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The Oath of a Member

Te Oati a te Mema

Ko au ko, Troy Jonathon Elliott,

Ka oati i runga i te Paipera Tapu, ka mahi pono, tōtika hoki ahau, i ngā mea e mātau, e taea ana e au hei painga mō te rohe o Ōrākei Local Board i runga i te mana kua riro mai i a au hei mema o te Poari ā-Rohe o Ōrākei Local Board i raro anō i te Ture Kāwanatanga ā-Rohe o te tau rua mano mā rua, te Ture Pārongo, Huīnga Īkawa ā-Kāwanatanga o te tau kotahi mano iwa rau waru tekau mā whitu, i raro rānei i tētahi atu Ture.

I whakaritea I Meadowbank i tēnei, te rā tuawha o Whiringa-ā-rangi 2019

I, Troy Jonathon Elliott,

swear on the Holy Bible and I declare that I will faithfully and impartially, and according to the best of my skill and judgment, execute and perform, in the best interests of the Ōrākei Local Board area, the powers, authorities, and duties vested in, or imposed upon, me as a member of the Ōrākei Local Board of the Auckland Council by virtue of the Local Government Act 2002, the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, or any other Act.

Dated at Meadowbank this fifth day of December 2019


Member

Signed in the presence of:

Adam Milina, Relationship Manager
on behalf of the Chief Executive
Attachment A

Waiatarua Dog Walkers - Community Group

- Formed in October 2019
- Online Community for dog owners & users of the Waiatarua Reserve.
- 472 Members
- 54% Reside in the Ōrākei Local Board Area
- The group was formed to protect all dog "off-leash" amenity in Waiatarua Reserve and provide a safe, interactive, online forum for dog owners - the primary user group of the Waiatarua Reserve.
- The group hosts group community dog walks and social events for its members and the wider community.
Item 8.1

- 129 Responses
- 69 Members reside in the Ōrākei Local Board area
- 58 Members came from other suburbs of Auckland, with some travelling to the Reserve from places as far away as Torbay and Flat Bush

WDW Member Survey
Attachment A

Waiatarua Dog Walkers
Community Events
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5x Weekly</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2x Weekly</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortnightly – Monthly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member User Survey
129 Responses

54% of our Members use the Reserve 3-7 days per week
Attachment A

Item 8.1

Dog Walking in Waiatarua Reserve

- Regular Users
- Casual Users
- Events Attendees
- Dog Trainers
- Professional Dog Walkers
- People who own dogs but like them
Sharing The Space

- Dog Walkers
- Picnickers
- Runners
- Cyclists
- Bird Watchers
- Walkers
- Children at Play
The spaces in Watatara Reserve are currently happily shared by the vast majority of users.
Sharing The Space
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Item 8.1

Are there enough off-leash dog walking areas in Auckland?

- Yes, we need more!
- Yes, what we have is plenty.
- I'm not sure I've never thought about it
- I need more

Percentage: 93%, 6%, 1%, 0%
Current Playground Area
Proposed Nature Trail Better Located Adjacent to Existing Playground Area
Attachment A

Item 8.1

- AREA CURRENTLY ON LEASH at Pedestrian Entrance to the Reserve opposite 122 Grand Drive – Available for the activities proposed by the small number of MSRA residents living within walking distance requesting extension of on leash provisions further into the Park. No by-law change required.

ON LEASH SPACE IS ALREADY AVAILABLE!
DEVELOPING **EXISTING** ON-LEASH AREAS IS THE BETTER OPTION

**WHY?**

21 Off Street Carparks
2 Disabled Carparks
Public Toilets
Playground
Skate Ramp
Currently Already On-Leash – NO By Law changes required
Ample flat grassed area available for picnics/playing with balls
Potential for Nature Trail through existing planting
Existing Signage & Fencing
Close to more frequent Public Transport
Serves a wider catchment of residents
More cost efficient use of Council resources & Ratepayer money
Has **NO NEGATIVE IMPACT ON MAIN USER GROUP OF THE RESERVE**
On behalf of the Members of WDW and the dogs, we thank the Board for considering the needs of those who cannot speak for themselves today.
The Oraiki Local Board recognises the Waiatarua Dog Walkers group as a key stakeholder in the decision making process affecting Waiatarua Reserve.

The Oraiki Local Board rescinds and/or amends its resolution made in September 2019, to exclude the provision to investigate an additional On-Leash area in Waiatarua Reserve.

The Oraiki Local Board will ensure that the Waiatarua Dog Walkers are given the opportunity to input into any further consultation prior to the formal adoption of the draft Waiatarua Enhancement Plan.
Additional Notes – WDW Presentation to OLB

- Dogs must have areas to exercise off leash. The current policy states that, where such areas are changed to on leash or prohibited, dog owners should be given alternative areas for off leash exercise.

- Both wildlife protection concerns and kauri dieback have meant that even more areas across Auckland Regionally have become prohibited to dog owners without any alternatives developed.

- The Dog Control Act requires dog owners to give their pets sufficient exercise. The SPCA recommends an hour per day if possible (as much as possible of this off leash).

- Walking the streets with your dog in summer is not advisable. The New Zealand Vet Association’s chief medical officer Helen Beattie warns that dog-owners should not be walking their dogs on hot pavement. Not only are dogs at risk with their paws on hot pavements, a dog’s whole body is closer to the hot pavement which can cause them to overheat. As they are made of concrete pavements retain heat well after the hottest time of the day.

Puppies, young dogs and shorter dogs are at particular risk of overheating from walking on hot surfaces (hot sand on beaches or pavements).

Why is Waialatau such a great dog exercise location?

- The outer walk which dogs are allowed off leash is around 2.75km and takes about 3/4 of an hour to walk - a good length of time for you, and your dog to be exercised. This distance and ease of terrain is one of the best parts about the park.

- The walking area around the park for dogs is set well back from the roads and in many cases private property, fencing, trees or ditches define the park boundaries making it safer for dogs to be off leash and not likely to run onto a road. A safe place for dogs.

- The outer walk terrain is open and flat so that you see other park users.

- It is grass and has a pond area that dogs can access in summer to cool off.

- The outside walk is a flat gravel path that is suitable for most ages, and fitness levels of dog owners. It also means the park can be used year round as the path doesn’t mean you have to wear gumboots in winter.

Other dogs use it meaning that your dog can socialise and learn manners.

- Orakei local board restrictions for dogs on beaches require owners to find alternative off-leash areas to exercise dogs off-leash. While there are over 30 dog off-leash areas in the OLB area many of these are tiny pocket parks that are inadequate to properly exercise & socialize dogs, uninviting, lacking in any other amenity and therefore seldom used. They cannot in any way be compared to Waialatau Reserve.

The Board has a statutory duty under the current bylaw and the policy section regarding dog access principles as follows:

6. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule (ie from off leash to on leash, or on leash to prohibited) on parks and beaches that would provide less dog access:

   (a) Consider whether there are practicable alternative solutions to address the conflict between uses of the place.
   (b) Ensure, to the extent that is practicable, that displaced dog owners and their dogs have access to other places or that such access is provided as part of the same decision.
Friends of Madill’s Farm

Madill’s Farm Submission to Orakei Local Board
5 December 2019

Introduction to Madill’s Farm

The open space comprising Madill’s Farm Reserve is a key amenity in the Orakei Ward, providing highly valued recreational opportunities for a wide community. Located centrally within the Orakei Ward, it is one of the larger open spaces, and is well maintained by Auckland Council and the Orakei Local Board. It meets the needs for open, passive recreation space in the community, attracting many hundreds of residents, sports teams and schools throughout the year.

Diverse environments within Madill’s Farm Reserve accommodate a wide range of activities. It includes sports fields, open space, a playground and a stream surrounded by many native species. Many walkers, joggers, cyclists and dog owners enjoy the amenity every day. Organised sports include cricket, football and touch rugby. The recently upgraded children’s playground attracts significant numbers and the open spaces of the sports fields are also used extensively for off-leash dog exercising, informal family games and other passive and active recreation when not in use for organised games.
Auckland Council proudly promotes Madill’s Farm in its Auckland Paths programme (Madill’s Path) and Auckland for Kids. Madill’s Farm Reserve is being well used for the diverse recreational activities for which it was created.

Madill’s Farm is a valued public asset adding to the appeal of Kohimarama as a family orientated residential suburb also attractive to its ageing demographic. Throughout the year, extensive use is made of Madill’s Farm by both those sectors of the local community. The natural amphitheatre of the raised surroundings means that although the noise of some activities is amplified, Madill’s Farm is overlooked by more than one hundred homes enjoying a pleasant visual environment.

**Friends of Madill’s Farm**

The Ōrākei Local Board Plan 2017 encourages “Friends of...” local amenities in the Ward. Friends of Madill’s Farm has been established for 12 years providing submissions and comment as appropriate to successive Local Boards during their Annual Plan consultation. Friends of Madill’s includes residents bordering the Reserve who observe it daily and see changes other user groups in the community may not be aware of. Consequently, Friends of Madill’s has also lead community engagement in relation to dog exercise management, security, stream and native bush regeneration, pest control and freedom camping.

Members support the Local Board and Auckland Council parks management, actively undertaking pest control, participating in the Eastern Songbird Project and taking an interest in the ongoing high standards of management and cleanliness that make Madill’s Farm Reserve an attractive community amenity.

**Management of Madill’s Farm Reserve (Legal Background)**

**Reserves Act 1977**

Madill’s Farm Reserve is classified as a Recreation Reserve under s.17 Reserves Act 1977. It is vested in Auckland Council and must be managed in accordance with the requirements of the Reserves Act.

Section 17(1) states the purpose of recreation reserves as;

“providing areas for the recreation and sporting activities and the physical welfare and enjoyment of the public, and for the protection of the natural environment and beauty of the countryside, with emphasis on the retention of open spaces and on outdoor recreational activities...”.

In s.17(2) it is declared that every recreation reserve shall be so administered [to ensure that]:

(a) the public shall have freedom of entry and access to the reserve; and

(c) those qualities of the reserve which contribute to the pleasantness, harmony and cohesion of the natural environment and to the better use and enjoyment of the reserve shall be conserved. (*emphasis added*)
Section 41 requires Auckland Council as the administering body, to produce and review a management plan for each reserve – a function delegated to Orakei Local Board for Madill’s Farm. Among other requirements,

"The management plan shall provide for and ensure the use, enjoyment, maintenance, protection and preservation ...... of the reserve for the purposes for which it is classified and shall incorporate and ensure compliance with the principles set out in section 17...."

Sections 53 and 54 grant certain powers to Auckland Council (and therefore to Orakei Local Board) to undertake activities to manage the reserve, and prescribe permitted games, sports or other activities and to enter agreements including leases. In all cases these powers are to be exercised so as to give effect to the principles set out in section 17.

**Auckland Unitary Plan**

Madill’s Farm is also subject to the Auckland Unitary Plan classified as an Open Space Zone / Sport and Active Recreation Zone. Governance responsibility is delegated by Auckland Council to Orakei Local Board to manage in accordance with the Unitary Plan and related by-laws and other Auckland Council plans and strategies subsidiary to the Unitary Plan. These together with the Orakei Local Board’s own planning documents include:

- Open Space Strategic Asset Management Plan 2015 (Auckland Council)
- Sports and Recreation Strategic Action Plan 2017 (Auckland Council)
- Orakei Local Board Plan 2017
- Reserve Management Plan 2011 (Orakei Local Board “Masterplan – Madill’s Farm”)
- Tamaki Drive Precinct Event Guidelines (Orakei Local Board)
- Standard Conditions – Sports Park Booking Application (Auckland Council)

The Unitary Plan as the primary planning document for Auckland Council provides Objectives and Policies for Open Space Zones (H7.2 and H7.3). Objectives include meeting “recreational needs” for “passive and active activities”, while also ensuring “adverse effects of use ... on residents, communities and the environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated”.

Unitary Plan policies require that Open Spaces will be managed and maintained including to:

(a) provide for the needs of the wider community;
(b) use resources efficiently and where appropriate be adaptable and multi-functional;
(c) provide for people of differing ages and abilities; and (f) reflect the natural heritage and landscape values of the area.

The sub-category of Sport and Active Recreation Zone describes appropriate uses including outdoor organised sports, active recreation and community activities with open spaces “also available for informal recreation activities such as walking, jogging and informal games when not used for sport and active recreation”.

Objectives for Sport and Active Recreation include that “outdoor sport and active recreation opportunities are provided for efficiently while avoiding or mitigating any significant adverse effects on nearby residents, communities and the surrounding areas”.

These clear statements of Auckland Council policy of providing for shared, efficient and balanced use of open spaces with regard to local communities and environments flow through clearly into the
current, adopted plans of the Orakei Local Board, strongly endorsed through a sound consultation process.

Five themes stand out from a review of the Unitary Plan and each of the documents listed:

- Sport and Recreation are different
- Both sport and recreation need to be accommodated for community benefit
- Diversity of users (age and activity) must be provided for wherever possible
- Shared and multi-use facilities optimise the benefits for the wider community
- Growing demand for community amenities comes from organised sport, the need for recreation and the pressure of intensification of housing

The Sports and Recreation Strategic Action Plan describes the difference between sport and recreation.

### 3.3 What do we mean by recreation and sport?

For the purposes of this action plan:

- Recreation is physical activity done for lifestyle, wellbeing, health and/or enjoyment. It includes play (age and stage appropriate development opportunities for young people), and active and outdoor recreation. It may be participated in either individually, with a group or as a team.

- Sport is physical activity that is competitive, organised, involves the observation of rules, and may be participated in either individually or as a team. It includes competitive sport taking place through clubs, events and national or international competitions.

The competing demands of sport and recreation have been well managed for many years, including since the conclusion of the Reserve Management Plan ("Masterplan") in 2011. That Plan considered sports grounds across Madill’s Farm, Glover Park and Crossfield Reserve and was extensively consulted on prior to adoption. In fact, the Local Board won an award for the high quality of the consultation involved. The themes reflected in the Masterplan include the five noted above.

The Masterplan refers to increasing pressure on sports grounds and the need (in 2011) to improve drainage and provide flood lighting to provide increased capacity (playing hours). The wider community interests were also noted:

"Madill’s Farm will be required to accommodate an increased capacity for organised sport, while also providing an important role as a local park for informal recreation activities at the park."

"The proposed Masterplan process will consider sports development opportunities while seeking to maintain landscape amenity values and informal recreation functions."

### Development of Madill’s Farm Reserve

#### Organised Sport

Consistent with the Masterplan, the sports fields at Madill’s Farm have been substantially improved – at substantial cost – with sand carpeting of all the sports fields and installation of six substantial flood-lighting towers. The fields now provide high standard facilities and extended playing time capacity for all of the organised sports that have enjoyed the facilities of Madill’s Farm for many years. The organised sports provided for in the Masterplan are football, cricket, and touch rugby plus Kohimarama Yacht Club occupying a storage facility.
The organised sports are described in the Masterplan consistently with community expectations of football accessing the sports fields in winter (from end of April through to end of August), cricket from October through to April and touch rugby from end of October until the end of February.

**Active Recreation**

Active recreation can be observed at almost any time of day with mornings and evenings a focus for walkers, joggers and dog exercise with attendant social interaction. Weekends when organised sport is in progress see the sports fields well used for informal family games of cricket, football, touch rugby and many other family leisure activities.

Sports fields effectively blend with their immediate surrounding environment and the playground to create attractive open spaces and a diverse environment which, as a whole, makes Madill’s Farm a highly desirable and valued community amenity.

**Community Amenity**

The efforts of the Orakei Local Board with the support of the residents of Orakei Ward have seen a significant upgrading of Madill’s Farm since the 2011 Masterplan. Strong community alignment with Orakei Local Board and the engagement and appreciation of the amenity value of Madill’s Farm was evident from reaction to recent proposals to permit freedom camping. A total of 261 submissions (almost 10% of submissions from all of Auckland) related to Orakei with almost all opposing. Nearly half of those (102) specifically expressed concerns for the preservation of Madill’s Farm. Opposition to freedom camping and the threat it posed to the continued standard of upkeep and enjoyment of Madill’s Farm was commented on in the Auckland Council’s report following consultation.

The new risk to Madill’s Farm as a community amenity arises in part from the success of Orakei Local Board in bringing the reserve up to its present high standard.

**Future of Madill’s Farm Reserve**

During consultation on the proposed Masterplan, the Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club Inc (ESAFC) lobbied for an artificial turf playing surface and upgraded clubrooms. The Masterplan rejected the artificial turf request, recording that:

"There is however strong local resistance to the installation of artificial turf in these reserves as is indicated in the survey results, particularly for Madill’s Farm. The main reasons for the opposition are centred around legitimate concerns, including:

- the ‘unnatural’ qualities of the surfacing;
- the loss of premium open space by the local community to a single user group and the intensification of sporting use to the detriment of other users;
- effects on the ambience of the park;
- amenity and visual effects of associated fencing detracting from open space qualities and concerns relating to the potential associated signage; and"
• threat to the existing cricket pitches.

Weighing up the various requirements, it is considered that the effects of the intensification of use and changes to the character and quality of the artificial surfacing would bring is not seen as appropriate in these reserves.”

These legitimate concerns are just as valid today as when they were assessed by the Orakei Local Board and Auckland Council planners in 2011.

Although there was no allocated funding at the time, the Masterplan approved “improved flood lighting at Madill’s Farm (with time restrictions) and the upgrade of the changing facilities”. Six large flood lighting arrays have since been installed and are used throughout winter to facilitate additional football training.

ESAFC’s plans for an upgrade of the club rooms are unknown, but the potential scale was foreshadowed by a proposal earlier this year, referred to below.

As the Orakei Local Board considers next year its review and update of the statutory three-year plan, Friends of Madill’s wishes to place on record:

• a strong view that the improvements to Madill’s Farm completed in accordance with the Masterplan, have addressed the legitimate concerns in 2011 of ESAFC;
• Madill’s Farm in its current, well maintained state provides high quality facilities for multiple user groups for organised sport and retains a balance with the important amenity value to the community of open space for recreation; and
• its concerns about the possible direction and scale of development which may be sought by ESAFC.

ESAFC Aspirations

The club’s website states its vision, “To become the club of choice in Auckland…..”.

The club claims over 2,600 members and to be experiencing rapid growth. It is in good financial health; “our financial turnover is now that of a small non-profit organisation”. The club employs 3 full time administration staff, 1 part time finance manager, 3 full time coaches and numerous part time coaches, all based in Madill’s Farm clubrooms. (Source: ESAFC website)

In contrast to the reasonably expected winter activity of a local amateur football club for the past 85 years and following its success in a Premiership national competition last year, ESAFC has clearly set its sights on a new level of performance and intensity.

During 2019 the intensification of use of Madill’s Farm has grown exponentially. The traditional programme of a winter season with weekend games and afterwork/school mid-week training has evolved into what appears to be a year-round level of activity at Madill’s Farm with training currently occurring most mornings and late afternoon/evenings as well as the weekend matches. In addition, but by invitation only, a Summer Academy (October to April) and a Summer Development
Programme (October to December) are operating. For the first time this year, ESAFC is planning to host nine premiership matches over the summer from 3 November to 29 March, all on Sunday afternoons – a regular prime time for residents’ recreational activity on Madill’s Farm.

The club has become a significant, profitable business. ESAFC Inc filed accounts to 30 September 2017 (the latest filed with the Registrar as at 30 November 2019) record income of $1,427,599 (2016) and $1,395,602 (2017) and total equity of $1,188,491 (2016) and $375,154 (2017). The substantial drop in equity for 2017 follows a charitable donation to Lily Whites Charitable Trust of $500,000 and payment to the Chairman (for administrative work and general management) of $120,000.

Friends of Madill’s takes no issue with any community-based organisation having high aspirations, achieving success and operating on a stable financial basis – ESAFC deserves to be congratulated for its ideals and its commitment to success.

However there comes a point at which any growing organisation outgrows its environment, whether that is a market, a building or an open space. Friends of Madill’s considers that ESAFC has reached a tipping point from which further growth within the environment of Madill’s Farm Reserve is not appropriate. The scale and intensity of current use are beyond what the wider community of users of Madill’s Farm should reasonably expect from a local, amateur sports club and those other users are incrementally being driven out. Extended playing and training hours, fields cordoned off to protect the surface, equipment storage outside the designated areas and occasional blocked access all encroach on the use by others.

Early in 2019, ESAFC proposed to its members, plans for dramatically enlarged clubrooms and associated facilities, although no final plan has yet been published as far as we are aware. If the draft plans were indicative of intent, then the scale would dramatically compromise the amenity of Madill’s Farm Reserve.

The Masterplan recommended that “the club be allowed some limited expansion which should be contained within the existing footprint of the buildings and service yard area”. Such an approach would serve the needs of ESAFC and retain the amenity of the open space for the wider user group. Friends of Madill’s supported and continues to support that approach.

There is a danger in allowing scope creep beyond what is reasonably needed. A substantial investment by ESAFC and/or the Orakei Local Board in a larger building will quickly be followed by demands to allow further intensity of use to justify the cost; that in turn will result in more events, more commercial undertakings and renewed calls for further “upgrade” of the playing areas, designated car parking, installation of grandstands and fencing, and advertising hoarding to support sponsorship arrangements.

Beyond that there is the very real potential that the sport’s governing bodies (i.e. Auckland Football, NZ Football or FIFA) will place further requirements around lighting, security, advertising and fencing.
of playing areas. Where would that leave ESAFC and the Orakei Board? Write off the sunk cost and relocate the club or accept that a write-off is not efficient and surrender more of a community asset to a single user group and in doing so irrevocably destroy the ambience of a valued, green open space?

ESAFC already enjoys access to 5 playing fields and 1 training field at Madill’s Farm, 4 playing fields and 2 training fields at Crossfield Reserve and 3 playing fields and 6 training fields at Glover Park; a total of 12 playing fields and 9 training fields. They have plenty of open space – the community needs its space open too.

**A Better Solution**

In addition, ESAFC has access to and uses, the purpose built (albeit partially completed) facility at Ngahue Reserve (also within Orakei Ward) which provides the artificial playing surfaces, new club rooms and permanent grandstands of the type that ESFAC sought in 2011.

This space is adjacent to Auckland Netball Centre Inc, Tennis Auckland Region Inc and Colin Maiden Park (rugby, cricket and hockey) all of which forms part of the Orakei Local Boards “sport hub” Masterplan (4 August 2016) and with room for expansion, such a facility must not be replicated at Madill’s Farm.

The Orakei Local Board will be very familiar with the debacle surrounding Oceania Football Confederation’s development at Ngahue Reserve, but nevertheless it suggests an opportunity to be explored (along with other options) in the interests of balancing community and sports use of the open space sports field assets within the governance control of Orakei Local Board.
Conclusion

Madill’s Farm is a delightful, well-used, well-kept and highly valued public resource enjoyed by many and diverse members of the community for organised sport and for individual and family recreation.

The community and ratepayers generally have invested substantial sums in the development, upgrade and maintenance of Madill’s Farm. It must be required, in accordance with the law and current Auckland Council and Orakei Local Board planning, to continue to be available for recreational use alongside organised sport.

Further development involving building or construction of permanent, non-natural fixtures or surfaces cannot easily be reversed and would deprive the community of their rights of access to a valued public open space.

The intent of the Reserves Act, Auckland Council and Orakei Local Board plans and policies is clear:

- recreation reserves are to provide areas for recreation and sporting activities and enjoyment of the public with emphasis on the retention of open spaces and outdoor recreational activities;
- qualities of the reserve which contribute to the pleasantness, harmony and cohesion of the natural environment and to the better use and enjoyment of the reserve shall be conserved;
- meeting recreational needs for passive and active activities, while also ensuring adverse effects of use on residents, communities and the environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated;
- outdoor sport and active recreation opportunities are to be provided for efficiently while avoiding or mitigating any significant adverse effects on nearby residents, communities and the surrounding areas; and
- Madill’s Farm will be required to accommodate organised sport, while also providing an important role as a local park for informal recreation activities at the park and maintaining landscape amenity values.

The Orakei Local Board is doing an exceptional job of balancing these competing requirements. Once that balance is lost, the beauty of our natural resource will be lost for good.
The setting aside of Nehu Reserve for the exclusive use of CB Civil and Drainage for the purpose of layup base for its contract with Auckland Council for stormwater separation in Orakei.

Synopsis of Statement to be made

*This is apparently the biggest public works project in Orakei in a decade and likely for a decade
*No issue with the project itself
*No issue with the role elected representatives (OLB) have played so far
*Major issue with the way Auckland Council staff in Community Facilities Department and Healthy Waters handled

*Notification to and involvement with residents and persons affected
*The decision making process whereby Nehu Reserve was selected for the construction layup
*The decision itself, which I say is wrong
*The failure to notify or obtain the consent of Orakei Local Board (OLB) itself as landowner, a relying on delegated authority from OLB to AC
  and obtained AFTER works on Nehu Reserve commenced

Time Line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 July</td>
<td>CB Civil asks AC Community Facilities to use part of Orakei Domain for laydown area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 July</td>
<td>AC Community Facilities talks to AC Healthy Waters “to discuss options”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 August</td>
<td>AC Community Facilities tells AC Healthy Waters to make LOA Application to use Nehu Reserve layup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 October</td>
<td>AC Healthy Waters makes LOA Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 October</td>
<td>Residents notified of start of stormwater project (but not nature of use of Nehu Reserve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-28 October</td>
<td>Labour Weekend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 October</td>
<td>Groundbreaking Ceremony on Nehu Reserve and first indication of proposed use of Nehu Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 October</td>
<td>Construction work for layup actually commences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 November</td>
<td>My first email to AC, CB Civil, Councillor Simpson, and Local Board Member Davis que decision and raising issues and asking for the decision to be reversed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My request for this to be dealt with as Extraordinary Business at Inaugural Meeting of OLB inaugural meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date of Consent by AC Community Facilities to AC Healthy Water to use Nehu Reserve layup</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments

*Project Okahu-the notice residents were given on 24 October
*My email to AC and others of 30 October
*Email of AC (Adam Milina) to me of 4 November
*AC plan of rollout of works in order of catchments. The black lines are mine and show where public drains presumed to go
*Google map (Updated) relating to AC Civil drain options
Outcomes I seek

*That OLB revoke all delegated authority to the Chief Executive currently in place pending a review

*That OLB reviews what its expectations are of AC involving decisions that are properly to be made by OLB; decisions which might be made if delegated authority were in place

*That if new delegated authority is put in place in favour of the Chief Executive, it is specific in nature not so as to define how and in what circumstances delegated authority may be used; the default position being that the decisions and AC must refer those to OLB, and if delegated authority is exercised “AC must for the exercise of delegated authority and the subject matter thereof”

*That a proper review is made of the decision to allow Nenu Reserve to be used for the layup, allowing in by any outside party and involving an independent person, to assess if the decision was the correct one in circumstances, or if it was the wrong one in all the circumstances, or more importantly if the decision was incompetently or improperly

Craig Ewing
0274782760
craig.ewing@shieffangland.co.nz
46 Rautara Street
Orakei
Project Ōkahu

Blessing marks the start of construction of major stormwater improvement project

Work is about to begin on the $15.5 million stormwater and wastewater upgrade to reduce sewage overflows and improve water quality and swimability of Ōkahu Bay.

To mark the start of construction, local residents are invited to a blessing and ground-breaking ceremony:

When: 9.00am on Tuesday, 29 October
Where: Corner of Nehu Street and Coates Avenue

We have appointed CB Civil and Drainage as our contractor for physical works. After the ceremony, CB Civil and Drainage will present a brief overview of the project.

Timings

Indicative timings of when and where the site crews will be working is provided for your reference on the last page. Residents will be contacted in good time by the contractor so they know when construction is going to be outside their property. Permission will also be sought when the contractors need to enter a property to do separation and upgrade work.

A traffic management plan will be put in place with changes well marked as required with the aim of minimising disruption.

Locals who have any queries about the physical works can talk direct to CB Civil on 0508 OKAHUSW (652487) or email: okahusw@cbcivil.co.nz

Funded by the water quality targeted rate, Project Ōkahu is led by Auckland Council’s Healthy Waters, in partnership with Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei and Watercare.

More information

If you would like to receive our newsletter updates via email or if you have any project related queries please email okahubay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

Find out more: visit aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/okahubay

Watercare

Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei
Craig Ewing

From: Craig Ewing
Sent: Wednesday, 30 October 2019 11:38 AM
To: okahusw@cbcivil.co.nz
Subject: Re: Nehu Reserve-mobilisation by CB Civil for stormwater separation project-
Process of decision making by Auckland Council

The four intended recipients of this email were you, okahubay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz, Councillor Desley Simpson, and Local Board Member Colin Davis. The email to recipients together would not go through so I had to send it individually. I have no beef with you, and I consider that you have been disadvantaged by the decision making process within Auckland Council. I am looking to have the decision reversed so that you can set up and mobilise in Orakei Reserve. If Auckland Council can make a prompt decision I am sure you can change your plans and by having your base in Orakei Reserve I am sure you will save costs on plant, vehicle, and people movements given Orakei Reserve fronts all the major worksites you have.
Craig Ewing

From: Craig Ewing
Sent: Wednesday, 30 October 2019 11:07 AM
To: okahusw@cbcivil.co.nz <okahusw@cbcivil.co.nz>
Subject: Nehu Reserve-mobilisation by CB Civil for stormwater separation project-Process of decision making by Auckland Council

Dear Ms Simpson and Mr Davis,
I spoke to you both on Nehu Reserve yesterday. Since then, I have been motivated to consider how and why the decision was made to hand over Nehu Reserve to CB Civil for 14-18 months, what the process was, what considerations were or were not taken into account, and the consequences of the process and the decision.

This morning, I drove around Reihana Street and Orakei Reserve. This is the site where contractors set up and mobilised for the recent sewage piping project incorporating the removal of the pipe across Hobson Bay. It is a truly vast area, able to accommodate all sorts of activities (including the sailing regatta apparently occurring in November 2019). It has a large area of formed tarmac (suitable for machinery and storage of aggregates for example, portacoms and portaloos) and there is also the areas around the unused bowling club.

What I learned yesterday included the following

*the preferred area of CB Civil for setting up and mobilisation was the Orakei Domain area. It is obvious that this should be so. Orakei Domain is vast, has the facilities (like tarmac), less traffic and residential houses, no nearby schools, but most importantly is the closest area to all the areas where construction will be undertaken. This means vastly less machinery movements, less transportation of materials, and ultimately less cost

*Auckland Council would not allow use of Orakei Reserve on the grounds that there was a yachting regatta in Okahu Bay for four weeks in November/December (compared with a project taking 14-18 months), notwithstanding the obvious suitability of Orakei Reserve, and notwithstanding the obvious unsuitability of Nehu Reserve.
*setup in Nehu Reserve is going to cost $70,000 approx. CB Civil, presumably because it is Nehu Reserve, have to fence off their part with deer-type fencing (not the mobile fencing you saw yesterday), have to lay a matting over the grass to separate soil from aggregate from re-collection 200mm deep that will be laid over presumably their entire area. This is take the weight of spoil that is apparently brought there daily, to enable storage of aggregate used to surround piping, storage of machinery, and siting of Portacoms and Portaloos. At the end of the project, the cost of reinstatement will also be substantial.

*the work that is going to be undertaken in Nehu Reserve will be continuous, extensive, heavy, and disruptive.

*The decision by Auckland Council to require CB Civil to set up and mobilise in Nehu Reserve was within the last two months.

*Necessarily, the Local Board of Auckland Council had to give its consent as landowner. Mr Davis could not recall that consent being asked for or given. He thought that the Chairman could have given consent, and was going to check that. But it will be minuted, and their will be a process for the giving of consent and the appropriate grounds for doing so.

*Affected local residents were only told about the use of Nehu Reserve for setting up and mobilisation by CB Civil on 24 October when a CB Civil representative came round with a flyer. Then came Labour Weekend then came the groundbreaking yesterday!!! This is not good enough.

I don’t think that it is too late to reverse the decision and allow CB Civil to set up and mobilise in Oraeki Reserve. I expect all parties to look into this forthwith and with urgency and with an open mind. I would like all parties to come back to me on this within 24 hours.

Longer term, I would like to
*meet with the Parks and Reserves person responsible for the decision, to ascertain the factors and criteria that were and were not considered in the decision.
*meet with the Local Board (Kit Parkinson?) to ascertain whether or not, how, and when the decision was made as landowner to give consent to the use of Nehu Reserve for this purpose, with the consequences, and for the duration required, and to see what should be written minutes
*actually see some kind of written report or cost/benefit analysis that would/should have been done to support the decision by Parks and Reserves to require setting up and mobilisation in Nehu Reserve.

When I get a response and what response I get will colour what I do next, so I have great expectations of a prompt and considered response from each party, particularly the Auckland Council parties as I consider CB Civil has also been disadvantaged by the Auckland Council decision making process.

Yours Faithfully
Craig Ewington
46 Rautau Street, Orakei
5281345 0274782760
Attachment A

Item 8.2

Re: Orakei Local Board Meeting on Monday 4 November at 6pm.-Extraordinary Business

Craig Ewing	
Mon 4/11/2019 4:55 PM

Adam Millina <Adam.Millina@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>, Kim Lawgun <Kim.Lawgun@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz> + 2 others

With respect, now that I reread the Agenda I do not think that that will be your call to make. You are Chairman until you hand over to the incoming Local Board Chairman, and Extraordinary Business occurs after that and at the end. I will be there to introduce myself. The new Chairman can handle it.

You are the first person to say Landowner consent was obtained, even if "the process was not perfect". Please have available tonight evidence of consent and explanation of the imperfect process.

I too think this major infrastructure project is important. But you, like everybody else so far, have avoided answering the issues I have raised

* CB Civil wanted to use Orakei Reserve
* Auckland Council refused on the grounds involving the yacht regatta
* Orakei Reserve is the better area for the purposes of the project
* Costs actually will be less if Orakei Reserve is used
* Auckland Council is avoiding giving me information which ordinarily it should and in instances must share.

Craig Ewington

From: Adam Millina <Adam.Millina@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Sent: Monday, 4 November 2019 4:38 PM
To: Craig Ewington <Craig.Ewington@shieffland.co.nz>
Cc: Kim Lawgun <Kim.Lawgun@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: Orakei Local Board Meeting on Monday 4 November at 6pm.-Extraordinary Business

Dear Mr Ewington,

Thank you for your email today regarding the landowner approval for works on Nahu Reserve to enable the Okahu Bay stormwater separation project.

I understand your concerns about the use of Nahu Triangle but can advise that of the various sites considered for use as a temporary work site, this reserve is the most appropriate. I can assure you that landowner approval is in place under the correct delegation, however note that the process was not perfect.

Given that the new Local Board is only being inaugurated today, staff have been progressing this project during a period of time where one Local Board term ended with a new one commencing this evening, which has led to some challenges. Staff have acknowledged that neighbours could have been notified of the works much earlier and that they will now endeavour to keep residents better informed about the project as it progresses.

In addition to the current interregnum delegations, the Orakei Local Board members elect have considered the situation and, given the importance of this major infrastructure project to the wider Orakei community and its surrounding catchment, believe it prudent to continue with the works as planned, using the Nahu Triangle Reserve as the contractor's work site subject to conditions to reduce impact on the land and surrounding residents. Any delays to the project would incur considerable costs to ratepayers and impact on the delivery of this important local project.

In light of my advice above there is no reason for the Board to consider an item of extraordinary business this evening, but thank you for your interest in this matter.

Kind regards,

Adam Millina | Relationship Manager Albert-Eden and Orakei
Ph 021 449 203
Auckland Council, Level 27, 135 Albert Street, Auckland
Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

From: Craig Ewington <Craig.Ewington@shieffland.co.nz>
Sent: Sunday, November 3, 2019 12:30 PM
To: Kim Lawgun <Kim.Lawgun@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: Colin Davis (Orakei Local Board) <Colin.Davis@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Sri Pulla <Sri.Pulla@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: Orakei Local Board Meeting on Monday 4 November at 6pm.-Extraordinary Business

---

Minute Attachments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catchment</th>
<th>Public network</th>
<th>Private network separation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Apr 20 – Sep 20</td>
<td>Apr 20 – Oct 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Aug 20 – Sep 20</td>
<td>Jan 20 – Apr 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Mar 20 – Jul 20</td>
<td>Apr 20 – Sep 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Mar 20 – Jun 20</td>
<td>Apr 20 – Sep 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Feb 20 – Apr 20</td>
<td>Apr 20 – Oct 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Jan 20 – Mar 20</td>
<td>Nov 19 – Jan 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Nov 19 – Feb 20</td>
<td>Nov 19 – Apr 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Feb 20 – May 20</td>
<td>Sep 20 – Nov 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Jun 20 – Jul 20</td>
<td>Sep 20 – Oct 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I, H, F, E, D, B, L, C — order of works
Meadowbank & St Johns Resident's Association

Waiautara Reserve Enhancement Plan Submission
Overview of the Situation

- We are happy for Waiatarua Reserve to be an off-leash dog area, and believe there is more than enough green space there for everyone that wants to use it.

- This proposal would have little, if any, impact on dog walking in the reserve.
Off-Leash Dog Walking Area Definition

“A place shared with other users, where dogs may be off a leash but must still be under control at all times.”

Control your dog around other people

What some see as playful but harmless behaviour, others may see as out of control behaviour.

If your dog jumps on someone, some people might not mind but others might get frightened.

As a dog owner, you need to ensure your dog does not cause anyone distress.

- Prevent your dog from running up to and jumping up on people.
- Supervise your dog closely around children.

Source: https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/dogs-animals/problems-dogs/Pages/control-your-dog.aspx
What We Want To See More Of:
How Much Space We Propose
Where Could it Be?
Ultimate Aim for Waiatarua Reserve

Our ultimate aim would be having the whole reserve as a space that families and dogs can enjoy. This could be achieved through dog owners simply following the rules, which is not always happening. Our ultimate aim is not for Waiatarua Reserve to be the #1 off leash dog area in Auckland but an area that 100% of our households can enjoy, not just the 16% that own dogs.
MBSJRA Presentation to Orakei Local Board on Waiatarua Reserve Enhancement Plan
Thursday 19 September 2019

Slide 1

We are happy for Waiatarua Reserve to be an off-leash dog area, and believe there is more than enough green space there for everyone that wants to use it. But with backyards shrinking, obesity statistics rising, and physical and mental health worsening, we need to find ways to increase the amount of time our children spend outside and ensure they grow to value our environment. We are here today to request a small a small area of the Reserve be set aside for outdoor recreation and play where dogs must be on-leash.

We believe the reserve is too valuable a green space to be solely dedicated to our four-legged friends. We want the 84% of households who don’t own dogs to be able to enjoy the reserve too.

Slide 2

The reserve is one of over 2,500 spaces in Auckland and 39 in Orakei Ward listed as off-leash dog walking areas, which is defined as: “a place shared with other users, where dogs may be off a leash but must still be under control at all times.” Waiatarua Reserve is not a “designated dog exercise area”.

Slide 3

In Auckland 21% of the population is under 15 years old. Our discussions with residents with children show that other than the small playground, the reserve is not well used by this group due to the presence of dogs, and examples of dogs intimidating children, puncturing balls, grabbing sticks, biting bike wheels and interfering with play are numerous. The small playground area is not large enough for anything other than playing on the provided play equipment, but its popularity demonstrates that people want to get outside with their children in Waiatarua Reserve.

We want our residents to be able to go to the Reserve to meet other families to socialise or picnic sitting on the ground, kick a ball around, play some backyard cricket, explore the natural play areas the reserves offers – climbing trees, playing with sticks, being curious about insects, birdlife, habitats and the
seasonal changes. We want young children to be able to safely explore and for older children to be able to go there independently with their friends and have a good time out of the house and away from screens.

**Slide 4**

The area needed could be just a small part of the 16ha of open meadows in the reserve. We’ve included Meadowbank School’s senior field as a size comparison here.

**Slide 5**

The flat area at the eastern end of the park off Grand Drive is the prime location for such a recreation area. As shown by this flood zone map, the area is not flood prone and observations show that the area is impressively dry even after heavy rain. Pushing out the fenced area at the current playground into the large, flat, adjacent open space could also be an option.

**Slide 6**

Our ultimate aim would be having the whole reserve as a space that families and dogs can enjoy. This could be achieved through dog owners simply following the rules, which is unfortunately not happening at present. Our aim is for Waiatarua Reserve to be an area that 100% of our households can enjoy, not just the 16% that own dogs.

I’ll end with this quote from Our Auckland’s September edition: “The future is a heavy burden but it does rely on our young people caring for the planet. And you can only care if you’ve actually spent time in nature, valuing nature.”

Please consider setting aside an area of the reserve so our residents to make the most of having such a fantastic natural area right on our doorstep.

**Other information perhaps useful for questions**

“Now more than ever, the planet needs environmental heroes to lead the way to a sustainable future.” – Our Auckland September edition.

The Enviroschools programme aims to install kaitiakitanga or guardianship of the land. The planned enviro centre shows that the OLB recognises the reserve’s importance as a teaching space.
“There’s growing recognition that teaching children values such as kaitiakitanga by getting them involved in planting days, clean ups and nature walks is just as important as direct conservation action.” – Our Auckland September edition.

“The future is a heavy burden but it does rely on our young people caring for the planet. And you can only care if you’ve actually spent time in nature, valuing nature.” – Our Auckland September edition.

Obesity is a huge problem in New Zealand and we are the 3rd fattest country in the world. The NZ Health Survey 2017/18 found that 67% of adults and 32% of children were overweight or obese.

Public consultation on the plan in July and August included a questionnaire. While there was a specific question on a dog agility course thus ensuring that facilities for dogs were front of mind, there was no specific question on improving the playground so it is a useable recreation space. As a result a dog agility course was front of mind when answering questions.

In Auckland the number of households with registered dogs is 16%, which means that 84% of households do not own dogs.

According to 2013 census 19% of the usually resident population of Meadowbank and St John is aged under 15, and that figure is even bigger at nearly 21% for all of Auckland. Why are dogs allocated 16ha and children perhaps ¼ ha?

The park is big enough to provide a space that people can go without being surrounded by dogs, somewhere that young people and families can go in the reserve where they don’t have to keep a watch out for dog activities. Somewhere where they can enjoy activities that are not compatible with off leash dogs e.g. picnics, ball games, very young children doing whatever they like.
MBSJRA Presentation to Ōrākei Local Board 5 Dec 2019

Points to note on proposed Waiatarua Reserve family recreation area

1. We support the Waiatarua Reserve Protection Society’s vision as noted in their incorporated society application, in particular Object 1 “Protect the Waiatarua Reserve wetlands for the enjoyment of the neighbouring residents and visitors”.

2. We support off-leash dog walking in the reserve and believe that owner’s ability to walk their dogs and enjoy the off-leash amenities that the reserve offers, will not be materially affected by our proposal.

3. The MBSJRA works to support the wishes of all residents in our suburbs, including both residents who choose to own dogs and those that choose not to.

4. Auckland Council data shows that approximately 16% of Auckland households are dog owners, and 84% do not own dogs. Our proposal seeks approval for approximately 0.3ha out of the 1.6ha currently available in grasslands (excluding the central wildlife area) or 0.6% of the total reserve area.

5. The main thrust of our proposal is future focussed. Recently subdivided properties in Grand Dr and the apartments to be build above the community centre are examples of continuing housing intensification in our area. As backyards continue to shrink, the need for local family-friendly recreation spaces will continue to increase.

6. We also believe that as the importance of preserving our existing green spaces is better understood, we also need to maximise the degree to which we utilise the green spaces that we have.

7. The current version of the draft Enhancement Plan already includes provision for this family recreation area and usefully suggests mare hupara activity area, which we fully support.

8. The proposed site in the north east corner of the reserve is the driest spot in the reserve, so is most able to be used year-round, unlike the flood prone area in front of the existing playground area.

9. Due to the natural exuberance of dogs, activities such as picnics, ball games, playing with sticks etc are not always compatible with off-leash dogs. Residents have told us of the difficulties and sometimes frightening encounters they have experienced with off-leash dogs that owners do not have under control. This is why we have requested that the proposed area be designated an on-leash dog area.
11 June 2019

Feedback to Auckland Transport’s proposed scheme plan for Gowing Drive

Background

The Meadowbank & St Johns Residents Association (MSJRA) welcomes Auckland Transport’s proposed scheme plan for Gowing Drive. The MSJRA has been aware of ongoing community concern for the speed of traffic, a lack of safe crossings and poor visibility at intersections on Gowing Drive and has raised its concern with Auckland Transport and with Ōrākei Local Board on many occasions since the MSJRA was formed. A fatality on Gowing Drive in January 2019, along with injuries to ten others in the same accident, has been a major catalyst for strengthening the resolve of community to genuinely address local concerns for safety. As a consequence, MSJRA hosted Auckland Transport officers at a public meeting in February to hear the concerns of residents for urgent improvements to road safety for Gowing Drive. This was further underlined by MSJRA in its submission to Auckland Transport’s Safer Speeds consultation that recommended the speed limit for Gowing Drive be reduced to 30km.

Discussion

MSJRA’s response to the draft scheme plan is a culmination of many referrals made by community to the Association to assist in highlighting traffic and pedestrian safety concerns for Gowing Drive; to address issues of traffic speed, lack of safe crossings and poor visibility.

Key principles supporting the community response:

- Safety for the most vulnerable, i.e. children, physically disabled and the elderly
- Encouraging walking and cycling for short local trips
- Enabling equitable and safe access to public facilities for all
- Future-proofed interventions

In reviewing the proposed scheme plan with local community, a series of points were noted:

- The largest proportion of Gowing Drive traffic enters Gowing Drive at the Dorchester Road intersection with St Johns Road and within a 100m of the intersection, car speeds are averaging 50km/h at the 85th percentile
- Traffic throughout the majority of Gowing Drive continues to average above 55km (i.e. 10% above the speed limit) at the 85th percentile
- Shifting the pair of bus stops away from the Tipene St intersection to #37 and #72 Gowing Drive provides extra safety for commuters
- Removing the pair of bus stops adjacent to #143 and #216 is a pragmatic solution, due to the relative proximity of other bus stops on Gowing Drive and St Johns Road
- Introduction of four pairs of side islands provide the pinch points that slow traffic, but what about cyclists and children and others, including elderly, wanting to cross the road to reach schools, bus stops, shops and public facilities?
- A proposed link between Gowing Drive and the Glen Innes to Tamaki Shared Pathway needs to be integrated
- Requests to remove trees and shrubs to improve visibility on the Gowing Drive and Archdall Street corner have not been addressed
- Removing parking near intersections will provide better visibility, but could just as easily increase traffic speeds by widening the perceived carriageway

Recommendations

On behalf of community, the MSJRA recommends the following:

Side islands

While generally supportive as a means of providing pinch points to slow traffic, the MSJRA notes in AT’s Code of Practice document, see: 12.8 Pram Crossings, that, “kerb build-outs do cause pinch points in the carriageway for cyclists. As such the use/investigation of alternative measures needs to be considered before recommending kerb build-outs”. In other words, MSJRA recommends that other options be considered, for example, a modified kerb build-out that provides a clear means for cyclists to pass between the footpath and the build out. An example of this is provided on page 32 of the Auckland Council’s Local Path Design Guide, see: Diagram 6 - Pinch Point.

Pedestrians

The MSJRA is disappointed that the draft scheme plan does not provide a pedestrian crossing at any point. Community response is strong on this feature of the draft plan. The MSJRA strongly recommends that a raised level pedestrian crossing be built in place of the side islands proposed adjacent to #7 and #30 Gowing Drive. This in part reflects a clear deficiency of kerb build-outs; they hinder the walking of young children (or those on scooters) and parents pushing prams and those physically disabled and/or in wheelchairs. In effect, kerb build-outs are not safe or suitable for the most vulnerable of our community. A pedestrian crossing adjacent to #7 and #30 Gowing Drive provides far safer pedestrian access for:

- Children and parents walking to and from local primary schools; this will support the use of a walking school bus as well as lead to a reduction in vehicular congestion at the Temple St/Lucia Glade entrance-way to Mt Carmel Primary School, which serves the majority of the school’s roll,
and acts as a significant transit point to and from Meadowbank Primary School
- Family groups walking or using scooters to and from a popular picnic spot, Scout hall and playground at Rutherford Reserve on Archdall Street
- Residents or visitors with physical disabilities, e.g. with wheelchairs or walking sticks or other aids
- Residents walking to the dairy and popular food outlets on the busy corner of Dorchester Road and St Johns Road, or to the Meadowbank shopping centre
- Commuters taking buses from either St Johns Road or Gowing Drive
- Visitors attending Purewa Cemetery or walking through the Cemetery’s park

The site of the kerb build-out between Rutherford Terrace and Archdall Street is also the section of Gowing Drive that is most used by pedestrians and those attempting to cross the road safely. As well as making Gowing Drive safer for the most vulnerable pedestrians, building a safe, raised level pedestrian crossing at this point addresses the issue of equity of access to public facilities that is the fundamental guideline of Universal Design adopted in Auckland Council’s Auckland Design Manual, see: What is Universal Design?

Removal of car parks

The scheme plan proposes the removal of 79 car parks to improve visibility or make way for the installation of kerb build-outs or provide for the relocation of a pair of bus stops. However, it’s the view of the community that removal of too many car parks will encourage excessive traffic speeds which is counter-productive to the road safety improvements that AT, MSJRA and the community are striving for. The MSJRA recommends that AT give consideration to reducing the removal of car parks at these sections of Gowing Drive:

- 1 Rutherford Drive – two parks retained
- 23 & 25 Gowing Drive – one park retained
- 57 Gowing Drive – up to four parks retained
- 75 Gowing drive – up to two parks retained
- 1 Ripon Cres – two parks retained
- 89 & 91 Gowing Drive – up to four parks retained
- 18 Worchester Street – up to six parks retained
- 178 Gowing Drive – two car parks replace bus stop removed
- 137, 139 & 141 Gowing Drive – up to six car parks retained
- 143 Gowing Drive - two car parks replace bus stop removed

Future-proofing

MSJRA is an advocate for the ongoing development of the shared pathway between Glen Innes and Tamaki Drive. An early design element of the shared pathway is a connection between John Rymer Place and Gowing Drive that will enable residents, including school students, to cycle and walk between the Gowing Drive valley and Kohimarama. This access way will particularly benefit those wanting to get to and from Selwyn College and the East Ridge Shopping Centre. Equally, a connection at this point enables Kohimarama residents to far more easily access the Meadowbank
Train platform and Eastern train line travelling between the CBD and Manukau. MSJRA recommends that allowance be made for the building of a raised level pedestrian crossing in the general proximity of #92 or #110a Gowing Drive that will provide access to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Shared Pathway and in turn, the schools and shops of Kohimarama as well as provide easier access to the Eastern train line for others.

**Meadowbank & St Johns Residents Association**

June 2019
OLB Meeting 5 December 2019

Gowing Drive Traffic Safety Scheme – Supplementary

One of the focus areas of our Residents Association is transport. And one of the higher profile issues we deal with is pedestrian safety. A touchstone project for us is improving pedestrian safety on Gowing Drive – an inner city speedway, the Meadowbank.

When raising concerns with Auckland Transport to address issues such as pedestrian safety on Gowing Drive, we generally focus on the issues and leave it to the transport and road safety experts, AT, to come up with a solution.

Following a fatality on Gowing Drive in January, that also left others, including children, with various injuries, AT road engineers agreed to a public meeting to hear the concerns of our communities about traffic and pedestrian safety on Gowing Drive. Over the following months, a scheme plan has been prepared by AT and sent out for consultation.

However, while the plan includes four kerb build-puts and a reduction in paring to allow more space for buses, we don’t believe that the scheme plan goes far enough. Not addressed is a critical need to make the road safer to cross. Now making a road safer to cross isn’t just about reducing traffic speeds on the road and improving visibility, it’s also about putting in place a designated place to cross – a raised level pedestrian crossing. We recommend a crossing go in where AT has proposed a kerb build out, between intersections with Rutherford Terrace and Archdall St.

On behalf of the community, why do we need it:

- firstly, so parents and their children can safely walk to and from school
- secondly, so a walking school bus can be formed, that will join up with a existing walking school bus that takes children to Mt Carmel and Meadowbank Primary schools; parents also want their children, once they’re old enough, to be walking independently to school
- thirdly, to improve walking access to Purewa Cemetery gardens and in particular, Rutherford Reserve, with its playground, picnic and open areas and Scout Hall
- and fourth, to encourage active commuting, in essence, making it safer to cross Gowing Drive to walk to/from bus stops on Gowing Drive and on St Johns Road, as well as making it safer to walk into the shops on the St Johns Dorchester Road corner and further to the Meadowbank shops and facilities, including the soon to be constructed community centre
- and fifth – to encourage walking as a means of contributing to a healthier and more connected local community, particularly for children but for adults and others as well.

We need funding to build this pedestrian crossing and we believe it should be in the proposed scheme plan – AT suggest a raised level crossing much like what has been built on Meadowbank Road for families and children to get to and from Meadowbank Primary and Mt Carmel – which we pushed hard on over many months - would cost around $100-150 thousand. We think a pedestrian crossing should be part of the new scheme plan for Gowing Drive. And we think it’s a piece of infrastructure that we need for our community now. It’s a community need that is not going away.

We invite your questions and your expressions of support.
Hi Elizabeth,

Thanks for your note, I attach my background papers sent on to Auckland Council on 30th Oct 2019, and to the OL on 8th Nov 2019, while here is a brief summary as requested:

**Parnell Cricket Club**

NZ’s oldest cricket club established in 1858, over 40 NZ reps (male and female) since then including 4 current/recent BLACKCAPS, over 900 players in the 2019/20 season including 650 juniors (male and female), establishment of women’s premier and prem reserve teams over the past 3 seasons, top indoor facilities (Terry Jarvis Centre, opened in 2010), 11 outdoor lanes on Shore Rd and Bloodworth Park, top grass wickets, efficient club administration, Auckland Council upgraded the playing surface at Shore Rd in 2015, the adjacent Bloodworth Park is not being used at all in winter months due to poor drainage and the wet surface.

**Funding sought from Auckland Council**

We have recently provided an Expression of Interest to Auckland Council for funding via its Sport & Recreation Facility Investment Fund, please see attached. This relates to the upgrade of Bloodworth Park, adjacent to our PCC pavilion and Terry Jarvis indoor training centre at 32 Shore Rd, Remuera. We are seeking Auckland Council funding to upgrade Bloodworth Park to the same standard as Shore Rd (drainage, sand carpeting, levelling etc) and in return we propose building a 2-storey cricket pavilion on Bloodworth at Parnell CC’s cost (around $3.2 million plus GST). We have met with Eastern Suburbs Football Club recently who are very interested in utilising this park in our off season (April to Sept) while we have outlined a range of additional community benefits in relation to our new pavilion and the park itself.

Please pass on to the OL.

Thanks.

Regards

Craig Presland
Parnell CC Chairman
021 800 387
FACILITY PARTNERSHIP CANVAS (Stage 1 – Sport & Rec Facility Fund EOI)

1) NEED / OPPORTUNITY
   - The need or opportunity this partnership will address. Who has been involved in the proposal? Any discussion with elected members?
   - e.g. Reasons the facility is needed. Info about network gaps. How you know. Relationship to other facilities.
   - Representation in sector plans (e.g. sport codes plans or other representative body’s plans).
   - Comment on support from sector organisations.

2) INPUTS
   - The resources needed to address the gap / opportunity (overall, not just from the council)
   - e.g. use of land / building funds for new building or built asset. Plus maintenance and renewal. Funds or in-kind resources for staff or specialist expertise / equipment / materials. Other operating expenses (cleaning, marketing, ...
   - Access to ‘target participants’; time for partnering.
   - We’ll need some basic detail for each of the above (e.g. how much, hand, approx. number and size of spaces, how many staff, what professional services)

3) OUTPUTS
   - Facility and services / programmes that the investment will deliver compared to existing
   - e.g. New or changed spaces, new or enhanced services / assets, new delivery provider.
   - We’ll need some detail around each of the above (e.g. what types of programmes, how many hours of activity will be funded, approx. number of participants, what types of participants).

4) INTERMEDIATE OUTCOMES
   - How the facility users will benefit
   - e.g. At an individual level: increased fitness / health / wellbeing, new skills, improved social connections, more affordable access, employment.
   - How the participants will benefit
   - For community: increased participation for target groups, increased amenity and better use of places and spaces; reduced pressure on other facilities; stronger provider, more capable other benefits from council and community working in partnership (trust, efficiency, locally or culturally appropriate processes, etc).

5) LONG-TERM OUTCOMES
   - The long-term benefits for the wider community
   - e.g. Outcomes from a sector strategy like AAMRRP: “people are more active, more often”.
   - Outcomes from Auckland Plan – e.g. from belonging and Participation Theme: people feel they belong and are included, good health and wellbeing (reduced harm/disparities). Potentially others under Māori Identity and Wellbeing, Incomes and Place, Opportunity and Prosperity, Environment and Cultural Heritage, Transport and Access. May also be outcomes taken from “Planning Communities”, “I Am Auckland”, etc.

6) TARGET USERS
   - The intended users / potential users of the facility
   - e.g. low participation groups, emerging activities, high participation sports

7) FACILITY LOCATION / SITE
   - Location on site, if known

8) YOUR CONTRIBUTION
   - What your organisation(s) will bring to the partnership and the facility
   - e.g. land, facility, skills, connections, funding

9) PARTNER ORGANISATION(S)
   - About your organisation(s) – e.g. legal structure, affiliations, background, expertise

10) PARTNERSHIP OPERATING
    - Principles that guide your group for why and how you work together.
    - Proposed structure, key roles and responsibilities for each partner including the council.

11) PROJECT STATUS / TIMELINES
    - What’s happened in the project to date, next steps and anticipated timeline

12) ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (capital expenditure)
    - Approximately how much will it cost to get the facility up and running?

13) CAPITAL SECURED
    - Are capital funding or assets already secured for the project?

14) CAPITAL NEEDED / PLANNED
    - Are capital funding or assets still required? Where might they come from?

15) ESTIMATED ONGOING OPERATING COSTS
    - Approximately how much will it cost to run the facility?

16) REVENUE STREAMS
    - What income will the facility earn, and how?

17) OPERATING COSTS NEEDED / PLANNED
    - Is there a funding gap to cover the operating costs? How would this be met?

Facility Partnership Canvas for Stage 1 - 6.0 - 4 Sep 2019
ORAKI LOCAL BOARD
05 December 2019

Attachment A

PROJECT / FORUM / NAME: Auckland Cricket Club

CONTACT - NAME / EMAIL / PHONE: Craig Presland, PCC Chairman, craig.presland56@gmail.com, phone 021830187.

3) NEED / OPPORTUNITY

The Auckland Cricket Club (ACC), established in 1891 and PCC's oldest cricket club, has co-leased its current facilities with the Grammar Juniors Rugby Club (GJRC) at Shore Rd, Remuera, since 2001. Due to the Remuera and Karoanui Sports Community Charitable Trust, while the existing playing surface on Shore Rd is of a standard, ACC would now like to upgrade the adjacent Bloodworth Park to this same standard, involving full drainage, sand carpeting, leveling and re-grading of the playing surface as well as the addition of a new cricket wicket block (7 or 8 pitches wide).

At present Bloodworth Park is unable to be utilised for winter sports (so it is too wet from May through to Sept). ACC would like Bloodworth Park upgraded and sees the following benefits:

- Year-round use, summer and winter codes
- Summer, one new cricket oval enabled skills to accommodate men’s and women's senior cricket, along with 2 junior cricket fields, each with astro-turf pitches, at the western end of the park.
- Winter: 3 or 4 junior soccer / rugby fields.

2. New Cricket Pavilion

Once Bloodworth Park is upgraded ACC would like to build, at an average cost, a 2-storey cricket pavilion on Bloodworth Park, please see draft plans attached.

Please note that the upgrade of Bloodworth Park is included in the Auckland Cricket Association’s Regional Cricket Facilities Plan, while this project has the support of the Otaria Local Board following a presentation made to them in August 2018, and Nigel Whata following 2 presentations made to them in 2018 and 2019. I will be re-presenting our plans to the new Otaria Local Board (as elected recently) in early December 2019.

6) TARGET USERS

Cricket, soccer / rugby players, community groups.

7) YOUR CONTRIBUTION

ACC would fund its new pavilion at an estimated cost of $3.2 million. In addition ACC employs a director of cricket (year-round).

8) PARTNER ORGANISATION(S)

ACC has a highly capable board of 7 along with and Man Scott, Club President and Club Patron (the latter, Anne Simpson, having worked with PCC).

10) PARTNERSHIP OPERATIONS

Please refer to PCC strategic plan attached.

11) PROJECT STATUS / TIMELINES

ACC is hoping that Bloodworth Park can be upgraded by the end of 2019. Following that it would build its new pavilion (see attached design).
## Item 9.3

### 2) FACILITY LOCATION / SITE

Please see attached design and drawings.

and Club Administrator (9 months of the year), to manage and administer the club. These resources would extend to all interactions with community groups wishing to utilise our facilities.

the former Waitakere City and Auckland Council Sports and Recreation teams for over 3 decades.

We have also had close ties with the Ōrākei Local Board, Grammar Juniors Rugby, Eastern Suburbs Football and Auckland Cricket Association.

issue of the land accommodating the new pavilion, and owner of the pavilion. Auckland Council would remain the owner of the land (Bloodworth Park and Shore Rd). PCC would be seeking a longer term lease of the land on which its new pavilion was located.

and drawings, 3 alternative proposed sites.

### 3.2) ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (capital expenditure)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upgrade Bloodworth Park, $2.0m (Auckland Council’s cost)</th>
<th>3.3) CAPITAL SECURED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build new cricket pavilion: $3.2m plus GST (PCC’s cost)</td>
<td>PCC has drafted a business case for its new pavilion, we will submit this as part of Stage 2 with this funding application. At present we have over $100,000 in surplus cash in the bank while we would appoint a fundraising manager to raise the $3.2m plus GST, just as we did successfully when the adjacent Terry Sandin Centre was built 10 years ago (our 3 lane indoor training facility).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5) ESTIMATED ONGOING OPERATING COSTS

PCC has drafted a business case for its new pavilion, this including operating costs and revenue streams for its new pavilion once built, we will submit this as part of Stage 2 with this funding application.

In terms of Bloodworth Park itself Auckland Council’s ongoing operating costs would be similar to those at present (ground maintenance, grass mowing etc.).

### 3.6) REVENUE STREAMS

PCC has drafted a business case for its new pavilion, this including operating costs and revenue streams for its new pavilion once built, we will submit this as part of Stage 2 with this funding application.

In terms of Bloodworth Park itself Auckland Council would benefit financially from the new ground lease with PCC.

### 3.7) OPERATING COSTS NEEDED / PLANNED

In terms of the new pavilion additional operating costs would be met by additional revenue e.g. naming rights for the pavilion, additional sponsors, increased annual player subscriptions, sus hosting the pavilion to winter clubs and community groups.
Attachment A
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New Pavilion
Bloodworth Park
New Pavilion
Bloodworth Park
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New Pavilion
Bloodworth Park
New Pavilion
Bloodworth Park

Parnell Cricket Club
MARK SMITH
ARCHITECT
OLB public session - 5th December 2019

Tom Street

I represent ESAFC and we seek active Orakei Local Board support and guidance over this term for the development of Clubrooms and Changing facilities at Madills Farm.

I refer to the following Auckland Council plans:

1) Council Masterplan 2012

Thank you for the field upgrades and the lights to date. Remaining are the clubroom and changing facility upgrades both stated and preliminary budget provided for in the Masterplan.

- Membership has increased since then by 33% to 2600 within that 68% increase in women to 680. There is very limited segregation the changing issues are now

2) Orakei Local Board plan 2017

Within the plan the OLB states “We will continue to develop our sports parks, reserves and playgrounds.”

And also specifically itemises as a Key initiative in Outcome 1: “Progress the upgrade or development of new clubrooms and changing facilities on Madills Farm Reserve and Michaels Avenue Reserve.

ESAFC are aware of the development at Michaels Avenue however to date we have had to spend ESAFC club funds to simply keep the existing facilities safe and sanitary and broadly presentable. We wish to work with you to achieve this Key Objective and milestone of OLB

3) Increasing Aucklanders Participation in sport 2019-2039

This plan states “Our goal is to make Aucklanders more active more often”

- The current facility is constraining Growth in sport in the area; one of the biggest in the Auckland Isthmus – Intensification & Tamaki project. A new facility will be supporting Cricket, touch rugby and other sports, removing hazards with kohi yacht club storage and encouraging new participants in new programmes.

The plan also states that “New investment will seek collaboration and partnerships to build on the existing investment by the sports sector, volunteers, local communities and private investors”

- New Clubrooms can be of substantial community benefit enabling them to engage more and align with Auckland Council plans

4) Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan 2014-2024

The main objective statements are

“aspires to raise health, education and community outcomes”
“This will focus our energies as recreation and sports organisations work together with communities to improve the quality of recreation and sport opportunities - Aucklanders more active more often”

“Pride is built in Auckland’s recreation and sport achievements and strong sporting culture and talent and excellence are supported and celebrated”

And the

5) Auckland Council Facility Partnership Policy states

“Through facility partnerships the council are “investing in places to get together, opportunities to have fun and learn, healthy people, safe and attractive neighbourhoods, a better quality of live for all Aucklanders”

ESAFC has been successful and now alongside the desire has the capacity to support the objectives for

- Greater participation in Activity
  o Director of football and 15 coaches
  o Supporting schools’ programmes
  o Providing new activity related programmes for
    ▪ New entrants to sport
    ▪ Family’s participation
  o Running tournaments regionally
- Community focus.
  o Opportunities to engage,
  o Suitable facilities to share
- Ability to manage
  - 2.5 full time admin people
  - Governance and programme management capability
  - Developing funding sources
    o Lilywhites trust, Council, benefactors, lotteries etc

6) Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment fund

Our plans align with the Council objectives. The council’s sport and recreation officers are interested in the active support from the Orakei Local Board.

ESAFC are here to work with OLB to develop a facility that supports and achieves the goals of an active Auckland with strong community engagement.

ESAFC, its 2650 members, other sporting codes and the vast majority of the community look to your support and guidance to jointly achieve something we can all be proud of in the next few years.

Tom Street
President ESAFC
19th October 2019

Expression of Interest for the Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund 2019/2020 round

Replacement Building for Sports and Community use at Madills Farm, Kohimarama.

The following provides the information necessary to introduce a proposal and follows the questions asked on the sample canvas form. We are advised by Marissa Holland, Sport and Recreation Lead that it is not a requirement to reduce the information we have into a single page. Nonetheless we have followed the format and questions indicated on the template and repeated these at the head of each section.

Please be aware that, whilst this proposal is led by Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club (ESAFC) as majority users; the proposed facility is intended to be usable for multiple sports and as a hub for community use and engagement.

1) NEED / OPPORTUNITY

The present changing and clubroom facilities at Madills Farm are insufficient and inadequate for the current and future demand. This has been recognised in the current Council Masterplan for Madills farm dated 5.11.12 rev’d – quote "10- Extend and Upgrade Clubrooms and Changing Toilet Facilities". Football membership numbers have increased a further 30% (1966 members up to 2602 this year) from that first recognition making the problem now acute. Critically the growth in womens numbers has been 68% in the same period (405 to 680).

Previously football in Auckland from a playing perspective was Senior Men’s, Youth boys and girls on Saturday. Senior Women’s was on Sunday. Genuinely limited conflict with respect to changing facility needs. Over recent years all elite boys’ football has been changed by the governing body to Sunday to avoid the School vs Club conflict. This ranges from U13 through to U19 boys. So, on every Sunday now there is a requirement for segmented changing facilities; the current facility cannot do this satisfactorily and therefore there is a tendency for boys to change out on the field and women in cars or through some other arrangements. This is clearly an inhibitor to participation in sport.

In early 2018 fields 2 & 3 were completely floodlit, in addition to field 5. This now means we have Senior Men’s and Women’s teams, as well as older Youth boys and girls teams all
training at Madills Farm at the same time, 4 evenings per week. They all require changing and toilet facilities and we are unable to provide the necessary privacy for women particularly and the separation of players when changing from the public. Football is no longer just a winter sport – competitions run throughout the summer as well.

New Zealand Football require certain standards within the facilities for our most competitive teams including numbers of toilets /showers per team and for officials alongside First aid and drug testing areas, media facilities and the like which presently are not available limiting the use of the grounds.

Auckland Cricket utilise the fields in summer on some days and other groups such as touch rugby throughout the year. Kohimarama yacht club store boats in an adjacent building and this creates a Health and Safety risk with traffic passing through heavily pedestrianised areas when fields are in use. ESAFC have provided presentations on the inadequacy of the facilities in a submission to the Orakei local Ward and run three consultation sessions inviting comment from local residents. WE understand Orakei Local ward are supportive of the need for improvements and we will continue to work with them.

The intensification of population in the immediate area as a result of the Unitary Plan and in the adjacent Tamaki Regeneration Project area will further increase demand for facilities on sports clubs and for the community to meet, greet and connect. As displayed in The Auckland Sport Sector Facility Priorities plan 2017 – Figure 6 our club operates in one of the highest growth areas for 2018-2028

![Figure 6: Residential growth map.](image)

Numbers will grow and likely be nearer the upper limit on this chart if the Auckland sport sector facility plan is correct.

- Growing sport
- Population Intensification
- Healthy lifestyle focus

The current facilities are already inhibiting participation in sport and will fall further behind the demand. Developing the facilities as proposed and supporting this "Ancillary Infrastructure" as termed by the fund guidelines will address this identified gap and enable more "Aucklanders to lead physically active lives" meeting one of the primary objectives for Auckland council.
2) INPUTS

A draft proposal for a facility to meet the need has been created and is attached. The proposed building covers approximately 2000m², is larger than the current facility and is two storeys in part. Changing rooms and storage amount to half the area with the balance being for clubrooms, admin and coaching offices and meeting areas.

Funds in the region of $4M may be required for the toilets, changing rooms, and equipment storage components (Ancillary Infrastructure) of the building although subject to further detailing and are sought through this Sport and Recreation facilities investment fund. If applicable there are an additional $700k of feasibility, planning design and development to be incurred too.

ESAFC will seek to raise the additional funds required from their membership and other sources such as Lotteries, Lion foundation etc.

ESAFC have in the last 5 years raised $1M and placed into a Charitable trust whose purposes include

“To invest in infrastructure and asset-based projects for the benefit of the club members and local community”

ESAFC are anticipating that they will administer and manage the facility with open access and encouragement for use by the whole community. Whilst detailing is still in consideration it is anticipated that the facility will be open for community use 7 days a week during typical daytime and early evening hours. Training currently continues until 8.30pm

Council support and guidance is sought to work through the desired or necessary public notification and other planning consenting processes.

3) OUTPUTS

The present site is an unattractive extended prior tractor shed where the changing facilities are unhygienic and provide insufficient division and segregation for the different sexes and cultural expectations. There are insufficient storage areas.

The replacement new building will be attractive in the setting, provide for the increased demand with appropriate changing facilities for teams using the full potential of the playing fields whilst segregating Men and Women and respecting the cultural needs of all users.

There is presently no suitable environment to encourage community engagement in the premises. Although the current facilities are used infrequently for local group meetings and Pilates classes, we anticipate more attractive spaces, changing rooms and refreshment areas will encourage greater use by community and local business groups.

The replacement will also allow for a wider range of coaching and participation programmes to be developed from social through to high performance over the full 12 months of the year. ESAFC have a full time Director of Football whose role includes extending coaching
services and engagement in sport into the local schools and community. We consider this approach aligns perfectly with the “Auckland Sport and Recreation - strategic action plan 2014-2024” key priority areas of Participation, Infrastructure, Excellence in sport and Sector development. The courses anticipated will include a wide range of “first experience, fun based activities encouraging engagement” through skills development and teambuilding leading to ongoing social or competitive participation.

4) INTERMEDIATE OUTCOMES

Additional and segregated changing facilities will provide for ‘Equity’ of facilities use across the population regardless of sex, gender, ethnicity or socio economic status. Given the comparison between current facilities (photo below left of open showers) and those proposed (drawing right shows some of the segmented changing areas and closed showers) there is a clear line of sight between the investment and the outcomes it delivers thus meeting the majority of the investment principles for the fund.

Individuals will gain an improved and broader sporting experience encouraging greater participation leading to better fitness and wellbeing. A café providing healthy food to promote healthy diets. An improved environment will enable greater social connections and community spirit.

The replacement building will also include a Gym as well as community spaces where they can meet and engage.

In addition to the other sporting groups from a community perspective Madills farm is presently used by dog walking groups and individuals, families utilising the adjacent playgrounds and individuals undertaking general exercise and outdoor activity. There are no shelters within the park from inclement weather. There is no current access to any kind of refreshments or places to sit and engage in social activities; any that exist locally require leaving the park altogether with the associated challenges/dangers of traffic and limited space. The proposed new premises within the park area will enable people to stay longer, facilitate social engagement and connections, and attract more of the community to use the area.
5) LONG-TERM OUTCOMES

Long term the new facility will have enabled the best use of the playing fields in the area (Madills farm, Glover Park and Crossfields reserve) ensuring current and new community members through intensification raised earlier have access to sports. An improved facility environment will encourage more people to be more active more often in individual and team-based activities hence meeting key objectives of the “Increasing Aucklanders participation in Sport Investment Plan 2019-2039”

Madills farm is at the centre and heart of the eastern suburbs such that improvements in the facility to one that is attractive, clean and functional as displayed below will encourage the development of a thriving community. ESAFC have met briefly with Maori representation and have already incorporated historical references into a recent refresh of the inside of the current clubrooms. ESAFC wish to continue this engagement. Ultimately a better quality of life for Aucklanders in the eastern suburbs hence meeting key objectives of the Councils “Facilities Partnership Policy”.

6) TARGET USERS

Playing numbers for ESAFC have increased substantially in no small part because we offer good programmes and coaching. The rise in women members is expected to continue. The age range is wide, from 5-60 and more people are playing longer. The new facility will help build broad community participation in sport and social engagement before and after sport. The facility will too welcome friends and family of participants, provide space for social club meetings and pleasant shelter from adverse weather.

The new facility will be enabling us to maximise the use of Madills farm which will in turn relieve pressure on the other grounds used at Glover Park and Crossfield Reserve (very close to Tamaki regeneration project) making them more accessible to new members and groups too.

We wish to be able to support and encourage participation in sport for the growing numbers from the Tamaki regeneration project. Recent communications with them received the following response
Your engagement with the local sports clubs is inspirational and we love to see our local youth involved in sports activities.

Tina Stolze | Neighbourhood Liaison Advisor

The addition of a gym will attract more people to the facility to commence or support their progress to a healthy lifestyle.

7) FACILITY LOCATION/SITE

Madill's Farm Kohimarama – see drawings.

8) YOUR CONTRIBUTION

ESAFC is a successful club operating with a stable and well organised governance and management structure. ESAFC has the resources and drive to progress the project for our local community. ESAFC can lead the fundraising effort for the balance of funds required to cover clubrooms, café, administration and community rooms. ESAFC have raised approximately $200k per annum from its own operations over the last 5 years and is expecting to increase this rate over coming years. Further fundraising efforts will be directed to sources such as Lotteries, lion, Far North and other trust groups.

9) PARTNER ORGANISATION(S)

Eastern Suburbs Association Football Club (ESAFC) formed in 1934 is an incorporated society (221873) (NZBN: 9429042701088) and based at Madills farm from 1990.

ESAFC is currently the most successful football club in the country being National Champions in both men and womens competitions. Both teams have players also representing New Zealand and as such have strong role models for the wider membership. ESAFC men's coach from last year, Danny Hay, has just been appointed the National All Whites Coach. The new full time Director of Football is Tony Reddings, a highly qualified professional coach, previously the National Womens coach aiming to develop, co-ordinate and improve programmes for the club and community.

Lily Whites Trust is a registered charitable trust (CC52628). Established to support football, sporting and community interests in the eastern suburbs of Auckland.

Orakei Local Board

ESAFC are affiliated to Auckland Football Federation and New Zealand Football.

ESAFC, Kohimarama Yacht club and Auckland cricket have strong working relationships as common users of the facility.
10) PARTNERSHIP OPERATION

Madills Farm is a reserve with land and buildings owned by Council. In line with Council’s Facilities Partnership policy an “Asset partnership” would be appropriate. In terms of scale, Council may be a cornerstone or primary partner as defined by the policy although this will be explored as the project continues.

11) PROJECT STATUS / TIMEFRAMES

It is anticipated that the project will be 5-7 years in duration.

Notification and consultation timelines are expected to be 12-18 months. Indicative timeframes for resource consent processing may be 3-6 months with landowner’s approval timing yet unknown. Building consent would typically be 3-6 months for design and 2-3 months for processing.

12) ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (capital expenditure)

Preliminary feasibility studies indicate costs likely to be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development costs (consents etc)</td>
<td>$100k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant costs (Architects, Engineers etc)</td>
<td>$600k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction costs</td>
<td>$7500k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There are many different zones within the proposed building requiring different needs and therefore attracting different building costs. Indicatively a building cost of $3500-$4000 per m² over 2000m² would mean a cost of $7-8M. Ancillary Infrastructure as defined by the facilities investment fund cover half of the building footprint hence the indicative estimate in this proposal for half of the building costs. ESAFC would appreciate Council consideration for investing in the development and consultant costs above too.

13) CAPITAL SECURED

The Lily Whites Charitable Trust holds approximately $1M and growing to support football, sporting and community interests in the eastern suburbs of Auckland.

14) CAPITAL NEEDED / PLANNED

The balance of funding will be sought from

- Council for changing rooms and storage (Ancillary Infrastructure as defined in the Sport and recreation Facilities Investment Fund) and contributions to clubrooms (incidental infrastructure).
- ESAFC raising funds from operations at $200k per annum or greater
• Various trusts e.g. Lion foundation, Lotteries, etc
• Lily Whites Charitable Trust fundraising within the community

15) ESTIMATED ONGOING OPERATING COSTS

Ongoing maintenance is expected to be around 1-2% of capital costs e.g. $75k to $150k per annum. However, we assume that the changing room and storage maintenance would remain the responsibility of council, whilst the rest would be for ESAFC.

ESAFC currently employ 3.5 full time staff and have a revenue in the region of $2M per annum. ESAFC can produce accounts to show we are financially capable of maintaining the facility at these levels.

16) REVENUE STREAMS

Currently there is income for a café/bar on site and this will continue.

17) OPERATING COSTS NEEDED / PLANNED

Financial data should confirm our ability to maintain the facility, and it can be shown this is currently being done without income from the café.
As above the operation once built is affordable.

End.
OUR PROPOSED REPURPOSING AND DEVELOPMENT
MISSION BAY BOWLING CLUB BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

GROUNDS
- Currently in mown grass
- Propose approx. two-thirds of grassed area be retained
- Propose one quarter of grassed area, plus perimeter, plus small central area be developed into gardens (planting would be planned to not impede existing 360° degree passive surveillance from neighbouring properties surrounding these grounds).
- Would require installation of paths (including one large circular path for use by dementia patients from many local retirement villages, and tiny tots to use)
- Would require the installation of bench seats and arbours (for some shading) – could be done by Menz shed.

BUILDINGS
- Building One (former clubhouse) – to be designated Mission Bay and Kohimarama Community Centre. This is substantially built in brick and tile – future maintenance, some gutter replacement and window painting. Interior in good condition. Current approved capacity 180 people.
- Building Two – Currently set up with external men and women’s toilets and a central kiosk plus large room. Toilets could be use by park users without the need to unlock building one/community centre. The central kiosk has the potential to be leased out for a kiosk or another purpose.
- Building Three – Contains large workbench but currently unlined. Would be suitable for the much requested Menz shed.
- Building Four – Currently sizeable lined space which would also be suitable for Menz shed or another purpose.

PARKING
- Currently 16 established carparks on site, a 7-8 car park bay at Tagalad Street entrance, and 32 street angle parks provided at Nihill Crescent entrance. This minimises any impact on local street parking. The central location also allows the local community access on foot. Both driveway entrances are flat and suitable for use by mobility challenged.

Strong community support for our proposed retention and repurposing of this property and its grounds has indicated there may be the possibility of financial donations from local businesses/residents, etc. to aid the development of individual segments of the grounds in the future.
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WAJATURA
RESERVE

Orakei Local Board

5 December 2019
Hayley Croker, Parks and Places Specialist, was in attendance to speak to this report.

Resolution number OR/2019/184 was moved by Member T. Milne, seconded by Member T. Mllar.

That the Ōrākei Local Board defer the decision to adopt the Waitāruru Reserve Enhancement Plan pending further consideration of a specifying minimum sediment removal programme and exploring an additional designated on-leash dog area.

The motion was declared CARRIED by 5 votes to 2.

THE ISSUE

ADDITIONAL ON-LEASH AREAS
WREPS is opposed to additional on-leash areas.
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- Dog Swim Pool
- Rural Feel
- Always Open
- Size - Approx 3km Circuit
- Safe Parking
- Big Open Spaces
- Location & Easy Access
- A Number of Entry Points
- Relatively Undeveloped

An extremely popular off-leash dog park attracts dog walkers from a wide area as it is large enough to properly exercise dogs.
Concerns

- **Zoning segments the park** taking away the natural open environment
- This will require a change in the bylaw and is not worth the time, cost and effort required by councillors and others during the process
- This would **alienate the main users** of the park
- A **space already exists** for all the activities outlined at the other end of the park
- **Picnic Tables – anti-social behaviour** (Suggest consultation with property owners adjacent to the Reserve should this go ahead.)
The currently under-utilized PICNIC AREA by the playground could be extended and is already an on-leash area.
Redesign the playground layout to make full use of the existing fenced area. This will improve and extend the amenity area for people who prefer not to interact with dogs. The standard dog rules remain the same: dogs prohibited on any playground at all times and under control on a leash in the vicinity of any playground when in use.
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WREPS

Key issues that need to be addressed at Waiatarua Reserve

- Water Quality
- Open and Deep water areas (Circulation & Habitat)
- Clear excessive water weeds to maintain water circulation. This also avoids the deterioration of the water quality that discharges into Orakei basin as a result of excessive weeds rotting in the wetland.
- Tree and shrub planting for native birds
- Noxious weed control
- Stagnant pools of water – potential health hazard – these should be filled in.
“Ecological Jewel”

Planting Plan for
native trees for
habitat and year
round food for birds
WREPS

Key issues that need to be addressed at Waiatarua Reserve

We oppose the flood lights that have been installed at the Remuera Golf Course because of the large light spill affecting habitat for native birds.

The industrial appearance negatively impacts on the outlook for users of the Reserve.

Of note is that No mitigation has been attempted such as additional tall tree planting to shield the light and painting the poles black.
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Consultation:

Interesting to note that only 3% indicated that there was too little development. Therefore why more development?

73% about right...
Resources are limited.

Why use these resources duplicating a facility that already exists at the park?

Use the limited resources to address the real ecological and environmental issues and future generations will thank you.

As a Board use your resources to leave a legacy.

Take-Away
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Let's get this right...
Dear Bridget,

Thank you for contacting us.

We do not have a list of dog off leash areas in the Orakei Ward.

You can find the information at the following link:

https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/parks-recreation/Pages/find-park-beach.aspx

Please select Central and Hauraki Gulf Islands in the Area section and Off leash in the Dog walking section. You could then select the beaches and parks in the Orakei area.

Contacted Auckland City Council, this is the return email – user friendly!!
Notes for presentation to Orakei Local Board on Thursday 5 December

- I am John La Roche and I represent Friends a Kepa Bush, a group of 21 volunteers who are mainly involved with checking and re-baiting rat and possum traps. However we also pick up rubbish and take a keen interest in how the bush is maintained in relation to weed control and pathway maintenance.

- The public greatly enjoy the up-graded pathways, stairways and signage funded over the last two years by Orakei Local Board. Unfortunately the pathway beside the stream below Thatcher Street was not included in this work. It becomes very muddy in the winter months and needs to be improved.

- The report describes the up-grading required and it is recommended that the contractor for the previous work, Environmental Construction Solutions should be engaged to undertake the task. I was hoping to have had their estimated price but this had not been received by Monday 2 December. Access to the area is down multiple stairways. Because of this it may be necessary to use a helicopter to fly gravel and other equipment into place.

- Maintenance of pathways and particularly the clearance of stormwater drains is vital to prevent the last two years of improvements being severely damaged. The Council contractor Ventia has failed in this regard and I recommend;
  “That Ventia’s maintenance contract at Kepa Bush be terminated and a new contract for maintenance be negotiated with Environmental Construction Solutions Ltd.”

- Silting in Pourewa Estuary is of concern because the two creeks discharging to the estuary from Kepa Bush carry significant quantities of silt. I recommend;
  “That a specialist consultant be engaged to assess the silting entering Pourewa Estuary and propose measures to minimise the problem.”
Ellerslie Theatrical Society: hire fee options

Ellerslie Theatrical Society Incorporated

‘The society delivers three ticketed productions per year ...’ [21]

Untrue.

The Society delivers four ticketed productions per year. This error is repeated in the table in [35].

‘The society books the Main Hall every weekend and most weeknights for rehearsals.’ [23]

Misleading.

The Society books the Main Hall with stage every Sunday between 12:30 and 16:30 and every Tuesday and Thursday between 19:30 and 21:30. Sunday afternoon is only part of the weekend. Two weeknights out of five are not ‘most weeknights’.

‘During the week of the production members require exclusive use of both the Main Hall and the Committee Room in order to leave their seating and setup.’ [p23]

Incomplete and misleading.

The Society books the Main Hall with stage in order to protect its stage sets and the raked seating on the floor of the Main Hall. It does not ‘require exclusive use’ of them and makes agreements with other users of the Main Hall. As examples, meetings of Probus and the Friends of the Auckland Philharmonic Orchestra continue to take place on some Friday mornings. They use our raked seating and we clear or rearrange the Front of House area (which is separated from the seating by dividers) to suit them. Additionally, on some Friday mornings, the Friends of the APO invite guest performers and we allow them to use the stage; they perform in front of our stage sets. From time to time, we encourage other hirers to use the spaces that we have booked, when those hirers are community users.

The Society books the Committee Room in order to protect its performances from disruption caused by another hirer in the Committee Room. Disruption in the past has included noise; people coming from the Committee Room into the Main Hall during a show; people, especially children, moving backstage from the Committee Room to the corridor and people attending a meeting in the Committee Room entering through the front door (when our audience is coming in) and through the rear door by the kitchen (when our Front of House volunteers are moving between the kitchen and the Main Hall). When we are advising or contracting to other hirers of the Main Hall with stage, we always recommend to them that they should book the Committee Room during their shows.

‘... the society requires exclusive use of the hall.’ [26]

Misleading.

We explained this above for p23.

Analysis and advice

‘Staff have met with the group several times ...’ [30]

Misleading and incomplete.

The roller door was not what the Society requested. We wanted some security for our lighting equipment, as other hirers unplugged the cables for stage lights and plugged them directly into mains outlets. On one occasion, we came in to discover that three lamps had burnt out between our use of them. People had also played with our dimmer packs: the dialled numbers on the front had been altered and cable plugs had been swapped between dimmer pack outlets or pulled out. When
we had discussions with Auckland Council, they had suggested a roller door, and we had imagined that this roller door would be installed over the electrical area. At a later meeting, we were told that the roller door would cover the proscenium arch, and that it would also protect our sets. We have had problems with damage to our sets by other hirers, and we welcomed the bonus of being able to protect our sets as well as our electrical equipment. We were told at a further meeting that Auckland Council would expect us to reduce our bookings of the stage, and the roller doors would lock off the stage without us having to book the space. We welcomed the opportunity to have protection without the cost of bookings. The roller door installation is incomplete. The plan was to put a lock, operated from the stage side, on the door from the stage to the Committee Room and two other doors, locking the backstage access from the green room and its corridors onto the stage. This plan has not been completed, and the roller door only protects our sets and equipment from hirers in the Main Hall. We remain vulnerable from the backstage area. We have therefore continued our bookings of the stage to establish our rights over our sets and equipment.

We also pointed out in meetings that there are occasions, such as rehearsals and set builds, when we need to use the Main Hall as well as the stage, and that we could not cancel those bookings of the Main Hall.

We have previously used Leicester Hall for rehearsals, and the premises are unsatisfactory. We would have to bring furniture and props in for rehearsals each time, as there is no place that we could securely store them. We would have to mark the floor with tape for every rehearsal and then remove the tapes afterwards; taping the floor to simulate the space of the stage took over 30 minutes each time that we did it previously. This would increase our time for each rehearsal from 1.5 hours (currently at $59 an hour, total $88.50) to 2 hours (which would be at $49 an hour, total $98.00).

‘... sponsorship, which is used by other amateur and professional theatre groups in Auckland’ [31]

Misleading.

We are not aware of any amateur theatre group in Auckland that receives sponsorship. We are in contact with six other community theatres and none of them is sponsored.

The difficulty with sponsorship is that our timescales differ from those of potential sponsors.

Professional performing artists often begin seeking sponsorship three years before they present a production, because they can sign up and pay for rights well in advance.

Community theatres cannot do this, as they cannot make decisions about their next year until the year before, to keep costs down. Potential sponsors cannot usually accommodate this within the timescales for their budgets. We have worked with a motor vehicle manufacturer to find a sponsorship deal that would work for them, and the timescale was a major impediment.

Community theatres have a lower profile and lower audience numbers than professionals and are therefore a less attractive option for sponsors.

We have attracted small amounts of sponsorship from local businesses for individual productions.

‘The society is unwilling to pay any of the outstanding debt and have not reduced their hours of use, so are continuing to incur debt.’ [32]

Misleading.

The Society is not ‘unwilling’ to pay the debt. We are continually trying to get grants and we pay those to Auckland Council upon our receiving them. We would love to be able to clear the debt.

There may be a view that we should pay the debt out of our reserves. If we did, it would render us unable to continue trading as an Incorporated Society. If we had no reserves, we would be trading recklessly if we made commitments that we could not reasonably manage. We cannot point to
income from grants, as these are not guaranteed. We cannot even point to income from ticket sales. Our only option would be to wind up the Society.

We have reduced our hours of use. Until now, we have booked two ‘guest slots’ each year of a week each, in which we invite other theatre groups to use the space, seating and all our technical resources to put on their own productions on a revenue-sharing basis. Because of the decline in the number of other community theatre groups — largely through their decreasing ability to raise funds through grants — we have not had anyone use this space this year, and we have now removed it from future bookings. We regret this, as we want to encourage other groups and societies who do not have the resources to mount their own productions, but we accept that it is necessary to prove our desire to reduce our bookings.

‘The society has advised staff that it will not be able to continue to operate if required to pay the outstanding amount and the standard hire fees going forward.’ [32]

True.

We were advised to try getting grants for 2018-2019 and then to start discussions with the Board if we were unable to raise the full amount. We did not raise the amount needed, and we cannot get grants retrospectively.

We have reserves of around $31,000. Some of this is needed for outgoings before we have any income from ticket sales. If we did not have this reserve, we would be unable to avoid trading recklessly.

We cannot rely on getting grants for the 2019-2020 year, and this would also rapidly render us as trading recklessly if we continued.

We would not have a choice. The Incorporated Societies Act would require us to wind up the Society in these circumstances.

The Rules of the Society include that, in the event of winding-up, remaining assets would be passed to one or more other similar societies. No decisions have yet been made, but it likely that we would first offer our assets to Dolphin Theatre and Howick Little Theatre. Any remaining assets would then be offered online to any other qualifying entity; we have not defined the qualifications.

This would remove from the Ellerslie War Memorial Community Centre:

- all curtains from the stage, except the blue main drapes and the border above them, which are the property of Auckland Council;
- all luminaires (stage lights) and lighting equipment, including the dimmer packs on the stage and the equipment in the control room;
- all seating and other rostra;
- all costumes, furniture and props;
- all black portable stage screens; and
- any other Society property.

This would reduce the capability of the venue to host performing arts hirers, especially with the removal of the first three items on the list above, as professional hire charges are much greater than ours, which are based on wear and tear and the replacement of consumables.

We provided sound and lights for the Romanian theatre group last week and over the weekend, and we are negotiating with a Nigerian group who will be in this weekend.

It is part of our Rules — as for any incorporated Society — that we cannot amend those Rules relating to winding-up the Society.

We would expect to hold a special general meeting in February, because of our requirement of 28 days’ notice, to wind up the Society.
‘Continuation of almost exclusive use by group, supported by local board.’ [35, Option Two & Option Three]

Untrue.

Our use of the venue is far from exclusive. The number of days that we book is less than half of the available days in the Main Hall, which is hardly ‘exclusive’. (We believe that using ‘days’ rather than ‘hours’ is a fair measure, as even booking for two hours in a day could preclude another user from booking on that day.)

Our bookings are often preceded and sometimes, on Sundays, succeeded by other hirers.

Almost, we often do not use the space exclusively, as already described.

‘Possible exclusion of other potential hirers.’ [35, Option Two & Option Three]

We attempt to cooperate with other users. A recent example was our booking of the Main Hall on Sunday 1 December to prepare for the Ellerslie Santa Parade. Because the Romanian theatre group were performing that evening, we gave them permission to leave the Main Hall as they had set it up so that we could work around their setup. We also worked in the mezzanine.

We would be interested to know which hirers might have been turned away because of our bookings, especially our ‘guest slots’, which were any opportunity for other hirers to work with us, using our resources.

David Blakey
Secretary
Ellerslie Theatrical Society Incorporated
5 December 2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>What we’ve been doing</strong></th>
<th><strong>Our proposed priorities for 2020/2021</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 2020/2021, we plan to invest $4.8 million to renew and develop assets and $12.3 million to maintain and operate assets as well as provide local programmes and initiatives.</td>
<td>We propose, subject to consultation, to prioritise our natural environment working with the community, including Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei to improve water quality in Ōkahu Bay and to develop an integrated plan for Pōruawā Valley.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each year we deliver activities and services in your local board area. These are based on our Local Board Plan, which sets our three-year direction for the local board. In 2019/2020, we have been improving local parks and reserves, including new play facilities at Kupe South Reserve, Tahapa Reserve and Wairua Reserve. We upgraded facilities at our sports parks, such as Madills Farm, Shore Road Reserve and Michaels Avenue Reserve. We funded further initiatives for youth and the elderly, such as our Youth Forum and our Community Wellbeing Charter, while supporting our community groups and services. Pathways have been upgraded in local parks, including Kepa Bush, Michaels Avenue and Karaka Bay.</td>
<td>We will keep vigorously advocating for transport initiatives, including the Gowing Drive linkage to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path, and wider safety improvements. Community and park facility upgrades will continue, along with our commitment to ensuring our heritage assets are protected. We will support regional events, and seek funding for projects to support these locally, such as America’s Cup 2021. We’re not proposing major changes to the existing work programme for 2020/2021 as we continue to deliver the projects identified in our Local Board Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Glen Innes Business Association is proposing to expand the boundary of the Business Improvement District (BID) across the boundary into the Ōrākei Local Board area. If the boundary expansion is successful the Glen Innes BID programme will represent about 190 business ratepayers and owners, with a proposed BID targeted rate of $250,000 from 1 July 2020.
Orakei Local Board Supporting Information

Each year we deliver activities and services in your local board area. These are based on our Local Board Plan, which sets our three-year direction for the local board.

Message from the Chair

A refreshed vision for the Orakei Local Board comes with a renewed sense of purpose, and four new faces elected to the board. I am confident we can build on the great foundation set over the past nine years, whilst improving engagement with our residents and working towards delivery of proposed initiatives and projects, subject to consultation.

We acknowledge that usage across our parks, fields, and reserves continues to grow, and in turn we will continue to deliver improvements that accommodate local and national-level sports, events, and active recreation. Growing diversity in our Board area is seen as a real attribute to our goal of becoming a great place to live, work and play.

In 2019 the Board restored the heritage searchlight emplacements along Tamaki Drive. Our heritage assets will be celebrated for their history and connection to the Orakei area. As we progress into a bright future for our communities, we must also preserve that which has been inherited. The incoming Local Board has a renewed focus on Tamaki Drive and the boating and recreational interests around it.

In 2020/2021, enhancing our local environment and ecology will remain a strong focus as we develop sustainable integrated plans and enhancement opportunities for the Okahu Bay and Purerewa Valley, among other reserves, working alongside in partnership with Ngāti Whātua Orakei and local environment groups.

We are not proposing any significant changes to our existing levels of service or programme of works, although we are committed to ensuring that further initiatives around transport, infrastructure, environment, and community remain priorities for sound planning and delivery.

We acknowledge that the key to achieving outcomes is through positive relationships and proactive communication. As a local board we commence this three-year term with a mind for positive progress, honest engagement, and a will to deliver on the needs of our community, together as one.

Whakarāmāmene mā Orakei

Scott Milne, Chairperson

Orakei Local Board
What we propose in your local board area in 2020/2021

In 2020/2021 to deliver on our priorities we plan to invest $4.6 million to renew and develop assets and $12.3 million to maintain and operate assets as well as provide local programmes and initiatives. The budget in the local area is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key areas of spend</th>
<th>Community Services</th>
<th>Environmental Services</th>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Spend 2020/2021</td>
<td>$10.5m</td>
<td>$0.4m</td>
<td>$0.6m</td>
<td>$0.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital spend 2020/2021</td>
<td>$4.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OPEX project name**
- Pounawea Valley Integrated Plan
  - Location: 9 Colenso Pl, Mission Bay, Auckland 1071
- Churchill Park – Develop and Enhance Lookout
  - Location: 320-336 Riddell Rd, Glendowie, Auckland 1071
- Madills Farm Stream Restoration Project
  - Location: 8 Baddeley Ave, Kohimarama, Auckland 1071

**CAPEX project name**
- Selwyn Reserve Playspace Renewal
  - Location: 48-56 Tamaki Drive, Mission Bay, Auckland 1071
- Remuera Library Comprehensive Renewal
  - Location: 429 Remuera Road, Remuera, Auckland 1050
- Crossfield Reserve – Implement Park Improvements
  - Location: 83 Crossfield Road, Glendowie, Auckland 1071

**Proposed boundary expansion to Glen Innes Business Association Business Improvement District**

The Auckland Council Business Improvement District (BID) Policy 2016 requires the local board to approve any BID Programme boundary expansion and recommend the setting of the BID targeted rate to the Governing Body.

The Glen Innes Business Association (GIBA) is proposing to expand the boundary of the Glen Innes BID programme across two local board boundaries, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Ōrākei. The GIBA will hold a postal ballot of the business ratepayers located in the defined Glen Innes BID expansion area in early 2020. If the ballot is successful and the proposal is adopted the Glen Innes BID programme will represent both current and expanded areas, increasing the membership to about 190 business ratepayers and owners, and the BID targeted rate will increase from $166,000 to $250,000 as of 1 July 2020.
What do you think?

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2020/2021?

Do you support the Glen Innes Business Association boundary expansion into our area?