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<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | **Welcome**  
Chair Harry Doig will deliver the welcome message. |
| 2 | **Apologies**  
Member E Kumar. |
| 3 | **Declaration of Interest**  
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have. |
| 4 | **Confirmation of Minutes**  
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:  
a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 5 December 2019, as true and correct. |
| 5 | **Leave of Absence**  
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received. |
| 6 | **Acknowledgements**  
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received. |
| 7 | **Petitions**  
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received. |
| 8 | **Deputations**  
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Puketāpapa Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.  
At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received. |
| 9 | **Public Forum**  
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.  
At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received. |
| 10 | **Extraordinary Business**  
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:  
“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-
(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Puketāpapa Local Board (the Board) on transport related matters in the local board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report updates the Board on regional and local matters including the Auckland Transport (AT) Accessibility Action Plan, Carlton Street works and safety audit, proposed pedestrian improvements on Frost and Carr Roads and the 66 bus route. There are also updates on safety measures in Beagle Avenue and the Dominion Road improvements.
3. The board is also advised of the funding available for the Local Board Capital fund this term.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
 a) receive the Auckland Transport February 2020 report.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport related matters in the local board area.
5. Auckland Transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. It reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in its Local Board Engagement Plan.
6. This month’s report provides updates on the Carlton Street, Frost and Carr Roads safety improvements, Dominion Road improvements, further safety measures in Beagle Avenue and the 66 bus route issues.
7. Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of AT’s work programme. Projects must also:
   • build a transport asset
   • be within the road corridor or if outside the corridor, where there is a clear benefit in terms of supporting alternative means of transport including walking and cycling (eg, shared paths through parks that provide a better/safe/more direct route, between community facilities)
   • be technically deliverable
   • meet transport safety criteria
   • not impact negatively on transport network efficiency
   • be aligned with local board plans
8. The Board’s LBTCF allocation for the 2019-22 term is $2,242,983.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Accessibility Safety Plan**

9. The AT Board at its December 2019 meeting adopted an Accessibility Action Plan for the period 2020 to 2022. The plan details what actions AT will undertake over the next three years to improve accessibility.

10. The plan is reviewed and updated every three years.

11. Actions currently underway include:

   - In accordance with the principles set out in the Transport Design Manual, take steps to ensure the transport network is safe and accessible for all users by designing, building and maintaining infrastructure (including roads, footpaths, wharves, stations, interchanges and buildings) to ensure that all transport users have equal opportunities to travel.

   - Conduct an accessibility audit when public transport routes are reviewed or redesigned, to include infrastructure and walking access, to identify any accessibility shortfall and recommend areas for improvement as part of the overall network design, and to ensure that information is provided before changes are implemented.

12. This year’s programme includes:

   - Explore possible accessibility pilot on Link buses and potential to develop for all modes (bus, train and ferry) with potential external partners e.g. Deaf Foundation and Ministry of Social Development, and ascertain funding requirement.

   - Explore concept and estimated costs and prepare a case – a public transport concession fare to support people starting or returning to work; possible link with trade training schemes; possible liaison with Ministry of Social Development

**Carlton Street**

13. The physical works in Carlton Street to modify and shift the traffic calming measures are all but complete and were delivered on schedule. There are still some cones present while minor works are undertaken and issues identified in the “snag” list are resolved.

14. A residents meeting was held on 21 November and was attended by approximately 20 residents, and by local board member Fairey, Councillor Fletcher and AT staff. The primary concerns of residents related to the safety of running a bus service in the street. The outcome of the meeting has led to the commissioning of a further independent safety audit that covers the street, the intersection with Hillsborough Road and the roundabout at the bottom of the street. The terms and scope of the audit were agreed between all parties.

15. AT commissioned BECA to undertake a safety audit of the road and intersections. Beca are currently undertaking this work. BECA will be completing the safety audit in February to allow a representative period for the audit to be undertaken, once schools are back. BECA will be presenting their findings in a public forum once the audit is complete at a date suitable for the community and board.

16. AT undertook not to introduce any services down the road until the outcome of this process.
Frost and Carr Road Safety Improvements

17. The aim of the safer communities project in Mount Roskill is to make changes to Carr Road and Frost Road which will improve safety for pedestrians and help ensure walking is a desirable option for people.

18. This project is on track and the contract has been let for the delivery of the Frost and Carr Roads, footpath and safety Improvements.

19. AT staff are currently working with the contractor on construction methodology, staging and a Traffic Management Plan (TMP). Once these have been finalised the board will be advised, residents will be informed and AT staff will meet with key stakeholders and businesses and schools in particular.

66 Bus Route Service

20. The 66 Bus runs from Pt Chevalier, through MT Albert, Mt Roskill, Three Kings, Royal Oak, Penrose to Sylvia Park. It is one of a number of cross town services that were introduced to increase the interconnectivity of the network. Many residents and visitors to the board area use this service. On weekdays the frequency of the service is every 15 minutes.

21. Toward the end of 2019 residents and bus users began complaining about the 66 Bus terminating before it reached its final stop in Coyle Park. The bus was also commencing its run part way along Pt Chevalier Rd. This led to residents at the end of the peninsula and park visitors having to walk a considerable distance.

22. The drivers started to do this because of illegally parked cars blocking the buses turning circle in the park. Following complaints and queries from board members Council and AT staff began investigating the issue and increasing enforcement.

23. Parking Enforcement continues to monitor and enforce cars parking illegally at Coyle Park. In the long weekend, 8 cars were towed, and 27 infringement tickets were issued. AT is making an effort to deploy officers to the area at peak times (high tides) but don't have the resources to have an officer permanently sited there. The bus company has raised concerns about the safety of people, and issues caused by cars parking on yellow lines. These are being investigated. In the meantime, the buses operate through the park when able to do so.

Dominion Road Mt Roskill Village Improvements

24. This is a local board project delivered by Downer for AT. Following the Christmas New Year temporary halt in works Downer is now working on the eastern side of Dominion Road. All excavation works and laying of concrete bases are completed and paving works are progressing well. Implementation of whāriki artwork on Dominion Road carriageway has started and is anticipated for completion by the end of February.

25. Auckland Transport’s webpage is being updated to reflect the scheduled completion date. The Central Leader community newspaper is likely to run a story about the project, especially the kōhatu (stone seats), in the week commencing 10 February.

Beagle Avenue Improvements

26. Early in 2019 AT undertook some safety improvements to improve safety on Beagle Ave. This involved the construction of a courtesy crossing (raised crossing) to reduce speed. It is understood that any further measures were not progressed while property issues between Council and AT were resolved. These properties are now being resolved.

27. Following a query from board member Fairey, AT traffic engineers undertook further monitoring of the traffic and driver behaviour. They observed that the speeds have decreased but that two signs 1 metre either side of the table should be installed to indicate that there is a speed table, along with a supplementary sign further down the road that recommends a speed of 25km while driving over the table. These visual signals also should change driver behaviour.
Puketapapa Local Board Transport Capital Fund
28. The sum available for the 2019/22 term is as below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Puketapapa Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
<td>$3,378,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
<td>$1,133,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
<td>$2,244,897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
29. On 20 January 2020 Auckland Transport and Vector announced a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) to explore the impacts of the implementation of a fully electrified bus fleet. The MoU is a direct response to AT’s Low Emission Bus Roadmap, published in late 2018, that outlined its commitment to have all new buses in Auckland being electric from 2025, with the whole fleet fully electric by 2040.

30. A faster transition to electric buses requires a detailed assessment of the future demand on the electricity network.

31. Two reports will be produced as part of the MoU, the first exploring a route and service profile, which will model the electricity demand that a fully electrified bus fleet will require. The second report will provide guidance on the electricity network infrastructure upgrades required at each bus depot, as well as likely timings and costs. These two reports are expected to be delivered by June 2020.

32. Buses make up 87 per cent of the carbon emissions produced from public transport, so converting them from diesel to electric will also be a significant step towards meeting New Zealand’s 2050 zero-carbon emissions goal.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
33. The impact of the information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

34. AT is delivering the Mt Roskill Streetscape project for the Board, who has been assisted to develop the project by Auckland Council’s Planning and Places team.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
35. The Board receives update reports from AT as part of its monthly business meeting agendas.

36. The Board also holds regular workshops with AT between business meetings, with briefing memos provided as necessary.

37. Local board members may direct queries on issues via electedmember@at.govt.nz.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
38. Consideration of impacts and opportunities for engagement will be carried out on an individual project basis.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
39. There are no financial implications that result from receiving this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
40. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
41. For a number of these issues further updates via memo or workshop will occur before the next business meeting

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Puketāpapa Local Board with an integrated quarterly performance report for quarter two, 1 October – 31 December 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report includes financial performance, progress against work programmes, key challenges the board should be aware of and any risks to delivery against the 2019/2020 work programme.
3. The work programme is produced annually and aligns with the Puketāpapa Local Board Plan outcomes.
4. The key activity updates from this quarter are:
   - The Integrated Area Plan project (ID 3817) – a political working party has been established and includes members of the Puketāpapa and Albert-Eden Local Boards
   - The Maori Responsiveness project (ID881) – this has focussed on working with Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Ngā Maungarongo in quarter two. A regular monthly meeting schedule has been established with the kura to introduce key Auckland Council project staff
   - Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan (ID883) – community consultation on priorities is complete
   - Waikowhai boardwalk - stage two (ID1976) has received resource consent. Building consent is required.
5. All operating departments with agreed work programmes have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery (Attachment A). Activities are reported with a status of green (on track), amber (some risk or issues, which are being managed) or grey (cancelled, deferred or merged). The following activities are reported with a status of red (behind delivery, significant risk):
   - ID 2198 Monte Cecilia Park – restore historic whare
   - ID 3641 Belfast Reserve – renew structures and furniture
6. The financial performance report for the quarter is attached but is excluded from the public. This is due to restrictions on the release of half year financial information until the Auckland Council Group results are released to the NZX on 28 February 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
   a) receive the performance report for quarter two ending 31 December 2019.
   b) note the financial performance report in Attachment B of the report will remain confidential until after the Auckland Council Group half year results are released to the NZX which are expected to be made public by 28 February 2020.
Horopaki
Context
7. The Puketāpapa Local Board has an approved 2019/2020 work programme for the following operating departments:
   - Arts, Community and Events;
   - Parks, Sport and Recreation;
   - Libraries and Information;
   - Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew;
   - Community Leases;
   - Infrastructure and Environmental Services;
   - Plans and Places;
   - The Southern Initiative (TSI);
   - Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development (ATEED).
8. Work programmes are produced annually, to meet the Puketāpapa Local Board outcomes identified in the three-year Puketāpapa Local Board Plan. The local board plan outcomes are:
   - Connected communities with a sense of belonging
   - Improved wellbeing and safety
   - Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
   - Transport choices meet our varied travel needs
   - Urban development meets community needs
   - Vibrant and popular parks and facilities
   - Treasured and enhanced natural environment
9. The graph below shows how the work programme activities meet Local Board Plan outcomes. Activities that are not part of the approved work programme but contribute towards the local board outcomes, such as advocacy by the local board, are not captured in this graph.
   
   Graph 1: Work programme activities by outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Puketāpapa Work Programme Activities by Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vibrant and popular parks and facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban development meets community needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasured and enhanced natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thriving local economy and good job opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved wellbeing and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connected communities with a sense of belonging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Number of Activities
Analysis and advice

Local Board Work Programme Snapshot

10. The graph below identifies work programme activity by RAG status (red, amber, green and grey) which measures the performance of the activity. It shows the percentage of work programme activities that are on track (green), in progress but with issues that are being managed (amber), activities that have significant issues (red) and activities that have been cancelled/deferred/merged (grey).

Graph 2: Work programme performance by RAG status

11. The graph below shows the stage of the activities in each departments’ work programmes. The number of activity lines differ by department as approved in the local board work programmes.

Graph 3: Work programme performance by activity status and department
Key activity updates from quarter two

12. The Integrated Area Plan project (ID 3817) – a political working party has been established and includes members of the Puketāpapa and Albert-Eden Local Board. In quarter three the working party will meet to consider the consultation needed on the plan, which is anticipated to run in tandem with the local board plan engagement.

13. The Maori Responsiveness project (ID 881) has focussed on Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Ngā Maungarongo. A regular monthly meeting schedule has been established with the kura to introduce key Auckland Council project staff and projects, and to provide the opportunity for the kura to input into the Council.

14. Work on the Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan (ID 883) progresses with the community being asked to input into a prioritisation exercise. The results of this will be analysed in quarter three.

15. The second stage of the Waikowhai boardwalk (ID 1976) has received resource consent. A coastal specialist will be contracted to finalise the tender drawings. The next milestone is the lodging of building consent for the boardwalk and tender the project. Physical works are currently planned for late spring 2020.

Activities with significant issues

16. Monte Cecilia Park (ID 2198) – restore historic whare. The James Wallace Art Trust (JWAT) received funding from the local board in FY19 to investigate the feasibility of fundraising for the restoration of the Whare building. The board is waiting for the JWAT to advise whether they have a need for the Whare. It anticipates that there will be an update in quarter three. The Whare building was recently vandalised and now has construction fencing surrounding it.

17. Belfast Reserve (ID 3641) – renew structures and furniture. Works on site have been halted due to extensive unforeseen erosion causing significant structural risk. A temporary make-safe solution has been proposed however the team had encountered machinery access issues. A detailed assessment of options to address this issue needs to be completed.

Activities on hold

18. No work programme activities have been identified by operating departments as on hold.

Changes to the local board work programme

Cancelled activities

19. These activities are cancelled:
   - Puketāpapa Christmas event (ID 292). This event was cancelled, due to the weather forecast. Most of the budget, approximately $22,000 was spent in preparation for this event.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

20. Receiving performance monitoring reports will not result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

21. Work programmes were approved in June 2019 and delivery is already underway. Should significant changes to any projects be required, climate impacts will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

22. The local board is currently investing in several sustainability projects, which aim to build awareness around individual carbon emissions, and changing behaviour at a local level.
These include:

- **EcoNeighbourhoods Puketāpapa (ID 640)** – an EcoNeighbourhood is made up of groups of six or more neighbours within a local board area, with a shared objective to adopt sustainable practices and increase resilience within their homes, lifestyles and neighbourhoods. The EcoNeighbourhood group decides what sustainable living actions they wish to undertake, and the project co-ordinator supports these groups to act. A minimum of four EcoNeighbourhoods will be set up in the local board area.

- **Healthy Rentals Puketāpapa (ID 641)** - The healthy rentals project aims to raise housing literacy, support tenants to create warmer, drier homes, and reduce energy use and associated carbon emissions. It is targeted at private rental tenants.

- **Puketāpapa Low Carbon Network (ID 646)** - The low carbon network is a collection of individuals, households, groups, businesses, operating within the local board area working together to promote, support and implement community level low carbon activities. Through a low carbon community broker, the network will determine the direction of and priority areas they would like to focus on, in accordance with the low carbon plan.

- **Low Carbon Lifestyles Puketāpapa (ID 649)** - The project supports householders to lead low carbon lifestyles, with two objectives: to reduce residential energy use and associated carbon emissions and improve resident health by keeping houses warmer and drier. The project involves a doorstep conversation with residents and may also include the provision of energy saving devices.

23. The board is also investing in initiatives that respond to climate change, such as:

- **Urban Forest/Ngahere Strategy (ID456)** – this seeks to increase the amount of urban forest cover. This is the second year of local board specific implementation of Auckland’s Ngahere Strategy.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

**Council group impacts and views**

24. When developing the work programmes council group impacts and views are presented to local boards.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

25. This report informs the Puketāpapa Local Board of the performance for quarter two ending 31 December 2019.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

26. The Puketāpapa Local Board is committed to strengthening and formalising its relationships with mana whenua and mataawaka. “Māori are recognised and affirmed as mana whenua” is an objective within the Puketāpapa Local Board Plan 2017.

27. The local board continues to work with the Ngāti Tamaoho Trust to grow the relationship that was formalised in the Relationship Agreement signed in Manukau in July 2018.

28. The board has included, in its Local Board Plan and work programme, a number of activities which mana whenua have been engaged in. These include:

- **Te Auaunga Placemaking** - Mana whenua have been engaged as a partner throughout the development of Te Auaunga Tohu and design guide. Representatives from Te Akitai Waiohua, Ngāti Tamaoho, Ngāti Whātua Orākei, Te Kawerau a Maki,
Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki, and Ngāti Whanaunga provided advice to guide development of the design guide at multiple hui. Capital budget is available to progress this plan.

- Te Kete Rukuruku (Māori Naming of Reserves and Facilities). Work has continued with mana whenua to identify opportunities for Māori names for local parks to enhance and recognise Auckland’s Māori identity and heritage.
- Build capacity; Māori responsiveness – this project seeks to respond to the key aspirations and priorities for Maori in the Puketāpapa Local Board area.

29. The board has several projects that seek to restore the mauri of awa, whanga, ngahere and projects that celebrate Te Ao Maori:
   - Te Auaunga Weed Control and Volunteer Planting - focusses on plant pest control and riparian planting for Te Auaunga
   - Increasing local employment through Freeland Reserve stream restoration project – seeks to use a local nursery (Te Whangai Trust) to provide landscaping for this project. This will support local social outcomes and connection to the awa
   - Community Management Plan for Te Auaunga and Mt Roskill schools – this involves the development of a restoration plan for the pars of Te Auaunga that run through Mt Roskill Primary, Mt Roskill Intermediate and Mt Roskill Grammar
   - Ecological Volunteers and Environmental Programme – with contractor assistance Friends of Wairaki Stream have cleared areas of persistent weeds and planted 1100 trees at Lynfield Reserve
   - Manukau Harbour Forum – the board participates in this co-governance forum to restore the mauri of the whanga
   - Ngahere Strategy – seeks to increase the amount of urban forest cover
   - Te Manu Aute Kite Day - celebrates Matariki on Puketāpapa/Pukewiwi maunga
   - Whakatipu i te reo Māori – this libraries programme celebrates Te Ao Māori and strengthening responsiveness to Māori.

30. The local board seeks to acknowledge Te Ao Māori and tikanga through karakia before meal breaks, special meetings/workshops with mana whenua on key projects of mutual interest, and blessings when large local board projects are undertaken or concluded in the community.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
31. There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Financial Performance
32. Auckland Council (Council) currently has a number of bonds quoted on the NZ Stock Exchange (NZX). As a result, the Council is subject to obligations under the NZX Main Board & Debt Market Listing Rules and the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 sections 97 and 461H. These obligations restrict the release of half year financial reports and results until the Auckland Council Group results are released to the NZX on 28 February. Due to these obligations the financial performance attached to the quarterly report is excluded from the public.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
33. While the risk of non-delivery of the entire work programme is rare, the likelihood for risk relating to individual activities does vary. Capital projects for instance, are susceptible to
more risk as on-time and on-budget delivery is dependent on weather conditions, approvals (e.g. building consents) and is susceptible to market conditions.

34. The approved Community Facilities 2019/2020 work programme and 2020-2022 indicative work programme include projects identified as part of the Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP). These are projects that the Community Facilities delivery team will progress, if possible, in advance of the programmed delivery year. This flexibility in delivery timing will help to achieve 100 per cent financial delivery for the 2019/2020 financial year, by ensuring that if projects intended for delivery in the 2019/2020 financial year are delayed due to unforeseen circumstances, that other projects can be progressed while the causes for delays are addressed.

35. Information about any significant risks and how they are being managed and/or mitigated is addressed in the ‘Activities with significant issues’ section of this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

36. The local board will receive the next performance update following the end of quarter three (31 March 2020).
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### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCQ</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Build capacity: Support Roskill Community Network</td>
<td>Enable Roskill Together to use feedback from the 2018 Roskill Community Network survey to support local groups make connections and build capacity so they can deliver community initiatives and establish partnering opportunities. Support Roskill Together to coordinate and run the existing providers network and the new Wesley Community Centre meeting (in partnership with Wesley Community Centre), with the vision of combining the two at a later stage to encourage collaboration and to strengthen communication between local providers and local residents. This activity is part of on-going support for the Roskill Community Network, funded by the Puketapapa Local Board. Evaluate the network to determine value for money and to confirm how it benefits the community.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Empowerment</td>
<td>L1: Opex</td>
<td>$10,600</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff completed funding agreement for Roskill Together Trust to enable the delivery of eight Roskill Community Network Meetings in 2019/2020. Two meetings will be held in Q2 that will bring together community groups and local service providers to provide opportunities for networking, information sharing and to enhance community partnerships. Staff are working with Roskill Together and the Wesley Community Centre to make the network meetings and encourage further diverse community participation.</td>
<td>The Roskill Community Network held three meetings in Q2. The first meeting and providers was on Wellbeing and Safety with a focus on emergency services, insulation and energy efficiency in homes. The second residents meeting introduced the Puketapapa Eco Neighbourhood project, explaining how residents can get involved. The third meeting explored the Healthy Puketapapa Action plan and the views of the community. In Q3, there will be an evaluation of the Roskill Community Network and the findings and recommendations will be reported to the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Build capacity: Increase children’s participation in council decision-making</td>
<td>Facilitate children’s panel engagement in schools during the 2019 and 2020 school calendar years. Following the panel engagement community organisation will work with a group of children (hereafter known as the Children’s Stakeholder group) to plan an activity from the ideas of the children’s engagement. Following the activity, children will report their experiences back to the Local Board for decision-making on issues important to children. The community organisation will also provide a report with collated information from each participating school to the Local Board. Explore scope to develop future activities under the Healthy Puketapapa Action Plan Children’s engagement, including panel facilitation for 8 schools.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Empowerment</td>
<td>L1: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff met with Roskill Together to review the activities and feedback from the children and schools who participated in the 2019 Children’s Panel. In September 2019, representatives from the Children’s Group attended the local board workshop to speak about their experiences and provide feedback about their communities and what they learned from participating in the panel. A full report with recommendations from Roskill Together will be provided to the local board in Q2. The Children’s Panel 2020 will begin at the end of Q2.</td>
<td>Roskill Together supported students from the following schools to present to the local board on their desired topics in line with the Healthy Puketapapa pillars of: How Safe are you now?, Good Healthy Foods, Good Mental Health and Clean Environment. - May Road Primary presented the need for Welcome Packs for new people moving into the area - Hay Park Primary presented the “Garden to Table” project that was included in Matariki activities - Waitakere Primary focused on mental health where positive messages were created on postcards that students put into their neighbour’s mailbox. - Mt. Roskill Intermediate student’s focus was on the environment. Students were known as the “Green Team” and showcased their school clean-up. This resulted in students creating sustainable T-shirt bags. For Q3, Roskill Together will take key learnings from Q2 and choose one topic that relates to the pillar of Encouraging Movement for the Healthy Puketapapa project. Each school will look for projects that will involve health, hygiene, and wellbeing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Build capacity: Youth Development</td>
<td>Fund key community partner to develop and support youth engagement, youth initiatives, and build youth capacity. Funding will support young people to provide input into local board decision-making on issues that affect young people. Feedback from the 2018 Youth Summit identified that young people would like to strengthen the relationship of police and young people in the community. 2019-2020 Youth Activities will be looking to deliver based on the 2019 Youth Summit when report is presented to the Local Board in September 2019. Standing youth engagements include regular fortnightly meetings at the Local Board Office with the Youth Board and their coordinator. Youth Awards which will be held in October 2019 Youth Summit which will be held between March and June 2020.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Empowerment</td>
<td>L1: Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The funding agreement with YMCA for the coordination of the Puketapapa Youth Board (PYB) is waiting on supplier signature. The PYB reviewed their Terms of Reference and are intending to expand their recruitment. Marcellin College continue to be a biggest contributor. The 2019 Youth Summit is held in September 2019. Youth Summit and transport campaign to the local board. Due to change over in youth board members and exams, the report will be presented to the local board in Q3. The annual youth awards will be held on October 25th 2019 and nominations have now opened.</td>
<td>The Puketapapa Youth Board (PYB) continued to maintain fortnightly with a set agenda. The PYB successfully completed the organisation and delivery of the Youth Awards, recognising students from high schools within the local board area for their leadership roles within their school and community. PYB has produced a media piece to recruit new members to the PYB for 2020 - this has been put on social media. The deadline for applications is 14 January 2020 in Q3, the PYB will present preliminary results from the 2019 Youth Summit to the local board and their outcomes for 2020 and introduce them to new and old members of the PYB. YMCA continue to support and work alongside the PYB. Their accountability report is due in February 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
<td>Q2 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Community-led placemaking - Support Neighbours</td>
<td>Fund community organisations to support communities to engage with their neighbours and the wider community through community placemaking activities.</td>
<td>CS, ACE - Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LD, Opex</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>A funding agreement for Neighbourhood Support will be completed in Q2.</td>
<td>Auckland Urban Management (AUM) has expressed interest in partnering with Neighbourhood Support to promote emergency preparedness education during Neighbourhood’s Day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Apply the empowered communities approach –</td>
<td>Broker strategic, collaborative relationships and resources within the community. This includes five key activity areas: 1. Engaging communities in decision-making on place-based planning and implementation. This includes urban revitalisation activities, collaborating with relevant council departments, council-controlled organisations and actions associated with facilitating community-focused connections with Te Awanui Awe. 2. Enabling councils to support groups to gain access to operational and technical expertise and identify and address barriers to community empowerment. 4. Responding to the aspirations of mana whenua, mātauranga, māori and tāmaki communities.</td>
<td>CS, ACE - Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LD, Opex</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The strategic broker assessed and provided subject matter advice for - 22 applications for round one of the Puketapapa Local Board Community Grant programme, 20 applications for round one of the multi-board grant programme, 20 applications for round one of the quick response grants programme.</td>
<td>The strategic broker recommended and provided subject matter advice for 22 applications for round one of the Puketapapa Local Board Community Grant programme, 20 applications for round one of the multi-board grant programme, 20 applications for round one of the quick response grants programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Increase diverse participation: social innovation</td>
<td>Provide funding through industry partners to emerging enterprises towards their identified development need or project.</td>
<td>CS, ACE - Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LD, Opex</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff met with the project partners, Aroha Foundation, to identify the key areas of development for the social enterprises in the area. The focus in 2019/2020 will be strengthening the social enterprise sector.</td>
<td>At the December 2019 business meeting, the local board reallocated $12,000 from Tātou Puketapapa to this activity, making the total $27,000. Staff completed the funding agreement with Aroha Foundation. The organisation has progressed to work with local groups to establish the Gre© Marketplace virtual hub to support local enterprises. The focus in Q2 has been to continue strengthening the relationship and support the development of a coordinator to continue the hub development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>Puketapapa Christmas Event</td>
<td>Deliver the annual Puketapapa Christmas Event</td>
<td>CS, ACE - Events</td>
<td>LD, Opex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>Grey</td>
<td>A community reference group was set up for this project. The event date has been confirmed for Saturday 7 December 2019 at Three Kings Reserve and a permit application has been submitted.</td>
<td>TBC. Due to forecast weather conditions, the event was cancelled with the best interests of public safety in mind. Any remaining budget will be confirmed in Q3 for possible reallocation by the local board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCD</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>Movies in Parks - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Programme and deliver a Regional Movies in Parks series event Note: the 2018/2020 budget figure shown for this activity includes the $13,500 originally approved plus $6,200 carried forward from 2018/2019. Note: 1208/C191 LB gave the direction to repurpose $3,000 to Music in Parks 'Frosh Takes' new event being delivered at Watemere Reserve Sun 1 March 2020, 1pm - 4 pm with a localised focus and engagement opportunities.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Events</td>
<td>LO: Opex</td>
<td>$19,700</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Programming and delivery planning for one Regional Movies in Parks series event underway. Movie listings and screening locations will be confirmed in Q2. Pre-entertainment will be sourced locally during Q2, for engagement and activation by those in the community.</td>
<td>Planning for Movies in Parks is on track with pre-entertainment booked and event permits issued for the Monta Gecar screening on 31 January 2020. A public screening licence for &quot;Hakatea Te Matua Pacman&quot; has been approved. This local board also approved in a workshop on 12 December 2019 the use of $5,000 towards a Music in Parks event 'Fresh Takes' which will be delivered at Watemere Reserve on Sunday 1 March 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>Local Civic Events - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Deliver and support civic events within the local board area including: - The public opening of the baronial building. - The opening of Te Awaanga site and open space. - Puketāpapa Community Awards - Mt Roskill Dommeson Rd Streetscape Upgrade</td>
<td>CS: ACE Events</td>
<td>LO: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Meetings were held with the local board to confirm the following list of civic events that will be delivered in 2019/2020: - Waiukuwehi Parkland re opening $2,800 - Keith Hay Park South playground re opening $2,000 - New courts opening at picknetball at Arthur Faulkner $2,000 - Mt Roskill Town centre streetscape improvements complete $4,000 - New artwork at Feoran Park $1,700 - Boardwalk opening event $1,700 - Boardwalk opening event $4,000 In Q1 the Civic Events team delivered three events: Artist Chris Bailey blessed his new artwork ‘Te Tāhua tō te aho’ that had been installed on 13 July at Feoran Park. A karakia was held on 13 September 2019 prior to the commencement of works for the Mt Roskill Streetscape Upgrade on Dominion Road. The event was attended by 27 guests. A tree planting ceremony was held on 21 September 2019 to commemorate six months since the Christchurch shootings. The event was led by local kaumatua, the Muslim Association, the local board, and councillors. An Afternoon tea was provided for the 60 guests at Wesley Community Centre. In Q2, the Civic Events team delivered the Dawn Blessing of the new kohatu for the Mt Roskill Streetscape upgrade. This was held on Monday 9 December 2019. The event was attended by 10 guests.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>ANZAC Services - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Deliver ANZAC services and parades within the local board area - Mt Roskill (4,000)</td>
<td>CS: ACE Events</td>
<td>LO: Opex</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Scheduled for Q4, planning will commence in Q2.</td>
<td>Scheduled for Q4, planning started in Q2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>Citizenship Ceremonies - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Deliver an annual programme of citizenship ceremonies in conjunction with the Department of Internal Affairs.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Events</td>
<td>AB5: Opex</td>
<td>$16,438</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The Civic Events team delivered two citizenship ceremonies on two different occasions during Q1 with 252 people from the local board area becoming new citizens.</td>
<td>The Civic Events team delivered one citizenship ceremony on one occasion during Q2 with 69 people from the local board area becoming new citizens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>Community grants (PKTIP)</td>
<td>Support local community groups through contestable grant funding. Note: budget breakdown: - $60K - local board grant round. - $12K - quick response grant round. Note: the 2018/2020 budget figure shown for this activity includes the $72,000 originally approved plus $3,940 carried forward from 2018/2019.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LO: Opex</td>
<td>$75,940</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The local board has an unallocated budget of $2,300 from a grant that was not uplifted. This grant was allocated to the Puketāpapa Communications Limited trading as Humm FM for the &quot;Colors in the Park - Hotel 2019&quot; event (LG191-122), which will no longer proceed. In Q1 there was one quick response round allocating $1,468.72, and one local grants round allocating $39,286.13 which leaves a total of $37,894.15 to be allocated to one additional local grants round and one quick response round.</td>
<td>There have been no grant decisions in this quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>Operational Grants - Tāmaki Minato Arts Centre (Pah Homestead)</td>
<td>Administer a funding agreement with the James Wallace Arts Trust at Pah Homestead for operational funding of and funding for programmes at the arts centre, including an exhibition programme plus associated events and administration of a relationship agreement.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>AUS: Opex</td>
<td>$440,394</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507</td>
<td>Auckland Council - J.W.A.T Joint Liaison Board relationship monitoring</td>
<td>Provide communications and record documentation and performance updates on the activities of the Auckland Council - James Wallace Arts Trust Joint Liaison Board.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The JWA Joint Liaison Board have been meeting regularly to monitor and review activities. To complement this group, representatives of Auckland Council and J.W.A.T have also been meeting regularly to support operational aspects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>Manu Aute Kite Day</td>
<td>Delivered event to celebrate Matatiki with a Manu Aute Kite Day Event.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Arts &amp; Culture</td>
<td>LDL: Opex</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This local board funded a survey of this event in 2019/2020, which did not occur. Staff have agreed that the survey will be done in 2019/2020 using the earned forward budget. This programme occurs in Q3 and Q4 and will be reported on in those quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>Event delivery support</td>
<td>Funding support for International Cultural Festival $6,000</td>
<td>CS: ACE Events</td>
<td>LDL: Opex</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Event to occur in Q4 with planning to commence in Q3. The event is scheduled for Tuesday 5th July at the Royal War Memorial Park. Event permitting processes are underway along with the stage and performance schedule and general operation plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>879</td>
<td>Increase diverse participation Seniors participation</td>
<td>Identify opportunities for seniors to participate in and engage with the wider community and on council decision-making. Support existing seniors groups to collaborate and partner on joint interests and activities. Assist with the formation and establishment of new satellite seniors groups in Puketapapa.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Empowerment</td>
<td>LDL: Opex</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff met with Roskill Together to identify current local activities for seniors and any perceived gaps. Staff will present an update on activities to the local board in Q2. Roskill Together supported Roskill Village Hall Committee to draft a new constitution for their recently established committee, who provide social and educational activities for village residents. In Q2, the committee advocated for village hall improvements and renewal of equipment and added more activities to the social calendar. These included karaoke evenings, Christmas events, a visit from the Fire Service, and a presentation by Age Concern on Auckland’s Age-Friendly City policy. Roskill Together assisted in setting up a ‘My Roskill Chinese Association’ to help older people from Chinese-speaking backgrounds participate in their community. The group took an active role in teaching crafts at the ‘Pukekapapa Try a Craft Day’ participated in a multi-cultural event at the Roskill Centre, and shared Chinese crafts with the children’s group at Bettebye Day’s holiday programme. Roskill Together attended the Three Kings Seniors’ Network meeting, set up an information stall at Eden Village to promote seniors’ activities, and collaborated with Wesley Community Centre to promote, connect and encourage seniors to participate in current programmes. In Q3, Roskill Together will meet with Landscape Road residents, Three Kings Seniors Committee and Wesley Community Centre staff to plan, develop and create local activities of interest to seniors in Puketapapa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>881</td>
<td>Build capacity, Māori</td>
<td>The Puketāpapa local board will engage with local Māori to explore key aspirations and priorities of Māori who reside in the local board area - strengthen and grow relationships to assist with identifying agreed shared goals with local Māori; engage with students and whānau of Te Kae Pa Maori o Hīga Maungapongoro, HLG-NZ, tamariki, kaumatua, rangatahi, tamariki; to strengthen relationships and improve outcomes for Māori residents</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Improvement</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff met with Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Hīga Maungapongoro to conduct communications support for their annual Kai festival. This will be managed by the Albert-Eden/Puketāpapa Communications Advisor. The Puketāpapa/Albert-Eden Libraries Engagement Advisor explored the kura’s interest in developing a Te Ao Māori-centric creative writing project - called Whātiao Here, Whātiao Faka. The kura will provide recommendations to the library for Te Reo Māori resources and books for purchase. The Puketāpapa Engagement Advisor was introduced to the kura staff, focusing on planning for the upcoming 3-year local board plan, with follow-up meetings scheduled for Q2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>882</td>
<td>Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan</td>
<td>Year two of three year HPAP development and project delivery Year One saw development of the HPAP framework and initial identification of actions within the Action Plan. Manage the implementation of the Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan on behalf of the local board, ensuring central government agencies, community organisations, groups and members are engaged in the process. Contract provider to focus on three chosen areas of to ensure all sectors of the community benefit.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Advisory</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$57,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>In Q1, the local board received the Strategic Framework and Action Plan. Wai Auckland agreed to mentor a Wai coordinator to implement whānau faces across Puketāpapa. Discussions were initiated with housing and community cohesion partners and Auckland Museums to develop community lead research that contributes to housing, cohesion and valuing identity projects. Parks, Sport, and Recreation initiated the diversity in the park partners. The focus for Q2 will be the Kaitiaki group recruitment, development of a communication plan, and evaluation framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>964</td>
<td>Enabling shared space - year 2 of 3</td>
<td>This is an integrated project across two local board areas (AL/BS and PW/PBR). In YR 2 of this 3-year programme, the contract provider will work closely with the two identified community-based groups to support them to effectively and efficiently share use of their space.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Advisory</td>
<td>LDI: Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The Shared Spaces Project has identified a level of interest in groups with existing Council tenants sharing their facilities, where appropriate. It has found that many of the organisations do not have the capacity to accommodate additional groups to support them to effectively and efficiently share use of their space. The project has identified a level of interest in groups with existing Council tenants sharing their facilities, where appropriate. It has found that many of the organisations do not have the capacity to accommodate additional groups to support them to effectively and efficiently share use of their space. The Shared Spaces Project Coordinator has developed relationships with a number of lease holders in the Puketāpapa Local Board area to support and encourage shared space use. A successful pilot network meeting was held on 6 November 2019, attended by 20 representatives from lease organisations in the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa Local Board areas. Seven organisations were represented from Puketāpapa. The meeting enabled groups to connect and share practical information about leasing and shared space use and will be repeated at the request of the groups involved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082</td>
<td>Access to Community Places - PEM</td>
<td>Provide fair, easy and affordable access to a safe and welcoming venues in the Puketāpapa Local Board area. Improving public access to the Puketāpapa Community Centre; Hindley Street Community Centre and various local and area Council facilities.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Places</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>During Q1, participant numbers have increased by six per cent compared to the same period last year. Booking hours have also increased by 27 per cent compared to the same period last year. The increase in booking hours is due to the new bookable spaces at the Puketāpapa Community Centre. Satisfaction results show that 90 per cent of users would recommend the venues they have visited in the local board. The top two activity types are meetings and religious.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A regular meeting schedule has now been established with Te Kura Kaupapa Māori o Hīga Maungapongoro to co-ordinate communications support for their annual Kai festival. This will be managed by the Albert-Eden/Puketāpapa Communications Advisor. The Puketāpapa/Albert-Eden Libraries Engagement Advisor explored the kura’s interest in developing a Te Ao Māori-centric creative writing project - called Whātiao Here, Whātiao Faka. The kura will provide recommendations to the library for Te Reo Māori resources and books for purchase. The Puketāpapa Engagement Advisor was introduced to the kura staff, focusing on planning for the upcoming 3-year local board plan, with follow-up meetings scheduled for Q2. For 2020, Auckland City Council has contracted to deliver 49+ Refill NZ water stations at retailers across Puketāpapa. The project continues into Q3. The community prioritisation exercise is underway, and will drive the Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan (HPAP) focus for the rest of 2019/2020 and planning for 2020/21. There was a successful ECO from Auckland Museums on community-led research that contributes to housing, cohesion and valuing identity projects. A joint meeting of the Puketāpapa housing working group and museum research staff is set for February 2020. Sport Auckland is to support Rōmilā Together and the Children’s Panel to explore “My wharenui and I getting moving in my neighbourhood”. Other schools now include the University of Auckland Kids in the City research pilot on children’s voice in urban planning. The Healthy Puketāpapa process evaluation is underway led by HPAP partner Auckland Regional Public Health Service and will report in early 2020. A resource for other local boards to learn from HPAP is being developed and will be available by March 2020.
| Item 12 |

| Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit / Code</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1083</td>
<td>Activation of Community Places - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Enable and co-ordinate a wide range of activities that cater to the diversity of the Puketāpapa community.</td>
<td>CS: ACE Community Places</td>
<td>AUS: Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>During Q2, Wesleys Community Centre and Roskill Youth Zone delivered a range of activations alongside their partners and the community. Highlights for this quarter are the Family Fun Day at Wesleys Community Centre and the Puketāpapa end of year celebration at the Roskill Youth Zone. Both attracted high numbers of families participating games and engaging in the festive celebration. Both events were run by members of the community with support from the community centre team. The focus for Q2 will be to collaborate with the community to activate Pacifica Language Week celebrations and International Women’s Day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1084 | Programming in Community Places - Puketāpapa | Develop and deliver programmes that respond to a need or gap in the Puketāpapa community. | CS: ACE Community Places | AUS: Opex | $232,303 | In progress | Green | During Q1, Wesleys Community Centre and Roskill Youth Zone have delivered a range of programmes alongside their partners. Highlights for Q1 included the weekly Community Meal at the Wesleys Community Centre and the Pacific Learning Centre at the Roskill Youth Zone. The Community Meal supports at-risk members of the community and also provides a place for the community to come together and build relationships. The Pacific Learning Centre supports Pacifica school children through a cultural approach to education. Both are well attended with very positive feedback from participants. In Q1 the Wesleys Market has collected $26,000 in fees and had range of 30 – 40 stall holders each market day. The focus for Q2 will be the introduction of the Tongan Seniors programme at the Wesleys Community Centre, and the Bike Team programme from The Bike Kitchen at the Roskill Youth Zone. |

| 1275 | Social Cohesion in new housing area Pukekohe - proposed | Building social cohesion in new Auckland Housing Programme areas - a pilot collaboration between existing and new communities in Roskill South and Onewa. | CS: ACE Community Empowerment | LO: Opex | $5,000 | In progress | Green | The information gathering of stakeholders and projects in the Marlborough Area Development has extended to include and develop both council- and community-controlled organisations with stakeholders. The stakeholder workshop was replaced with informal interviews with the key themes to be used to develop the final key performance indicators. |

| 1277 | Increase diversity community participation - proposed | This is an activity to support identified positive activities for identified communities in Pukekohe area | CS: ACE Community Empowerment | LO: Opex | $5,000 | In progress | Green | Staff met with the Kaihoe Ti Kaha team to discuss the initiative and desired outcomes of the project. The team confirmed Lynstedt and Wesleys at their preferred area to start the programme. They will seek and confirm appropriate venues for workshops. The programme will be called International Women’s Group and Kaihoe Ti Kaha aims to start the programme in October 2019. The programme will be able to ‘Te Whare Tapa Whā model to evaluate its impact on participants and their wellbeing. The funding agreement will be completed in Q2. |

| 1286 | Capacity building for delivery | Placeholder for continued support for community organisations engaging diverse activities. | CS: ACE Advisory | LO: Opex | $8,000 | In progress | Green | A workshop was held with the local board in June 2019 to present the project plan and scope. Following the workshop, Active Transport Trust has been funded to work in partnership with Global Health Mission to deliver the Wesleys Intermediate Bike Train Trail Initiative. Delivery has commenced and staff will provide an update on project delivery in Q2. |

Auckland Council’s Quarterly Performance Report: Puketāpapa Local Board for quarter two 2019/2020
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3182</td>
<td>Strategic Relationship grants (PKTPP)</td>
<td>Support local strategic relationships through contestable grant funding.</td>
<td>CS, ACE Community Improvement</td>
<td>LO, Opex</td>
<td>$119,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff updated the local board on 12 Strategic Relationship Grants awarded in 2018/2019 to local and regional organisations. All grant recipients have met key funding objectives which were identified in their respective 2018/2019 funding agreement, and have delivered tangible benefits to the community. A cross section of 11 community and environmental organisations received funding from the 2019/2020 Strategic Relationship Grant. Staff compiled all funding agreements for these organisations. In Q2, a progress report will be submitted by grant recipients on activities and staff will update the local board on this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1201</td>
<td>Young Enterprise Scheme (PKTPP)</td>
<td>The Auckland Chamber of Commerce, on behalf of the Young Enterprise Scheme Trust, delivers the Young Enterprise Scheme (YES) in Auckland. ATEED as the economic development agency is a strategic partner supporting the delivery of YES. YES is a practical, year-long programme for year 12 and 13 students. Through the programme, students develop creative ideas into actual businesses, complete with real products and services and experience real profit and loss. The funding from the local board will support the delivery of the Young Enterprise Scheme Kick Start Days in February 2020. The Kick Start days are held in sub-regions (north, south, east, central/west) and are the first day students get to meet the Young Enterprise team, and find out about their YES year. What YES is all about, what is in store for them.</td>
<td>ATEED, Local Economic Growth</td>
<td>LO, Opex</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The Auckland Business Chamber is expected to draw down the funds allocated by the Local Board in Q2. This will enable them to deliver the YES Kick Start days in February 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1202</td>
<td>Pop Up Business School (PKTPP)</td>
<td>The Pop Up Business School provides a free 10-day business school to provide education, support for local people interested in starting their own business. Examples elsewhere have had positive results in terms of the numbers of businesses established. By supporting local residents by providing entrepreneurial training the generation of local businesses will be increased and local employment opportunities provided.</td>
<td>ATEED, Local Economic Growth</td>
<td>LO, Opex</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Venue and dates for the Pop Up confirmed for March 23rd to 3rd April 2020 and event listed on ATEED website and Eventbrite for registrations. Promotion will commence in the new year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Community Facilities: Build MarketsReview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Herdell Long and Feison Reserve - develop greenways and linkages</td>
<td>Implementation of the concept plan to improve the connectivity of the two parks, furthering developing the greenways/Linkages as per the local boards adopted Greenways Plan. This project is a continuation of the FY17/18 programme. This project is now complete and was delivered in advance of the planned timeframe. The budget remains in the original allocated year as it was committed.</td>
<td>CF, Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABE, Capex - Development</td>
<td>$313,449</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Wattlewell - Install coastal boardwalk - stage 2</td>
<td>Stage two - Construction of the boardwalk from Bexfield Place to Tawhoro Bay Reserve. Inclusive of design, engineering investigation, public consultation, resource consenting, tendering and commencement of physical works, FY18/19 investigate and design FY19/20 deliver physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF, Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABE, Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$159,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Engaging consultant to finalise construction drawings. Next steps: Tender the physical works and obtain building consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit / CBO</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Arthur Faulkner Removal, investigate renewal</td>
<td>Maintain and investigate the renewal requirements for the Hazel Avenue car park and</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and</td>
<td>ABS: Capex -</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Consultant engaged for the feasibility study of the car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>car park, minor renewal renewal works</td>
<td>path by the VHF Club to enable all year round accessible parking and access around</td>
<td>design</td>
<td>Renewals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>park in regards to the car park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to the tennis courts and play space.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2056</td>
<td>Te Aukanga Awa Planning</td>
<td>Minor annual budget top up to achieve the priorities outlined by Parks, Sports, and</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$40,940</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Current status: Parks, Sports and Recreation team are leading the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Recreation: FY19/20 - LEOPS $50,000. The LEOPS budget is to progress the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including discussions with Marsan Whenua. Working with consultants and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>adopted plan, including for wayfinding and interpretive signage, trail markers,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Quantity Surveyor for costing for the TÒRNI design. Next steps:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>storytelling and significant sites along the Oakley Creek in the Puketapapa Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion to be held with the local board to confirm the priority for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Board area. FY19/20 minor annual budget top up for Tohu implementation. FY19/20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>installation of the place-making, and further funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>minor annual budget top up for Tohu implementation. This project is part of a multi-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>year programme to be initiated FY18/19.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2073</td>
<td>Keith Hay Park - construct changing rooms and</td>
<td>Auckland Council’s capital grant contribution to go towards the installation of</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and</td>
<td>ABS: Capex -</td>
<td>$445,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Auckland Council contribution is to go towards the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>toilet - Newport and Richardson Road entrances</td>
<td>toilets and changing rooms. This project is being led and managed by the football</td>
<td>design</td>
<td>Growth\External</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>installation of toilets and changing rooms. This project is being led</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- renew both car parks, install emergency</td>
<td>club. A facilities partnership agreement will be executed. Physical works are</td>
<td></td>
<td>Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and managed by the Three Kings United Football Club. A facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lighting</td>
<td>estimated to commence in FY19/20. This project is part of a multi-year programme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>partnership agreement to be prepared by Community Services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>initiated FY18/19.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2090</td>
<td>Keith Hay Park - Newport and Richardson Road</td>
<td>Renewal and upgrade of the existing carparks at the entrances to the park off</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex -</td>
<td>$487,701</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed September 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Road; Richardson Road</td>
<td>these parks through the Newport Road and Richardson Road. Lighting to be installed,</td>
<td></td>
<td>Renewals #26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>continuing on through the Richardson Road entrance car park through to Richardson</td>
<td></td>
<td>External</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Road. The upgrade is in accordance with the Keith Hay Park Development Plan (2017/18 - 205,000 LEOPS contribution) and the Auckland Transport Capital Fund. The project is a continuation of the FY17/18 programme. This project is now in delivery in advance of the planned timeframe. The budget remains in the original allocation as it was committed Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2122</td>
<td>Park Homestead - install HVAC system</td>
<td>Improve the air conditioning system at the facility to ensure it is functional and</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex -</td>
<td>$1,354,208</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Installation of ductwork have been completed in store room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fit for purpose. This project is part of a multi-year funded programme and is a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heating, ventilation and Air Conditioning equipment has been ordered, works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>continuation of the FY17/18 programme.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to commence in other areas soon. Next steps: Continue liaising with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heritage team and the James Wallace Arts Trust to streamline project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>works.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2158</td>
<td>Monte Cecilia Park - restore historic Whare</td>
<td>Restoration of the historic Whare at Monte Cecilia Park. FY19/20 - assistance with the preparation of a business case for the grant to the James Wallace Arts Trust towards the upgrade of the whare. This project is a continuation of the FY17/18 programme. Risk adjusted programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Development</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>This project is on hold because Auckland Council is writing the business case for the grant to the James Wallace Arts Trust as a contribution to the upgrade of the whare. Project on hold. The James Wallace Art Trust (JWT) received funding from the local board in 2018/2019 to investigate the feasibility of fundraising for the restoration of the Whare building. Waiting advice from JWT about how they wish to proceed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2239</td>
<td>Waiokotua Reserve - rimu play space</td>
<td>Renewal of the full play space at Waiokotua Reserve, upon completion of the on site tenant remediation works (expected FY20). FY18/19 - design, public consultation and consenting. Design options to be presented to the local board to review and approve. FY19/20 - undertake physical works. This is a multi-year funded project initiated FY17/18 programme. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Ronawael</td>
<td>$284,200</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: The playground concept design has been consulted on with community and local board. Currently procuring playground equipment. Next stage: Obtain approval from the council land use. Programme physical works to commence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2243</td>
<td>Wesley Community Centre - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renewal of the interior of the Wesley Community Centre including the removal of the operable wall in the Teaching rooms, carpet replacement, repaint of the interior and the upgrade of the HVAC and heating. This project is now complete and was delivered in advance of the planned timeframe. The budget remains in the original allocated year as it was committed.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Ronawael</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2306</td>
<td>Park buildings - renew - FY18/19 to 19/20, Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew park buildings including toilets, changing rooms and small park buildings. Sites: Margaret Griffin Park, Richardson Road Car Park and Keith Hay Park South. FY18/19 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY19/20 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be achieved once the investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY18/19 programme. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Ronawael</td>
<td>$159,625</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Physical works contract awarded. Works commenced at Margaret Griffin Park and Keith Hay Park toilets. Next steps: Completion of works at Margaret Griffin Park and Keith Hay Park. Commencement of refurbishment at Richardson Road toilet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2410</td>
<td>Harold Long and Feison Reserve - Stage 3</td>
<td>Undertake delivery of stage three of the development plan. Local board approved priority actions - undertake the bush playground and hard court construction. FY18/19 - $100,000 LD1 Capex to progress detailed design, tendering, construction of the bush play area. FY19/20 - $200,000 LD1 Capex proposed to construct the hard court. This project is part of a multi-year programme to be initiated FY18/19.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$190,303</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Current status: A consultant is now engaged to complete the final design items for stage three. A business report was submitted in September to request additional local discretionary initiative capex funding to enable the design and installation of a small playground adjacent to the rugby fields at Feison Park. This was approved at the September business meeting and has been added to the consultative design scope. Next steps: Begin the final pretechnical investigations, undertake final consultation with key stakeholders and complete the detailed designs for the basketball court, small playground and carpark renewal. Prepare the tender documentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report: Puketāpapa Local Board for quarter two 2019/2020
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit / CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2425</td>
<td>Keith Hay Park - install lighting</td>
<td>Install lighting to light trails 10 and 13 at Keith Hay Park. Install irrigation to four existing sand carpet trails 4, 9, 10 and 13 to increase the playing capacity of the park and meet the demands of population growth in the Puketapapa area. FY20/20 - investigation, design and obtain required consents. FY20/21 - physical works (Estimated contribution $265,000 FY18/19) Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Growth/External Funding</td>
<td>$41,964</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Contracts awarded for new sportfield lighting installation and irrigation upgrade. Next steps: Construction between September 2019 and December 2019 outside the football season.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2457</td>
<td>Mt Roskill War Memorial Hall - kitchen renovation</td>
<td>Renew kitchen to ensure it is fit for purpose to meet current service requirements.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$36,610</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Clarification has been requested from contractor to confirm the scope of work is still appropriate. Next steps: Confirm the scope of work options with the local board.</td>
<td>Current status: A revised quotation for the refurbishment work has been received. Next steps: Prepare contract documentation to progress the physical works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2483</td>
<td>Puketapapa - improve accessibility initiative</td>
<td>The Puketapapa Re. Accessible report is complete and local board approved. FY19/20 - $80,000 to progress design consultation and agreed priority actions within the allocated budget. Note - potential to allocate future discretionary capex funding across FY20/21 and FY21/22.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LDS - Capex</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Local board approved funding to progress the priority initiatives as part of the 2019/2020 work programme. Next steps: Review accessibility report, review possible works alongside the current renewal projects and discuss with the local board.</td>
<td>Current status: Review of accessibility report with support for priorities from Customer and Community Services. Review possible works alongside the current renewal programme and review with the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2519</td>
<td>Walkahehi Walkways - development of priority walkway routes</td>
<td>Further development of the Walkahehi Coastal Walkway six per the adopted Action Plan FY19/20 - undertake the design, resource consent approval for the priority routes as outlined in the Walkahehi Walkway Action Plan (Routes #12 Cape Horn, Walkahehi, #6 Lyndfield Cove and #2 Walkahehi FY20/21 - undertake the physical works. FY21/22: continuation of physical works. This project is part of a multi-year programme to be initiated FY19/20 Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Project Delivery</td>
<td>LDS - Capex</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: a planning assessment of the priority routes has been completed and summary findings have been presented to the local board. A site walkover of priority routes has also been undertaken, where possible, to gain perspective on some of the build ability challenges this project faces. Next steps: complete the business cases for the proposed works and begin forming the tender documentation for design and contracting professional services.</td>
<td>Current status: A professional services tender for design of the priority routes has now been completed. Next steps: Review tender submissions and award a design contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2813</td>
<td>Mt Roskill Library - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Comprehensive building refurbishment inclusive of the furniture, finishes and equipment FY19/20 - investigation, design and consenting in partnership with the Libraries team FY20/21 - progress the physical works. This is a multi year funded project to be initiated in FY21/22 programme. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project will be scoped and completed in future years.</td>
<td>Current status: Preparation of building assessment report is scheduled to commence early ahead of the year the budget is planned for, to enable early planning of the required budget for financial year 2021/22. Next steps: Undertake building assessment of the library building and seek input from stakeholders regarding any known issues with the library facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2814</td>
<td>Park buildings - renew - FY20/21 to FY21/22 Puketapapa</td>
<td>Renewal of park buildings. Sites for investigation: Hildsworth Park toilets; Seymour Park changing rooms; Mary Road War Memorial Park toilets. FY20/21 - investigation and scope of options for presentation to the local board. FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be advised once the investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY21/22 programme. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF - Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS - Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Sites noted for investigation in due course. Project noted as approved for risk adjusted delivery. Next steps: Scoping of works required.</td>
<td>Current status: Sites noted for investigation programmed to be scoped in 2020. Next steps: Confirm risk adjusted delivery once extent of scope is established.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or FCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2815</td>
<td>Park furniture and facilities - renew - FY20/21 to FY21/22 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew seat, bins, bollards at various parks. Sites for investigation: Scars: Lynfield Reserve; Hamill Reserve; Three Kings Reserve; Margaret Cunliffe Park; May Road War Memorial Park. Bins: Puketāpapa / Mount Roskill Bollards: Lynfield Reserve, Waitakere Park, Bellaby Reserve, Hillborough Cemetery 1. FY20/21 - undertake the investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increased level of service to propose to the local board), scoping of options and undertake the physical works. FY21/22 - undertake the physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be achieved once the investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY20/21 programme.</td>
<td>GFO: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADT: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Investigation underway for furniture and fixtures requiring renewal in listed priority sites. Next steps: Pricing of physical works. Current status: Pricing underway for furniture and fixtures identified for renewal including replacing the missing seat in Waitakere Park. Next steps: Engagement of physical works contractor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2816</td>
<td>Park furniture and facilities - renew - FY20/21 to FY21/22 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew seat, bins, bollards at various parks. Sites for investigation include: Seats: Waitakere Stream Reserve 1, Taylors Bay Road Reserve, Westmore Park. Bollards: Taylors Bay Road Reserve. FY20/21 - undertake the investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increased level of service to propose to the local board), scoping of options and undertake the physical works FY21/22 - undertake physical works. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>GFO: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADT: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Investigation underway for furniture and fixtures requiring renewal. Next steps: Prioritisation against available budget for physical works. Seek approval for potential risk adjusted delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2817</td>
<td>Play spaces - renew - FY20/21 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renewal of park play spaces. Renew the play components or comprehensive renewal of playgrounds, skateparks and half courts. Sites for investigation: John Moore Reserve, Lynfield Reserve, Margaret Griffith Park, Stanscler Reserve, Robinson Reserve. FY20/21 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be achieved once the investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated FY20/21.</td>
<td>GFO: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADT: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Currently scoping the playgrounds requiring renewal. Next steps: Finalise list of sites for renewal. Current status: Reviewing the list of playgrounds requiring renewal. Next steps: Confirm list of renewals and create concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2818</td>
<td>Signage - renew - FY19/20 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renewal of open space signage, with consideration to the Māori dual naming strategy and Te Awaanga Tei iwi incisions. Sites for investigation: Arkills Reserve; Arthur S Richards Memorial Park; Bellaby Reserve; Big King Reserve; Fareham Park; Freeland Reserve; Hillborough Cemetery 1; Hillborough Park; Lynfield Cove Reserve; Manukau Domain; May Road War Memorial Park; Neeserose Park; Seymour Park; Taylors Bay Road Reserve; Underwood Park; Waitakere Park; Wairakei Stream Reserve 1; Wattle Bay; Wilson Corner Reserve.</td>
<td>GFO: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADT: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Work on the scoping the project. Next steps: Confirmation of the scope and commence contractor engagement. Current status: Work on scoping the project and site visit with the contractors completed. Next steps: Confirmation of the scope ensuring the sites are not part of the Te Kete Hukarere initial short list of identified sites, and commence contractor engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2820</td>
<td>Car parks and park roads - renew - FY21/22 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew car parking and car park sites including Plantation Reserve (Bill Roskill); Hillborough Cemetery 1, FY20/21 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project will be unbundled for the physical works component upon approval of the individual sites to be renewed. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY20/21 programme. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and design</td>
<td>ADS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status. Project noted as approved for risk adjusted delivery. Investigation to be carried out in due course. Next steps: Scoping of works required on sites are identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2821</td>
<td>Fearnec Park - renew park road and car park</td>
<td>Renewal of the existing Fearnec car park which has sustained damage during the four year development works at Haralal Long and Fearnec Park requiring its renewal requirement. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated FY20/21</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Current status: A consultant has been engaged to complete the detailed designs and produce the tender documentation. Next steps: Complete pre-technical investigations and take conceptual designs to detailed designs. Complete stakeholder engagement for all stage three works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2823</td>
<td>Signage - renew - FY20/21 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew signage as required FY20/21 - investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increase level of service to propose to the local board), scoping and physical works. Sites and options to be proposed to the board for prioritization and approval. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be advised once investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY20/21. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Work on scoping the project. Multi-year funded project for financial year 2020 and 2021. Next steps: Confirmation of the scope including identifying the park sites requiring renewal. This project is part of the risk adjusted programme and once the scope is confirmed works can commence in the financial year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2827</td>
<td>Structures - renew - retaining walls - 2020 to FY21/22 - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew park structures. Retaining Wall Monte Cecilia Park, Three Kings Reserve; Hillborough Community 1, FY19/20 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY20/21 and FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be advised once the investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated FY21/22. Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ADS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current stage: Project is still in the initiate stage. Next steps: Identify the project scope for implementation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit / OGD</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2828</td>
<td>Walkways and paths - renew - FY19/20, Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew walkways and paths at identified sites including for Wattey Bay.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: A planning assessment has been completed along with a site walk through. This has identified the scope options and potential resource consent constraints which need to be investigated further. Next steps: Establish a preferred option for delivery by engaging professional services to assess the site and provide high level cost estimates.</td>
<td>Current status: A preliminary design for Wattey Bay to Wattey Bay lookout has been completed showing a range of options for consideration. The preferred option is to undertake a more comprehensive renewal (Wattey Bay toward Cape Horn only) along with the proposed boardwalk extension at Wattey Bay as the track forms part of the Waitakere Coastal Walkway and is noted as a renewal priority in the Waitakere Waterway Action Plan. This is also proposed to be bundled in with the consenting process that will be undertaken for the Waitakere Waterway - Develop new routes project currently underway in order to save costs. Next steps: Complete the project initiative form recommending the wider renewal option as the preferred option and seek additional funding to enable works to be completed in financial year 2020/2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2829</td>
<td>Walkways and paths - renew - FY20/21, Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Renew walkways and paths at identified sites, Bellcall Reserve, Big King Reserve, Himalaya Reserve, Lyndfield Reserve, Kingswood, Cape Horn Road to foreshore, FY20/21 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be advised once investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated FY20/21 Risk Adjusted Programme (RAP) project.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Work is scheduled to start in the 2021/22 financial year in line with the allocated budget. Next steps: Prepare a strategic assessment.</td>
<td>Current status: Work is scheduled to start in the 2021/22 financial year in line with the allocated budget. Next steps: Prepare a strategic assessment for the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3004</td>
<td>Action priorities - provision reports for drinking fountains, toilets and shade sails</td>
<td></td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LOI: Capex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Review provision reports undertaken by Parks, Sports and Recreation. Next steps: Identify priorities and discuss proposed implementation plan with the local board.</td>
<td>Current status: Review provision reports undertaken by Parks, Sports and Recreation. Next steps: Identify priorities and discuss proposed implementation plan with the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3005</td>
<td>Arthur Faulkner Reserve - renewal of main tennis courts</td>
<td>Renewal of the centre two tennis courts with a full asphalt replacement to provide suitable flat surfaced area for tennis and pickleball users. This includes for full asphalt resurfacing, removal and reconnection of existing light pales, installation of sleeves for tennis and pickleball nets, line marking for tennis and pickleball. Drainage is to be undertaken in quarter one and quarter two of FY21/22.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Physical works nearing completion. Next steps: Close project.</td>
<td>Current status: Physical works completed. Error made by contractor in relation to line-marking, a resolution has been reached and is scheduled to be remedied within the coming week. Weather dependent. Next steps: Close project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3006</td>
<td>Cameron Pool - investigation for the renewal of slides</td>
<td>Undertake an investigation for the renewal of the building management system (BMS), heating ventilation and air conditioning systems (HVAC), filtration plant and biofiltering system. FY19/20 - undertake asset assessment report and present to the local board with options for delivery.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Pending fee proposal and methodology from contractors for investigation of Cameron Pools. Next steps: Once fee proposal and methodology submitted, review and award if approved. Confirm when physical works can commence.</td>
<td>Current status: Contractors were awarded the work, they are currently surveying the building with the help of incumbent contractors and stakeholders. Next steps: Condition assessment report to be completed and submitted by mid December.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3007</td>
<td>Hillsborough cemetery one - concept plan - design and consent</td>
<td>Upon completion and adoption of the Hillsborough cemetery one concept plan by the local board, progress detailed design, consultation and required consents to enable the physical works stages to be planned. FY19/20 - progress detailed design, obtain resource consent and engineers estimate.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LOI: Capex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: The design and concept plan for Hillsborough Cemetery is in consultation. Next steps: Review public feedback with the local board and revise concept plan as necessary.</td>
<td>Current status: The design and concept plan for Hillsborough Cemetery is in consultation. A new Project Manager has recently been assigned to this project. Next steps: Review public feedback with the local board and revise concept plan as necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCT</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3008</td>
<td>Keith Hey Park (South) - renew play space</td>
<td>Renew the current play space including the edging and climbing frames. FY19/20 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board; with options for increasing the level of service. FY20/21 - undertake physical works. This is a multi-year funded project initiated FY19/20 programme.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: A community survey has been undertaken via a letter drop, only 20 submissions were received out of 500. We are currently liaising with Waitakere Intermediate School to be involved with consultation but have been informed it is a busy time of year for them so are waiting on a suitable time. Next steps: Undertake consultation with local school. Commence concept design.</td>
<td>Current status: Waitakere Intermediate School has been consulted with and have provided feedback. A community survey has been undertaken via a letter drop, only 20 submissions were received out of 200. Next steps: Commence concept design taking feedback received into account. Once concept design completed present to local board for their input and feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3009</td>
<td>Margaret Griffin park - concept plan - design and consent</td>
<td>Upon completion and adoption of the Margaret Griffin park concept plan by the local board, progress detailed design, consultation and required consents to enable the physical works stage to be planned. FY19/20 - progress detailed design, obtain resource consent and engineers estimate.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Review feedback from public consultation and address revisions as necessary. Next steps: Present finalised plans to the local board.</td>
<td>Current status: The project manager has recently changed. The new project manager is familiarising himself with the project. Next steps: Review feedback from public consultation and address revisions as necessary. Present the finalised plan to the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3010</td>
<td>Mt Roskill War Memorial - concept plan - design and concept</td>
<td>Upon completion and adoption of the Mt Roskill War Memorial concept plan by the local board, progress detailed design, consultation and required consents to enable the physical works stage to be planned. FY19/20 - progress detailed design, obtain resource consent and engineers estimate.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: The design and concept plan for Mt Roskill War Memorial is proceeding. Next steps: Schedule a consultation forum for community feedback.</td>
<td>Current status: The design and concept plan for Mt Roskill War Memorial is proceeding. The Project Manager has recently changed. Next steps: Review the community feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3011</td>
<td>Puketapapa - Auckland Urban Forest (Ngatari) Strategy - Planting Plan</td>
<td>Delivering the Planting Plan identified in the growing phase of the local board specific implementation of Auckland’s Urban Forest Strategy. This LD: capex line aligns to Parks Services LD open line #456</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project will be scoped and completed in future years.</td>
<td>Project will be scoped and completed in future years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3012</td>
<td>Puketapapa - Te Kete Rukutuku - Matiri naming of parks and places</td>
<td>Implementation of the Te Kete Rukutuku - Matiri naming strategy, ensuring the delivery aligns to the planned renewal of signage. Budget allocation for the creation of new signage only. Works planned to commence FY19/20.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>LD: Capex</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Community Services is currently liaising with mana whenua regarding marae naming of parks. Next steps: Confirmation of marae names for parks in the Puketapapa Local Board.</td>
<td>Current status: Council staff are currently liaising with marae over the marae naming of places. Next steps: Confirmation of names for parks in the Puketapapa Local Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3042</td>
<td>Waitakere Coast - remove pine trees</td>
<td>Continuation of the removal of pines and revegetation along the Mangere Harbour foreshore.</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Budget has been allocated for the fiscal year 2019/2020 and discussions have taken place with the arborist team to arrange the removal of detrimental pine trees. The arborist team are investigating targeted basal bark treatments with the objective of getting better value from the limited budget. Next steps: Assess the options to cost effectively remove the detrimental pine trees.</td>
<td>Current status: The arborist team have concluded that the basal bark treatment method is not a suitable approach in this location for health and safety reasons. Next steps: Assess other options to remove the detrimental pine trees in a cost effective manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3043</td>
<td>Puketapapa - native forest reserve and ecological restoration programme</td>
<td>Ecological program top up to target particular areas across the local board, including intensive ecological improvement, community education funding, and control pest weeds.</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Arboricultural team to identify works for this financial year. Next steps: Complete works with the local board and schedule the works with the contractor.</td>
<td>Current status: Arboricultural team in process of confirming with local board the sites selected to be maintained this year. Next steps: To deliver the work in summer 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3088</td>
<td>Walkways and trails - renew - FY20/21 - Puketapapa</td>
<td>Renew walkways and paths. Sites identified may include for May Road War Memorial Park, Three Kin's Reserve, Waitakere Park, Waiwera Dry, Waiwera Park, Grasmere Bay. Further sites may be identified during the scoping stage. FY20/21 - investigation and scoping of options for presentation to the local board. FY21/22 - undertake physical works. This project may be unbundled for the physical works component, to be achieved once investigation and design phase is complete. This is a multi-year funded project to be initiated in FY21/22.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current status: Work is scheduled to start in the 2021/2022 financial year, which is in line with the allocated budget. Next steps: Prepare a strategic assessment.</td>
<td>Current status: Work is scheduled to start in the 2021/2022 financial year, which is in line with the allocated budget. Next steps: Prepare a strategic assessment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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ID | Activity Name | Activity Description | Lead Dept / Unit / QO | Budget Source | Budget | Activity Status | RAG | Q2 Commentary
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
3098 | Puketāpapa - LD | Funding to deliver minor CAPEX projects throughout the financial year as identified and approved during the monthly local board workshops. FY19/20 - $5,000 proposed | GF: Investigation and Design | LD: Capex | $5,000 | Completed | Green | Current status: New local driven initiative budget for this financial year to undertake any minor work. Next steps: Scoping any works as identified by the local board for implementation.

3099 | End of Centre and Mt Roskill Library renewal condition 2 and 5 assets. | Renewal of assets as per the asset assessment report. These works include for energy loss improvements, concrete blockwork painting, re detail downstream pipes, re surfacing slabs, install geo textile fabric over drains, install handrails on entry ramp, leaf guards in the gutters and undertaking of a seismic assessment. FY2020 - undertake investigations FY2021 - undertake physical works RAP Adjusted Programme (RAP) project. | GF: Investigation and Design | AUS: Capex - Renewals | $40,000 | In progress | Green | Current status: Investigation underway on extent of works required. Next steps: Pricing of works with view of delivery in current financial year.

3149 | Puketāpapa Full Facilities maintenance contracts | The full facilities maintenance contracts include maintenance and repair of all assets across buildings, parks and open spaces, and sports fields, funded from local board budgets. These contractors also undertake coastal management and storm damage works, and upcoming town centre cleaning, street litter bin emptying, and vegetation clearance and bomb mowing works, although these are funded from regional budgets. The activity and related budget also includes smaller base system contractors such as pool plant specialists and technical systems contractors. | GF: Operations | AUS: Opex | $3,420,051 | Approved | Green | Quarter one has marked the beginning of the new contracts for streetcapes. From 1 July Community Facilities contractors began maintenance of all town centres cleaning, bus shelters and litter pickup and bin emptying across roads around the Auckland Region. Over the first month the contractor proved effort and resources into raising the existing standard of our assets. Feedback so far has been that our town centres have never looked better Over the coming quarter the contractor will continue building cleaning and preparing our open spaces for the summer season.

3150 | Puketāpapa Aquaculture Contracts | The Aquaculture maintenance contracts include tree management and maintenance in parks and on streets, funded from local board budgets. These contractors also undertake storm damage works although these are funded from regional budgets. | GF: Operations | AUS: Opex | $164,151 | Approved | Green | The first quarter was focused on reduction of the requests for service. This was balanced against addressing deferred requests and higher priority new requests received. Outstanding work is now limited to sites where access has been restricted due to ground conditions. It is anticipated these sites will be accessible shortly into the second quarter, weather dependent. The scheduled works programme was delayed as a consequence of the large amount of requests, but is now on track. Replacement planting of trees removed throughout the year has been completed during the quarter.

3151 | Puketāpapa Ecological Restoration Contracts | The Ecological Restoration maintenance contracts include pest plant within ecologically significant areas and animal pest management across all parks and reserves funded from local board budgets. | GF: Operations | AUS: Opex | $205,674 | Approved | Green | During the first quarter, the annual update of the Pest Assessment Reports, a large portion of the pest animal monitoring, and the majority of the first pulse of the oil control programme have been completed. Request for service work orders received, continue to be seasonally normal, with an increasing trend in activity becoming apparent during the latter stages of the quarter.

3601 | Puketāpapa - LDI minor Capex fund 2018/19 | Funding to deliver minor capex projects throughout the financial year as approved in the monthly local board workshops. | GF: Investigation and Design | LD: Capex | $72,379 | In progress | Green | Current status: Installation of the park benches in The Avenue Reserve is underway. Next steps: Identify other works in conjunction with the local board.

3625 | Wesley Community Activity Centre - renew play space | Renew play space adjacent to the Wesley Community Centre. This project has been requested by the facility manager and is of high priority to the Community Places Unit and intended to be a community led project. Stage 1 involves investigation and scoping (including options for assets that would benefit from an increase level of service to propose to the local board). Physical works to be undertaken in Stage 2. This project may be a multi-year funded programme to be initiated in FY 2019/20. | GF: Investigation and Design | AUS: Capex - Renewals | $48,069 | In progress | Green | Current status: Currently obtaining quotes to renew the rubber play surface. Next steps: Procured with the renewal and possible swing replacement.

Auckland Council’s Quarterly Performance Report: Puketāpapa Local Board for quarter two 2019/2020
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CFO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3637</td>
<td>Roskill Youth centre - Ventilation system (Health and Safety requirement)</td>
<td>Roskill Youth centre - The ventilation system in the existing technology room at the Roskill Youth Zone is not adequate to accommodate paint fumes and chemicals. The room used changed to a site screen print room. This is an (Health and Safety requirement)</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$27,488</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Current status: Building consent was received. The builder is scheduling the installation. Next steps: Install the ventilation system and train users and building staff on correct usage. The approved design requires additional consultation with the project management team. Current status: The installation date to be scheduled with the operations of the facility. Next steps: Confirm scheduling and scope of works with the contractor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3641</td>
<td>Belfast Reserve - renew structure and furniture</td>
<td>Belfast Reserve boardwalk, fence, bridge, steps, five seats, and two table renewals. This project is carried over from the 2018/2017 programme (previous ID 5054)</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables</td>
<td>$107,019</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Current status: Works on site have been halted. A temporary make safe solution has been proposed however the team had encountered machinery access issues. A detailed assessment of options to address this issue needs to be completed. Healthy Waters are providing assistance in professional services engagement to provide further technical direction. Next steps: Technical investigation and design options. Extent of erosion damage on walkway structures extensive and a suitable solution to the issue is being sought.</td>
<td>Current status: Works on site have been halted due to unforeseen erosion causing significant structural risk. A temporary make safe solution has been proposed however the team had encountered machinery access issues. A detailed assessment of options to address this issue needs to be completed. Healthy Waters are providing assistance in professional services engagement to provide further technical direction. Next steps: Technical investigation and design options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3677</td>
<td>Flat Homestead - refurbish exterior</td>
<td>To ensure the continued protection of this heritage building it requires repaint with the next 2-3 years.</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewables, iABS: Opex</td>
<td>$90,856</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Project completed.</td>
<td>Project completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Infrastructure and Environmental Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CFO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>479</td>
<td>New project, Britenmore Avenue Te Auaunga restoration project</td>
<td>This project supports stream improvement, water quality and biodiversity outcomes in the local board area. Project funding will contribute toward: • contractor weed control and site preparation • plant ordering and delivery from Ngā Whānau Orakei nursery • community planting day • stream care and restoration education at community planting event • communication via social and print media to promote the project and stream care messages to the wider community. • project management and reporting. • this project was initially tasked Arkills Reserve Weed Control and Volunteer Planting • after a discussion with the local board it was decided to move the planting to Britenmore Avenue (Te Auaunga)</td>
<td>i&amp;ES: Healthy Waters, L&amp;O: Opex</td>
<td>$6,800</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>HLC have identified plans to improve access to Arkills Reserve and requested the ropano restoration project be put on hold. Healthy Waters staff explored further options for ropano restoration in Te Auaunga and presented those to the local board at a workshop 24 July 2019. The local board expressed a preference for plant pest control and ropano planting by a contractor behind the Mount Roskill School Campus as an alternative project for the 2019/2020 financial year. A site visit was completed with Friends of Oakley Creek, parks staff and the contractor. Procurement is complete and the project will start with spring weed control.</td>
<td>Spring weed control behind the Mount Roskill school campus was carried out in early October 2019. The summer weed control is scheduled for January 2020 and the autumn visits will be completed in April 2020.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>QT Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>New project EcoNeighbourhoods Puketapapa</td>
<td>This project will set up and trial EcoNeighbourhoods, drawing on the learnings and expertise from delivering the project in Albert-Eden for the previous five years. An EcoNeighbourhood comprises of groups of six or more neighbours from different households within a local board area, with an objective to adopt sustainable practices and increase resilience within their homes, lifestyles and neighbourhoods. A minimum of four EcoNeighbourhoods will be set up in the local board area. The project utilises a community empowerment approach and is promoted through various local channels. Anyone interested can register via an online registration system. People can register either as an existing group, or as individuals. A project coordinator looks after where people live and helps to arrange groups accordingly. An EcoNeighbourhood group decides what sustainable living actions they wish to undertake and the project coordinator supports these groups to act. Groups receive up to 12 hours of facilitation support and can receive up to $1,000 to fund activities. This includes incentives, discounts and training to support behaviour change.</td>
<td>MES Environmental Services</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>During quarter one the contracts were established. Two groups are farming and they are in the process of registering the required number of households. Their plans include creating a community garden, compost workshops, team bee and butterfly gardens and bee wax workshop workshops. The project is being promoted across the board area, with the online campaign starting and paper flyers and postcards being delivered around the community. Information stalls have been booked at community events and the Mount Roskill Library. The Puketapapa group has been added to the EcoNeighbourhoods page on the Live Lightly website. In quarter two the groups will continue to be supported in their planned activities, promotion and recruitment will be ongoing and a presentation will be made at Roskill Community Network meeting in October 2019 with Roskill Together on &quot;Placemaking&quot;. There are now four registered EcoNeighbourhoods in Puketapapa. Wiki co-op in Waiheke includes members of Pacific Vision Otaaroa and the local neighbourhood. They plan to hold a variety of workshops, create bee gardens, focus on learning about ramwater harvesting, growing local food and composting. Melolii Green Eco Neighbours in Waiheke are planning a food forest in Melolii Green Reserve. The group involves three local schools, three local social services and a large group of local neighbours who have banded together to achieve their aim of free and accessible local food for local people. Friends of Dorrfeld Reserve Eco-Neighbourhood in Hillsborough are focussed on biodiversity, native planting and pest plant control. Mothers and Daughters Wellesley EcoNeighbourhoods based at the Wellesley Community Centre and have an activity planned to utilise waste fabric and make re-usable shopping bags. They also want to develop a community garden at Wellesley Community Centre and learn how to grow local food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>Healthy Rentals Puketapapa</td>
<td>The healthy rentals project aims to raise housing literacy, support tenants to create warmer, safer homes, and reduce energy use and associated carbon emissions. It is targeted at private rental tenants living in cold, damp homes and where the tenants either earn on a low income or suffer from a health condition related to cold, damp housing. This project is a continuation of an existing project and involves the following elements: - a visit to the rental property and advice for tenants on how they can improve the health of their home and save money through low cost measures and behaviour changes - installation of energy efficiency measures - an assessment of the condition of the rental property and a report to the landlord with recommendations for improvements that will improve the warmth and dryness of the home. The project is aligned with and complements the Ministry of Health funded Auckland Healthy Homes Initiative Minor Repair Service. A mixed delivery model is involved whereby the local board's funding for homes that qualify for the Minor Repair Service is instated to certain energy efficiency interventions, but other homes outside the eligibility of Minor Repair Service are fully funded by the board for all of the above aspects.</td>
<td>MES Environmental Services</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>In quarter one, three homes received assessments, advice and interventions supported wholly by the local board. The assessments during the entire winter period will be included within the 2019/2019 project wrap-up and evaluation report which will be presented to the board in February 2020. During quarter two, follow-up surveys of tenants participating in the 2018/2019 project will be undertaken to ascertain tenants' satisfaction with the service provided and gather information on changes made by tenants and landlords as a result of the assessment and advice provided. Healthy rentals will continue to be integrated with, and complementary to, Habitat for Humanity's Te Kāinga Whare Programmes, formerly called Minor Repair Service, which works in partnership with Manukau and Waitakere District Health Boards to help improve the warmth and dryness of rental homes, and help tenants save on their power bills.</td>
<td>In quarter two, one home received an energy efficiency installation and another home had curtains installed via the partnership with the Kāinga Ora Healthy Homes Initiative. One home received an assessment, advice and interventions supported wholly by the local board and a further three had curtains installed. Follow-up surveys of tenants participating in the 2018/2019 project were undertaken and the results will be included within the 2018/2019 project wrap-up and evaluation report which has been drafted and will be presented to the board in February 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or COO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>QF Commentary</th>
<th>QZ Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Low Carbon Network</td>
<td>This project will expand and maintain the low carbon network for Puketāpapa local board area. The low carbon network is a collection of individuals, households, groups, businesses, operating within the local board area working together to promote, support and implement community level low carbon activities. Through a low carbon community broker the network will determine the direction of and priority areas they would like to focus on, in accordance with the low carbon plan. The network could continue to consist of the following elements: - communicate and promote low carbon-related activities occurring in the local board area via online channels - provide opportunities for residents through existing communication channels to develop a membership base for the network - host events - facilitate ongoing coordination to implement low or no cost actions in accordance with the action plan - provide opportunities for residents to measure their carbon footprint and actions to reduce impact.</td>
<td>IMES Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD - Opex</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The contract renewal process and work plan approval are in place to continue with the community low carbon broker who connects groups and raises awareness on carbon reducing actions. Three free public events took place in quarter one, attended by 300 people Roskill Restart held an event in collaboration with Gen Zoro and 24 local organisations, 12 panellists and 30 cyclists on the Roskill Climate Ride led by Global Hops Missions. Bikes were provided by Bike Kitchen and accompanied by Roskill Geostables holding Minister of Climate Change James Shaw along To Avarua Aves. Around 200 people attended the event. A focus discussion was highlighted to reduce the impacts of construction and demolition waste and resources in Avondale had 15 attenders including a land surveyor, Home Land Community programme manager, builders, architects and designers. Two submission sessions were facilitated for the Auckland Climate Action Framework supported by Equal Justice Youth. Attended by 50 people, a template was created to share and invite others to make submissions. Planning is underway for at least two more events to bring together different elements of the community covering topics of new housing, food production and active transport.</td>
<td>The low carbon broker continued to connect groups across the local board area and within Whau and Waitakere, raising awareness of the Puketāpapa low carbon network. This broker planned and assisted four zero waste, plant-based and public transport events. A screening of the 2040 movie was held on 27 November 2019 and six presentations were given by local activists. The event was attended by 40 people representing 31 organisations. The broker collaborated with Popcorn Collective to facilitate a sustainable and clean technology focus for a Hardware Meet up event on 26 November 2019. This event attracted an audience of 32 social entrepreneurs, product designers and engineers. An event took place to build relationships between Pacific Vision Akoranga and Waiole Ota Trust to support Econeighourhoods and Kōrangi low carbon champions. A series of eight garden tours is planned for Puketāpapa, Waitakere and Whau from February to June 2020. These tours will focus on learning from and supporting one another through the challenges and benefits of local food production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>649</td>
<td>Low Carbon Lifelines Puketāpapa</td>
<td>The project supports and empowers households to lead low-carbon lifestyles, helping them to live well, save money and care for the planet. The project has two objectives: to reduce residential energy use and associated carbon emissions and improve resident health by keeping houses warmer and drier. Home insulation, ventilation and efficient heating are critical in making homes warmer, lowering energy use and improving health outcomes in Auckland. Lower income areas are targeted as part of this project, as determined by census data.</td>
<td>IMES Environmental Services</td>
<td>LD - Opex</td>
<td>$30,750</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The doorstop engagement phase began in quarter one by contacting a total of 307 households and providing them with personalised energy efficiency plans. Data was collected from households that represented energy use amounting to an estimated $472,000 and 221 tonnes of carbon dioxide. Amongst these, a total of 1,750 recommendations were made including 208 to reduce shower time, 169 to use more energy efficient light bulbs and 41 to remove mould either through cleaning or by improved ventilation. Follow up calls will be made in quarter two to determine which behaviours have changed as a result of the project. Engagement and behaviour change outcomes will be reported in the quarter two update.</td>
<td>Door knocking was completed in quarter one, with 359 households engaging in the programme, exceeding the target of 300. Follow up calls took place in quarter two capturing resulting behaviour change around energy efficiency. Of the households who participated in this evaluation, 245 reported taking one or more actions. The most popular included discussing energy use with social networks and other residents, reducing shower times, switching to energy-efficient light bulbs and turning appliances off at the wall. Total carbon reductions and financial savings will be provided in the summary report due for completion in quarter four.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
<td>Q2 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>Increasing local employment through Freeland Reserve stream restoration project</td>
<td>The Freeland Reserve Stream Restoration Project is a regionally funded stormwater project that is being delivered in the Puketāpapa Local Board area. In 2018/2019 the board allocated $180,000 towards the Freeland Reserve Stream restoration project to support the achievement of social outcomes. The requested funding would be used to support the regional project. The mechanisms for achieving this would be similar to those used on the Te Awaanga Waitakere Underwood project. For the Te Awaanga project, Te Whangai Trust was employed to provide a nursery and plantings for the project. The trust provides local people who have struggled to obtain work (for example, those with mental health conditions, discharged prisoners and people coming from Drug and Alcohol services) with training and employment. It is proposed that Te Whangai Trust will be asked to achieve additional social outcomes by targeting unemployed youth in this catchment to be involved in the Freeland Reserve stream restoration project.</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opixe</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Discussions with Te Whangai Trust are continuing on a fortnightly basis. They will be engaged in a subcontractor rate under the civil contractor for Freeland Reserve, Fulton Hogan. The training for the unemployed youth will be delivered in May and June 2020 in preparation for project planting which is planned for winter 2020.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>New project Safeswim testing Lynfield Cove, Watitowt Bay Beach and Waitakere Bay</td>
<td>The board has expressed an interest in additional Safeswim locations for their area. The feedback received indicated that the board is considering this as part of the 2019/2020 work programme. Lynfield Cove, Watitowt Bay Beach and Waitakere Bay are being considered for future Safeswim model integration. To date, the Safeswim team has insufficient data to add these sites to Safeswim. The Safeswim team would like to propose that exploratory water sampling commence for the duration of the new month. After nine months, Safeswim proposes that the water quality test results, and any assessment recommendations be presented to the board for discussion, as well as reaching an agreement on next steps for these sites. There is potential for the board to contribute towards funding the water quality testing of these sites for the initial six months (see enclosed estimate) and for any further funding thereafter - pending final steps decision. It’s important to note, based on nearby sites, that there is a risk of poor water quality results, but this has yet to be determined. This can only be determined after water quality sampling takes place. Another important note is that the timeframe to build new sites into the Safeswim model take up to approximately three to five years (excluding validation with the Safeswim model).</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opixe</td>
<td>$6,800</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Regular Safeswim testing has commenced at Lynfield Cove, Watitowt Bay Beach and Waitakere Bay. Sampling will continue at a rate of approximately four samples per week throughout the swimming season until the end of April 2020. The results will be provided to the board after they have been collated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>864</td>
<td>Keith Hay Park plant maintenance</td>
<td>Funding this project will allow three visits to maintain 2017 riparian plantings in spring, summer and autumn. It will also allow the manual removal of alligator weed when water levels are low which happens in late summer or early autumn.</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opixe</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Procurement is complete for this project. The project will start with spring weed control. Initial native planting will be carried out in May and June 2020.</td>
<td>Spring weed control was carried out in early October 2019. The winter weed control is scheduled for January 2020 and the autumn visits will be completed in April 2020. Initial native planting will occur in quarter four.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit (FY 2019/20)</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>666</td>
<td>Community Management Plan to improve Manukau and Mount Roskill schools</td>
<td>In 2016, the Puketapapa Local Board, in partnership with mana whenua, compiled a Vision and Restoration Strategy as well as an Implementation Strategy for the Upper Catchment of Te Auaanga Awa (Cleakley Creek). The strategy development was a significant investment of both the board and the board (it was developed over a year long period) and it represents the board’s strong commitment to its vision. “We aim to integrate maraerenga Māori and environmentally sustainable practices to restore Te Auaanga Awa so that it becomes an active, flowing avena in a green corridor that is treasured and respected for generations to come.” The Puketapapa Local Board has the opportunity to continue its leadership role for the restoration of Te Auaanga by supporting the development and future implementation of a restoration plan for the stream section that runs through the following schools: Mount Roskill Primary, Mount Roskill Intermediate and Mount Roskill Grammar.</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>A suitable contractor is being identified to carry out this project within the Mount Roskill schools campus. The project will begin in quarter two.</td>
<td>The sustainable schools team have been liaising with the schools at the Mount Roskill campus. They have identified a native nursery project and a water quality testing project that the school has shown support for. Meetings with the schools to discuss project delivery details are planned for early quarter three.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum - Puketapapa</td>
<td>To continue to support the implementation of the Manukau Harbour Forum work programme. This year’s work programme includes a youth leadership sustainability waianga (educational programme held over three days), a communications plan, support for young environmentalists in southern Auckland, and supporting volunteer action during Sea Week. Additional proposed projects informed by the governance and management support review report will be considered by the forum early in 2020.</td>
<td>IES: Healthy Waters</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>In June 2019 the member local boards allocated funding totaling $70,000 to the Manukau Harbour Forum work programme, with detailed projects to be presented to the forum for consideration early in the 2019/2020 financial year. At the 30 August 2019 business meeting the Manukau Harbour Forum approved a budget of $48,000 for the following projects: continued support for the delivery of a youth leadership sustainability waianga - $15,000; continued support for the delivery of a communications plan - $10,000; support for the Southern Auckland Youth Environmentalists - $5,000; support for enabling Sea Week volunteer action across the Manukau Harbour - $18,000. Updates on the delivery of these initiatives will be provided in quarter two. Project proposals for delivering on priority recommendations identified in the governance and management support review report will be developed in quarter two. The forum will consider project proposals for allocating the remaining $22,000 of work programme budget in December 2019.</td>
<td>In quarter two each of the nine member local boards agreed to reinitiate the Manukau Harbour Forum and nominated a board member and an alternate to represent the board on the forum. The first forum workshop and business meeting was held on 13 December 2019 and the forum approved the allocation of the remaining $22,000 work programme budget towards the delivery of a part-time Manukau Harbour Forum Coordinator. The coordinator will support the forum to deliver their vision, including the development of a forward work programme and a plan for engaging with mana whenua. Staff will progress procurement for a part-time coordinator in quarter three. Also in quarter two, staff worked with the Southern Auckland Youth Environmentalists to develop initiatives for progressing their vision for an environmental movement in southern Auckland. Initiatives include delivering up to three workshops events for schools, youth, and interested parties to discuss the group's vision and work. Planning for these events will progress in quarter three.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Libraries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit (FY 2019/20)</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>957</td>
<td>Access to Library Service - Puketapapa</td>
<td>Deliver a library service - Help customers find what they need, when they need it, and help them navigate our services and digital offerings. Providing information, library collection lending services and electronic resources as well as support for customers using library digital resources, PCs and Wi-Fi. Hours of service: 56 hours over 7 days per week (Budget based on FY18/19, will be updated when available)</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>ABS: Opex</td>
<td>$692/703</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>During the Family History Conference held in the Pickering Centre, attendees participated in workshops in the library, accessing digital resources and learning family history research techniques. Comic Book Month during September saw the Library focus on presenting our graphic collection. A table 3 competition was held to increase usage of this collection, with customers having the opportunity to win tickets to the Armageddon Expo.</td>
<td>Our Great Summer Reads promotion has already seen a lot of interest and a pepper server from our library. This is an opportunity for customers to enjoy fun reading challenges and win great prizes. The team have been promoting the new Beanstalk service for customers. This video streaming service allows library customers to stream movies, award-winning documentaries and independent films. We have been promoting this quarter in assisting customers to obtain a permanent library card when they require computer access. The result being a 36% increase in new registrations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>958</td>
<td>Additional hours to network standard - Puketapapa</td>
<td>2 additional opening hours at Mt Roskill Library</td>
<td>CS: Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$19,460</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>On Sunday 1st September we introduced our new Sunday hours, opening at 10am. We have received positive feedback from customers who are pleased to be able to visit the library an hour earlier. We are planning to introduce different talks and events on Sundays and increase the frequency of our free bi-lingual storytimes in 2020.</td>
<td>Focusing on our plan to deliver more events on Sundays, focusing on engaging with families and children. Our youth worker will be working with a representative from the Asian Network.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept/ Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>QF Comment</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>909</td>
<td>Preschool programming - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Provide programming for preschoolers that encourages early literacy, active movement, and supports parents and caregivers to participate confidently in their children's early development and learning. Programmes include: Wiggle and Rhyme, Rhythmtime, Storytime.</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AUS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The Wiggle &amp; Rhyme sessions are regularly attended by over 100 children and their parents. This programme of active, movement to the children's development is for babies and helps build communication, body strengthening and language development through social interaction. The programme brings new parents and caregivers together in a safe welcoming space and builds confidence through teaching developmental concepts that can also be used in the home environment. Two librarians visited the Best Start pre-school and the Mt Roskill Baptist Kindergarten to deliver Storytime sessions and promote the library's children's collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>Children and Youth engagement - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Provide children and youth services and programming which encourage learning, literacy and social interaction. Engage with children, youth and whānau along with local schools to support literacy and growth awareness of library resources.</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AUS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The library team attended the Hibiscus Hillary Primary School assembly to promote libraries and school holiday activities. Our &quot;Stacy Adams&quot; theme was &quot;Poles Apart&quot;, our events included a Korean martial arts Taekwondo Do workshop, family move afternoons and a workshop creating light with paper circuits. The children also had a lot of fun building gizmos in the library. Reading Together groups from Haypark School and Dominion Road school have recently visited the library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961</td>
<td>Support customer and community connection and celebrate cultural diversity and local places, people and heritage - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Provide services and programmes that facilitate customer connection with the library and empowers communities through collaborative design and partnerships with Council and other agencies. Celebrate local communities, cultural diversity and heritage. Gather, protect and share the stories, old and new, that celebrate our people, communities and Tāmaki Makaurau.</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AUS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>We celebrated the Moon Cake Festival with a dumpling making workshop in the library. This is a Mid Autumn festival in China and the second most significant festival to the Chinese after Chinese New Year. We are now preparing for our Diwali celebration with a variety of events planned, including henna and rangoli workshops. Thinking of Summer activities, a Water Safety Talk was held for our Chinese community. A Vegan Living talk was given by a representative from the NZ Vegetarian Society. Diwali was celebrated in October with a Diwali feast at the library including Chalk rangoli and a Twilight event plus a henna workshop and a Bollywood movie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962</td>
<td>Celebrating Te Ao Māori and strengthening responsiveness to Māori - Whakatūpui i te reo Māori - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Celebrate Te Ao Māori with events and programmes including regionally coordinated and promoted programmes: Te Tihi o Watangi, Matatiki and Māori Language Week. Engaging with two and Māori organisations: Whakatūpui i te reo Māori - champion and embed te reo Māori in our libraries and communities.</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AUS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>During Māori Language Week all staff members used Te Reo in greeting colleagues and customers. All the classes from Three Kings Primary visited the library with a focus on our Te Reo resources. The children enjoyed a special kiaora hut and discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963</td>
<td>Learning and literacy programming and digital literacy support - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Provide learning programmes and events throughout the year. Support our customers to embrace new ways of doing things. Lift literacy in the communities that need it most. Help customers and whānau learn and grow, and provide opportunities for knowledge creation and innovation.</td>
<td>CS, Libraries &amp; Information</td>
<td>AUS, Opex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Our monthly Book Group remains very popular with the regular attendees enjoying sharing with others while discussing their popular needs and library recommendations. Customers regularly request Book a Librarian sessions, both in English and Māori, to assist them to upskill with various digital resources. We have had a strong interest in our book a Librarian in Māori sessions this quarter. Many of our Chinese customers enjoy learning about digital applications that assist them with settling in New Zealand and communicating with their families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>Māori naming (and associated story telling) of parks and places in partnership with Te Whakapapa Kōrero</td>
<td>Māori naming (and associated story telling) of parks and places in partnership with Te Whakapapa Kōrero and use of te reo Māori. Work is on-going, with a focus on key places.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Te Whakapapa Kōrero</td>
<td>Opex</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>Māori whanau have identified all overlapping whanau interest for naming in the Puketāpapa Local Board area and are currently working through the naming process for parks in tranche one. The overlapping interest step in the process will require longer than initially planned - this will push this process out across Q3 and Q4. Māori whanau have worked through the overlapping interests and this process has included multiple meetings with all māori whanau groups. There has been discussion of naming versus whakapapa to the land and naming of places does not supersede mana whenua to the land - this has been a very respectful and collective process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept/ Unit/ CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>Lynfield Youth &amp; Leisure Centre Operations</td>
<td>Operate Lynfield youth &amp; Leisure Centre in a safe and sustainable manner through a management agreement with the YMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. These services include: fitness, group fitness; learn to swim; early childhood education; aquatic and recreation services. Along with care programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS: PSR</td>
<td>Active Recreation</td>
<td>AUD: Open</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Current centre fitness membership is at 1279. Due to the introduction of a new point of sale and membership system, a membership data clean-up occurred, and sales and retention data is more consistent and accurate. Community events such as yoga proved to be popular, which bought new users into the centre. Upcoming events for Q2 include community Christmas activities, membership campaigns and retention strategies planned. Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is -5.7. This rating has decreased compared to Q4 2018/19. The decrease represents ongoing maintenance issues with lighting, comments around outdated bathrooms and changing facilities and issues related to proactive fitness staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>Cameron Pool Centre Operations</td>
<td>Operate Cameron Pool Centre in a safe and sustainable manner, through a management agreement with the FMCA. Deliver a variety of accessible programmes and services that get the local community active. These services include: fitness, group fitness; learn to swim; early childhood education; aquatic and recreation services. Along with care programmes that reflect the needs of the local community.</td>
<td>CS: PSR</td>
<td>Active Recreation</td>
<td>AUD: Open</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction is measured by regular Net Promotion Score (NPS) surveys. This survey asks how likely the users are to recommend the centre to friends and family. The current score for the centre is 15.00. This rating is a slight decrease of 1.25 compared to Q4 2018/19. Complaints have primarily stemmed from lack of understanding (e.g. pool water quality) and member communication breakdowns (local issues creating pool closures). This should be better going forward as we have created a member communications directory for customer information when there are changes to pool availability. In quarter three, there is a full facility shutdown for annual servicing for two days. The main pool and lane will be closed for three days. To reduce the disappointment this will communicate via email, posters, handouts and word of mouth. As normal, the site will host a free member breakfast following these shutdowns to thank the members for their patience and the following weekend an open family day to reinvigorate the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>PKTP: Urban Forest (Rangihiai) Strategy FY20</td>
<td>This is the second year of the local board specific implementation of Auckland’s Rangihiai Strategy. This year (2018/2019), will be used to help guide the development of options in a planning workshop. This includes: a desktop exercise identifying potential sites in parks and streets across the local board areas, recommending species, and investigating opportunities to further develop partnerships (including community groups, schools, volunteers). This will be delivered in partnership with Community Facilities Operators activity theme #3011 which is the ABS:CAPEX for planting the trees in Autumn/Winter 2018. Phase three (2020/2021) will develop the Protecting Phase, in addition to the ongoing growing programme</td>
<td>CS: PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Open</td>
<td>$15,268</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Analysis report was presented back to the local board for their approval at the business meeting. Work is underway to develop an outline of a long term planting programme. Next step will be to present to the local board after the elections in Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746</td>
<td>PKTP: parks partnerships with schools</td>
<td>To investigate partnership opportunities with schools in the Puketapapa Local Board area related to parks and open space.</td>
<td>CS: PSR</td>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>LD: Open</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Workshop with local board scheduled for Q2 to: clarify scope and identify the key council stakeholders; investigate opportunities and synergies; support local school initiatives on parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead Dept / Unit or CO</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
<td>Q2 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>771</td>
<td>Puketāpapa: Ecological volunteers and environmental programme FY20</td>
<td>This is an ongoing programme to support community and volunteer ecological and environmental initiatives. This includes: annual pest plant and animal control, local park clean ups, and community environmental education and events. Additional activities have been planned throughout the year. Q1 - Winter spring community plantings Q3 - Prepare for autumn planting Q4 - Autumn community plantings</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Park Services</td>
<td>LDI, Opex</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>With contractor assistance, Friends of Waitakaruru Stream have cleared areas of persistent weeds from areas 6 and 7 and planted 1,100 trees at Lynfield Reserve in areas 1 and 2. Conservation Volunteers New Zealand have helped restore areas 1 and 2 of Lynfield Reserve in conjunction with Friends of Waitakaruru Stream. Ongoing predator control programme in various reserves is running smoothly. A community volunteer planting took place at Frederick Street Reserve. The programme ranger teams have been collaborating with internal and external partners on community park environmental programmes including the Adopt a Park school programme, school outreach, general public guided walks, Classroom in the Parks as well as special one-off events in celebration of key calendar weeks e.g. Conservation Week. Training days this quarter included Cooteshell and risk assessment for volunteers. The team had information stands at the AC Festival and the Auckland Horse Show in September to promote volunteering in our parks.</td>
<td>It has been a busy quarter for the ecological volunteers in the Puketāpapa area with the focus on maintaining plantings and the pest animal control programme. Reserves have been cleaned up at both Lynfield Reserve and Waitakaruru Stream. Volunteers have supported community volunteers at Lynfield Reserve with areas one and two, planting completed. Pest plant control has been undertaken by Te Ngātīheka contractors in areas six and seven. Several working bees have been held by the Friends of Waitakaruru Stream to help control weeds by hand. Park programmes this quarter included an Auckland Heritage Festival guided walk at Giffords Reserve on the 11 October, where 25 people attended. Lynfield College has signed up as an Adopt a Park school and 20 students participated in a weeding bee at Waitakaruru stream. There have been two volunteer training days this quarter, teaching risk assessment and Local 1 First Aid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>795</td>
<td>Puketāpapa: Activation of parks, places and open space FY20</td>
<td>Enable and coordinate a range of free to attend activities and events that support the local community to be physically active. Either through the Out &amp; About programme or other locally focused community or partner organisations.</td>
<td>CS, PSR, Active Recreation</td>
<td>LDI, Opex</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Staff have met with various delivery partners in Q1 to confirm summer activation schedules. A confirmed schedule will be finalised and forwarded to the local board via memo in early Q2.</td>
<td>The local board have received a memo outlining the delivery schedule for this financial year. Some activations are yet to be confirmed with delivery partners and will be communicated to the local board in early 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CDC</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A25</td>
<td>PKTPP: Open space service provision planning</td>
<td>To undertake investigation at network level to prioritise future projects and programmes within parks and open space, and to deliver parks planning initiatives included in the Puketapapa Local Board Plan Potential projects for 2019-2020: Greenways signage (to inform Auckland Transport (AT) Business case; an AT collaboration); Keith Hay Park plan refresh; Benches plan - Western Park; Three Kings Reserve Plan - Delivering actions from the 2017-2018 Activating Diverse Communities study in collaboration with ACE.</td>
<td>CS: PSR Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$77,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>The following projects were approved to be progressed at a workshop on 5 September: 1. Seating Network Plan - under way 2. Fruit trees network plan - underway 3. Western Park/Three Kings Reserve Outcomes Plan - discussion at a Q3 workshop to clarify the scope; 4. Storytelling in Puketapapa Parks - will be presented at a Q3 workshop to clarify scope of an area wide heritage survey. This has a synergy with diversity in parks and local board engagement plans. Projects will be prioritised for signage delivery on Korean War stories and Te Kete Raukura. 5. Waiariki Stream Catchment Outcomes Plan - will be presented at a workshop in Q3 to clarify the scope and identify the benefits. 6. Keith Hay Park Concept Plan Refresh - underway 7. Finalising Mt Roskill War Memorial Park Master Plan - underway and being led by Community Facilities.</td>
<td>Progress on the projects approved in this program are as follows: 1. Seating Network - spatial analysis completed. Site visits planned for early January 2020. How being delivered by staff so cost to board from this budget is $0.2. Fruit trees network plan - initial discussions completed Site visits to confirm existing offerings across the network planned for early January 2020. Principles will be established for future plantings. (right plant in the right place in the right conditions). How being delivered by staff so cost to the board from this budget is $0.3. Western Park/Three Kings Reserve Outcomes Plan - needs analysis underway. $15,000 has been allocated from this budget for the needs assessment work. $10,000 has been set aside in this budget should the board decide to proceed with concept plans for these parks. 4. Storytelling - a focus identified of diversity and telling the stories of our people, using their language, sustainability - sharing their conservation knowledge and skills. A specialist has been engaged to progress this work. Two workshops with the board and with Mana Whenua are planned in February/March and March/April. $0,000 from this budget has been currently allocated to this project. 5. Waiariki Stream Catchment Outcomes Plan - a December workshop clarified the scope, identified benefits and funding considerations. A brief has been developed and is currently with Healthy Waters for peer review. $25,000 of this budget has currently been allocated to this project. 6. Keith Hay Concept Plan Refresh - in progress and to be finalised in quarter three. $1,000 has currently been allocated to this project from this budget. 7. Mt Roskill War Memorial Park Master Plan - being finalised. Public consultation concludes 19 December 2019 which is led by Community Facilities (low activity e3910). $15,000 has currently been allocated from this budget to this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884</td>
<td>Puketapapa migrant community volunteer co-ordinator</td>
<td>This role is to co-ordinate and encourage non-English speakers and diverse communities to participate in conservation programmes in Puketapapa. This role will require someone who is multi-lingual, able to work with many different cultures and encourage them to take ownership of their local parks. Lyndhurst Reserve is a particular focus for this project but this role will be linked into the wider CVHZ programme across the local board area.</td>
<td>CS: PSR Services</td>
<td>LD: Opex</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Planning meeting held with Conservation Volunteers New Zealand to discuss scope for a multilingual co-ordinator for ethnic communities in Puketapapa.</td>
<td>A multilingual Coordinator has been appointed by Conservation Volunteers NZ and is actively networking with the local ethnic community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCQ</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1267</td>
<td>Scoping of planning for Stoddard Road centre</td>
<td>Council-led area planning for Stoddard Rd centre is deferred to Q1 time line 2019/20</td>
<td>CPO - Plans and Places</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This item remains on hold pending the outcome of the Kāinga Ora-led spatial development strategy for Mt Roskill (expected to be released in Q3), the council’s integrated area plan programme and a decision on mass transit between the city centre and Auckland airport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3817</td>
<td>An integrated area plan for the Mt Roskill</td>
<td>Underline an integrated area plan for part of the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa local areas. It will involve working closely with the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa Local Boards, the community, mana whenua and Kāinga Ora to develop an Integrated Area Plan for part of the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa local board areas. This work will build on the spatial delivery strategy being undertaken by the Kāinga Ora in these local board areas.</td>
<td>CPO - Plans and Places</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>At its meeting on 6 August 2019 the Planning Committee approved the development of an integrated area plan for the Mt Roskill redevelopment area, which is part of the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa local board areas (Resolution number PLPA/2019/76). An initial workshop involving both local boards was held on 23 August 2019 at which an overview of the project was presented, and draft terms of reference and plan boundary discussed. Plans and Places staff, together with specialist input from relevant parts of the organisation, have made good progress in preparing topic reports in support of the integrated area plans for part of the Albert-Eden and Puketāpapa local board areas. These reports will be discussed at a workshop with representatives from both local boards on 5 February 2020. Work is also already well advanced on an engagement plan that will accompany the area plan development process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1266</td>
<td>Scoping of Centre planning for the Three Kings</td>
<td>Scoping is deferred to Q1 financial year 2019/2020 to ensure integration with HLC’s redevelopment programme for the wider area and the light rail project.</td>
<td>CPO - Plans and Places</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>This item remains on hold pending the outcome of the Kāinga Ora-led spatial development strategy for Mt Roskill (expected to be released in Q3), the council’s integrated area plan programme and a decision on mass transit between the city centre and Auckland airport. However given that the Three Kings Plan is still relatively new and remains relevant, Plans and Places does not support undertaking a masterplan for the Three Kings town centre.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Work Programme 2019/2020 Q2 Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Lead Dept / Unit or CCO</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Activity Status</th>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Q1 Commentary</th>
<th>Q2 Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Youth Connections - Puketāpapa</td>
<td>Youth Connections will release and build on the impactful work of Youth Connections to date particularly the community led solutions demonstrated to create impact. To scale up and test The Southern Initiative activities relating to young people and employment or entrepreneurship. To trial and experiment new approaches to support young marginalized youth (such as those in care, in the youth justice system or discriminated against).</td>
<td>The Southern Initiative</td>
<td>LOI Opex</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Amber</td>
<td>The work programme consists of $21,000 carry forward from 1/4/19 towards Youth Connections (which is been identified to be used for HLC project) and $25,000 allocated towards Youth Connections from 1/4/19 to April 2019 the board agreed to this option Employment Broker and Coach to support employment into infrastructure and construction in your area in partnership with the HLC development. This will also be supported by Tāmaki’s Employment Broker and Coaches to adopt best practice standards to ensure quality employment outcomes. - $21,000 from the 2019/20 Youth Connections funding. Currently we are on the process of signing the agreement for the HLC (now Kāinga Ora) to work with local community groups for capacity and capability development. Kāinga Ora has identified the community groups that they are looking to capacity build to provide employment broker coach work to fill quality construction roles that are coming up in the area. We will be putting forward some options for the elected members of the Local Board to consider via a workshop in January/February 2019 for allocating the 2019/20 Youth Connections budget ($25,000).</td>
<td>Waiting on Kāinga Ora to provide the community groups that are to be funded to provide strong employment skills and post placement support for the funding of 2019/20 Youth Connections. Should be able to fund and commence the deliver of this in May 2020. Capacity and capability development of local community groups with Kāinga Ora (formally HLC) has taken longer than expected to get going. But now it has started to be delivered. Kāinga Ora is working work with local community groups to capacity and capability development. Kāinga Ora has identified the community groups that they are looking to capacity build to provide employment broker coach work to fill some construction roles that are coming up in the area. Kāinga Ora will provide the community groups that we can further fund to provide the strong employment skills to the local young people and also provide post placement support to the young people. Kāinga Ora is looking to provide us the names of the community groups by end of April/May 2020 so the Youth Connections funding for 2019/20 can be used to fund these local groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Lead: Retail/Use or CCO</td>
<td>CL: Lease Commencement Date</td>
<td>CL: Right of Renewal</td>
<td>CL: Final Lease Expiry Date</td>
<td>Activity Status</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Q1 Commentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1434</td>
<td>Renewal of ground lease</td>
<td>Community Leases, The Fairholme Tennis Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>01-03-10</td>
<td>28-02-25</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>The asset assessment report was subsed after the council owned building was updated. Will update the local board on the findings from the report in quarter two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1435</td>
<td>New building lease. Deferred from the 2018/2019 work programme due to classification of land required to be completed prior to lease</td>
<td>Community Leases, Keith Hay Park, 600 Richardson Road, Mt Roskill, Three Kings United Soccer Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>01-01-05</td>
<td>31-01-15</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>The urgency of amending resolutions PKTIP/2019/225 and delegating final approval of the agreement to lease to the Chair and the Deputy Chair, was to progress and secure the agreement to lease the proposed new clubhouse to Three Kings United Soccer. This was to enable the interest in $500,000 to be distributed and building works to begin as planned. Next steps: Draft agreement to lease to be finalised and sent to the Chair and Deputy Chair for approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1436</td>
<td>New building lease. Included in the 2018/2019 Work Programme due to an increase in the clubs current lease footprint</td>
<td>Community Leases, Otara Reserve, 16 The Avenue, Lynfield Lynfield Tennis Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>01-01-09</td>
<td>31-12-23</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1437</td>
<td>New ground lease. Deferred from the 2018/2019 Work Programme due to the development of a concept plan for the park which will map out future investment in the park</td>
<td>Community Leases, West Memorial Park, 5A Gifford Ave, Mt Roskill, Eden/Roskill Softball Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>01-12-02</td>
<td>30-11-17</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adoption of concept plan for the park will map out future investment in the park. At this stage, unable to grant lease until clear direction regarding the location of the building is finalised. Will update in quarter two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1438</td>
<td>Renewal of ground lease</td>
<td>Community Leases, 20-24 Fairleigh Ave, Epson, Waitakere Epson Tennis Club Incorporated</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>01-03-15</td>
<td>28-02-25</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>The club has yet to complete the lease application and support documentation. The club has met with its committee and support a variation of lease to include a smoke free policy and community outcomes plan. Update on progress in quarter two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1439</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme: Auckland City Brass Incorporated</td>
<td>Community Leases, Puketapapa Local Board Community Leases FY2020/2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>Puketapapa Local Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1440</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme: Auckland City Brass Incorporated</td>
<td>Community Leases, Puketapapa Local Board Community Leases FY2020/2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Leases to be progressed in the 2020-2021 Work Programme</td>
<td>Puketapapa Local Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submission to the Justice Committee on its Inquiry into the 2019 Local Elections

File No.: CP2020/01485

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for the local board to provide comment on Auckland Council’s draft submission to the Justice Committee on its inquiry into the 2019 Local Elections, liquor licensing trust elections, and recent energy trust elections.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In December 2019 the Justice Committee notified its inquiry into the 2019 local elections, liquor licensing trust elections, and recent energy trust elections. The closing date for submissions on this matter is 29 February 2020.

3. The Committee’s terms of reference include matters relating to the 2019 elections, in particular:
   - Low voter turnout
   - Liquor licensing trusts
   - Council staff releasing information that may affect the election outcome
   - Disclosure of candidates having serious criminal convictions
   - Irregularities that could have compromised the fairness of the elections

4. The Committee also invites feedback on its recommendations arising from the inquiry into the 2016 elections, in particular:
   - Electoral Commission to have responsibility for running local elections
   - Same voting system to be used for all elections
   - Foreign interference

5. Auckland Council is preparing a submission on this inquiry. The draft submission is attached to this report (Attachment A).

6. Feedback from the Puketāpapa Local Board is required by 20 February so that it can be considered within the Auckland Council submission. A report will then be provided to the Governing Body on 27 February.

7. The report to the Governing Body will note the outcome of submissions made to the 2016 inquiry, outlines recommended responses to the Committee’s terms of reference, identifies recommended responses to other recommendations made by 2016 inquiry (disclosure of interests, probity and Māori wards) and adds recommendations in regard to election issues not yet considered by the Committee, namely:
   - a review of representation arrangements and council’s desire to have discretion to review the number of councillors
   - the timing of a poll if one is required as the result of a petition.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) provide feedback on Auckland Council's draft submission to the Justice Committee on its inquiry into the 2019 Local Elections, liquor licensing trust elections, and recent energy trust elections.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Draft Submission to Inquiry into 2019 Local Elections</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
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Introduction

1.1 This is the Auckland Council submission to the Justice Committee’s Inquiry into the 2019 Local Elections and Liquor Licensing Trust Elections, and Recent Energy Trust Elections. Auckland Council welcomes the opportunity to provide comments for consideration by the Committee.

1.2 The address for service is Auckland Council, Private Bag 92300, Victoria Street West, Auckland 1142.

1.3 Please direct any enquiries to Warwick McNaughton, Principal Advisor and Deputy Electoral Officer, Democracy Services, phone 021 191 1009 or email: warwick.mcnaughton@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

1.4 Auckland Council wishes to appear before the Justice Select Committee to discuss this submission.

1.5 Auckland Council local boards have provided feedback into this submission and their comments are appended.

2 Background

2.1 Auckland Council is responsible for local government elections in its area, which comprises a total of 1,065,383 electors. The 2019 elections for Auckland Council involved 170 elected member positions:

- one mayor
- 20 governing body members, elected on a ward basis
- 149 local board members for 21 local boards

2.2 Elections for three district health boards and four licensing trusts were held at the same time.

2.3 The Council’s submission sets out recommendations in respect of:

(i) matters raised in the Committee’s terms of reference regarding the 2019 elections:
• low voter turnout
• liquor licensing trusts
• the role of council staff
• disclosure of candidates or members with serious criminal convictions
• irregularities

(ii) matters raised in the Committee’s terms of reference regarding its recommendations arising from its report on the 2016 local elections:
• Electoral Commission to be responsible for local elections
• Same electoral system in all elections
• Foreign interference

(iii) additional matters for consideration by the Committee:
• disclosure of interests
• probity
• Māori wards
• Review of representation arrangements
• Timing of polls for creation of a Māori ward or change of electoral system

3 Low voter turnout

3.1 Auckland Council has undertaken research into voter awareness in conjunction with the 2013, 2016 and 2019 elections. The following are highlights from the survey results that might be of assistance to the Committee in its investigation into low voter turnout.

3.2 After the 2019 elections a random sample of 1,871 Aucklanders were surveyed online. The survey tested respondents’ awareness of advertising and included questions relating to whether they voted or not. Of those who did not vote (643), the top four reasons given for not voting were:

• “I didn’t know anything about the candidates” 11%
• “I forgot to vote” 11%
• “I did not know when voting finished, missed the deadline” 10%
• “I was away from home over the voting period” 8%

3.3 Of those who did not vote, 25% had filled in all or part of their voting documents but did not cast their vote. The top four reasons given were:

• “I didn’t send it off in time / ran out of time” 29%
• “I forgot to send / complete it” 21%
• “I had other commitments during that time” 17%
• “I didn’t know anything about the candidates” 8%

3.4 Non-voters were asked “What could Auckland Council do to encourage you to vote?”.
The top four (unprompted) replies were:
• “Have online voting” 19%
• “It was my own fault” 8%
• “More advertising” 7%
• “Send reminders and notifications by post / email / txt” 7%

3.5 All respondents were asked whether they preferred online voting or postal voting if they had the choice. 66% preferred online voting and 26% preferred postal voting.

3.6 Of non-voters, 28% said they would have been more likely to vote if it had been booth voting.

3.7 Research was also undertaken into the impact of different messaging on voter behaviour.
The most effective message was a social norm message “74% of Aucklanders are planning to vote. Join them and vote this election!”. As compared with other messages such as a message of concern about low voter turnout, this had a more positive effect. This indicates election administrators should be cautious about negative messaging such as highlighting low voter turnout.

3.8 Survey results are being written up for publishing onto the council’s website knowledgeauckland.govt.nz

3.9 Auckland Council held 50 one-stop shop events which provided people the ability to enrol (the Electoral Commission attended) and to cast special votes. Our experience of these could be described as “social voting”. A lot of people made use of them, enrolled then sat down to complete their voting documents. Typically, venues where the one-stop shops were held were packed with people wanting to vote.

3.10 Another project was “Vote Friday” through which business organisations gave staff time to fill out voting documents at work. 60 organisations, representing 55,000 employees, took part.

3.11 One of the answers to lifting turnout might include a multi-modal approach which does not seek to identify just one way for voting but develops options for voters. For example:

- Postal voting
- Booth voting
- Advance voting
- One-stop shops
- Vote Friday
- Marae-based
- Ballot boxes at convenient locations such as supermarkets
Online voting (once considered secure)

Recommendations

3.12 Note the research conducted by Auckland Council which is available on knowledgeauckland.govt.nz.

3.13 Note the successful initiatives undertaken by Auckland Council including: Vote Friday and One-stop Shops.

3.14 Consider that the response to low voter turnout may best be through a multi-modal approach which provides voters with options.

4 Liquor licensing trusts

4.1 Licensing trusts are established under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (or the earlier Sale of Liquor Act 1989 and continued under the 2012 Act). The Law Commission, when it reviewed alcohol legislation prior to the 2012 Act, noted that licensing trusts were well supported by their communities and there was the ability for the community to petition for those trusts with monopoly powers to become competitive. It recommended no change to the law around licensing trusts.

4.2 Of the licensing trusts in the Auckland area, only the Portage and Waitakere Licensing Trusts have the sole right to establish and operate on-licences in hotels and taverns, and off-licences in their districts. The other licensing trusts are the Birkenhead, Mt Wellington and Wiri Licensing Trusts.

4.3 The aspect of licensing trusts that impinges on election administration the most relates to trust areas. Because these are not aligned with local government areas there are 26 more permutations of voting packs required in the Auckland Council area.

4.4 An example is the Birkenhead Licensing Trust. This was constituted in 1967 with its area being the whole of the then borough of Birkenhead. The area has not changed since then.

4.5 Any change to the western trust boundaries would have implications in terms of existing licences. However it may be possible to align the boundaries of the other three trusts, say with local board boundaries. Auckland Council has not considered this in detail.

4.6 Apart from the issues around trust areas, the election of trust members adds complexity to the voting documents used for council elections

Recommendations

4.7 Note that with the passage of time trust boundaries no longer align with local government boundaries in the Auckland area and this creates complexity in terms of the number of different combinations of voting documents that are required.
5  The role of council staff

5.1 The Committee’s terms of reference include the role of council staff during election periods around decisions to release or not release information or any public statements that may be construed to affect the election outcome.

5.2 Auckland Council staff were involved in three types of scenarios regarding requests for information:

(i) Ordinary requests for information from the public which are dealt with under the procedures in the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA), which clearly states that decisions on requests are made by the chief executive. There was no change to normal procedures.

(ii) Requests for information from candidates. Staff noted that researching information for use by a candidate could be perceived as council resources being used for benefit of an election candidate. Given that LGOIMA still applied in such situations and requests for information had to be met, responses to requests for information from candidates were published to the website so the information would be available to all candidates. Staff also considered it was useful for candidates and the electorate to have factual information rather than be misinformed.

(iii) Proactive media statements of fact. If a candidate continues to make public statements that are factually incorrect, there may be the need to correct that through the media, particularly if there are incorrect allegations about council operations. However such statements should be the exception - staff should not be involved in political debate.

5.3 Auckland Council considers there is no need for legislative change.

6  Disclosure of candidates or members with serious criminal convictions

6.1 Under the Local Government Act 2002, an elected member will lose their position if convicted of an offence punishable by a term of imprisonment of two years or more. However, there is no requirement to disclose such offences if standing for election – there is no criminal check undertaken.

6.2 One argument is that all candidates are subject to the ballot box and a candidate who has committed serious crime in the past is unlikely to be elected.

6.3 An alternative approach is to amend the Local Electoral Act 2001 to apply to candidates the provisions in the Local Government Act 2002 for sitting members. So that not only is a sitting member disqualified but a person is prevented from standing if they have had a criminal conviction of the same type of offence (unless their record has been wiped by the clean slate provisions). If this alternative approach is taken then a candidate would need to declare on their nomination form that they are not prevented from standing by this requirement.

Recommendation
6.4 Amend the Local Electoral Act 2001 to align with the Local Government Act 2002, schedule 7, clause 1, with the effect that a person is not eligible for election if they have been previously convicted of an offence punishable by a term of imprisonment of 2 years or more (unless their record has been wiped by the clean slate provisions).

7 Irregularities

7.1 The Committee’s terms of reference include irregularities that could have compromised the fairness of the elections.

7.2 Auckland Council is not aware of any irregularities in the Auckland Council elections.

8 Inquiry into 2016 local elections

8.1 The Auckland Council made a number of requests of the Committee in its submission to the inquiry into the 2016 local elections and notes that the Committee’s recommendations in its report support many of the council’s recommendations.

8.2 The following comments address the feedback the Committee has requested in terms of its key recommendations arising from the 2016 inquiry.

9 Electoral Commission to be responsible for local elections

9.1 The options for the Electoral Commission (“Commission”) being responsible for local elections range along a spectrum from the Commission being responsible for a few key aspects working collaboratively with local authorities, to the Commission being totally responsible. The Committee’s recommendation is that the Commission should be responsible for running all aspects of local elections.

Centralisation vs decentralisation

9.2 Parliament devolves responsibilities to local government when it is more important for local communities to decide matters than it is to have national consistency. The notions of subsidiarity and localism are important to local government.

9.3 However, these considerations do not apply to the same extent to decision-making about elections. Local political decision-making around the running of elections should be minimised. Local politicians should not be too involved in making decisions about elections because they themselves may be candidates. It is considered best practice for local politicians to be at arms-length from the running of elections.

9.4 However, it is important that local community characteristics are reflected in the administration of local elections (for example translation into languages that are appropriate for a local community). A “one size fits all” needs careful consideration.

Efficiencies
9.5 There are efficiency benefits from centralising election administration:

9.6 Reduce or eliminate duplication among councils. For example, rather than each council’s web team developing web pages for candidates and voters there might be only one central web-based information portal for the whole country (as for parliamentary elections). Likewise, there might be just one branding for the whole country.

9.7 Provide a permanent team focussed on elections. Currently each council has to budget for local elections happening on a three-yearly cycle. For Auckland Council this has also meant engaging temporary additional staff for the elections who develop expertise in running elections then who leave after the election is over. Although the Commission also has to engage additional staff for each triennial election, it has a core team which is permanent.

9.8 Those who are responsible for the electoral roll would also be responsible for running local elections. Currently, local elections are run by councils who must use the electoral roll which is administered by the Commission. For the 2019 elections there was excellent collaboration between the council and the Commission. However, collaboration needs to be a conscious effort and making the Commission responsible for both the electoral roll and the local elections could create synergies. The Electoral Commission, as part of its responsibility for the electoral roll, undertakes awareness raising in the community to ensure people enrol and update their details. If the commission already has community engagement processes in place, it could use these to raise awareness for local elections as well.

9.9 Consistent development of legislation. Currently legislation for central and local elections is comprised in two separate statutes and regulations. This is not a problem in itself, but developments of the legislation in order to bring about improvements happens as the result of separate organisations making submissions regarding separate legislation. There have been occasions in the past where the Commission has achieved improvements in its legislation, but these do not flow through to local electoral legislation (one example being the ability to vote from overseas).

**Issues**

9.10 An issue related to local elections is the review of representation arrangements. For Parliamentary elections a Representation Commission undertakes a review of boundaries. It would be a significant undertaking for the Representation Commission to undertake the review for all local authorities. Even though incumbent members might be seen as having an interest in electoral boundaries, local councils are best placed to propose changes to boundaries due to their knowledge of communities of interest in their area. The representation review is a process where representation is decided by a council in conjunction with its community, and if the community appeals, the final determination is made by the Local Government Commission. The review should be retained as a community-based process led by the community’s council. The discretion around establishing Māori wards should become part of the representation review (it should be a local consideration rather than decided centrally by a Representation Commission).
9.11 Another issue is the candidate nomination process. Currently candidates bring in their nominations physically to a council office for processing. For Parliamentary elections, individual nominations are lodged with electorate returning officers who are employed on a short-term basis for each Parliamentary election and who establish an electorate office for the election. (Alternatively party secretaries send nominations to the Commission in Wellington for processing.) Following an election the electorate office is closed. Whether the Commission retains returning officers to also cover local elections or appoints a council employee or an election service provider as returning officers just for local elections may be options. Another alternative is legislative change to allow nominations to be submitted electronically. This is noted as a matter of operational detail.

9.12 One feature of local elections is the candidate profile booklet. While some voters say this does not tell them much about candidates, it is better than nothing. Surveys show clearly that lack of information about candidates is a key deterrent for voters – voters do not have meaningful information with which to make voting decisions. Any move to centralise elections and amalgamate the legislation should retain candidate profile statements.

9.13 There are two providers of election services who have developed considerable expertise and resources for conducting local elections. Those resources and expertise should be recognised. The Commission may choose to use their services for any local elections for which it is responsible. A move to centralising the administration of local elections does not necessarily mean the services of these providers will no longer be required. This is noted as a matter of operational detail.

9.14 The Commission is funded out of Vote Justice (the current estimate is $46 million). This is expressed as services purchased by the Minister of Justice. If the Commission provides additional services to the Minister for conducting local government elections there is a question of whether these additional services result in some form of levy on local government and, if so, how it is calculated. The fairest form of any levy might be one based on providing minimal core services so that those councils who do not require more value-added services are not charged for something they do not need. If the Commission provides only core services, some councils might wish to supplement what the Commission provides with additional services (for example, council websites providing local information, additional participation campaigns).

9.15 Any centralised arrangement must recognise differences at the local level, particularly in terms of demographics. Branding, collateral, images and translations must be relevant to the local communities. The Commission should collaborate with local council staff over appropriateness.

Effect on the voter experience

9.16 A decision on centralising local elections should consider its effect on voters and voter turnout.

9.17 There is anecdotal evidence that some voters find the current system confusing. For example, the Commission receives calls from voters who did not know they should be contacting the council’s local electoral officer.
9.18 A voter who changes residence and forgets to update the electoral roll through the Commission, will blame the council when they do not receive their voting documents. Voters sometimes do not understand that the electoral roll is not maintained by the council.

9.19 Candidates currently lodge their nominations with the council electoral officer. However, if there is a problem with the eligibility of nominees then that must be taken up instead with the Commission if the problem relates to the electoral roll.

9.20 Participation campaigns are currently conducted by the Commission and councils separately. The Commission conducts a campaign to ensure people are enrolled to vote. Councils conduct campaigns to encourage people to vote. The commission could use its campaigning for enrolment to also encourage people to vote in local elections.

9.21 It is intuitive that a simplification of the process from the voter’s perspective might facilitate greater turnout, though there is no guarantee. There are no obvious aspects of moving to the commission that would be likely to work the other way – that is, that might lead to lower turnout.

Recommendation

9.22 Further investigate the Committee’s recommendation for the Electoral Commission to conduct local elections.

10 Same electoral system (FPP or STV) in all elections

10.1 In its submission into the inquiry into the 2016 local elections the council noted the complexity created by holding the District Health Board elections in conjunction with the council elections.

10.2 The council advocated moving these elections to a different year, but the Committee, in its report, noted there are efficiencies in holding these elections together. Instead, the Committee has recommended requiring all elections to use the same electoral system as a response to this complexity.

10.3 The council also notes that additional complexity is created by the council, district health boards and licensing trusts making different decisions about order of names on voting documents. This should also be standardised.

Recommendations

10.4 Amend legislation to the effect that all elections run in conjunction with the elections of a territorial authority use the same electoral system and the same order of names on voting documents.

11 Foreign interference

11.1 The council has noted the Committee’s recommendations and supports them.
12 Disclosure of interests

12.1 The Committee’s report notes inconsistencies between the requirements for Parliamentarians and for local authority members in terms of declaring interests and makes recommendations that Government should introduce legislation setting out requirements for local authority members.

12.2 The existing legislation that applies to local authority members is the Local Authorities (Members Interests) Act 1965. This has been noted for some years as needing a complete review. In particular, section 3 provides for a person to be ineligible to be an elected member if they have an interest in a contract with the local authority of over $25,000 per annum. Most contracts of that amount are let by staff under delegated authority and members do not participate in those decisions yet their eligibility for office might be affected.

12.3 The Committee’s report also notes that maintaining a register of interests is ad hoc – some councils include this in their code of conduct and others do not.

Recommendations

12.4 Review the Local Authorities (Members Interests) Act 1965.

12.5 Provide a consistent framework across all local authorities for registers of interests.

13 Probity

13.1 The Council notes that the Auditor-General is responsible for monitoring the use of public funds and public property and had published guidelines about communications and the use of council resources during the pre-election period but withdrew these guidelines during the 2019 pre-election period. There is now a lack of guidelines for providing consistent action by councils during this period.

Recommendation

13.2 Auditor-General to republish guidelines on the use of council resources during the pre-election period.

14 Māori wards

14.1 The Council notes the consideration of the Committee given to the petition of Andrew Judd and records its current resolved position in regard to Māori wards:

That the Governing Body:

a) … reiterate to government the position adopted by Council in 2015 supporting the need for legislative change to allow Auckland to determine the number of members on the Governing Body and subject to that, agree in principle to establish a Māori ward and request for a consistent policy regarding Māori representation in line with legislation governing the composition of Parliament.
15 Review of representation arrangements

15.1 As part of the election process, councils are required to conduct a review of representation arrangements at least every six years.

15.2 Every other council can review the number of its councillors but the number of councillors on the Auckland Council governing body is set at 20 in the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.

15.3 Problems relating to this came to light in the review of representation arrangements for the 2019 elections. A workable option to address the under-representation in the Waitemata and Gulf ward would have been to increase the number of councillors but this option was not available.

15.4 As noted above, this restriction also affects decisions around the creation of Māori wards.

Recommendation

15.5 Amend the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 to remove the specification that Auckland Council’s governing body will comprise 20 members in addition to the mayor so that Auckland Council has the same discretion as any other council to review its membership.

16 Timing of polls for creation of a Māori ward or change of electoral system

16.1 The current provisions in the Local Electoral Act 2001 for the council to resolve to change the electoral system (for example from FPP to STV) or to establish a Māori ward, allow for a petition for a poll of the community to be conducted. The Local Electoral Act requires the chief executive to advise the electoral officer as soon as practicable once a valid petition is received. If notice is received by 21 February in the year prior to the election, the electoral officer must conduct the poll within 89 days of receiving notice from the chief executive.

16.2 In the case of Auckland Council, conducting a standalone poll of over 1 million electors costs over $1 million.

16.3 By contrast, the council has the discretion to initiate its own poll and may choose to hold a poll in conjunction with an election, which would lower the cost.

Recommendation

16.4 Amend the Local Electoral Act 2001 so that if a petition for a poll in respect of a resolution relating to Māori wards or change of electoral system is received by 21 February in the year prior to an election, a council has the discretion to conduct a poll in conjunction with the next triennial elections.

17 Conclusion

17.1 The Council looks forward to appearing before the committee to speak to its recommendations.
17.2 Some of the Auckland Council local boards asked for their comments to be forwarded in to the committee and these are attached.
Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Review of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 and Related Legislation

File No.: CP2020/00389

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report


Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. The Ministry for Health is reviewing New Zealand’s legislation relating to death, burial, cremation and funerals in New Zealand.

3. The purpose of this review is to update relevant legislation so that it is modernised, fit-for-purpose, reflects general trends in society and is compatible with other legislative documents. The affected legislation includes the Burial and Cremation Act 1964, Cremation Regulations 1973 and the Health (Burial) Regulations 1946.


5. Auckland Council is preparing a submission on this review. This submission will be approved under delegation by the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Parks Arts Community and Events Committee in March 2020.

6. Formal local board feedback on this review is due by 5pm on 28 February 2020 and will be appended to the Auckland Council submission.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) provide input into Auckland Council’s submission on the government’s ‘Death, Funerals, Burial and Cremation: a Review of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 and Related Legislation’.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Review of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 and related legislation <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 14

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
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<th>Author</th>
<th>Ben Moimoi - Local Board Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek formal input from the Puketāpapa Local Board into Auckland Council’s submission on the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Ministry for the Environment has released *He Kura Koiora i hokia: A discussion document on a proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity*, including proposed wording for the national policy statement.
4. The proposed National Policy Statement is intended to provide consistency for council’s interpreting and applying the RMA provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity. This includes protecting significant indigenous vegetation and habitats for fauna and to maintain indigenous biodiversity.
5. Council staff have prepared a summary of the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (Attachment A) and highlighted key themes for the Council submission (Attachment B).
6. Previously, in September 2019, the board provided feedback on Te Koiroa o te Koiora, the government’s discussion document on proposals for a biodiversity strategy. This feedback supported the vision and outcomes and noted the urgency to restore and prevent permanent loss of biodiversity.
7. The deadline for local board feedback is 3 March 2020. Council’s Submission will be approved by the Planning Committee on 5 March and lodged before 13 March 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) Provide input into Auckland Council’s submission on the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2020 Summary of the proposed National Policy Statement of Indigenous Biodiversity</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2020 Key themes of NPS Indigenous Biodiversity AC draft submission</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Summary of the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (December 2019)

The following provides a summary of directions proposed by the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity. Refer to the discussion document and draft wording for the NPSIB for further detail.

1. **Objectives and policies**
   
a. The primary objective of the NPSIB is to maintain indigenous biodiversity. This has been defined as at least no reduction in certain functions and properties of indigenous biodiversity.

b. Other objectives include taking into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi in the management of indigenous biodiversity, restoring indigenous biodiversity and enhancing ecological integrity of ecosystems, and recognising the role of landowners, communities and tangata whenua as stewards and kaitiaki of indigenous biodiversity.

c. Policies require councils to adopt a precautionary approach towards proposed activities and their effects on indigenous biodiversity and to recognise the contribution maintenance of indigenous biodiversity makes to social, economic and cultural wellbeing.

d. Policies also require that councils promote resilience of indigenous biodiversity to climate change, including through considering effects of climate change when making decision on restoration and managing new biosecurity risks, promoting connectivity to enable migration of species.

2. **Recognising te ao Māori and principles of the Treaty of Waitangi**
   
a. The objectives and policies require recognition of and provision for Hutia te Rito in implementing the NPSIB. Hutia te Rito recognises that the health and wellbeing of indigenous biodiversity in the terrestrial environment is also vital for the health and wellbeing of our freshwater, coastal marine area and all our communities. Hutia te Rito as a fundamental concept to achieve an integrated and holistic approach to maintaining indigenous biodiversity.

b. Policies require that councils must recognise the role of tangata whenua as kaitiaki within their role and provide opportunities for tangata whenua involvement in the management of indigenous biodiversity.

c. The NPSIB specifies how local authorities are to collaborate with tangata whenua when giving effect to the NPSIB. This includes requirements to take reasonable steps to provide opportunities to exercise kaitiakitanga over indigenous biodiversity, be involved in decision making relating to indigenous biodiversity and incorporate mātauranga Māori.

3. **Application of NPS**
   
a. It applies to all land tenures and will mainly be used in relation to new land uses or activities.

b. The NPSIB does not apply to indigenous biodiversity in the coastal marine area or in waterbodies and freshwater ecosystems, except for the requirements relating to regional biodiversity strategies which can take a broader view, and, wetland enhancement and restoration. Freshwater ecosystems, including effects on wetlands, are otherwise covered by the proposed NPS Freshwater Management 2019.

4. **Identifying important biodiversity and taonga**

   **Identifying significant natural areas**
Summary of the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (December 2019)

a. The NPSIB specifies the process councils must take to assess and identify significant natural areas (areas with significant vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna). The assessment criteria are identified in the NPSIB. The Auckland Unitary Plan currently identifies such areas as Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs) – although note that the criteria for identifying such areas proposed in the NPSIB are not identical to those used in the Unitary Plan.

b. Councils must undertake a district wide assessment to determine whether areas meet defined ecological significance criteria.

c. A set of principles and approaches must be followed by councils when assessing and classifying SNAs. Principles include partnership, access, consistency and transparency. In relation to access, where access is not voluntarily given then councils must undertake desktop assessments.

d. SNAs must also be classified as either high or medium value (based on the criteria specified in the NPSIB). This distinction is used to manage effects.

e. For councils that already have identified SNAs in their plans, such as Auckland Council’s SEAs, a qualified ecologist can provide an assessment to demonstrate whether the SEAs meet the NPSIB criteria.

f. Requires updating of SNA lists every 2 years to allow for additions of SNAs that arise through resource consent applications or designations. A full update is required every 10 years.

Identifying taonga and highly mobile fauna

g. Requires identification of taonga subject to working together with tangata whenua to determine if they wish to identify taonga and if what is the process and level of detail.

h. Where identified taonga must be managed to protect their values.

i. Requires surveying and recording of likely and known locations for highly mobile fauna outside of SNAs

5. Managing effects on biodiversity

a. Specifies, and defines, what adverse effects on SNAs are to be avoided and the use of the effects management hierarchy is to be applied to all other adverse effects. This is intended to clarify how SNAs should be protected.

b. For SNAs classified as medium value a more lenient management approach is proposed for specific activities which have locational constraints. This includes nationally significant infrastructure, mineral and aggregate extraction, and Māori land.

c. Exceptions are provided for adverse effects relating to protecting, restoring or enhancing an SNA, risks to public health and safety, kānuka or mānuka identified because of myrtle rust risk, and indigenous vegetation established for specific uses/purposes.

d. The effects management framework includes biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation. A framework of criteria is set out in the NPSIB for the use of biodiversity offsets based around widely accepted principles that must be met and those that should be met to qualify as a biodiversity offset.

e. Specific direction is given around the management of plantation forests to maintain biodiversity values

Existing activities in SNAs
Summary of the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (December 2019)

f. Plans must specify where, how and when to provide for existing activities in SNAs.

g. Need to ensure that continuation of the existing activity does not lead to loss or degradation of any SNA and adverse effects do not change character, intensity or scale as at date of NPS

h. Provides for recognition where pastoral farming is existing activity and indigenous vegetation may regenerate in areas previously cleared and converted to improved pasture

Rules applying outside SNAs

i. Requires councils to take steps to maintain indigenous biodiversity outside of SNAs through specifying controls on activities, when assessment is required, and apply effects management hierarchy to adverse effects.

6. Restoration and enhancement

a. Councils must identify and promote restoration and enhancement (including through reconstruction) opportunities through plan provisions and other methods, including incentives.

b. This applies to degraded wetlands and SNAs, areas providing important connectivity or buffering functions and former wetlands that could be reconstructed.

c. Regional councils must assess percentage of urban and rural areas in region that have indigenous vegetation cover, and where less than 10% cover:

   ○ A target must be set to increase cover to at least 10% for urban areas;

   ○ A target must be set to increase cover for rural areas; and

   ○ For areas already with 10% or more cover targets may be set to increase cover.

   ○ Targets to be included in regional policy statements along with objectives, policies and methods to achieve it.

7. Regional biodiversity strategies & monitoring

a. Requires regional councils to prepare a regional biodiversity strategy through a collaborative approach with stakeholders, which is specified in the NPSIB.

b. Strategies are intended to promote landscape-scale restoration and enhancement vision for the region’s indigenous biodiversity. They must recognise various aspects of the NPSIB including spatially identifying SNAs, identified taonga, areas for restoration and enhancement and other areas for protection.

c. Regional policy statements and plans must have regard to any regional biodiversity strategy in relation to restoration and enhancement.

d. For councils with an existing strategy, this must be updated within six years of NPS commencement date.

e. Regional councils must develop a monitoring plan for indigenous biodiversity, establishing methods and timeframes.
Key themes for the Auckland Council submission on He Kura Koiora i hokia - a discussion document on a proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity

This document outlines the draft key themes that will be used to draft the full council submission on the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB). Staff are still considering some aspects of the NPSIB and its implications on both the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) and council’s implementation of the NPSIB. This includes the criteria for identifying significant natural areas and the management of adverse effects in SNAs. Initial comments on such matters are provided but the implications will be expanded on in the draft submission.

The submission will be organised in response to the key parts, and related questions, of the discussion document for the proposed NPSIB.

Overall comments

- Auckland Council supports the need for a National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) to strengthen requirements for protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity under the Resource Management Act (RMA). This includes providing direction and guidance to implement the RMA.
- The council supports the overall intent of the proposed NPSIB, however considers there are opportunities to improve clarity in the proposed provisions and certainty in aspects of its implementation.
- The council has concerns with the interaction and alignment of the NPSIB with other national directions, specifically the National Policy Statements on Urban Development and Freshwater Management and the lack of recognition of the New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy. Alignment of national direction is necessary to achieve the outcomes sought in the NPSIB.
- The council considers that technical and financial support will be essential for successful implementation of the NPSIB. The council has identified several areas where guidance could be provided to support implementation, clarify expectations required by the NPSIB and ensure consistency. The council supports the provision of technical and financial support to councils who may not be as well-resourced as Auckland to implement the NPSIB. Iwi/Māori also need to be adequately resourced for implementation.
- The Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) identifies significant natural areas as Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs) covering all domains. The council has extensive programmes delivering protection, restoration and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity across the region along with supporting landowners and community groups with advice and funding. As a unitary authority Auckland Council has greater opportunity to achieve integrated management of indigenous biodiversity and in this regard is likely to have different implementation challenges compared with other councils.
Specific topics

Objectives and Policies of the NPS for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB Part 2)

- The council agrees that the NPSIB is necessary to strengthen protection of indigenous biodiversity under the Resource Management Act (RMA). It will provide necessary guidance and direction on achieving the outcomes sought under the RMA, and to achieve a more consistent approach across New Zealand. To date such national guidance has been absent. Throughout the submission the council has identified various opportunities to improve clarity in the NPSIB provisions to ensure it can be implemented effectively.
- The council recognises that the NPSIB applies to terrestrial indigenous biodiversity while the NPS for Freshwater Management and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement will manage indigenous biodiversity in their respective domains. While management can be undertaken through different documents the council emphasises the importance of ensuring alignment between documents to enable clear and effective implementation by councils, and certainty for landowners.
- The council generally agrees with the objectives and policies proposed in the NPSIB. However, the council questions whether they have been over simplified removing important detail from the objectives and prioritise restoration and enhancement over protection of significant indigenous biodiversity. As currently proposed, some objectives and policies do not add any further direction than the RMA.
- The council supports the inclusion of restoration and enhancement within the objectives and the implementation of the NPSIB. The council considers there is a need to do more than just maintain indigenous biodiversity, recognising the reference to ‘at least no reduction’ currently proposed in the NPSIB (clause 1.7). There needs to be a positive gain for nature to build resilience to impacts of climate change and enable nature-based solutions.

Section A: Recognising te ao Māori and the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi

a. Providing for the concept of Hutia te Rito (Objective 3, Policy 1, clause 3.2 & 3.3)
- The council supports the use of the underlying concept of Hutia te Rito within the NPSIB, recognising the important connections between the health of nature and of people.

b. Providing for the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi and engaging with tangata whenua (Objective 2 & 6, Policy 1 & 12, clause 3.3)
- The discussion document (p25) indicates that the NPSIB proposes a broader participation to allow councils to involve iwi/Māori, as opposed to only iwi authorities. For Auckland (and elsewhere), with a significant mataawaka presence, engagement with all Māori is important.
The council supports the direction to involve tangata whenua at all stages of implementation of the NPSIB, and the incorporation of mātauranga Māori and tikanga Māori in decision-making and biodiversity management. The council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi/ the Treaty of Waitangi and supports the additional direction that the NPSIB provides. The council is concerned around resourcing iwi/Māori for the implementation of the NPSIB.

Section B: Identifying important biodiversity and taonga

a. Identification and mapping of Significant Natural Areas (Policy 6, clause 3.8)

- The council supports having a national approach to identifying areas of significant indigenous biodiversity as Significant Natural Areas (SNAs). The Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) already identifies its SNAs as Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs), with over 3000 identified across terrestrial, freshwater and marine domains.
- The council supports the inclusion of principles and approaches in the NPSIB that must be used when undertaking assessment and classification of SNAs, which reflects the council’s approach.
- It is noted that the NPSIB criteria do not cover wetlands and streams (which are covered by the AUP SEA overlay), and this may have implications for the AUP.
- An initial assessment of the criteria used to identify SNAs/SEAs in the AUP suggests that there are generally high levels of congruence with the NPSIB significance criteria (which NPSIB clause 3.8(4) provides for). However, the council considers that the language used in the NPSIB Appendix 1 significance criteria is often general and vague such that they may not be useful to identify SNAs or allow for meaningful comparison throughout New Zealand and may lead to increased contention and litigation about their application. All regional councils have committed to the use of the terrestrial ecosystems identified in Singers & Rogers (2014). In Auckland, this ecosystem classification is used to identify and assess representativeness and other matters covered by the significance criteria in the AUP and provides an objective basis to assess important ecological characteristics. The NPSIB may be out of date in its approach to ecosystem identification, and a more consistent and robust basis is required than currently proposed in the NPSIB. Use of a standardised classification system provides the opportunity for consistent assessment of the current and future state of biodiversity across the country, and in a way that can be aggregated to the national scale.
- The council would like to see greater consideration of climate resilience in the proposed NPSIB significance criteria, or the ability to apply them to manage climate change impacts. Climate resilience and representativeness are linked and will become more important in future.
- The NPSIB proposes to manage adverse effects on SNAs differently depending on whether an SNA is classified as High or Medium (using criteria in Appendix 2 of the

---

NPSIB). The council has concerns with the implications of having to classify SNAs as High or Medium, which may become contentious and be subject to litigation. The NPSIB does not provide any detail around how landowners need to be advised or involved in this process.

- The council is also concerned with using the High Medium classification to manage effects on SNAs. Potentially it may result in greater loss of Medium SNAs and it is still a subjective assessment process.

- The council generally supports regional councils being responsible for identifying, mapping and scheduling SNAs and notes that the approach adopted in other parts of the country where regional councils identify SNAs that are then included in the district plans of the region allows for some efficiency of scale, and more consistent approaches. The success of any approach relies on adequate resourcing, and a standardised approach may not be always provide for the best outcome. As a unitary authority this will have less impact on Auckland Council than other councils.

- The council would like to highlight the impact that RMA section 76(4A)2 has on where the mapping and schedule of SNAs sits for urban areas. In Auckland, where there are significant areas of SNA/SEA on land that meets the definition in RMA s76(4C), and for which satisfying the requirements in 76(4A) –(4D) would be impossible, regional rules are required to enable SNA/SEA protection. If the NPSIB is to specify the inclusion of SNAs and associated provisions in district plans, consideration needs to be given to the interaction with RMA section 76(4A) – (4D) and the potential to repeal these provisions to enable effective protection of urban SNAs.

b. Recognising and protecting taonga species and ecosystems (Policy 12, clause 3.14)

- The council supports the identification and management of taonga species and ecosystems, and the recognition that tangata whenua have the right to choose not to identify taonga and the level of detail at which these may be described.

c. Surveying for and managing ‘highly mobile fauna’ (Policy 13, clause 3.15)

- The council supports recognition of highly mobile fauna within the NPSIB but suggests that these will be difficult to identify. The council considers that further guidance is required on the appropriate responses to managing the habitats of threatened species, including which regulatory responses might be appropriate.

- The council questions whether the relationship between the requirements for councils to identify and manage highly mobile fauna in the NPSIB and the Department of Conservation’s functions have been adequately clarified.

---

2 RMA section 76(4A-4D) refers to district rules for tree protection
Section C: Managing adverse effects on biodiversity from activities

a. Managing adverse effects and providing for specific new activities within SNAs (Policy 8 & 9, clause 3.9-3.12)

- The council generally supports the use of the effects management hierarchy to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity proposed in the NPSIB. This generally aligns with the approach in the AUP, including the emphasis on avoidance of adverse effects as the first and sometimes only option.
- However, there are concerns that the application of the effects management hierarchy in the proposed NPSIB, including in relation to the ‘Medium’ and ‘High’ classifications required, could undermine protection of ‘Medium’ value sites. Conversely, the ‘High’ classification may imply the need to apply prohibited activity status which may be overly restrictive in some SNAs. (Staff are still considering the implications of this)
- The relationship between subdivision and subsequent land use could be more clearly addressed in the proposed NPSIB.
- The council supports the proposed NPSIB including more emphasis on pest management – including integration with other tools such as Regional Pest Management Plans where appropriate.
- The council questions whether the NPSIB contains enough emphasis on positive outcomes for indigenous biodiversity versus managing effects on SNAs.

b. Providing for existing activities (Policy 10, clause 3.12)

- The proposed NPSIB approach to managing existing activities within SNAs (clause 3.12) generally aligns with the AUP approach. However, the approach to existing farming activities and ‘improved pasture’ proposed may be more enabling than the approach in the AUP and may lead to relitigating of some rural SEAs. The NPSIB may potentially go further than the existing use rights set out in RMA s10 and s20A (notwithstanding the NPSIB recognising these RMA sections ‘apply according to their terms’).

c. Managing adverse effects outside significant natural areas (Policy 7, clause 3.13 & 3.15)

- The council supports the inclusion of managing adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity outside of SNAs in the proposed NPSIB. However, the council would like to see greater recognition of the impacts of pests and pathogens on indigenous biodiversity and consideration given to the potential of sites to improve, through active management, such that they meet SNA status.
- The council requests greater direction be provided as to the appropriate response to the management of the habitat of highly mobile fauna, including outside of SNAs.

d. Use and development of Māori land (clause 3.7, 3.9, 3.13 & 3.16)
The council supports the proposed NPSIB recognition of the constraints on use and development of Māori land, and the relationship with indigenous biodiversity areas. The proposed approach in the NPSIB is generally consistent with approach to Māori land in the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP).

e. **Consideration of climate change (Policy 3, clause 3.5)**

- The council supports the requirement to promote the resilience of indigenous biodiversity to climate change through the regional policy statement, plans and regional biodiversity strategy. The council suggests that it will be necessary to undertake more than just promote resilience to climate change. The council would support central government providing additional guidance on what is expected of councils when implementing the clause 3.5 climate resilience requirements.

- In relation to climate change, the council suggests that consideration be given as to the need for significance criteria related to ‘refugia’ for climate resilience. As habitats and distributions change some areas will become important refuges for flora and fauna providing relief from the stress of climate change and enable adaptation. The council recognises that regional biodiversity strategies will play an important role in this regard through the inclusion of such criteria or lens in development of a long-term vision for restoration and enhancement.

- Overall, the council considers the climate change responses in the NPSIB could be developed and elaborated further.

f. **Applying a precautionary principle to managing indigenous biodiversity (Policy 2, clause 3.6)**

- The council supports the inclusion of the precautionary approach in the proposed NPSIB, noting that such an approach is also part of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.

g. **Biodiversity offsetting and compensation (clause 1.8, Appendix 3 & 4)**

- The effects management framework in the proposed NPSIB includes biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation. The council supports the framework of criteria as set out in the NPSIB (Appendix 3) for the use of biodiversity offsets which is based around widely accepted principles to qualify as a biodiversity offset. The council also supports the provision in the NPSIB for environmental compensation when biodiversity offsets are not possible or effective. However, the council considers biodiversity offsets should still be preferred for any significant effect on indigenous biodiversity where they are achievable.
Section D: Restoration and enhancement of biodiversity

a. Restoration and enhancement of degraded SNAs, connections, buffers and wetlands (Policy 11, clause 3.16)

- The council supports the inclusion of clear direction for restoration and enhancement policies and requirements in the NPSIB.
- With respect to requirements around restoration of wetlands and former wetlands in proposed NPSIB clause 3.16, the council reinforces the need for alignment with the proposed NPS for Freshwater Management so there is no overlap or gap between the two NPS directions that will prevent the restoration of wetlands as desired in the NPSIB.

b. Restoring indigenous vegetation cover in depleted areas (Policy 11, clause 3.17)

- The council supports directions and requirements to increase indigenous vegetation cover in urban and rural areas with depleted cover. However, there is some uncertainty in terms of how the 10% target/assessment is to be applied. The council suggests that there should be criteria around unequal distribution of indigenous vegetation cover such as is included in Auckland’s Urban Ngahere (Forest) Strategy.
- The discussion document indicates the benefits of increasing vegetative cover in urban and peri-urban areas (p72). The council reinforces the importance of aligning the NPS on Urban Development with the NPSIB. Bringing nature into cities is an important part of environmental quality in urban development and for responding to the impacts of climate change.

c. Regional biodiversity strategies (Policy 14, clause 3.18, Appendix 5)

- The council supports the direction to require regional biodiversity strategies in the proposed NPSIB. With a focus on a collaborative approach to development of a regional vision for restoration and enhancement across all domains, regional biodiversity strategies will be important tools providing strategic vision and direction to implement the NPSIB.
- Their inclusion in the NPSIB, rather than in the New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy, enables better linkages with RMA plans. The council considers there needs to be strong linkages to the NZBS to ensure regional approaches are consistent with the national approach.
- The council considers that there may need to be stronger direction in clause 3.18(2) whereby regional policy statements and plans ‘must have regard to’ the regional biodiversity strategy, particularly given the expectation of a collaborative strategy process and engagement with community.
- The council considers that Appendix 5, which details how regional biodiversity strategies will be developed, contains detailed requirements that go beyond what a strategy includes such as the requirement for a comprehensive record of all actions being undertaken. Such a record is generally anticipated in an action plan or
implementation plan. For a large region such as Auckland creating such a record would be an immense task that may detract from development and engagement on a vision. Consideration should be given to greater flexibility around such detailed records being required in a strategy.

- As a regional vision for restoration and enhancement, the council supports regional biodiversity strategies promoting other outcomes such as predator control and prevention of spread of pests and pathogens. The strategy provides the opportunity to provide an integrated approach connecting all aspects of managing, protecting and restoring indigenous biodiversity.

**Section E: Monitoring and Implementation**

- The council supports greater guidance and direction on monitoring of indigenous biodiversity as proposed in clause 3.20 of the NPSIB, including the direction around using mātauranga Māori and tikanga Māori monitoring methods equally with scientific monitoring, where tangata whenua agree.

- The council supports SNAs being identified on public conservation land, although acknowledges the costs. The AUP currently identifies SNAs on public conservation land and public land. The council considers that protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity needs to be tenure neutral and that local and central government play an important leadership role.

- The council generally supports the proposed timeframes to implement the NPSIB and considers it is likely that these timeframes can be met. The council recognises that it may be in a different position to other councils in that it currently identifies and manages SNAs within the AUP, has programmes to support communities and landowners managing and restoring indigenous biodiversity, and is well resourced.
Auckland Council’s submission to the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill

File No.: CP2020/00758

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek formal input from the Puketāpapa Local Board into Auckland Council’s submission to the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill is a new way of funding and financing local infrastructure by providing a tool that is independent of local authorities. The Bill proposes that finance is raised for infrastructure projects (or bundles of projects) through a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV), which is a stand-alone entity.

3. The SVP will support urban development projects to begin sooner than council funding for infrastructure allows. It will also help to make the cost of new infrastructure more transparent and will spread the costs by way of a levy so the cost falls primarily on the landowners who benefit, including over time and across generations.

4. The levy would be in place until the infrastructure is paid off by those who are expected to benefit. When a property is sold, the new owner would pay the levy. This levy would be collected by councils via their normal rates collection mechanisms on behalf of the SPV.

5. All infrastructure assets built using the tool would transfer to the relevant public body. In most circumstances this will be a council, who will be responsible for the ongoing operation and maintenance of the new assets. Prior to an agreement on an SPV proposal, endorsement will be sought from the council.

6. This tool will work alongside other related central government initiatives such as the Urban Development Bill.

7. A summary document on the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill can be found in Attachment A.

8. Council’s submission will be approved by the Planning Committee on 5 March and submitted before 9 March 2020. Local board feedback will be appended to the Council submission.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) provide input into Auckland Council’s submission to the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Attachment A Summary of document on the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mary Hay - Local Board Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Zealand is growing fast, but housing and associated infrastructure are not keeping pace. While zoning changes mean more land is available for homes, efforts to develop that land are stymied by a lack of supporting infrastructure—typically water, roading and community infrastructure.

Councils are responsible for most of this infrastructure but those in high-growth areas—Auckland, Hamilton, Tauranga and Queenstown—face constraints in funding and financing new projects.

Most are at, or near, their maximum debt-to-revenue ratios. They also face other constraints on borrowing—higher debt can increase the cost of borrowing and most ratepayers have not been convinced to invest in growth, preferring instead to see rates kept down.

These constraints mean viable infrastructure investment is postponed, creating a burgeoning infrastructure deficit for future generations while also forcing up the price of urban land and housing in cities unable to expand in response to growth.

Looking beyond traditional tools

To keep up with growth, New Zealand needs to look beyond traditional funding and financing tools. Working closely with the High-growth councils, the Government has developed a new alternative funding and finance tool so private capital can be accessed to get infrastructure built sooner than would otherwise be the case, without putting pressure on council balance sheets.

It will also help make the cost of new infrastructure more transparent while spreading that cost so it falls primarily on the homeowners who benefit over time, including across generations.

Water and transport infrastructure (including cycleways, roads and public transport infrastructure) could be funded using the tool, as well as certain community facilities, and environmental resilience infrastructure, such as flood protection.

The tool will be an important addition to councils' infrastructure provision toolkit, helping them start viable housing and urban development projects sooner. It will not replace existing council planning and decision-making processes.

While at Milford (see over page) a similar funding and financing approach was negotiated with the sole landowner, the new tool will be enabled through legislation, so it can be used for a wider variety of projects.
**Attachment A**

**Item 16**

---

**How the tool works**

Key to the tool’s success will be the ability to ring-fence infrastructure projects from the relevant council’s balance sheet.

A Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV), a stand-alone entity, will be created for each project (or a bundle of projects). It would be enabled by legislation to raise finance for the infrastructure project, collect a multi-year levy to repay the finance, and contract for the delivery of the infrastructure.

---

**Who pays what?**

Affordability is a key issue that both local and central government have to consider when deciding whether to apply the tool.

The levy amount and term, along with who pays for the infrastructure (the project beneficiaries) will be agreed by Cabinet based on the specifics of each project. The total amount to be collected will be capped.

When the homeowner sells, the requirement to pay the levy will shift to the new owners. That requirement ends altogether once the infrastructure is paid for.

Allocation of the levy between beneficiaries could be determined by a range of factors, including land area, value and use. In broad terms the homeowners will know in advance how much they will need to pay, based on what the project is expected to deliver.

---

**Where to from here...**

Legislation enabling the tool is expected to be passed by mid-2020. Councils and developers will then need time to work through the usual planning and consenting issues involved with getting large and complex projects underway, with the first project funded through the tool expected to start in late 2021. In the meantime, a pipeline of possible projects is under development.

The Government is also exploring other ways to give councils greater flexibility in funding and financing infrastructure, including exploring how development contributions and the targeted rate regime could be improved.

Options for further evolving the new tool to meet the needs of a wider range of councils will also be considered.

---

**Have your say...**

To have your say on the legislation, consider making a submission to Parliament’s Transport and Infrastructure Select Committee. Keep an eye on their [webpage](#) for the submission deadline and other updates.

---

**Milldale – creating a community**

The new tool has evolved from the model used at Milldale, north of Auckland, in 2018. A Crown Infrastructure Partners Special Purpose Vehicle was used to raise finance to fund infrastructure there.

Landowners pay an ‘infrastructure payment’ to repay this borrowing: $650 for an apartment or $1,000 for a home a year over 30 years. Auckland Council collects these payments through the rates system, on behalf of the SPV.

The resulting infrastructure will eventually support the creation of a community of 9,000 new homes.

[Read more on Milldale.](#)
Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the inter-regional marine pest pathway management proposal

File No.: CP2020/01420

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To seek formal input from the Puketāpapa Local Board into the inter-regional marine pest pathway management proposal.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Pathway management plans allow councils to manage the way pests are transported from one place to another, with an overall goal to avoid or minimise potential wide-ranging negative impacts. A potential pathway management plan will be complementary to existing and proposed marine biosecurity measures under Auckland’s Regional Pest Management Plan and Auckland’s Unitary Plan.

3. In the marine environment ‘pathway’ often means boats, as movement of hull-fouled boats is the single biggest risk for marine pest transfer. The identified approaches included in the consultation focused on potential rules for hull fouling, which means that boat users will be most affected by any changes proposed through a marine pest pathway management plan.

4. Informal public consultation on potential approaches for inter-regional marine pest pathway management was undertaken between 18 March and 24 May 2019 in four regions: Northland, Auckland, Waikato and Bay of Plenty.

5. The consultation results showed:
   - a preference to develop consistent rules for managing boat hull-fouling across the four regions
   - a preference for a requirement to have a clean hull at all times
   - regional differences with the preferences of Northland submitters being notably different to other regions. Northland submitters wanted alternative management options for managing marine pests
   - nine key feedback themes were identified, with the top three being practicality and compliance issues; allocation and distribution of costs, and the need for a national pathways plan.

6. Auckland Council staff are currently analysing options. This will identify a preferred option for marine pest pathway management. Staff will collate further supporting evidence through the Top of the North Marine Biosecurity working group.

7. Staff will workshop this proposal with the local board on 13 February 2020 to provide an overview and seek informal feedback. This report provides an opportunity to formalise that feedback.

8. Staff will present a report to the Environment and Climate Change Committee at its 12 March 2020 meeting. This will seek approval to start the statutory process for development of an inter-regional marine pest pathway management plan under the Biosecurity Act, including the preferred option.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) provide input into the inter-regional marine pest pathway management proposal.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mary Hay - Local Board Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appointment of LGNZ Lead and nominee for LGNZ Conference 2020
File No.: CP2020/01111

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To appoint a lead for Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) matters and nominate a representative to attend the 2020 LGNZ Annual Conference and General Meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local boards are invited to appoint a lead (and alternate) on Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) matters. The lead will be the main contact for all LGNZ issues and will represent the local board at meetings of Auckland/LGNZ zone and any related meetings.

3. The LGNZ Annual Conference and General Meeting (AGM) takes place at the ASB Theatre Marlborough in Waiharakeke Blenheim from 8am Thursday 16 July to 3pm Saturday 18 July 2020.

4. Local boards are invited to nominate a representative to attend the LGNZ conference. This can be the local board appointed LGNZ lead or another member of the local board. Given the cost of and overall numbers of elected member attendance, staff recommend that one member per local board attend.

a) In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members who wish to attend the AGM must provide their names to the Democracy Services Business Hub team by Friday 17 April 2020 to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

b) appoint a lead and alternate for LGNZ related matters for the 2019-2022 triennium and task these members with representing the local board at Auckland/LGNZ meetings.

c) nominate one elected member per local board to attend the Local Government New Zealand 2020 Conference and Annual General Meeting in Waiharakeke Blenheim, Thursday 16 July to Saturday 18 July 2020.

d) confirm that conference attendance including travel and accommodation will be paid for in accordance with the current Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

e) note that any members who wish to attend the AGM must provide their names to the Democracy Services Business Hub team by Friday 17 April 2020 to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.
Horopaki

Context

5. LGNZ is an incorporated society of local government organisations whose primary objective is to represent and advocate for the interests of local authorities in New Zealand. LGNZ champions policy positions on key issues that are of interest to local government and holds regular meetings and events throughout the year for members. The schedule of meetings includes an annual conference and meetings of local government geographical clusters (known as LGNZ zones) and sectors.

6. LGNZ is governed by a National Council made up of representatives from member authorities as outlined in the constitution. Some of its work is conducted through committees and working groups which include representatives from member authorities.

7. Elected members who have been formally appointed to LGNZ roles are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected Member</th>
<th>Appointed role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Phil Goff</td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on the Metropolitan Sector Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Pippa Coom</td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Member Richard Northey</td>
<td>(appointed by Governing Body)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Council representative for Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(appointed by local boards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Regional Sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)

8. As part of recent changes to the LGNZ Rules, Auckland Council is no longer part of LGNZ Zone 1 but is expected to organize itself, with its multiple local boards and Governing Body, as an informal LGNZ zone.

9. Meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ zone have been scheduled on a biannual basis. These meetings will be co-chaired by the two Auckland representatives appointed to the LGNZ National Council by the Governing Body (Councillor Pippa Coom) and local boards’ (Member Richard Northey).

10. Meetings of the Auckland/LGNZ zone will be open to all elected members but formal representation will sit with the nominated leads.

LGNZ Annual conference and AGM 2020

11. This year the LGNZ conference and AGM will be held at the ASB Theatre Marlborough, Waiharakeke Blenheim, Thursday 16 July to Saturday 18 July 2020.

12. The conference takes place over the first two days commencing at 9.30am on Thursday 16 July 2020 and closing with the LGNZ Excellence Awards on the evening of Friday 17 July 2020.

13. The conference programme has the theme “Natural Capital”. The final programme will be publicly available at the end of February however we have had indication from LGNZ that the programme is expected to include addresses from the Prime Minister, various political leaders and President of LGNZ as well as sessions on the following topics

- Natural capital - the Marlborough story
- Fishes in the river, fishes in the sea (Water, aquaculture and the Resource Management Act)
Item 18

Tourism – working together to care for people, place and culture
Building towards sustainable supply (housing)
Resilience in the face of natural hazards (infrastructure and communities)
Cultural wellbeing plenary session
Interactive workshops on cultural, economic, environmental and social well-being
Tours, showcases and dinners.

14. The AGM takes place on the last day of the conference from 9.30am to 12.30pm. The LGNZ constitution permits the Auckland Council to appoint four delegates to represent it at the AGM, with one of the delegates being appointed as presiding delegate.

15. Traditionally the four AGM delegates have been the Mayor, the Chief Executive and two Governing Body members who hold LGNZ roles. Delegates in 2019 were Mayor Phil Goff, Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore, Councillor Penny Hulse and Local board Chair Pippa Coom.

16. The Governing Body will consider an item on AGM attendance at its meeting on 27 March 2020 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff be the presiding delegate and the other three delegates be comprised of either:
   a) two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive; or
   b) one member of the Governing Body who holds a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, and a local board member; or
   c) two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and a local board member.

17. In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Attendance at the AGM is not compulsory for conference participants.

Pre-conference meetings

18. On Wednesday 15 July 2020, there will be a pre-conference meeting of the National Council as well as a Te Maruata Hui. Elected members that are on these two groups and wish to attend these meetings would need to arrive earlier than other meeting participants.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)

19. Local boards are requested to appoint a lead for the 2019-2022 triennium. The lead’s responsibilities include:
   • attend and represent the local board at meetings of Auckland/LGNZ zone and other LGNZ meetings, as appropriate
   • be the main contact for the local board on all LGNZ matters
   • share information from Auckland/LGNZ and other LGNZ-related meetings attended with the local board.

LGNZ Annual conference and AGM 2020

20. In 2020, with the venue in Waiharakeke, Blenheim and given the cost and overall numbers of elected member attendance, it is recommended that one member per local board attend. Having one attendee per local board means a maximum of 21 Auckland Council local board members would attend the conference.

21. The annual conference and AGM are two separate meeting sessions.

22. Local board members are invited to attend and take part in the conference.
23. For the AGM, member authorities will be represented by officially appointed delegates. Members who are not appointed delegates can attend as observers provided they are included in the AGM registration form. Local board members who wish to attend the AGM as observers must register their intention with the Democracy Services Business Hub team by Friday 17 April 2020 so that their names can be included on the AGM registration form.

24. Local board members who attend the conference and/or AGM are strongly encouraged to report back to their local boards on proceedings at the conference. This ensures members who do not attend can still benefit from this opportunity.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

25. Conferences and events involving multiple participants especially those requiring long distance travel can generate a sizable carbon footprint. This is due to emissions associated with flights, car and taxi travel, hotel and event site emissions.

26. Estimates for emissions associated with travel to Blenheim or travel within Auckland for local meetings have not been calculated at the time of writing this report. Emissions, when known, can be offset through a verified carbon offset programme at a small cost.

27. Other opportunities to reduce emissions include:
   a) reducing the number of delegates to the Blenheim conference as recommended
   b) encouraging participants to opt for public transport options when attending meetings in Auckland
   c) encouraging delegates to provide updates to their local boards, including the option of daily updates from the conference and meetings via the local board facebook pages, so that non-attendance does not disadvantage other members
   d) ensuring elected members are aware of the session recordings that LGNZ will make available after the conference. LGNZ have advised that they don’t webcast or live stream any parts of the conference as they try to encourage as many people as possible to attend in person.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

28. There are no impacts for CCOs or departments of council as the focus is on elected members attendance at meetings including the LGNZ conference.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

29. LGNZ advocates for issues that are important to local government. Many of these issues are aligned with local board priorities e.g. climate change. As such, there is interest at local board level in staying across the work of LGNZ and in identifying and harnessing opportunities to progress other advocacy areas that local boards may have.

30. Having a dedicated lead who can attend Auckland meetings on LGNZ matters and who can be part of future discussions about remits and other topics, will enable local boards and their communities to continue to be informed and give considered input to work being led by LGNZ.

31. The LGNZ Annual conference is always of interest to local board members. They provide a unique networking opportunity for local government leaders from around the country and the agenda of these meetings are designed to support local leaders in their roles and responsibilities. This is in line with the purpose of the elected member development programme which is to support elected members as governors and decision-makers.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
32. The work of LGNZ is expected to impact positively on Māori. LGNZ advocates on a variety of issues that are important to Māori including Māori housing, various environmental issues and Council-Māori participation/relationship arrangements. In addition, LGNZ provides advice including published guidance to assist local authorities in understanding values, aspirations and interest of Māori.

33. The LGNZ National Council has a sub-committee, Te Maruata, which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. It also provides support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups. Te Maruata provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. In the previous term Councillor Alf Filipaina was a member of the sub-committee. Te Maruata will hold a hui on Wednesday 15 July 2020 from 10am to 4.30pm.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)
34. Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ are a new initiative being introduced this triennium following amendments to LGNZ zones. The two meetings for 2020 are scheduled for 13 March 2020 and 11 September 2020 and are not currently budgeted for. Staff will use existing resources and liaise with Kura Kāwana to identify combined opportunities for these meetings dates.

35. Managing attendance numbers by only requiring attendance of leads, with others as optional attendees if they wish, should contribute towards keeping meeting costs down.

Annual conference and AGM 2020
36. The normal registration rate for the LGNZ Conference and AGM is $1,410 (early bird) or $1,510 (standard). The total cost for early bird registration for 21 local board members is $29,610, with flights and accommodation additional.

37. Costs of attendance for one member from each local board are to be met from the elected members’ development budget as managed centrally by the Kura Kawana Programme.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)
38. The inaugural meeting of the Auckland Zone is planned for 13 March 2020. If a local board has not chosen an LGNZ lead by this date, they would need to select a member to attend this meeting as their official representative.

Annual conference and AGM 2020
39. The key risk is of delayed decision-making which can impact costs and registration choices. The sooner the registration for the nominated local board member can be made, the more likely it is that Auckland Council can take advantage of early bird pricing for the conference and flights, all done via bulk booking. Delayed information may also impact registration into preferred conference streams or events.

40. There is always a level of reputational risk associated with any financial expenditure. Large delegations to conferences can be costly hence the advice that only one per local board attend.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

Meetings of Auckland/LGNZ (Auckland Zone)
41. There are two planned meetings for the Auckland Zone in 2020. The inaugural meeting is scheduled for 13 March 2020 and the second meeting is on 11 September 2020.

42. Preparations for the inaugural meeting are being made by staff with guidance from the co-chairs. The agenda will include a report from LGNZ Executive and will also include an update on the Localism project. The agenda will be made available to members closer to the time of the meeting.

Annual conference and AGM 2020
43. Once members are confirmed to attend, the Democracy Services Business Hub team will co-ordinate and book all conference registrations, as well as requests to attend the AGM.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

| Author                  | Shirley Coutts - Principal Advisor - Governance Strategy  |
|                        | Linda Gifford – Programme Manager – Elected Member Development |
| Authoriser             | Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services |
|                        | Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa |
Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on the Ministry for the Environment’s review of the effectiveness of the waste levy

File No.: CP2020/00373

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of a decision made under urgency to provide local board input into Auckland Council’s submission on the Ministry for the Environment’s review of the effectiveness of the waste levy.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Ministry for the Environment is currently consulting on proposals to reduce waste through a more effective landfill levy with submissions closing on 3 February 2020.

3. The consultation was based on a document titled “Reducing waste: a more effective landfill levy consultation document” which was released in November 2019. This document discusses increasing the levy rate on municipal landfills, applying the landfill levy to more landfills and applying different levies for different landfill types.

4. Auckland Council is preparing a submission on this topic and has requested formal local board input by 22 January 2020 so that local board views can be considered by a political working group before the Auckland Council submission is finalised.

5. The Puketāpapa Local Board provided feedback into council’s submission on 14 January by utilising the urgent decision-making process approved by the local board on 5 December 2019 (resolution number PKTPP/2019/239).

6. The urgent decision-making process enables the local board to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.

7. This decision was made under urgency as the next scheduled local board business meeting on 20 February 2020 is after the due date for local board input into the council submission.

8. This decision was authorised by the relationship manager, local board chair and deputy chair through the authorisation memo attached to this report (Attachment A). This memo provides more information on the review and includes the local board’s formalised.

9. For ease of reference, the local board’s formalised feedback has also been provided as Attachment B of this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive the local board feedback on the review of the effectiveness of the waste levy, as approved under urgency on 14 January 2020 (Attachment B of this agenda report).
Puketāpapa Local Board
20 February 2020

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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<td>Urgent Decision Authorisation Memo - Puketapapa Local Board Feedback on proposed changes to the Waste Levy <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Feedback on changes to the waste levy</td>
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<td>Authoriser</td>
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Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on the Ministry for the Environment's review of the effectiveness of the waste levy
Attachment B

Puketapapa Local Board Feedback on the Ministry for the Environment’s ‘Reducing waste: a more effective landfill levy consultation document’
14/01/2020

Relevance to the Puketapapa Local Board
1. Local boards are a key part of the governance of Auckland Council. Local boards have responsibilities set out in the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009, specifically:
   • identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the content of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of the Auckland Council
2. Local boards provide important local input into region-wide strategies/plans and can also represent the views of their communities to other agencies, including those of central government.

Puketapapa Local Board planning framework
3. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Changes to the waste levy has relevance to some of the outcomes and objectives in the 2017 Puketapapa Local Board Plan. Outcomes and objectives of relevance include:
   • Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
     o A wide range of local businesses and social enterprises, creating meaningful employment and work experience
     o More job opportunities for local people, particularly those who face barriers to employment
   • Treasured and enhanced natural environment
     o Mana whenua are valued partners on key environmental projects
     o The mana of our harbour, waterways and maunga is recognised
     o People and businesses adopt sustainable practices
4. The Puketapapa Local Board’s Becoming a Low Carbon Community: An Action Plan also has relevant to this matter.

Puketapapa Local Board feedback on the Ministry for the Environment’s ‘Reducing waste: a more effective landfill levy consultation document’

a) Support Auckland Council’s draft submission on the waste levy.
b) Support advocating to central government for an increased waste levy; a key action within Auckland Council’s Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018.
c) Support the goal of a zero-waste future, with the waste levy considered as an effective way to induce industry and other waste producers to take action on climate change.

d) Support investing funds from the levy into waste minimisation facilities and initiatives that support alternatives to sending material to landfill.

e) Note that an increased waste levy may lead to an increase in illegal dumping and that local councils should be able to use the resources provided by the levy to address illegal dumping, both proactively and reactively.

f) Request that the Ministry for the Environment provides details on how the proportion of the levy they retained will be used.

g) Note that an increased levy that is invested into waste minimisation should incentivise waste producers to transition to alternative practices that diverts material away from landfills. Those who pay for this levy (households and businesses) will hopefully see value in improving their practices as systems and opportunities for waste minimisation improve.

h) Note that limiting products that go on to become waste is an important factor in minimising waste disposal. More emphasis is needed on limiting the manufacture of waste, so that end users deal with less waste to begin with.

End.
Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on central government's review of the resource management system.

File No.: CP2020/00273

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of a decision made under urgency to provide local board input into Auckland Council’s submission on central government’s review of the resource management system.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Central government is undertaking a comprehensive review of the resource management system with a primary focus on the Resource Management Act 1991. The review’s aim is to improve environmental outcomes and better enable urban and other development within environmental limits.
3. As part of the review, an issues and options document was released for consultation by the Resource Management Review Panel on 13 November 2019 with submissions closing 3 February 2020.
4. Local boards were asked to provide input into Auckland Council’s submission on this review by 24 January.
5. The Puketāpapa Local Board provided feedback into council’s submission on 14 January by utilising the urgent decision-making process approved by the local board on 5 December 2019 (resolution number PKTPP/2019/239).
6. The urgent decision-making process enables the local board to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.
7. This decision was made under urgency as the next scheduled local board business meeting on 20 February 2020 is after the due date for local board input into the council submission.
8. This decision was authorised by the relationship manager, local board chair and deputy chair through the authorisation memo attached to this report (Attachment A). This memo provides more information on the review and includes the local board’s formalised feedback.
9. For ease of reference, the local board’s formalised feedback has also been provided as Attachment B of this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive the local board feedback on the review of the resource management system as approved under urgency on 14 January 2020 (Attachment B of this agenda report).
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
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Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on central government's review of the resource management system.
Memo

13 January 2020

To: Nina Siers – Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa
From: Ben Moimoi – Puketāpapa Local Board Advisor

Subject: Urgent decision request of the Puketāpapa Local Board

Purpose
The purpose of this memo is to initially seek the local board relationship manager’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

The decision required, and supporting information, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the supporting information will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency
Local boards have the opportunity to provide input into Auckland Council submissions on other agencies documents.

The government is undertaking a comprehensive review of the resource management system with a primary focus on the Resource Management Act 1991. The review is being led by the Resource Management Review Panel. The panel has released an issues and options paper covering issues to be considered and addressed by the review, and sets out some initial thoughts on possible options.

The review’s aim is to improve environmental outcomes and better enable urban and other development within environmental limits.

The issues and options document was released on 13 November 2019 with submissions due on 3 February 2020. Local board feedback is required by 24 January 2020, in order to be incorporated into the Auckland Council submission by the due date.

The next scheduled business meeting for the Puketāpapa Local Board is 20 February 2020. The case for an urgent decision is made due to the need for local board feedback on the consultation to be made before the next scheduled business meeting.

If progressed, the urgent decision will be reported to the full local board at the next scheduled meeting on Thursday 20 February 2020.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve the feedback on ‘Transforming the resource management system: opportunities for change – issues and options document’, as contained in Attachment B

b) note that the Puketāpapa Local Board feedback will be attached verbatim to the Auckland Council submission.

Background
The issues and options document is part of a two-stage process to improve the resource management system. The first stage aims to reverse some changes made by the previous

Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on central government’s review of the resource management system.
government and to make some changes to freshwater management. Auckland Council made a submission on these changes which are currently before Parliament.

The second stage is a more comprehensive review of the resource management system which seeks to build on the government's work priorities across urban development, climate change, and freshwater, and wider projects being led by various external groups. The review's aim is to improve environmental outcomes and better enable urban and other development within environmental limits.

The review's scope includes:
- a primary focus on the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)
- looking at how the RMA interfaces with the Local Government Act 2002, Land Transport Management Act 2003 and Climate Change Response Act 2002
- the role of spatial planning
- consideration of the potential impact of and alignment with other relevant legislation (including the Building Act 2004 and Fisheries Act 1996), government programmes and regulatory reviews currently underway within the resource management system
- role of institutions, that is, consideration of which entities are best placed to perform resource management functions.

The panel's issues and options paper highlights three key challenges facing the resource management system:
- the natural environment is under significant pressure
- urban areas are struggling to keep pace with population growth
- rapid changes in rural land use have increased the pressure on ecosystems.

The panel has also identified the below three topics of interest:
- the natural and rural environment
- urban and built environment
- te ao Māori.

The paper identifies 14 key issues and invites submissions on options to address these.

Attachments

Attachment B - Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on 'Transforming the resource management system: opportunities for change – issues and options document'.
Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa
Date 13/01/2020

Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Harry Doig
Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board
Date 14/01/2020

Julie Fairey
Deputy Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board
Date 14/01/2020

Puketāpapa Local Board Resolution/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve the feedback on 'Transforming the resource management system: opportunities for change – issues and options document', as contained in Attachment B

b) note that the Puketāpapa Local Board feedback will be attached verbatim to the Auckland Council submission.

Harry Doig
Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board
Date 14/01/2020

Julie Fairey
Deputy Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board
Date 14/01/2020
Attachment A


This document can be viewed at:

Attachment B

14/01/2020

Relevance to the Puketāpapa Local Board

1. Local boards are a key part of the governance of Auckland Council. Local boards have responsibilities set out in the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009, specifically:
   - identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the content of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of the Auckland Council

2. Local boards provide important local input into region-wide strategies/plans and can also represent the views of their communities to other agencies, including those of central government.

Puketāpapa Local Board planning framework

3. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Changes to the has relevance to many of the outcomes and objectives in the 2017 Puketāpapa Local Board Plan.

4. Local Board Plan outcomes, and the objectives under these outcomes, that relate to the review of the Resource Management System, include:
   - Connected communities with a sense of belonging
     - Maori are recognised and affirmed as mana whenua
     - Our cultural diversity is valued and communities feel recognised and included
   - Improved wellbeing and safety
     - Neighbourhoods where people know each other and feel safe and valued
     - Provision and promotion of opportunities and services supporting healthy and active lifestyles
   - Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
     - A wide range of local businesses and social enterprises, creating meaningful employment and work experience
     - More job opportunities for local people, particularly those who face barriers to employment
   - Transport choices meet our varied travel needs
     - Affordable and frequent public transport options that are well linked and easy to access
     - More environmentally sensitive transport
   - Urban development meets community needs
     - Provision of more healthy and affordable housing
     - Well-planned, connected neighbourhoods that are appealing and sustainable
     - Lively town centres that are accessible, attractive and safe
Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Review of the Resource Management System:

We support changes to the resource management system that will improve outcomes for the environment, people and places. This includes prioritising a more holistic response to climate change (adaptation, mitigation, resilience) and high-quality urban environments. We also recognise the significance of Tītī o Waitangi and Te Ao Māori and the importance of the role of Māori as kaitiaki.

We suggest that improving the legal standing of locally developed spatial plans (as discussed in Issue 4) for localised areas on an as-needed basis will contribute to a more flexible resource management system that can adapt to changing issues and opportunities within local communities and environments, such as the ever-evolving challenges related to climate change discussed in Issue 5.

We would like local councils to receive clear direction from central government on how to produce spatial plans that can become mandated components of the wider resource management system. If this occurs, we believe that the aspirations of local communities can be better integrated into the system. Understanding and implementing the aspirations of local communities should be an important component of a system that improves outcomes for people, places and the environment.

In relation to the points in the Issues and Options Paper:

- **Issue 1 Purpose and Principles**: Largely still relevant especially the three pillars of sustainability: social, economic and environmental. However, in practice the outcomes have not addressed in an integrated way. *Localised spatial planning is an opportunity to look at these points holistically in manageable packages.*

- **Item 87 e**: Triggers in legislation to require spatial plans can help develop a finer resolution of planning for localised contexts beyond the general planning rules (eg Unitary Plans or District Plans)

- **Item 87 f**: Within the current context where cumulative adverse effects have led to the purpose of the RMA is not being achieved (as noted in Items 23 and 24), spatial planning should be widened in scope to address more holistic issues than housing and urban growth. *With sufficient consultation, spatial planning has the potential to address sustainable*
resource management challenges with buy in from the community, providing more access and equity to resource management processes.

- **Issue 13 Institutional Roles and Responsibilities**: Local councils, particularly at the Local Board level, are closest to their places and communities. As the Puketapapa Local Board, we are currently in the process of developing spatial plans, including an Integrated Area Plan around housing developments.
  
  Spatial planning as an exercise is valuable in its collaborative and focused approach and we would like to see similar or more successful models adopted in a consistent framework as a part of the resource management system.

- **Issue 9 Economic Instruments & Issue 12 Compliance, Monitoring and Enforcement**: There should be more severe consequences to breaking consent conditions – we have seen a general disregard for breaking conditions in our area. There is also an opportunity to utilise revenue from economic instruments and enforcement to fund locally beneficial activities such as spatial planning.
  
  Spatial planning is a resource heavy exercise in terms of cost, human resource and community capacity. If spatial plans were to be mandated by statute, then extra resources need to be allocated to allow for this work to take place.

We would also like the resource management system to allow for improved protection of trees, and groups of trees, that are of significance and/or in areas of low tree cover. This includes increased community involvement in the identification of trees for protection.

End.
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Relevance to the Puketāpapa Local Board

1. Local boards are a key part of the governance of Auckland Council. Local boards have responsibilities set out in the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009, specifically:
   - identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the content of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of the Auckland Council

2. Local boards provide important local input into region-wide strategies/plans and can also represent the views of their communities to other agencies, including those of central government.

Puketāpapa Local Board planning framework

3. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. Changes to the has relevance to many of the outcomes and objectives in the 2017 Puketāpapa Local Board Plan.

4. Local Board Plan outcomes, and the objectives under these outcomes, that relate to the review of the Resource Management System, include:
   - Connected communities with a sense of belonging
     - Maori are recognised and affirmed as mana whenua
     - Our cultural diversity is valued and communities feel recognised and included
   - Improved wellbeing and safety
     - Neighbourhoods where people know each other and feel safe and valued
     - Provision and promotion of opportunities and services supporting healthy and active lifestyles
   - Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
     - A wide range of local businesses and social enterprises, creating meaningful employment and work experience
     - More job opportunities for local people, particularly those who face barriers to employment
   - Transport choices meet our varied travel needs
     - Affordable and frequent public transport options that are well linked and easy to access
     - More environmentally sensitive transport
   - Urban development meets community needs
     - Provision of more healthy and affordable housing
     - Well-planned, connected neighbourhoods that are appealing and sustainable
     - Lively town centres that are accessible, attractive and safe
Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Review of the Resource Management System:

We support changes to the resource management system that will improve outcomes for the environment, people and places. This includes prioritising a more holistic response to climate change (adaptation, mitigation, resilience) and high-quality urban environments. We also recognise the significance of Tinti o Waitangi and Te Ao Māori and the importance of the role of Māori as kaitiaki.

We suggest that improving the legal standing of locally developed spatial plans (as discussed in Issue 4) for localised areas on an as-needed basis will contribute to a more flexible resource management system that can adapt to changing issues and opportunities within local communities and environments, such as the ever-evolving challenges related to climate change discussed in Issue 5.

We would like local councils to receive clear direction from central government on how to produce spatial plans that can become mandated components of the wider resource management system. If this occurs, we believe that the aspirations of local communities can be better integrated into the system. Understanding and implementing the aspirations of local communities should be an important component of a system that improves outcomes for people, places and the environment.

In relation to the points in the Issues and Options Paper:

- **Issue 1 Purpose and Principles:** Largely still relevant especially the three pillars of sustainability: social, economic and environmental. However, in practice the outcomes have not addressed in an integrated way.
  
  *Localised spatial planning is an opportunity to look at these points holistically in manageable packages.*

- **Item 87 e:** Triggers in legislation to require spatial plans can help develop a finer resolution of planning for localised contexts beyond the general planning rules (eg Unitary Plans or District Plans)

- **Item 87 f:** Within the current context where cumulative adverse effects have led to the purpose of the RMA is not being achieved (as noted in Items 23 and 24), spatial planning should be widened in scope to address more holistic issues than housing and urban growth.

  *With sufficient consultation, spatial planning has the potential to address sustainable*
resource management challenges with buy in from the community, providing more access and equity to resource management processes.

- **Issue 13 Institutional Roles and Responsibilities**: Local councils, particularly at the Local Board level, are closest to their places and communities. As the Puketāpapa Local Board, we are currently in the process of developing spatial plans, including an Integrated Area Plan around housing developments. Spatial planning as an exercise is valuable in its collaborative and focused approach and we would like to see similar or more successful models adopted in a consistent framework as a part of the resource management system.

- **Issue 9 Economic Instruments & Issue 12 Compliance, Monitoring and Enforcement**: There should be more severe consequences to breaking consent conditions – we have seen a general disregard for breaking conditions in our area. There is also an opportunity to utilise revenue from economic instruments and enforcement to fund locally beneficial activities such as spatial planning. Spatial planning is a resource heavy exercise in terms of cost, human resource and community capacity. If spatial plans were to be mandated by statute, then extra resources need to be allocated to allow for this work to take place.

We would also like the resource management system to allow for improved protection of trees, and groups of trees, that are of significance and/or in areas of low tree cover. This includes increased community involvement in the identification of trees for protection.

End.
Urgent Decision - Local Board feedback on the Urban Development Bill

File No.: CP2020/01122

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To inform the local board of a decision made under urgency to provide local board input into the Auckland Council’s submission on the Urban Development Bill.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. The Urban Development Bill was published on 13 December 2019 for public consultation with submissions open until 14 February 2020.

3. This bill follows on from the Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities Bill, which disestablished Housing New Zealand and set up a new Crown Entity: Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities.

4. The Urban Development Bill sets out the functions, powers, rights and duties of Kāinga Ora to enable it to undertake urban development functions. A summary of the powers available to Kāinga Ora is attached to this report (Attachment A).

5. Local boards were asked to provide input into Auckland Council’s submission on this consultation by 7 February 2020. This input will be appended to the Auckland Council submission.

6. The Puketāpapa Local Board provided feedback on 4 February 2020 by utilising the urgent decision-making process approved by the local board on 5 December 2019 (resolution number PKTPP/2019/239).

7. The urgent decision-making process enables the local board to make decisions to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.

8. This decision was made under urgency as the next scheduled local board business meeting on 20 February 2020 is after the due date for local board input into the council submission.

9. This decision was authorised by the relationship manager, local board chair and deputy chair through the authorisation memo attached to this report (Attachment B). This memo provides more information on the bill and includes the local board’s formalised feedback.

10. For ease of reference, the local board’s formalised feedback has also been provided as Attachment C of this report.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive the local board feedback on the Urban Development Bill, as approved under urgency on 4 February 2020 (Attachment C of this agenda report).
Ngā tāpirihanga
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Summary of Powers available to Kāinga Ora

The Urban Development Bill gives Kāinga Ora access to a toolbox of development powers that it can use when undertaking specified development projects. Each power is designed to address a specific barrier to development. Not all powers will be needed by every project, and the development plan will set out which ones are needed to progress each specified development project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development power category</th>
<th>Description of power</th>
<th>Act currently enabling this power</th>
<th>Agency currently responsible for this power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>● Scope potential works including: ○ Having access to private property for the purposes of surveying ○ Require the Local Authority to provide information or charge a fee to the Local Authority to retain that information for themselves. ○ Construct, remove, amend and replace ‘3-waters’ and drainage infrastructure ○ Construct, stop, amend and re-aligning roads ○ Create, amend, remove car parking areas, public transport facilities and ancillary infrastructure ○ Transfer ownership and operation of new infrastructure to a local authority (but not debt) ○ Ability to request a Local Authority to make, amend or suspend a bylaw for a defined area and time period, to support development activities. Approval of the request may not be unreasonably withheld.</td>
<td>Local Government Act 2002 Government Reading Powers Act 1989 Public Works Act 1981 Land Transport Management Act 2013</td>
<td>Department of Internal Affairs Ministry of Transport New Zealand Land Transport Agency Land Information New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and consenting</td>
<td>● Override, add to, or suspend provisions in the district plan, regional plan, or regional policy statement ● Issue resource consents (City/District level – land use and subdivision consents) ● Shortened resource consent process ● Act as a requiring authority under the RMA to create designation inside and outside of the project area ● Remove, change or replace designations for infrastructure within a project area ● Veto or amend the applications of resource consents or plan changes in the project area.</td>
<td>Resource Management Act 1991</td>
<td>Ministry for the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>● Set and assess targeted rates within a specified development project area ● Require development contributions ● Require betterment payments ● Require infrastructure and administrative charges</td>
<td>Local Government (Rating Act) 2002 Local Government Act 2002 Resource Management Act 1991</td>
<td>Department of Internal Affairs Ministry for the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land acquisition and transfer</td>
<td>● Exchanging, revoking or reconfiguring some types of reserves ● Creating, classifying and vesting of reserves ● Transferring and setting apart of Crown owned land ● Compulsory acquisition of private land ● Ability to transfer ownership of land to a developer to deliver urban development works. This may be necessary when a developer needs to own the land to be able to access development finance. ● Ability to buy, sell or hold land in own name ● Transfer of former Māori land</td>
<td>Reserves Act 1977 Public Works Act 1981 Crown Entities Act 2004 Housing Act 1955</td>
<td>Department of Conservation Land Information New Zealand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on:
The Ministry of Housing and Urban Development’s
Urban Development Bill
4 February 2020

Context
1. The Ministry of Housing and Urban Development is seeking feedback on Urban Development Bill. The due date for submissions on the Bill is Friday 14 February.
2. This feedback from the Puketapapa Local Board will be made by Urgent Decision, by the Chair and Deputy of the board, and by appended to the Council submission.

Relevance to the Local board
3. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.
4. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The Urban Development Bill has relevance to all the outcomes in the 2017 Puketapapa Local Board Plan, in particular urban development.
   - Connected communities with a sense of belonging
   - Improved wellbeing and safety
   - Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
   - Transport choices meet our varied travel needs
   - Urban development meets community needs
   - Vibrant and popular parks and facilities
   - Treasured and enhanced natural environment

Local board submission:
5. Puketapapa Local Board:
   a) supports the assessment and views expressed in the Auckland Council submission on the Urban Development Bill
   b) endorses the importance of local government as a collaborator/partner with Kāinga Ora, rather than a stakeholder
   c) acknowledges the collaborative working relationship it has had with Homes Land Community since its creation. The local board meets regularly with the agency to provide advice and support. This has not only benefited the board and Kāinga Ora, but improved community outcomes. For many years the board has allocated budgets to projects where Council staff work alongside HLC/ Kāinga Ora to achieve positive
community outcomes. The board looks forward to an ongoing relationship with Kāinga Ora.

d) highlights the following points in relation to implementation:

- the urban scale - any specified development opportunity should be assessed as a part of the wider urban fabric. It needs to fit within existing plans, such as the Unitary Plan and local spatial plans. This should be a requirement in the Act.
- design expertise - Project Governance Body and Independent Hearing Panels should have suitable representation by people with expertise in urban and building design. Without this, there is potential for a lost opportunity for interpreting and critiquing the scheme as it evolves. By broadening opportunities for feedback, beyond planners and project managers, there can be more holistic urban design outcomes.

End.
Memo

29 January 2019

To: Nina Siers, Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa Local Board
   Harry Doig, Chair, Puketāpapa Local Board
   Julie Fairey, Deputy Chair, Puketāpapa Local Board

From: Mary Hay, Senior Advisor, Puketāpapa Local Board

Subject: Urgent decision request: Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Urban Development Bill

Purpose
1. The purpose of this memo is, initially, to seek the local board relationship manager’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

2. The decision required, and the supporting report, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the report will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency
3. The Urban Development Bill sets out the functions, powers, rights and duties of the Crown entity, Kāinga Ora-Homes and Communities (Kāinga Ora) to enable it to undertake its urban development functions.

4. Submissions on the Bill are currently open until Friday 14 February. Local board feedback will be appended to the Auckland Council submission, if provided by Friday 7 February.

5. The next Puketāpapa Local Board business meeting is on 20 February 2020. Therefore, it is necessary to seek an urgent decision to formalise this local board feedback.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)
6. Provide feedback on the Urban Development Bill, it time for 7 February deadline.

Background
7. The Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities Bill established Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities as a new Crown entity on 1 October 2019 by:
   - disestablishing Housing New Zealand Corporation (HNZC) and Homes Land Community (HLC)
   - putting HNZC and HLC’s assets into Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities
   - repealing the Housing Corporation Act 1974
   - putting some of the functions and assets related to KiwiBuild that currently sit in the Ministry for Housing and Urban Development into Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities.

8. Kāinga Ora has two key functions; being a public housing landlord and leading and coordinating urban development. The entity’s objective is to “contribute to sustainable, inclusive and thriving communities that:
• provide people with good quality, affordable housing choices that meet diverse needs; and
• support good access to jobs, amenities and services; and
• otherwise sustain or enhance the overall economic, social, environmental and cultural
  wellbeing of current and future generations."

9. The Urban Development Bill sets out the functions, powers, rights and duties of the Kāinga Ora to enable it to undertake its urban development functions. It gives Kāinga Ora access to a ‘tool box’ of development powers. Most of these powers can only be used within a specified development project but some are also available for use in business as usual developments that Kāinga Ora undertakes. Each of the powers has been designed to address a specific barrier to development. Not all powers will be needed by every project.

10. Development powers are set out under the following categories;
• Infrastructure – scope potential works, three waters and drainage infrastructure, roading, 
  parking, public transport, transfer of ownership, bylaw powers
• Planning and Consenting – amendments to district plan, regional plan or regional policy 
  statement, issue consents, shortened consent process, requiring authority powers, veto or 
  amend applications of resource consents or plan changes in the project area
• Funding – Set and asses targeted rates, require development contributions, require 
  betterment payments, require infrastructure and administrative charges
• Land Acquisition and Transfer – exchange, revoke, reconfigure some reserves, create, 
  classify and vest reserves, transfer and set apart Crown owned land, acquire private land, 
  transfer of ownership, buy, sell and hold land in own name, transfer of former Māori land.

are two summaries of the Bill including a one page summary from the Ministry of Housing and 
Urban Development and a summary of the Bill and considerations for local government by 
DLA Piper (see Attachments 1 and 2).

**Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process**

Signed by Nina Siers  
Relationship Manager, Puketāpapa Local Board  
Date 29.1.2020

**Approval to use the urgent decision-making process**

Harry Doig  
Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board  
Date 8.4.2020

Julie Fairey  
Deputy Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board  
Date 4.2.20
Puketāpapa Local Board
20 February 2020

Puketāpapa Local board Resolution/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
1. Approve the Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development’s Urban Development Bill in Attachment 3.

Harry Doig
Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board

Date 04/02/2020

Julie Fairey
Deputy Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board

Date 4/2/20

Attachment 1: Ministry of Housing and Urban Development - Summary of Powers
Attachment 2: DLA Piper – Summary of the Urban Development Bill
Attachment 3: Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development’s Urban Development Bill
### Summary of Powers available to Kāinga Ora

The Urban Development Bill gives Kāinga Ora access to a toolbox of development powers that it can use when undertaking specified development projects. Each power is designed to address a specific barrier to development. Not all powers will be needed by every project, and the development plan will set out which ones are needed to progress each specified development project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development power category</th>
<th>Description of power</th>
<th>Act currently enabling this power</th>
<th>Agency currently responsible for this power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Infrastructure**         | Scope potential works including:  
  - Having access to private property for the purposes of surveying  
  - Require the Local Authority to provide information or change a fee to the Local Authority to obtain that information for themselves  
  - Construct, remove, amend and replace ‘3-waters’ and drainage infrastructure  
  - Construct, stop, amend and re-aligning roads  
  - Create, amend, remove carparking areas, public transport facilities and ancillary infrastructure  
  - Transfer ownership and operation of new infrastructure to a local authority (but not done)  
  - Ability to request a Local Authority to make, amend or suspend a bylaw for a defined area and time period, to support development activities. Approval of the request may not be unreasonably withheld. | Local Government Act 2002  
Government Reading Powers Act 1989  
Public Works Act 1991  
Land Transport Management Act 2013 | Department of Internal Affairs  
Ministry of Transport  
New Zealand Land Transport Agency  
Land Information New Zealand |
| **Planning and consenting**| Override, add to, or suspend provisions in the district plan, regional plan, or regional policy statement  
Issue resource consents (City/Region level – land use and subdivision consents)  
Shortened resource consent process  
Act as a requiring authority under the RMA to create designation inside and outside of the project area  
Remove, change or replace designations for infrastructure within a project area  
Veto or amend the applications of resource consents or plan changes in the project area. | Resource Management Act 1991 | Ministry for the Environment |
| **Funding**                | Set and assess targeted rates within a specified development project area  
Require development contributions  
Require betterment payments  
Require infrastructure and administrative charges | Local Government Rating Act 2002  
Local Government Act 2002  
Resource Management Act 1991 | Department of Internal Affairs  
Ministry for the Environment |
| **Land acquisition and transfer** | Exchanging, revoking or reconfiguring some types of reserves  
Creating, classifying and vesting of reserves  
Transferring and setting apart of Crown owned land  
Compulsory acquisition of private land  
Ability to transfer ownership of land to a developer to deliver urban development works. This may be necessary when a developer needs to own the land to be able to access development finance.  
Ability to buy, sell or hold land in own name  
Transfer of former Māori land | Reserves Act 1977  
Public Works Act 1991  
Crown Entities Act 2004  
Housing Act 1955 | Department of Conservation  
Land Information New Zealand |
Urban Development Bill

DLA Piper has prepared this article as a summary of the content of the new Urban Development Bill. It was introduced on 5 December 2019, had its First Reading on 10 December 2019 and has now been referred to the Environment Committee. Submissions are due on 14 February 2020.

We have focussed on the new process proposed for urban development and the land acquisition powers. Of note, ‘urban development’ is defined to include housing for any purpose, development and renewal of urban environments (whether or not this includes housing) and development of related commercial, industrial, community or other amenities, infrastructure, facilities, services or works. We have then identified some of the implications for territorial authorities, regional councils and Māori.

This is a significant piece of legislation that gives central government significant powers in relation to urban development and creates a ‘bespoke’ resource management process for specified development projects.

**General process for development**

We have set out in the Appendix a step by step diagram that shows the process for proceeding with a development under this Bill which incorporates the following steps:

- Selection of an urban development project
- Assessment of an urban development project
- Preparation of a project assessment report
- Decision on whether to establish a specified development project
- Preparation of a draft development plan
- Decision on draft development plan
- Effect of a development plan becoming operative

**Land acquisition powers**

The Bill gives Kāinga Ora the power to acquire land for ‘specified works’ that it is initiating, facilitating or undertaking. ‘Specified works’ are a work for the purpose of urban development and which includes 1 or more of the following:

- housing
- urban renewal
- a transport network
- water, energy, or telecommunications infrastructure
- a community facility
- a facility for emergency services
- a waste disposal or recycling facility
- a reserve or other public space
- a crematorium or cemetery (including urupā)
- a work to avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of natural hazards or climate change
- the reinstatement elsewhere of a work located on land that is set apart, acquired, or taken pursuant to these provisions of the Bill
- any other work that is a public work within the meaning of section 2 of the Public Works Act 1981

However, where the work is to be used for a commercial or industrial purpose, ‘specified work’ is limited to where it is for community facilities, it supports the development of housing or it involves urban renewal.
The land that can be acquired for a specified work falls into three main categories:

1. Land containing an existing public work
2. Crown land or a part of the common marine and coastal area
3. Private land and other land

In each case Kāinga Ora may make a request to the Minister of Land Information for the transfer of land whether or not it intends to undertake the development itself or to transfer the land for the purposes of development.

Before making the request, the responsible Minister must consult the Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations (if the land is potentially needed for any future settlements) and obtain the consent of the Minister of Transport or the Minister of Conservation (as appropriate) if the request is to set apart a part of the common marine and coastal area (except where a development plan already provides for the setting apart).

For existing public works, the Minister of Land Information may, at Kāinga Ora’s request, transfer an existing public work and transfer, acquire or take the land on which the public work is located. The transfer will be in accordance with the provisions for acquiring Crown land or other land where the land is owned by a local authority.

Where Crown land or part of the common marine and coastal area is being acquired the Minister may declare that land set apart for the specified work by notice in the Gazette.

Where private or other land is being acquired the Minister must do so in accordance with Part 2 of the Public Works Act 1981, which applies as if the specified work were a government work. The Minister must publish a notice in the Gazette, twice give public notification and serve a notice on the owner and persons with a registered interest in the land.

Where private or other land is acquired then compensation may be claimed in accordance with Part 5 of the Public Works Act 1981 or alternatively the person entitled to compensation can agree the compensation, of any amount and in any form, in writing with Kāinga Ora.

Once acquired, the land will vest in fee simple with Kāinga Ora, rather than with the Crown. The Record of Title for the land must note the specified work for which the land is held.

Kāinga Ora has the power to transfer land taken for specified work to developers. However, any transfer is subject to preconditions relating to a development agreement, consultation requirements, and compliance with particular requirements if the land is former Māori land or right of first refusal land.

The Bill places restrictions on the acquisition of land defined as ‘protected land’ (or in fact, the exercise of any power in the Bill in relation to this land) which is land that is ‘absolutely protected from acquisition and development’. That land is nature and scenic reserves, national parks, conservation areas, wildlife sanctuaries, refuges or management reserves, Māori customary land, Māori reserves and reservations, any parts of the common marine and coastal area in which customary marine title or protected customary rights have been recognised, land that is a natural feature that has been declared by an Act to be a legal entity or person and the maunga listed in the Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act.

Other categories of land are protected from compulsory acquisition but may be developed using powers under the Bill if the owners of the land provide their prior consent. This includes Māori freehold land, certain types of general land held by Māori, land held by a post-settlement governance entity and land held by or on behalf of an iwi or hapū if the land was transferred with the intention of returning the land to the holders of mana whenua. The Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 (MACA Act), is to prevail over the Bill in the event of any inconsistency; however no specific protection is provided in the Bill in respect of any area that is subject to pending proceedings under the MACA Act. The Bill is also subject to any Treaty Settlement Act or deed, and Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.

Implications for territorial authorities

The specified development project assessment process (which is set out in detail in the Appendix) and short statutory timeframes for a territorial authority to respond to a draft report, highlight the importance of early engagement between Kāinga Ora and territorial authorities and the importance of dialogue on an ongoing basis.

The implications of a specified development project being established are that in the transitional period (which starts once the development project is established by Order In Council and generally ends when the project’s development plan becomes operative):

- The planning instruments that apply in a project area continue to apply.
- A local authority that has functions in respect of activities to be undertaken in a project area will continue to be the consent authority, unless it decides to transfer consenting functions under the RMA to Kāinga Ora.
- When preparing or changing a plan that would apply in the relevant project area, the territorial authority must have regard to the project area and project objectives to the extent that their content has a bearing on resource management issues in the district.
When a plan change is being prepared, Kāinga Ora has the power to decide that the plan change or any part of it will not apply in the project area and to give written notice to the local authority of that decision. Kāinga Ora may only do so if it considers that it is reasonably necessary to make that decision in order to achieve the project objectives for the relevant specified development project. There is a right of appeal to the High Court on matters of law only in relation to the Kāinga Ora decision.

During the transitional period, for an activity within the project area, if a resource consent application or application to change conditions is received for within the project area, then before consent may be granted, or a condition changed, it must give the application and certain information to Kāinga Ora for a decision from it. The application cannot be granted if Kāinga Ora decides it should be declined and conditions cannot be imposed that are inconsistent with that decision. There is a right of objection in relation to the Kāinga Ora decision.

Once a development plan for a specified development project is notified as taking effect there are significant implications for a territorial authority. For example:

- Kāinga Ora becomes the consent authority under the RMA for all resource consent applications in the project area, if a territorial authority would otherwise be the consent authority. The specific steps set out in the Bill apply to the processing of the application.

- By contrast, this is not the case if a regional council, the Minister for the Environment or the Environmental Protection Authority would be the consent authority. Consent authority status remains with those entities, albeit they will need to follow the specific steps set out in the Bill that apply to the processing of the application.

- Kāinga Ora also has monitoring and enforcement functions in a project area for resource consents which it grants, as well as for activities specified as permitted activities in the district plan or development plan.

- A designation in the project area, other than a designation for a defence area or nationally significant infrastructure, ceases to apply in the project area and only designations included in the development plan have effect in the project area.

- Kāinga Ora becomes the territorial authority for Notices of Requirement for designations within the project area.

As well as replacing many of their functions in project areas, territorial authorities need to be aware that the Bill sets out statutory tests for specified development projects, which are different to those under the RMA. This could result in different environmental outcomes to those under the RMA.

For example, similar to Part 2 of the RMA, clause 5 of the Bill sets out specified principles for specified development projects. In promoting sustainable management of natural and physical resources the matters of national importance in Section 6 of the RMA must be recognised and provided for and particular regard must be had to the other matters in Section 7 of the RMA. However, clause 5 of the Bill specifies that it is to be recognised that amenity values may change. The clause 5 principles, coupled with the requirement for development plans to be consistent with the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and other national policy statements as opposed to the requirement to give effect to those instruments under the RMA, could result in a lesser level of amenity and environmental protection for a project area and the surrounding environment.

Territorial authorities also need to be aware that:

- Kāinga Ora may have noxious powers for roads within a project area and it has a range of powers to fund specified development projects. These include the power to set rates, if authorised by the Governor General and the power to require development contributions from persons undertaking developments.

- It will need to include in the electronic versions of its planning instruments a map showing the project area and advice on where to access the relevant development plan.

- There are powers given to Kāinga Ora in relation to proposing amendments to existing bylaws, revoking existing bylaws and making new ones within a specified development project area, in relation to roads and non-road infrastructure that connects or services non-road infrastructure.

Implications for regional councils

The implications for regional councils are more limited, but potentially still significant:

- It remains the consent authority within specified development project area during both the transitional period and once a specified development project becomes operative, unless it chooses to transfer consenting functions to Kāinga Ora in the project area.

- During the transitional period, if a resource consent application or application to change conditions is received for within the project area, then before consent may be granted, or a condition changed, it must give the application and certain information to Kāinga Ora for a decision from it. The application cannot be granted if Kāinga Ora decides it should be declined and conditions cannot be imposed that are inconsistent with that decision. There is a right of objection in relation to the Kāinga Ora decision.

- When preparing or changing a plan that would apply in the relevant project area, the regional council must have regard to the project area and project objectives to the extent that their
content has a bearing on resource management issues in the region.

- When a plan change is being prepared, Kāinga Ora has the power to decide that the plan change or any part of it will not apply in the project area and to give written notice to the local authority of that decision. Kāinga Ora may only do so if it considers that it is reasonably necessary to make that decision in order to achieve the project objectives for the relevant specified development project. There is a right of appeal to the High Court on matters of law only in relation to the Kāinga Ora decision.

- Once a development plan for a specified development project is operative the specific steps set out in the Bill apply to the processing of resource consent applications.

- There are amendments to regional council's functions where there are joint hearings.

- It will need to include in the electronic versions of its planning instruments a map showing the project area and advice on where to access the relevant development plan.

Implications for Māori

The Bill complies with the Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Act 2019. A function of that Act is to understand, support, and enable the aspirations of Māori in relation to urban development. It also provides that one of the operating principles of Kāinga Ora is that it will partner and engage early and meaningfully with Māori communities when undertaking urban development. The Bill sets out in more detail the obligations of Kāinga Ora to Māori in urban development.

Clause 4 of the Bill states that in achieving the purpose of the Bill, all persons performing functions or exercising powers under it must ‘take into account’ the principles of Treaty, imposing a legal duty on decision-makers to consider the Treaty principles in the same way as under the RMA. The principles of the Treaty are woven throughout the Bill.

As part of the specified development project process, Kāinga Ora will be required to engage with Māori entities and the former owners of, and the hapū associated with, any former Māori land within a proposed project area, when assessing a proposal to establish a specified development project. This includes seeking expressions of interest from Māori entities to develop, as part of the project, any land within the project area in which they have an interest. It is intended that this will provide an opportunity for Māori to shape the project area and project objectives. Notably, in seeking engagement with Māori, Kāinga Ora must allow adequate time for engagement, taking into account kāinga Māori.

The Bill may create opportunities for Māori developers. The Bill sets out a new approach to rights of first refusal (RFRs), designed to support Māori aspirations in urban development and to enable participation in development opportunities. Where Kāinga Ora wishes to undertake an urban development project on RFR land it holds or controls, it would be required to engage with the RFR holder and offer the opportunity to undertake the development on specified terms. A development may not proceed unless the RFR holder agrees to participate in the development on those or other terms or to the development going ahead without its involvement. In such a case, the RFR would continue to apply, meaning the RFR holder will (subject to any offer back requirements) be off ered the first opportunity to purchase the land and improvements if they are sold.

Requiring authorities

As part of its planning and consent powers under the Bill, Kāinga Ora will have the ability to act as a requiring authority under the RMA to create designations inside and outside of project areas. Kāinga Ora is recognised as a requiring authority within a project area, as if it were a network utility operator, subject to the conditions in clause 137(3) being met. The conditions that apply are that the activity:

- is necessary for, or related to, the project objectives for a specified development project, and
- is an activity in which Kāinga Ora:
  - is in a significant contractual relationship with the developer, operator, or service provider; and
  - has a direct financial interest in the outcome.

It may also operate as a requiring authority outside the project area if the conditions in clause 137(4) are met. Namely that the activity is one that distributes water for supply, including irrigation; operates a drainage or sewage system, constructs or operates a road or a railway line and is intended to connect to or support, the development of a specified development project and is necessary for or related to achieving the project objectives for a specific development project and is work in which Kāinga Ora is in a significant contractual relationship with the developer, operator, or service provider, and has a direct financial interest in the outcome.

Please contact Kerry Anderson or any of the team if you would like to discuss the Bill, its implications or the submission process in further detail.

Submissions close on 16 February 2020.
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Appendix - process for specified development projects

Urban development project selected by:
- Kāinga Ora assesses the project, or
- Joint Ministers direct Kāinga Ora to assess the project
- Can be a potential project or one already being carried out

‘Key features’ of specified development project are identified by Kāinga Ora, which must be:
- Project objectives (key outcomes and outputs that the project aims to deliver)
- Defined project area (which does not need to be contiguous)
- Project governance body

Kāinga Ora assesses project:
- Identifies constraints and opportunities listed in clause 34
- Seeks engagement from Māori and identified stakeholders and expressions of interest from Māori in developing any land within the project area
- Considers the identified constraints and opportunities, feedback from engagement and anything else it considers relevant
- Refines ‘key features’ if necessary
- Publicly notifies the assessment and considers any feedback received
- Determines whether to recommend the project be established and if so, the key features to recommend

Kāinga Ora prepares project assessment report:
If recommending not establishing project the report must ‘broadly’ describe and assess project
If recommending it is established, then report must include:
- Summary of the project assessment
- Recommendation that it is established, together with recommended key features
- Concept plan showing general layout once project is delivered
- Confirmation from Minister of Conservation (If conservation-related area included)
- If governance body is not Kāinga Ora, confirmation that the governance body has agreed to be appointed
- Responses from each relevant territorial authority (they are required to indicate whether they support it and any conditions)

Joint Ministers make a decision whether to establish specified development project (discretionary, even if the criteria are met):
The following criteria apply to the decision:
- Appropriate for a project to be established with the key features recommended (having regard to clauses 3-5)
- Project objectives are consistent with the purpose and principles in clauses 3-5 and consistent with national directions under the RMA
- The project area contains only land that is generally suitable for urban development and if it contains conservation-related land, that the Minister of Conservation has provided approval
- The boundaries of the project area are clearly defined and identifiable
- If the governance body is not Kāinga Ora, be satisfied that the governance body has agreed to be appointed
- That engagement was appropriate
- That there is general support from relevant territorial authorities or the project is in the national interest
If joint Ministers decide to establish, an Order in Council is issued

Kāinga Ora prepares a draft development plan

For the purpose of preparing, amending or reviewing a development plan, Kāinga Ora has the following functions:

- Establishing, implementing and reviewing the objectives of any planning instrument and the policies, rules and methods to achieve the project objectives
- Controlling the actual or potential effects of the use development and protection of land to achieve the project objectives, ensure there is sufficient land for residential and business development, avoid or mitigate risks from natural hazards and to develop or provide for development of infrastructure.
- Ensuring that there are rules to control the emission of noise and mitigate its effects, about any actual and potential effects of activities in relation to the surface water in rivers and lakes and to control subdivision.

It must have regard to:

- Regional policy statements, regional plans and district plans
- Regional land transport plans
- Long term plans of local authorities
- Relevant planning documents recognised by an iwi authority and lodged with a TA
- Emissions reduction plan or national adaptation plan

It must take into account:

- Matters set out in section 101(3)(a) and (b) of the LGA 2002 if it considering including development contributions, targeted rates, or an administrative charge as a funding source
- A development plan must not be inconsistent with an NPS, NZCPS, NES or other regulations, the National Planning Standards and any national land transport policy

It must consult with:

- Owners and occupiers of land within the project area
- Maori and key stakeholders set out in clause 35(2) and (3)
- Reserve administrators
- Members of a standing committee in the project area, who were appointed under iwi participation legislation (it must also have particular regard to any comment given)
- Any Minister of the Crown affected

It may choose to consult with any other person who has an interest.

The development plan must enable the project objectives to be achieved and make provision for any Treaty settlement obligations applying in the project area.

The draft development plan must:

- Include a structure plan
- Include conditions, if imposed by the Minister of Conservation, on the use of a specified reserve or the coastal marine area, acquisition of land

Transitional period commences

Local authorities are notified of the establishment order and specified development projects are published on the internet.

The transitional period means that plan changes, new resource consent applications and changes or cancellations of conditions of existing resource consent in the project area are subject to the powers and process changes in the Bill and other requirements, as follows:

- A map of the project area must be included in all electronic versions of planning instruments, without using a Schedule 1 process
- Consenting functions can be transferred to Kāinga Ora
- During any district or regional plan change the Council must have regard to the project area and relevant project objectives
- Any plan change must be notified to Kāinga Ora 20 working days prior to approval/adoption of the plan change
- Where a plan change applies to a project area, Kāinga Ora can decide that the plan change will not apply to the project area, provided notice is provided within 15 working days of receiving notice of the proposed plan change. This decision can be appealed to the High Court on matters of law only
- Any resource consent application for an activity within the project area or change to conditions
subject to a conservation interest and the use of any other land integral to those conditions

- Describe any relevant participation arrangement or redress under any iwi participation legislation
- Set out any modifications to be made to objectives, policies, methods and rules in planning instruments to enable the project objectives to be achieved
- Set out any applicable statement of resource management issues of significance to a Māori entity within the district or region as required by iwi participation legislation
- Set out the rules for public notification of a controlled or restricted discretionary activity (unless the evaluation report justifies not doing so)
- Set out any designations that apply in the project area
- State whether Kāinga Ora has or does not have the roading powers for the project, the relevant date from which it has those powers, the extent of non-roading infrastructure and whether bylaw changes are proposed
- Set out the sources of funding and if they include a development contribution or targeted rate, administrative charges the draft policies/details of those mechanisms, including any remissions
- Identify any material incorporated by reference

Kāinga Ora prepares supporting documents to the draft development plan:

An evaluation report that addresses:

- Whether the proposals in the draft development plan are the most appropriate way to achieve the project objectives
- Costs and benefits (quantified, if practicable)
- The risk of acting or not acting
- Consistency with a relevant NES
- Summaries of the responses from key stakeholders
- Information on specific land within the project area
- How environmental constraints and opportunities will be managed, a broad assessment of the likely effects and if relevant, how heritage values have been provided for

An infrastructure statement that:

- Describes the infrastructure proposed and the effect of the proposed infrastructure on existing infrastructure
- States whether Kāinga Ora has entered into any binding agreements with any infrastructure provider
- Discloses whether Kāinga Ora proposes to construct new infrastructure on land not controlled by Kāinga Ora and whether it has obtained the consent of the owner of that land
- States where further information will be available about the progress of the construction of the proposed infrastructure
- Identifies the expected total costs of construction of the proposed infrastructure

application must be provided by the Council to Kāinga Ora

- Kāinga Ora can then decline to grant all or part of the consent or modify conditions of the consent. The applicant or consent holder may object to this decision
Preconditions to public notification of the draft development plan

Kāinga Ora must be satisfied the requirements of clauses 62-74 have been met, and it must:

- Advise the responsible Minister and the Ministers for the Environment, Māori Development, Māori Crown Relations—Te Arawhiti and Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations of the draft development plan
- Have confirmation from the Minister for Māori Crown Relations—Te Arawhiti that any participation arrangement or redress having effect in all or part of the project area has been identified in the draft development plan; and the draft development plan provides adequately for those matters
- If any Māori land is included in a project area, have confirmation from the Minister for Māori Development that the plan is consistent with the principles set out in the Preamble to Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993

It must also have:

- Approval from the Minister of Conservation for any provisions which suspend or override a regional coastal plan
- Land owner agreement to any revocation or cancellation of conservation interest in land that is not owned by Kāinga Ora
- If a coastal marine area, reserve, or land subject to conservation interest is affected, approvals are required from the Minister of Conservation

Kāinga Ora publicly notifies the draft development plan and calls for submissions and then considers and makes recommendations on them to the IHP

Minister appoints IHP to consider draft development plan and submissions and provide recommendations to the Minister

IHP must consider and provide recommendations with 9 months of the close of submission to the Minister. It must have regard to:

- All information provided by Kāinga Ora
- Any information obtained by the IHP in response to an information request
- The purpose and principles of the Act in clauses 3-5
- Any relevant matters in a NPS, NZCPS, NES, national planning standards, regulations, any national land transport policy, regional policy statements, regional plans, district plans, regional land transport plans, regional public transport plans, long term plans, Urban Design Protocol (2003), any relevant planning documents recognised by an Iwi authority and emissions reduction plan or national adaptation plan
- The project objectives

Kāinga Ora provides advice to the Minister on IHP’s recommendations

Minister decides on development plan and must consider the planning documents referred to above that the IHP must consider and give reasons for decision
If Minister accepts the development plan, notified as operative in the Gazette

Appeals to High Court on questions of law only

Effect of development plan becoming operative:

From the date of notification Kāinga Ora has the following powers:

- It is the consent authority for resource consent applications and it must follow the decision making framework in the Bill (see below)
- It is the territorial authority for notices of requirements for designations in the project area
- Only designations included in the development plan have effect in the project area - any other one ceases to apply
- It can set apart reserves or create new ones and can also revoke or cancel conservation interests
- It can exercise infrastructure powers and may use funding mechanisms

Planning Instruments continue to apply in the project area, unless overridden by, added to, or suspended by the development plan. In the event of inconsistency, the development plan prevails. However, the development plan does not override or have any effect on an IW planning document.

Kāinga Ora also takes on the functions of monitoring, enforcing and promoting compliance in the in the project area for resource consents granted by Kāinga Ora, and permitted activities in the district plan or development plan. Kāinga Ora may authorise enforcement officers.

Review of development plan

Kāinga Ora may review a development plan at any time.

A development plan must be reviewed not later than 10 years after notification, unless a different time period is specified in the development plan

Amendment of a development plan

Kāinga Ora may amend a development plan, provided the appropriate process is followed, and the amendment is required to achieve the project objectives.

A private plan change may be made to request the way in which a planning instrument is modified by a development plan, subject to limitations, including that the request must be two years after the development plan became operative and must be made in writing and include the purpose and reason for the change, together with an evaluation report.

The resource consent decision making framework:

The Bill includes a process that:

- addresses the form of the application and what amounts to a complete application
- applies certain provisions of the RMA (sections 88A-88E, 89, 89A, 91, 91A-91C, 92, 92A and 92B) and provisions relating to making submissions, hearings, conditions and commencement
- applies the RMA notification provisions, unless the development plan either requires or precludes notification
- requires a decision within 10 working of lodgement on non-notified consents that are controlled or restricted discretionary land use or subdivision activities and within 20 working days for all other application. For notified applications, it is within 15 working days of the hearing or 20 working days after the close of submissions if no hearing
- provides for appeals to the Environment Court, as if it were an appeal under section 120 of the RMA
Feedback on:
The Ministry of Housing and Urban Development’s
Urban Development Bill
4 February 2020

Context
1. The Ministry of Housing and Urban Development is seeking feedback on Urban Development Bill. The due date for submissions on the Bill is Friday 14 February.
2. This feedback from the Puketāpapa Local Board will be made by Urgent Decision, by the Chair and Deputy of the board, and by appended to the Council submission.

Relevance to the Local board
3. Local boards are responsible for decision-making on local issues, activities and services and providing input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local boards also have a role in representing the views of their communities on issues of local importance.
4. Every three years local boards set their strategic direction through a local board plan. The Urban Development Bill has relevance to all the outcomes in the 2017 Puketāpapa Local Board Plan, in particular urban development.
   - Connected communities with a sense of belonging
   - Improved wellbeing and safety
   - Thriving local economy and good job opportunities
   - Transport choices meet our varied travel needs
   - Urban development meets community needs
   - Vibrant and popular parks and facilities
   - Treasured and enhanced natural environment

Local board submission:
5. Puketāpapa Local Board:
   a) supports the assessment and views expressed in the Auckland Council submission on the Urban Development Bill
   b) endorses the importance of local government as a collaborator/partner with Kāinga Ora, rather than a stakeholder
   c) acknowledges the collaborative working relationship it has had with Homes Land Community since its creation. The local board meets regularly with the agency to provide advice and support. This has not only benefited the board and Kāinga Ora, but improved community outcomes. For many years the board has allocated budgets to projects where Council staff work alongside HLC/ Kāinga Ora to achieve positive
community outcomes. The board looks forward to an ongoing relationship with Kāinga Ora.

i. Seeks that this kind of collaboration with local government is a requirement of the Act

ii. Offers to provide further information about the details of the board’s work with Kāinga Ora, if that would assist in the development of the Bill

d) highlights the following points in relation to implementation:

- the urban scale - any specified development opportunity should be assessed as a part of the wider urban fabric. It needs to fit within existing plans, such as the Unitary Plan and local spatial plans. This should be a requirement in the Act.
- design expertise - Project Governance Body and Independent Hearing Panels should have suitable representation by people with expertise in urban and building design. Without this, there is potential for a lost opportunity for interpreting and critiquing the scheme as it evolves. By broadening opportunities for feedback, beyond planners and project managers, there can be more holistic urban design outcomes.

End.
Urgent Decision - Temporary Park Services Provision Plan for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park by Watercare Services Limited (Watercare)

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform the local board of a decision made under urgency seeking approval from the Chair and Deputy Chair to approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan for the temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Watercare will be occupying Keith Hay Park during construction of the Central Inceptor Wastewater Project.
3. In 2017 the Puketāpapa Local Board approved the grant of a licence for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park and easement for permanent infrastructure and access. A condition of it was for Watercare to submit a Temporary Services Provision Plan, for approval by the local board.
4. A Temporary Services Provision Plan has been developed and was workshopped with the board on 12 December 2019. Board members were comfortable with the plan.
5. As there is no business meeting between 06 December 2019 and 19 February 2020 of the Puketāpapa Local Board before the Central Interceptor works are due to commence in Keith Hay Park in January 2020 it was necessary to seek an urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) note the Temporary Services Provision Plan for the temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park, as noted in Attachment A.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>21091217 Urgent decision request and supporting information Temporary Services Provision Plan - Keith Hay Park</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Memo

17 December 2019

To: Nina Siers, Relationship Manager, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Puketāpapa Local Board
   Harry Doig, Chair, Puketāpapa Local Board
   Julie Fairey, Deputy Chair, Puketāpapa Local Board

cc: Allan Walton, Principal Property Advisor, Land Advisory Services

From: Mary Hay, Senior Advisor, Puketāpapa Local Board

Subject: Urgent decision request: Temporary Services Provision Plan for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park by Watercare Services Limited (Watercare)

Purpose
The purpose of this memo is to initially seek the local board relationship manager’s authorisation to commence the urgent decision-making process and if granted, seek formal approval from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) to use the process to make an urgent decision.

The decision required, and the supporting report, are attached to this memo. The urgent decision being sought needs to be authorised by the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles) by signing this memo. Both this memo and the report will be reported as an information item at the next business meeting if the urgent decision-making process proceeds.

Reason for the urgency
Watercare will be occupying Keith Hay Park during construction of the Central Interceptor wastewater project.

In 2017 the Puketapapa Local Board approved the grant of a licence for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park and easement for permanent infrastructure and access. A condition of is was for Watercare to submit a Temporary Services Provision Plan, for approval by the local board. This is highlighted in the resolution in Attachment A.

A Temporary Services Provision Plan has now been developed and was workshopped with the board on 12 December 2019. Board members were comfortable with the plan.

As there is no business meeting of the Puketapapa Local Board before Central Interceptor works are due to commence in Keith Hay Park in January 2020 it is necessary to seek an urgent decision.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)

Approval of the Temporary Services Provision Plan for the temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park, as noted in Attachment B.
Background

The Central Interceptor is a major public work designed to provide for future wastewater needs of Auckland due to growth. It will run underground from Grey Lynn to the Māngere Wastewater Treatment Plant. It will have several link sewers and shafts along the route for collecting and transferring wastewater into the tunnel. The Puketapapa Local Board has been consulted extensively regarding the project.

As part of the works, Watercare's contractors will be temporarily occupying various parks along the route of the Central Interceptor, including Keith Hay Park. The works on Keith Hay Park are scheduled to commence in January 2020.

In accordance with its licence for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park, Watercare has prepared a Temporary Services Provision Plan. It is intended that the plan will minimise inconvenience to the public as much as possible. This plan is attached to this memo.

The officer report, which provides advice about this decision, is included as Attachment C.

Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Niuia Siers
Relationship Manager, Puketapapa Local Board

Date 16.12.2019

Approval to use the urgent decision-making process

Harry Doig
Chairperson, Puketapapa Local Board

Date 18/12/19

Julie Fairey
Deputy Chairperson, Puketapapa Local Board

Date 18/12/19
Puketāpapa Local board Resolution/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan for the occupation of Keith Hay Park, as appended to this memo

b) note that works will commence in January 2020.

Harry Dool
Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board

Julie Fairey
Deputy Chairperson, Puketāpapa Local Board

Date 18/12/19

Date 18/12/19
Landowner Approval for local parks affected by the Central Interceptor sewer tunnel

Allan Walton, principal property advisor, and Peter Nicoll, Head of Property at Watercare, were in attendance to speak to this item.

Resolution number PKTPP/2017/228

MOVED by Member D Holm, seconded by Member S Kaushal:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve the landowner approval conditions for the Central Interceptor project works within Keith Hay Park and Walmsley Park as follows:

i) conditions for Keith Hay Park are:

- the Grantee to submit a landscape plan (for land to be integrated with the Park) to Puketāpapa Local Board for approval.
- maintenance of the site to be integrated with park maintenance standards.
- the Grantee to maintain walking and cycling connection through the park and provide temporary access routes and temporary car parks to replace those lost for the duration of the works.
- the Grantee to submit temporary park service provision plan for the duration of the Works to Puketāpapa Local Board for approval.
- the Grantee to submit park reinstatement plans to Puketāpapa Local Board for approval.
- vent and plant room to be designed as a sculptural feature by a suitably experienced artist(s) working with the project's architect and engineer, in keeping with the existing Keith Hay Park concept plan. Reasonable costs are to be met by Watercare. The public art team will lead the integrated art component and provide advice to the Grantee throughout the project to ensure a successful design outcome is achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Process</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brief Creation</td>
<td>Public Art Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Proposal</td>
<td>Public Art Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Development</td>
<td>Selected artist(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Design</td>
<td>Selected artist(s), Public Art Team, the Grantee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Design</td>
<td>Selected artist(s), the Grantee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Build</td>
<td>The Grantee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii) conditions for Walmsley Park are:

- the Grantee to reinstate the site in accordance with the Te Auaunga naturalisation design by Boffa Miskell, including the shared path.
- the Grantee to maintain walking and cycling connection through the park and provide temporary access routes for the duration of the works.
- the Grantee to submit temporary park service provision plan for the duration of the works to Puketāpapa Local Board for approval.
- the Grantee to submit park reinstatement plans to Puketāpapa Local Board for approval.
- vent to be designed as a sculptural feature by a suitably experienced artist(s) working with the Te Auaunga project's architect and
engineer. Reasonable costs are to be met by the Grantee and the public art team will lead the integrated art component and provide advice to the Grantee throughout the project to ensure a successful design outcome is achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Process</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brief Creation</td>
<td>Public Art Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Proposal</td>
<td>Public Art Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Development</td>
<td>Selected artist(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Design</td>
<td>Selected artist(s), Public Art Team, the Grantee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Design</td>
<td>Selected artist(s), the Grantee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing/Build</td>
<td>The Grantee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) grant landowner approval to Watercare Services Ltd for temporary occupation and any easements required for permanent infrastructure and future access for the Central Interceptor project works within Keith Hay Park and Walmsley Park, as set out on the plans appended to this report.

c) thank officer Allan Walton and Watercare’s Peter Nicoll for their attendance. CARRIED

Attachment B: Temporary Park Service Provision Plan – Keith Hay Park Central Interceptor, Doc No: GAJV-PLN-00075, Version: [0.3 Final]

Attachment C: Keith Hay Park Temporary Services Provision Plan officer report
Temporary Park Service Provision Plan – Keith Hay Park

Central Interceptor
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1. Introduction

The Central Interceptor Project involves a wastewater tunnel that will run between Western Springs and the Māngere Wastewater Treatment Plant. It includes the construction of the 13km underground wastewater tunnel, above ground facilities, and two link sewers referred to as Link Sewer B and Link Sewer C. Along the route, the Central Interceptor will connect to the existing wastewater network, which will divert flows and overflows into the tunnel. Construction of the Project will take approximately 6 years, starting in late 2019.

**Keith Hay Park** will contain a shaft on the main tunnel alignment and is also the site of sewer connection works to divert overflows from two existing wastewater lines known as Branch 9 and Branch 9B.

There are three construction areas located within Keith Hay Park (See Figure 1). The main shaft and Branch 9 connection works site is located at 22 Gregory Place and 49 Arundel Street, Mt Roskill, on the eastern boundary of Keith Hay Park and adjacent to the Cameron Pool and Leisure Centre. The two Branch 98 sites referred to as Branch 98 North and Branch 98 South, are located immediately adjacent to the playing fields, adjacent to the shared path and accessed via Rainford Street. There are also works at Frost Road, adjacent to State Highway 20, however, these works are located outside Keith Hay Park.

The main works at Keith Hay Park will take approximately 14 months and will occur from mid-January 2020 until March 2021. The site will be partially reinstated at that time. The contractor will return to the main shaft site in March 2023 to establish the connection to the tunnel and undertake final reinstatement of the site.

1.1 Purpose of this plan

This Temporary Park Service Provision Plan sets out measures proposed during the construction of the shaft and sewer connections to enable the ongoing maintenance and operation of existing activities within Keith Hay Park.

This plan is a requirement of the Licence Agreement between Watercare and Auckland Council for temporary occupation of Auckland Council parks for the Central Interceptor. The Fifth Schedule to that agreement requires that Watercare submit a temporary park service provision plan for the duration of the works to the Puketāpapa Local Board for approval. The plan needs to be approved prior to works the commencement of works affecting the park.

This plan has been informed by discussions with Auckland Council officers including a meeting on site held 22 October 2019. Further pre-commencement meetings are proposed as part of the pre-start activities set out in Section 3.5 of this Plan.

A discussion was also held with the Keith Hay Park User Group on 6 November 2019. The meeting was attended by representatives of the Local Board, Tristar Gym, Cameron Pools, Three Kings United Football Club and the Eden Roskill Cricket Club. The works in the park were discussed with a focus on the temporary shared path diversions for the main shaft and Branch 9 connection works and the removal of 5 carparks for the duration of the works.

1.2 Site details

The Central Interceptor works will be undertaken within the areas shown on **Figure 1**.
Figure 1: Construction in the vicinity of Keith Hay Park
1.3 Existing park users

Existing activities in the park include the following:

- **Cameron Pool and Leisure Centre complex**
  The complex is accessed from Arundel Street.
  The pool operates from 5.30am-9pm Monday to Thursday, 5.30am-8pm Fridays and
  7am-6pm Saturday and Sundays.
  The key contact is: Dylan McLaughlin

- **Three Kings United Football Club**
  The team operate out of club rooms and 16 fields accessed from Arundel Street, Rainford Street
  and Norton Road, Mt Roskill. Existing Fields 1-9 are located on the northern side of the existing
  clubrooms and Fields 10-16 on southern side.
  The days/times for field use vary depending on bookings. The fields are generally used for winter
  football between April and October.
  The key contact is: Steven Reid

- **Auckland Regional Gymsports Centre housing Tri Star Gymnastics, Shotokan Karate and other mat
  based sports organisations. The Centre is accessed off Arundel Street.**
  The centre operates seven days a week. Training times vary depending on age with training
  sessions starting at 7:45am and running until 9:45pm.
  The key contact is: David Phillips

- **Eden Roskill Cricket Club**
  The cricket fields and cricket wickets are located at the southern end of Keith Hay Park.
  The cricket season runs from October to March. The calendar of games changes each season. Initial
  discussion with Auckland Cricket has confirmed that the club will provide information as to games
  and fields use in 2020/21 when these are known.
  The key contact is: Adrian Ironside

- **Hay Park School**
  Hay Park School cater for 163 children in years 1-6. The school is relatively self-sufficient with its
  own playing field and very seldom uses Keith Hay Park. The school has an accessway from Norton
  Road at the northern end of the park.
  The key contact is: Sheree Campbell

- **Walkowhai Intermediate School**
  Walkowhai Intermediate School has a role of 485 students. The school use the northern section of
  Keith Hay Park, closer to the school, for sporting activities and students play in this section of the
  field during lunch and recess. A small percentage of families reside in the northern end of Keith
  Hay Park and will use the pathway to access the school.
  School families will use the carpark at Keith Hay Park during pick up and drop off times.
  The key contact is: David King

- **Mt Roskill Grammar School**
  Mt Roskill Grammar School is situated at Frost Road at the northern end of the worksite. The
  school is equipped with sports fields and swimming pool. It is likely that students will use the
  Keith Hay park Pathway and overbridge to access the school.
  Key contact: admin@mrgrs.school.nz

There is a Keith Hay Park Users Group which is a collective of the groups that operate in the park. This group
is chaired by Steven Reid.
In addition to formal recreation, there are a number of informal activities in the park including a playground, basketball courts, picnic tables and the shared path which is used by pedestrians and cyclists.

2. The proposed works

2.1 Summary of the works and programme

The main shaft construction area is located across 49 Aundel Street, 20 Gregory Place and Keith Hay Park. Works within this area will include shaft excavation works, micro-tunnelling and the main tunnelling works. A bifurcation chamber will be constructed nearby for diversion of overflows from Branch 9.

The Branch 9B North and South construction sites will be established within Keith Hay Park adjacent to the playing fields. These sites will facilitate the micro-tunnelling works for the Branch 9B works. The sites will be accessed from Rainford Street; with the first site located south of the existing bridge and the second site located to the north and adjacent to existing Fidds 6 and 9.

Table 1 sets out the proposed works at the three sites and key timings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed works</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main shaft and Branch 9 connection works</strong></td>
<td>Mid Jan 2020 – Mar 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Demolition and removal of the building at 22 Gregory Place;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Construct the temporary shared path diversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Site establishment works including fencing, service investigations, site clearance and site set up;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Shaft excavation;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pipejacking operation for Branch 9B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Installation of shaft lining;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Construction of diversion chamber;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permanent base slab and cover slab;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Plant room construction; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Temporary site reinstatement for shaft site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Branch 9B North and South microtunnelling sites</strong></th>
<th>July 2020 – Mar 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Site establishment including fencing, service investigations, site clearance and site set up;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Construction of chambers;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Replacement of existing outfall for wastewater overflow into Oakley Creek;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pipejacking operation; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Site reinstatement for Branch 9 sites.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tunnelling</strong></th>
<th>Late Oct 2022 – Late Nov 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Tunnel Boring Machine arrival and Keith Hay Shaft;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Shaft/tunnel connection from main tunnel; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Site reinstatement and landscaping works at main shaft site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Park features affected by the construction works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Park feature</th>
<th>Impact during construction</th>
<th>Post construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Trees within the construction area | Remove 10 trees within the construction area:  
  - 2 x Tāraire (4m)  
  - 4 x Pukatea (4m)  
  - Tawapou (4m)  
  - 3 x Titoki (4m)  
  Retain and protect the other trees during construction in accordance with arboricultural assessment. | Replace on the basis of two-for-one as part of the Open Space Restoration Plan for the sites. |
| Five carparks adjacent to the main shaft | Removed from use for approximately 14 months to provide a site laydown area adjacent to the main shaft. | Return in the same condition they are currently |
| The section of shared path located adjacent to the main shaft site | Close section of path for approximately 14 months to provide for site access.  
  Provide temporary shared path diversion route within car park rain garden/planted area for the duration of the works. See Section D for further discussion. | Reinstate in its current location and to the standard |
| Rain garden located adjacent to existing shared path | Rain garden and associated planting retained wherever possible. | If affected, areas reinstated to the same standard |
| Rain garden located within car park which is the location of the temporary shared path diversion route. | Planting removed to provide for raised temporary shared path.  
  Rain garden retained for the duration of the works to provide for continued stormwater drainage. | Shared path diversion removed and areas reinstated to the same standard |
| Light pole along existing section of shared path | Retain light pole in its current location during the construction works | N/A |
| Three light poles within the car park planted garden which will be the location of the temporary shared path diversion route. | Temporarily remove the light poles to provide for shared path diversion.  
  Provide temporary lighting for car park and diverted shared path for the duration of the works. | Reinstate in their current location and to the same standard |
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- **Section of shared path in current location:**
  - Remains in current location.
  - Temporary norskic activity band for the duration of the works.

- **Section of shared path in altered location:**
  - South end of track at its north site.
  - Section slightly further north.
  - Temporary closure of path and provision of detour via detour.

- **Section of shared path in intersection at the bridge:**
  - N/A
  - Do not enter service to bridge site.

- **Bridge at Flaxton Street:**
  - Closed.
  - Further principal access at north site.
  - Access public access at south site.

- **Closed existing shared path:**
  - Control access to current, which is kept open to the bridge.
  - Suspend public access at south site.
  - Remain closed until expedited work is completed.

- **Shared path sections adjacent to the bridge:**
  - Emergency path sections adjacent to the works are not affected.
Figure 2: Park features affected by shaft and bifurcation works

- Temporary path relocation (route to be confirmed)
- Section of path to be closed for bifurcation works (6 months)
- Trees to be removed
- Trees to be protected
- Section of path to be closed for 14 months
- Car parks removed x 5
- 3 x existing light poles removed and temporary lighting provided
- Raised garden and planting retained where possible
- Raised garden retained
- Light poles to be retained x 3
- Planting removed
- Power pole in road reserve to be relocated
- Watercare owned house to be removed
- Rain garden and planting retained where possible
Figure 3: Park features affected by Branch 9B South works

- Pedestrian/cyclist access control point
- Bridge to be used for access
- Haul route
- Retain power pole
- Trees to be protected
- Toilet block unaffected
- Shared path retained
Figure 4: Park features affected by Branch 9B North works

- Section of path to be closed
- Path detour
- Haul route
- Trees to be protected
- Pedestrian/cyclist access control point
- Bridge to be used for access
3. Managing the works in Keith Hay Park

3.1 Temporary fencing

Fencing and hoarding will be installed to demarcate the works areas and provide for public health and safety. The temporary fencing will be a combination of 1.8m high movable chain-link fencing and 2.4m high plywood hoardings. A noise barrier of variable height will be constructed adjacent to the residential properties. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the proposed arrangements.

Figure 5: Fencing, hoardings and noise walls (main shaft and bifurcation site)
Figure 6: Fencing, hoardings and noise walls (Branch 9B sites)

The 2.4m hoarding will be wrapped and will depict project information and possibly artwork developed by the local schools. The design of the hoarding wrap is still being developed and further details will be provided when available.

3.2 Public access during construction

The works will require relocation or management of existing paths/pedestrian access as set out in the table below.

Table 3: Management of existing paths/pedestrian access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Expected duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public footpath at site entrance</td>
<td>Information signs and traffic management during trucks entering and exiting site.</td>
<td>From mid-January 2020. 2 weeks initially for site set up and then 16 months for works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared path adjacent to Arundel Street car park</td>
<td>Section of path closed and temporary diversion, signs installed at either end.</td>
<td>From late-January 2020. Approximately 16 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared path by the bifurcation works</td>
<td>Section of path temporarily diverted to the diversion signs installed</td>
<td>From July to September 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared path by Branch 9B North site</td>
<td>Temporary path closure at North site with existing path forming a diversion route.</td>
<td>From September 2020 to January 2021 For up to 16 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian bridge at Rainford Street</td>
<td>Traffic Controller to be present to escort vehicles across bridge and path.</td>
<td>From July 2020 to January 2021 For up to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the existing shared paths and public access affected by the works and the temporary relocations/pedestrian management.
3.2.1 Arundel Street shared path diversion

The diversion of the shared path through the Arundel Street carpark is likely to consist of the following:

- 2.5m wide path taking up the width of the rain garden;
- Timber construction materials;
- Raised structure with screw piles or similar;
- Non-slip surfacing;
- Controlled vehicle crossing with painted pedestrian crossing and signs warning path users and vehicles;
- Tie in to the existing path at either ends; and
- Additional vehicles wheel stops set back in the car park to prevent cars overhanging the diversion path.

The preliminary design for the path is provided in Appendix B.

Details of the shared path design will be provided to Auckland Council prior to the works commencing.

3.2.2 Branch 9 connection works shared path diversion

The diversion of the shared path around the Branch 9 connection works is likely to consist of the following:

- 2.5-3m wide path (depending on product used);
- Clip and tube scaffold;
- Suitable for walking/cycling;
- Advance advisory signs;
- Non-slip surfacing; and
- Tie in to the existing path at either ends.

Details of the temporary path will be provided to Auckland Council prior to the works commencing.

3.2.3 Temporary signs

Signs will be installed where existing access is affected informing the public of diversion routes. Project information signs will also be installed to explain the works and the duration of any access changes.

Examples of typical information and way finding signs are contained in Appendix A.

3.3 Park maintenance and operations

Auckland Council maintenance and operations considerations for the park include field access at Rainford Street for mowing and field maintenance activities.

There are a number of existing park assets that need to be maintained during the works including:

- Field irrigation lines – the main take off point is located close to the bifurcation works area;
3.4 Other activities in the park and vicinity

Other programmed works occurring in the park or the vicinity during the timeframe of the Central Interceptor works include the following:

Three Kings United Clubroom development (Late 2019 – late 2021)

Three Kings United are currently undertaking a redevelopment of their clubrooms. That works will overlap with the Central Interceptor works at Keith Hay Park. The works are being undertaken by C3 Construction Contractors and are programmed to take approximately two years starting in the last quarter of 2019.

Access to the clubroom site is from Arundel Street, through the carpark and then next to the existing playground.

The project team will co-ordinate works with Three Kings United to minimise disruption for park users.

Auckland Transport – intersection upgrades at Arundel and Ragan Streets (target construction date will be April – June 2021)

Auckland Transport are currently investigating the construction of a new round-about at the Arundel and Ragan Streets intersection. The project team has discussed the works with Auckland Transport staff and confirmed that works will not coincide with the construction of the main shaft and the Branch 98 works at Keith Hay Park.

3.5 Pre-construction activities

Prior to construction commencing the project team will be undertaking a number of pre-construction activities. The table below provides a summary of the pre-construction activities that are of particular relevance to works in the park.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Details of shared path diversion and bifurcation chamber temporary path to Auckland Council parks staff and Local Board</td>
<td>Mid-November 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Bulletin for site neighbours setting out summary of works, notification of the start of the works and an invitation to the Meet the Contractor event</td>
<td>Mid-November 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet the Contractor event within the park and adjacent to the site</td>
<td>14 December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-condition surveys of identified buildings</td>
<td>Initial contact with building owners in November 2019 and surveys undertaken prior to works commencing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Key contacts during construction

The Auckland Council, Watercare and Ghella Abergeldie JV key contact during the works are as follows:

Table 5: Key contacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contact Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council</td>
<td>Graeme Hibberd</td>
<td>P: +64 21 578 971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:Graeme.Hibberd@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">Graeme.Hibberd@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport fields maintenance</td>
<td>Tony Putt</td>
<td>P: TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:tony.tpiclvf@gmail.com">tony.tpiclvf@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Jody Morley</td>
<td>P: +64 27 235 0545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:Jody.Morley@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz">Jody.Morley@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghella Abergeldie Joint Venture</td>
<td>May Al-Ethary</td>
<td>P: +64 21 538 503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:mai-ethary@ga-jv.com">mai-ethary@ga-jv.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Supervisor</td>
<td>Chris Baker</td>
<td>P: +64 22 010 5618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:cbaker@ga-jv.com">cbaker@ga-jv.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Manager</td>
<td>Carol Moffatt</td>
<td>P: +64 27 703 0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:CMoffatt@ga-jv.com">CMoffatt@ga-jv.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watercare Services Limited</td>
<td>Michael Abernethy</td>
<td>P: +64 22 644 0276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:Michael.abernethy@water.co.nz">Michael.abernethy@water.co.nz</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A - EXAMPLES OF WAYFINDING SIGNS
Examples of wayfinding signs

Lawsons Creek sewer duplication project
Improving the wastewater network

As the local population continues to grow, the wastewater pipes in the Lawsons Creek area are at capacity. To meet the growth, we are building more duplicated pipes which will move the loadable capacity into purpose-built wastewater lines and relieve pressure on the current pipes. The project is designed to meet the requirements of the wastewater networks by diverting the excess flow away from the majority of residents. Impacts will be better managed by using an improved wastewater network.

Work will begin in July 2019 and is expected to be completed by December 2020.

Benefits:
- Increased pipeline capacity for future population growth
- Reduction in wastewater overflows
- Improved water quality and reduced risk of public health

Tree and plant effect:
- Construction sites in the park will be fenced off for public safety.
- Some park facilities (e.g., playgrounds) will be closed during the project. Alternative access routes will be provided for pedestrians during this time.
- There will be some traffic movements to construction areas in the park.

For more information email: jason.skein@watercare.govt.nz
Or for up-to-date news of the park and waterways closures, visit our website: www.watercare.govt.nz (search "Projects under construction")

Lawsons Creek sewer duplication project
Manutewhau Track closed ahead
Use alternative access path

For the latest news of the park and waterways closures, visit our website: www.watercare.govt.nz (search "Projects under construction")
APPENDIX B - PRELIMINARY DESIGN FOR
SHARED PATH DIVERSION
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan for Keith Hay Park submitted by Watercare Services Ltd at a workshop with the Puketapapa Local Board on Thursday 12 December 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Watercare will be occupying Keith Hay Park during construction of the Central Interceptor having already obtained approval for a licence to occupy and easement for permanent infrastructure.
3. The Central Interceptor is a major upgrade of sewerage infrastructure to allow for growth in population and development. It was designated in 2013.
4. The Puketapapa Local Board subsequently approved the grant of a licence for temporary occupation of Keith Hay Park and easement for permanent infrastructure and access.
5. The Temporary Services Provision Plan was discussed at a Workshop with the Local Board on Thursday 12 December 2019 and members were satisfied with the plan.
6. As there is no business meeting of the Puketapapa Local Board before Central Interceptor works are due to commence in Keith Hay Park in January 2020 it is necessary to seek an urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Puketapapa Local Board by urgent resolution:

a) Approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan submitted by Watercare Services Limited for the occupation of Keith Hay Park, as appended to the report.

b) Note that works are to commence in January 2020.

Horopaki
Context
7. The Central Interceptor is a major public work designed to provide for future wastewater needs of Auckland due to growth. It will run underground from Grey Lynn to the Māngere Wastewater Treatment Plant. It will have several link sewers and shafts along the route for collecting and transferring wastewater into the tunnel.
8. Contractor Ghella Abergeldie Joint Venture (GA) is building the Central Interceptor. GA has more than 150 years’ experience with tunnelling and wastewater projects of this size around the world.
9. Once completed, the tunnel will be 14.7 kilometers in length (the longest in New Zealand) and 4.5 metres in diameter.
10. As part of the works, Watercare’s contractors will be occupying various parks and reserves along the route of the Central Interceptor.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
11. Watercare, GA and Council staff formed a small working party to discuss and agree the various aspects providing for safe occupation of Keith Hay Park and minimizing inconvenience to the public as much as possible.
12. The result of this consultation is the plan appended to this report.
13. The works on Keith Hay Park are scheduled to commence in January 2020. As there will be no business meeting of the Puketapapa Local Board until February 2020 it is necessary to provide an urgent decision and approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan prior to the works commencing.

Tauākī whakaaweate āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
14. The decision to approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan has no impact of climate change.

Ngā whakaaweate me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
15. Relevant staff in Community Facilities and Parks Sport and Recreation were part of the working group that developed the plan with Watercare and their contractors.
16. As the project was fully consulted at the time of issue of the Notice of Requirement.

Ngā whakaaweate ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
17. The Puketapapa Local Board has been consulted extensively regarding the project and Watercare has endeavoured to keep the Board up to date.
18. Members views were sought at a Workshop on 12 December 2019.
19. Local residents directly and indirectly impacted by the project have been invited to open days where they may learn about the project and what will be happening in their local area.
20. Watercare has also used letter drops and signage to advise local residents of the project.
21. The Temporary Services Provision Plan aims to reduce the impact on users of Keith Hay Park.

Tauākī whakaaweate Māori
Māori impact statement
22. The decision to approve the Temporary Services Provision Plan is not considered of sufficient significance to consult with iwi directly.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
23. There are no financial implications as a result of the decision.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
24. The decision is considered to be of low risk in the context of the overall Central Interceptor project.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

25. Upon approval being given for the plan, Watercare will be notified so that they may make the necessary preparations to occupy the park in January 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Temporary Services Provision Plan

Presentation to the Puketapapa Local Board by Ghella Abergeldie and Watercare Services Limited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Infocouncil will insert the name of the attachment as saved in HPRM</td>
<td>Infocouncil inserts in agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Infocouncil will insert the name of the attachment as saved in HPRM</td>
<td>Infocouncil inserts in agenda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Infocouncil will insert names and titles from information filled-in at report creation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Infocouncil will insert names and titles from information filled-in at report creation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To enable the Albert-Eden Roskill Ward Councillors to verbally update the Board.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
The ward councillors provide an verbal update.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) thank Albert-Eden-Roskill Ward Councillors Cathy Casey and Christine Fletcher for their update.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairperson's Report

File No.: CP2020/01394

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Chairperson, Harry Doig, with an opportunity to update board members on the activities he has been involved with since the last meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. It is anticipated that the Chairperson will speak to the report at the meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) receive Chair Harry Doig's report for February 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Chair, Harry Doig's Report - 31 October 2019 - 19 December 2020</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Harry Doig - Board Member Report
Period 31 October 2019 – 19 December 2020

Roles assigned by the local board
- Local Board Chair
- Primary Contact – Community

Council meetings / events attended

31 October 2019  Local Board Inauguration
01 November  Freeland Reserve Community Advisory Group meeting
06 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair / Snr Advisor / Advisor catch up
07 Nov  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
12 Nov  Local Boards Chairs’ Forum
13 Nov  PA / Chair catch up
13 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair / RM / Snr Advisor catch up
14 Nov  Christchurch Memorial update
14 Nov  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
15 Nov  Review draft Consultation Material for the Local Board Agreement
15 Nov  Freeland Reserve Community Advisory Group meeting
17 Nov  Dress Rehearsal for visit by their Royal Highnesses Prince Charles and Camila Duchess of Cornwall
18 Nov  Wreath Laying Ceremony MRWM park for Royal Highnesses
19 Nov  Carols in the Kings - Advisory Group meeting
20 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair catch up
20 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair / Snr Advisor / Advisor catch up
21 Nov  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
21 Nov  Board discussion on Ways of working and ground rules
23 Nov  Mt Roskill War Memorial Park - Masterplan consultation
25 Nov  PA / Chair catch up
25 Nov  Joint Liaison Body Meeting - JWAT / Auckland Council
27 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair catch up
27 Nov  Chair / Deputy Chair / RM / Snr Advisor catch up
28 Nov  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
09 December  Karakia for kōhatu unveiling MR town Centre
09 Dec  Selection panel hui on Tupuna Maunga Authority appointments
09 Dec  Chairs Only Session
09 Dec  Local Boards Chairs’ Forum
10 Dec  PA / Chair catch up
11 Dec  Chair / Deputy Chair catch up
11 Dec  Update on Christchurch Memorial at Walmsley Park
11 Dec  Chair / Deputy Chair / RM / Snr Advisor catch up
11 Dec  Puketāpapa Local Board Citizenship Ceremony
12 Dec  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
17 Dec  Carols in the Kings - Advisory Group Meeting – debrief
18 Dec  Chair / Deputy Chair catch up
Puketāpapa local Board

Business meeting 20 February 2020

18 Dec  Chair/ Deputy Chair/ Snr Advisor/ Advisor catch up
14 January 2020  LB feedback
21 Jan  PA/ Chair catch up
22 Jan  Chair/ Deputy Chair / RM/ Snr Advisor catch up
23 Jan  Monthly Comms meeting
04 February  PA/ Chair catch up
04 Feb  Board submission on Urban Development Bill
05 Feb  Puketāpapa Local Board (PLB) Workshop
05 Feb  Integrated Area Plan - First meeting
10 Feb  Chairs Only Session
10 Feb  Local Boards Chairs’ Forum

Other relevant meetings attended

13 November 2019  Meet with Lynfield constituent re traffic issues
15 Nov  Catch up with Kainga Ora Community Development and Engagement Coordinator
20 Nov  Meet with constituent re issue with neighbour and trees
20 Nov  Attend meeting of Carr Rd businesses re AT Safer Streets proposal
23 Nov  Bhartiya Samaj monthly gathering
23 Nov  NZ Somali Challenges and Solutions event
27 Nov  Attend He waka eke noa - Bring on 2020
25 Nov  Meet with Bhartiya Samaj re Mt Roskill War Memorial park development plan
26 Nov  Meet with constituent re vibration issues adjacent to Three kings Quarry
13 December  Ranfurly House refurbishment event
14 Dec  Bhartiya Samaj Xmas party
19 Dec  Meet with Auckland Deaf Society Manager

Issues

None

Disclosures

As recorded in Council’s declaration of interest register

Recommendation

That this report be received.
Board Member Reports

File No.: CP2020/01395

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the local board members on the activities they have been involved with since the last meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. It is anticipated that Board members will speak to their reports at the meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
   a) receive the member reports for February 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Julie Fairey's report, 6 September - 9 February 2020</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ella Kumar's report, October 2019 - January 2020</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fiona Lai's report, October 2019 - 31 January 2020</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Bobby Shen's report, 19 October 2019 - 10 February 2020</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Jonathan Turner's report, 1 November 2019 - 10 February 2020</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie- Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Julie Fairey - Board Member Report  
Period 6 September 2019 to 9 February 2020

Roles assigned by the local board
- Deputy Chair
- Primary contact for Parks
- Primary contact for Transport
- Member of the Integrated Area Plan working group
- Lead for landowner consents (including filming)
- Lead for event notifications
- Board member

General / assigned roles update
- Deputy Chair
  Participated on behalf of the Chair as follows:
  - 20 Nov – Met with Cr Fletcher ahead of community meeting with Auckland Transport in regard to Carlton St
  - 20 Nov – Attended community meeting with Auckland Transport in regard to Carlton St, with Cr Fletcher
  - 2 Dec – Facilitated agenda run-through with Members Kumar and Turner, and Member Shen by Skype
  - 4 Dec – Attended Finance & Performance Committee workshop on draft Mayoral proposal for 2020/21 budget, from 0936
  - 4 Dec – Attended regular advisor catch-up
  - 4 Dec - Facilitated regular community forum; Members Shen and Turner attended
  - 5 Dec - Chaired business meeting
  - 5 Dec - Facilitated board workshop; Members Kumar, Shen and Turner attended
  - 6 Dec - Spoke at Puketapapa Community Driving School graduation and gave out certificates
  - 6 Dec - Liaison with officers in regard to serious injury sustained at Taylor’s Bay
  - 7 Dec – Attended Poongal graduation event
  - 13 Dec – Attended WISE end of year event; Members Kumar and Lai attended
  - 29 Jan - Brief catch-up with senior advisor
  - 30 Jan – Facilitated board workshop; Members Kumar, Shen and Turner attended
  - 31 Jan - Attended stall and spoke at Movies in the Park; Members Kumar, Lai, Shen and Turner attended

As regular part of Deputy Chair role:
  - 9 Sep – Attended Chairs’ Forum as observer, with Chair Doig
  - 25 Sep - Regular advisor catch-ups, with the Chair
  - 2 Oct – Meeting with advisors and Chair regarding compliance issue on Sandringham Rd Extn
Local board name

Board meeting date
- 6 Nov – Attended Business Voice group catch-up
- 6 Nov – Regular advisor catch-up with Chair
- 6 Nov – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 11 Nov – Attended Chairs’ Forum as observer, with Chair Doig
- 13 Nov – Regular advisors catch-up with Chair
- 14 Nov – Attended meeting with officers and Chair regarding Walmsley Park memorials
- 15 Nov – Meeting with Chair and advisor on draft consultation material
- 18 Nov – Chairing the Board training session with other local board chairs and deputies
- 20 Nov – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 27 Nov – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 27 Nov – Regular advisor catch-up with Chair
- 9 Dec - Attended Chairs’ Forum as an observer, with Chair Doig, from 1230
- 11 Dec – Regular advisor catch-up with Chair
- 11 Dec – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 11 Dec - Attended meeting with NZ Muslim Association with Chair Doig, and officers, regarding 15 March attacks memorial at Walmsley Park and first anniversary in 2020
- 16 Dec - Attended Planning training session with Members Kumar and Shen, from 1310
- 18 Dec – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 18 Dec – Regular catch-up with advisors, with Chair
- 19 Dec - Meeting with Auckland Deaf Society with Chair
- 14 Jan - Regular advisor catch-up with Chair
- 20 Jan – Regular catch-up with Chair
- 4 Feb – Regular advisor catch-up with Chair

- Primary contact for Parks & Environment
  - 9 Oct - Attended Keith Hay Park Users Group
  - 13 Oct - Attended first Friends of Belfast Reserve meeting
  - 30 Oct - Attended Eco Neighbourhoods community session with Member Turner
  - 6 Nov – Attended Keith Hay Park Users Group
  - 7 Nov – Attended Mt Roskill War Memorial Park Users Group meeting on concept plan, with Member Turner
  - 13 Nov – Attended Three Kings United public meeting on merger proposal
  - 6 Dec - Attended part of Low Carbon Network visit to Te Whangai Trust, with Member Bonham from Waitemata Local Board

- Primary contact for Transport
  - 4 Nov - Attended most of Women In Urbanism session on Women and Safety in the City, with Member Robertson from Albert-Eden and Cr Hills
  - 11 Nov - Attended AT catch-up with AT Relationship Manager and Member Turner to check on handover list of issues from previous term
Local board name

Business meeting date
- Member of the Integrated Area Plan working group
  - 5 Feb - Inaugural meeting (with Chair, Members Lai, Kumar, Shen, Turner, and Members Easte and Maskill from Albert-Eden Local Board)
- Lead for landowner consents (including filming)
- Lead for event notifications

Meetings / events attended in addition to above
- 7 Sep – Helped out at Friends of Wairaki Stream planting day, Lynfield Reserve; Members Coury and Kaushal attended, and local MP Michael Wood
- 9 Sep – Interview with researcher about Mt Roskill Town Centre history
- 19 Sep – Attended Infrastructure & Heritage cluster
- 19 Sep – Attended board business meeting
- 16 Oct - Dropped in to Belong Aotearoa consultation session at Wesley Community Centre
- 17 Oct - Attended induction session with Members Doig, Kumar, Lai, Shen, Turner
- 23 Oct - Attended regular board workshop with Members Doig, Lai, Shen, Turner, and Member Kumar from 9.33am
- 25 Oct – Attended part of Puketapapa Youth Awards with Members Lai, Shen and Turner
- 29 Oct - Attended Albert-Eden Local Board inauguration
- 31 Oct - Board workshop with Members Doig, Kumar, Lai, Shen, Turner; I attended by Skype due to illness.
- 31 Oct - Attended Puketapapa Local Board inauguration
- 1 Nov - Attended Governing Body inauguration
- 4 Nov – Attended technology induction at Waitakere Ranges Local Board office
- 6 Nov – Attended local board’s community forum with Chair, Members Lai and Turner, Member Kumar from 1850
- 7 Nov – Attended regular board workshop with Chair, Members Lai and Turner, Member Shen (until 1126 via Skype) and Member Kumar from 0936
- 14 Nov – Attended regular board workshop with Chair, Members Kumar, Lai, and Turner, Member Shen (until 1230 via Skype)
- 17 Nov – Attended walk-through of City Rail Link tunnels
- 21 Nov – Attended regular board workshop by Skype (due to illness, absent 1230 to 1245), with Chair, Members Kumar (absent 1200 to 1315), Lai, and Shen, and Member Turner from 1305. Also attended additional session on “Ways of Working” after this, by Skype.
- 25 Nov – Attended part of Albert-Eden Local Board meeting
- 28 Nov – Attended regular board workshop with Chair, Member Shen, Member Kumar from 0935. I was absent for a portion of the first item to deal with a constituent’s issue.
- 2 Dec – Attended Auckland Council Governance symposium with Member Shen
- 11 Dec – Attended local citizenship ceremony
Local board name

Business meeting date

- 12 Dec - Attended regular board workshop (with Chair, Members Kumar, Shen and Turner)
- 14 Dec - Dropped in to Watercare’s Meet the Contractor event at Keith Hay Park
- 15 Dec - Dropped in to Kainga Ora open day on Richardson Rd
- 19 Dec – Attended local board Xmas lunch
- 30 Jan - Attended Southern mana whenua hui with Members Shen and Turner, and other local board members from across Auckland, until 1820
- 31 Jan - Attended Urban Development Bill workshop with Members Kumar (until 1137) and Shen
- 2 Feb - Attended part of Out & About Wild Streets Play Festival
- 3 Feb - Attended Good Governance training with Members Lai, Shen and Turner
- 4 Feb - Attended Urban Development Bill submission discussion with officers, Chair, Members Kumar and Shen
- 5 Feb - Attended regular board workshop (with Chair, Members Lai, Shen and Turner, Member Kumar from 1317)

Conferences / member development

- None in the reporting period other than provided by Council as listed above

Disclosures

I am an individual member of the Auckland branch of the National Council of Women. During the reporting period I attended the regular branch meetings on 9 Sep 2019, 14 Oct, 11 Nov 2019. www.ncwnz.org.nz

I am a trustee, and board secretary, for The Aunties, a charity established to expand and make sustainable work done to meet the needs of families dealing with domestic violence. During the reporting period I attended the monthly trust board meetings on 23 Sep, 21 Oct, 25 Nov, and a planning afternoon on 19 Jan 2020 www.aunties.co.nz

I am also a trustee on the HE Fairey Family Trust, which gives grants to people with disabilities through CCS/Disability Action, and am now one of the two “active” trustees from August 2019, which means myself and another family member assess the applications against the criteria and decide if they meet them.

I am in the process of applying to become a Justice of the Peace and had an interview with the Ministry of Justice and the local JP’s association on 31 Jan.

Recommendation

That this report be received.
Ella Kumar Board Member Report
Period October 2019 to January 2020

Roles assigned by the local board
1) Delegations in the fourth term (2019-2022)
   - Landowner consents AND filming AND events
     - Julie Fairey Lead and Ella Kumar Alternate
   - Liquor licenses AND notification of resource consents AND board views on notified consents
     - Harry Doig Lead and member Ella Kumar Alternate

2) Appointments in the fourth term (2019-2022)
   - Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group – Ella Kumar Lead and Bobby Shen Alternate
   - Pah Homestead Joint Liaison Body – Chair Lead and Ella Kumar Alternate
   - Manukau Harbour Forum - Jon Turner Lead and Ella Kumar Alternate
   - Integrated Area Plan PWP – all members, but a quorum of three

3) Board key contact people for the fourth term (2019-2022)
   - Parks and Environment: Primary contact Julie, Secondary contact Ella
   - Community: Primary contact Harry, Secondary contact Fiona
   - Transport: Primary contact Julie, Secondary contact Jon
   - Planning: Primary contact Ella, Secondary contact Bobby

Delegations in the fourth term (2019-2022)

2) Appointments in the fourth term (2019-2022)
   - Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group – Ella Kumar Lead and Bobby Shen Alternate
   - Pah Homestead Joint Liaison Body – Chair Lead and Ella Kumar Alternate
   - Manukau Harbour Forum - Jon Turner Lead and Ella Kumar Alternate
   - Integrated Area Plan PWP – all members, but a quorum of three

3) Board key contact people for the fourth term (2019-2022)
   - Parks and Environment: Primary contact Julie, Secondary contact Ella
   - Community: Primary contact Harry, Secondary contact Fiona
   - Transport: Primary contact Julie, Secondary contact Jon
   - Planning: Primary contact Ella, Secondary contact Bobby
Puketāpapa Local Board
Business Meeting 20th February 2020

Meetings / events attended

- **October**
  Inauguration, youth awards

- **November**
  Local board Workshops

- **December**
  Xmas events
  Local board workshops
  Puketapapa Local Board Business Meeting
  Citizenship Ceremony
  Aircraft meeting
  Manukau Harbour meeting

- **January**
  Local Board Workshop

Disclosures

Contractor for YMCA as an aerobics instructor to deliver fitness classes. (Cameron Pools Leisure Centre and Lynfield Leisure and Recreation Centre is situated in PLB area who own the buildings)

Roskill Together Committee Member

I volunteered for many years before being on the local board and will continue with community as requested in my personal capacity in various ways like events, support, fitness or as required at many organisations where the board may have funded or will fund in the future and will declare these situations as they arise and applications come to the local board and when local board engages and funds groups.

Recommendation
That this report be received.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ella Kumar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Roles assigned by the local board

I have been assigned by Chair Doig to be the secondary contact person in the Community area.

Auckland Council workshops, meetings and briefings

7 Nov 2019 Attended regular local board workshop with Chair, Members Fairey, Kumar, Shen and Turner

14 Nov Attended regular local board workshop with Chair, Members Fairey, Kumar, Shen and Turner

21 Nov Attended regular local board workshop with Chair, Members Fairey, Kumar, Shen and Turner from 9.30am

21 Nov Attended local board ground rules meeting with Chair, Members Fairey, Kumar, Shen and Turner

23 Jan 2020 Catch-up with Chair

Other meetings, events

18 Oct 2019 Attended Diwali Festival at Mt Roskill Grammar School with Member Kumar

19 Oct Attended Community Day at Pah Homestead, Monte Cecilia Park

30 Oct Attended Puketapapa Youth Award 2019 at Fickling Centre, Three Kings with Member Fairey, Member Kumar, Member Shen and Member Turner

31 Oct Attended Inaugural Ceremony of Puketapapa Local Board at Fickling Centre, Three Kings

16 Nov Attended Roskill Chinese Group event at Hillsborough Heights Retirement Village with Member Kumar and Member Turner

18 Nov Attended Royal Visit – Prince of Wales and Duchess of Cornwall – at May Road Wall Memorial Hall at 10am with Chair and Member Kumar

18 Nov Attended Royal Visit – Prince of Wales and Duchess of Cornwall – at Wesley Community Centre at 11am
22 Nov  | Attended Roskill Development Kainga Ora End of Year event at Roskill Development Centre, May Road with Chair and Member Turner

4 Dec   | Attended Community Forum at YMCA Lynfield

13 Dec  | Attended WISE Collective End of Year celebration at Wesley Community Centre with Deputy Chair and Member Kumar

14 Dec  | Attended Watercare Central Interceptor meet up with the neighbours and contractors at Keith Hay Park from 11am

14 Dec  | Attended Kainga Ora Community Viewing at corner of Richardson Road and Playfair Ave

24 Jan 2020 | Attended Dare to Explore Mt Roskill Library event with Member Kumar

25 Jan  | Attended Republic Day of India held by Bhartiya Samaj at May Road Memorial Hall with Members Kumar, Shen and Turner

31 Jan  | Attended Movie in Parks, Monte Cecilia Park, Hillsborough

**Diwali Festival at Mt Roskill Grammar School**

**Community Day at Pah Homestead, Monte Cecilia Park, Hillsborough**
Royals Visiting Puketapapa
at May Road Memorial Wall Hall & Wesley Community Centre
Kainga Ora Community Viewing

Watercare Central Interceptor at Keith Hay Park

Republic Day of India at May Road Wall Memorial Hall
Dare to Explore Kids Event at Mt Roskill Library

Other issues/challenges

Below are the issues that I have come across from constituents or personal observation:

- Street sign gone missing
- Waikowhai Park playground broken BBQ machine and wood plank came out
- Ellis Ave and Graham Bell Ave intersection safety
- Footpaths maintenance
- Denize Road parking issues

Recommendation/s

That this report to be received.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Fiona Lai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Bobby Shen Board Member Report
Period 19 October 2019 – 10 February 2020

I consider it a privilege to be elected to the Puketāpapa Local Board, to represent and serve the community that I grew up with, in the place that has always been home. As an elected member in his first term, I have been constantly learning about the Auckland Council organisations and my new role as well as connecting with the many facets of Puketāpapa. You can see evidence of this in the Meetings/Events Attended section and accompanying photos.

As an elected member with a professional background in architectural design, I am very interested in the urban planning and design of our city. To this end, I have been assigned as one of the planning contacts on the Board and have been involved in monitoring local resource consents and partaking in discussions around the Resource Management Act Reforms and Urban Development Bill. As a board, we are also working on an Integrated Area Plan with the Plans and Places team looking at the effects of increased housing density in our area.

Note that due to the start of the term, this Board Member Report spans from when the local board was elected in October 2019 to the February Business Meeting on 20 February 2020.

Roles assigned by the local board
- Planning contact person
- Airport Noise Community Consultative Group

General / assigned roles update
- Attended 11 December 2019 Planning Committee meeting with Chair Doig and Member Kumar where the Resource Management Act Reforms were discussed. Contributed to the discussion with a local board perspective where much of our localised planning work should bear more weight in these reforms.
- As a part of the planning contact person role, resource consents flagged to us by officers are reviewed. Over this reporting period, more information was requested on five applications and local context was provided to assist in processing.
- Assisted in the preparation of the Puketāpapa Local Board submission for the Urban Development Bill. As a part of this attended a workshop for all local boards on the Urban Development Bill on the 31 January 2020 with Deputy Chair Fairey and Member Kumar as well as a meeting on the Local Board submission on 4 February 2020. This will be included in the upcoming Business Meeting.
Meetings / events attended

- 19 October 2019: Met with constituent, Lynfield resident
- 19 October 2019: Volunteered at Roskill Chinese Group
- 19 October 2019: Attended Pah Homestead Community Day
- 20 October 2019: Volunteered with Friends of Te Tatua a Rūkūtā (Three Kings)
- 25 October 2019: Attended Puketāpapa Youth Board Youth Awards
- 28 October 2019: Volunteered with Roskill Bike Kitchen
- 28 October 2019: Attended Roskill Chinese Group meeting
- 28 October 2019: Met with constituent, Three Kings resident
- 29 October 2019: Attended Albert-Eden Local Board inauguration
- 31 October 2019: Puketāpapa Local Board Inauguration
- 1 November 2019: Attended Friends of Wairakei Stream (Lynfield) anniversary celebration
- 2 November 2019: Met with constituent, Mt Roskill South resident
- 23 November 2019: Attended Mt Roskill War Memorial Park Masterplan Consultation at Mt Roskill War Memorial Park
- 23 November 2019: Attended NZ Somali Challenges and Solutions Event at Wesley Community Centre
- 30 November 2019: Volunteered with Friends of Wairakei Stream (Lynfield)
- 30 November 2019: Met with constituent, Mt Roskill resident
- 1 December 2019: Attended Bike Auckland volunteers event supporting the Auckland City Mission
- 4 December 2019: Puketāpapa Local Board Community Forum at Lynfield Youth and Leisure Centre
- 5 December 2019: Attended Karangahape Road Block Party
- 8 December 2019: Attended Family Fun Day at Wesley Community Centre
- 9 December 2019: Dawn Blessing for Unveiling Kōhatu at Mt Roskill Shops
- 11 December 2019: Attended Planning Committee Meeting about the Auckland Council submission to the Resource Management Act reforms
- 11 December 2019: Attended Puketāpapa Citizenship Ceremony
- 14 December 2019: Attended Roskill Chinese Group end of year celebration
Puketāpapa Local Board
Thursday 20 February 2020

• 21 December 2019: Volunteered at Roskill Chinese Group
• 22 December 2019: Attended Community Christmas Festival at Hills Church (Hillsborough)
• 18 January 2020: Attended Chinese New Year Festival at ASB Showgrounds
• 25 January 2020: Attended India Republic Day celebrations with Bhartiya Samaj at Mt Roskill War Memorial Hall
• 30 January 2020: Attended hui with Mana Whenua and Southern Local Boards at Ngāti Ōtara Marae
• 31 January 2020: Attended a workshop about the Urban Development Bill and Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill at Auckland Town Hall
• 31 January 2020: Attended Movie in Parks event at Monte Cecilia Park and engaged the public on the Draft Local Board Plan
• 1 February 2020: Attended the Youth Fest event at Three Kings Reserve by Raise Up and engaged the public on the Draft Local Board Plan
• 1 February 2020: Attended NZCA Chinese New Year Dinner at Three Kings
• 6 February 2020: Attended Waitangi ki Okahu Festival at Okahu Bay
• 10 February 2020: Attended Airport Noise Community Consultative Group meeting

Conferences / member development
• 2 December 2019: Attended ‘Governing Auckland Together’ Symposium for elected members
• 16 December 2019: Attended Elected Members Training – Planning Role
• 3 February 2020: Attended Kura Kāwana Induction: Being a Good Governor

Disclosures
• I have been a member of the leadership team for Roskill Chinese Group since its conception in March 2019, supporting this group grow and serve our community. I have declared my conflict of interest to decisions related to the Roskill Chinese Group.

Recommendation
That this report be received.
Jonathan Turner Board Member Report  
Period 01 November 2019 – 10 February 2020

Roles assigned by the local board

- Transport portfolio secondary – confirmed at the December Board meeting.
- Appointed to the Manukau Harbour Forum – confirmed at the December Board meeting.

General / assigned roles update

- Attended the first Manukau Harbour Forum meeting on the 13th December. Decisions were put in place as to the running of the new forum – Monthly meetings with workshops to be held in the Manukau Civic Building. A new role was created for a coordinator to enable the forum to effectively work towards its goal of a cleaner Manukau Harbour. The election of chair and co-chair was deferred to the next meeting to give members time to decide if they wanted to apply for the role.

- Logged a variety of issues with council including:
  - Graffiti and rubbish on Puketapapa maunga
  - Overgrown weeds in a number of pathways around the area
  - Numerous illegal dumping issues
    - Freeland Reserve
    - Taumanu Bay
    - Lynfield Reserve
    - John Moore Park
  - Overgrown vegetation in Waikowhai coast
  - Missing seat in Waikowhai park
  - Missing water fountain in Waikowhai park
  - Toilet closures in Keith Hay and Waikowhai Park
  - Missing signage on Sylvania Place and Freer Street
  - Insufficient communication about service disruptions
  - Potholes on Richardson Rd and Cormack Street

- Communicated with residents about a number of concerns:
  - Traffic light outage in Mt Roskill Shops
  - Carlton Street upgrade concerns
  - Issues with chipseal installation on Winstone Rd and Herd Rd
  - Safety issues on Penney Avenue
  - Bus shelters on Richardson Rd
  - Parking concerns around Margaret Griffen Park
  - Speeding on Melrose Rd/Olsen Avenue
  - John Davis Cres speeding concerns
  - Dangerous intersection on Hillsborough Rd/Margaret Griffen/Commodore Drive
  - Issues around notification to bus users of the strike and lack of services at the end of December
  - Concerns around development of Mount Roskill War Memorial Park
Puketāpapa Local Board
Business meeting date

Meetings / events attended

- 1st November – Friends of Wairaki Stream Christmas event at Chilli Bar, Lynfield
- 3rd November – Met with residents interested in setting up a “Friends of Belfast reserve” neighbourhood group
- 6th November – Puketāpapa Local Board Forum at Lynfield Recreation centre
- 7th November – Local Board Workshop
- 7th November – Mount Roskill War Memorial Park user group
- 9th November – Puketāpapa Fun Run – volunteered as a marshal
- 14th November – Local Board Workshop
- 16th November – Roskill Chinese Group Christmas event at Hillsborough Heights Village
- 21st November – Local Board Workshop
- 22nd November – Kainga Ora Christmas event at the Roskill Information Centre
- 23rd November – Drop in session at Mt Roskill War Memorial Hall to discuss the concept plan for the park
- 23rd November – Somali Challenges and Opportunities hui at Wesley Community Centre
- 30th November – Friends of Wairaki Stream planting day
- 1st December – Catch up with Friends of Belfast reserve group to assist setting it up
- 2nd December – Agenda run through in preparation for the Business meeting
- 4th December – Puketāpapa Local Board forum at Lynfield Recreation Centre
- 5th December – Puketāpapa Local Board business meeting
- 5th December – Local Board Workshop
- 8th December – Volunteer marshalling at Owairaka Athletics’ 5 mile event.
- 9th December – Attended a dawn karakia at the Mt Roskill shops for the unveiling of the kōhatu.
- 10th December – Met with Council officer and discussed volunteering in Puketāpapa parks.
- 10th December – Attended the Three Kings United Christmas function
- 11th December – Met with Bruce Thomas (AT Liaison) about the list of transport concerns in Puketāpapa.
- 11th December – Puketāpapa Local Board Citizenship Ceremony – possibly the highlight of my time as a local board member so far.
- 19th December – Met with members Shen, Lai, Kumar, Fairey and Doig for an end of year lunch.
- 19th December – Met with council officers to discuss declarations and conflicts of interest.
- 5th January – met with a constituent to view issues in Waikōwhai Park
- 20th January – met with Zoe from Conservation Volunteers to discuss the migrant volunteer program, including walkaround at Waikōwhai Park
- 25th January – celebration of the 70th anniversary of Indian Independence Day at Roskill War Memorial Hall, ran by Bhartiya Samaj.
- 28th January – met with LBS to discuss goals as a board member
- 30th January – Local board workshop
- 30th January – Southern mana whenua hui at Ngati Otaara marae
- 31st January – Movies in Parks at Monte Cecilia, running the Local Board info stall
- 1st February – Raise up Youth Event in Three Kings Reserve
Puketāpapa Local Board

Business meeting date
- 2nd February – Wild Streets Play day in Three Kings Reserve
- 3rd February - Kura Kāwana
- 5th February – Local Board Workshop
- 5th February – Integrated Area Plan meeting
- 9th February- Ride with Pride to the Big Gay Out in Coyle Park
- 10th February – Transport portfolio meeting with AT Liaison

Conferences / member development
- 3rd February – attended the first Kura Kāwana Elected Member training. This session focused on what it means to be a good “governor”, with an overview of the roles that Local Boards play and how to effectively get results for our local communities.

Disclosures
- I am a member of Owairaka Athletics and supporter of Friends of Wairaki stream.

Recommendation
That this report be received.

Note: if other recommendations are proposed they may be subject to a Notice of Motion (refer to Standing Orders or Appendix 1 pg. 5-6 in the guidance document).
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the Puketāpapa Local Board with its updated governance forward work programme calendar (the calendar).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The calendar for the Puketāpapa Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.
3. The calendar was introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
  a) receive the governance forward work programme calendar for February 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Programme Calendar, February</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>February 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>March 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March/April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To provide a summary of Puketāpapa Local Board (the Board) workshop notes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. The attached summary of workshop notes provides a record of the Board’s workshops held in December 2019 and January 2020.

3. These sessions are held to give informal opportunity for board members and officers to discuss issues and projects and note that no binding decisions are made or voted on at workshop sessions.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive the Puketāpapa Local Board workshop notes for: 05 December 2019, 12 December 2019 and 30 January 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 05 December 2019</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 12 December 2019</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 30 January 2020</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Puketāpapa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketāpapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, Thursday, 05 December 2019 commencing at 1.00 pm.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Julie Fairey
Members: Ella Kumar
Bobby Shen
Fiona Lai
John Turner
Apologies: Harry Dolg, Fiona Lai
Also present: Nina Siers, Mary Hay, Ben Moimoi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>• Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The officer provided the board with an update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: Bruce Thomas Role: EM Relationship Manager Department: Auckland Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 Local Board Plan Content</td>
<td>• Setting direction/priorities/budget</td>
<td>The officer updated the board on the current version of the draft local board plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: Mary Hay Role: Senior Local Advisor Department: Local Board Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.00 pm.
Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketapapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, Thursday, 12 December 2019 commencing at 9.30 am.

PRESENT

Chairperson: Harry Doig

Members: Julie Fairey
          Ella Kumar
          Bobby Shen
          Jon Turner

Apologies: Fiona Lai

Also present: Nina Siens, Mary Hay, Ben Moimoi and Selina Powell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1.0: Story Telling Project.</td>
<td></td>
<td>The officer updated the local board on the Story Telling Project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippa Somerville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR Portfolio Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netty Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Places Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1.1: Wairaki Catchment Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>The officer updated the local board on the Wairaki Catchment Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippa Somerville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR Portfolio Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netty Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Places Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1.2: School Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Pearce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Healthy Waters Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippa Somerville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR Portfolio Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netty Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Places Specialist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The officer updated the board on School Partnerships.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 2.0: Liston Village</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William Brydon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Rec – Tui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community &amp; Social Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Eggleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Policy Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community &amp; Social Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yee Lin Leong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Solicitor Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal and Risk Projects &amp; Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bram Bell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager Projects &amp; Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal and Risk Projects &amp; Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Setting direction priorities and budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The officer updated the board on the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next steps a report to the Puketāpapa Local Board in February 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 3.0: Local Board Plan (Engagement)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Little Brighouse-Fuavao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Engagement Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local initiatives specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The officer updated the board on the engagement plan and calendar of events.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 4.0: Local Board Plan (Content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary Hay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The officer updated the board on the Local Board Plan (Content).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Item: 5.0 Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan | Local initiatives/specific decisions | The board was updated on the project.
A further workshop in the New Year. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alisa Wilson Project Manager Arts Community and Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronelle Baker Practice Manager – Operations Arts Community and Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Item: 6.0 Water Care Central Inceptor – Temporary Services Plan Brent Events Relationship Manager Watercare | Local initiatives/specific decisions | The board was updated on the Temporary Parks Services Provision Plan.
Next steps urgent decision process to be enacted. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allan Walton Principal Property Advisor, Land Advisory Services, Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesley Hopkins from Ghella Abergeldie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Moffett from Ghella Abergeldie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item: 7.0 Events – Music in Parks</th>
<th>Local initiatives/specific decisions</th>
<th>The board was updated on the Regional Music in Parks event being held on Sunday, 01 March 2020 at 1pm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Cowley Manager, Event Production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.00 pm
# Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketapapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, Thursday, 30 January 2020 commencing at 10.00 am.

**PRESENT**
- **Chairperson:** Julie Fairey
- **Members:**
  - Elia Kumar
  - Bobby Shen
  - Jon Turner
- **Apologies:** Harry Doig, Fiona Lai
- **Also present:** Nina Siers, Mary Hay, Ben Moimoi and Selina Powell

## Workshop Item | Governance role | Summary of Discussions
--- | --- | ---
Declarations of Interest |  | 

**Item: 1.0 CEU Update**
- **Juanita de Senna**
  - Strategic Brokers
  - Arts Community & Events
- **Mary Dawson**
  - Manager Strategic Brokers
  - Arts Community and Events
  - Community Empowerment
- **Daylyn Braganza**
  - Advisor
  - Arts Community and Events
  - Community Empowerment
- **Rachel Cho**
  - Graduate
  - ACE
- **• Oversight and monitoring**

The officer introduced each lead who reported on their work programme line.

Next step further workshops to discuss work programme lines.

**Item: 2.0 Community Facilities**
- **• Oversight and monitoring**

The team was introduced to the board and they updated on their projects.

Next step: future workshop

**Item: 2.1 Project Delivery work programme update**

- **• Oversight and monitoring**

Next step: future workshop
| Jody Morley  
Project Delivery Area |
|-----------------------|
| Katrina Morgan  
Work Programme Lead, Community Facilities |

**Item: 2.2 Operational Maintenance update Walmsley Park pathways maintenance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oversight and monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- The officer updated the board on the following:
  - Underwood Park and Walmsley –
  - Keith Hay Park Toilets
  - Sylvania Crescent garden area
  - Mt Roskill Library entrance
  - Whare
  - Waikowhai Reserve

Next step: future workshop.

| Justin Cash  
Senior Maintenance Delivery Coordinator  
Community Facilities |

**Item: 3:0 Local Board Engagement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oversight and monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- The officer went through the schedule of calendar events for the local board engagement.

Next step: future workshop.

---

The workshop concluded at 12.20 pm.
Exclusion of the Public: Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

That the Puketāpapa Local Board

a) exclude the public from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
<td>s7(2)(j) - The withholding of the information is necessary to prevent the disclosure or use of official information for improper gain or improper advantage. In particular, the report contains detailed financial information that have an impact on the financial results of the Auckland Council group as at 31 December 2019 that require release to the New Zealand Stock Exchange.</td>
<td>s48(1)(a) - The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>