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Lenore Marion Sumpter.
NZ Sculpture OnShore Co-founder, Patron and Passionate Supporter.

It was with great sadness on December 30, 2019 that the Friends of Women's Refuges Trustees and the NZ Sculpture OnShore Board learned of the passing of our co-founder, long term patron and passionate supporter Lenore Sumpter after a short illness.

In 1996 Lenore and her close friend and co-founder Genevieve Becroft were looking for an alternative fund raising opportunity for a cause very close to their hearts, North Shore Women's Refuges. Tired of shaking collection tins they were inspired to organize the first NZ Sculpture OnShore exhibition in Genevieve's lake side garden in Takapuna. The dynamic duo organized sponsorship, worked closely with artists and built a strong team of passionate hardworking volunteers around them and NZSoS was born.

In a recent interview Lenore, unassuming and modest as always, said about the first exhibition ‘it was really quite easy..... it just happened’. Anyone involved in the exhibition knows what a huge understatement this is and that the hard work, expertise, energy and dedication that Lenore, Genevieve and their organizing team put into the exhibition over many years is truly legendary.

Lenore and Genevieve were the driving force of the exhibition from 1996 until 2004 when it became too large to take place in Genevieve's garden and the event moved to it's current location at Fort Takapuna. Lenore's passion for the
exhibition and the cause never faltered and over the coming years her role evolved from driving force and organiser to long standing patron, supporter and advocate for the exhibition and it’s cause.

Lenore was a principled, loyal, unassuming and caring lady who was much respected and admired by all those who came into contact with her. Without her and Genevieve’s inspiration, hard work and dedication NZ Sculpture OnShore would not have grown to be the largest sculpture event in NZ and would not have donated $2 million to NZ Women’s Refuges to benefit women who are victims of domestic violence, in New Zealand.

Lenore has left a wonderful legacy and will be sorely missed by her friends and colleagues past and present at NZ Sculpture OnShore.
Memorandum

18 February 2020

To: Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Subject: Response to Notice of Motion – Submitter addresses on consultations (item 16)

From: Eric Perry – Relationship Manager, Local Board Services

Purpose

1. To provide the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board with advice regarding a Notice of Motion (NOM) that has been placed on the agenda for the local board’s 18 February 2020 business meeting at item 16.

2. The Notice of Motion (NOM) requests that all online and hard copy surveys and consultations carried out by or on behalf of the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board (or any part of Auckland Council and its CCOs) must require the submitters’ address as mandatory.

Discussion

3. The advice and information contained within this memo has been sourced from council’s Citizen Engagement and Insights (CEI) team and should be considered as part of decision-making under this item. For reference, council’s Citizen Engagement and Insights team:
   - provides expertise in and delivery of community engagement for Auckland Council;
   - leads statutory research and consultation; and
   - leads stakeholder and public engagement on behalf of council.

4. Other key priorities for CEI include:
   - raising awareness, providing education, support and monitoring compliance with council’s Significance and Engagement Policy and Engagement Guidelines to ensure best practice;
   - developing and building capacity around the Engagement Guidelines (together with relevant processes, tips and templates) to promote legal and operational best practice;
   - developing an inclusive engagement strategy and providing targeted advice on reaching Auckland’s diverse population; and
   - managing council’s online engagement activities via the ‘Have Your Say’ page on the website, and survey tool ‘Engage’ for feedback forms.

5. In carrying out public consultation, Auckland Council is required to adhere to the principles of consultation as set forth in section 82 of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA2002). The relevant points of this section are that:
   - persons who will or may be affected by, or have an interest in, the decision or matter should be encouraged by the local authority to present their views to the local authority;
   - persons who wish to have their views on the decision or matter considered by the local authority should be provided by the local authority with a reasonable opportunity to present those views to the local authority in a manner and format that is appropriate to the preferences and needs of those persons; and
   - the views presented to the local authority should be received by the local authority with an open mind.
6. Council's public consultation processes only require submitters to provide one form of personal information, either an email address or a physical address, to confirm that the submitter is in fact an actual person. The submitter therefore is provided a choice as to which form of personal information they provide. Submitters are not actively prevented from supplying their physical address if they so choose, and there is no requirement that a submitter provide both an email and physical address when submitting. This choice around the provision of personal information extends to both online and hard copy submissions.

7. Council's CEI team advises that the vast majority of council's engagement occurs online, with the vast majority of online submitters providing an email address as the main form of contact. CEI also advises that in general, submitters are far more likely to provide an email address (rather than a physical address) because they have greater privacy and safety concerns about providing a physical address than they do about providing an email address.

8. Consultation best practice suggests that requesting too much personal information from a submitter can be a significant barrier for people to participate in consultation exercises, which means they would therefore be less likely to engage with consultation processes that require the provision of 'excessive' amounts of personal information. Council must therefore try to balance the need to understand 'who' is submitting, against encouraging participation as much as possible to the wider public by reducing potential barriers to participation.

9. At present council already requires all online submitters to provide their local board information, which has shown not to be a significant barrier to participation as it does not compromise submitter privacy and safety in the way that a requirement around providing a physical address might. A link to council's 'local board finder' tool is provided within the question that asks for a submitter's local board area. Those submitters that choose not to use the tool are also provided the option of selecting 'don't know', and are then asked for their suburb. CEI staff analysing submissions are then able to use this information to determine the local board for them.

10. CEI staff recommend that the views of 'non-residents' should not be excluded, discounted or prohibited from localised consultation processes. 'Non-residents' may also be affected by a particular plan, policy or strategy under consultation as they may work in the area and/or own property in the area but do not live locally. Council staff have found that for local consultation on local issues, the number of 'out of area' submitters is typically limited, which in the view of staff minimises any potential skewing of results.

11. CEI staff advise that if any consultation issue is determined to be specific to the locality and is likely to have a greater impact on local residents when compared to 'non-residents', quantitative research (such as polling) may be considered to support the engagement process. Although such research is likely to provide a more robust and representative view (and thereby improving accuracy), it would also attract significantly more cost to the engagement exercise.

12. It is highly likely that, in the event such quantitative research was deemed important to the local board, the cost would need to be supported either through the overall budget attached to the project under consultation, or through other budgets within the local board's control (such as the board's locally driven initiatives (LDI) operational budget).

13. For regional consultation exercises (such as the Long-term Plan, for example), CEI staff will not support having one rule or approach for one local board and not others. As council already asks for local board information and at least one other form of personal information, requiring submitters to provide a physical address as mandatory is highly likely to be seen as a barrier to submitting by people, and therefore the likelihood of participation is greatly reduced. Such a requirement may therefore prevent compliance issues with aspects of section 82 of the LGA2002, and furthermore, could be inconsistent with the Privacy Act 1993.

Recommendation(s)

14. Based on the information and advice provide by council staff, it is recommended that the board do not support the Notice of Motion (NOM) as presently drafted. It is clear from the advice provided that it is highly unlikely that the proposed recommendations will be enacted by staff.

15. If the board wishes to question the advice provided within this memo and/or learn more about council's engagement methodology, it is recommended that the board request a briefing with
staff from council’s Citizen Engagement and Insights (CEI) team, as well as staff from council’s Legal Services department. This could be done via a formal resolution from the board to replace those recommendations presently set out in the Notice of Motion (NOM).

16. The board may also wish to consider including quantitative research (such as polling) for particular localised consultations that it may wish to gain a deeper understanding of the local view. However, it should be recognised that the inclusion of such research in addition to ‘usual’ consultation methods is likely to have an impact on the corresponding project budget, or the local board’s locally driven initiatives (LDI) operational budget.

17. It is also suggested that the board request advice, either from its Engagement Advisor or from CEI staff, prior to deciding whether or not to include such research in support of consultation so it may consider the costs and implications of doing so as part of decision-making.
14 January 2020

Owner/occupier
1 Ngataringa Road
Narrowneck
Auckland.

Dear Owner/occupier,

HAVE YOUR SAY:

Proposed No Stopping parking restrictions, bus stop removals Ngataringa Road

PTM Consultants Ltd have been engaged by Ryman Healthcare to facilitate consultation aspects for the proposed installation of sections of no stopping parking restrictions to be installed on Ngataringa Road, in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area.

Resource consent has been already been granted for the development and construction has been completed of the retirement village, comprising 195 Independent Apartments, 78 Assisted Living Suites, 40 Rest home rooms, 40 Hospital rooms and 40 Dementia rooms. Following construction and opening, residents have found it difficult to exit the accessway due to vehicles parking close by and blocking visibility.

We are seeking feedback from affected property owners and occupiers on the proposal from 15 January to 2020 until 24 January 2020.

What are the proposed changes?

We are proposing to install sections of no stopping parking restrictions outside on both side of the road from Lake Road to the access way and remove two bus stops that are no longer in service. This proposal is as shown in the attached drawing AT/DTLB/NN130.

As part of the consultation process feedback is being sought for the proposal. Please provide your feedback by e-mailing admin@ptmconsultants.co.nz referencing Ngataringa Road Narrowneck, by 4pm, 24 January 2020.

Yours sincerely

Veena Kavia
Team Administrator
Memo
17 February 2020

To: All Devonport-Takapuna Local Board members
cc: Eric Perry – Relationship Manager, Devonport-Takapuna, Kaipatiki and Upper Harbour local boards
     Oliver Roberts – Central Teams Manager, Local Board Services
     Rhiannon Guinness – Democracy Advisor, Local Board Services
From: Tristan Coulson – Senior Advisor, Local Board Services

Subject: Nomination for Citizens Advice Bureau Service Framework Political Advisory Group

The purpose of this memo is to seek a nomination from the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board (the local board) to the Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) Service Framework Political Advisory Group.

At their 13 February 2020 meeting council’s Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee approved the formation of a political advisory group for the Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) Service Framework programme. The political advisory group (PAG) will consist of four Governing Body representatives, one IMSB member and five local board representatives. As per the process agreed at the February Chairs’ Forum, nominees for groups of this type will be considered by geographical cluster.

Context

The PAG will provide advice on elected member involvement in the development of the CAB Regional Network Service Provision Framework. This includes when and where elected member engagement should occur and how to get the best results from it. PAG members will also review the draft framework, provide feedback on the content and raise any potential political risks or issues.

Process for nomination

Local board members were contacted via email seeking expressions of interest for nominees to the political advisory group.

Following the nomination, Staff will send the nominees for each cluster to the relevant chairs on 19 February 2020, to choose their single cluster representative, by midday 21 February 2020.

Recommendation

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

A. nominate one local board member to Citizens Advice Bureau Service Framework Political Advisory Group.