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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the minutes of the Ōrākei Local Board meeting, held on Thursday, 5 December 2019, be confirmed as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Ōrākei Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Tāmaki Estuary Environment Forum

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the deputation segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Dr Julie Chambers PhD, Chairperson of the Tāmaki Estuary Environment Forum (TEEF) will be in attendance to present TEEF’s work this term to the new Board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the presentation and thank Dr Julie Chambers for her attendance.
8.2 Deputation - From the Deck - Newmarket Stream restoration

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the deputation segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Carole Davies and Penny Hansen of the From the Deck community group will be in attendance to provide an update on the Newmarket stream restoration project.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
   a) receive the presentation and thank Carole Davies and Penny Hansen for their attendance.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Public Forum - Margot Nicholson - Hapua Thrive

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the public forum segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Margot Nicholson from Hapua Thrive community group will be in attendance to introduce the group to the Board and provide an overview of what work the group has been doing in the community, further work the group would like to do, important issues and to express the group’s desire to work more closely with the Board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
   a) receive the presentation and thank Margot Nicholson for her attendance.

9.2 Public Forum - Dion Gosling - Hockey Turf Development

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the public forum segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Dion Gosling will be in attendance to update the Board on the proposed hockey turf development at Colin Maiden Park.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the presentation and thank Dion Gosling for his attendance.

9.3 Public Forum - Megan Darrow - Ellerslie Business Association

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the public forum segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Megan Darrow, Town Centre Manager for the Ellerslie Business Association will be in attendance to present on the future plans for Ellerslie to the Board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the presentation and thank Megan Darrow for her attendance.

9.4 Public Forum - Ensiyeh Ghavampour - Urban/Social Planning for Better Communities

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the public forum segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Ensiyeh Ghavampour will be in attendance to present to the Board on a proposed community wellbeing and local economy project that Ensiyeh would like to trial in the local board area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the presentation and thank Ensiyeh Ghavampour for her attendance.

9.5 Public Forum - Christine Foley - Towai Street Tree Replacement

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the public forum segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Christine Foley, resident of Towai Street, St Heliers will be in attendance to address the Board on her concerns regarding the dead street trees on the north side of Towai Street between Long Drive and Edmund Street.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the presentation and thank Christine Foley for her attendance.

Attachments
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10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting.

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
The Landing - Operational Management Model

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval of an operational management model for The Landing, Okahu Bay.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Landing is a multi-use and multi-stakeholder park in the Ōrākei Local Board area. The site is used by a number of community and commercial groups for a diverse range of marine-related activities.

3. There are several drivers for change at the site, including increased demand for events. There is also a lack of coordination and communication amongst stakeholders which has put strain on the effective operation of the site. Elected members, who have a governance role for the site, are regularly being drawn into operational issues.

4. There is no dedicated forum for stakeholders to address risks, issues and opportunities, or a dedicated forum for elected members to steer strategic thinking and service planning for the site.

5. Staff have developed a three-tier operational management model (Attachment A) to achieve a cohesive operational and governance structure for this complex site.

6. As per the board's resolution (OR/2018/174), staff have completed a consultation process with stakeholders and mana whenua (Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei). The model is generally well supported. The feedback from stakeholders and mana whenua is included in full (Attachments B and C).

7. The model has been revised based on the feedback. The primary change is that there is no formalised Operations Manager.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) approve Option 2, an operational management model for The Landing (Attachment A).

Horopaki
Context
8. The Landing is a park classified for recreational purposes, pursuant to the Local Government Act 1974.

9. The Landing occupies a compact space which is important both locally and regionally. The site is used by several community and commercial groups for a diverse range of marine-related activities.

10. There are several risks, issues and opportunities at the site which are dealt with in an ad-hoc way. For example, there is a growing number of events being held at the site, which require stakeholder collaboration and collective problem solving. Stakeholders, council staff and mana whenua do not have a forum for discussion.
11. Currently, lines of communication between stakeholders, mana whenua, council staff and elected members are not well defined. Operational issues are regularly raised with elected members.

12. Stakeholders have also raised concerns that the current direction of The Landing is moving away from what was originally agreed by all stakeholders and endorsed by Ōrākei Local Board in the Pathways to the Sea concept plan (2013).

13. Before 2015 there was a stakeholder discussion group and a Landing sub-committee made up of elected members. The proposed model would effectively reinstate these and formalise them into a cohesive management model.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Operational management model

14. The operational management model detailed in Attachment A has three levels:

**The Landing Operations Working Group**
- A forum for stakeholders and key staff to deal with operational issues.
- Meetings will be held monthly and focus on any risks, issues or opportunities which require discussion or subject matter expert advice from Community Facilities (CF) or Parks, Sports and Recreation (PSR).
- Key issues will be escalated from the Operations Working Group to the Steering Group if necessary.

**The Landing Steering Group**
- A forum made up of three delegated local board members who will work with key council staff to focus on future planning, setting service outcomes and strategic delivery.
- A representative of Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Trust (NWOT) will sit on the steering group.

**The Ōrākei Local Board**
- The Ōrākei Local Board is responsible for the overall governance of the site.
- Certain issues such as the model’s terms of reference, land-owner approval and leasing issues will be dealt with at this level.
- Issues which could not be resolved at the lower levels of the model may need to be escalated to the full board for direction or decision-making.

15. The model is designed to ensure the park operates effectively and efficiently, to promote equity of access, minimal conflict, environmental best practice and excellence in health and safety practices.

16. The model will formalise the communication flow for all stakeholders at The Landing to ensure everyone has the opportunity to raise any risks, issues and opportunities.

17. Any park user or member of the public can still raise maintenance or operational issues in the usual way by contacting the Auckland Council service centre. These issues are usually directed to Ventia, the council’s maintenance contractor (see Attachment A).

Engagement process

18. As per the board’s resolution (OR/2018/174) staff have completed an engagement process on the proposed model with stakeholders and mana whenua (Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei).
19. A stakeholder information meeting was held at The Landing in February 2019.
20. At the stakeholders’ request, a follow-up meeting was held in April 2019.
21. The stakeholders were invited to submit written feedback on the model.
22. The model is generally supported. The feedback from stakeholders is included in full (Attachment B).

**Mana whenua consultation**
23. Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei are mana whenua of the area and have ties to some recreational groups that use the site.
24. Staff took direction from Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Trust (NWOT) as to how they would like to be consulted.
25. A meeting was held between council staff and NWOT elected representatives in March 2019.
26. A NWOT representative also attended the first stakeholder meeting in February 2019.
27. Details of the engagement are provided in Attachment C.

**Summary of feedback**
28. Generally, both stakeholders and mana whenua recognised the need for a management structure at The Landing.
29. Some stakeholders and mana whenua raised concerns about the role of the Operations Manager and a perceived conflict of interest if fulfilled by the contractor of the hardstand. The model has been revised to not formalise this role.
30. The stakeholders generally underlined their commitment to the Pathways to the Sea Concept Plan completed in 2013.
31. The stakeholders and mana whenua also emphasised the importance of the terms of reference for the Working and Steering Groups. They would like to be closely involved in the drafting of these terms.

**Options**

**Option one - status quo**
32. This option is not recommended by staff. Staff consider the status quo on the site does not provide a cohesive approach to the management of The Landing or to implementing the concept plan. Further, there is an expectation among stakeholders and mana whenua that a model will be established.

**Option two - implement an operational model (Attachment A).**
33. This option aims to achieve a cohesive operational and governance structure for this complex site.
34. The Landing has significant issues and risks to monitor and manage for the overlapping community and commercial user groups. There is a strong need for a management model for this site which has multiple stakeholders and important health and safety obligations.
35. Staff recommend option two as the best mechanism to allow the effective and safe operation of the site and to achieve the best future outcomes.
36. The model will also provide a vehicle for any future refresh of the concept plan.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**
**Climate impact statement**
37. There is no anticipated climate impact.
38. Parks, Sports and Recreation staff work closely with Community Facilities staff on the management of The Landing.

39. PSR staff have worked collaboratively with Commercial Leasing and Businesses on the creation of the model and associated consultation process.

40. Community Facilities have emphasised the need for this model to address Health and Safety issues.

41. A cohesive management and governance structure with clear lines of communication will place less demand on staff time.

42. The establishment of the operational management model will give improved channels of communication between stakeholders, mana whenua, council staff, and the local board. This will help to ensure that the local board is informed and consulted on relevant risks, issues and opportunities at the appropriate time.

43. In previous workshops the local board has been supportive of the proposed operational management model and has given feedback that has shaped the current model.

44. This is a key site in the local board area, particularly for marine recreation and events. The model will help drive short-term and long-term outcomes for the benefit of local board residents and Aucklanders.

45. Okahu Bay is an important area for Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei. They have aspirations to improve the ecology of the bay and allow more people to access the water, particularly through waka ama.

46. The operational model will formalise a place on the Steering Group for an elected member of NWOT. This will provide an important mechanism for mana whenua to shape the future direction of the site.

47. There are no anticipated financial implications.

48. Staff will facilitate the implementation of the model as part of baseline budgets.

49. The risk of not implementing the proposed model are continued operational issues not being dealt with in a cohesive way.

50. There is also risk that the positive community outcomes detailed in the concept plan may not be realised, and a reputational risk for all those involved in the development of the plan if it is not delivered.

51. Further, there is an expectation among stakeholders and mana whenua that a model will be implemented.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

52. If the local board supports the recommendation, this will initiate the implementation of the model as described.

53. Terms of reference for the Operations Working Group and Steering Group will be developed for the local board to endorse.

54. The model will be reviewed after six months and a report tabled at the local board’s September business meeting.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Item 11

Proposed Operational Management Model – The Landing

Key:
- Preferred communication channels
- Possible communication channels

1. Ōrākei Local Board
2. Governance
3. Operations
4. The Landing Steering Group
5. Operations Working Group
6. Community Partnerships
7. Operational Management
8. Operations
9. Key:
- Rate Payers
- Call Centre
- Venia
- 3 local board members, MNO, PSR & CF rep
- Future Planning, Service Outcomes & Strategic Delivery
- All stakeholders plus CF Rep and PSR Rep
- Day-to-day operational risks, issues and decisions
Robert Gear
Portfolio Manager, Parks, Sport and Recreation
Auckland Council

26th February 2019

Good afternoon Rob,

**AMSCT feedback to the proposed operational management structure for The Landing**

Thank you for the opportunity to submit feedback to Auckland Council, on the proposed operational management structure at the Landing in Okahu Bay.

In summary, AMSCT view the following points as significant and request further consideration is given to them before the operational model for the Landing is finalised:

- Getting the right balance between commercial activity and active participation at a Local Park. There is currently a conflict of interest between these interests, with the commercial operator managing the day to day operations of the site. If an employee of the commercial hardstand assumes the proposed ‘Operational Manager’ role, then this conflict of interest is not addressed within the proposed structure.

- Ensuring meaningful engagement and recognition of Ngati Whatua Orakei, as tangata whenua and as a stakeholder on The Landing. This is a statutory obligation and will assist in fulfilling the Council’s Māori responsiveness objectives.

- The opportunity to deliver the Local Board’s objectives and Auckland Council’s vision of “more people, more active, more often”, as detailed in Auckland’s Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (2014-2024). The site has the potential to be a regionally and nationally significant marine sport and recreation facility, and attract additional funding to deliver the Concept Plan, if the right operational and management structure is established.

- Continuing to deliver the Landing Concept Plan. The current Concept Plan was done in 2013 and there has been significant changes occurring in Auckland since then. While many of the key moves are likely to remain the same, there is the opportunity to re-engage with stakeholders to ensure that the plan meets the current demand and provide for the significant growth in activity for all the sporting groups involved in the site, while also maintaining public access.

- Alternative financial models are investigated for the funding of The Landing and its operation. There are many examples of innovative community-led and partnership governance models that could be adopted at the site, that if implemented would improve the level of service the Park could offer, and deliver outcomes sought by the Local Board and Council.
The Opportunity

The Landings is 3.2 hectares of park that is a true taonga. Unimpeded access to the sea, close to Auckland’s CBD and over 400 parking spaces makes this not only a treasure but an amazing opportunity. Auckland could have a facility that could cover a broad range of activity. From grass roots activity and an international venue delivering activity we could all be incredibly proud of.

It is estimated that by 2020 there will be over 80,000 structured water sports experiences being delivered per year in Auckland alone. The Landing, as part of the wider network of marine assets, plays a critical role in facilitating many of these. There is an opportunity to develop The Landing into a facility that is a true marine based community hub delivering tens of thousands of experiences for the public and sports groups membership. The site will also extend the pathway, for those that wish to, to create pathway for competitive athletes, to nurture and grow young talent, and bring international events to Auckland and NZ. The benefits to Ōrākei and Auckland will not only be social and environmental but also economic and financial. It will provide our children with a clear pathway and opportunities.

To achieve this, Auckland Council and community groups need to work together in partnership to ensure that the services being offered within the Ōrākei Local Board area meet future demand, are fit for purpose, respond to the most recent sport and recreation trends, and are delivered at minimal cost to ratepayers (Auckland Council, 2014) and the community. The opportunity to reduce the costs paid by Council to operate The Landing also exists.

By making changes to the way the site operates the Landing can be both a regional hub of world-class sailing and paddling events and as a community-serving public space that connects Aucklanders with the water, enabling greater community accessibility to the water and enhance access to marine and water-based education, sports and recreation. The Akarana Marine Sports Charitable Trust and the associated user groups (AMSCT) wants to ensure the site is prioritised as an educational sport and recreation community facility and can celebrate another 60 years of serving the community.
Ongoing challenges at The Landing

There remain many challenges at the site, including the water quality in the bay, Ōkahu Bay encroachment, user conflicts – safety, lack of storage and rigging space, inadequate facilities, private use perception and inaccessible areas that do not cater for all abilities (accessibility), lack of public amenities, and parking provision/allocation. In addition, there are more specific issues that are limiting the potential of the site from being realised:

- **Limited fiscal resources of Council**: there are limited financial resources to develop Council assets; no new funding has been allocated to The Landing. **This is unlikely to be addressed with the proposed operational management structure.**

- **Increasing demand and reduced capacity**: this has exacerbated the shortage of space to store the boats and waka required to enable active water sports. **This is unlikely to be addressed with the proposed operational management structure.**

- **Prioritisation of user groups/managing competing interests**: there is ever-increasing competition between user groups for space and operational autonomy over the various aspects of the site. Different user groups often have competing interests and there is no mechanism to prioritise the use allocation (time and space) objectively. **This is unlikely to be addressed with the proposed operational management structure.**

- **Site layout / configuration and operational structures**: the current configuration of The Landing does not maximise the capacity of the site, and this limits The Landing’s ability to host significant sports events on-site. The current infrastructure provision, operational and management structures at The Landing do not favour the hosting of significant events. To support the proposed intensification of use requires consideration of the potential amendments that could be made to the physical layout and the current operational and management arrangements at The Landing. **This is unlikely to be addressed with the proposed operational management structure.**

- **How community facilities are currently managed**: there are currently multiple community leases and some fractious users hinder collaboration and create continuing increases in administrative costs/overhead/expenses, which all need to be subsidised. Improved co-ordinating and sharing of assets and resources will provide a great outcome for all users. **This is unlikely to be addressed with the proposed operational management structure.**
Why we need to consider alternative operational arrangements at the Landing?

The Operations Management

The message from the February the 19th meeting with stakeholders indicated that the Hardstand Operator would be appointed as the day to day manager of the site and be actively involved in the Council governance group. It is difficult to understand how this role cannot be conflicted. They will have financial targets that they will be held accountable for and providing additional sporting activities will potentially diminish their ability to meet targets. This is a direct conflict of interests, as it would be for if one of the sporting user groups was to provide this service.

It would be great to see the budget allocated to an independent professional, with a sporting passion to fill the role. (A sports related person should be appointed as a the site is, as confirmed in the Orakei Local Board minutes on the 20th September 2018, “The Landing Concept Plan focuses on community aspirations and priorities to improved access to water-based recreational activities. Implementing the Concept Plan will support the outcome of ‘more Aucklanders, more active, more often’ as detailed in Auckland’s Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (2014-2024).”

The role of the on-site manager needs to align with the sports and recreation activity, and needs to be a sports and recreation professional. Many users of The Landing are the sport and recreational users, public boaters, sailors, paddlers and the general public walking and biking through the site. There is a complex arrangement of users and dedicated resource to co-ordinate and manage the scheduling and the timing of the various sport and recreation activities would allow for optimisation of the site, and therefore attraction of more funding to support development activities at the site. The majority of experiences occur during weekends and before and after normal business hours during the week. It would be preferable if the on-site manager was available to these groups and their volunteers to ensure effective engagement and operational management. The persons tasked with the co-ordination of these groups and activities should have the technical and subject matter skills and independence to provide them with the best experience.

The position description and duties of the on-site manager needs to clearly support these requirements and could include.

- Professional sports and recreation management, with proven track record
- Proven track record in sporting events management
- Strong Health and Safety skills
- Strong communication and listening skills
- A solution provider
Operations Working Group

The Operations Working Group needs to contain senior Community Facilities and Community Services representative to ensure the facilitation with other council officers. This also needs to also incorporate a minimum of 5 stakeholder groups plus Ngati Whatua Orakei.

This groups needs to have a clear and positive mandate to provide support and direction for activity and priority for The Landing.

The benefits of this model, should it be adopted, include:

- **Support the committed core user groups**; there needs to be an ongoing commitment to support all the existing user groups at the site and enable their core sporting activities. A large component of this is supporting growth in activity and the provision of assets to support the growth in activity. Sports equipment (yachts, surf skis, canoes, waka, safety and training boats) need to be located on site at the training venues and the logistics and set-up times must be minimised (to less than 15 minutes) so that users can maximise the effective time to be active on the water.

- **Provide greater access to meet increased demand**; the increasing numbers of Aucklanders wanting to participate in water and marine-based activities means community facilities need to be used more intensively and more efficiently. Multipurpose spaces and increasing the capacity of The Landing facilities to enable multiple and concurrent users at the site will help make it possible to meet the growing demand for a range of water sports access.

- **Increase pathways for all Aucklanders**; increasing capacity will also allow The Landing’s user groups to cater to more socio-culturally diverse users. Existing core user groups are committed and excited about their potential to better serve currently underrepresented residents. Examples include the ability of AMSCT to own and hire out boats on a casual, low-cost, time-efficient basis and to sponsor community groups to help get people from diverse backgrounds on to the water, including those who have higher barriers to participation.

- **Nurture New Zealand’s next generation of sports people**; in addition to providing greater grass roots and community access, competitive high-performance sport should also be encouraged at the site, as well as a broad range of complementary uses. To enable Auckland to better host national and international marine and watersports events, the public infrastructure needs to
be improved. Space needs to be made available so that those with aspirations to compete nationally and internationally can maximise their time on the water. Nurturing excellence in sport has been identified as a key driver of the Auckland City Plan and would significantly benefit not only Ōrākei Local Board residents but also the broader population of Auckland through the economic benefit resulting from improving the infrastructure at The Landing.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to make this submission. We look forward to working with the Ōrākei Local Board, Auckland Council, Ngāti Whatua Ōrākei and the other user groups to develop the taonga, that is Okahu Bay, into an active space that all of Auckland can be proud of.

We look forward to the next round of stakeholder engagement.

Kind Regards

Mark Taylor  
Chair

Andrew Brookland  
General Manager
Eastern End User Groups – The Okahu Landing

Firstly, on behalf of all user groups I would like to express our thanks for a very productive meeting, held in the spirit of cooperation and Unity.

As you will be aware, the position we are all at on the Landing is the result of very many years of robust debate and the 2013 Concept plan was the result of cooperation of many different and diverse groups.

We would like to state that this Plan must form the basis of all ongoing discussion and debate. All activities should be focused on the implementation of the plan which was carefully designed to achieve the prime goal of “Pathways to the Sea” – a carefully sculptured document to cater for all existing user groups and most importantly for the People of Auckland. These objectives are clearly enunciated in the Plan’s “Vision and objectives”.

The Proposed Operational Model for the Landing is, and was clearly stated at the meeting, a fluid structure that will inevitably change to accommodate the needs of the various groups as the Plan is implemented.

The Model as presented was an excellent start but it soon became clear when Council staff attempted to explain details of the Model structure, that it was overly complicated and could be simplified.

The Eastern End User Groups propose a simplified structure that we believe will facilitate the implementation of the 2013 Concept Plan. This is attached as appendix 1.

1. Clarity is introduced into the membership of the Park Portfolio Group and we suggest renaming to the Landing Portfolio Group when dealing with the business of the Landing.

2. Ngati Whatua Orakei have been added as Auckland Council ‘partners’ and an integral part of the Model, not as users of the Landing, but as an entity that exists in its own right outside of the Users Groups, and recognises the existence of Ngati Whatua Orakei as Tangata Whenua of the area.

3. Lastly the lines of reporting have been changed to reflect what we believe will occur in practice. This affects only the Operations Working group and allows for a simpler escalation of issues between the various working groups.

The Operational Management Model has in our opinion,

A goal

To implement the 2013 Concept Plan as efficiently as possible for the benefit of all Aucklanders.

An aim

To achieve the goal through harmonious interaction by all user groups that were party to the 2013 Plan and to do so as quickly as possible.

Future

When the 2013 Concept Plan has been achieved, the Model will need to be reviewed and maybe reformed to cater for a new goal – that of expanding, where feasible, the use of the Landing by other groups, taking into account the severe lack of parking in the area and the paramount need to retain easy access to the sea – to facilitate Pathways to the Sea.
Submission to Auckland Council

By: Orakei Marina Management Trust

Topic: Proposed Operational Model for The Landing

1. Orakei Marina Management Trust ("the Trust") agrees that the Management Plan "Pathways to the Sea" developed in 2013 was and is the appropriate document to guide management of The Landing.

2. The Trust recognises Ngati Whatua o Orakei as Mana Whenua of Okahu Bay and Pokaia Point. It recognises that Ngati Whatua o Orakei has a special relationship with the Bay and the Point. The goals and aspirations Ngati Whatua o Orakei have for Okahu Bay should receive special consideration.

3. The Trust generally supports the proposed Operational Model for The Landing.

4. The Trust questions whether the Operational Model has or requires the number of reporting steps depicted in the chart. For example, the role of On-site Operations Manager might usefully sit within either the Site Users Group, or alternatively the Operations Working Group.

5. The Trust agrees that all site users should have an equal voice and that the place for that voice is within the Site Users Group. The Trust accepts that no particular user has any pre-eminence or seniority.

6. The Trust agrees that the proposed Operational Model encourages dialogue between users and so promotes cohesion.

7. The Trust believes that on-site management should be neutral as between users. While this neutrality does not preclude management contracts being entered into with particular users, care must be taken to ensure that the particular contracted site manager does not acquire influence as a result of holding the contract.
8. The Trust feels the site has been managed well by the current Manager.

9. The Trust agrees that “Pathways to the Sea” contemplates development of The Landing by existing users before consideration can be given to any new user group.

10. However, recreational fishermen who launch trailer boats from the ramp and who park vehicles and trailers at The Landing are among the biggest users over summer. STF believes it is able to represent the interests of these users. The matter should be kept under review, particularly in light of the pressure on carparking arising from recreational fishing uses, and risk to members of the public using Tamaki Drive and The Landing arising from vehicle and trailer movements.

11. The Trust believes the principal role of on-site management is health and safety. Development and promotion of recreational activities is a matter which should be addressed by the Site Users Group.

12. The Trust looks forward to receiving advice about the manner in which the fee it pays for the easement it enjoys providing access to the marina is used. The Trust had expected the easement fee would be available to promote recreational activities from The Landing. It does not know whether this is being achieved.

Dated: 25 February 2019
Summary of feedback from mana whenua - Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei

Date: 15 March 2019

Meeting attendees:
Sharon Hawke - Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Trust (elected representative)
Wyllis Maihi - Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei Trust (elected representative)
Robert W. Gear – PSR Portfolio Manager

Location: The Landing, Okahu Bay

Purpose: to seek mana whenua feedback on the proposed operational management model

General feedback
- Emphasised the ongoing and enduring relationship between the iwi and the area
- Importance of ecological restoration of Okahu Bay
- Expressed that other waka ama groups should be considered in list of “approved” stakeholders
- OceanBlue Sports Club (waka ama) want to be recognized as official stakeholder and formalise space on the site
- Used to have a ranger/security to monitor the beach – could this be reinstated and a shared resource with the Landing

Operations Manager
- Concerns over potential conflict of interest if STF Marine perform the function of operations manager
- Requested more details about the role
- Suggested other stakeholder groups could put forward a candidate

Operational Model
- Support in principle
- Wanted to see NWOT represented at the Steering Group level
- Once formalised, this could be a position which the Trust would appoint
New Community Lease to Royal New Zealand Plunket

File No.: CP2020/00378

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To grant a new community lease to Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust, for rooms within the Ellerslie War Memorial Hall, 138 Main Highway, Ellerslie.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Royal New Zealand Plunket Society Incorporated, holds a community lease in Ellerslie War Memorial Hall building with Auckland Council, commencing 1 January 1999 with a final expiry 31 December 2013.
3. The premises situated at 138 Main Highway, Ellerslie are held by the Auckland Council in fee simple under the Local Government Act 2002.
4. In 2017, all leases for Plunket sites were assigned to a new entity, the Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust (Plunket).
5. The current lease is holding over on a monthly basis.
6. The proposed lease was workshoped with the local board in 2019. Staff recommended that the local board not call for expressions of interest as the group’s activities are regarded as being the best use of the premises, offering various services beneficial to a significant number of families and children within the community.
7. The proposed Plunket lease is on the north western side of the hall building (Attachment A).
8. Sections 138 and 81(1) of the Local Government Act 2002 requires council to consult with the community and iwi before granting a lease. Iwi were consulted in 2019 and were supportive of granting a new lease to the group. Public notification will be undertaken once the local board has considered and resolved on this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) agree not to call for expressions of interest for the proposed lease for the rooms within the Ellerslie War Memorial Hall, 138 Main Highway, Ellerslie.

b) subject to one month’s public notification and there being no adverse submissions, approve a new community lease to Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust, within the council owned Ellerslie War Memorial Hall at 138 Main Highway Ellerslie, described as being Part Lot 84 Deeds Plan - 607m² - NA877/36 and Part Lot 85 Deeds Plan 1397 - 607 m² - NA877/37 (both limited as to Parcels), shown in Attachment A, on the following terms and conditions:

i) term – five years commencing 1 March 2019 with one (1) five (5) year right of renewal;

ii) rent – One dollar ($1) plus GST per annum if demanded;

iii) payment of an operational charge of $250 plus GST per annum

iv) all other terms and conditions in accordance with the Local Government Act.

v) the approved Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust Community Outcomes Plan being attached to the lease document.

c) appoint a hearings panel to consider any submissions received following the public notification, and delegate the panel to make a decision on behalf of the local board.

d) delegate authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair to approve the Community Outcomes Plan to be attached to the lease as a schedule.

Horopaki Context

9. Plunket holds a community lease space in the Ellerslie War Memorial Hall with Auckland Council, which commenced on 1 January 1999 and reached its final expiry on 31 December 2013.

10. The current lease is holding over on a monthly basis. Plunket have applied for a new lease to authorise their occupation in the community hall building.

11. The leased space includes: a waiting and play area, a clinic used for examination of clients and doubles as an office which also has tea/coffee making facilities and sink.

12. Plunket has shared use of the bathroom and entrance into their leased area.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

The Land

13. The land at 138 Main Highway, Ellerslie is legally described as Part Lot 84 Deeds Plan - 607m2 - NA877/36 (Limited as to Parcels) and Part Lot 85 Deeds Plan 1397 - 607 m2 - NA877/37 (Limited as to Parcels), both held by the Auckland Council in fee simple under the Local Government Act 2002.

The Building

14. Plunket is already occupying the space in the north western side of the Hall. The proposed leased area is 35 square meters more or less and includes exclusive use of one (1) clinic/office used to see clients with tea/coffee facilities and sink; and a waiting and play area.

Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust

15. Plunket is a national not-for-profit organisation, that is community owned and governed. Plunket provides a caring, professional well child and whanau service committed to providing access to services for all children and families regardless of ethnicity, location or financial situation.

16. Programmes have been designed to support whanau with young children and offer a range of activities including support and developmental assessments of children at varying stages between birth and five years.

17. Plunket visits can take place at pre-schools, marae and other community facilities. Each visit gives parents the opportunity to discuss parenting and whanau issues and children’s health and development.

18. Whanau have access to Plunket services at the Ellerslie clinic two days per week. For other days, it is used by staff as an office base to complete administrative work related to home visits to whanau in the community.
19. Plunket has submitted a comprehensive application and is able to demonstrate its viability to deliver services. A site visit has been undertaken and the Plunket clinic is well managed and maintained.

20. A community outcomes plan is being negotiated with Plunket that identifies the benefits it will provide to the community. This will be attached as a schedule to the lease document, when completed. This report recommends this be approved by the Chair and Deputy Chair.

21. Plunket is financially viable and audited accounts show proper accounting records have been kept.

22. Community groups occupying rooms within larger council owned buildings are required to pay an operational charge at a rate of $25 per square metre. The amount charged to groups is a contribution towards the direct costs council incurs for the occupation of the building by the group.

23. This report recommends the Ōrākei Local Board grant a new community lease to Plunket for a term of five (5) years with one (1) five (5) year right of renewal.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**  
*Climate impact statement*

24. There is no impact on Green House Gas emissions as the proposal does not introduce any new source of emissions.

25. Climate change is unlikely to impact the lease as the site does not sit within a flooding zone or near the coast.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
*Council group impacts and views*

26. Staff have obtained input from colleagues in Operational Management and Maintenance. No concerns were raised regarding the new community lease to the club.

27. The proposed new community lease has no identified impact on other parts of the council group.

28. The views of council-controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of this report’s advice.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**  
*Local impacts and local board views*

29. The proposed new community lease was workshopped in 2019 with the Ōrākei Local Board. The Ōrākei Local Board is supportive of a new community lease without the need for an expression of interest process.

30. An expression of interest process is usually undertaken when a new lease is available to ensure the highest and best use is accommodated. Staff recommend that the local board forego this process, as the activities of the group are the best use of the premises, offering services which are beneficial to a significant number within the community.

31. Before granting a lease of longer than six months, section 138 of the Local Government Act 2002 requires council to consult with the community on the proposal. This is done by way of advertising in the local newspaper and on the council website.

32. The Ōrākei Local Board is the allocated authority to approve the granting of a new community lease. The board should also appoint a hearings panel in case any submitters to the public notification call, request to be heard in relation to their submission.

33. The recommendations within this report support the Ōrākei Local Board Plan 2017 outcome of ‘Our residents are proud of their community facilities and public spaces.’
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

34. Council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi and its broader legal obligations to Māori. The council recognises these responsibilities are distinct from the Crown’s Treaty obligations and fall within a local government Tāmaki Makaurau context. These commitments are articulated in the council’s key strategic planning documents, the Auckland Plan, the Long-term Plan 2012-2022, the Unitary Plan and Local Board Plans.

35. The proposal has been presented to a Mana Whenua forum. Iwi groups supported the proposed new lease to Plunket.

36. Plunket actively supports whanau Māori to achieve and maintain their maximum health and wellbeing. Plunket do this by providing a quality health service, and by working closely with others in the health sector.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

37. There are no financial implications for council in granting this lease. The cost of advertising is borne by the Community Facilities department.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

38. If a new community lease is not granted to Plunket the lease will continue to hold over on a month by month basis. This will inhibit Plunket’s ability to:
   • Plan and develop programmes specifically designed for the local community.
   • Continue to deliver its services to the community with surety.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

39. Subject to the grant of a new community lease, staff will work with Plunket to finalise the community lease arrangement.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment A: Site Plan of Leased Premises</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Valerie Vui - Community Lease Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Ōrākei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A: Leased premises to The Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust at Ellerslie War Memorial Hall, 138 Main Highway, Ellerslie, hatched in yellow.
City Centre Masterplan refresh response

File No.: CP2020/01310

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To request formal feedback on the draft City Centre Masterplan 2020 from Ōrākei Local Board.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. This report is an overview of an eight-month period of consultation and targeted engagement, undertaken with the council group and the public. This in turn represents the culmination of almost three years of City Centre Masterplan (CCMP) and Waterfront Plan refresh work.

3. On 2 July 2019, the Planning Committee unanimously approved a plan to seek public input on the high-level outcomes, transformational moves and content of the CCMP.

4. Between July 2019 and February 2020, more than 50 presentations, workshops, targeted meetings and other engagements were undertaken across the council family and with external audiences. This informed the subsequent public consultation.

5. During public consultation, 542 public submissions were made on the CCMP from individuals and organisations. 76 per cent of the submissions supported the CCMP. Themes arising from this feedback, along with that already gathered, have helped to refine the masterplan.

6. A detailed submission on the CCMP was provided by Auckland Transport (AT). It identifies that Access for Everyone (A4E) – a fundamentally different way of managing transport within the city centre - aligns with AT’s existing mode shift plans and can be achieved if new enabling programmes are funded and implemented. The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) also identified A4E as a flagship opportunity to shape travel within the city centre.

7. Two workshops took place with the Ōrākei Local Board in 2019 to develop and refine the revised vision for the city centre and waterfront.

8. A summary of the public feedback has been communicated widely to all submitters and stakeholder groups involved in the process. The full feedback report is available on request.

9. The CCMP engagement process, results and changes will be presented and discussed at a Planning Committee Workshop on 19 February 2020 to provide Planning Committee with an update, prior to the following month’s Planning Committee meeting.

10. The intention is to seek an endorsement of the refreshed CCMP at the 5 March 2020 Planning Committee, thus marking the completion of the 2012 CCMP refresh.

11. The CCMP is a high-level vision. The completion of the CCMP refresh will enable and inform subsequent projects, programmes and interventions to deliver the vision for Auckland city centre and waterfront.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) provide formal feedback on the draft City Centre Masterplan 2020 by 21 February 2020.
Horopaki

Context

12. Since July 2019, the CCMP refresh team has acted on the instructions of Planning Committee to undertake engagement on the CCMP refresh. The purpose of this report is to show:
   a) how we have engaged with subject matter experts (SMEs), stakeholders and partners via targeted and public consultation
   b) the themes emerging from the consultation and how this material has informed and refined the CCMP material
   c) how completion of the CCMP refresh will inform the council group’s actions to continue to deliver the vision for Auckland city centre.

Progress of update

13. At a Planning Committee meeting on 28 March 2017, elected members reiterated support for the CCMP vision and resolved (PLA/2017/31) to support a refresh to the CCMP implementation strategy. The targeted refresh of the Waterfront Plan was also noted (PLA/2017/32).

14. At the Planning Committee meeting on 27 November 2018, elected members gave unanimous support to the CCMP refresh as a light-touch digital document, integrating the Waterfront Plan into the CCMP and incorporating rolling updates. (PLA/2018/121).

15. At the above meeting, the key focus areas for rolling updates were agreed to be:
   • Māori Outcomes
   • Grafton Gully Boulevard
   • Queen Street - Access for Everyone.

16. The resolution (PLA/2018/121) also emphasised the importance of collaboration in the CCMP refresh and instructed Auckland Council to develop material for public consultation, to begin in mid-2019.

17. A series of workshops with the Planning Committee on 26 March, 16 May and 12 June 2019 informed the development of a consultation approach that incorporated these new updates into a light-touch update of the 2012 CCMP’s guiding factors and transformational moves.

18. At a Planning Committee meeting on 2 July 2019, staff were instructed (PLA/2019/62) to develop consultation material, undertake targeted and public engagement, and report back to the Planning Committee in early 2020.

Overview of CCMP consultation and engagement

19. From March 2019, the CCMP refresh team worked closely with Auckland Council’s Citizen and Customer Engagement representatives to develop a consultation and engagement plan. The Planning Committee approved this plan at its meeting on 2 July 2019. The plan was developed to be consistent with equivalent non-statutory consultations.

Targeted engagement

20. The first stage of the CCMP consultation occurred between July and August 2019. It centred on a programme of targeted engagement. This included, but was not limited to engagement with:
   i. Elected members
   ii. Partners
   iii. Council internal audiences
   iv. Council-Controlled Organisations (CCOs)
   v. Advisory panels
   vi. City centre reference groups
vii. Parties directly affected by upcoming changes.

21. This targeted engagement was intended to update partners and stakeholders with the Planning Committee decision. It provided consistent knowledge of the CCMP refresh process across the council whanau and enabled the CCMP refresh team to develop and refine the public consultation material.

22. Targeted engagement ensured that the consultation material was consistent with statutory planning guidance for the whole city: the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Unitary Plan and also the Auckland Transport Alignment Plan.

23. A city centre reference group ran throughout 2019, consisting of membership organisations, such as the NZ Automobile Association (NZAA) and Heart of the City. During the targeted engagement, the reference group members were also invited to shape the consultation material and to canvass opinions from their members in providing feedback to the public consultation.

24. Targeted engagement was completed in the first half of August 2019. Findings from this process helped to shape the public consultation material.

Public engagement

25. The second stage of CCMP engagement was public consultation. Members of the public were invited to review the city centre proposals and provide feedback online via the council’s ‘Have Your Say’ website. This ran from 9 September to 18 October 2019.

26. All relevant information and documentation was available online at https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say. Hard copies of the relevant information were made available in libraries, local board offices and service centres. Written feedback was gathered through forms (online and hard copy), emails, letters and proformas.

27. The decision to consult on the revised CCMP received widespread coverage in the national press, including Scoop, Stuff and the New Zealand Herald. In order to raise awareness of the engagement process and opportunity to participate, the CCMP consultation was advertised via Auckland Council digital platforms, with articles published on OurAuckland and the Auckland Council Facebook and Twitter pages.

28. E-mails were sent to the stakeholders and partners who had already contributed, as well as the thousands of members of the Auckland Council People’s Panel, via the People’s Panel Newsletter.

29. The consultation was also picked up by membership groups, including (but not limited to) Architecture Now, Property Council NZ, the City Centre Residents’ Group (CCRG) and Greater Auckland. All of these resources provided links to the CCMP consultation and urged people to respond.

30. Public feedback was independently assessed by the consultancy Buzz Channel. The results and the subsequent changes are set out in the next section.

Public engagement v2

31. A second round of targeted engagement took place in December 2019 and January 2020 in response to detailed submissions from major city centre stakeholders. These included Auckland Museum and Art Gallery, Ports of Auckland Ltd, Cooper & Co and others. This allowed their submissions and the CCMP to be discussed in greater detail.

32. The CCMP has thus been subject to an extensive programme of consultation and engagement, designed to develop a coordinated high-level vision for the heart of Auckland. The next section summarises the themes emerging in the public consultation and how this has shaped the CCMP.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

33. Public consultation on the CCMP refresh ended on 18 October 2019, attracting 542 submissions from individuals and organisations. Feedback was sought on five documents – all of which had been refined and finalised in July and August 2019 via targeted engagement.

1. Outcomes
2 & 3 Transformational Moves (summary and full text)
4 & 5 Access for Everyone (summary and full text)

34. Every submission on the CCMP was read and recorded by the Auckland Design Office. Responses requiring further advice were forwarded to subject matter experts. Over 3,000 line items were entered into the consultation database for record-keeping and further consideration. Submissions were received from every local board area.

35. Independent analysis provided further insight. A public consultation feedback report was produced by Buzz Channel. This report analysed the responses to determine the levels of support for the CCMP vision and identify the main themes emerging from the consultation.

Themes – overall CCMP vision.

36. Among the 542 respondents, 76 per cent supported the general direction of the CCMP, with 10 per cent opposing and 14 per cent neutral. Throughout the feedback there was a general desire for urgency, tangible action and progress towards the goals and direction expressed in the CCMP.

37. Among the 76 per cent of responses in support of the CCMP, the most frequently mentioned theme was its direction towards greater pedestrian friendliness in the city centre.

38. The plan’s environmental focus was also well-received, with participants supporting moves to make the city centre a more human-focused and environmentally friendly space. Improved air quality and plans to combat the effects of climate change were a particular focus.

39. The need to improve Auckland’s public transport was also mentioned throughout the feedback, and many felt this would be essential to deliver the CCMP’s goals.

40. Among those who did not support the CCMP, the primary reasons related to a general dislike of the direction or potential costs of the proposals, a desire to focus on other areas of Auckland rather than the city centre, and a sense that the plan under-emphasised vehicular access.

41. There were no fundamental or overwhelming issues arising from the CCMP consultation. The general direction, outcomes and transformational moves outlined in the CCMP were generally supported by a large majority of respondents. This feedback has allowed us to make improvements to the CCMP material while having confidence in the overall vision.

42. When considering comments for inclusion, the scope of the CCMP (i.e. as a high-level urban design vision) was used to determine the extent to which they were appropriate for incorporation.
Themes emerging – Outcomes

43. Ten city centre-wide outcomes were consulted on:

CCMP Outcomes as consulted: August – September 2019
1) Tamaki Makaurau: Our place in the world
2) Accessible city centre
3) Inclusive, engaging and child-friendly city centre
4) Green city centre
5) Public life
6) Liveable city centre
7) Quality built form
8) Heritage-defined city centre
9) Sustainable city centre
10) Prosperous city centre

44. All ten Outcomes were supported by at least two thirds of the participants. The highest support was for Accessible City Centre (90 per cent), Green City Centre (88 per cent) and Liveable City Centre (87 per cent).

45. While people talked in detail about various additions and requirements for the CCMP, the main general theme about the outcomes was that they covered the important aspirations for the city centre and were important goals to focus on.

46. Some felt that the plans sounded good but wanted to see progress on actual tangible outcomes and improvement to the city centre. Others asked what, specifically, the outcomes meant and what actions and proposals they would trigger. They felt the outcomes were too vague / open to interpretation and possibly overlapped.

47. Some felt the CCMP could have more focus on creating a city that values people and the public, with amenities and facilities for public, cultural and recreational use (as well as commercial). This related to a theme of inclusivity: to make the city centre welcoming and accessible for people on lower incomes and from all Auckland suburbs.

48. A small proportion of participants felt the CCMP proposals went too far in prioritising pedestrian access over vehicle / driver access. They felt that prioritisation of car access was critical for the city centre.

Changes in emphasis to Outcomes

49. Based on these themes, alterations were made to emphasise the following four Outcomes to make them clearer and more focused.

Outcome 2: Accessible City Centre was renamed as Connected City Centre. This was because the term "accessible" led respondents to expect this Outcome to address universal design. This was and is covered in Outcome 3. The new title "Connected" emphasises this move’s focus on transport at a local, regional and national scale, particularly the city centre’s relationship with the rest of Auckland.

Cities are places of connectivity and this is particularly so in the city centre. It also addresses the use of urban design to create streets and public spaces that enable people to connect with one another, enabling connectivity at a person-to-person level.

The messaging on this Outcome emphasises that the city centre is well-connected within itself, with the rest of Auckland, to the rest of the country and the rest of the world.

Outcome 3: Inclusive, Engaging and Child-Friendly City Centre was renamed to be: Accessible and Inclusive City Centre. This centred the outcome on universal design and diversity. Sub-sections cover child-friendliness and healthy ageing. Content on cultural activation was moved to Outcome 5: Public Life.
The messaging with outcome 3 is one of inclusivity. Everyone is invited to Auckland city centre. All are welcome and it will work for everyone.

Outcome 5: Public Life now contains the “engaging” material previously in Outcome 3. As a whole, this Outcome covers the contribution that public buildings and spaces make to public life. Urban design can help enhance public life through better streets, better spaces, new connections and new destinations.

Outcome 6: Liveable City Centre was renamed Residential City Centre Neighbourhoods. The new title emphasises that this Outcome is specifically about improving the experience of living in the city centre. It focuses on the importance of safe streets, well-defined neighbourhoods and the ‘good ordinary’ streets that are essential for successful residential neighbourhoods, plus the associated design of buildings and their relationship with the street.

50. Feedback on the Outcomes also shaped the Transformational Moves and A4E text, particularly around inclusion, accessibility and safety.

Themes emerging – Transformational Moves

51. Eight Transformational Moves were consulted on:

CCMP Transformational Moves as consulted: August – September 2019
- 1) Māori Outcomes
- 2) East and West Stitch
- 3) Waihorotiu Queen Street Valley
- 4) Innovation Cradle
- 5) Rapid Transit-Oriented Development
- 6) Green Link
- 7) City to the Villages
- 8) Harbour Edge Stitch

52. All eight Transformational Moves were supported by the majority of respondents. The highest support was for Move 5 - Rapid Transit Oriented Development (86 per cent) and Move 6 - Green Link (86 per cent). There was relatively less support for Moves 4 (Innovation Cradle) and 1 (Māori Outcomes).

53. Among the highest-supported moves, the most consistent theme was one of impatience. Respondents overwhelmingly support the idea of a pedestrian-priority Queen Street, a Victoria Street Linear Park, with people able to access the whole city through well-connected rapid transit.

54. The responses to Move 4 highlighted confusion around its purpose. As consulted, it was the only non-spatial Move, with a specific emphasis on supporting start-up businesses. Responses highlighted support for the spatial elements: integrating the universities into the fabric of the city centre and enriching the city’s social, economic and cultural offer.

Changes in emphasis to Transformational Moves

55. Based on these themes, changes were made to one Transformational Move:

Transformational Move 4: Learning Quarter was renamed City as Campus. It has been edited with input from the University of Auckland and Auckland University of Technology, plus Regional Facilities Auckland (RFA) and other city centre stakeholders and partners. It now has a purely spatial focus, consistent with the other seven Moves and will strengthen the relationship between the city centre and the universities.

Themes emerging – Access for Everyone (A4E)

56. A4E received 82 per cent support. In line with feedback on move 5 (Rapid Transit Oriented Development), a significant theme for the A4E proposal was that this was critical for Auckland’s future, and should be an urgent priority. A4E enables a pedestrian-priority Queen
Street. Some expressed frustration that this plan had seemingly been so long in the making, and that the council needed to fully support it, quickly.

57. A4E submissions included a detailed and supportive submission from Auckland Transport (AT). This noted that A4E aligns with AT’s existing mode shift plans and could be achieved if new enabling programmes are funded and implemented. It also acknowledged that “a greater modal balance in the way people across the region” would be fundamental in achieving the CCMP’s goals.

58. The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) also supported A4E in its submission and cited A4E as a flagship programme in traffic management in its submission: Keeping Cities Moving, published in December 2019.

59. A submission from the New Zealand Automobile Association (NZAA) contained results of a membership survey. From 762 respondents 64 per cent supported or strongly supported the vision of Queen Street as a transit street, as envisaged by A4E.

60. A common theme among supporters and detractors of A4E was the need for deep and meaningful engagement with city centre stakeholders, particularly those involved with movement of people and goods in the city centre.

Changes in emphasis to A4E

61. In light of this feedback, the A4E material was changed to emphasise that the next stage is to develop the A4E concept into a detailed plan. This will require a well-resourced, long-term process for engagement, analysis and design. The text also places more emphasis on the use of transition planning to create early wins in support of a longer term-vision for A4E.

Summary of consultation themes and changes

62. The public consultation identified themes to inform the CCMP, while showing a majority consensus among respondents in favour of the overall vision. This work is thus the latest step in a continuous thread of Planning Committee-endorsed engagement over the past three years. It has received considerable input from around 50 representative bodies via targeted engagement and 542 public submissions.

63. With endorsement from the Planning Committee of the changes, the council whanau will be able to proceed with delivering the plans, programmes and projects that make up the CCMP vision.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

64. The direction of the CCMP with regard to climate change has been welcomed by respondents. The plan’s environmental focus was also well-received. 19 per cent of participants supported the CCMP based on the vision of a more human-focused and environmentally friendly space. 17 per cent of responses supported the CCMP’s focus on improved air quality and plans to combat the effects of climate change.

65. The largest greenhouse gas emitting sector in the Auckland region is road transport (30 per cent). To address carbon emissions from transport, Auckland Council has committed to the C40 Fossil Fuel Free Streets Declaration to procure only zero-emission buses from 2025; and crucially creating a Zero-Emissions Area (ZEA) in the city centre by 2030.

66. This would be principally delivered via Access for Everyone (A4E) which plays a key role in delivering a ZEA by establishing the physical street changes required to reduce the impacts of road transport on the city centre.

67. The CCMP aims to reduce emissions by enabling mode shift towards public transport and active travel as part of the city centre vision. The CCMP envisages the delivery of a ZEA in the Waihorotiu Queen Street valley. This delivers an expansive pedestrian priority zone through the heart of the city centre, significantly reducing motorised through-traffic. It is consistent with CCMP Transformational Moves 3 and 5 as well as A4E.
68. The need for mode shift is also identified by AT in their submission which stipulated a requirement for a 30 per cent reduction in private motor vehicle traffic. NZTA also supports A4E as a flagship traffic management programme.

69. The CCMP also addresses climate change adaptation. Transformational Move 6 (TM6) envisages green links through the city centre, concentrated in Victoria Street Linear Park. As well as providing high-quality amenity space, this intervention would provide shade and shelter, enabling city centre streets to cope with projected hotter temperatures and heavier rainfall. Climate change adaptation is also addressed in CCMP Outcome 4 (Green City Centre) and Outcome 9 (Sustainable City Centre).

70. The CCMP enables activity to be concentrated in the area of Auckland that is most accessible by foot, cycle or public transport. This addresses the single biggest cause of carbon emissions in Auckland. Mode shift, as part of A4E, is expected to reduce emissions further.

71. The CCMP is thus consistent with Auckland’s high-level vision for climate action. Working within its remit, it sets out a clear vision addressing climate mitigation and adaptation within the city centre.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

72. This work has entailed constant input from CCOs, particularly Panuku and AT.

73. Panuku’s input has delivered a consistent high-level vision for the City Centre and Waterfront. The 2012 Waterfront Plan goals and ideas for delivery were captured in Transformational Move 8 Waterfront and Harbour Edge Stitch. This summarised future moves along the waterfront such as the updated Wynyard Point planning and Central Wharves Strategy. This was supported by 82 per cent of submitters, many of whom commented on the waterfront as Auckland’s greatest asset and the need to improve connections and public access.

74. The work currently underway to update planning for Wynyard Point, based on the council’s decisions in 2017, will be discussed with this Committee later this year. This will form an update to the Wynyard Precinct of the Waterfront Plan and a pre-cursor to a plan change. This work will be reported back to the Panuku board later this year.

75. AT’s submission on the CCMP identified a series of workstreams necessary to deliver the transport outcomes of the new city centre vision. In particular, AT identified that the full implementation of the Access for Everyone concept would likely require a 30 per cent reduction in general traffic during the two-hour morning peak and an increase in peak public transport capacity of 11,000 over that which is already planned for. It also identified the need for:

a) A well-resourced and clear communications plan around the city centre transition to a new transport system.

b) significant ongoing stakeholder engagement (led by the council) on the changes

(c) LTP and RLTP bids for new projects and initiatives to support the necessary mode shift

d) significant ongoing work on developing, assessing and implementing the new projects and initiatives

e) a range of travel behaviour change efforts to support and enable the mode shift.

76. Delivery of the CCMP vision will entail close working with AT. A staff working group with cross-AT disciplines relevant to city centre matters was established in October 2019. It includes representatives from across the council and several teams from the NZTA. This group, led by AT’s Planning and Investment team, will report through established city centre governance mechanisms and progress will also be reported to the Planning Committee. The group will provide input into established budgeting processes, business case development and ongoing operational programmes.
77. This group is now commencing a comprehensive investigation into the implementation of the A4E concept, including the opportunity to develop pilot projects as part of a transition plan. AT has noted that substantial future funding for A4E initiatives and projects will be required (e.g. additional public transport capacity, modifications to the strategic network, etc.) and this upcoming work will identify this in more detail.

78. Regional Facilities Auckland (RFA) has been involved throughout the CCMP development. In its submission to the consultation, RFA commended the work done to date on the CCMP and is very keen to be involved in assisting Auckland Council to achieve the outcomes of the CCMP. Particular areas of interest include:
   a) Aotea Quarter - entrenching the Aotea Quarter as the civic, arts and cultural heart of the City.
   b) The Harbour Edge Stitch - RFA is very keen to be involved in understanding how we can contribute to improving the experiences of visitors to the waterfront
   c) The East West Stitch - RFA supports the concept of the boulevard for the Grafton Gully/The Strand as an important part of activating the eastern part of the City Centre
   d) Maori Outcomes – RFA fully supports the inclusion of the Maori outcomes in the refresh.

79. A policy mapping exercise in 2019 identified the considerable synergies between the CCMP and the Auckland Plan 2050. The CCMP is thus in effect the non-statutory area-based plan for delivering the Auckland Plan Outcomes in the city centre.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

80. In November 2018, a memo was sent to local boards which provided information on the Auckland Design Office (ADO) work programme for updating the 2012 CCMP. Local boards were invited to provide their feedback on the concepts through workshops and business meetings. The Ārākei and Waitamata Local Boards provided feedback on the concepts. A further update was circulated to all local boards in July 2019.

81. Two CCMP refresh workshops took place with the Ārākei Local Board in 2019. A workshop on 10 February 2019 provided an update on the CCMP refresh process and components. The second workshop occurred on 10 December. It provided a high-level summary of the CCMP consultation results and invited the board to provide formal feedback.

82. The Ārākei Local Board provided informal feedback in February 2020.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

83. The CCMP refresh team has actively supported the Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum (MWKF) Culture and Identity pou over the past year in developing this content.

84. The Auckland Plan Māori Identity and Wellbeing outcome identifies that: “A thriving Māori identity is Auckland’s point of difference in the world – it advances prosperity for Māori and benefits all Aucklanders.” The objective is that the city centre reflects this aspiration. This has shaped the CCMP refresh.

85. The greatest changes around the CCMP are centred on the Māori Identify and Wellbeing outcome in the Auckland Plan 2050. This resulted in both a new outcome and a new transformational move in the CCMP.

86. Outcome 1 Tāmaki Makaurau: Our place in the world envisages contemporary Māori life and culture and mana whenua having a prominent, authentic and active presence in the city centre.

87. Transformational Move 1 Māori Outcomes: This new move proposes a series of place-based interventions through the city centre and waterfront.
88. In support of the goals expressed above, the MWKF has approved text and mapped preliminary concepts for further development over the next six months.

89. The CCMP refresh team has also engaged with non-mana whenua iwi. Mataawaka were invited to participate as part of the targeted engagement. Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei contributed to the development of transformational move 2 and provided a detailed submission on the CCMP.

90. CCMP content will continue to be developed in partnership with the MWKF. It will also be informed by the Independent Māori Statutory Board’s Schedule of Issues of Significance. Additional advice has been provided by Auckland Council Māori Design subject matter experts and by the Māori Outcomes Advisor.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

91. The CCMP will shape future inputs and submissions to the Long-Term Plan (LTP) and Regional Land Transport Plan (RLTP) starting from March 2020.

92. AT has noted that substantial future funding for A4E initiatives and projects will be required (e.g. additional public transport capacity, modifications to the strategic network, etc).

93. The CCMP will provide the high-level vision and inform the strategic case for future projects and initiatives in the city centre and waterfront.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

94. Timing: The CCMP refresh has been intended for sign-off by 5 March 2020 in order to inform the 2021-24 LTP process. A delay to the CCMP refresh process would thus have knock-on effects on the funding of city centre and waterfront programmes and projects.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

95. Planning Committee workshop 19 February - discuss CCMP content

96. Planning Committee Meeting 5 March – endorse CCMP content
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Regional Facilities Auckland
Quarter 1 Performance Report
For the period ending 30 September 2019

This report outlines the key performance of Regional Facilities Auckland
Regional Facilities Auckland Q1 summary

Highlights, issues & risks for the quarter:

Highlights:
1. Auckland Stadiums: Mt Smart Stadium played host to the first ever standalone NRL Women’s Premiership (NRLW) fixture, Warriors v Dragons (crowd circa 3,000). Key Western Springs announcements for the summer concert season included massive headline acts for Friday Jams return, Festival X Rising and Fat Freddy’s Drop.
2. Auckland Convention: 113 events were delivered across our venues, attracting around 59,000 attendees. The biggest was New Zealand Fashion Week, which returned to Auckland Town Hall after 16 years and attracted over 24,000 people. North Harbour Stadium hosted 32 events and Aotea Centre hosted 27 (welcoming 29,000 people).
3. Auckland Live: School of Rock – The Musical rocked The Civic in September, with more than 28,000 tickets sold for the almost four-week season. Other successful key events included Mr Red Light, James Morrison, The Children, Pick & Mix, Area 51, Rock Onset, Will Rock You, Neil Young’s Live Rust, War Horse, Sam Walker, 7 Days Live, Nga Puke. Partnership with ATEED transformed Aotea Square into the Elemental Hub in July — alongside the perennially popular Ice Rink.

Issues/Risks:
1. Auckland Stadiums: The two Metallica concerts were cancelled by the band for reasons of ill health.
2. Auckland Live: Queens Wharf closed to the public to enable repairs to the roof of The Cloud and doors and walls of Shed 10 following two mini-tornadoes in August.
4. Auckland Zoo: 71 rain days in Q1 had a significant impact at the Zoo, both on visitation and the complex South East Asia development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financials (smillion)</th>
<th>YTD actual</th>
<th>YTD budget</th>
<th>Actual vs Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital delivery</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct revenue</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct expenditure</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net direct expenditure</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial Commentary
Capital delivery: The RFA capital programme for Q1 delivered $18.2m of works and is forecast to achieve total spend to budget for the year. The delivery is primarily in two major projects: the Aotea Centre refurbishment and the South East Asia Precinct.
Net direct expenditure: The $0.4m unfavourable variance relates in part to the grants paid by RFA.
Forecast FY20: Current indications are that RFA will miss the FY20 revenue target in particular as a result of the exceptionally wet weather in the first quarter and business interruption impacts of construction at the Zoo through the remaining months of the year. Although an allowance was made in the budget for business interruption, the shortfalls being experienced are greater than previously estimated. Direct expenditure is also anticipated to exceed budget due to higher than expected staffing costs and professional fees.

Key performance indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Quarter</th>
<th>FY20 Quarter 1</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of people who experience RFA’s arts, environment and sports venues and events</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>778,688</td>
<td>925,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The net promoter score for Regional Facilities Auckland’s audiences and participants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of operating costs funded through non-rates revenues</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of programmes contributing to the visibility and presence of Māori in Auckland, Tamaki Makaurau</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic focus area – Stadia

Key commentary
For three months ended 30 September 2019, a total of $1.6m was spent towards stadia against a budget of $3.2m.

Highlights
1. North Harbour Stadium: works to reconfigure the main field to accommodate baseball have commenced and are on schedule for completion by November 2019. Planning for renewal of the main stand roof is underway, following a delay to the programme to enable the team to expand the project scope to include additional seismic strengthening.
2. Mt Smart Stadium: works are completed on the lower west stand and the upper south stand aside from minor outstanding detail work.
3. Western Springs: upgrade works to the entry road commenced in July 2019. Stages 1 and 2 will be complete and operational for the start of the Speedway season in November. Building consents for the four building renewal projects have been received.

Issues/Risks
1. Stand strengthening and renewals works at Mt Smart and North Harbour Stadium: In early 2019, RFA received preliminary findings from seismic surveys of building structures at Mt Smart and North Harbour stadiums, which prompted further detailed assessments. These were received in late FY19 and indicated low seismic ratings, albeit within tolerance. Further strengthening works, particularly at North Harbour Stadium were identified to improve the seismic ratings of these structures, and these works are now in the planning stage. For North Harbour Stadium, the RFP for a larger renewals project will be released shortly. This will encompass not only seismic strengthening, but also the general renewal of a roof approaching the end of its useful life.
2. Toilet, works facility and entry road renewals at Western Springs Stadium: $2.4m of works focussed on renewing road, toilet and works facilities at the existing stadium were re-phased into early FY20. These works are contracted and ongoing. The discovery of unknown services near the surface and unexpectedly rocky ground conditions have delayed progress on the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Harbour Stadium – baseball reconfiguration</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>Reconfiguration and construction to enable the hosting of the Auckland Tuatara home games for next season at North Harbour Stadium</td>
<td>This project is currently in the construction phase, with work on track for completion by November 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Harbour Stadium – main stand roof renewal</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>To construct access to the grandstand roof and undertake roof repairs (renewals)</td>
<td>The stand’s seismic assessment has been confirmed as 34%NBS. The package of upgrade and renewal works for the stand is being progressed with works expected to be completed in FY21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Springs Stadium renewals</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>The replacement of two toilet blocks, gate entry building, maintenance shed, concourse and Stadium Road upgrade works</td>
<td>The road upgrade has commenced, and the four building replacements will commence shortly. The discovery of unidentified services and difficult ground conditions has delayed the project, with further delays now likely in working around the upcoming event season. The major elements of the project are expected to be delivered in FY20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic context
Much of Auckland’s network of stadia are aging and do not respond to the evolving interests of Aucklanders, including the growth of interest in a wider range of sports.

RFA is working to improve the amenity and health and safety standards in the stadia under its stewardship, in order to improve their financial sustainability and provide better facilities for both community sports activities and professional sports teams and their fans. RFA also aims to provide venues to support Auckland’s emerging sports.
Strategic focus area – Auckland Zoo development

Key commentary
For the three months ended 30 September 2019, a total of $7.6m was spent towards zoo development against a budget of $9.8m.

Highlights
1. Construction of the South East Asia Precinct and new café is well underway. The project is being managed in zones, with the first zone due for completion in December 2019. The overall programme is scheduled for completion by mid-2020.
2. A significant programme of general renewals and infrastructure upgrades is also progressing well.

Issues/Risks
1. The extent of the construction work currently underway at the Zoo (the South East Asia project is currently impacting on more than 20% of the site) is impacting on the visitor experience and perception of value at the Zoo. A range of mitigation strategies is in place, the most significant of which is the implementation of an adjusted pricing strategy, reducing the cost of entry by as much as 30%. Although the new pricing strategy resulted in the Zoo achieving 718,027 visitors in FY19, the reduced price impacted on revenue. Visitor numbers are down in quarter one, with visitors less likely to commit to multiple visits until the South East Asia construction is completed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South East Asia Precinct development</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>Redevelopment of the central area within the Zoo to provide modern standards of housing and care for the Zoo’s South East Asian species, and new catering facilities</td>
<td>Largest renewals project in the Zoo’s history. Tracking to budget and expected to be completed in the 2019/20 financial year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic context
RFA is continuing with development of a world-class zoo and wildlife conservation facility by addressing aging infrastructure at Auckland Zoo and long-term under-investment through a phased programme of works.

These works constitute essential renewals aimed at ensuring Auckland Zoo meets the modern standards of animal welfare, visitor amenity, wildlife exhibition and health and safety obligations.
Strategic focus area – Aotea precinct development

**Key commentary**

For the three months ended 30 September 2019, a total of $6.4m was spent towards the Aotea Centre development against a budget of $6.3m. This project remains substantially challenged by delays associated with the need for a comprehensive redesign to meet new standards.

**Highlights**

1. Refurbishment of the interior of the Aotea Centre (Centre) is drawing to a conclusion, with significantly upgrading facility as a result
2. Working with the Auckland Design Office, a draft Aotea Square precinct master plan has been incorporated into Council’s proposed refresh of the City Centre Master Plan, and a programme of consultation with key partners and stakeholders is underway.

**Issues/Risks**

1. New external cladding standards and associated Council consenting processes have caused significant delays to the Aotea Centre refurbishment project. To somewhat mitigate the impact on the operation of the Centre and the ongoing project risks, the original refurbishment project has been split into two projects – internal works and external works. Internal refurbishment works are scheduled for completion in November 2019. Re-design of the weather tightness project is ongoing.
2. A review of escalating project costs, following an assessment of on-going delays identified the need for an additional $14m to complete the project, funding which was been approved by Council in FY19. The project now has a total budget of $66.8m allocated to dealing with the Centre’s underlying structural problems and refurbishing its interior spaces.
3. Delays to completion of the project will reduce revenue potential from the Centre for a longer period than previously anticipated.
4. The need to work around Centre bookings continues to compromise the delivery of the renewal project, further exacerbating time delays and budget pressures.
5. There will be some negative impact on the customer experience caused by ongoing construction works until completion.

**Strategic context**

The refurbishment and further proposed development and expansion of the Aotea Centre are aimed at creating a vibrant cultural and civic centre for Auckland focussed on the Aotea Square precinct and as part of a wider Aotea Arts Quarter.

This will include a significantly upgraded and expanded Aotea Centre and Integrated Aotea Square, providing a home for the development and presentation of performing arts in Auckland.

**Key programme of works**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aotea refurbishment</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>The first significant refurbishment of the 30-year-old Centre, aiming to upgrade foyer and functions spaces and address long-standing weather-tightness issues</td>
<td>NZ’s growing understanding of the safety implications of building façades and cladding standards has required substantial changes to this project mid-programme. Council has approved an additional $14m in funding for the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aotea Square master plan</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>A precinct planning approach to the development of the Square and its surrounds to ensure the precinct meets its potential as a key lively and active space for Aucklanders</td>
<td>A consultation draft of the masterplan has been completed and is being used to inform discussions with partners and stakeholders, and the design for the Aotea Studios project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aotea Centre expansion (Aotea Studios)</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>Developing concept plans for expanding the current Aotea Centre to provide a home for performing arts organisations and to foster the work of performing arts groups</td>
<td>This project is in its early stages – the concept, funding and potential timing of this proposed development will be discussed with Council in 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Statement of Intent focus areas

Arts & Culture Strategy
- In July, Auckland Live presented its first Relaxed Performances for Matariki for Tamaki and Room on the Broom. Auckland Live also hosted the NZ International Film Festival in July.
- NZ Maritime Museum hosted a sold-out event that brought a new and diverse audience to the Museum, While the Light Lasts, an interactive, late-night mystery-style game for 200+ visitors.
- Nearly 400 patrons came to Auckland Art Gallery's popular and lively Art After Hours in July. Inspired by the exhibition Frances Hodgkins: European Journeys, the Gallery transformed into a European courtyard, with a packed schedule including drawing classes, dance performances and demonstrations, a popular talk by Mary Kisler, live music and bespoke food and drink.
- In August, Auckland Art Gallery hosted the Pat Hanly Creativity Awards, recognising 36 exceptional Year 13 art students from 28 Auckland secondary schools. The awards, supported by AUT, acknowledge Pat Hanly's contribution to New Zealand contemporary art and his passion for art education and supporting young artists.
- The new exhibition A Place to Paint: Colin McCahon in Auckland opened on 10 August at Auckland Art Gallery, and was officially launched a week later by Prime Minister the Rt Hon Jacinda Ardern.

Sustainability and Climate Change
- Reports have been received from the waste services provider for the Aotea Centre and Auckland Zoo refurbishment projects, demonstrating waste diversion rates of over 80% across both construction sites.
- The North Harbour Stadium Baseball project saw 800 stadium seats recycled, and a reshaping of the project during the design stage prevented the use of 250 tonnes of concrete and 22 tonnes of reinforcing steel, equivalent to around 40 tonnes of CO2e.
- A new waste compound has been constructed at Mt Smart Stadium to enable enhanced management and sorting of waste streams, including a hand-sorting area and wash-down facilities.
- New water metering equipment has been installed at the Gallery to enable a more refined level of understanding of water usage within the building.
- A sustainability workshop was held with 23 staff members from across RFA’s business units to discuss opportunities for cross-collaboration on sustainability initiatives, knowledge sharing and staff engagement. In addition, Auckland Stadiums re-invigorated their Green Team, meeting to compile a list and initiate work on various sustainability initiatives across Stadiums’ sites.

Contribution towards Māori Outcomes
- Te Rōpū Māori
  - RFA in partnership with Auckland Council’s People and Performance Group have successfully received Te Toa Taiki funding to resource capability and development training for staff, with foundational level training will start in November. This is a positive step towards achieving goals related to “An empowered Organisation”. This will also increase the ability of our staff to work effectively with Mana Whenua and Māori communities in the delivery of our business activities.
- Identity and Culture
  - New Zealand Maritime Museum:
    - The Talking Portrait project stage 1 has been completed with Te Toki Voyaging Trust. A young female sharing her story. Stage 2 is in progress where public can interact and ask questions and the portrait will respond from a diverse, Te Ao Māori perspective.
    - Building collections for the future was able to purchase three taonga from the Webber Collection and registered to purchase taonga of New Zealand.
    - Tuia-Tākiri (unfurling) Exhibition opens in October. Working in collaboration with Local government, Ngāti Whātua and artists. The waka from Tahiti has left bound for Aoteaaroa.
    - Auckland Zoo:
      - The leadership team undertook a marae visit to Orakei as part of a commitment and efforts to build stronger relationships with Ngāti Whātua.

Local Board Engagement
- The Quarter 4 Performance Report for the period ending 30 June will not be distributed to the local boards until December, after the local government elections, as this is a year-end report requiring confidentiality until the financial results are released.
- After the new Council term begins on November 1, all local board members will be fully informed of RFA facilities and activities through the Auckland Council training programme, and through visits to the boards. These visits will include an overview presentation, as well as the fourth quarter 2018-19 and first quarter 2019-20 performance reports.
- A presentation of the ongoing work on the Aotea Precinct Master Plan was positively received by the Waitāmata Local Board.
- Upper Harbour Local Board members enthusiastically endorsed the concept plans that aim to significantly increase the use of North Harbour Stadium.
# Regional Facilities Auckland Q1 financials

## Direct operating performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 19 Actual</th>
<th>FY 20 YTD Actual</th>
<th>Budget Variance</th>
<th>FY 20 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net direct expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees &amp; user charges</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating grants and subsidies</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other direct revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, contributions &amp; sponsorship</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other direct expenditure</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other key operating lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC operating funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC capital funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vested assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Regional Facilities Auckland Q1 performance measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>FY 20 Quarter 1</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The number of people who experience Regional Facilities Auckland’s arts, environment and sports venues and events | 3,363,323 | 778,688 | YTD Actual | Not met | The original target for RFA visitors/patrons was set prior to Council’s decision to lease the Viaduct Events Centre to Team NZ. This removed a key venue from RFA’s events programme and will continue to impact on RFA’s expected visitor/patron numbers. In addition:  
- Major construction programme and 71 rain days during the quarter have had a significant impact on visitation.  
- The Gallery saw a continued reduction in international visitor numbers, possibly related to the international visitor levy.  
- The Maritime Museum is also impacted by construction within the vicinity, and the loss of Ted Ashby for sailings for five weeks. |
| Auckland Zoo visitation | 718,027 | 138,270 | YTD Target | |
| Auckland Art Gallery visitation | 401,883 | 102,145 | |
| NZ Maritime Museum visitation | 157,091 | 32,799 | |
| The net promoter score for Regional Facilities Auckland’s audiences and participants | 43 | 44 | YTD Actual | Met | |
| Percentage of operating costs funded through non-rates revenues | 57% | 54% | YTD Target | Not met | RFA did not achieve its revenue targets this quarter due to $433k Spark Arena rental revenue transferred against the rental expenses (budget remained in revenue) and tight controls over expenditure were offset by un-budgeted MOTAT grant-related expenses |
| Percentage of Auckland residents surveyed who value RFA venues and events | 69% | 74% | YTD Actual | Met | RFA’s community value score shows a 5% improvement on the previous year. An increasing percentage of the community consider that RFA’s activities enrich their lives in Auckland. |
| Number of programmes contributing to the visibility and presence of Maori in Auckland, Tamaki Makaurau | 68 | 20 | YTD Actual | Met | |
Regional Facilities Auckland Q1 non-financial performance

778,688 patrons/fans participated in 917 event days

384,323 people experienced free or subsidised events

2,104 people participated in RFA's outreach programmes

17,225 school students participated in RFA's curriculum-based learning programmes
Auckland Transport February 2020 report to the Ōrākei Local Board

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Auckland Transport report to the Ōrākei Local Board for February 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each month, Auckland Transport provides an update to the Ōrākei Local Board on transport-related matters and relevant consultations in its area, Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects and decisions of Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee.
3. The Auckland Transport update for February 2020 report is attached to this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport February 2020 update report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly report - February 2020</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Ōrākei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Ōrākei Local Board (the Board) on transport related matters in its area

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report updates the local board on regional and local matters including the Accessibility Action Plan, Gowing Drive Safety Improvements, Tamaki Drive Cycleway, the One Local Initiative and the Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path.
3. The board is also advised of the funding available for the Local Board Capital fund this term.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport February 2020 report.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport related matters in the Ōrākei Local Board area.
5. Auckland transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. It reports on a monthly basis to all local boards, as set out in its Local Board Engagement Plan.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Accessibility Action Plan
6. The AT Board at its December 2019 meeting adopted an Accessibility Action Plan for the period 2020 to 2022. The plan provides details what actions AT will undertake over the next three years to improve accessibility.
7. The plan is reviewed every three years.
8. Current measures include:
   - In accordance with the principles set out in the Transport Design Manual take steps to ensure the transport network is safe and accessible for all users by designing, building and maintaining infrastructure (including roads, footpaths, wharves, stations, interchanges and buildings) to ensure that all transport users have equal opportunities to travel.
   - Conduct an accessibility audit when public transport routes are reviewed or redesigned, to include infrastructure and walking access, to identify any accessibility
shortfall and recommend areas for improvement as part of the overall network
design, and to ensure that information is provided before changes are implemented.

9. This years’ programme includes:
   - Explore possible pilot on Link buses and potential to develop for all modes with
     potential external partners e.g. Deaf Foundation and Ministry of Social Development,
     and ascertain funding requirement
   - Explore concept and estimated costs and prepare a case – a public transport
     concession fare to support people starting or returning to work; possible link with
     trade training schemes; possible liaison with Ministry of Social Development

Gowing Drive Safety Improvements

10. Analysis of the feedback on the road safety proposals for Gowing Drive is progressing and a
    summary report is expected in mid-February. Work is currently underway on some safety
    measures that were planned and commissioned before the proposals currently being
    assessed.

One Local Initiative

11. The Board received a detailed update from AT’s Chief Executive in mid-December. A
    detailed business case being prepared. External provider appointed to assist with
    the business case. A joint presentation on the GI to Tamaki and the one local initiative is being
    planned for the near future

Tamaki Drive Cycleway

12. A sod turning event on will occur on Sunday 16 February with construction to begin mid Feb.
    Preparatory work has begun and staff have been liaising frequently with stakeholders and
    businesses along the route. A letter will go to all parties in early February. In addition print
    and radio advertising for the project start this week. Here is the link for more information on
    the project: https://at.govt.nz/projects-roadworks/tamaki-drive-improvements/tamaki-drive-
    cycle-route/

Glen Innes to Tamaki Shared Path

13. The Board received a further update on the project on 31 January 2020. For the St Johns
    Road to Ōrākei Basin (Section 2) a Request for Tender was issued on 14 January with the
    tender closing on 27 February. In the mean-time work is progressing on a number of issues
    including land owner approvals and access agreements.

14. For the Ōrākei Basin to Tamaki Drive (Section 4) project Resource Consent lodgement is
    anticipated to occur during February. Whilst Section 4 is only at consenting phase, design
    (including a public consultation) and tendering will occur in parallel of this process.

15. The next project update will be issued in late February following the NZTA Board meeting.

Findlay/Hewson Walkway

16. The Findlay/Hewson Walkway in Ellerslie, Local Board Capital Fund Project, is progressing
    with hedge trimming, installation of more effective lighting and pouring of a concrete path. A
    sum of $295k was allocated for the project.

Preparations for the Americas Cup

17. An inter-agency team, led by ATEED, has been established to help manage the impacts of
    the Americas Cup event in 2020. Part of ATs role will be to manage the traffic and parking
    near key vantage points. An AT representative will update the board later in the year.
Local Board Capital Fund

18. The sum available for the 2019/22 term is as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ōrākei Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term: $5,943,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction: $559,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left: $5,383,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

Vector and AT sign memorandum of understanding

19. On 20 January 2020 Auckland Transport and Vector announced a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) to explore the impacts of a full implementation.

20. The MoU is a direct response to AT’s Low Emission Bus Roadmap, published in late 2018, that outlined its commitment to have all new buses in Auckland being electric from 2025, with the whole fleet fully electric by 2040.

21. A faster transition to electric buses requires a detailed assessment of the future demand on the electricity network.

22. Two reports will be produced as part of the MoU, the first exploring a route and service profile, which will model the electricity demand that a fully electrified bus fleet will require. The second report will provide guidance on the electricity network infrastructure upgrades required at each bus depot, as well as likely timings and costs. These two reports are expected to be delivered by June 2020.

23. Buses make up 87 per cent of the carbon emissions produced from public transport, so converting them from diesel to electric will also be a significant step towards meeting New Zealand’s 2050 zero-carbon emissions goal.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

24. The impact information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

25. The Board receive an update report as part of the monthly business meeting agenda and issues are workshopped or brief by memo as necessary between meetings.

26. Local board members may direct queries on issues via electedmember@at.govt.nz.

27. AT recently sent the boards views on
   - Broken Yellow Lines on Baden Powell Place
   - Parking Changes on Waipatia Road, Meadowbank

28. These were sent to members on Friday 31 Jan
Tauākī whakaawae Māori
Māori impact statement
29. Consideration of impacts and opportunities for engagement will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
30. Financial implications are assessed on a case by case basis.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
31. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
32. A more detailed and comprehensive update report will be submitted to the first meeting in the new year

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Bruce Thomas – Elected Members Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Ōrākei Local Board with an integrated quarterly performance report for quarter two, 1 October – 31 December 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report includes financial performance, progress against work programmes, key challenges the board should be aware of and any risks to delivery against the 2019/2020 work programme.
3. The work programme is produced annually and aligns with the Ōrākei Local Board Plan outcomes.
4. The key activity updates from this quarter are:
   • (ID 1978) Andersons Beach – renew retaining seawall: This project is scheduled to be completed in February/March 2020
   • (ID 3647) Tahapa Reserve East/West Improvements: This project was conditionally completed in December 2019
   • (ID 3724) Ladies Bay Steps: This project was completed in December 2019.
5. All operating departments with agreed work programmes have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery. Activities are reported with a status of green (on track), amber (some risk or issues, which are being managed) or grey (cancelled, deferred or merged). The following activities are reported with a status of red (behind delivery, significant risk):
   • (ID 2067) Colin Maiden Park – develop site - stage 2: This project has been deferred until Financial Year 2023/2024
   • (ID 3785) The Landing Reserve – develop dinghy access ramp: Findings from the strategic assessment will be shared with the local board at a workshop on 13 February 2020.
6. The financial performance report for the quarter is attached but is excluded from the public. This is due to restrictions on the release of half-year financial information until the Auckland Council Group results are released to the New Zealand Stock Exchange (NZX) on 28 February 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the performance report for quarter two ending 31 December 2019.

b) note the financial performance report in Attachment B of the report will remain confidential until after the Auckland Council Group half year results are released to the NZX which are expected to be made public on 28 February 2020.
Horopaki
Context

7. The Ōrākei Local Board has an approved 2019/2020 work programme for the following operating departments:

- Arts, Community & Events;
- Auckland Tourism, Events, & Economic Development (ATEED);
- Community Facilities: Build, Maintain & Renew;
- Community Services: Service, Strategy & Integration;
- Infrastructure and Environmental Services;
- Libraries;
- Parks, Sports & Recreation;
- Community Leases.

8. Work programmes are produced annually, to meet the Ōrākei Local Board outcomes identified in the three-year Ōrākei Local Board Plan. The local board plan outcomes are:

- Our local parks and open spaces are valued and enjoyed;
- Our residents are proud of their community facilities and public places;
- People can move around our area easily and safely;
- The natural environment is valued, protected and enhanced by our communities;
- A thriving economy which supports local businesses and town centres.

9. The graph below shows how the work programme activities meet Local Board Plan outcomes. Activities that are not part of the approved work programme but contribute towards the local board outcomes, such as advocacy by the local board, are not captured in this graph.

Graph 1: Work programme activities by outcome

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Ōrākei Local Board Work Programme Snapshot

10. The graph below identifies work programme activity by RAG status (red, amber, green and grey) which measures the performance of the activity. It shows the percentage of work programme activities that are on track (green), in progress but with issues that are being
Graph 2: Work programme performance by RAG status

![Graph 2: Work programme performance by RAG status]

11. The graph below shows the stage of the activities in each department’s work programmes. The number of activity lines differ by department as approved in the local board work programmes.

Graph 3: Work programme performance by activity status and department

![Graph 3: Work programme performance by activity status and department]

Key activity updates from quarter two

12. The following are progress updates and achievements of key activities in the local board work programmes for the second quarter:

- (ID 1978) Andersons Beach – renew retaining seawall: The foundation and seawall are nearing 90 per cent completion. Physical works and stairway revetment will continue into 2020 and are scheduled for February/March 2020 completion
- (ID 3647) Tahapa Reserve East/West Improvements: Feeder links for the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path have been progressed within Tahapa Reserve to meet the future connection of Section 2 of the Shared Path. The playground in Tahapa Reserve West has been upgraded with new facilities and additional play assets. Overall this project is completed to the extent that its feeder links still require eventual connection to Section 2 of the Glen Innes to Tamaki Shared Path
• (ID 3724) Ladies Bay Steps: This project is complete as of December 2019 and provides renewed and safer access to the beach.

Activities with significant issues
13. The following projects are delayed due to significant issues or challenges:

- (ID 2067) Colin Maiden Park – develop site - stage 2: this project is awaiting the findings from the transport and circulation analysis which will inform the wider transport infrastructure needs of the Colin Maiden Precinct and subsequent redevelopment. This project has been deferred to Financial Year 2023/2024 as regional growth funding has been reprioritised towards other regional priorities.

- (ID 3785) The Landing Reserve – develop dinghy access ramp: a comprehensive strategic assessment has been completed which included engagement with mooring owners and boat users to determine viability for this facility. The findings of this assessment will be presented to the local board at a workshop on 13 February 2020. The results should determine whether the local board has enough information to either progress with a detailed design and delivery, or to stop the project completely.

Activities on hold
14. The following work programme activities have been identified by operating departments as on hold:

- (ID 1443) 4 Victoria Avenue, Remuera – Lease to the Royal New Zealand Plunket Trust: This lease project is subject to an optimisation programme and is deferred until the existing lease is terminated and the group relocated to a new site. This lease has been varied to allow early termination of the existing lease prior to 1 July 2020.

- (ID 1444) 4 Victoria Avenue, Remuera – Lease to Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB): This lease project is subject to an optimisation programme and Citizen’s Advice Bureau’s relocation plans to Ōrākei Community Centre. Community Places staff are working with Citizens Advice Bureau on occupation agreement options for new premises at Ōrākei Community Centre. The outcome of these negotiations will determine if a community lease is required.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
15. Receiving performance monitoring reports will not result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

16. Work programmes were approved in June 2019 and delivery is already underway. Should significant changes to any projects be required, climate impacts will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
17. When developing the work programmes council group impacts and views are presented to the boards.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
18. This report informs the Ōrākei Local Board of the performance for quarter two ending 31 December 2019.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

19. The following activities are identified as contributing positively to Māori outcomes:

• (ID 955) Celebrating Te Ao Māori and strengthening responsiveness to Māori: Whakatipu i te reo Māori – Ōrākei: Māori story time and learning waiata with ukuleles continues to take place at both St Heliers and Remuera libraries. Bilingual Te Reo story sessions are held on Mondays at St Heliers library and are popular.

20. The following activities have been identified as partnership activities with mana whenua:

• (ID 539) Pourewa Valley Integrated Plan: this project involves the development and delivery of a masterplan for the Pourewa Valley. It will involve extensive community, stakeholder and partner engagement, and collaboration with Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei, and is tied to the May 2019 Ōrākei Visual Framework.

Financial Performance

21. Auckland Council currently has several bonds quoted on the NZX as a result, the council is subject to obligations under the NZX Main Board & Debt Market Listing Rules and the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 sections 97 and 461H. These obligations restrict the release of half-year financial reports and results until the Auckland Council Group results are released to the NZX on 28 February. Due to these obligations, the financial performance attached to the quarterly report is excluded from the public and is under confidential cover.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

22. This report is for information only and has no associated financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

23. While the risk of non-delivery of the entire work programme is rare, the likelihood for risk relating to individual activities does vary. Capital projects, for instance, are susceptible to more risk as on-time and on-budget delivery is dependent on weather conditions, approvals (e.g. building consents) and is susceptible to market conditions.

24. The approved Community Facilities 2019/2020 work programme and 2020-2022 indicative work programme include projects identified as part of the Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP). These are projects that the Community Facilities delivery team will progress, if possible, in advance of the programmed delivery year. This flexibility in delivery timing will help to achieve 100 per cent financial delivery for the 2019/2020 financial year, by ensuring that if projects intended for delivery in the 2019/2020 financial year are delayed due to unforeseen circumstances, other projects can be progressed while the causes for delays are addressed.

25. Information about any significant risks and how they are being managed and/or mitigated is addressed in the ‘Activities with significant issues’ section.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

26. The local board will receive the next performance update following the end of quarter three (31 March 2020).

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Appointment of LGNZ Lead and nominee for LGNZ Conference 2020

File No.: CP2020/01018

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To appoint a lead for Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) matters and nominate a representative to attend the 2020 LGNZ Annual Conference and General Meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local boards are invited to appoint a lead (and alternate) on Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) matters. The lead will be the main contact for all LGNZ issues and will represent the local board at meetings of Auckland/LGNZ zone and any related meetings.

3. The LGNZ Annual Conference and General Meeting (AGM) takes place at the ASB Theatre Marlborough in Waiharakeke Blenheim from 8am Thursday 16 July to 3pm Saturday 18 July 2020.

4. Local boards are invited to nominate a representative to attend the LGNZ conference. This can be the local board appointed LGNZ lead or another member of the local board. Given the cost of and overall numbers of elected member attendance, staff recommend that one member per local board attend.

5. In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 17 April 2020 so that this information can be provided to LGNZ.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) appoint a lead and alternate for LGNZ related matters for the 2019-2022 triennium and task these members with representing the local board at Auckland/LGNZ meetings.

b) nominate one elected member per local board to attend the Local Government New Zealand 2020 Conference and Annual General Meeting in Waiharakeke Blenheim, Thursday 16 July to Saturday 18 July 2020.

c) confirm that conference attendance including travel and accommodation will be paid for in accordance with the current Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

d) note that any members who wish to attend the AGM must provide their names to the Democracy Services Business Hub team by Friday 17 April 2020 to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.
Horopaki

Context

6. LGNZ is an incorporated society of local government organisations whose primary objective is to represent and advocate for the interests of local authorities in New Zealand. LGNZ champions policy positions on key issues that are of interest to local government and holds regular meetings and events throughout the year for members. The schedule of meetings includes an annual conference and meetings of local government geographical clusters (known as LGNZ zones) and sectors.

7. LGNZ is governed by a National Council made up of representatives from member authorities as outlined in the constitution. Some of its work is conducted through committees and working groups which include representatives from member authorities.

8. Elected members who have been formally appointed to LGNZ roles are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected Member</th>
<th>Appointed role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Phil Goff</td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on the Metropolitan Sector Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Pippa Coom</td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Member Richard Northey</td>
<td>(appointed by Governing Body)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(appointed by local boards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Regional Sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)

9. As part of recent changes to the LGNZ Rules, Auckland Council is no longer part of LGNZ Zone 1 but is expected to organize itself, with its multiple local boards and Governing Body, as an informal LGNZ zone.

10. Meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ zone have been scheduled on a biannual basis. These meetings will be co-chaired by the two Auckland representatives appointed to the LGNZ National Council by the Governing Body (Councillor Pippa Coom) and local boards’ (Member Richard Northey).

11. Meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ zone will be open to all elected members but formal representation will sit with the nominated leads.

LGNZ Annual conference and AGM 2020

12. This year the LGNZ conference and AGM will be held at the ASB Theatre Marlborough, Waiharakeke Blenheim, Thursday 16 July to Saturday 18 July 2020.

13. The conference takes place over the first two days commencing at 9.30am on Thursday 16 July 2020 and closing with the LGNZ Excellence Awards on the evening of Friday 17 July 2020.

14. The conference programme has the theme “Natural Capital”. The final programme will be publicly available at the end of February however we have had indication from LGNZ that the programme is expected to include addresses from the Prime Minister, various political leaders and President of LGNZ as well as sessions on the following topics:

- Natural capital - the Marlborough story
- Fishes in the river, fishes in the sea (Water, aquaculture and the Resource Management Act)
• Tourism – working together to care for people, place and culture
• Building towards sustainable supply (housing)
• Resilience in the face of natural hazards (infrastructure and communities)
• Cultural wellbeing plenary session
• Interactive workshops on cultural, economic, environmental and social well-being
• Tours, showcases and dinners.

15. The AGM takes place on the last day of the conference from 9.30am to 12.30pm. The LGNZ constitution permits the Auckland Council to appoint four delegates to represent it at the AGM, with one of the delegates being appointed as presiding delegate.

16. Traditionally the four AGM delegates have been the Mayor, the Chief Executive and two Governing Body members who hold LGNZ roles. Delegates in 2019 were Mayor Phil Goff, Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore, Councillor Penny Hulse and Local board Chair Pippa Coom.

17. The Governing Body will consider an item on AGM attendance at its meeting on 27 March 2020 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff be the presiding delegate and the other three delegates be comprised of either:
   a) two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive; or
   b) one member of the Governing Body who holds a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, and a local board member; or
   c) two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and a local board member.

18. In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Attendance at the AGM is not compulsory for conference participants.

Pre-conference meetings

19. On Wednesday 15 July 2020, there will be a pre-conference meeting of the National Council as well as a Te Maruata Hui. Elected members that are on these two groups and wish to attend these meetings would need to arrive earlier than other meeting participants.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)

20. Local boards are requested to appoint a lead for the 2019-2022 triennium. The lead’s responsibilities include:
   • attend and represent the local board at meetings of Auckland/LGNZ zone and other LGNZ meetings, as appropriate
   • be the main contact for the local board on all LGNZ matters
   • share information from Auckland/LGNZ and other LGNZ-related meetings attended with the local board.

LGNZ Annual conference and AGM 2020

21. In 2020, with the venue in Waiharakeke, Blenheim and given the cost and overall numbers of elected member attendance, it is recommended that one member per local board attend. Having one attendee per local board means a maximum of 21 Auckland Council local board members would attend the conference.

22. The annual conference and AGM are two separate meeting sessions.

23. Local board members are invited to attend and take part in the conference.
24. For the AGM, member authorities will be represented by officially appointed delegates. Members who are not appointed delegates can attend as observers provided they are included in the AGM registration form. Local board members who wish to attend the AGM as observers must register their intention with the Democracy Services Business Hub team by Friday 17 April 2020 so that their names can be included on the AGM registration form.

25. Local board members who attend the conference and/or AGM are strongly encouraged to report back to their local boards on proceedings at the conference. This ensures members who do not attend can still benefit from this opportunity.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

26. Conferences and events involving multiple participants especially those requiring long distance travel can generate a sizable carbon footprint. This is due to emissions associated with flights, car and taxi travel, hotel and event site emissions.

27. Estimates for emissions associated with travel to Blenheim or travel within Auckland for local meetings have not been calculated at the time of writing this report. Emissions, when known, can be offset through a verified carbon offset programme at a small cost.

28. Other opportunities to reduce emissions include:
   a) reducing the number of delegates to the Blenheim conference as recommended
   b) encouraging participants to opt for public transport options when attending meetings in Auckland
   c) encouraging delegates to provide updates to their local boards, including the option of daily updates from the conference and meetings via the local board Facebook pages, so that non-attendance does not disadvantage other members
   d) ensuring elected members are aware of the session recordings that LGNZ will make available after the conference. LGNZ have advised that they don’t webcast or live stream any parts of the conference as they try to encourage as many people as possible to attend in person.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

29. There are no impacts for CCOs or departments of council as the focus is on elected members attendance at meetings including the LGNZ conference.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

30. LGNZ advocates for issues that are important to local government. Many of these issues are aligned with local board priorities e.g. climate change. As such, there is interest at local board level in staying across the work of LGNZ and in identifying and harnessing opportunities to progress other advocacy areas that local boards may have.

31. Having a dedicated lead who can attend Auckland meetings on LGNZ matters and who can be part of future discussions about remits and other topics, will enable local boards and their communities to continue to be informed and give considered input to work being led by LGNZ.

32. The LGNZ Annual conference is always of interest to local board members. They provide a unique networking opportunity for local government leaders from around the country and the agenda of these meetings are designed to support local leaders in their roles and responsibilities. This is in line with the purpose of the elected member development programme which is to support elected members as governors and decision-makers.
33. The work of LGNZ is expected to impact positively on Māori. LGNZ advocates on a variety of issues that are important to Māori including Māori housing, various environmental issues and Council-Māori participation/relationship arrangements. In addition, LGNZ provides advice including published guidance to assist local authorities in understanding values, aspirations and interest of Māori.

34. The LGNZ National Council has a sub-committee, Te Maruata, which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. It also provides support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups. Te Maruata provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. In the previous term Councillor Alf Filipaina was a member of the sub-committee. Te Maruata will hold a hui on Wednesday 15 July 2020 from 10am to 4.30pm.

35. Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ are a new initiative being introduced this triennium following amendments to LGNZ zones. The two meetings for 2020 are scheduled for 13 March 2020 and 11 September 2020 and are not currently budgeted for. Staff will use existing resources and liaise with Kura Kāwana to identify combined opportunities for these meetings dates.

36. Managing attendance numbers by only requiring attendance of leads, with others as optional attendees if they wish, should contribute towards keeping meeting costs down.

37. The normal registration rate for the LGNZ Conference and AGM is $1,410 (early bird) or $1,510 (standard). The total cost for early bird registration for 21 local board members is $29,610, with flights and accommodation additional.

38. Costs of attendance for one member from each local board are to be met from the elected members’ development budget as managed centrally by the Kura Kawana Programme.

39. The inaugural meeting of the Auckland Zone is planned for 13 March 2020. If a local board has not chosen an LGNZ lead by this date, they would need to select a member to attend this meeting as their official representative.

40. The key risk is of delayed decision-making which can impact costs and registration choices. The sooner the registration for the nominated local board member can be made, the more likely it is that Auckland Council can take advantage of early bird pricing for the conference and flights, all done via bulk booking. Delayed information may also impact registration into preferred conference streams or events.

41. There is always a level of reputational risk associated with any financial expenditure. Large delegations to conferences can be costly hence the advice that only one per local board attend.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)
42. There are two planned meetings for the Auckland Zone in 2020. The inaugural meeting is scheduled for 13 March 2020 and the second meeting is on 11 September 2020.

43. Preparations for the inaugural meeting are being made by staff with guidance from the co-chairs. The agenda will include a report from LGNZ Executive and will also include an update on the Localism project. The agenda will be made available to members closer to the time of the meeting.

Annual conference and AGM 2020
44. Once members are confirmed to attend, the Democracy Services Business Hub team will co-ordinate and book all conference registrations, as well as requests to attend the AGM.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
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Chairman and Board Member Report

General / assigned roles update

Transport – Scott Milne

- The Board has instigated a fortnightly meeting with Auckland Transport staff to register, monitor and deliver transport projects and identify needs and issues within the Board area. This is an extensive part of the Board’s work and remains a primary focus of the work plan. Major projects include the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive (GI2TD) shared pathway, the construction of the Tamaki Drive Cycle way across Hobson Bay, six projects from the Community Safety Fund workstream along with substantial on-going work on the speed reduction initiatives progressing in St. Heliers and Mission Bay.

- Board members met with the NZTA project manager for Section 2 of the GI2TD project “on-site” in a comprehensive walking tour of the Pourawa valley to better understand the detail of delivering this substantial project. Input in particular was sort from environmental experts on how best to protect existing natural assets but also use the build opportunity to tackle the massive weed problem in the area.

- The Board will be actively contributing to the Governing Body consultation on the CCO Review which includes AT (Auckland Transport).

- The Clonbern Road carpark has been demolished as per the requirement of council property arm, Panuku and the area has been re-surfaced and marked out for one level parking. This work was conducted efficiently (three weeks ahead of schedule) and has resulted in a marked improvement over the previous years of disruption caused by the carpark closure. Panuku will now move to publishing an EOI seeking a developer to provide a multi-storey, mixed-use offering including 200 car parks.

Environment – Lead: Margaret Voyce

- The Ōrākei Local Board area is fortunate to have very dedicated teams working on a range of environmental initiatives. In some cases, people are more or less working full time to preserve and restore habitat. In many cases locals have been working for over 20 years working to restore areas of native bush.

- An Environmental Forum is planned as follows:
  - Date set for 29 March from 14:30-17:00pm at Remuera Golf Club (Conference Room).
  - Agenda completed
  - Have met with most of the key environmental stakeholder groups in the Ōrākei Local Board area
  - Initial engagement with Iwi, working on making that relationship stronger.

Watercare

- Have started talking to key personnel. As I have experience working in a network industry, I understand Watercare’s concept.

Arts and Libraries – Lead: Colin Davis

- Lights have been installed in the two decorative heritage metal lamps at the entrance to the St Heliers Library. The lamps, which are etched with the initials of the former Tamaki Road Board, have not had lighting in them for many years.
As part of the lighting project, new floodlighting has also been installed to replace the fairy lights on the two trees outside the St Heliers Library. Both lighting works enhance the heritage library building and environs.

Economic Development – Lead: Sarah Powrie
- America’s Cup planning to bring people into our business communities/town centres to celebrate the event.
- Main issues/areas of focus – building thriving local businesses, security and transport.

Resource Consenting and Regulatory – Lead: Troy Churton
- Represented local boards on a political working party preparing the council’s Urban Development Bill submission.
- Presented to Planning Committee regarding ways to improve the Unitary Plan in on the issue of residential helipads and flights.
- Attended the meeting of the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group held on 9 December 2019. A copy of the minutes of the meeting and complainant register are attached to this report.
- Additional comments on helicopter status and key issues provided to Member Churton on 31 Jan 2020. Main points related to the noise, overhead disruption and burden to the wider community and a need to place more stringent measures in the Unitary Plan and adopt “fly neighbourly agreements” as done so in Australia.
- Support for the 36 per cent demolition of 28 Ranui Road – which has become derelict and in need of improved design and rebuild.

Events, Landowner Approvals and Leases – Lead: David Wong
- Initial Activity Proposal (IAP) for filming at the Ōrākei Basin on 15 February next to the Sea Scouts clubroom. All key questions regarding health and safety and Sea scout permission were answered as appropriate.
- NZ Volleyball Beach competition – Auckland Slam revisited for Friday 21 Feb to Sunday 23 Feb 2020. Initial concerns were for location and construction of grandstand situated on the Mission Bay eastern side of the beach. It was noted that the local board had granted funding and support for the competition and stand in September 2019.
- Submission for Ellerslie Jazz in the Park for 15 March 2020 on Ellerslie Domain. Initial query that the organising group in Christian City Church.
- Input into the Landfill levy consultation on 22 January 2020 with main feedback on lack of capacity, resourcing and need for support for local businesses, through waste minimisation, to mitigate higher landfill charges.

Community – Lead: Sarah Powrie
- Community engagement through Eastern Bays Network Meetings.
- Main issues – dissemination of information, building robust networks and funding.
A successful Open-Day was held at the Ōrākei Basin on Sunday 9 Feb with displays from environmental groups, model yacht enthusiasts, the Waterski Club, fire brigade and others enjoying a barbecue supplied by the Board.

Heritage – Lead: Colin Davis

Two new plaques have been installed at the Tamaki Drive entrance to the Mission Bay Millennium Bridge. One is a replacement and the other provides information about the meaning of the name and design of the bridge, particularly the artwork on the bridge deck. It is important that the deck is cleaned on a regular basis to ensure that the artwork can be clearly seen.

A vacant heritage villa at 11 Mt Hobson Lane, Remuera, which had been owned by the Auckland Council and recorded in the Council’s heritage asset management schedule of buildings the Council owns and are deemed by the Council’s specialist heritage team to be of importance to Auckland’s story, has now been removed from the schedule as it sits within the area of Ohinerau/Mt Hobson, which is under the governance of the Tupuna Maunga Authority. At this time, it is not known whether the heritage building will be retained as part of the Authority’s management plan.

While the heritage Remuera railway station, a Remuera landmark, is not within the Ōrākei Local Board’s boundary, it is important that the Preservation Trust is supported in its endeavours to raise funding for the interior restoration of the building and ongoing exterior maintenance. I will be working with members of the Albert-Eden Local Board to help the Trust. A public open day is to be held at the station in the afternoon of Sunday 16 February 2020.

Communications and Engagement – Lead: Scott Milne

The Board was represented at all resident’s association and business association meetings in the December 2019 to January 2020 period.

An engagement plan for consultation on the Local Board Plan and the Annual Budget has been developed by our Engagement Advisor and will be circulated in early February.

The Board is hosting a local area stakeholder function on 19 February to give the public a chance to get to know local board members, get an update on Board progress and immediate future plans and to begin conversations about the annual budget and future direction of the Board.

Other Board matters

Mayoral visit

His Worship, the Mayor Phil Goff visited the Board office on 29 January to enable an update on the progress of key initiatives within the area. The Mayor was taken to Meadowbank train station to view where Section 2 of the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive (GI2TD) shared pathway will join with the existing, completed Section 3. He then toured the Pourewa Valley Nursery project with explanation of progress and plans from Ngāti Whāitu Chief Operating Officer Tom Irvine. Next stop at The Landing to understand how America’s Cup events will be staged and managed before another stop at St Johns Road to view the start point of Section 2 of the GI2TD. Finally, an hour was spent with the full board where the Mayor was able to outline his plans and vision for the city in the coming term, and to hear from Board members more detail of both concerns and upcoming projects the Board is managing; particularly around transport, water quality, the development of Pourewa valley and the OL1 – this
Board's "One Local Initiative" which is a connective link to the GI2TD. The Mayor was impressed with the activity and progress of the Board and supportive of the major programs as outlined.

Waitangi Day ki Ōkahu 2020
The Board and a number of staff were present at the Okahu Bay ceremony for Waitangi Day hosted by Ngāti Whātua. The Board Chairman accepted the traditional challenge and was invited to respond to host speaker’s address which he did in both Te Reo (coached by Ngāti Whātua) and English. An estimated 5,000 residents attended the ensuing concert and took advantages of the numerous stalls, displays and interactive demonstrations set up on the reserve, including a Board tent encouraging comment on the Annual Budget and other consultations.

The Landing
Now that construction of the Marine Centre at the Landing is substantially complete the Board and Council staff are working on developing the governance framework that will implement a refreshed concept and management plan for the area, taking into account the need for it to be a centre of attention for the upcoming America’s Cup in December 2020 through to March 2021. This refresh will also contribute to a similar refresh of the Tamaki drive Master Plan that is envisaged as a new piece of work in the near future.

Photos (with caption attached)
- Opera in the Park held at Glover Park.
- Waitangi Day celebration hosted by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei.
- Ōrākei Basin Community Day.

Recommendation
1. That this report be received.
Great art and cultural event with Opera in the Park held at Glover Park on 25 Jan; more space for residents to spreadout and acoustics/sound system better – than Dingle Dell
Waitangi Day celebration hosted by Ngati Whatu Oraeki – great speeches from kaumatua and Chair Milne; on building relationships
Chair Milne and Member Wong at the Orakei Basin Community Day – great turnout from local and outside residents to enjoy the natural beauty of this area
Minutes

Subject: Meeting of the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group
Location: Pavilion Room III, Sudima Hotel, 18 Airpark Drive, Auckland Airport
Date: 9 December 2019  Time: 1:07pm – 3:05pm
Present: Catherine Harland, Independent Chair
Anne Candy, Manurewa Local Board
Ashraf Choudhary, Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board
Bruce Kendall, Howick Local Board
Charlotte Day, Auckland Airport
Councillor Alf Filipaina, Auckland Council
David Wong, Auckland Council
Ella Kumar, Puketāpapa Local Board (from 1.21pm)
Emma Howie, Auckland Airport
Graeme Easte, Albert-Eden Local Board
Helen Futter, Community Representative
Hugh Pearce, BARNZ
Justin Tighe-Umers, BARNZ
Kevin Kevany, Alternate, Ōrākei Local Board
Malcolm Bell, Franklin Local Board
Mark Allen, Waitākere Ranges Local Board
Mark Easson, Community Representative
Nick Bakulich, Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
Troy Churton, Ōrākei Local Board
Warren Piper, Whau Local Board (until 2:38pm)

In Attendance: Laura McNeill, Marshall Day Acoustics
James Evans, Airways NZ
Justis Kamu, Auckland Airport
Pooja Prasad, Minute-Secretary / Auckland Airport

Members of the public: Charlene (Observer)
Gillian Spencer (Observer)

Apologies: Alan Cole, Franklin Local Board (Alternate)
Bobby Shen, Puketapapa Local Board
Chris Makoare, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board
Debbie Burrows, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (Alternate)
Jan Robinson, Papakura Local Board
Kay Thomas, Whau Local Board
Keven Mealamu, Papakura Local Board (Alternate)
Paul Healey, Airways NZ
1. PUBLIC SESSION

1.1 Welcome and apologies

The meeting was declared open by the Independent Chair, Catherine Harland, at 1:07pm. The apologies were noted and accepted by the meeting.

1.2 Introductions – New members, Industry, Chair and Membership overview

The Chair congratulated and welcomed new and returning ANCCG members to the meeting and invited each person to introduce themselves.

Mark Eason said this was his second term as a community representative and as a former airline captain, his role involved bringing technical expertise for community members.

Malcolm Bell introduced himself and said he is from the Franklin Local Board and has served on the ANCCG for a number of terms.

Bruce Kendall said he was newly elected to the Howick Local Board.

Warren Piper said he was representing the Whau Local Board on behalf of the Local Board Chairperson, Kay Thomas, as she was unable to attend the meeting.

Mark Allen introduced himself as a newly elected member of the Waitākere Ranges Local Board.

Ashraf Choudhary said it is his second term on the committee and he represents the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board.

Graeme Easte advised he is from the Albert-Eden Local Board and has served two terms on the committee.

Nick Bakulich said that he is from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board and it will be his second term with the ANCCG.

Troy Churton introduced himself and said he is from the Ōrākei Local Board.

Kevin Kevany said he is an unelected advisor for Ōrākei.

Helen Futter said this is her second term as community representative and she lives in the moderate air noise area.

Alf Filipaina said he is the Auckland Council representative on the ANCCG and he acknowledged the two members of public seated behind him.

Anne Candy introduced herself and said she represents the Manurewa Local Board.

David Wong said he is a Principal Planner from Auckland Council and alternates with Nicholas Lau to attend the ANCCG meetings.

Hugh Pearce said he is from BARNZ and provides technical support to the committee.

Emma Howie said she is from Auckland Airport and manages statutory planning.

James Evans said that he is responsible for the approach sector. He gave an overview of the Air Traffic Management System later in the meeting (due to time constraints that was subsequently undertaken at the conclusion of the meeting for members able to stay on).

Laura McNeill said she is from Marshall Day Acoustics and her company monitors noise and processes noise data on behalf of Auckland Airport.
Charlotte Day said she is from Auckland Airport and that her role includes noise management.
Justin Tighe-UMbers said he is the Executive Officer of BARNZ, the Board of Airline Representatives in New Zealand. He said BARNZ represents twenty-seven of the airlines that fly at Auckland Airport.

The Chair addressed new members and said the terms of reference provided with the Agenda sets out the structure, appointment process etc for the operation of the ANCCG.

1.3 Public Forum
The Chair welcomed members of the public. She noted that both had spoken to Ms Day and wished to observe the meeting without addressing the forum.

1.4 Minutes of meeting held on 2 September 2019
The Chair moved, Mr Evans seconded, and the ANCCG resolved that the minutes of the meeting held on 2 September 2019 be confirmed as true and correct.

1.5 Matters arising from previous meetings
The Matters Arising document was received by the Group for discussion. Updates were provided on items not yet complete:

- **Point 1:** *Flight origin and destination complaint information.* Ms Day said there had been issues gathering certain dates on the Casper system and she will provide ANCCG members, and in particular Mr Easson, with the complaint information once that had been resolved.

- **Point 2:** *Yellow and Orange SMART approaches.* Ms Day advised that the Yellow approach has been up and running since May and with average of two or three flights a day in appropriate westerly wind conditions. She said the Orange approach recommenced mid-September and well utilised. Ms Day added that the Orange approach is only used during the daytime to date, however there is scope as detailed in the initial trial criteria to extend it to 24-hour usage at the midpoint of the trial.

  Mr Easson asked if the Yellow approach had generated the responses from locals that were predicted. Ms Day said that there were very few complaints in respect of the Yellow approach. There have been no complaints from Cockle Bay and Howick.

- **Point 3:** *Industry and mana whenua representatives.* The Chair said that she did not have an opportunity to progress this and it is still work to be undertaken. Cr Filipaina suggested assistance could be provided via Auckland Council’s Te Waka Unga Mua ki Uta. The Chair thanked him for the offer.

- **Point 4:** *Glossary of airline abbreviations.* The Chair said she has drafted a document that is currently being reviewed by Ms McNeill, Ms Howie and Ms Day for accuracy. Once the review has been completed, the document will be circulated to the Group.

- **Point 5:** *Update on noise mitigation package compliance monitoring.* Ms Howie advised that Auckland Airport have started approaching homeowners who have received a noise mitigation package and providing them with a survey for feedback. The feedback sought relates to the homeowner engagement process and not the package itself, as that is not being altered. She said 22 responses have been received so far and feedback has generally been positive.
Ms Howie added that successful testing had also been undertaken by Marshall Day Acoustics to confirm that mitigation packages were compliant.

Ms Howie said one such test involved a MANA property that came back with a noise result of 37 decibels which complies with the requirement to achieve an internal noise level of less than 40 decibels.

Ms Futter enquired if it would be possible to table the results of this testing without breaching the confidentiality of this particular house and Ms Howie agreed to provide this information.

Ms Howie said revised noise contours have now been confirmed by Marshall Day Acoustics for next year 2020. Mr Bakulich enquired if the airport has considered providing translations for the information provided to community members on noise mitigation packages. Ms Howie confirmed that material for mitigation packages are translated and translators are also provided at community sessions.

- **Point 6:** *Follow up actions for quarterly aircraft noise report.* Ms McNeill said bar colours and other changes requested to tables in the last meeting have been actioned and appear in the new quarterly report. Ms Day said the data gap identified in the last meeting could not be recovered as the information had been lost during a system upgrade.

- **Point 7:** The Chair advised that the Aeropath presentation is scheduled for June 2020.

### 1.6 Quarterly Noise Report

Ms McNeill addressed the Group and the following points were noted:

- Each quarterly report covers a three-month period and is prepared prior to each meeting.
- The same graphs and tables are used in each quarterly report to allow easy comparison between data.

Mr Easson referred to Figure 5 on page 7 of the report and asked what happens when, in the Yellow approach, aircraft pass the limit line and go above the maximum. Mr Evans said this could be an aircraft on downwind or visual approach as Airways track all aircraft flying through a portion of airspace. Ms McNeill pointed out that no aircraft had gone over the limit but, she will attempt to darken the yellow color on Figure 5 to make it easier to see.

Mr Easson referred to Figure 8 on page 11 and noted that a fairly thick red line has been drawn over LOSGA during night time arrivals for Runway 23 but this line does not indicate how many flights have flown over LOSGA.

Ms McNeill said she could provide a tally of flights on the LOSGA track to resolve this. Mr Allen suggested the information might also be helpful for other tracks with high numbers.

Mr East referred to Figure 12 on page 17 and asked if repeat complainers have a genuine concern or if they are seeking further attention. Ms Day replied that it is usually both and work has been done in the last few years to approach repeat complainers, provide them with substantive information and answer their questions.

Mr Kevany asked if more is being done to explore use of a general email address to make complaints. Ms Day said Auckland Airport will not be considering this as the Casper system provides a more comprehensive reporting mechanism.

Mr Kevany suggested this opinion is purely an airport point of view and it does not consider the needs of residents. He said the online noise reporting system should continually be reviewed with the aim of making it easier for the public.
The Chair highlighted the airport has made it clear that they will not pursue use of email to log complaints as the Casper system allows a systematic way of gathering the information that can map noise events to aircraft and be analysed to identify particular issues. She also noted that people are able to note down the time of the aircraft noise and then go on the website at a later time to complete the details.

Ms Howie advised that people can also phone the helpline number 24/7 as an option for having complaints recorded.

Mr Allen referred to Figure 22 on page 24 and noted the Compliance pie chart covers the Waitākere Ranges. He asked if the map can be zoomed out to show the Waitākere Ranges or the pie chart reduced/moved and Ms McNeill agreed to do this.

The Chair referred to Table 5 on page 31 and asked why the Whitford monitor does not show on this table – although it is included on Figure 24, page 27. Ms McNeill explained that is because the Whitford monitor was moved from one location to another and it had not been long enough for it to be included in data.

Mr Churton asked what the cost is for a temporary noise monitor. Ms Day said he would need to clarify the type of cost he is referring to. She said many costs could be considered including purchase cost, lease cost and operational cost.

The Chair asked Mr Churton to copy her with details of the information about the costs he is interested in so Ms Day can provide answers at the next meeting.

Mr Tighe-Umbers asked to make a point in relation to the question raised by Ōrākei members about noise monitors. He said that monitors are expensive and encouraged ANCCG members to better articulate the problem they are seeing or what information they need.

Mr Churton asked if there may be a cost benefit to be had by placing a few extra monitors in different locations around Auckland. He said this will get around the widely held belief that that industry is reluctant to put monitors in certain locations.

Councillor Filipaina added that Local Boards may wish to provide some financial assistance towards the purchase of temporary noise monitors (in their areas) to ease the burden placed on the airport.

Mr Easte suggested that in the past electronic road signs were expensive and not able to move flexibly but that has changed in recent years, and wondered whether that may also become relevant for noise monitors.

### 1.7 Annual Noise Report

The 2019 Financial Year Annual Noise Management Report was tabled at the meeting. Ms McNeill addressed the Group and said two noise contours are calculated for the airport each year.

Marshall Day Acoustics compare the new set of contours with the contours predicted in the previous year to see if there has been any growth. If there has, houses in the new contour are offered acoustic treatment in the sound insulation program.

Ms McNeill noted that the 2020 contours have retracted slightly from 2019 so no new houses will have offer made this year.

Dr Choudhary commented that Puhinui Road is significantly affected by aircraft noise and a number of new houses are being built in this area. He asked how the airport approaches new homeowners (many of whom are migrants) to advise them about noise mitigation packages.

Ms Howie clarified that new home owners are not contacted for such packages as any new build must meet acoustic performance standards as part of their building consent.
Ms McNeill referred to the map (Figure 15) on page 11 of the report showing the location of all complainants who made complaints in the 2019 financial year. She noted that quite a few complaints come from South Auckland and the central suburbs, followed by East Auckland and North Shore.

Mr Allen asked if any trends are being seen in relation to the location of complaints or complainants. He enquired if there were any correlations between the location of complaints and where the noise monitors are located. Ms McNeill replied that it changes every year and previous noise reports may be used to compare results.

Mr Churton noted that only two of the seven noise monitors appear to be in places where high numbers of noise complaints are made. He said three of the seven monitors are in areas that are not listed for complaints and the final two are in areas where there are less than 5 complaints. He enquired why this is the case and Ms McNeill said noise monitors are placed in different locations for different reasons. Monitors can support the complaints process but generally serve other purposes such as monitoring existing or proposed future SMART approaches e.g. Orange.

Mr Bakulich addressed the Chair and enquired if members could be given a site visit at Auckland Airport to better understand the layout of the airfield. Ms Day said this has been offered in the past and can be offered again if ANCCG members are interested. Mr Bell indicated he had participated in a trip and found it very useful. Ms Prasad to email members to ascertain who would like to undertake a tour.

Dr Choudhary asked if the Annual Noise Report can be emailed to him so that he can share it with his fellow Local Board members. The Chair advised this and other information will be made available on the ANCCG website, but he could be emailed a copy as well.

Mr Easson referred to the noise reduction initiatives on page 12 and said it was agreed last year to move some aircraft away from Central Auckland at night and this was achieved with changes to the STARs from the north for Runway 023.

He noted that a similar northern STAR serving OSR would be beneficial for the remaining night noise experience by the central city. Mr Evans replied that it is under action and Airways are targeting the middle of next year.

The Chair queried the timing of the report as 2018 it had been made available in August. Ms Howie advised that it had been brought forward that year to align with work underway for the Northern Runway, and more typically it is produced in October for presentation at the December ANCCG meeting.

The Chair asked if there are capacity constraints or if it would be possible to produce the Annual Noise Management Report one week in advance of the ANCCG meeting to allow members to read the information before the meeting.

Both Ms McNeill and Ms Day advised this is possible.

*Due to time constraints items 1.09, 1.10 and 1.11 were dealt with at this point.*

### 1.8 Airways – Overview of the Air Traffic Management System – presentation deferred until conclusion of meeting for those members able to stay on

Mr Evans provided the Group with an introduction to Airways New Zealand, air traffic control and how it pertains to the noise environment. The following points were noted:

- Safety is primary for air traffic control and getting aircraft to and from their destinations
- New Zealand has the largest Flight Information Region (FIR) in the world
- SMART approaches allow aircraft to maintain a constant descent on approaches.
• ATC will endeavour to use the preferential runway system at night-time in conditions of light and variable wind.

Post meeting presentation concluded at 3.55pm

1.9 Noise 101
Due to time constraints, the agenda item was deferred until the next ANCCG meeting.

1.10 Work Plan review
Based on advice that a Monday afternoon timing would be suitable for Local Boards and for the Council Representative, the Chair advised provisional dates for the 2020 meetings: 9th March, 8th June, 14th September and 14th December. All members agreed with the dates proposed.

The Chair drew members attention to the Terms of Reference which only provide for two community representatives. As part of the three yearly process other local community applicants had put themselves forward and for succession and alternates the option for one or two more representatives may be a concept worth exploring. She invited ANCCG members to think about this as the terms of reference will need review in the future.

Councillor Filipaina said that he had no issues with looking at reviewing the terms of reference with the option of having up to four community representatives.

Mr Easte stated it was important not to rush the solution of more community representatives without correctly identifying the problem. The Chair agreed and said she was raising this only as a point of discussion at this stage.

1.11 Other Business
Ms Howie provided an update on the Notice of Requirement for the second Northern Runway. As of 19th November 2019, sign off has been received from the Environment Court and the Designation is now confirmed.

Mr Easte queried the sea level of the airport given the anticipated rise in the future. Ms Howie advised that the future new runway is at a higher elevation than the existing runway.

The Chair thanked all those who attended given the short-notice for their attendance, wished all well for the festive season ahead and invited members who wish to stay for the Airways presentation (Item 1.8) to do so.

Meeting closed: 3:05pm, post meeting Airway presentation (Item 1.8) concluded at 3.55pm

Next meeting: Monday 9 March 2020 1:00pm – 3:00pm
Pavilion Room III, Sudima Hotel, 18 Airpark Drive, Auckland Airport
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Complainant ID</th>
<th>Complainant Suburb</th>
<th>Aircraft Type</th>
<th>Runway</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-10-19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flat Bush</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-09-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-10-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>A332</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Chengdu</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Denpasar</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-09-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Denpasar</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-09-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Denpasar</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B77W</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Denpasar</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>A333</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Manila</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-10-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clendon Park</td>
<td>B789</td>
<td>05R</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-08-19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flat Bush</td>
<td>A21N</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Brisbane</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>A320</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>A320</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B789</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B738</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-08-19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Papatoetoe</td>
<td>B772</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>A332</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-09-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B744</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B772</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-10-19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flat Bush</td>
<td>B708</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B738</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>A21N</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-08-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77L</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Doha</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-05-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77L</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Doha</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-09-19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mount Eden</td>
<td>B77L</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Doha</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>B77L</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Doha</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Onehunga</td>
<td>B72P</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>LANDING</td>
<td>Claris</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-10-19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ranui</td>
<td>DH8C</td>
<td>23L</td>
<td>TAKEOFF</td>
<td>Whangarei</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-08-19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Onehunga</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-09-19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Onehunga</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-09-19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Beachlands</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-10-19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shelly Park</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-10-19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lepom</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-10-19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ranui</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-10-19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Greenlane</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-10-19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ranui</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of the report

1. To present the Ōrākei Local Board with a governance forward work calendar.

Executive summary

2. This report contains the governance forward work calendar, a schedule of items that will come before the Ōrākei Local Board at business meetings and workshops over the coming months. The governance forward work calendar for the local board is included in Attachment A to the agenda report.

3. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is required and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar will be updated every month. Each update will be reported back to business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Local board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Recommendation/s

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) note the draft governance forward work calendar as at February 2020.
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## Governance Forward Work Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, February 27, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, February 27, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, February 27, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, February 27, 2020</td>
<td>Omnibus Management Plan Process</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, February 27, 2020</td>
<td>Finance update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 5, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 5, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 5, 2020</td>
<td>Work Programme Workshop 2</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 12, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 12, 2020</td>
<td>Arts, Community and Events - Grants 2020/2021 review</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 12, 2020</td>
<td>Panuku update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 12, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 12, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 19, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 19, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 19, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 19, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, March 19, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26, 2020</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Auckland introduction programme</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26, 2020</td>
<td>Arts, Community and Events update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 9, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Orākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23, 2020</td>
<td>ATEED Local Board Engagement Plan</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23, 2020</td>
<td>Local Board Agreement workshop</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 7, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 7, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 7, 2020</td>
<td>Work Programme workshop</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 14, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 14, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Local and MultiBoard Grants</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Review community grant applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 14, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 14, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Local and MultiBoard Grants</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Quarterly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval of transport capital fund projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 28, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 28, 2020</td>
<td>Arts, Community and Events update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 28, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
<td>Local Board Agreement - workshop 6</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
<td>Quick Response and Tree Grants Round Two</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Review community grant applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
<td>Thriving Communities Action Plan Refresh</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Local Board Agreement</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Quick Response and Tree Grants Round Two</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Determine allocation of funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Community Services work programmes 2020/2021</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Local Environment work programme 2020/2021</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Local Economic Development (LED) work programme 2020/2021</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Libraries work programme 2020/2021</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Community Facilities (CF) work programme 2020/2021</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 25, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 25, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 25, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Type (workshop or business meeting)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 13, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 27, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 27, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 10, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Arts, Community and Events update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 8, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval of transport capital fund projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Quarterly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Annual Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Orākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 12, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval of transport capital fund projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Arts, Community and Events update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, December 10, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolutions Pending Action
File No.: CP2020/01263

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Ōrākei Local Board with an opportunity to track reports that have been requested from staff.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board resolutions pending action report be noted.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Resolution pending action register - February 2020</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Ōrākei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resolutions in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wk/WW</th>
<th>Date of Resolution</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Active Assigned to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td>16 March 2020, Item 9</td>
<td>Mission Bay Sailing Club</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request staff to report back to the Board within a reasonable time frame on the proposal to redevelop the former Mission Bay Yachting Club site on Takapuna Reserve (T Takapuna YH Cross) for multi sport usage, noting in Pāponui Auckland Development’s six-monthly quarterly update 1 July to 31 December 2016, the reserve formerly occupied by the former Mission Bay Yacht Club has transferred from Council’s community facilities department to Ōrākei for a robust investigation into future service requirements or possible disposal.</td>
<td>Community Facilities/ Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>64</strong></td>
<td>15 February 2019, Item 15</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>request staff to report back to the Board on the suitability of the former Mission Bay Yachting Club building in Takapuna Reserve Marine Drive as a potential office for the Board and meeting space for the community.</td>
<td>Community Facilities/ Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>65</strong></td>
<td>16 March 2019, Item 22</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request enforcement of the Local Board’s previous resolution relating to Takapuna Reserve (T Takapuna YH Cross) and request an urgent update on the status of the reserve as set out below prior to the meeting on 26 March 2019.</td>
<td>Community Facilities/ Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>66</strong></td>
<td>15 February 2018, Item 15</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>request for a business meeting with the Department of Conservation to discuss the Takapuna Reserve Marine Drive site.</td>
<td>Community Facilities/ Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Additional Resolutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Active Assigned to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 October 2018, Item 19</td>
<td>Ōrākei Street</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to investigate the feasibility of implementing a bus priority lane at Ōrākei Street, Ōrākei and report back to the Board on its findings.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 March 2019, Item 20</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation to liaise with Auckland Transport to review the public access to Takapuna Reserve and public board ramps to enable easier passage across the public board ramps and ease of access to Takapuna Drive.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 April 2019, Item 9</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation to request Auckland Transport to provide a report on its findings on Yachting New Zealand’s requirements for use of the Landing assessment and report back to the Ōrākei Local Board.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2019, Item 13</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation to request the PDR Portfolio Manager for an update on the Takapuna Open Space Network Plan and report back to the Ōrākei Local Board.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2016, Item 16</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to perform a preliminary site visit of the Ōrākei Local Board’s proposed new bus priority lane on Ōrākei Street.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2016, Item 20</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to report on its findings on the ‘Haulage of Ladies Bay/Lady’s Bay’ feasibility study.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 June 2019, Item 17</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to report on its findings on the feasibility of planting native trees along the former Lady’s Bay Road.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 June 2019, Item 22</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to report on its findings on the feasibility of planting trees along the former Lady’s Bay Road.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 2019, Item 15</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to report on the removal of all plastic bag dispensing units in all reserves in the Ōrākei Local Board areas.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Attachment A Item 20**

- [ ] Ongoing
- [ ] Completed
### Resolutions in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
<th>Item Date</th>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Resolution Details</th>
<th>Resolution Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Community relative for a community space within Stonelands survey</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board: (i) request staff to engage a survey of the western end of Lot 193 and define a suitable portion of that development on which to site the building, named by the Stonelands Community Centre Inc. and which is properly and temporarily located on Council-owned Higher Reserve. (ii) request that the building owner, Stonelands Community Centre Inc. verify the survey process, noting that the Board has already allocated 2019/2020 Community Facilities Work Programme and that the proposal for a community space is not consistent with the Council’s Community Facilities Work Action Plan.</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Community relative for a community space within Stonelands survey</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board (i) request staff to initiate the required statutory process to effect the classification of part of the western end of the Council-owned reserve at 30 Teahe Street (formerly known as 1300 Botanic Drive) land described as Lot 193 DP 44766, for the purpose of December 2019 update: Staff are preparing the documentation to enable the building to be located to the site. February 2020 update: Reports are being prepared for an upcoming business meeting to enable the building to be located to the site.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Community relative for a community space within Stonelands survey</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board (i) request staff to initiate the required consultation with local folk and other stakeholders prior to reporting to the Board any recommendation to declare the lands be classified as a Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve. December 2019 update: Staff are preparing the classification documentation to enable the building to be located to the site. February 2020 update: Reports are being prepared for an upcoming business meeting to enable the building to be located to the site.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Item 15</td>
<td>Safe road navigation</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to investigate safer road navigation for cyclists on the seaward side of the Tāmaki Drive-Kohimarama Road intersection. September 2019 update: Auckland Transport are investigating and will report back to the Board once complete.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Item 21</td>
<td>Re-location and restoration of the heritage Crimean War Trophy Gun</td>
<td>That the Board approve the re-location of the heritage Crimean War Trophy Gun from the Landings to a new location on the Tāmaki Drive-Kohimarama Road intersection. That the Board approve the re-location of the gun to the site of the former Bells Wharf Marine Reserve. That the Board approve the re-location of the gun to the site of the former Bells Wharf Marine Reserve. September 2019 update: Following the workshop with the Board in August, staff are contacted relevant owners and tenancies, and Auckland Transport to seek permission to site the gun at 19 Tāmaki Drive. Estimates for relocation and restoration are being prepared.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 September 2019</td>
<td>Item 14</td>
<td>Tahuna Torea Flat Dam protection project</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request staff to consult with Tahuna Torea Residents and Rangers on the Tahuna Torea Flat Dam protection project before reporting back to the incoming Board. December 2019 update: Community Facilities staff are in discussion with the Rangers in relation to the Tahuna Torea Flat Dam protection project and will update the Board on progress. February 2020 update: Community Facilities staff provided an update to the Board at its February 2020 workshop.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2019</td>
<td>Item 17</td>
<td>Kepa Bush</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Community Facilities and Healthy Water staff to investigate and address the concern raised by John La Roche regarding Kepa Bush.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2019</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Waitakere Reserve</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Community Facilities staff to review the Waitakere Reserve Enhancement Plan and present options for its implementation to the Board as part of the Ōrākei Local Boards 2020/2021 Community Facilities work program. February 2020 update: Community Facilities staff will provide the Board with options as part of 2020/2021 Community Facilities work programme workshop discussions.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2019</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Waitakere Reserve</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Parks and Places staff to add an item to its Ōrākei Local Board 2020/2021 work programme to undertake a park review of dog exercise areas in Waitakere Reserve, in line with the Waitakere Reserve Enhancement Plan.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To note the records for the Ōrākei Local Board workshops held following the previous business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local Board workshops are an informal forum held primarily for information or discussion purposes, as the case may be, and at which no resolutions or decisions are made.
3. Attached are copies of the records for the Ōrākei Local Board workshops held on 28 November 2019 and 12 December 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board records for the workshops held on 28 November 2019 and 12 December 2019 be noted.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings - 28 November 2019</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings - 12 December 2019</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Ōrākei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Ōrākei Local Board held in the Ōrākei Local Board Office meeting room on Thursday, 28 November 2019 commencing at 12:35pm

Chairperson:
- Scott Milne

Members:
- Troy Chatton (arrived 1.36pm)
- Colin Davis (arrived 1.34pm)
- Troy Elliot
- Sarah Powrie
- Margaret Voyer
- David Wong (arrived 1.58pm)

Also present:
- Suzanne Yeild, Senior Local Board Advisor
- Nicki Palmer, Local Board Advisor
- Elizabeth Stevart, Relationship Manager PA / Office Manager
- Olivia Ramirez, Engagement Advisor
- Audrey Gan, Lead Financial Advisor
- Lucia Davis, Strategic Broker
## Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role with regards to the item being worked through:</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Board member update | - Local initiatives/specific decisions  
- Setting direction / priorities / budget  
- Oversight and monitoring  
- Keeping informed. | Board member only session which provided the Member’s with the opportunity to discuss any issues and/or provide updates to the Board for input and direction. |
| Direction setting for Local Board Plan development | Suzanne Weld, Senior Local Board Advisor  
Nick Palmisano, Local Board Advisor | Suzanne provided an overview of local board plans and the development process, and sought the Board’s feedback on the direction for the draft 2020-2023 local board plan. |
| Local Board Plan – Engagement Strategy | Ollin Perez Raynaut, Engagement Advisor | Ollin informed the Board on the engagement process for the Local Board Plan and shared findings on which local activities or services were most popular, as well as what is the demand for new or upgraded facilities. |
| Local Board Agreement (LBA) Consultation | Suzanne Weld, Senior Local Board Advisor  
Nick Palmisano, Local Board Advisor | Nick provided an update on the Local Board Agreement consultation process and received the local board’s feedback on the consultation document and supporting information. |
| Parks, Sports and Recreation – Liston Park | Rob Gear, PSR Portfolio Manager  
Leigh Redshaw, Investment Specialist | Rob and Lee attended to seek direction from the Board on the development of Liston Park. |

The workshop concluded at 4.55pm
Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Ōrākei Local Board held in the Ōrākei Local Board Office meeting room on Thursday, 12 December 2019 commencing at 12 noon.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Scott Milne
Members: Troy Churton
        Colin Davis
        Troy Elliott
        Sarah Powrie
        Margaret Voyce
        David Wong (arrived 1.48pm)
Also present: Suzanne Weld, Senior Local Board Advisor
             Nick Palmisano, Local Board Advisor
             Elizabeth Stewart, Relationship Manager PA / Office Manager
             Ollin Perez Raynaud, Engagement Advisor
             Audrey Gan, Lead Financial Advisor
             Cathy McIntosh, Specialist Local Communications
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board member update</td>
<td></td>
<td>Board member only session which provided the Member’s with the opportunity to discuss any issues and/or provide updates to the Board for input and direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sports &amp; Recreation (PSR) Update</td>
<td>What is the local board’s governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:</td>
<td>Rob was in attendance to provide the Board with updates on Hakumau Reserve, The Landing, Colin Maiden, Michaels Avenue Reserve and Madills Farm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochelle Killey, Portfolio Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td>Keeping informed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicola Terry, Service and Asset Planning Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.16pm
Exclusion of the Public: Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

That the Ōrākei Local Board


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
<td>s7(2)(j) - The withholding of the information is necessary to prevent the disclosure or use of official information for improper gain or improper advantage. In particular, the report contains detailed financial information that have an impact on the financial results of the Auckland Council group as at 31 December 2019 that require release to the New Zealand Stock Exchange.</td>
<td>s48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENTS

Item 9.5 Attachment A Public Forum presentation supporting documents Page 121
Towai Street, St Heliers, Auckland 1071

Report on dead street trees

Requested replacement during June-July 2020 planting season

For some time the majority of street trees on the North side of Towai Street between Long Drive and Edmund Street have been dead, with stumps cut back near to or at ground level. As residents and ratepayers living in Towai Street, we find this unacceptable. Accordingly, I have prepared a report showing the dead tree stumps at the addresses listed.

Towai Street Trees

2/91 Long Drive – entry on Towai Street

3/91 Long Drive – entry on Towai Street north side

4/91 Long Drive – entry on Towai Street
Towai Street, St Heliers, Auckland 1071
Report on dead street trees

13 Towai Street – north side

15 Towai Street

21 Towai Street – misshapen/nearly dead tree needs replacement

43a Towai Street
Understanding Auckland Council street tree policy to be a preference for native NZ trees, I enquire if the above very attractive tree species in our own street may be used for the replacement trees. This evergreen tree is a very attractive shape for a suburban street tree, is compact enough not to interfere with power lines and looks to be thriving in a hot Auckland summer. Obviously the dead tree stumps would need to be ground out to enable replacement street trees planted either in the same place or adjacent.

It is requested that this matter be lodged with the Orakei Local Board for the meeting on 20 February 2020, 3pm at St Chad’s Community Centre, 38 St Johns Rd, Auckland. I ask that the report be lodged on the agenda under Public Forum and would like to appear in person to support the request for replacement trees planted in this year’s planting season, suggested June-August 2020.

Christine Foley
Towai St
St Heliers
Auckland 1071