 September 2019.

Relevance to the Local board
6. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.
7. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The Proposed Priority Products and Priority Product Stewardship Scheme Guidelines have relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>Demonstrate environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clean, beautiful waters and waterside areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>Good-quality open spaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the Ministry for the Environments proposed priority products and priority product stewardship scheme guidelines:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) note that the local board is committed to demonstrating environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives such as supporting waste minimisation initiatives that help the local community to transition to zero waste, as outlined in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan

b) endorse the six priority products that have been selected by the Ministry of the Environment for declaration as suitable for product stewardship schemes:
   i) end-of-life tyres
   ii) electrical and electronic products
   iii) agricultural chemicals and their containers
   iv) refrigerants and other synthetic greenhouse gases
   v) packaging
   vi) farm plastics.

c) endorse a container deposit scheme to (CDS) as one of the mechanisms to extend the life of beverage packaging products

d) endorse in principle the proposed guidelines for priority product stewardship schemes.

e) recommend product stewardship policies to be as pro-competition as possible to ensure that there are no duopolies

f) recommend a strong focus on local resource recovery centres for all types of waste that enables greater accessibility and participation for communities

g) endorse the recommendation in the council submission, that the Ministry for the Environment undertake ongoing discussions with iwi and mana whenua to ensure Te Ao Māori incorporated early on in this process and that obligations under Aotearoa’s Te Tiriti o Waitangi are upheld.

Chris Makore
Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Local board feedback on the Department of Conservations discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, Te Koiroa o te Koiora - our shared vision for living with nature.

File No.: CP2019/19288

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Member Nerissa Henry provided on the local board's behalf through delegation on the Department of Conservations discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, Te Koiroa o te Koiora - our shared vision for living with nature.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Member Nerissa Henry to provide local board feedback on the Department of Conservations discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, Te Koiroa o te Koiora - our shared vision for living with nature (resolution: MT/2019/123).
3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Member Nerissa Henry for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to the Department of Conservation.
4. A copy of the local board's feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the local board’s feedback on the Department of Conservations discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, Te Koiroa o te Koiora - our shared vision for living with nature.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback on Department of Conservations discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, Te Koiroa o te Koiora - our shared vision for living with nature</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on: The Department of Conservation discussion document on proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, *Te Koiroa o te Koiora – our shared vision for living with nature*
9 September 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context

1. The Department of Conservation is seeking submissions on a discussion document for proposals for a national biodiversity strategy, *Te Koiroa o te Koiora – Our shared vision for living with nature*.
2. The new strategy is scheduled to be in place for 2020, replacing the current strategy *Our chance to turn the tide* from 2000. The strategy is non-statutory and will be approved by Cabinet in late 2019.
3. The discussion document proposes the following new metapopore/vision for 2070: *nature in Aotearoa is healthy, abundant, and thriving. Current and future generations connect with nature, restore it, and are restored by it.*
4. The discussion document sets out a strategic framework providing the pathway to the vision. The foundation of the framework is mara’arunga values from Te Ao Māori, with a set of principles and a series of long-term outcomes, supported by Mātauranga Māori and science.
5. *Te Koiroa o te Koiora* suggests five system shifts as the most important changes required in the next five years, including getting the system right and empowering communities to act.
6. The strategy will cover all ecological domains including freshwater, land and the marine environment extending to the outer edges of the Exclusive Economic Zone. It is noted that Auckland Council's jurisdiction only extends out to the 12-nautical mile limit. The strategy also makes it clear that indigenous species are prioritised over non-indigenous species.
7. A submission from Auckland Council is considered appropriate as the new strategy will be the umbrella for biodiversity management in New Zealand proposing long-term directions out to 2070. Auckland Council has biodiversity and biosecurity functions playing a key role in achieving the vision. The previous opportunity to comment on a national biodiversity strategy was 20 years ago.
8. Some areas of the discussion document that may be of interest to local boards include:
   - New vision and strategic framework
   - Implementation
   - Five system shifts to support change, particularly Shift 3: Communities are empowered to act
9. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board's 27 August 2019 business meeting, it delegated authority to local board member, Nerissa Henry to input into Auckland Council's submission on the Department of Conservation's discussion document on *Te Koiroa o te Koiora – Our shared vision for living with nature* (resolution: MT/2019/123).
10. The due date for submissions to the Department of Conservation is 22 September 2019. The draft Auckland Council submission will be presented to the Environment and Community Committee for its approval on 10 September 2019. To meet these timeframes local board feedback is due by 5pm on 8 September 2019.

Relevance to the local board

11. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.

12. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Te Koiroa o te Koiora – Our shared vision for living with nature has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>Our young people are engaged in the community and have access to a wide range of opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>Demonstrate environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives. Clean, beautiful waters and waterfront areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>New developments are high-quality and reflect the flavour and character of our area. Our suburbs and town centres are sought-after destinations to live, work and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>Good-quality open spaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the Department of Conservations, Te Koiroa o te Koiora – our shared vision for living with nature:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) endorse that Aotearoa needs a renewed strategy for nature, that highlights, enhances and protects our natural environment

b) endorse in principle the proposed matapopore/ vision for 2070: nature in Aotearoa is healthy, abundant, and thriving. Current and future generations connect with nature, restore it, and are restored by it

c) recommend amending the vision to reflect the urgency of restoring nature

d) endorse the values, principles and long-term outcomes, in particular points that express how local communities can connect, empowered and be a part of delivering this strategy:
   i) manaakitanga (value) – we build trust and inclusiveness, and build mana in others around us to enhance the mana of the whole
   ii) kaitiakitanga (value) – we enable stewardship of our natural environment
   iii) whakatohou/empower (long-term outcome) - In order to restore nature and realise the benefits for current and future generations, New Zealanders will need to be empowered to lead

e) endorse the five system shifts that are aimed at improving Aotearoa New Zealand’s biodiversity, in particular:
   i) getting the system right - the local board support all forms of collaboration to achieve the vision of restoring and connecting with nature
ii) empowering kaitiakitanga and mātauranga māori – the local board support tāngata whenua in their role as kaitiaki, as well as imbedding Te Ao Māori throughout the planning and delivery of the strategy

iii) communities are empowered to take action – there are many community groups within Maungakiekie-Tāmaki that already deliver on the vision for this strategy. The local board recommend supporting these existing groups to further educate and empower their local communities to take part in this shift

iv) connecting ecosystems from the mountain tops to the ocean depths – the local board area consists of five maunga and is connected to the Manukau Harbour and the Tāmaki Estuary. Protecting these natural features and the ecosystems they are apart of is a priority for the local board

f) note that there is a vast amount of development occurring and planned to occur in the local board area and in the Auckland region that will have a significant impact on biodiversity

g) endorse adding an additional shift about embedding restoration of nature into urban development and planning considerations.

Nerissa Henry
Local board member
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Local board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land

File No.: CP2019/19290

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows to provide local board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).

3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment.

4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the local board’s feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk | Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services
Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa |
Feedback on:
The Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land
10 September 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context
1. The Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) and the Ministry for the Environment have released a discussion document on national direction for protecting Highly Productive Land, including proposed wording for a National Policy Statement (NPS).
2. The need for the national direction has arisen from concerns over the loss of New Zealand’s elite soils through urban encroachment and rural lifestyle development.
3. The Proposed NPS will direct councils to protect Highly Productive Land from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain their availability for primary production. Highly Productive Land will need to be defined by councils for their regions. In the interim, the NPS will use the Land Use Classification (LUC) system classes 1-3 as a ‘placeholder’ for Highly Productive Land. A map of the Auckland region showing the areas of ‘Elite’ and ‘Prime’ land as defined by the Unitary Plan (Land Use Capability classes 1-3)
4. The proposed wording of the NPS states that Highly Productive Land does not include existing urban areas or areas zoned Future Urban in a District Plan. This means that for Auckland, the next 30 years of planned urban expansion (into the Future Urban zone) is not impacted by this NPS.
5. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 business meeting, it delegated authority to Chairperson, Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson, Debbie Burrows to input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).
6. Local board feedback is due on 12 September 2019.

Relevance to the local board
7. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.
8. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>Demonstrate environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean, beautiful waters and waterside areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) note that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area is home to five volcanic cones covering the local board area in fertile soil, that was historically used to grow fresh produce

b) note that the Unitary Plan does not identify any highly productive land identified in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

c) endorse in principle the national direction on highly productive land as it will strengthen local governments ability to protect highly productive land from inappropriate use and development.

Chris Makoare
Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Local board feedback on Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development

File No.: CP2019/19295

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows to provide local board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).
3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to Central Government.
4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the local board’s feedback on Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Local board feedback on Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development
22 September 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context
2. This will replace the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity 2016 (NPS UDC).
3. The NPS UD broadens the focus of the NPS UDC 2016 beyond urban development capacity, to include other matters that contribute to well-functioning urban environments. It will build on many of the existing requirements to provide greater development capacity but will broaden its focus and add significant new content.
4. The NPS UD is part of a package that will work with other initiatives from central government including a National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land and Essential Freshwater.
5. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 business meeting, it delegated authority to Chairperson, Chris Makoare and Deputy Chair, Debbie Burrows to input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).
6. Due to the coordinated nature between the National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development and the Essential Freshwater package, the delegation for the Chair and Deputy Chair to provide local board feedback has been extended to enable the local board to input into the Auckland Council submission.
7. The due date for submissions to Central Government is 10 October 2019. On 19 September 2019 there will be a workshop with the Planning Committee and Local Board Chairs to discuss the three national directions that have been proposed (NPS on Highly Productive Land, Urban Development and Fresh Water). Following this the draft Auckland Council submission will be signed off by delegated councillors (GB/2019/75). To meet these timeframes local board feedback is due by 24 September 2019.

Relevance to the Local board
8. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.
9. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives in the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is an active and engaged community</td>
<td>Our young people are engaged in the community and have access to a wide range of opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>Demonstrate environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clean, beautiful waters and waterside areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on Central Governments proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) note that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area has a large number of urban development planned and currently in progress. This is highlighted in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan that seeks to achieve a quality urban environment that attracts people from all over Auckland and beyond to live, do business and play

b) endorse the direction and intent of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development to help create development capacity for housing

c) recommend ensuring that making room for growth includes sufficient open space based on population density, to create healthy and quality urban environments for our communities

d) recommend that the national policy statement should be a descriptive approach, providing guidance on development capacity for housing rather than directly prescribing how this should be done. Noting that this will retain local governments ability to undertake local decision making relevant to its local context

e) recommend that central government:

i) ensure local government has capacity to deliver on additional requirements if prescribed

ii) consider new funding options to support the infrastructure required to support housing development in Auckland.

Attachment A

Item 30
Local board feedback on the proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) to the Auckland Unitary Plan

File No.: CP2019/19298

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on the proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) to the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. At the Planning Committee’s 6 August 2019 meeting, the Committee resolved to delegate to the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Planning Committee, and Independent Māori Statutory Board member L Ngamane, the authority to approve the notification of proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) subject to addressing any changes required in response to feedback from local boards and iwi authorities.

3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and sent to the Planning Committee to consider.

4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the local board’s feedback on Central Government’s proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback on the proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) to the Auckland Unitary Plan</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Open Space Plan Change (2019)</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
The proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) to the Auckland Unitary Plan
7 October 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context
1. The Planning Committee is seeking local board feedback on the proposed Open Space Plan Change to the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part).
2. The proposed Plan Change was considered by the Planning Committee at its 6 August 2019 meeting. The Committee resolved to delegate to the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Planning Committee, and Independent Māori Statutory Board member L Ngamane, the authority to approve the notification of proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) subject to addressing any changes required in response to feedback from local boards and iwi authorities.
3. The proposed Plan Change has three components:
   • Rezoning of recently acquired open space (through either subdivision or purchase) so that the land reflects its purpose, function and intended use. (Attachment 1 - Map numbers 1-185);
   • Correcting a small number of open space zoning errors and/or anomalies – these include privately owned land with an incorrect open space zoning (Attachment 2 - Map numbers 186 - 201);
   • Rezoning of 9 land parcels as part of Panuku Auckland’s land disposal and rationalisation process (Attachment 3 - Map numbers 202 - 210);
4. There are three proposed plan changes in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Property</th>
<th>Current Zone</th>
<th>Proposed Zone</th>
<th>Purpose of proposed plan change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 Te Nohotu Road, Glen Innes</td>
<td>Residential – Mixed Housing Urban Zone</td>
<td>Open Space – Informal Recreation</td>
<td>Update of Land Vested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-30 Pilkington Road, Mount Wellington</td>
<td>Open Space – Informal recreation</td>
<td>Business – Town Centre Zone</td>
<td>Panuku’s rezoning of land for disposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 3 Kings Road, Panmure</td>
<td>Open Space – Informal recreation</td>
<td>Residential – Terrace Housing &amp; Apartment Buildings</td>
<td>Panuku’s rezoning of land for disposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. All of the proposed plan changes in the local board area have been workshopped with the local board and discussed with key stakeholders.
6. Local board feedback is due by 11 October 2019.
Relevance to the Local board

7. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.

8. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The Proposed Priority Products and Priority Product Stewardship Scheme Guidelines have relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>Our businesses, town centres and industry flourish and provide high quality jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New developments are high-quality and reflect the flavour and character of our area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Our suburbs and town centres are sought-after destinations to live, work and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>Safe and improved community facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good-quality open spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other infrastructure needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the proposed Open Space Plan Change (2019) to the Auckland Unitary Plan

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) endorse the following proposed plan changes in the local board area:
   i) 23 Te Nohotu Road, Glen Innes
   ii) 28-30 Pilkington Road, Mount Wellington
   iii) Part 3 Kings Road, Panmure

b) note that the local board want to ensure that there is a sufficient level of parking for visitors and customers to the Panmure town centre

c) recommend that any disposal of car parking incorporates a replacement of parking in close proximity to the Panmure town centre.

Chris Makoare
Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Attachment 1: Update of Land Vested

Proposed amendments to Auckland Unitary Plan GIS Viewer (maps)

Notes
1. The proposed change to the viewer (maps) has not be made
2. The map is shown to place the changes in context

Map number: 97
Geographic area: GLEN INNES
Subject property: 23 TE NOHOTU ROAD GLEN INNES 1072
Legal Description/s: Lot 300 DP 522170
Current zone/s: Residential - Mixed Housing Urban Zone
Proposed zone: Open Space - Informal Recreation
Attachment 3: Panuku’s Rezoning of Land for Disposal

Proposed amendments to Auckland Unitary Plan GIS Viewer (maps)

Notes

1. The proposed change to the viewer (maps) has not be made
2. The map is shown to place the changes in context

Map number: 206
Geographic area: Mt Wellington
Subject property: 28-30 Pilkington Road, Mount Wellington
Legal Description/s: Lot 31 DP 52157, Part Lot 30 DP 52157
Current zone/s: Open Space - Informal Recreation
Proposed zone: Business - Town Centre Zone
Attachment 3: Panuku’s Rezoning of Land for Disposal

Proposed amendments to Auckland Unitary Plan GIS Viewer (maps)

Notes

1. The proposed change to the viewer (maps) has not be made
2. The map is shown to place the changes in context

Map number: 207
Geographic area: Panmure
Subject property: Part 3 Kings Road, Panmure
Legal Description/s: Lot 1 DP 120243, Lot 2 DP 120243
Current zone/s: Open Space - Informal Recreation
Proposed zone: Residential – Terrace Housing & Apartment Buildings
Local board feedback on The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw

File No.: CP2019/19301

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows to provide local board feedback on the The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw (resolution: MT/2019/132).
3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows and sent to Regulatory Committee to consider.
4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
a) note the local board’s feedback on The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback on The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw
16 September 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Ahmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context

1. At the Governing Body’s 22 August 2019 business meeting it resolved to direct staff to formulate a new Statement of Proposal for the Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw for consideration by the Regulatory Committee (or its equivalent) and the Governing Body, following consultation with Local Boards.

2. Initial advice on the following potential elements of a future Statement of Proposal will include:
   - areas prohibited and restricted for freedom camping taking the recommendations of the Hearings Panel and previous submissions from the public into account
   - a general rule that regulates freedom camping outside these areas
   - any other specific proposal for possible inclusion in a Statement of Proposal that is communicated to the Chief Executive by a councillor or Local Board before 30 September 2019.

3. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August business meeting, it delegated authority to Chairperson, Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson, Debbie Burrows to input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw (resolution: MT/2019/132).

4. The due date for local board input into the Statement of Proposal for the Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw is 30 September 2019.

5. All of the specific proposals for possible inclusion identified by Local Boards will be incorporated into the staff advice and reported back to the Regulatory Committee (or its equivalent) and the Governing Body in the new term.

Relevance to the Local board

6. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.

7. At the local board’s 26 June business meeting it resolved feedback on the initial Freedom Camping Bylaw development (resolution: MT/2018/94). The local board’s prior feedback can be found in Attachment A.

8. In February 2019 the Chair and Deputy Chair presented to the Hearings Panel on the initial Statement of Proposal.

9. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The The Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the Freedom Camping in Vehicles Bylaw:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) recommend that the following sites be prohibited from freedom camping:

1. Allenby Reserve
2. Domain Reserve
3. Ian Shaw Park
4. Mt Wellington War Memorial Reserve
5. Onehunga Bay Reserve
6. Panmure Basin (Ireland Rd Entrance)
7. Panmure Basin (Peterson Road entrance)
8. Panmure Wharf Reserve
9. Pt England Reserve (by GI Pool and Leisure)
10. Pt England Reserve (end of Pt England Road by sports field)
11. Waipuna East Reserve
12. Flat Rock Reserve
13. Gloucester Park North
14. Hamlin Park
15. Jellicoe Park and Onehunga War Memorial Pools
16. Onehunga Bay Reserve
17. Panmure Basin (Cleary Rd entrance)
18. Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga Foreshore)
19. Waikaraka Park (Captain Springs Rd entrance)
20. Fergusson Domain

b) endorse in principle the consideration of the following general rules, noting that the it is not the purpose or intention of the proposed bylaw to manage homelessness and a humane approach to enforcement will be taken to protect vulnerable members of the Auckland community:

1. a prohibition of all freedom camping in vehicles parked directly outside residential homes (unless the resident has granted permission for the vehicle to be parked outside their home)
2. a prohibition of all freedom camping in vehicles parked directly outside commercial premises, educational facilities, healthcare facilities, playgrounds, and swimming pools
3. a maximum number of nights stay at any specific site
c) recommend ensuring that:
   i) there is sufficient budget and resources to administer the bylaw effectively
   ii) there are sufficient resources available to educate freedom campers about the bylaw and what this means for them
   iii) there are sufficient resources to educate community members about the bylaw and how they can report compliance breaches

d) recommend that the bylaw should direct all freedom campers using non self-contained vehicles to camping grounds as they provide the appropriate facilities to accommodate them

e) recommend developing a permit scheme/booking system where freedom campers need to register in order for them to held accountable.

Chris Makoare
Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Local board feedback on Central Governments proposed Essential Freshwater package

File No.: CP2019/19303

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To inform the local board of the formal feedback Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows provided on the local board’s behalf through delegation on Central Governments proposed Essential Freshwater package.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 Business Meeting it delegated authority to Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows to provide local board feedback on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).

3. The local board’s feedback has been approved by Chairperson Chris Makoare and Deputy Chairperson Debbie Burrows for inclusion in Auckland Council’s submission to Central Government to consider.

4. A copy of the local board’s feedback has been attached to this report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the local board’s feedback on Central Governments proposed Central Governments proposed Essential Freshwater package.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback on Central Governments proposed Central Governments proposed Essential Freshwater package</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
Central government’s proposed Essential Freshwater package
6 October 2019

For clarifications and questions, please contact:
Mal Anmu
Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Context
1. Central government have publicly released their discussion document entitled Action for Healthy Waterways, which is a key outcome of the Essential Freshwater work programme.
2. The Essential Freshwater Package covers three instruments:
   - National Policy Statement Freshwater Management (NPS FM)
   - National Environmental Standards Freshwater Management (NES FM)
   - 3 Waters Review.
3. The discussion document places significant emphasis on improving freshwater outcomes through regulatory and non-regulatory actions. The National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management is the primary regulatory instrument being reviewed, with introduction of other measures through a new National Environmental Standard for Freshwater Management.
4. Proposals include greater emphasis on Te Mana o te Wai, ecosystem health attributes, and reducing the effects of rural activities.
5. There is an interrelationship with wastewater and stormwater consenting and discharge management through the Three Waters Review. National Environmental Standards are being developed by central government to improve consistency, transparency and national oversight for human drinking water, as well as for wastewater discharges and overflow management.
6. The Essential Freshwater package will work with other initiatives from central government including a National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land and National Policy Statement for Urban Development.
7. At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s 27 August 2019 business meeting, it delegated authority to Chairperson, Chris Makoare and Deputy Chair, Debbie Burrows to input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Ministry for Primary Industries and the Ministry for the Environment proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land (resolution: MT/2019/134).
8. Due to the coordinated nature between the National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development and the Essential Freshwater package, the delegation for the Chair and Deputy Chair to provide local board feedback has been extended to enable the local board to input into the Auckland Council submission.
9. The due date for submissions to Central Government is 17 October 2019. On 19 September 2019 there was a workshop with the Planning Committee and Local Board Chairs to discussing the three national directions that have been proposed (NPS on Highly Productive Land, Urban Development and Fresh Water). To meet these timeframes local board feedback is due by 10 October 2019.
Relevance to the Local board

10. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.

11. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Central government’s proposed Essential Freshwater package, has relevance to the following outcomes and objectives the 2017 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is a community that cares about its environment</td>
<td>Demonstrate environmental leadership and support community sustainability initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clean, beautiful waters and waterside areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki is the place to be</td>
<td>Our suburbs and town centres are sought-after destinations to live, work and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki has quality infrastructure to match growth</td>
<td>Other infrastructure needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board feedback on the Central government’s proposed Essential Freshwater package:

The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board provides the following input:

a) note that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area is bordered by two bodies of water, the Manukau Harbour and the Tāmaki Estuary. The health of our waterways is important to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki community and a key objective in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Plan 2017

b) endorse in principle the Essential Freshwater package as it aims to strengthen the health of our waterways

c) endorse upholding Te Mana o te Wai in the management of water; the integrated and holistic health and wellbeing of water

d) recommend ensuring that the level of compliance is achievable and if implemented central government provides further funding to support local authorities to enforce regulatory matters

Chris Makoare
Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

End.
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To inform the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board that an urgent decision was made and approved under delegation by the Chair and Deputy Chair to name a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL), created by way of a subdivision development off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Limited.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. At the 22 November 2016 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board meeting the board considered the urgent decisions process and passed resolution MTLB/2016/210:

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) adopt the urgent decision-making process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum;

b) delegate authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board;

c) agree that the relationship manager, chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) will authorise the urgent decision-making process by signing off the authorisation memo;

d) note that all urgent decisions will be reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board. CARRIED

3. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board received a request to approve the name Takrouna Lane for the new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL) created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Ltd, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60326882).

4. This residential development is forecasted to begin sales at the end of October 2019. As part of this development are nine social housing dwellings managed by Tāmaki Regeneration Company (TRC). Fletcher Living requires a road name to start the sales process. TRC also require a road name in place while they organise the housing of tenants.

5. Due to the election period, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s last business meeting for this electoral term was on 27 August 2019. The first business meeting of the new electoral term is 3 December 2019.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the decision made under the urgent decision-making process on 19 September 2019, that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board approve the name Takrouna Lane for the new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL) created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Ltd, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60326882).

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Urgent Decision: Road Name Approval: New private road created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Memorandum

To:       Chris Makoare, Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Debbie Burrows, Deputy Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Victoria Villaraza, Relationship Manager – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa Local Boards

cc:       Christie McFadyen, Senior Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Emerald James, Subdivision Advisor – Resource Consents

Subject:  Urgent decision request of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

From:     Mal Ahmu, Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Purpose

1. To initially seek the local board relationship manager’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

2. The decision required, and the supporting report, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the report will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency

3. Local Boards have decision-making authority over the naming of roads as outlined in The 10-Year Budget 2018-2028.

4. Due to the election period, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s last business meeting for this electoral term was on 27 August 2019. The next business meeting will be in the new electoral term in November 2019. Scheduled dates will not be determined until after the local board’s inaugural meeting on the 28 October 2019.

5. The applicant and developer, Fletcher Living are seeking the local board’s decision on a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL), created by way of a subdivision development off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Limited.

6. This residential development is forecasted to begin sales at the end of October 2019. As part of this development are nine social housing dwellings managed by TRC. Fletcher Living requires a road name to start the sales process. TRC also require a road name in place while they organise the housing of tenants.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve the name Takrouna Lane for the new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL) created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Ltd, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60326882).
Context

7. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

8. Applicant and developer Fletcher Residential Limited has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board:
   - Takrouna Lane
   - Medenine Lane
   - Mechili Lane.

9. The applicant advises that the proposed names are battles from World War II in which the NZ Division and 28th Maori Battalion fought against Erwin Rommel’s ‘Afrika Korps’ and Italian forces in North Africa. This theme was chosen because many of the existing local streets in Panmure are named after similar battles from World War II.

10. The applicant only provided limited information and background about the WWII theme and associated battles. On further research, council staff could only find mention of NZ involvement in Takrouna and The Battle of Medenine. Mechili was a crossroads of tactical importance, being the preferred route for travel between the port of Tobruk and Benghazi, but it seems that only comparatively minor battles were fought in Mechili.

11. Fletcher Residential Limited advise that they have consulted mana whenua regarding road naming for their wider developments in the area. However, for this site they are supporting the choice of names that are in keeping with the “unique World War II naming tradition of the area”, including battles that involved the 28th Maori Battalion such as that at Takrouna.

12. The proposed names have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met, and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. It is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the proposed names within the local context.

Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Victoria Villaraza
Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Date: 20 September 2019
Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Chris Makoare  
Chairperson,  
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 20 September 2019

Debbie Burrows  
Deputy Chairperson,  
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 20 September 2019

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local board Resolution/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

b) approve the name Takrouna Lane for the new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL) created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Demina and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Ltd, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN80326882).

Chris Makoare  
Chairperson,  
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 23 September 2019

Debbie Burrows  
Deputy Chairperson,  
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 25 September 2019

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Road Name Approval: New Private Road created by way of Subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Road Name Approval: New Private Road created by way of Subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board to name a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL), created by way of a subdivision development off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as ‘Derna and Tobruk Stage 1’ by Fletcher Residential Limited.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.
3. Applicant and developer Fletcher Residential Limited has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board:
   - Takrouna Lane
   - Medine Lane
   - Mechili Lane.
4. The applicant advises that the proposed names are battles from World War II in which the NZ Division and 28th Maori Battalion fought against Erwin Rommel's 'Afrika Korps' and Italian forces in North Africa. This theme was chosen because many of the existing local streets in Panmure are named after similar battles from World War II.
5. The applicant only provided limited information and background about the WWII theme and associated battles. On further research, council staff could only find mention of NZ involvement in Takrouna and The Battle of Medine. Mechili was a crossroads of tactical importance, being the preferred route for travel between the port of Tobruk and Benghazi, but it seems that only comparatively minor battles were fought in Mechili.
6. Fletcher Residential Limited advise that they have consulted mana whenua regarding road naming for their wider developments in the area. However, for this site they are supporting the choice of names that are in keeping with the "unique World War II naming tradition of the area", including battles that involved the 28th Maori Battalion such as that at Takrouna.
7. The proposed names have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met, and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. It is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the proposed names within the local context.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve the name Takrouna Lane for the new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COAL) created by way of subdivision off Sollum Road, Panmure, known as 'Derna and Tobruk Stage 1' by Fletcher Residential Ltd, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60326882).
Horopaki
Context

8. Resource consent reference BUN60326882 was issued on 17 December 2018 for 19 new dwellings and associated subdivision, including a new private road (the subject commonly owned access lot, or ‘COAL’) and two rear service lanes for some of the dwellings.

9. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachment A, with the subject road to be named as ‘Lane 1C’.

10. As can be seen from the site plan in Attachment A, some of the new dwellings have access from the front of the properties directly onto Tripoli Road and Sollum Road, with rear service lanes for vehicular access; Lanes 1A and 1B. Therefore, a road name is only required for subject Lane 1C, being the main point of access for that part of the development off Sollum Road.

11. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards, the COAL is required to be named as it serves more than five lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the local board’s approval.

13. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   • a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   • a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   • an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the local area.

14. Theme (as described by applicant): The subject area of Panmure is quite unique, in that all the local streets are named after battles in which the NZ Division and 28th Maori Battalion fought in World War II, predominately from late 1941 to early 1943 against Erwin Rommel’s Afrika Korps and Italian forces in the vast North African desert. As many of the original homes in Panmure were built for ex-service men and their families, this was deemed appropriate at that time. The proposed road names are in keeping with this existing theme.

15. Context of names: Despite the above description by the applicant, only limited information and background was provided about the proposed names and associated battles. On further research, council staff could only find mention of NZ involvement in Takrouna and The Battle of Medenine. These names are therefore supported, rather than the name ‘Mechili’. Mechili was a crossroads of tactical importance, being the preferred route for travel between the port of Tobruk and Benghazi, but it seems that only comparatively minor battles were fought here.
16. The background to the proposed names are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Takrouna Lane</td>
<td>The 200-m high rock fortress of Takrouna, held by Italian and German troops, blocked the 8th Army’s drive towards Tunis. On the night of 19/20th April 1943, a handful of B Company men led by Sergeant Haane Manahi scaled a sheer cliff face to reach the summit. Others followed, and after three days of desperate fighting, Takrouna’s defenders were finally overcome. Three hundred prisoners were taken, but the Battalion lost another 116 men killed or wounded. Among them was Lt-Col Bennett, who was wounded by a mine; he was succeeded by Major K. A. (Reta) Keih. Haane Manahi was awarded the Distinguished Conduct Medal, but many felt he deserved a VC for leading an assault described by a British lieutenant-general as ‘the most gallant feat of arms I witnessed in the course of the war’. Source - 28th Maori Battalion Website at: <a href="https://28maoribattalion.org.nz/story-of-the-28th/desert-fighters">https://28maoribattalion.org.nz/story-of-the-28th/desert-fighters</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medenine Lane</td>
<td>In March 1943 the Māori Battalion helped beat off a determined German counter-attack at Medenine in Tunisia. The Battle of Medenine, also known as ‘Operation Capri’ (Unternehmen Capri), was an Axis (forces supporting Germany) spoiling attack intended to delay an attack by the British 8th Army on the important Mareth Line. The Allies had been forewarned by interception of German wireless communications and rushed reinforcements from Tripoli and Benghazi before the attack, which was a costly failure by Axis. The Battle of Medenine was the last battle commanded by Erwin Rommel in the German North African Campaign, who returned to Europe for good soon afterwards. Source - 28th Maori Battalion Website at: <a href="https://28maoribattalion.org.nz/story-of-the-28th/desert-fighters">https://28maoribattalion.org.nz/story-of-the-28th/desert-fighters</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechili Lane</td>
<td>Mechili was a crossroads of tactical importance in Libya, being the preferred route for travel between the port of Tobruk and Benghazi. (note: ‘Tobruk’ is also the existing name of the street adjacent to the subject development). Action in Mechili saw engagement between Commonwealth and Italian forces during ‘Operation Compass’, the first large Allied military operation of the ‘Western Desert Campaign’ (1940–1943) during WWII. <a href="http://113squadron.com/id116.htm">http://113squadron.com/id116.htm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. **Assessment:** The names proposed have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. It is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the proposed names within the local context, considering that it appears that NZ forces noteworthy involvement, were only at Takrouna and The Battle of Medenine.
18. **Iwi Consultation:** Fletcher Residential Limited say that they have consulted mana whenua regarding road naming for their wider developments in the area. However, for this site Fletcher Residential Limited is supporting the choice of names that are in keeping with the “unique World War II naming tradition of the area” including battles that involved the 28th Maori Battalion, such as that at Takrouna.

19. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

20. **Road type:** ‘Lane’ is an acceptable road type for the new private road, suiting the form and layout, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views**

21. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views**

22. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement**

23. The decision sought from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A thriving Māori identity is Auckland’s point of difference in the world – it advances prosperity for Māori and benefits all Aucklanders”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity.

24. Whilst no Māori road names are proposed, the applicant has tried to choose names of WWII battles where the 28th Maori Battalion fought.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea Financial implications**

25. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga Risks and mitigations**

26. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri Next steps**

27. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand (LINZ), which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database that includes street addresses issued by councils.
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Urgent decision - Road Name Approval for 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington

File No.: CP2019/19916

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board that two urgent decisions were made and approved under delegation by the Chair and Deputy Chair regarding road naming within the subdivision development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the 22 November 2016 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board meeting the board considered the urgent decisions process and passed resolution MTLB/2016/210:

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) adopt the urgent decision-making process for matters that require a decision where it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirement of a quorum;

b) delegate authority to the chair and deputy chair, or any person acting in these roles, to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board;

c) agree that the relationship manager, chair and deputy chair (or any person/s acting in these roles) will authorise the urgent decision-making process by signing off the authorisation memo;

d) note that all urgent decisions will be reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board. CARRIED

3. On 5 November 2019 the Chair and Deputy Chair under the urgent decision-making process approved three names for new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608). This urgent decision is attachment A of this report.

5. After the decision to approve the road names was made, new information came to light.

6. The developer received an objection letter from Te Akitai Waiohua regarding the use of the previously approved name ‘Honetana Lane’. This information was not made available to staff at the time the report was presented to the local board.

7. A request was put to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board to revoke part of a resolution made on 5 November 2019 through the urgent decision-making process and to approve one replacement road name for the new private road known as ‘COAL 2’ within the subdivision development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A). This urgent decision is attachment B of this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) note the decision made under the urgent decision-making process on 5 November 2019, that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board approve 3 names for the following new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608): i) COAL 1: Titiko Place ii) COAL 2: Honetana Lane iii) COAL 3: Verdant Lane;

b) note the revocation of part-resolution of the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board urgent decision road naming request on 5 November 2019, a) ii): COAL 2: Honetana Lane;

c) note the decision made under the urgent decision-making process on 21 November 2019, that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board approve Kikorangi Lane for the new road known as ‘COAL 2’, created by way of subdivision at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608).

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Urgent Decision made on 5 November 2019 by the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Urgent Decision made on 21 November 2019 by the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Author  Mal Ahmu - Local Board Advisor - Mngke-Tmk
Authorisers  Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services
             Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketapapa
Memorandum

4 November 2019

To:        Chris Makoare, Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Debbie Burrows, Deputy Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Nina Siers, Relationship Manager – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa Local Boards

cc:        Christie McFadyen, Senior Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Elizabeth Salter, Technical Officer – Resource Consents

Subject:   Urgent decision request of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

From:      Mal Ahmu, Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Purpose

1. To initially seek the local board relationship manger’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

2. The decision required, and the supporting report, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the report will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency

3. Local Boards have decision-making authority over the naming of roads as outlined in The 10-Year Budget 2018-2028.

4. Due to the election period, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s first business meeting for the 2019-2022 electoral term is on 3 December 2019.

5. The applicant and developer, Wilshire Group are seeking the local board’s decision on a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot (COALs), created by way of a subdivision development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

6. This residential development is forecasted to be completed in April/May 2020.

7. Wilshire Group requires road names to obtain titles from council and settlement for the purchase of new houses. The Christmas and New Year period, as well as the long lead time required for the production of the street signs, could delay this process unless a road name is provided before the 3 December business meeting. Additionally, securing development funding from banks is difficult; the Wilshire Group has advised that they will need to have access to all its settlement proceeds as early as possible, to limit financial risk.
**Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve 3 names for the following new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 318(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337808):

i) COAL 1: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

ii) COAL 2: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

iii) COAL 3: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

**Context**

8. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

9. Applicant and developer Wilshire Group has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board:

*Note: Name in bold and marked with * was suggested by local iwi.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Stage 3A Preferred And Alternative Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. All 15 relevant local iwi groups were written to *(via email)* and invited to comment. Ngāti Whanaunga and Ngāti Paoa both suggested a list of names.

11. Ngāi Tai – Taiaoauri, Te Ahiwaru – Makaurau Marae Māori Trust, and Te Ākitai Waiohua all responded in support for Ngāti Whanaunga's suggested names. Ngā Maunga Whakahii o Kaipara responded to defer to Ngāti Paoa and Ngāti Whātau responded to defer to those Mana Whenua Entities directly engaged with this development.

12. The list of acceptable names that meet the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and were suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga and Ngāti Paoa are listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Names suggested by mana whenua</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Names suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verdant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huanui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikumu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kookota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titiko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. No other iwi provided responses or comments. It is therefore implied that no other iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for these three small private roads.

14. The proposed names have been assessed against the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met, and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. It is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the proposed names within the local context.

Authorisation to use the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Date: 4 November 2019

Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Chris Makoare
Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Date: 5 November 2019

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Date: 5 November 2019
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local board Resolution/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve 3 names for the following new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A),
   in accordance with section 319(1)[j] of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent
   references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608):

iv) COAL 1: Titiko Place
v) COAL 2: Honetana Lane
vi) COAL 3: Verdant Lane

Chris Makoare
Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Date: 5 November 2019

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Date: 5 November 2019
Approval for three new road names at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A)

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board to name three new private roads, being commonly owned access lots (COALs), created by way of a subdivision development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.
3. An urgent decision is requested as the delay for the approved road names until the next local board business meeting in December could create cause serious financial issues for the applicant, Wilshire Group. The Richmond development programme completion date is forecasted for April/May 2020; however with the festive Christmas and New Year period, as well as the long lead time required for the production of the street signs, it could delay the issuance of titles from the council and settlement for the purchase of the new houses. The applicant advises that securing development funding from the banks is difficult and that they will need to have access to all its settlement proceeds as early as possible. A delay in settlement with eagerly awaited new owners or late payments to creditors will also have serious brand repercussion for Wilshire Group.
4. Developer and applicant, Wilshire Group, has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board:

*Note: Name in bold and marked with * was suggested by local iwi.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Stage 3A Preferred And Alternative Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Following consultation with iwi, Ngaati Whanaunga and Ngāti Paoa suggested the following additional options:
   - Hoe (suggested by Ngaati Whanaunga for COAL 3)
   - Honetana (suggested by Ngāti Paoa)
   - Kikorangi (suggested by Ngāti Paoa)
   - Kauahi (suggested by Ngāti Paoa)
   - Tuahine (suggested by Ngāti Paoa)

6. Any of the 14 proposed road name options would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region.
Mana Whenua were also consulted. Therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) approve 3 names for the following new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608):

i. COAL 1: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

ii. COAL 2: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

iii. COAL 3: *(local board to insert chosen name and road type)*

**Horopaki**

**Context**

7. **Site History:** Located within the volcanic field of Tāmaki Makaurau, characterised by basaltic rock, scoria cones and lava flows. The Richmond development occupies the site of Te Apunga o Tainui (McLennan Hills), four small scoria cones each with a central crater. Lava flows from eruptions from these craters underlie the entire Panama Road peninsula, with the toe of the flows forming the coast of the Tāmaki Estuary from Otāhuhu north to the Panmure basin bluff. To the north the flows reached the southern base of Hamlins Hill. Complete quarrying of the scoria cones over the past decades has resulted in the flat site present today. Natural springs within the scoria cones supplied water to subsequent land uses (freezing works, greenhouses), and are present today. Richmond will build on this history through the master-planning and architectural and landscape details. With a proposed 600+ homes to be developed over the next three to five years, the final outcome will see the area to be a thriving residential community.

8. Richmond is a terrace home development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington, developed by Wilshire Group. 187 dwellings have been completed across two stages, and the remainder of the site is to be developed over the next three to five years.

9. Resource consent BUN60337606 and SUB60337608 was issued 10 September 2019 for the construction of 66 dwellings and three associated commonly owned access lots (COAL).

10. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards for road naming (the AS/NZS 4819-2011 standard), the COALs require road names because they serve more than 5 lots.

11. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachments A and B respectively.

12. Local iwi have also provided te reo Māori road name options, detailed below.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

13. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the local board’s approval.
14. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

15. **Theme:** The applicant has proposed names that reference the growing of food in the fertile soil, the previous use of the land that was a plant nursery, and the Tāmaki Estuary as a source of shellfish, particularly cockles, and also fish, which entered the estuary.

16. The applicant’s proposed names and meanings are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Number</th>
<th>Proposed Names &amp; Preferences</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COAL 1</td>
<td><strong>Titiko Place</strong> (Applicant preferred)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) mud-flat snail - air breathing mollusc which lives in an intermediate habitat between land and sea. A very common univalve mollusc on tidal mudflats. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga to reference Shellfish in the Tāmaki Estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kookota Place</strong> (alternative 1)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) pīpi - a common edible bivalve with a smooth shell found at low tide just below the surface of sandy harbour flats. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga to reference Shellfish in the Tāmaki Estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kanae Place</strong> (alternative 2)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) grey mullet - an olive-grey fish, silvery grey to white below. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga to reference the fish in the Tāmaki Estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 2</td>
<td><strong>Kopiha Lane</strong> (Applicant preferred)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) kūmara storage pit. To reference the growing of food in the fertile soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Hurupi Lane</strong> (alternative 1)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) fresh growth (of plants). To reference the growing of food in the fertile soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Tikumu Lane</strong> (alternative 2)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) common mountain daisy, cotton plant. Alternative meaning: (noun) to be timid, timorous, diffident, reticent, hesitating. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga to reference the previous use of the land that was a plant nursery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Verdant Lane</strong> (Applicant preferred)</td>
<td>(adjective) green with grass or other rich vegetation, of bright green colour of lush grass. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga as COAL 3 overlooks a proposed pocket park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 3</td>
<td><strong>Urungi Lane</strong> (alternative 1)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (verb) to steer. Suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga to reference the traversing of waka along the Tāmaki Estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Huanul Lane</strong> (alternative 2)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (noun) road, highway, path, pathway, street. Name proposed as the lane leads to a proposed pocket park. Ngāti Whanaunga’s preferred name for COAL 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. An additional name suggested by Ngaati Whaununga is in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by Ngaati Whaununga)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoe</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>noun</em> paddle, oar. Suggested by Ngaati Whaununga (for COAL 3) to reference the traversing of waka along the Tamaki Estuary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. **Order of preference for Ngaati Whaununga’s suggested names:** It should be noted that when Ngaati Whaununga suggested 15 names for the applicant to use at this development, each name was listed in order of Ngaati Whaununga’s preference for the three private roads. The applicant has selected some of the suggested names and placed them in their own order of preference. Please see Attachment C for Ngaati Whaununga’s memo that shows Ngaati Whaununga’s order of preference for their suggested names. This note has been included in this report to allow the local board the opportunity to consider both the applicant and iwi’s preferred options in order to make an informed decision on which names to approve for each road.

19. Ngaati Whaununga suggested the name ‘Tikimu’ in their memo (Attachment C). Mike Baker from Ngaati Whaununga has confirmed to Council staff that this name was spelt incorrectly and should instead be ‘Tikumu’. This name has been updated in the report.

20. **Additional names suggested by Ngāti Paoa** are in the table below:

*Theme as described by Ngāti Paoa:* “One of the stories still talked about in the Panama Rd area is that of the Ngāti Paoa Kūia Hune Honetana. It is said that she would take her tamariki & mokopuna to the end of Panama Rd to look across at Mokoia Pa. She would wail to her tūpuna who were killed when the Ngāpuhi overtook Mokoia Pa with devastating consequence for Ngāti Paoa. She would say that when the smoke cleared a bright coloured rainbow formed and took the tūpuna spirits to their resting place. Her mokopuna still talk of and relate this story today. With this in mind we would offer the following names for use on the roads in Richmond development, based on this story by tangata whenua of the area.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by Ngāti Paoa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honetana</td>
<td>Māori word: In honour of our Ngāti Paoa Kūia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikorangi</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: (stative) be blue in colour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauahi</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: Smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuahine</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: Quarry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. **Assessment:** The names proposed by the Applicant have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

22. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

23. **Road type:** ‘Place’ and ‘Lane’ are acceptable road types for the new private roads, suit the form and layout of the roads, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.
24. **Iwi Consultation:** All 15 relevant local iwi groups were written to (via email) and invited to comment.

Ngaati Whanaunga suggested 15 names; six were duplicates to names already being used in the Auckland Region and are therefore not acceptable for use; one name was already part of another road naming application and is therefore not acceptable for use; seven acceptable names have been included in the applicant’s proposal (refer to Table 1); and the last remaining acceptable name has been included in paragraph 5 and Table 2 for the local boards consideration.

Ngāi Tai – Taiaomaurikura, Te Ahiwaru – Makaurau Marae Māori Trust, and Te Ākitai Waiohua all responded in support for Ngaati Whanaunga’s suggested names.

Ngā Maunga Whakahii o Kaipara responded to defer to Ngāti Paoa, who in turn suggested seven names; three were duplicates to names already being used in the Auckland Region and are therefore not acceptable for use; and the remaining four acceptable names have been included in paragraph 5 and Table 3 for the local board’s consideration.

Ngāti Whātau responded to defer to those Mana Whenua Entities directly engaged with this development.

No other iwi provided responses or comments. It is therefore implied that no other iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for these three small private roads.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

26. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

25. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

26. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

27. The review sought from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity. All the names proposed in this report are Māori road name options.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

28. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.
Ngā rau tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
29. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
30. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Elizabeth Salter – Technical Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen – Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers – Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A: Site Plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

Master Plan
Attachment B: Location plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mount Wellington (Stage 3A).
Memo

To: Olivia Walraven, Wilshire Group
   olivia.w@wilshiregroup.co.nz
From: Mike Baker, Ngaati Whanaunga Incorporated Society
       mbaker@ngaatiwhanaunga.maori.nz
Date: 26 September 2019
Subject: Formal response: Richmond 3A, 33 Panama Rd, Mt Wellington - Street Naming Consultation

This is a formal response from Ngaati Whanaunga Incorporated Society for new street naming created by way of a residential subdivision Richmond 3A at 33 Panama Rd, Mt Wellington, Taamaki Makaurau - Auckland.

Ngaati Whanaunga Background

_ахакоа те ActivityIndicator_ ActivityIndicator, _ка нуи те ActivityIndicator_
Though we are few, let the heart dread

The above noted whakataukii refers to the fact that historically Ngaati Whanaunga was a relatively small tribe in terms of population, but were renowned warriors, and this is reflected by our massive tribal rohe.

Ngaati Whanaunga descend from the original tangata whenua of pre-fleet people, of Kupe-Toi, Ngaa Oho and also from descendants of the Tainui waka. Ngaati Whanaunga descend from Marutuauahu.

Marutuauahu married a Te Uri o Pou woman named Paremoehau, and from this union came Whanaunga who was their youngest son. Whanaunga became a leader of the Marutuauahu iwi and hapuu following his father’s death and is the eponymous ancestor of Ngaati Whanaunga.

Ngaati Whanaunga, played a prominent role in establishing Marutuauahu mana over much of Hauraki, Tiikapa Moana, and Taamaki Makaurau (modern day Auckland city), participating in most if not all the major battles with earlier tangata whenua.
Cultural Setting / Cultural Landscape

Mana Whenua groups date back to the arrival of the original waka from Hawaiiki. At a broad level, Mana Whenua accounts explain how the Taamaki Isthmus was used for extensive gardens with its fertile soils, mahinga kai from the harbours and waterways, and paa on the numerous maunga and coastal settlements. One translation of Taamaki Makaurau itself is the ‘land of a hundred lovers’, in reference to its desirability of the Taamaki Isthmus to many groups.

The Taamaki Isthmus, with its numerous and spiritually significant maunga (mountains) and craters, the Waitemata and Manukau harbours, the motu (islands), the Taamaki River, Whau and other portages that connect the harbours, swamps, the multiple coastal bays, headlands, and gullies and streams, all which bear names commemorating important ancestors and past events, are part of a broader cultural landscape of Taamaki Makaurau.

Council Principles for Naming Roads

Naming of roads shall conform to the standards followed by Land Information New Zealand.

- All formed roads accessing six or more address sites shall be named, including private roads (roads for which the care and maintenance is not their responsibility) and roads that are generally open to the public or to services.
- Road extents and road types will be clearly defined.
- Road names will promote public safety and service delivery.
- Road names will reflect the heritage of an area.
- The use of Maori road names is actively encouraged.

The Proposal

Richmond is a terrace home development at 33 Panama Road, Mt Wellington, developed by Wilshire Group. There have been 167 dwellings completed across two stages, and the remainder of the site is to be developed over the next three to five years.

Richmond 3A is another stage of development, where 66 homes will be built and as a result, three new private roads (JOAL) will require names.

The Theme

There will be new streets and lanes developed in this Maungarei area that will need naming. For this project, the Wilshire Group would like to have a variety of names to create authenticity, and have proposed the use of more than one “theme”. They also believe it is important for the names to be relevant to the site and easy to pronounce and write.

Proposed Names

A total of fifteen names have been offered for the three private roads (JOAL) with the three preferred names for each of the three private roads (JOAL) below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Names</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Context/Tikanga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 1</td>
<td>Verdant Green with grass or other rich vegetation, of bright green colour of lush grass</td>
<td>Vegetation and Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 2</td>
<td>Huanui Pathway</td>
<td>Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 3</td>
<td>Tikimu Mountain Daisy</td>
<td>Vegetation and Plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background to the Maungarei (Mt Wellington) area

When Maaori arrived in the area, the cones and surviving parts of the tuff rings were surrounded on all sides by fertile soils developed on the volcanic deposits. To the north and west of Maungarei, the lava flow had blocked the heads of tributary valleys, forming extensive areas of lake and swamp, notably at Waiaatarua, only about 1.5km from the western side of the mountain.

The Taamaki Estuary to the east was a source of shellfish, particularly cockles, and also fish, which entered the estuary itself. The estuary extends inland for about 15km from its mouth. The semi-enclosed inlet of the Panmure Basin provides the nearest access to Maungarei, only about 500 m to the northwest. The entrance to the Panmure Basin is about 8km from the estuary mouth.

In pre-European times, Maungarei was strategically placed for rapid access by canoe to the Waitemata Harbour, Hauraki Gulf and North Island east coast generally. Some 4km to the south were the portages that provided canoe access to the Manukau Harbour and the west coast. Thus the early residents of the district had easy access to potentially good garden land, swamps and lakes, and marine resources.

Fresh water is generally found only on the periphery of the Auckland lava fields, as at Waiaatarua. Freshwater springs were named and greatly valued by Maaori. A fast-flowing spring beside the Panmure Basin may have been the nearest to Maungarei. It was named Te Waipuna a Rangiaatea.

Ngaati Whanaunga Support

Ngaati Whanaunga supports having more than one theme that is authentic and relevant for this area.

Ngaati Whanaunga supports the Maaori names that have been proposed for the new roads.

Ngaati Whanaunga Proposed Names

1. Ngaati Whanaunga would like to offer the following names for JOAL 1 — names that support the theme of the Taamaki Estuary as a source of shellfish, particularly cockles, and also fish, which entered the estuary.
### Ingoa/Suggested Names

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name for the New Road</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Context/Tikanga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 1</td>
<td>Tuangi</td>
<td>(noun) - New Zealand cockle - a common round and plump bivalve mollusc with a ribbed shell found buried just beneath the surface on tidal mudflats and sand-flats.</td>
<td>Shellfish in the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kookota</td>
<td>(noun) - pipi - a common edible bivalve with a smooth shell found at low tide just below the surface of sandy harbour flats.</td>
<td>Shellfish in the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Titiko</td>
<td>(noun) mud-flat snail - an air breathing mollusc which lives in a habitat that is intermediate between the land and the sea. A very common univalve mollusc on tidal mudflats.</td>
<td>Shellfish in the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kanae</td>
<td>(noun) grey mullet - an olive-grey fish, silvery grey to white below</td>
<td>Fish in the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Ngaati Whanaunga would like to offer the following names for JOAL 2 – names that support the theme of food that was grown in these fertile soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name for the New Road</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Context/Tikanga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 2</td>
<td>Kaanga</td>
<td>(noun) corn, maize, sweetcorn</td>
<td>Food that was grown in the fertile soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maara</td>
<td>(noun) garden, cultivation.</td>
<td>Where food was grown in this fertile area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hauhake</td>
<td>(noun) harvest</td>
<td>Gathering food that was grown in the fertile soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riwai</td>
<td>(noun) potato</td>
<td>Food that was grown in the fertile soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Ngaati Whanaunga would like to offer the following names for JOAL 3 – names that support the travel/traversing of waka (canoe) along the Taamaki Estuary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name for the New Road</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Context/Tikanga</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOAL 3</td>
<td>Korope</td>
<td>(verb) - to turn a canoe using a paddle at the stern - done by reaching out and drawing the paddle towards the side.</td>
<td>Travel/traversing of waka (canoe) along the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoe</td>
<td>(noun) paddle, oar.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel/traversing of waka (canoe) along the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urungi</td>
<td>(verb) - to steer.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel/traversing of waka (canoe) along the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waka Tiwai</td>
<td>(noun) - dugout canoe without attached sides.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel/traversing of waka (canoe) along the Taamaki Estuary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dialect**

Ngaati Whanaunga actively encourages and supports the use of Māori names for all streets in Taamaki Makaurau - Auckland.

**Recommendations**

1. Ngaati Whanaunga supports having more than one theme that is authentic and relevant for this area.
2. Ngaati Whanaunga supports the Māori names that have been proposed for the new roads.
3. The names that Ngaati Whanaunga have offered are listed above in order of preference for the three new private roads at the residential subdivision – Richmond 3A at 33 Panama Rd, Mt Wellington, Taamaki Makaurau Auckland.
4. Ngaati Whanaunga is available to provide ongoing cultural support, cultural induction, cultural safety and advice for the project management teams and contractors on request.
5. Please contact Mike Baker, RMA officer, for further clarification of these recommendations.

**Mike Baker**  
RMA Officer  
Ngaati Whanaunga Incorporated Society
Memorandum

To: Chris Makoare, Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Debbie Burrows, Deputy Chairperson – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Nina Siers, Relationship Manager – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa Local Boards

cc: Christie McFadyen, Senior Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board; Elizabeth Salter, Technical Officer – Resource Consents

Subject: Urgent decision request of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

From: Mal Ahmu, Local Board Advisor – Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Purpose

1. To initially seek the local board relationship manager’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

2. The decision required, and the supporting report, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the report will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency

3. Local Boards have decision-making authority over the naming of roads as outlined in The 10-Year Budget 2018-2028.

4. Due to the election period, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board’s first business meeting for the 2019-2022 electoral term is on 3 December 2019.

5. The applicant and developer, Wilshire Group Limited are seeking the local board’s decision on a replacement road name for the road known as ‘COAL 2’ within their Ryburn Road subdivision, due to iwi group, Te Ākitai Waiohua objecting the use of the previously approved name ‘Honetara Lane’.

6. The objection from Te Ākitai Waiohua iwi was received by the applicant, but this information was not passed onto council, and was only brought to the attention of staff after the road naming urgent decision had already been approved by the local board.

7. The applicant confirms that no other objections from iwi have since been received for any of the other previously approved names, or the new name options proposed now.

8. This residential development is forecasted to be completed in April/May 2020.

9. Wilshire Group requires road names to obtain titles from council and settlement for the purchase of new houses. The Christmas and New Year period, as well as the long lead time required for the production of the street signs, could delay this process unless a road name is provided before the 3 December business meeting. Additionally, securing development funding from banks is difficult; the Wilshire Group has advised that they will need to have access to all its settlement proceeds as early as possible, to limit financial risk.
10. The chair and deputy chair previously approved an urgent decision to name three new roads at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608).

**Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) agree, pursuant to standing order 1.10.4, to revoke the following part-resolution of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board urgent decision road naming request on 4 November 2019, a) ii):

   ii) **COAL 2**: Honetana Lane

b) approve the replacement name (local board to insert chosen name and road type) for the new road known as ‘COAL 2’, created by way of subdivision at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608).

**Context**

11. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

12. The Applicant has proposed the following names for consideration by the Local Board as replacement name options for COAL 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
<th>Name suggested by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopiha</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Wilshire Group Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurupi</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Wilshire Group Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikumu</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngaati Whanaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huanui</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngaati Whanaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikorangi</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngati Paoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauahi</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngati Paoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tushine</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngati Paoa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. All 15 relevant local iwi groups were written to (via email) and invited to comment. Ngaati Whanaunga and Ngati Paoa both suggested a list of names.

14. Ngāi Tai – Taiaomaurikura, Te Ahiwaru – Makaurau Marae Māori Trust, and Te Akitai Waiohua all responded in support for Ngaati Whanaunga’s suggested names. Ngà Maunga Whakahii o Kaipara responded to defer to Ngāi Paoa and Ngāi Whātau responded to defer to those Mana Whenua Entities directly engaged with this development.

15. Any of the seven replacement road name options would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met, and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region.
Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board          Date: 20 November 2019

Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Chris Makoare
Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board          Date: 21 November 2019

Debbie Burrows
Deputy Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board          Date: 21 November 2019
**Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local board Resolution/s**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) agree, pursuant to standing order 1.10.4, to revoke the following part-resolution of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board urgent decision road naming request on 4 November 2019, a) ii):

   iii) COAL 2: Honetana Lane

b) approve the replacement name Kikorangi Lane for the new road known as ‘COAL 2’, created by way of subdivision at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608).

---

Chris Makoare  
Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 21 November 2019

Debbie Burrows  
Deputy Chairperson, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board  
Date: 21 November 2019
Part-revoke a Road Name Approval Resolution from 4 November 2019 in order to Approve a Replacement Road Name at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A)

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To request that the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board revoke part of a resolution made on 4 November 2019 and to approve one replacement road name for the new private road known as ‘COAL 2’ within the subdivision development at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

Whakarāpopotangatanga matua

Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.
3. Wilshire Group Limited (the Applicant) request a replacement road name for the road known as ‘COAL 2’ within their Ryburn Road subdivision due to iwi group Te Ākitai Waiohua objecting to use of the previously approved name ‘Honetana Lane’.
4. The objection from Te Ākitai Waiohua iwi was received by the Applicant, but this information was not passed onto council, and was only brought to the attention of staff after a road naming report had already been submitted to the local board.
5. The Applicant confirms that no other objections from iwi have since been received for any of the other previously approved names, or the options proposed now.
6. An urgent decision is requested because delaying until the next local board business meeting in December could cause serious financial issues for the Applicant.
7. Any of the seven replacement road name options would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana Whenua were also consulted.
8. The Applicant has proposed the following names for consideration by the local board as replacement name options for COAL 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Road Type</th>
<th>Name suggested by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopiha</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Wilshire Group Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurupi</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>Wilshire Group Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikumu</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngāti Whanaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huanui</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngāti Whanaunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikorangi</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngāti Paoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauahi</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngāti Paoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuahine</td>
<td>‘Place’ or ‘Lane’</td>
<td>Ngāti Paoa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board
03 December 2019

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) agree, pursuant to standing order 1.10.4, to revoke the following part-resolution of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board urgent decision road naming request on 4 November 2019, a) ii):
   ii) COAL 2: Honetana Lane

b) approve the replacement name (local board to insert chosen name and road type) for the new road known as ‘COAL 2’, created by way of subdivision at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A), in accordance with section 319(1)(q) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60337606 and SUB60337608).

Horopaki
Context

9. Overview: A road naming report for the Wilshire Group Limited (Ltd) subdivision at 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A) was presented to the local board under the urgent decision process and approved on 4 November 2019.

10. Since that approval, new information has come to light requiring one of the road names contained in that report to be replaced.

11. Te Ākitai Waichert objected to use of the previously approved name ‘Honetana Lane’. This information was not made available to staff at the time the report was presented to the local board.

12. The Applicant advised that the exclusion of Te Ākitai Waichert’s email from the application, noting their objection, was due to an oversight on their part. Wilshire Group Ltd apologises for this oversight and requests a replacement name for ‘Honetana Lane’.

13. The Applicant has confirmed that no other objections have since been received for any of the other approved names, or the replacement names proposed now.

14. Site and location plans of the subject development can be found in Attachments A and B respectively.

15. Urgent decision reasoning: Applicant Wilshire Group Ltd request replacement road name approval under the urgent decision-making process due to the risk of serious financial implications from any delays to their development completion programme.

16. The development is forecast to be completed in April/May 2020, but with the Christmas and New Year period, as well as the long lead time required for the production of street signs, any further delays to decision-making on this road name could affect the issuance of titles from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) and settlement for the purchase of the new houses.

17. The Applicant advises that securing development funding from the banks has been difficult and that they will need to have access to all settlement proceeds as early as possible in order to repay creditors. Settlements are eagerly awaited by new owners, and any delays for them, or late payments to creditors, will have serious financial and brand repercussion for Wilshire Group.

18. The following background information is a repeat of the information contained in the previous report, to provide context and details about the subject development.

19. Site History: The subject development, known as 'The Richmond Development' is located within the volcanic field of Tāmaki Makaurau, characterised by basalt rock, scoria cones and lava flows.

<Enter the title here>
20. The development occupies the site of Te Apunga o Tainui (McLennan Hills); four small scoria cones each with a central crater. Lava flows from historical eruptions from these craters underlie the entire Panama Road peninsula, with the toe of the flows forming the coast of the Tāmaki Estuary from Ōtāhuhu north to the Panmure basin tuff ring. To the north the flows reached the southern base of Hamlins Hill.

21. Complete quarrying of the scoria cones over the past decades has resulted in the flat site present today. Natural springs within the scoria cones supplied water to subsequent land uses (freezing works, greenhouses), and are present today. The Richmond Development will build on this history through master-planning and architectural and landscape details. With a proposed 600 plus homes to be developed over the next three to five years, the Applicant hopes that the final outcome will see the area to be a thriving residential community.

22. Development Details: To date, 187 dwellings have been completed across two stages, and the remainder of the site is to be developed over the next three to five years.

23. As part of the development stages, resource consent BUN60337606 and SUB60337608 was issued 10 September 2019 for the construction of 66 new dwellings and three private commonly owned access lots (COALs), which are the subject of this road naming report.

24. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards for road naming (the AS/NZS 4819-2011 standard), the COALs require road names because they serve more than 5 lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

25. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the Local Board’s approval.

26. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

27. Themes: for the names approved through the urgent decision process on 4 November 2019, and the replacement names suggested now, the Applicant has used a combination of the following themes:
   - the growing of food in the local fertile volcanic soils of the area,
   - the previous use of the land as a plant nursery, and
   - the Tāmaki Estuary as a source of fish and shellfish, particularly cockles

28. Names suggested by Ngāti Whanaunga were chosen to reference the previous use of the land as a plant nursery.

29. Names suggested by Ngāti Paoa include the following theme: “One of the stories still talked about in the Panama Rd area is that of the Ngāti Paoa Kuia Hune Honetana. It is said that she would take her tamariki & mokopuna to the end of Panama Rd to look across at Mokoia Pā. She would wait to her tūpuna who were killed when the Ngāpuhi overtook Mokoia Pā with devastating consequence for Ngāti Paoa. She would say that when the smoke cleared a bright coloured rainbow formed and took the tūpuna spirits to their resting place. Her mokopuna still talk of and relate this story today. With this in mind we would offer the following names for use on the roads in Richmond development, based on this story by tāngata whenua of the area.”

30. The replacement name options and meanings are presented in the tables below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Names</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant/iwi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Kopiha Lane    | Māori word meaning: *(noun) kumara storage pit.*  
|                | To reference the growing of food in the fertile soil.  
|                | *Suggested by Wilshire Group Ltd* |
| Hurupi Lane    | Māori word meaning: *(noun) fresh growth (of plants).*  
|                | To reference the growing of food in the fertile soil.  
|                | *Suggested by Wilshire Group Ltd* |
| Tikumu         | Māori word meaning: *(noun) common mountain daisy, cotton plant.*  
|                | Alternative meaning: *(noun) to be timid, timorous, diffident, reticent, hesitating.*  
|                | *Suggested by Ngati Whanaunga to reference the previous use of the land that was a plant nursery.*  
|                | *Note:* Ngati Whanaunga suggested the name ‘Tikimu’ in their memo (Attachment C). Ngati Whanaunga has confirmed to staff that this name was spelt incorrectly and should instead be ‘Tikumu’. This name has been updated in the report |
| Huanul         | Māori word meaning: *(noun) road, highway, path, pathway, street*  
|                | *Suggested by Ngati Whanaunga as the lane leads to a proposed pocket park.* |
| Kikorangi      | Māori word meaning: *(stative) be blue in colour.*  
|                | *Suggested by Ngati Paoa* |
| Kauahi         | Māori word meaning: *Smoke*  
|                | *Suggested by Ngati Paoa* |
| Tuahine        | Māori word meaning: *Quarry*  
|                | *Suggested by Ngati Paoa* |
31. **Assessment:** The names proposed by the Applicant have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the thematic suitability of the names within the local context.

32. **Confirmation:** Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

33. **Road type:** Either ‘Place’ or ‘Lane’ would be acceptable road types for the new private road (COAL) replacement name, suit the form and layout of the road, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

34. **Iwi Consultation:** All 15 relevant local iwi groups were written to (via email) as part of the original road naming application and invited to comment.

35. **Ngāti Whanaunga** suggested 15 names: two of those are still available for use now as a replacement name, as shown in the table above.

36. **Ngāi Tai – Taiaomaurikura, Te Ahiwaru – Makaurau Marae Maori Trust, and Te Ākitai Waihoua** all responded in support for Ngāti Whanaunga’s suggested names.

37. **Ngāi Paoa** suggested seven names: three of those are still available for use now as a replacement name, as shown in the table above.

38. **Ngā Maunga Whakahi o Kaipara** responded to defer to Ngāti Paoa’s choices.

39. **Ngāti Whātua** responded to defer to the groups more directly involved with this development.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

26. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

40. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

41. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
42. The review sought from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome "A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity. All the names proposed in this report are Māori road name options.

43. Several Māori road name options have been suggested.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
44. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
45. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
46. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Site plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Location plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Te Ākiri Warohua objection email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Elizabeth Salter – Technical Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen – Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers – Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A: Site Plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mt Wellington (Stage 3A).

Master Plan
COAL 1 – Titiko Place

COAL 2 – Verdant Place

COAL 3 NAME TO BE REPLACED
Attachment B: Location plan for 13 Ryburn Road, Mount Wellington (Stage 3A).
attachment C – Objection email from Te Ākitai Waiohua Iwi

From: Jeff
Sent: Thursday, 10 October 2019 6:24 a.m.
To: Olivia Walraven
Cc: Simone Horrobin; Nigel Denny
Subject: Re: Richmond 3A - Proposed Street Naming

Morena Olivia,

Thank you for your email.

We have no problem with generic names, so in this instance and no disrespect to the Ngati Paoa Kula, Honetana we do not support this name being put forward.

Ngaa Mihi Nui

Jeff Lee
Kaitiaki
Te Ākitai Waiohua Iwi Authority

On 9/10/2019, at 2:52 PM, Olivia Walraven wrote:

Hi Jeff,

Just a quick question, another iwi has come back with a few suggested names. Most are generic so expect no issues there, but I particularly wanted to run the first one by you.

Can you please provide feedback?

Honetana In honour of our Ngati Paoa Kuia
Kikorangi Blue
Kowhai Yellow
Paraone Brown
Kakariki Green
Kauahi Smoke
Tuahine Quarry

Kind regards,

Olivia Walraven
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the board with the governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The governance forward work calendar for the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board is in Attachment A.
3. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is required and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar is updated every month. Each update is reported to business meetings. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:
   a) note the attached Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Tracey Freeman - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reports highlighted in blue text reflect a change where a new report is expected or change on the planned date has occurred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Business meeting report topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>Project 17 Full Facilities Contracts Review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-regional Marine Pest Pathway Management Plan (for interested local boards)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Climate Action Framework</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>Open Space Management Framework (2020 tbc, no date set)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March/April 2020</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015 (March-April)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide a summary of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board workshops for 15 October, 22 October, 29 October, 5 November, 12 November, 19 November and 26 November 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Local board workshops are held to give board members an opportunity to receive information and updates or provide direction and have discussion on issues and projects relevant to the local board area. No binding decisions are made or voted on at workshop sessions.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

3. Note the local board record of workshops held on 15 October, 22 October, 29 October, 5 November, 12 November, 19 November and 26 November 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board Record of workshops December 2019</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Tracey Freeman - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketepapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 15 October 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

PRESENT

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

Chris Makoare  
Debbie Burrows  
Maria Meredith  
Nerissa Henry  
Peter McGlashan  
Tony Woodcock

Apologies: Don Allan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1 Induction:</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The Relationship Manager, Nina Siers, provided a brief introduction on the local board office, and introduced the local board staff to the elected members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Welcome &amp; Introductions</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were provided with a tour around the local board office and received an overview on health and safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Office space/local health &amp; safety</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were briefed on the date and time of the technology rollout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology/Forms</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were provided with an overview on elected member remuneration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Elected Member Remuneration</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>Shared Governance overview and the Quality Advice Standards was provided via video was provided for the elected members to view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Governance Role Overview</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>An introduction to the Kura Kawana programme, purpose, opportunities and individual development options was provided via video.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 12.45pm.
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 22 October 2019, commencing at 10am.

**PRESENT**

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

- Chris Makore
- Debbie Burrows
- Don Allan
- Maria Meredith
- Norissa Henry
- Peter McGlashan
- Tony Woodcock

Apologies: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 2 Induction.</td>
<td></td>
<td>The elected members had discussions around the board’s aspirations and their approach to working together in the new term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Working Together</td>
<td>induction overview</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Best Practices – session 1</td>
<td>induction overview</td>
<td>Discussions were had around the best approach for the new term based on the findings of the 2016 Best Practice Review that were outlined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Electing a Chair</td>
<td>induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were provided with some guidance on the election of a Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, including their roles, responsibilities and expectations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Legal Context/Briefing for Inauguration</td>
<td>induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members received an overview of key legislation, legal framework, code of conduct and conflicts of interest via Skype. The members also received a briefing on the proceedings of the inaugural meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduction to Local Board Plan</td>
<td>induction overview</td>
<td>An introduction to the Local Board Plan was presented to the board, along with discussion and feedback being provided by the elected members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5:00pm
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on Tuesday 29 October 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

**PRESENT**

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

- Chris Makoare
- Debbie Burrows
- Don Allan
- Maria Meredith
- Nerissa Henry
- Peter McGlashan
- Tony Woodcock

Apologies: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 Induction:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Best Practices – session 2</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The discussion on working together was continued, focusing on recommended work practice, and for the board to provide their feedback. Discussion around the inaugural meeting and election of a Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson roles were also had.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Scene setting – work Programmes, timelines</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were briefed on the board’s work programme and timelines around the delivery of the work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Local Board areas, assets, BID areas</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The elected members were provided with information around the local board’s area, assets and Business Improvement District (BID) areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 12.00pm.
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 5 November 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

PRESENT

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

- Chris Makoare (Chairperson)
- Debbie Burrows (Deputy Chairperson)
- Don Allan
- Maria Meredith
- Nerissa Henry
- Peter McGlashan
- Tony Woodcock

Apologies: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Plan Outcomes — Christie McFadyen</td>
<td>Setting direction/priorities/budget</td>
<td>The board discussed and developed draft outcomes for their draft 2020 Local Board Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement/working with communities — Lita Ernghouse-Fuava</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>The board discussed community engagement and provided direction on proposed engagement mechanisms within the local board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Plan Engagement — session 1 — Lita Ernghouse-Fuava</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>The board provided direction on options for pre-draft engagement phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Overview — Cathy McIntosh</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The board were introduced to the communications advisor, who provided an overview of their role in the local board area, and the work they deliver on behalf of the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance briefing/overview — Audrey Gen</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The board were introduced to the financial advisor, who gave a brief overview on finance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC team and CCO overviews — Mal Ahmu</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td>The board were briefed on the wider organisation of Auckland Council and the Council-controlled organisations that deliver work in the local board area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.15pm.
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 12 November 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

**PRESENT**

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

- Chris Makoare (Chairperson)
- Debbie Burrows (Deputy Chairperson)
- Don Allan
- Maria Meredith
- Nerissa Henry
- Peter McGlashan
- Tony Woodcock

**Apologies:** None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMETI &amp; Auckland Transport – Caroline Tauwhihi, Lorne Stewart, Norman Collier, Matt Polland, John Bennett</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were provided with an update on all Auckland Transport related projects in the local board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwi, Treaty Settlements – Christie McFadyen</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment to external organisations/board leads – Mal Ahmu</td>
<td>Accountability to the public</td>
<td>The board provided their position and feedback on appointments to external organisations and board leads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work programme &amp; annual budget direction setting – Christie McFadyen, Jacqui Fell, Emma Cowie, Karen Marais, Kathy O’Connor, Audrey Gan, Ossie Manuku, Paul Thompson, John Norman, Marc Dendale, Anna Boyer, Dhaya Haran, Debbie Ashton</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board provided their position and feedback on the work programme and annual budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE Intro – Public Art – Linda Hughes, Sarah Edwards, Julia Scott</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board received an update on the Omanu Reserve public art report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks intro – Aveline Park Playground – David Barker, Darryl Henry, Rob Gear</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board received an update on the Aveline park playground project and obtained the board’s position and feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 4.30pm.
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 19 November 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

PRESENT

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

- Chris Makoare (Chairperson)
- Debbie Burrows (Deputy Chairperson)
- Don Allan
- Narissa Henry
- Peter McGlashan
- Tony Woodcock

Apologies: Maria Meredith

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities intro – Onehunga Bay Reserve Skatepark – Jacqui Fell, Johan Ferreira, Vandra Kirkman, Valerie Vui, Susan Quinn, Gavin Rooks</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board received an update on all project delivery in the local board area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point England Bill – John Hutton</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board received an update regarding the Point England Bill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku – Transform Onehunga – Gavin Peabody, Helga Sonier, Niko Eleson, Samuditha Rupasinghe</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board received an update on all projects related to the Transform Onehunga project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Plan Objectives – Cathy McIntosh</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board developed draft objectives for their draft 2020 plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Plan Engagement – session 2 – Litia Brighthouse-Fuavae</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board provided feedback on the draft plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 4.30pm.
Workshop record of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held on 26 November 2019, commencing at 10.00am.

PRESENT

Members present for all or part of the workshop day:

Chris Makoare (Chairperson)
Debbie Burrows (Deputy Chairperson)
Don Allan
Nerissa Henry
Peter McGlashan
Tony Woodcock

Apologies: Maria Meredith

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSI Youth Connections – Dhaya Haran</td>
<td>Keeping informed &amp; Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board received an update on the developments of the Youth Connections activities in the local board area and provided feedback on the options presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI Intro – Waikaraka Park Reserve Management Plan – Shyral Burt, Amy Collingbourne, Nat Lawrence</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board provided feedback to help inform next steps on plan drafting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBA Draft Consultation Material and Engagement – Christie McFadyen, Lilia Bingham-Fuavao</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The board received their feedback on the draft plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku – Unlock Panmure – Jessica Laing, Samuditha Rupasinghe</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were informed on the Unlock Panmure programme and are aware of upcoming events and activities related to the programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected Member’s Strategic Briefing – Christie McFadyen</td>
<td>Induction overview</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.30pm.