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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the minutes of the Ōrākei Local Board meeting, held on Thursday, 20 February 2020, be confirmed as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Ōrākei Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Public Forum - Russell Glenister - Shared cycleways

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To deliver a presentation to the Board during the deputation segment of the business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Russell Glenister will be in attendance to present to the Board on pedestrian issues
regarding the shared cycleway between Mission Bay and St Heliers.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the presentation and thank Russell Glenister for his attendance.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. To update the Ōrākei Local Board on activities that the local ward Governing Body Members have been involved with since the previous local board meeting.

**Whakarāpopototanga matua**

**Executive summary**

2. To provide an opportunity for the local ward Governing Body Members to update their local board counterparts on regional matters.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the Governing Body Members’ verbal update.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**
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<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Liston Park - Request for Proposals
File No.: CP2019/21645

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval to undertake a Request for Proposals (RFP) process for Liston Park.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The existing facilities at Liston Park are not fit-for-purpose and require significant investment.
3. The lack of changing rooms and toilets and the soil-based fields are not meeting the service needs of the community. There is a shortfall in sports fields within the Ōrākei Local Board and surrounding board areas.
4. There is currently no local or regional budget allocated for increasing the service level of the site. However, a number of sports clubs and organisations have expressed an interest in developing in the site.
5. Staff recommend a public/private partnership as the option most likely to achieve the best outcomes at the lowest cost to ratepayers.
6. A Request for Proposals (RFP) process will identify if there is a suitable proposal to increase the service levels of Liston Park.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
  a) approve a Request for Proposals process to seek a development partner(s) for Liston Park.

Horopaki
Context
7. Liston Park (71-77 Abbotts Way) was purchased in 2011 with the intention that it would provide additional capacity for community sports fields and active recreation in the Ellerslie area.
8. Liston Park is made up of eight separately defined land parcels held by Auckland Council. Seven parcels are held in fee simple under the Local Government Act 2002. One is held under the Reserves Act 1977, as an unclassified local purpose (community and open space use) reserve.
9. The park covers approximately 4.4 hectares and is made up of two full-size floodlit sports fields, a smaller training area, large clubrooms, associated amenities and a car park. Two cell towers are located on the park.
10. The park provides an important service outcome in terms of connecting spaces. The space provides an important pedestrian link between Abbotts Way and Michaels Avenue.
11. The club room facility at Liston Park is currently in very poor condition and has been vacant for a number of years.
12. There is no existing provision in the 2018-2028 Long-term Plan for any further development of the site.
The sports fields are not meeting the service need
13. Liston Park provides an important service outcome in terms of sports field provision.
14. There is a significant shortfall in supply of sports fields within the Ōrākei Local Board catchment and surrounding board areas. Football provision is especially low and the shortfall is expected to worsen. Sports field capacity projects are underway, but they are unlikely to fully address demand.

The buildings are not fit-for-purpose
15. The building complex at Liston Park comprises clubrooms, changing rooms, shower rooms and toilets. There is also office accommodation, a kitchen and toilet. Adjacent to the main building there is a separate double garage.
16. The building in its present state is unusable. It is not ‘wind and watertight’.
17. It is also not secure and subsequent to the tenant vacating the building it had been broken into and the interior and exterior has been vandalised.
18. If left for much longer the building will deteriorate to a state where the damage may begin to affect the structural integrity of elements of the building and costly structural work may be required.
19. The roof is largely original and is reaching the end of its useful life. It may be possible to extend its life by careful, albeit costly, repairs.
20. An Asset Assessment Option Summary Report was prepared in May 2019. This summarised the three main options for the buildings (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Investment Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do nothing</td>
<td>Initially no/low cost.</td>
<td>Further and accelerated deterioration of the defective areas.</td>
<td>$0 initially $2M potentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To carry out recommended works</td>
<td>The facilities will continue to be in use to provide services to the communities.</td>
<td>High up-front cost to spend.</td>
<td>$800,000 - $1.5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To demolish the building</td>
<td>Lower cost than fixing the buildings defective areas. Ensures health and safety compliance within the environment.</td>
<td>May lose a community facility</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1- Options for the buildings on Liston Park

The site is sought after by sports clubs and organisations
21. Since 2014 several sports groups, representing various sports codes, have approached the local board about developing Liston Park in partnership with the council.
22. In 2017 the Ōrākei Local Board undertook a Request for Proposals (RFP) process, inviting expressions of interest from organisations for the development and use of the park.
23. The Winston Reid Foundation (WRF) was selected as the preferred organisation to enter into exclusive negotiations for the development of the park.
24. The WRF proposal was a football academy at Liston Park that included the upgrade and use of existing buildings as well as development of the wider park.
25. Between 2017 and 2018 council staff liaised with WRF to present a number of options for the local board to consider.

26. After some time, the local board was not satisfied that the plans would deliver the outcomes originally sought in the 2017 Request for Proposals.

27. In November 2018, the Ōrākei Local Board advised WRF that exclusive dealings were at an end.

28. Since the WRF proposal has fallen through, a number of groups have again expressed an interest in developing Liston Park.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**There are a number of constraints when developing the site**

29. Staff have been assessing the constraints of the site as part of due diligence.

30. There are a number of constraints for any potential development. These include: resource consent costs, existing cell towers, and the reserve status of one land parcel.

31. Staff advise that the constraints are not likely to prohibit development, but there is a risk that a development partner may not be found.

32. If a development partner cannot be found another option will be pursued, most likely council-led development. Due to budget constraints, this is likely to mean that developing the park to meet the service need will take a number of years.

**Securing community access is key for the RFP**

33. Staff recommend that the local board accept proposals that may involve the entire site, or one or more parts of the site. Single, joint or consortium applications will be considered for the development.

34. Staff recommend the following outcomes are included in the RFP document:

**Sports fields requirements**

35. Based on current and future forecast demand, staff recommend upgrading the level of service to allow:
   - between 40 and 50 hours per week of community capacity over the two fields, achieved via sand carpet, hybrid or artificial surfaces
   - a dedicated training area
   - improvement in the flood lighting to consistent 200 lux
   - the majority of the community capacity/use will be between 3pm to 9.30pm, Monday to Friday and 7am to 5pm on Saturdays.

**Changing Rooms and Public Toilets**

36. Auckland Council's guidelines for changing rooms indicate the following is required:
   - 2 x changing rooms with showers
   - 3 x public toilets (e.g. accessible directly from the exterior of the facility)
   - 1 x accessible public toilet
   - 1 x storage room
   - 1 x referee's room with shower
   - Plant and gas storage rooms.

37. The important pedestrian link between Abbots Way and Michaels Avenue will be retained and the ability for continued passive recreation use will be sought.
Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
38. Staff will be able to assess the anticipated climate impact once proposals have been received.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
39. Staff from the Council’s Parks, Sports and Recreation department have worked closely with the Procurement team and Community Facilities staff to test and develop options.
40. Staff will look to minimise ongoing maintenance costs for the council for any proposal.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
41. The community showed support for the development of Liston Park when the initiative was consulted on as part of the 2017-2020 draft Local Board Plan.
42. The project was later included in the final Local Board Plan. The local board is supportive of developing Liston Park in order to provide an increased level of service to their community.
43. Ensuring community access to the use of the park and connectivity to the wider network of sportsfields and facilities is of high importance to the local board.
44. Developing the fields at Liston Park will help to address the growing demand for sport fields in the local board area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
45. Staff will be able to assess the anticipated impact on Māori once proposals have been received.
46. Increasing the level of service at Liston Park will benefit all park users, including Māori.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
47. The RFP process and subsequent negotiations with development partners will be led by council staff. There is no associated LDI funding requested.
48. The RFP process will seek developments that are not contingent on council funding.
49. Staff will seek to minimise ongoing maintenance costs to council associated with any development.
50. If the RFP process does not find a suitable development partner, alternative options will need to be explored further. Council-led development is the most likely option. Due to budget constraints, this may mean that the park is not developed for a number of years.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
51. There is a risk that a suitable development partner will not be found through this process.
52. Staff have assessed the overall viability of the site for third party development. Staff believe that despite constraints, there are options for viable development.
53. Staff will mitigate the risk by ensuring that any potential parties who have shown interest are contacted and the RFP is publicised through the correct channels.
54. Another risk is that a proposal is accepted which is not viable or successful in meeting the service requirements set out above.

55. Staff have mitigated this risk by designing a robust application and assessment process. The RFP documentation will better describe the constraints of the site and aims to accurately determine the viability of proposals.

56. If a development partner(s) is found, staff will work closely with them to broker the relationship with the local board and ensure all the requirements set out above are met.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

57. Should the local board approve the process, the RFP document will be released the following week (week beginning 23 March 2020).

58. The tender will be open until 1 May 2020. Staff will then assess the applications.

59. Staff will table a report to the July 2020 business meeting to seek a decision on a preferred development partner.

60. If there is a successful proposal, staff will enter into exclusive negotiations with the partner/partners.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rob Gear - PSR Portfolio Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Mace Ward - General Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek endorsement of the two applications from the Ōrākei local board area to the regionally contested Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund 2019/2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund (SRFIF) is a $120 million contestable fund allocated through The Long-term Plan 2018-2028, that supports the development of regional and sub-regional community sport and recreation facilities across Auckland.

3. The fund looks to address gaps in provision and allow the council to proactively respond to changing trends in sport and recreation.

4. There is $7 million available in the 2019/2020 financial year. However, applicants can apply for funding from future years, as the planning and investment required to deliver regional and sub-regional facilities is significant.

5. Decision making for this regionally contested fund sits with the Parks, Arts, Community and Events (PACE) Committee. A workshop with the committee will be held in March 2020, with the business meeting to follow in April 2020.

6. Local boards are being asked to endorse projects/groups who have applied for SRFIF funding to ensure a regionally aligned approach.

7. In the 2019/2020 funding round, Auckland Hockey, and Auckland Netball/Auckland Basketball Services Limited have applied for investment from the Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund.

8. Auckland Hockey have applied for $2 million from SRFIF for the development of two artificial hockey turfs at Colin Maiden Park.

9. An Auckland Netball – Auckland Basketball Services Limited partnership seeks $100,000 to investigate expanding the current netball facilities and building new indoor courts at Colin Maiden Park.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) endorse Auckland Hockey’s application to the regionally contested Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund 2019/2020.

b) endorse Auckland Netball/Auckland Basketball Services Limited application to the regionally contested Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund 2019/2020.
Horopaki
Context

10. The Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund is a contestable fund that supports the development of regional and sub-regional community sport and recreation facilities across Auckland.

11. It looks to address gaps in provision and allows the council to proactively respond to changing trends in sport and recreation.

12. A key objective of the fund is to support the delivery of significant capital development projects, but also to develop a pipeline of projects by investing into the investigation, planning and design stages of projects. The balance between planning and capital investment will depend on the merits of the applications received.


14. Decision making for this regionally contested fund sits with the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee.

15. The fund prioritises investment into core infrastructure (e.g. courts, fields, playing surfaces/structures and lighting) that is central to sport and recreation participation. See the SRFIF Guidelines for more detail about investment priorities.

16. A medium funding priority is investment into ancillary infrastructure (e.g. toilets, changing rooms, equipment storage and carparking) that enables safe and sanitary access for participants and spectators.

17. A low funding priority is investment into incidental infrastructure (e.g. clubrooms and administration facilities) that is not required for sports participation but exist for social and management purposes.

18. The deliverability/achievability of projects is another key weighting within the assessment criteria.

19. The fund prioritises investment into facility development projects over $500,000 and partnerships able to leverage additional investment, allowing more of the facilities Auckland needs to be built quicker and more effectively.

20. Projects will be assessed in the context of ‘Increasing Aucklanders’ Participation in Sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039’ (page 20), using the following four investment principles:
   - Equity (40 per cent of assessment): ensures equity of outcomes across the population regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, socio-economic status or location.
   - Outcome-focused (30 per cent of assessment): there is a clear 'line of sight' between the investment and the outcomes it delivers.
   - Financial sustainability (20 per cent of assessment): projects need to be financially viable and affordable for the public.
   - Accountability (10 per cent of assessment): investment should be efficient, effective, transparent and consistent.

21. The application process for the Fund comprises two gateways:
   - **Stage 1** (closed 1 November 2019) – Expression of Interest. A one-page canvas that asked for key information about the problem and opportunity, the proposed intervention, where and who is involved, the funding required and the impact if delivered.
   - **Stage 2** (closed 2 February 2020) – Detailed application. A formal application process asking the applicant to expand on their EOI with further detail, including evidence such as needs analyses, feasibility studies, business cases, detailed design or, other supporting information as relevant to their application.
22. A total of 59 expressions of interests were received. Of those, 21 projects aligned strongly with Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund criteria. Of those 21, 17 Stage 2 submissions were received.

23. An assessment panel comprised of Sport New Zealand and Auckland Council staff will review Stage 2 applications and a workshop will be held with the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee in March 2020, with a business meeting to follow in April 2020.

24. Aktive Auckland Sport & Recreation have withdrawn from the assessment panel for this round as they are making an application on behalf of the multi-code Regional Indoor Court Leadership group, to procure professional services.

25. To capture local board views the 17 projects will be workshopped with local boards to understand if the projects are supported by the relevant board. A formal resolution is required if the board endorse the project.

**Auckland Hockey**

26. Auckland Hockey has applied for $2 million from the Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund for the development of two artificial hockey turfs at Colin Maiden Park.

27. The total project cost is $7.2 million. Auckland Hockey and University Hockey Club have contributed $100,000 for professional fees. Auckland Council has previously indicated support of $2 million.

28. Auckland Hockey has applied to external funders for the remaining project costs.

**Auckland Netball in partnership with Auckland Basketball Services Limited**

29. An Auckland Netball - Auckland Basketball Services Limited partnership aims to expand current indoor netball facilities and construct basketball and other indoor sport courts and facilities.

30. The partnership seeks $100,000 for geotechnical work to be undertaken this financial year.

31. A geotechnical report will determine the viability of a subsequent feasibility study to be undertaken in the next financial year (a further $100,000 in 2021).

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Auckland Hockey**

32. The project is the top facility priority for Auckland Hockey and will operate as a regional community hub.

33. The project proposal is included in the Colin Maiden Precinct Masterplan (2016).

34. The board approved an agreement to lease in December, the documentation is currently being processed for the club to sign.

35. The development of two artificial turfs will be a multi-user asset providing year-round increased capacity.

36. The development of two artificial hockey turfs will address the immediate and growing demand for hockey turfs across greater Auckland, with existing facilities already pushed to capacity. It will also address the geographic imbalance of hockey turfs in Auckland.

37. The Auckland region will benefit from the facility as it will host club level hockey and regional tournaments. The facility will host participation and development programme users.

**Auckland Netball in partnership with Auckland Basketball Services Limited**

38. The proposal has a high alignment with the Indoor Court Facility Strategy 2019 and was identified at key priority for the region.
39. As Ngahue Reserve is a closed landfill it is known that the site may have some geotechnical issues that will impact the feasibility of further development. Pending the result of a geotechnical study a full feasibility study would be required to provide analysis of all related factors in regard to the feasibility of the development. These two stages are separate due to the known geotechnical risk at the site.

40. There will be possibility for collaboration with other indoor sports usage at this stage for future use.

41. The proposed development is indicatively shown in the Colin Maiden Park masterplan and is in the Auckland Netball Centre existing leased area.

42. Staff recommend the local board endorse both applications from within its local board area to the Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund 2019/2020. This provides the highest level of support for applicants from the Ōrākei Local Board area.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhurarangi**  
**Climate impact statement**

43. If both projects from the Ōrākei Local Board area were to be completed there would be an increase in cars travelling into Colin Maiden Park. The increase in traffic, congestion, and vehicles coming in/out of the park will increase the emission of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases.

44. Local board endorsement at this stage will have no direct significant climate impact in relation to either project.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
**Council group impacts and views**

**Auckland Hockey**

45. As this is a council owned land, an agreement to lease is required. At the December 2019 Ōrākei Local Board meeting a resolution was passed to grant an agreement to lease to Auckland Hockey at Colin Maiden Park for a footprint including two artificial turfs.

46. Stakeholder and Land Advisory leasing specialists have been working on an agreement to lease for Auckland Hockey.

47. Staff support the initiative as it is in alignments with Colin Maiden Masterplan 2016.

48. There are no other anticipated council group impacts.

49. Annual maintenance for the hockey turfs have been built in to project business models so there is no reliance on the local board for operational funding.

**Auckland Netball in partnership with Auckland Basketball Services Limited**

50. Staff support the initiative as it is in alignment with Colin Maiden Masterplan 2016, however the development would require landowner approval at a later stage.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**  
**Local impacts and local board views**

51. Both projects have been identified within the 2016 Colin Maiden Park Precinct Master Plan, accepted and endorsed by the Ōrākei Local Board.

52. The Ōrākei Local Board granted a lease to Auckland Hockey at 5 December 2019 business meeting.

53. Due to projected growth in the local board area of nearly 20 per cent by 2028, the addition of these facilities to Colin Maiden Park will help alleviate regional pressure on facilities.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
54. The assessment criteria developed for this fund has a stronger weighting for projects that are Māori-led, have high collaboration with Māori organisations, prioritises strategically increased participation by Māori and/or involves activities with the likelihood of high Māori participation.

Auckland Hockey
55. Hockey New Zealand are a key collaborative partner in which New Zealand Māori Hockey is an affiliated incorporated society.
56. The key driver of the New Zealand Māori Hockey is to drive Māori participation and eliminate existing barriers such as cost.

Auckland Netball in partnership with Auckland Basketball Services Limited
57. At this stage of geotechnical work there is limited Māori impact, however future use of the indoor court spaces would have significant Māori impact. On-going conversations and discussions are being held with local iwi
58. Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei have expressed support for the project during initial engagement with Auckland Netball.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
59. The Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund is a regional budget allocated through The Long-term Plan 2018-2028.
61. An objective of the fund is to invest into significant capital development projects that will be delivered quickly to get Aucklanders active. But also, to develop a pipeline of projects by investing into the investigation, planning and design stages of projects. The balance between planning and capital development investment will depend on the merits of the applications received.

Auckland Hockey
62. The total project cost is $7.2 million. $100,000 has been contributed by Auckland Hockey and University Hockey Club for professional fees. Auckland Council have previously indicated support of $2 million also.
63. There is a remaining shortfall and Auckland Hockey are currently applying to external funders.
64. Financial modelling for the facility shows that the facility is sustainable long term with self-sustainable generating income through turf hire.

Auckland Netball in partnership with Auckland Basketball Services Limited
65. Auckland Netball – Auckland Basketball Services Limited partnership seek $100,000 for geotechnical work to be undertaken this financial year.
66. If the geotechnical results are unfavorable for future use the project will not go further.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
67. The deliverability of projects is a key weighting within the criteria to be used by the assessment panel. This includes:
   • having an achievable funding plan in place
• having the necessary skills and expertise (in-house or procured) to deliver the project
• having ticked off any relevant key project milestones such as site tenure, consent, etc.

68. Not all projects that apply will receive Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Funding. Some organisations have already been redirected to other funding sources as appropriate (e.g. Local Board Grants, Surf 10:20 Fund, RFA), whilst others may apply again in future rounds when their project is further developed.

69. Some projects will not align strongly with the criteria used for the Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund. However, there may be other local drivers as to why local boards and non-council funders invest in those projects. It is incumbent on all parties to set realistic expectations in regard the funding mechanisms available.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

70. All the stage 2 projects will be workshopped with the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee in March 2020.

71. A report will be tabled at the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee business meeting in April 2020.

72. Should the projects be allocated funding from SRFIF, a funding agreement will be developed in May-June 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Marissa Holland - Sport and Recreation Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers          | Mace Ward - General Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation  
|                      | Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden & Orakei Local Boards |
Classification and Agreement to Lease for proposed Community Centre 30 Tephra Boulevard Stonefields

File No.: CP2020/03131

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval to classify a portion of the land at 30 Tephra Boulevard to allow for a Community Centre and to approve an Agreement to Lease to the community group who are promoting the project.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. In 2018, the Ōrākei Local Board (the Local Board resolved in part to "support the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields suburb").

3. The proposed community centre is being progressed by an incorporated society, the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated (the group). The group has already been gifted a building which is temporarily stored at Ngāhue Reserve until the local board considers this report.

4. This report deals with the classification of part of the land at 30 Tephra Boulevard. It also deals with approving an Agreement to Lease for a community centre building to be located within the area being classified.

5. Staff were tasked with assessing the proposal as an initial candidate for the Partnership model defined in the draft Auckland Council Facility Partnership Policy.

6. Staff investigated the proposal and reported to the Local Board in August 2019 recommending against the proposal, but the Board chose to continue the support and asked staff to undertake the procedures to allow the community centre to be located on the land. The investigation showed there was no reserve or park within Stonefields that could accommodate a community facility without impacting significantly on the combined park and wider community outcomes.

7. A survey of the land has been undertaken to define the land area to be classified. The survey plan has been lodged and approved by Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) (attachment A).

8. Although staff recommended against the proposal, the Local Board has supported the proposal on two occasions. This report therefore recommends the Board approve the classification of a portion of the land at 30 Tephra Boulevard Stonefields as local purpose (community buildings) reserve, and the granting of an agreement to lease subject to conditions to allow the location of a community centre building on the land.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) approve, pursuant to Section 162(A) of the Reserves Act 1977, that 765m² of the land at 30 Tephra Boulevard Stonefields described as Section 1 on survey office (SO) plan 545176 being shown as attachment A of this report, be classified as local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

b) approve the granting of an agreement to lease to the Stonefields Community Centre
Incorporated for it to establish a community centre within the area described in a) above subject to the following conditions:

i) the successful classification of Section 1 on the survey office (SO) plan 545176 as local purpose (community buildings) reserve

ii) a successful consultation with iwi groups with an interest in the Stonefields area

iii) the agreement to lease commencing on 19 March 2020 for a term of two years, during which the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated shall undertake fundraising, seek and obtain resource, building and other approvals including land owner approval, and locate the building on the site and obtaining code compliance.

c) subject to the criteria described in b) above being satisfied, grant a community lease to the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated on the following terms and conditions:

i) an initial term of 10 years with one right of renewal of 10 years

ii) rent of one dollar ($1.00) plus GST per annum, if demanded

iii) the commencement date of the lease to be the same day that all the criteria in b) are satisfied

iv) a community outcomes plan be negotiated with the group and approved by the chair and deputy chair of the Ōrākei Local Board

v) all other terms and conditions to be in accord with the council Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.

Horopaki
Context
9. In 2018, the Ōrākei Local Board supported the location of a community centre building on land at 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields.

10. As the land is held under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977, the land must be classified to allow a lease to be issued for the occupation of the land.

11. This report deals with the classification of the land and the granting of an agreement to lease to allow for the location of a community centre on the land.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Decisions to date
12. Following a presentation from members of the Stonefields community in November 2018, the Ōrākei Local Board resolved as follows:

Resolution number OR/2018/224

MOVED by Deputy Chairman C Claridge, seconded by Member C Davis:

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the presentation and thank David Ealson and Barry Goodey for their attendance.

b) support the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields suburb.
c) request Community and Social Policy staff to examine Stonefields Community Group’s proposal for a community space within Stonefields as being an initial candidate for the Partnership model as defined in the draft Auckland Council Facility Partnership Policy.

d) request Community and Social Policy staff to assist Stonefields Community Group’s proposal for a community space within Stonefields by assigning the Board’s Strategic Broker to act as liaison, and conduit for obtaining the necessary documentation and access to people and resources as per the draft policy.

e) request the Manager Land Advisory Services to update the Board on the classification of all reserves in the Stonefields area, including the reserve area located at 130R Barbarich Drive, Stonefields contained in Lot 903 DP440854, in order to facilitate this land for use as a community building site.

f) request the Community Lease Advisor to prepare an appropriate community lease agreement if the site is agreed for a community space within Stonefields suburb.

g) request that this work to examine the Stonefields Community Group’s proposal for a community space within Stonefields be completed by the end of this financial year.

CARRIED

13. Following the presentation of a staff report (Attachment B) at the August 2019 business meeting of the Ōrākei Local Board, the Board approved the following resolution:

Resolution number OR/2019/151

MOVED by Member C Davis, seconded by Member T Churton:

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) confirm its resolution of 15 November 2018 to “support the community initiative for locating a community-owned community space within Stonefields suburb”.

b) request staff to engage a survey of the western end of Lot 903 and to define a suitable portion of that allotment on which to site the building, owned by the Stonefields Community Centre Inc. and to be used as a community facility, and which is presently and temporarily located on Council-owned Ngahue Reserve.

c) request staff to initiate the required statutory process to effect the classification of part of the western end of the Council-owned reserve at 30 Tephra Boulevard (formerly known as 130R Barbarich Drive), legally described as Lot 903 DP 440854, for this purpose.

d) request staff to initiate the required consultation with local iwi and other stakeholders, prior to reporting to the Board any recommendation to declare the land to be classified as a Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve.

e) request that the building’s owner, Stonefields Community Centre Inc., fully fund the survey process, noting that the Board has already allocated its 2019/2020 Community Facilities Work Programme and that the proposal for a community space is not consistent with the Council’s Community Facilities Network Action Plan.

f) note this is the first step in the statutory process before the community building can be located on the surveyed site and before a community lease can be granted for the surveyed part of Lot 903.

CARRIED

The Land

14. The property at 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, is legally described as Lot 903 DP 440854 and comprises of a total area of 2.0791 hectares. Lot 903 is currently held in fee simple by Auckland Council as an unclassified recreation reserve, subject to the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977.
15. The land was acquired with the intention of providing recreational open space for the community. The classification proposal has arisen from a community group-led initiative rather than a council project, and as such, the council would not ordinarily classify part of 30 Tephra Boulevard as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

16. Lot 903 was originally vested on the deposit of plan DP 440854 as a recreation reserve, pursuant to Section 239 (1) (a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. To enable a community building to be sited on Lot 903 and to also meet the requirements of the Reserves Act, the portion of Lot 903 to be occupied by the building must be classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve. This portion of the reserve amounts to 765m².

17. Local boards have been delegated the powers of Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act. The Ōrākei Local Board can resolve, pursuant to Section 16 (2A), to classify the required portion of Lot 903 as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

18. A survey office plan has been approved to define the area to be classified as local purpose reserve (attachment A).

The Agreement to Lease
19. The group promoting the proposal is the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated (the group).

20. The group has already been gifted a building which is temporarily stored at Ngāhue Reserve until the local board considers this report.

21. The group is optimistic that it will be able to begin the construction phase of the project shortly after approval is given and the statutory processes are undertaken.

22. An agreement to lease provides time for the group to meet obligations ahead of a lease commencing. In this case, agreement to lease can allow for fundraising, seeking and obtaining resource, building and other approvals, assessing the suitability of the building and locating the building to the site and obtaining code compliance.

23. As noted, the group is confident that it will be able to commence development of the site soon after approvals are given. However, to accommodate any unknown consequences that may be identified during the fundraising, approval, and works process and to avoid having to seek approval of time extensions, a timeframe for the agreement to lease longer than anticipated is recommended. In this case, a timeframe of two years is recommended.

24. If the group can meet the agreement to lease criteria ahead of the deadline, then the lease can commence earlier.

25. A draft template of the lease will be appended to the agreement to lease. Provided the group meet the provisions of the agreement to lease as noted above a community lease can commence.

26. The council’s Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012 provides for community group-owned building on council-owned land to have an initial lease term of 10 years with one right of renewal of 10 years.

27. A community outcomes plan should be negotiated with the group. This can specify the expected community benefits that will be delivered by the group. The community outcomes plan will be attached to the lease and the report recommends that the outcomes plan be negotiated with the group and a delegation to the chair and deputy chair of the Ōrākei Local Board be provided to approve the plan.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
28. The anticipated contribution to greenhouse gases from the proposed redevelopment is minimal.
29. A narrow flood path crosses the site and may affect the siting or level of the floor of the building. Any required measures will be identified and dealt with as part of the resource and building consent process.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

30. Staff have investigated the proposal and consider that there is no reserve or park within Stonefields that can accommodate a community facility without impacting significantly on the combined park and wider community outcomes.

31. Parks and Places staff further advised that any community building must meet the outcomes of the Auckland Community Facilities Network Action Plan (CFNP), which include that a community building is:
   - Accessible – universally accessible
   - Best Placed – easy to find and get to, and well-placed to serve the community and catchment
   - Flexible – able to respond and adapt to the changing needs of the community and support continued growth in participation
   - Functional – designed to accommodate the intended range of functions and activities, and utilise the outdoor environment to support participation
   - High Quality – well-maintained, safe and provides an enjoyable customer experience
   - Sustainable – capitalise on opportunities to deliver value for money for the ratepayer and user, minimise environmental impact and balance the needs of present and future generations.

32. The CFNP criteria listed above provide direction for investment in community facilities. It signals the preferred approach for future investment in integrated, fit-for-purpose facilities, rather than stand-alone facilities. To deliver on the CFNP criteria, staff are currently progressing work on the Meadowbank Community Centre in partnership with Panuku, to provide for the growth needs of Stonefields and other surrounding communities.

33. This report has been prepared by staff from Land Advisory Services and Community Leasing.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

34. The previous Local Board supported the proposal for the community centre on two occasions.

35. As noted earlier in the report the network priorities for community facilities are for the Meadowbank Community Centre to provide community space for the Stonefields area. By placing this facility at this location results in the loss of 765m² of recreation reserve area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

36. Consultation has been undertaken relating to the classification with no adverse comments or submissions. Iwi consultation relating to the lease is still to be undertaken and will be part of the approvals process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea Financial implications

37. There are no financial implications for the board in either classifying the land or granting the agreement to lease.
38. The cost of the survey to define the classified area and lodging of the plan with LINZ and publication of the gazette notice has been borne by the group.

39. Public advertising costs are met by the Community Facilities Department.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**  
**Risks and mitigations**

40. The quality, compliance and appearance of the proposed community building to be located on 30 Tephra Boulevard is also to be assessed. A condition report by Community Facilities prior to the building’s relocation, or the granting of a community lease to the group, will be required.

41. The condition report will assess structure, cladding, materials, life expectancy and whether there are any asbestos containing materials in the building. This report will project maintenance and renewal costs and can be utilised by the group in the production of a ten-year renewals programme for the maintenance of its building.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**  
**Next steps**

42. On approval of the recommendations in this report, staff will prepare the Agreement to Lease and work with the group to progress establishment of the centre.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**  
**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment A Site Plan</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Attachment B August 2019 Board Report</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina**  
**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ron Johnson - Lease Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community initiative for a community space within Stonefields

File No.: CP2019/14726

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To recommend that the Ōrākei Local Board does not progress with the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At its 15 November 2018 meeting the Ōrākei Local Board resolved to support the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields. The local board also requested information regarding the classification of all reserves in the Stonefields area in order to facilitate land for use as a community building site and requested the Community Lease Advisor to prepare an appropriate community lease agreement if a site can be agreed for a community space within Stonefields.

3. Staff have investigated the proposal and consider that there is no reserve or park within Stonefields that can accommodate a community facility without impacting significantly on combined park and wider community outcomes.

4. In addition, the proposal is not consistent with the Community Facilities Network Action Plan, which outlines that the Meadowbank Community Centre, the St Heliers Library and the Tamaki Ex-Services Association Hall are the three facilities which have been identified as priorities for upgrading and enhancing existing community facilities.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) does not approve the progression of the community initiative of locating a community building on reserves within Stonefields.

Horopaki
Context
5. At its 15 November 2018 meeting the local board received a deputation from David Ealcon and Barry Goody who presented a proposal for a location of a community space within Stonefields.

6. The local board resolved inter alia as follows:

b) support the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields suburb.

e) request the Manager Land Advisory Services to update the Board on the classification of all reserves in the Stonefields area, including the reserve area located at 130R Barbarich Drive, Stonefields contained in Lot 903 DP440854, in order to facilitate this land for use as a community building site.

f) request the Community Lease Advisor to prepare an appropriate community lease agreement if the site is agreed for a community space within Stonefields suburb.
g) request that this work to examine the Stonefields Community Group's proposal for a community space within Stonefields be completed by the end of this financial year.

7. Following the local board meeting, staff from across the organisation have worked with the local board and the residents of Stonefields to investigate the proposal further and look at options for the community space, including 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, which has been identified by the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated (the group) as its preferred location to situate a community building.

8. It is noted that the correct address for the site the group identified at 130R Barbarich Drive, is in fact 30 Tephra Boulevard (Lot 903 DP440854).

**Reserve status of 30 Tephra Boulevard**

9. The property sited at 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, is legally described as Lot 903 DP 440854 and comprises of a total area of 2.0791 hectares. Lot 903 is currently held in fee simple by Auckland Council as an unclassified recreation reserve, subject to the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977.

10. Lot 903 was originally vested on the deposit of plan DP 440854 as a recreation reserve, pursuant to Section 239 (1) (a) of the Resource Management Act 1991. To enable a community building to be sited on Lot 903 and to also meet the requirements of the Reserves Act, the portion of Lot 903 to be occupied by the building must be classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

11. Local boards have been delegated the powers of Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act. The Ōrākei Local Board can resolve, pursuant to Section 16 (2A), to classify the required portion of Lot 903 as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

12. This section outlines staff advice with respect to the proposal for a community initiative for a community space within Stonefields.

**Service Strategy and Integration input**

13. Service Strategy and Integration staff assessed the Stonefields Community Centre proposal and advised it was not consistent with the council's Community Facilities Network Action Plan. This assessment was made on a priority basis where the Meadowbank Community Centre, the St Heliers Library and the Tamaki Ex-Services Association Hall are the three facilities which have been identified as priorities for upgrading and enhancing. These three sites have been prioritised, and all fit-for-purpose works should be carried out prior to engaging in any additional sites for a similar facility.

**Arts, Community and Events input**

14. An empowered community is one where individuals, whanau and communities have the power and ability to influence decisions, take action and make change happen in their lives and communities.

15. The mandate of the Community Empowerment Unit is to empower Aucklanders to design and lead initiatives that make their communities great and to build tools and resources to enable the great ideas that come from within communities to have the best chance of succeeding. From this perspective, CEU staff have worked with the Stonefields Community Centre group to enable the group to achieve its purpose. Potential risks were discussed with the group, and the group was supported to make amendments to its proposal in order to mitigate these risks.

16. From a community empowerment perspective, the Stonefields Community Centre proposal is a positive example of a community-led placemaking initiative. The population of
Stonefields is expected to be 6,400 within the next few years, and this growing community will benefit from opportunities to create social cohesion and build community connections.

17. The benefits of taking a community-led placemaking approach for community projects include:
   - strengthening and connecting communities through a collaborative process of co-design, creativity and construction of unique gathering places
   - providing an equitable and accessible process for communities to identify and determine local priorities
   - increasing community engagement and wellbeing
   - building community identity and neighbourhood resourcefulness
   - creating community ownership of public spaces with the communities assuming full responsibility for these spaces.

**Parks Services input**

18. Parks and Places staff have investigated the viability of placing the community building at 30 Tephra Boulevard, on a portion of Lot 903 near the existing pump station, in the location indicated by the red rectangle in the image below.

![Image of Tephra Boulevard location](image)

19. The location indicated in red above is the most favourable location within the immediate vicinity of Stonefields. Parks and Places staff advise that locating the facility at the western end of the reserve, being the site preferred by the group, still poses many issues for the operation of a community building, such as:
   - **Parking** – there is little space to develop additional parking capacity for a community building at this location, when also considering the current residential and commercial development at the end of Barbarich Drive. In order for the community building to be financially viable, a wider catchment of visitors outside of Stonefields must also be attracted to use the facility, and who in all likelihood would need to drive to the site.
   - **Access to pump station** – access to the pump station will need to be maintained at all times, restricting the amount of on street parking.
   - **Services** – there is likely to be a significant number of stormwater services in the vicinity of the pump station, which could prohibit the installation of power plus mains and waste water supplies to a new building.
• **Place making** – a community building on a reserve should ideally contribute to ‘place making’. The look and feel of the building in question should contain external building features which are sympathetic to the surrounding natural landscape.

20. Parks and Places staff consider that there is no reserve or park within the Stonefields development that can accommodate a community facility without impacting significantly on combined park and wider community outcomes.

21. Parks and Places staff further advise that any community building must meet the outcomes of the Auckland Community Facilities Network Action Plan (CFNP), which include that a community building is:

- **Accessible** – universally accessible.
- **Best Placed** – easy to find and get to, and well-placed to serve the community and catchment.
- **Flexible** – able to respond and adapt to the changing needs of the community and support continued growth in participation.
- **Functional** – designed to accommodate the intended range of functions and activities, and utilise the outdoor environment to support participation.
- **High Quality** – well-maintained, safe and provides an enjoyable customer experience.
- **Sustainable** – capitalise on opportunities to deliver value for money for the ratepayer and user, minimise environmental impact and balance the needs of present and future generations.

22. The CFNP criteria listed above provide direction for investment in community facilities. It signals the preferred approach for future investment in integrated, fit-for-purpose facilities, rather than stand-alone facilities. To deliver on the CFNP criteria, staff are currently progressing work on the Meadowbank Community Centre in partnership with Panuku, to provide for the growth needs of Stonefields and other surrounding communities.

**Healthy Waters input**

23. Infrastructure and Environmental Services staff have provided advice on management of the community building’s proximity to the stormwater pump station. Healthy Waters staff advise that if the building location is well clear of the rising main that passes between the existing pump station and the proposed community centre building, there is no anticipated negative impact on the operation and maintenance of the stormwater pumping station.

**Community Facilities input**

24. Should the community building be located on 30 Tephra Boulevard and be granted a community lease, all costs incurred to install stormwater, wastewater, power, internet and any other utilities necessary to serve the community building, must be borne by the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated.

25. Council will not be liable for the installation of any utilities and similar services connecting into the community building, as this building is not deemed a priority in the Community Facilities Network Plan Action Plan. Therefore there has been no funding identified in the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) for this activity.

26. Damage to any part of 30 Tephra Boulevard, caused through the installation of the community building and service connections, must also be repaired and reinstated by the group if that damage is directly caused by the group or their associated contractor’s actions.

**Summary of staff advice**

27. Staff have investigated the proposal and consider that there is no reserve or park within Stonefields that can accommodate a community facility without impacting significantly on combined park and wider community outcomes.

28. In addition the proposal is not consistent with the Community Facilities Network Action Plan, which outlines that the Meadowbank Community Centre, the St Heliers Library and the
Tamaki Ex-Services Association Hall are the three facilities which have been identified as priority for upgrading and enhancing existing community facilities.

29. Should the local board wish to progress with the group’s initiative for a community facility within Stonefields, it will be necessary to classify the relevant part 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve. This has the following implications:
   
   • the local board will need to identify a project from the 2019/2020 Community Facilities Work Programme to be deferred to 2020/2021 to enable staff to deliver on the decision of the local board
   
   • the local board will need to allocate $10,000 from its 2019/2020 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) budget to support the classification of 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve. This would also require the deferral of a project to 2020/2021 or the reallocation of budget from another project (such as community grants)
   
   • a maintenance programme, where the building’s plans and maintenance must be managed by the group with a 10-year renewals programme for the building
   
   • a building condition assessment report must be undertaken prior to relocating the building onto the reserve, or refurbishment to ascertain whether the building has any asbestos
   
   • Community Facilities will not be responsible for the community building’s ongoing maintenance or capital works, as there is no available funding for this management
   
   • If the classification of 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve is successful and the Board decides to progress a new lease for the community building, the Board would need to identify a lease to be deferred from the 2019/2020 Community Leases Work Programme to enable staff to deliver on this new lease.

30. The following sections outline the classification process and the leasing process should the Board decide to progress with the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields.

Classification process

31. The Ōrākei Local Board must approve the commencement of the classification process.

32. The classification process will require the council to engage with local iwi on the proposed classification. This consultation and engagement is required under Section 4 of the Conservation Act 1987 and council protocols under the Local Government Act 2002.

33. Council staff will fulfill their statutory duties by attending a mana whenua forum to explain the proposal, and write to the relevant iwi authorities outlining the proposed classification.

34. Staff must provide iwi authorities with 20 working days to submit a response on the classification proposal. Providing that feedback from iwi engagement is supportive of the proposed classification purpose, staff will submit a report to the local board recommending that part of Lot 903 be classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve, subject to Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act.

35. In addition to its obligations to engage iwi on the proposal, the council must also undertake a land survey of the portion of Lot 903 to be classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve. The land survey is necessary to register the exact area of Lot 903 to be classified for the proposed purpose, and to reference this Survey Office Plan in any resolution made pursuant to Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act.

Future community leases considered for part of Lot 903 DP 440854

36. If the Stonefields Community Centre Incorporated (the group) applies for a lease, a community lease can only be resolved upon and granted after the classification progress is completed. Any community lease to be granted to a group for community purposes or a
similar activity over a classified local purpose (community buildings) reserve, must be issued subject to Section 61 (2A) of the Reserves Act.

37. Section 61 of the Reserves Act permits the granting of leases for community or similar purposes, and may accommodate commercial activities if necessary to facilitate that community purpose. Commercial activities would only be permitted on a classified local purpose (community buildings) reserve, if the subject lease explicitly contemplated and allowed the lessee to undertake such commercial activities.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

38. The analysis and advice section above sets out the views from across the Council family and provides integrated advice for the consideration of the local board.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

39. The local board resolved in April 2018, that the council should investigate the classification of part of Lot 903 DP 440854 and also explore options for the location of a community facility in Stonfields (OR/2018/65).

40. In November 2018, the local board resolved to support the community initiative for a community space within Stonfields suburb, and requested that a community lease agreement be prepared once a site has been agreed (OR/2018/224).

41. The group has already been gifted a building and recently received landowner approval from Community Facilities, by delegation from the Ōrākei Local Board, to temporarily locate this building at Ngāhau Reserve until the Board can formally consider staff advice on the proposal.

42. The approval of the classification of a portion of 30 Tephra Boulevard, to enable the relocation of a building for a community centre, will provide positive outcomes for the local community and support local board plan outcome two: “Our residents are proud of their community facilities and public spaces”.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

43. Staff will engage with local iwi as part of the classification process for 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonfields should the Board decide to progress with the proposal. This will include attending a mana whenua forum and writing to local iwi authorities to consult on the proposed classification.

44. This is required by Section 4 of the Conservation Act 1987, and council protocols under the Local Government Act 2002. If all feedback obtained from iwi engagement is in support of the proposed classification, then the classification process will progress to a recommendation that the local board resolve to classify part of Lot 903 subject to Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act.

45. If iwi authorities are not supportive of the proposed classification, then the council must negotiate with iwi to agree on a suitable classification. The local board will then be advised and approached for formal approval.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

46. The classification process can cost up to $10,000. This sum includes:

- the payment for a land survey plan to define the part of the reserve to be portioned and separately classified
- consultation with iwi, such as attending a mana whenua forum
• publishing notice of the classification resolution in the New Zealand Gazette.

47. The land at 30 Tephra Boulevard was acquired with the intention of providing recreational open space for the community. This classification proposal has arisen from a community group-led initiative rather than a council project, and as such, the council would not ordinarily be classifying part of 30 Tephra Boulevard, Stonefields, as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

48. The Community Facilities Department will not be liable for any classification costs incurred through this proposal, apart from staff time, as the proposal is not a priority in the Community Facilities Network Action Plan. The proposed classification category of a local purpose (community buildings) reserve is inconsistent with the purpose for which the reserve was vested in council, being a recreation purpose.

49. The Ōrākei Local Board will be required to pay for any land survey, iwi engagement and Gazette publication costs incurred by the proposal to classify part of 30 Tephra Boulevard as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
50. A community facility in Stonefields was not identified as a priority within the Community Facilities Network Action Plan. The risk of prioritising this proposal within the Ōrākei Local Board area is that the Stonefields proposal will not be adequately resourced by staff or funding. In addition, the current priority actions will suffer from constrained resourcing across more projects than have been planned and budgeted for, meaning that delivery of all community building projects may suffer as a result.

51. The quality, compliance and appearance of the proposed community building to be located on 30 Tephra Boulevard must also be assessed. A condition report by Community Facilities prior to the building's relocation, or the granting of a community lease to the group, will be required.

52. The condition report will assess structure, cladding, materials, life expectancy and whether there are any asbestos containing materials in the building. This report will project maintenance and renewal costs, and can be utilised by the group in the production of a ten-year renewals programme for the maintenance of its building.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
53. Should the Board agree not to progress with the community initiative for a community space within Stonefields there will be no further action by staff.

54. Should the Board decide to progress the proposal staff will initiate engagement with iwi and contract a licenced land surveyor to undertake the resurvey of the site to administer the classification process. As there is no budget identified for this activity, all costs incurred by these statutory requirements will need to be funded by the Ōrākei Local Board.

55. Providing the feedback from iwi engagement is supportive of the proposed classification, the local board will receive a report recommending that part of Lot 903 is classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve, in accordance with the classification provisions of the Reserves Act.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Action Framework - Proposed changes

File No.: CP2020/02826

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to outline key amendments to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework and to obtain the local board’s views.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee resolved to develop an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region (ENV/2018/11).
3. To meet this requirement, Auckland Council led the development of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework, (‘ACAF’) with extensive collaboration and engagement with mana whenua, public, private and voluntary sectors.
4. In June 2019, the Environment and Community Committee approved a consultation draft of ACAF and associated materials.
5. In February 2020, a memorandum was circulated to share key findings from the public consultation (Attachments A and B).
6. To address the feedback from the consultation, this report outlines key structural changes proposed for the framework including:
   - introducing three pillars representing the core drivers to which all actions will align (i.e., a place-based approach; emissions reduction; preparing for climate change).
   - moving from eleven key moves to eight priorities to streamline actions and address feedback.
7. It is also proposed that the title of the document is changed from Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan to reflect feedback and the greater focus on the impact of actions against our climate goals and roles in delivery. In addition, this provides certainty for roles and responsibilities with regards to implementation.
8. The proposed changes meet the requirements of a climate action plan as defined by C40 Cities.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) provide feedback on the changes to the draft Te Tāruke-a-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework including:
   - introducing three pillars representing the core drivers for climate action (i.e., a place-based approach; emissions reduction; preparing for climate change)
   - moving from eleven key moves to eight priorities
   - changing the title from Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan.
Horopaki

Context

9. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee resolved to develop an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region, addressing both emissions reduction (i.e. mitigation) and preparing for the impacts of a changing climate (i.e. adaptation) (ENV/2018/11).

10. To meet this requirement, Auckland Council led the development of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework, (‘ACAF’) with extensive collaboration and engagement with mana whenua, public, private and voluntary sectors, reaching hundreds of Aucklanders.

11. Local board engagement and insights were sought throughout development of the framework, including meetings and cluster workshops. A summary of feedback from local boards is available in Attachments C and D.

12. In June 2019, the Environment and Community Committee approved the consultation draft of ACAF and associated materials.

13. In February 2020, a memo was circulated to all local boards to share key findings from the public consultation on the draft ACAF (Attachment A and B).

14. This report provides an overview of key proposed changes to the draft ACAF to address the feedback received through the consultation. Local Board views will be reflected in the final version, which will be reported to the Environment and Climate Change Committee in May 2020.

15. More detailed changes reported in the consultation summary are not repeated here but will be reflected in text changes in the final version.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

16. The proposed changes to ACAF have been informed by consultation feedback received on the draft document. Some key themes that arose include:

- **Urgency and scale of action** needs to be better articulated
- **Lack of clarity on how key moves work together** and how they address our climate goals. In addition it was felt that there are too many.
- **Need to be clearer about roles and responsibilities** with a request for more information on who is responsible for actions at each level.
- **Need for partnership working across sectors** and with central government and mana whenua in particular.
- **Greater focus on equity** across feedback points.
- **Need for a strong Māori voice** with widespread support for working with Māori, using mātauranga Māori and Māori practices in designing and implementing climate action.
- **Need for a system shift** and scale of change required, and to better articulate this with Aucklanders.
- **Need for communication and behaviour change** and a request for campaigns to raise awareness across the region and enable action at an individual level.
- **Need for a significant shift in transport (of all key moves)** with the identified actions supported but a need for these to be delivered at pace and scale.

17. To address this feedback a number of key structural changes are proposed.

18. The first of these is establish three core drivers for action – our ‘pillars’ (Attachment E). These provide greater clarity on the goals of the framework and all actions will align to how they deliver against these goals:
• **A Tāmaki response**: This pillar reflects the uniqueness of Auckland and our place-based response to climate change. It is informed by learning from Māori principles and practice, provides a greater focus on equity and a better definition of roles and responsibilities and collective action across governance and sectors.

• **Reducing our emissions**: This pillar reflects the need to provide greater clarity on our emissions target and the need to halve emissions by 2030 and reach net zero emissions by 2050. It improves alignment with the actions and how we will deliver and prioritise emissions reductions.

• **Preparing for climate change**: This pillar enables a greater focus on how we will approach climate change adaptation and take a precautionary approach for the region and also provides greater alignment with the actions.

19. The second structural change is that the eleven key moves are streamlined into eight priorities (Attachment F). This proposed change is to address feedback on where areas are more foundational and therefore should be embedded throughout all priority areas, or where there is confusion and overlap.

• It is proposed that Key Move 3: Make development and infrastructure climate compatible and Key Move 4: Transform existing buildings and places are combined into a single built environment priority area.

• It is proposed that Key Move 1: Lay the foundation is embedded into our three pillars in recognition of the cross-cutting nature of the actions.

• Similarly, Key Move 9- Rangatahi (Youth & Inter-generational equity) is embedded into pillar 1 to reflect the need to consider actions across the framework.

20. Actions contained within Key Moves 1 and 9 will still be maintained and reflected in the updated document.

21. Actions contained within Key Moves 1-11 will be carried through into Priorities 1-8 (Figure 2) and updated to:

• clarify any ambiguities that were raised in consultation
• remove repetition or overlapping actions
• make additions in response to consultation feedback
• strengthen alignment to delivery of the three pillars.

22. Overall, the intent of the actions between the Key Moves 1-11 and Priority areas 1-8, remain the same. Attachment G briefly summarises how the actions have changed from the consultation document to the updated priority areas.

23. It is also proposed that the title of the document is changed from Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan to reflect feedback and the greater focus on the impact of actions against our climate goals and roles in delivery. In addition, this provides certainty for roles and responsibilities with regards to implementation.

24. The proposed changes meet the requirements of a climate action plan as defined by C40 Cities.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

25. The changes identified in this report have been made to reflect feedback received and updated emissions modelling. As such, they will further deliver and strengthen climate action already identified.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
26. Regular meetings and workshops took place across the council group for development of the framework.
27. In addition, a working group was established from the outset to provide expertise from across the council group, central government and district health boards.
28. This group has continued to provide input post-consultation and has reviewed and provided input into the proposed changes.
29. In addition, the team has been working closely across the Council group in the development of costed actions for consideration in the Long-term Plan. This process is running concurrently with the finalisation of the plan.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
30. The framework will have implications for all local boards.
31. In June 2018, the Chief Sustainability Office attended workshops of 19 of the 21 local boards and obtained informal email feedback from the other two local boards to identify their main priorities related to climate change. This was followed up in September 2018 at cluster workshops to assess and test a series of ‘must haves’, which were the precursors to the actions included in the draft framework.
32. Priorities included:
   • coastal erosion and inundation concerns
   • affordable and accessible transport
   • long-term infrastructure development to consider climate impacts
   • better stormwater management
   • climate-related education and awareness
   • building community resilience
   • for Auckland Council to lead by example.
33. This report seeks Local Board formal views on proposed changes to the draft Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework outlined in this report. These views will be reflected in the final version.
34. Local boards will be key in taking climate action at a local level. Support will be provided for local board planning and alignment with outcomes.
35. The Chief Sustainability Office and Quality Advice Unit will implement a programme of work for the whole council family to provide guidance and training on how to embed climate action in Local Board plans and what to expect in climate impact statements.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
36. Climate change impacts and associated policy and action will have significant impacts for Māori communities.
37. A Tāmaki and climate change subject matter expert rōpū (group) was established in March 2019 which has been supporting and advising mana whenua and council on climate change issues for Māori and providing direct advice and narrative for the draft framework.
38. A rangatahi Māori and Pasifika rōpū has also been working in partnership with council on this kaupapa to develop rangatahi-focused actions for the framework.
39. A joint mana whenua and Māori expert task group is finalising a Tāmaki and climate change position paper, Te ora ō Tāmaki, which will be used as the bridging document to weave key anchor points into the climate action framework.

40. Anchor points include:
   • weaving the narrative into the framework, specifically the following sections: Climate change and Māori, Impacts on Māori and Developing the Plan with Māori
   • a section developed by rangatahi (the Youth and intergenerational equity key move)
   • a separate key move of Te puawaitanga o te tangata (Resilient Māori communities).

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

41. Actions within the framework will result in budgetary implications for organisations across the region; identifying and unlocking appropriate funding and financing streams in the future will be critical.

42. Taking climate action will require a range of finance and/or funding mechanisms. For instance, green bonds have been a useful tool for financing council-owned assets such as electric trains but investment in clean tech may require crowd-sourcing, grants or venture capital.

43. To support this, a climate finance work package is underway to identify partnerships and broader funding mechanisms across actions such as bonds, grants, equity instruments and public/private partnerships.

44. The final framework and specific Auckland Council actions being developed will need to inform on-going Long-term Plan discussions to support delivery and avoid costs associated with inaction, such as increased maintenance costs and infrastructure failures through to missed opportunities to Auckland’s economy in delivering the transition.

45. Not all actions within council’s remit will require additional budget. Some actions can result in long-term cost avoidance – for example electrifying fleets can reduce fuel and maintenance costs. Some actions could require existing funds to be redirected if priorities change.

46. Also, not all actions will require funding, for example those related to advocacy to central government or expert input into actions led by other organisations.

47. The costs associated with different council-specific actions will consider funding sources as described above.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

48. No high or extreme risks have been identified with the proposed approach.

49. Moderate risks exist, including:
   • preparing for the implications of climate change may not comply with current rules and regulations
   • potential strategic risk with non-alignment with New Zealand Government direction and policy
   • potential governance risk in shared leadership and ownership of the framework across sectors.

50. A risk mitigation plan has been developed to address the above, including targeted engagement approaches, a legal review of the final framework, on-going partnership with central government and establishment of clear governance structures for the implementation of the framework.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

51. Workshops will be held in April 2020 with the Environment and Climate Change Committee and Independent Māori Statutory Board to discuss updated framework text, and the final text will be presented to the Environment and Climate Change Committee for approval in May 2020.

52. The draft digital plan layout will be workshopped with the Environment and Climate Change Committee in June 2020 and finalised in July 2020.
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Memorandum

3 February 2020

To: Environment and Climate Change Committee; Local Boards and IMSB

Subject: Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri - Auckland’s Climate Action Framework: Consultation Summary Report

From: Sarah Anderson, ACAF Lead, Chief Sustainability Office, APSR
Contact information: sarah.j.anderson@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
alec.tang@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Purpose
1. To share key findings from the public consultation on Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri - Auckland’s Climate Action Framework and provide an update on next steps.

Summary
3. 2,967 responses were received, including above average responses from Māori (n=578), Pacific Peoples (n=500) and youth (n=556 in the under 25 age bracket).
4. These were analysed by the project team to identify key findings and proposed changes to the framework.
5. Overall there was broad support for the framework.
6. 91% of respondents thought that the framework either fully, or partially takes us in the right direction to act on climate change.
7. 79% of respondents thought that Auckland Council should facilitate action and bring stakeholders together.
8. 93% either fully, or partially fed back that the key moves were right for Auckland.
9. 86% supported or partially supported the view that the key moves will drive organisational action.
10. However, a range of improvements were also suggested. A summary of feedback and proposed changes are in Appendix 1. These proposed changes will be worked up with the Environment and Community Committee in February/early March and will be reported to Committee on 12th March for decision prior to finalisation of the text.
11. Local Boards will also be consulted for formal feedback on the proposed changes in March.
12. Final text for the plan will be taken to the Environment and Community Committee in May with an aim for the final digital plan to be launched in July 2020.

Context
13. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee approved the development of an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region to address both emissions reduction (mitigation) and preparing for the impacts of a changing climate (adaptation).

15. Consultation on the framework opened on 17 July and closed on 30 September 2019.

16. 2,967 responses were received, and a report of key findings and proposed changes are provided in Appendix A.

Discussion

17. Four specific questions were asked during the consultation period, with an opportunity to provide further feedback if needed.

18. Of those that responded directly to the questions:

- 91% of respondents thought that the framework either fully, or partially takes us in the right direction to act on climate change (n=1,543)
- 79% of respondents thought that Auckland Council should facilitate action and bring stakeholders together (n=1,798)
- 93% either fully, or partially fed back that the key moves were right for Auckland (n=1,796)
- 86% supported or partially supported the view that the key moves will drive organisational action (n=1,502)

19. Responses were received from 80 organisations, representing major businesses such as energy providers and industry; subject matter expert networks such as New Zealand Green Building Council and Forest and Bird; academic institutions; community groups; trade unions; and youth (amongst others).

20. Targeted engagement also took place during the consultation period resulting in above average responses from Māori (n=578), Pacific Peoples (n=500) and youth (n=556 in the under 25 age bracket).

Key overall findings

21. Extensive and detailed feedback was received, much of which is informing on-going development of an implementation plan as well as the framework itself.

22. Overall a number of key themes emerged:

**Urgency and scale of action** needs to be better articulated throughout. This was strongly reflected in the feedback with many comments suggesting it does not accurately reflect the climate emergency declaration. The scale of change required was regularly cited with a need to better articulate this with Aucklanders.

**Bolder, accelerated targets are needed across key moves and overall.**

**There is a lack of clarity on how key moves work together** with a view that the current format implies prioritisation and doesn’t demonstrate interlinkages.

**Clarity of roles and responsibilities** is seen as key, with a request for more information on who is responsible for actions at each level and the need for partnerships, particularly in relation to Central Government, rangatahi and mana whenua.

**Transparent monitoring and evaluation** was also highlighted. In addition, there was a strong appetite for independent bodies to monitor progress and support action development, including representation from communities and rangatahi.

**Greater focus on equity** came through across feedback points and was seen as needing greater definition in the framework.

**A strong Māori voice** with widespread support for working with Māori, using mātauranga Māori and Māori practices in designing and implementing climate action

**Clear policy and regulation** and leading by example was a key piece of feedback, with a strong appetite for Council to lead and increase direction for the region.
Communication and behaviour change was strongly supported with a request for campaigns to raise awareness across the region and enable action at an individual level.

Of all key moves, transport was the most cited as needing significant change, with the identified actions supported but needing to be delivered at pace and scale.

Next steps

23. This memorandum and report provides an overview of feedback received and will inform the next stages as set out below:

- **January**
  - Circulate consultation report to Elected Members, Local Boards and IMSB (this memo and report)

- **February**
  - Workshop between ECC and MWKF (19th)
  - Workshop 1 (of 2) to review proposed changes to the framework - focus on emissions and adaptation (26th)

- **March**
  - Workshop 2 (of 2) to review proposed changes - focus on key moves (4th)
  - Report on proposed changes and approach
  - Proposed changes to Local Board meetings for formal feedback

- **April**
  - Workshop updated text with ECC and IMSB

- **May**
  - Final text to ECC for approval prior to handover to digital

- **June**
  - Workshop digital layout with ECC

- **July**
  - Digital plan completion

Attachments

- Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework Consultation Summary
Attachment F: ACAF Eight Priorities

Existing key moves

Embedded in other sections

Proposed key moves
### Attachment E: Proposed Priority Areas and action updates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Moves (July 2019)</th>
<th>Updated Priority areas (Feb 2020)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 1: Lay the Foundation</strong></td>
<td>The nine actions under Key Move 1 are embedded into the three foundational pillars of ACAF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nine actions to deliver this Key Move</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 2: Enhance, restore and connect our natural environments</strong></td>
<td>Priority 1: Natural Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven actions</td>
<td>Retains the focus on enhancing, restoring and connecting our natural environments but actions have been reviewed for clarity and reduced to six actions to remove duplication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 3: Make development &amp; infrastructure climate compatible</strong></td>
<td>Priority 2: Built Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight actions</td>
<td>This Priority area contains key actions for a sustainable built environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 actions have now been combined into 10 to address overlap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>These are grouped into four main thematic areas:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sustainable growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sustainable infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sustainable buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sustainable places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 4: Transforming existing buildings and places</strong></td>
<td>Priority 3: Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five actions</td>
<td>This Priority area still deals with sustainable transport but actions have been split out to eight. This reflects the priority placed on this area through the consultation feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The eight action areas are still concerned with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• encouraging mode shifts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• supporting a transition to low carbon vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• supporting an efficient freight system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional actions focus on encouraging behaviour change and ensuring resilient transport infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 5: Deliver clean, safe and equitable transport options</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five actions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Move 6: Move to a zero carbon, climate resilient economy</strong></td>
<td>Priority 4: Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven actions</td>
<td>There are now six actions that underpin this Priority area to address repetition. The action areas still maintain a focus on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• supporting businesses transition to a low carbon future with increased resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Moves (July 2019)</td>
<td>Updated Priority areas (Feb 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Key Move 7: Help Aucklanders become more resilient and reduce their carbon footprint** Four action areas to achieve this Key Move | **Priority 5: Community** This remains at four actions but an additional focus on communication and engagement been adopted from Key Move 1. The intent of the actions remains the same as Key Move 7, which is to:  
• support community-based action for low carbon future and to reduce emissions  
• support resilience building at the community level  
• long term management of our coast. |
| **Key Move 8: Te puawaitanga o te tangata** | **Priority 6: Te puawaitanga o te tangata** Updates are in development, but this will remain a priority area |
| **Key Move 9: Youth and Intergenerational equity** | This key move has been moved into the foundational pillar. Updates and actions are being finalised by rangatahi |
| **Key Move 10: Shift to decentralized renewable energy** Four actions | **Priority 7: Energy** There are now seven actions that underpin this Priority area. The intent of the actions remains the same, that is:  
• to drive innovation in renewable energy sources  
• to build resilience in the energy system.  
Additional actions in this Priority area were adopted from the former Key Move 4. |
| **Key Move 11: Grow a low-carbon resilient food system** Six actions | **Priority 8: Food** There are now five actions that underpin this Priority area to address repetition. The intent of the key moves remains the same, that is:  
• the focus on retaining productive soils  
• reducing food wastage  
• supporting food security. |
Local Board feedback to the Independent Council-Controlled Organisations Review

File No.: CP2020/02956

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for local boards to provide formal feedback on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review to the Independent Panel.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Governing Body approved the Terms of Reference for an Independent Panel to undertake a review of substantive CCOs at its meeting on 26 November 2019 [GB/2019/127].
3. The review covers Auckland Transport, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development, Panuku Development Auckland, Regional Facilities Auckland and Watercare. The overall objectives are to examine:
   • whether CCOs are an effective and efficient model for delivering services to the council and Aucklanders, and
   • whether the CCO decision-making model provides sufficient political oversight, public transparency and accountability.
4. The review asks the Independent Panel to examine three areas: the CCO model and its accompanying roles and responsibilities; the accountability of CCOs; and CCO culture.
5. The Independent Panel is seeking the views of local boards on these areas.
6. Local boards are advised that their views are requested by the Independent Panel by 3 April 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
   a) provide formal feedback on the Council-Controlled Organisations Review to the Independent Panel.

Horopaki
Context
7. The Governing Body approved the CCO review Terms of Reference on 26 November 2019 [GB/2019/127]. The Independent Panel was appointed by the Governing Body on 12 December 2019 and is comprised of Miriam Dean, Doug Martin and Leigh Auton. Miriam Dean has been appointed panel chair [GB/2019/149].
8. Briefings on the CCO Review were provided to local board chairs in December 2019 by staff and in February 2020 by panel member Leigh Auton. The panel wrote to local board chairs in February asking for advice on what constitutes good engagement between CCOs and local boards.
9. Monthly updates on the review are reported to the CCO Oversight Committee and circulated to all local boards.

10. The Independent Panel is seeking comprehensive engagement to obtain a range of views on the issues forming the subject of the review (Attachment A). Community engagement on the review is occurring alongside the Annual Budget 2020/2021 in February/March 2020. An engagement document has been developed and a summary document has been translated into five languages and a New Zealand Sign Language video. A webpage\(^1\) provides information on the review, including stakeholder updates, relevant documents (including the Terms of Reference) and a contact for further information.

11. All feedback on the CCO Review will be provided to the Independent Panel. The Panel will report on the key issues and community and stakeholder feedback in May and will provide a final report and recommendations in July 2020.

### Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

**Analysis and advice**

12. To identify the scope of their work, the Independent Panel has distilled the essence of the review terms into a list of issues, that forms the basis of the engagement and eventual report. The list and prompts, at Attachment A, provide a structure for local boards to give feedback.

13. The three key areas of focus set out in the list of issues are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Area of Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCO model, roles and</td>
<td>The essential question here is whether the CCO model delivers council services with the maximum of operational efficiency, transparency and accountability, or whether there are better ways to deliver such services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO accountability</td>
<td>Here the key question is whether the council’s current approach to holding CCOs to account on behalf of Aucklanders could be improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO culture</td>
<td>The central issue here is whether CCOs need to improve how they consult, engage with and respond to the wider community and council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

**Climate impact statement**

14. Local boards have an opportunity to consider suggestions that might improve climate change outcomes/mitigation in their feedback on the CCO Review.

### Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

**Council group impacts and views**

15. The Independent Panel is engaging across the council group on the review, including:

- the chair of the independent panel wrote introducing the panel and the review objectives to all CCO chairs and chief executives, councillors, local board chairs, chief executive of IMSB and the co-chairs of the Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum on 20 December 2019
- the panel met briefly with the CCO chief executives and chairs on 28 January 2020 to discuss the proposed review process and CCO engagement. Each CCO was asked to provide the panel with key stakeholders/customers

---

\(^1\) [https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/council-controlled-organisations/Pages/review-of-council-controlled-organisations.aspx]
• individual meetings have taken place with CCO chief executives and board chairs over February and March 2020, and the panel is meeting with CCO stakeholders.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

16. Local board formal feedback on the CCO Review, including issues experienced with CCOs, good practice and options for improvement, is sought by the Independent Panel by 3 April 2020.

17. Material on the CCO Review was available at Have your Say local board events for the Annual Budget.

18. Following the conclusion of the Independent Panel’s review, as part of the development of the next 10-year budget, local boards will have the opportunity to provide formal views on any proposals for change to the CCO model.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

19. Staff presented to the Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum on 19 December 2019. The panel met with one of the Forum co-chairs and mana whenua are invited to provide feedback to the panel. Mana whenua have also been invited to a hui with panel members on 18 March 2020.

20. The panel has met with the Independent Māori Statutory Board.

21. Panel members spoke on Radio Waatea to promote Māori interest and feedback on the CCO review. Material on the CCO review is being provided at mataawaka events for the Annual Budget and mataawaka organisations have been briefed on the review during the public engagement period.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

22. There are no financial implications from this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

23. There are no risks associated with the recommendations in this report.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

24. The Independent Panel is due to report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback in May and to provide a final report, with recommendations, in July 2020.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Independent Council-Controlled Organisations Review list of issues</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Claire Gomas - Principal Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alastair Cameron - Manager - CCO Governance &amp; External Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CCO REVIEW

Independent review of council-controlled organisations: list of issues:

This list is intended as a guide to the high-level issues on which the review will focus. The issues in this list may be subject to revision during the course of this review.

Objectives

The review’s overall objectives are to examine:

- whether CCOs are an effective and efficient model for delivering services to the council and Aucklanders
- whether the CCO decision-making model provides sufficient political oversight, public transparency and accountability.

The terms of reference require us to examine the following three issues:

CCO model, roles and responsibilities

The essential question here is whether the CCO model delivers council services with the maximum of operational efficiency, transparency and accountability, or whether there are better ways to deliver such services. In particular:

- Are there any problems, real or perceived, with the current model, including the risk of duplication with in-house council activities?
- Is the purpose of each CCO clear and current, and is the council giving each adequate direction?
- Are the roles and responsibilities of CCOs and the council towards one another clearly defined and well understood?
- Are there viable alternatives and what are their advantages and disadvantages?

CCO accountability

Here the key question is whether the council has adequate mechanisms to hold CCOs to account and is using them appropriately, and whether improvements, including new mechanisms (such as those provided for through the Local Government Act 2002 Amendment act 2019), are needed. In particular:

- Do current accountability mechanisms, monitor CCOs’ performance effectively and ensure CCOs respond appropriately to the concerns of the council, local boards and the public?
Auckland CCO Review
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- Do CCOs understand the need to act in a way that reflects their accountability to the community, as well as the council’s accountability to the community for CCO performance?
- Are there adequate mechanisms to ensure CCO board members and senior management meet the legislative requirements towards Māori, and that CCOs have developed sufficient capability to achieve this?
- Do CCOs have adequate guidance about when to act in their best commercial interests and when to act in the best interests of the public?
- Are council policies that are applicable to all CCOs (group policies) adequate, or should they be extended to other areas, such as remuneration?
- Is the process for appointing CCO board members, including the skills criteria used in the selection process, appropriate?

CCO culture

The central issue here is whether CCOs need to improve how they consult, engage with and respond to the community and council. In particular:

- Are the working relationships between the various levels of council (political, executive and staff) and CCOs (and between CCOs themselves) based on mutual trust, respect and confidence?
- Do recruitment processes and job descriptions sufficiently address the need for CCO chief executives and senior managers to respond to council directions and work effectively with senior council managers?
- Do CCO boards, executives and staff demonstrate accountability to Aucklanders, including by consulting sufficiently with Aucklanders and responding sufficiently to their concerns, or could their performance be improved?
- Are CCOs giving adequate public acknowledgement (such as through branding) to council-funded activities?
- Do CCOs give the council quality advice?

The full terms of reference can be found at: https://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2019/11/GB_20191126_AGN_9506_AT_WEB.htm

20 December 2019
Auckland Transport March 2020 report to the Ōrākei Local Board
File No.: CP2020/03125

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Auckland Transport report to the Ōrākei Local Board for March 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each month, Auckland Transport provides an update to the Ōrākei Local Board on transport-related matters and relevant consultations in its area, Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects and decisions of Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee.
3. The Auckland Transport update for March 2020 report is attached to this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport March 2020 update report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Auckland Transport March 2020 update report</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Ōrākei Local Board (the Board) on transport related matters in its area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report updates the Board on regional and local matters including the Gowing Drive Safety Improvements, Tamaki Drive Cycleway, construction issues, Kepa Road parking proposal and the Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path.
3. The report also provides updates on the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Capital Fund.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport March 2020 report.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport related matters in the local board area.
5. Auckland transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. It reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in its Local Board Engagement Plan.
6. This report updates the board on regional and local matters including the Gowing Drive Safety Improvements, Tamaki Drive Cycleway, construction issues, Kepa Road parking proposal and the Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path.
7. The report also gives updates on the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Capital Fund.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
Local Board Capital Fund
8. For the 2019-2022 term the Ōrākei Local Board currently has $5,383,375 available for allocation. At its February 2020 workshop the Board was advised of the process to consider projects for funding. At its workshop at the end of March 2020 the Board will consider which projects it wishes to be assessed to get a rough order of costs (ROC). These projects will be reported back to the Board at a May workshop for decision at the June 2020 business meeting.
9. An update on current capital fund projects is below, noting that the Board has foreshadowed allocating $2 million to the One Local Initiative but have not formally resolved to do so.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Landing entrance upgrade ($50,000)</td>
<td>On hold</td>
<td>Awaiting review of the Landing. Current design is also $120,000 above the allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Drive Bus Stop extension ($46,000)</td>
<td>On hold</td>
<td>Awaiting review of safety measures in Mission Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngahue Road Footpath Extension ($76,000)</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>Awaiting analysis from Auckland Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowing Drive Feedback Sign</td>
<td>Been delivered</td>
<td>The sign is now operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findlay/Hewison Walkway</td>
<td>Currently under construction</td>
<td>The issues with rocks and tree roots have been addressed and the walkway is expected to be complete by the end of March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenlane Wayfinding</td>
<td>About to be delivered</td>
<td>Wayfinding to be installed by the end of March</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Community Safety Fund**

10. As part of an initiative to advance a number of safety projects across Auckland, $20 million was allocated for local boards to recommend local safety projects. Ōrākei Local Board’s allocation was $819,718. Suggested projects were workshoped with the local Board, assessed by AT staff and then at its 18 July 2019 business meeting resolved as follows:

**Resolution number OR/2019/132**

That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) receive the Auckland Transport July 2019 update report.

b) agree to the following list, compiled at a recent Board workshop based on the priorities identified by local communities and members’ local knowledge and on advice from Auckland Transport safety consultants, as the priority projects for the Community Safety Fund in the Ōrākei Local Board Area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waiatarua Reserve/Abbotts Way crossing and path</td>
<td>Establish a crossing facility on Abbotts Way and complete a small section of footpath</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Carmel School</td>
<td>Establish a safe crossing point on Temple Street and other appropriate safety measures to support students accessing Mt Carmel School through Lucia Glade</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohimarama School</td>
<td>Change the existing zebra crossing on Rawhiti Road to a raised zebra and consider the use of colour/texture to highlight the crossing</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberta Reserve playground crossing</td>
<td>A raised crossing to be established near the T intersection of Riddell Road and Roberta Avenue. This would serve the school/kindergarten/rest home and the reserve area.</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrākei School</td>
<td>A new raised crossing is requested as the school has closed its back entrance on Coales Avenue. The desire line for pedestrians has now altered and a new crossing point needs to be established.</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill Park School</td>
<td>Warning signage and gateway treatment on Riddell Road requested to alert drivers of children entering and exiting from the back entrance of the school.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangitoto Ave/Ranui Road Intersection, Remuera</td>
<td>Concerns over speeding and careless driving in this area. Treatment suggested is splitter islands on the side roads to improve vehicle tracking.</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcfarland/Grand Drive Intersection</td>
<td>Request for speed calming measures on Grand Drive and/or crossing points on Grand Drive and Mcfarland Street.</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepa Road Pedestrian Facility</td>
<td>Request for a crossing point at approximately 110 Kepa Road where the footpath runs out. This needs further investigation of the recommended treatment due to the topography.</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combes Road, Remuera</td>
<td>Treatment requested to help prevent careless driving and speeding in this street. Estimate includes chevrons, cats-eyes and roadmarking.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) in principle to allocate the remainder of the Board’s Local Board Transport Capital Fund for the 2016-2019 term to cover any shortfall in the Community Safety Fund allocated to the Ōrākei Local Board area to complete delivery of its top six Community Safety Fund projects as follows:
   i) Waiau Reserve/Abbotts Way crossing and path
   ii) Mt Carmel School
iii) Kohimarama School
iv) Roberta Reserve playground crossing
v) Orākei School
vi) Churchill Park School.
CARRIED

11. Since then AT staff have been assessing the projects that were able to be funded with the Board’s allocation. These being:
   • Waipatarua Reserve/Abbotts Way Crossing and path ($50,000)
   • Mt Carmel School ($300,000)
   • Kohimarama School ($260,000)

12. Updates on these projects are noted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waipatarua Reserve / Abbotts Way crossing and path</td>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>Initial designs for pedestrian refuge crossing with footpath link are being finalised. Consultation is expected to start prior to Easter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Carmel School</td>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>Desire lines are being considered for the splitter island and raised zebra crossing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohimarama School</td>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>Finalising the initial designs for raised zebra crossing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. The Board may wish to consider the un-funded projects as part of its list of projects to be funded in the 2019-2022 allocation of the Local Board Capital Fund.

Gowing Drive Safety Improvements

14. The analysis of feedback is completed. It is being assessed by the traffic engineering team before reporting to ATs’ Executive Leadership Team. The feedback report is likely to be circulated toward the end of March.

15. The driver feedback signs, that were funded by the Board at its February 2019 meeting have now been installed and are operational.

Tamaki Drive Cycleway

16. Work commenced on the Tamaki Drive Cycle way on Tuesday, 25 February 2020. The Board was provided the opportunity to discuss the project at it’s workshop on Thursday, 27 February 2020. With a week of construction complete, staff monitoring the traffic and the tidal flow solution in the morning and evening peaks, have had no significant issues reported. The Board will receive regular updates as this project progresses.

Glen Innes to Tamaki Shared Path

17. On 27 February 2020, the Board received an update on the Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path. A press release was also issued. The key message is that a construction contract is expected to be awarded in April 2020 for Section 2, St Johns Road to Orākei Basin. Construction is to begin in May/June 2020. A sod-turning ceremony is being planned for May 2020.

18. The application consent for section 4 is expected to be lodged on Friday 6, March 2020.

Issues with Construction Projects

19. AT has always received and responded to issues related to construction projects. Now that the Unitary Plan is mostly operative, there are large number of apartments, in particular, being constructed.
20. Over the last few months and particularly in the lead up to Xmas, AT received a number of complaints about parking in relation to construction projects. These developments are consented via Auckland Council’s regulatory team. In some cases AT staff have input about parking, changes in vehicle access ways and traffic implications, however, sometimes not. Some of these developments are notified for public input, but many are not, as they are allowed if they are largely in accord with provisions of the unitary plan.

21. Where the construction methodology does require the temporary occupation of the road corridor, a traffic management plan is required. These are administered by AT and once the plan is in place, they are audited. Where the nearby parking is unrestricted construction vehicles are permitted to park there, as is any other vehicle. Where there is an illegally parked vehicle, such as across a driveway, the quickest was to get enforcement is to call 09 355 3553.

Kepa Road Parking

22. The Board recently received the results of a consultation regarding parking changes on Kepa Road. The outcome was that AT received 13 responses from the external consultation. The majority of the respondents did not support the proposal including several residents in the area. AT has revisited the site in early February this year and observed improved parking availability and turnover. As the proposal did not receive majority support and the original issue seems to be resolved, AT has decided not to proceed any further with the proposed changes.

Tauākī whakaawaewe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

23. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.

24. Auckland Transport has an important role in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

Ngā whakaawaewe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

25. The information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaawaewe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

26. The Board receive an updated report as part of the monthly business meeting agenda and issues are workshopped or briefed by memo as necessary between meetings.

27. Local board members may direct queries on issues via electedmember@at.govt.nz.

Tauākī whakaawaewe Māori
Māori impact statement

28. Consideration of impacts and opportunities for engagement with iwi will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

29. Financial implications are assessed on a case by case basis. There are no financial implications for the Board in receiving this report.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
30. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
31. AT will provide a further report to the Board in April 2020. The Board will also be updated by memos and briefings as necessary between meetings.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Transport spreadsheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board resolution update</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Bruce Thomas, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Elected Member Relationship Team Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Projects</td>
<td>Suggested Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Shared pathway 6 to Orakei Basin</td>
<td>Waiting on funding NZTA - board meeting 19 February. Request for tender issued 14 Jan, closes 27 February.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2 Shared pathway Orakei Basin to Tamaki Drive</td>
<td>Waiting on AT to confirm funding, scope of work, construction timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 OU</td>
<td>Shared path links - Gowing Drive and John Rymer Place, property purchase in Gowing Drive, funding applications, discussions with Watercare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Tamaki Drive Cycleway</td>
<td>Change to resource consent required to allow work through the evening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 50km speed limit around schools</td>
<td>Central government lead initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 50km speed limit town centres</td>
<td>Mission Bay, St Heliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 America's Cup Traffic Management</td>
<td>Tamaki Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 Third Rail Line</td>
<td>Orakei Basin route</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Constituent queries Councillor Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Suggested</th>
<th>Date Logged</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Information/Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Portland Road/Remuera Road RH turn</td>
<td>Suggested mirror installed opposite Portland Road on Remuera Road</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>AT not aware of this issue, do not generally support mirrors as create a false sense of safety and distant distances. AT staff to investigate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Community Safety Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Suggested</th>
<th>Date Logged</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Information/Costs</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Watatuna Reserve/Abbotts Way Crossing</td>
<td>Crossing facility on Abbotts Way</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Update in main part of AT report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Rahimarama School</td>
<td>Improve crossing on Rewhirim Road</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>Update in main part of AT report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Roberta Reserve playground crossing</td>
<td>New crossing near T Riddle/Roberta</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>Update in main part of AT report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Work Suggested</td>
<td>Date Logged</td>
<td>Completion Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Ōrākei School</td>
<td>See updated information below</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Churchill Park School</td>
<td>Warning signage Riddell Road</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Temple Street and Lucia Glade intersection to connect with Mt Carmel School</td>
<td>New crossing Temple Street, new footpath eastern side of the Glade, new crossing Lucia Glade, adjacent to the church to connect with existing path to the school</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Capital Fund</th>
<th>Work Suggested</th>
<th>Date Logged</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Information/Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 The Landing Entrance Upgrade</td>
<td>Redesign entrance</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>On hold pending landing review</td>
<td>AC and AT staff met, AC to liaise with club, AT to review entrance and advise OLB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Tamaki Drive Bus Stop Extension</td>
<td>Mission Bay</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>On hold</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Ngahue Road Footpath Extension from bus stop in Stoneyfields to College Road, opposite Auckland Markets</td>
<td>Widen from Ngahue to end of College Road</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>$76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Gosling Drive, Meadowbank</td>
<td>Signage as speed management tool (feedback)</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Signs being installed</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 Findlay/Hewson Walkway</td>
<td>Improvements, including lighting</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Report from Troy, lighting due and project not started</td>
<td>$295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6 Greenlane Station Wayfinding Signage</td>
<td>Signage to Greenlane station OLB side</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Work Suggested</th>
<th>Date Logged</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Information/Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 185 St Johns Road, Meadowbank</td>
<td>Zebra Crossing, raised platform</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Consultation closed 19 December</td>
<td>Waiting on feedback/report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Kepa Road and Kupe Street</td>
<td>Proposed parking changes</td>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Consultation closed 19 December</td>
<td>Covered in the main report, the board may chose to request AT to look at this issue further</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Gosling Drive Safety Measures</td>
<td></td>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>Consultation closed, feedback due mid March</td>
<td>Update in main part of AT report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 Baden Powell Place</td>
<td>Feedback to install BVL</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>Consultation closed 21 February</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Battle Street</td>
<td>Broken yellow lines and parking restrictions</td>
<td>Opens 24 February</td>
<td>Consultation closes 9 March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Tamawera Terrace</td>
<td>No stopping at all times, 70 and 72 Tamawera Terrace</td>
<td>Opens 24 February</td>
<td>Consultation closes 9 March</td>
<td>Cars parked on the bend reduce visibility and create a bottleneck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment A</td>
<td>Item 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OLB Proposals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Orakei Station</td>
<td>Work Suggested: Improving accessibility, car stacker, public/private partnership option</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: AT has no knowledge of this project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Ngapuhi/Ngapuhi Street crossing</td>
<td>Safety and crossing, pedestrian access to train station</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Add access to shared pathway, stairway down the cliff 26 Feb. Include in Local Board Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 Accessibility to Orakei Station</td>
<td>Investigate all aspects of accessibility to Orakei Station, particularly pedestrian</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Add access to shared pathway, stairway down the cliff 26 Feb. Include in Local Board Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School Issues</strong></td>
<td>Work Suggested</td>
<td>Date Logged</td>
<td>Completion Date</td>
<td>Information/Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1 Orakei School</td>
<td>Multiple issues around parking and safety, parking bay in Coates Ave</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: AT to meet with a number of schools to discuss school safety</td>
<td>Information/Costs 26 Feb. Follow up for school required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 Kohimarama School</td>
<td>Parking Bay on Kohi Road</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Keep PBO parking and BPL will be reinstated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3 Mt Carmel School</td>
<td>Sign for entrance</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Keep PBO parking and BPL will be reinstated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4 St Kentigern School</td>
<td>New school being built in Shore Road</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Keep PBO parking and BPL will be reinstated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 Victoria Avenue School</td>
<td>2 minute drop off area</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Keep PBO parking and BPL will be reinstated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 Meadowbank School, Waiteara Road</td>
<td>Change from drop off zone to PS</td>
<td>Date Logged: January 2020</td>
<td>Completion Date: Feb/March 2020 Victoria Ave/Shore Road schools, anticipating growth of St Kentigern School 26 Feb. Claire and Pete meet with board 10 March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7 AT School Safety Task Force with Claire Dixon, Pete Math</td>
<td>School Task Force</td>
<td>Date Logged: 26 February</td>
<td>Completion Date: Victoria Ave/Shore Road schools, anticipating growth of St Kentigern School 26 Feb. Claire and Pete meet with board 10 March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Issues</strong></td>
<td>Work Suggested</td>
<td>Date Logged</td>
<td>Completion Date</td>
<td>Information/Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1 Manawatu Road/Victoria Ave</td>
<td>Yellow Lines</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Broken Yellow Lines (YL) proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 Elleride Main Street</td>
<td>Dangerous pedestrian crossing</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Recommended that a study be undertaken of the area to address all safety issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 Lades Mile</td>
<td>Cycle Way</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: AT does not support removal of cycle lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5 Bus Lane through Remuera Shopping Centre</td>
<td>Loss of carparks</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: No update to date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6 Roberts Road carpark</td>
<td>Parking Permits</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Investigated by AT, determined signage is adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7 Battle Street</td>
<td>Parking Permits</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Investigated by AT, determined signage is adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8 Tamaki Yacht Club</td>
<td>Boat parking</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Parking to discuss with TYC, jurisdiction being sorted 18 December?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9 Stonefields Parking</td>
<td>Lack of parking, blind corners, illegal parking and lack of enforcement</td>
<td>Date Logged: November 2019</td>
<td>Completion Date: Advice on potential solution is likely to be available before the meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.10 Colin Maiden Parking Study</td>
<td>Parking Options for site</td>
<td>Date Logged: 26 February</td>
<td>Completion Date: Review of parking options with increased development of site and competition from a number of parking codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.11 MacPhersons Street (Meadowbank) footpath</td>
<td>Footpath replacement underway in Meadowbank Road &amp; Bernie Bruce Road, stopped at first driveway of MacPherson</td>
<td>Date Logged: January 2020</td>
<td>Completion Date: The status of the footpath and potential date for renewals is currently being reviewed by the Road Road Corridor Manager. An update is likely soon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>New driveway through a bus stop on Riddell Road, development on 206 Whitehaven Road</td>
<td>Change of position of bus stop, to a narrower part of the road</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>Being investigated</td>
<td>26 Feb: Policy change required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>Kelwyn School bus on Wednesdays</td>
<td>School starts later on a Wednesday, but bus service remains at the same time</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>AT is not able to accommodate changes in start times. The network managers can meet with school principals at the same time as the community safety team.</td>
<td>26 Feb: confirmation of change required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>Impact of construction on parking and traffic</td>
<td>Report required from AT</td>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>Report on the agenda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>Traffic Management signs for events</td>
<td>Signage for events in place 24 hours prior with no start date for event</td>
<td>26 February</td>
<td>Please advise if this protocol can be changed. Businesses loose custom as parks cone off for over 24 hours.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>Tahora Avenue/Mainton Road</td>
<td>Road being used as a speedway, 2 x crashes June and Nov 2019, one injury. Poor sight lines owing to increase of parked cars near corner</td>
<td>26 February</td>
<td>This is currently under investigation by a transport engineer, update soon</td>
<td>26 Feb: Follow up with safety team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2019</td>
<td>Safety and Heavy Vehicles</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board: c) inform Auckland Transport that its response to the Board's request to enforce the present bylaw restrictions, and to enact urgently a new bylaw reinstating the left turn ban at the Solent Street/Tamaki Drive intersection does not adequately address the nub of the Ōrākei Local Board's and community's concerns, namely safety and heavy vehicles, as expressed in the Board's resolution of 18 October 2018, and therefore; i) request Auckland Transport to consider requesting a change to the road classification and to inform the Board of its decision and if Auckland Transport does not wish to do this, the reasons for not doing so. ii) request Auckland Transport ensure enforcement of the current no left turn restriction on heavy freight vehicles from Solent Street into Tamaki Drive.</td>
<td>The bylaw is an historic Auckland City Council bylaw that AT took over at amalgamation. The enforcement of the bylaw is a NZ Police matter as it is a moving vehicle issue. With regard to the road classification, AT does not consider this a viable option, as it would prohibit other heavy vehicles and would potentially be subject to legal challenge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 June 2019</td>
<td>Ballarat Street Paper Road extension</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board: e) request that Auckland Transport advise on the current status of public access to the Ballarat Street, Elerslie paper road extension, reporting on the feasibility and providing a rough order of costs to install a walking/cycling pathway from Abbotts Way to the formed part of Ballarat Street. September 2019 update: Ōrakei and Maungakiekie-Tamaki advisors have met on site and each highlighted the potential link to AT staff. February 2020 update - Staff are planning a site meeting with the Board, Ward Councillors and Auckland Transport to discuss next steps.</td>
<td>AT property manager is investigating the status of the site, including easements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Safer road navigation</td>
<td>b) That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to investigate safer road navigation for cyclists on the seaward side of the Tamaki Drive Kohimarama</td>
<td>Active modes engineer for the road corridor is likely to report back in the near future.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Re-location and restoration of the heritage Crimean War Trophy Gun</td>
<td>b) That the Board approve the re-location of the heritage Crimean War Trophy Gun from The Landing to a new, Council-owned site at 19 Tamaki Drive; that restoration of the gun be approved; that the lessees and occupants of the building at 19 Tamaki Drive be consulted, that the Royal Akarana Yacht Club be formally thanked for their care and storage of the gun over many years, and that an information sign be prepared and erected. Sept 19 update: Following the workshop with the Board in August, staff are contacting relevant owners and tenants, and Auckland Transport to seek permission to site the gun at 19 Tamaki Drive. Estimates for relocation and restoration are being prepared.</td>
<td>Auckland Council community facilities staff have been supplied with ATs policy on monuments in the road corridor and contact people to progress the matter. Monuments are permitted, AT’s role is primarily an assessment of safety regarding the chosen site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 February 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport resolutions</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board b) request that future Auckland Transport reports follow the tracker system initiated by the Board and agreed to by Auckland Transport.</td>
<td>Attached to this report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additions to the 2019-2022 Ōrākei Local Board meeting schedule

File No.: CP2020/01286

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for one meeting date to be added to the 2019-2022 Ōrākei Local Board business meeting schedule in order to accommodate Annual Plan 2020/2021 reporting timeframes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Ōrākei Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule for the 2019-2022 electoral term on Thursday, 5 December 2019.
3. At that time, the specific times and dates for meetings for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement as part of the Annual Plan 2020/2021 were unknown.
4. The Board is being asked to approve one meeting date as an addition to the Ōrākei Local Board meeting schedule so that the Annual Budget 2020/2021 timeframes can be met.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) approve one meeting date to be added to the 2019-2022 Ōrākei Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the Annual Budget 2020/2021:
   • Thursday, 5 May 2020 at 5.00pm.

b) note the venue for this meeting will be the Ōrākei Local Board office, 25 St Johns Road, St Johns.

Horopaki
Context
5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.
6. In summary, adopting a meeting schedule helps meet the requirements of:
   • Schedule 7, clause 19 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings, which requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Such notification may be provided by the adoption of a schedule of business meetings.
   • Part 7, sections 46, 46(A) and 47 of the LGOIMA, which requires that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting and that local board meetings are open to the public.
7. The Ōrākei Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule at its 5 December 2019 business meeting.
8. The timeframes for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement which is part of the Annual Budget 2020/2021 was unavailable when the meeting schedule was originally adopted.

9. The Board is being asked to make decisions in early May 2020 to feed into the Annual Budget 2020/2021. This timeframe is outside the Board’s normal meeting cycle

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

10. The Board has two options:

   i) Add the meeting as an addition to the meeting schedule.

   or

   ii) Add the meeting as an extraordinary meeting.

11. For option one, statutory requirements allow enough time for this meeting to be scheduled as an addition to the meeting schedule and other topics may be considered as per any other ordinary meeting. However there is a risk that if the Annual Budget 2020/2021 is not ready for the meeting there would need to be an additional extraordinary meeting scheduled anyway.

12. For option two, only the specific topic Annual Budget 2020/2021 may be considered for which the meeting is being held. There is a risk that no other policies or plans with similar timeframes or running in relation to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 could be considered at this meeting.

13. Since there is enough time to meet statutory requirements, staff recommend approving this meeting as an addition to the meeting schedule as it allows more flexibility for the Board to consider a range of issues.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

14. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decision’s implementation.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

15. There is no specific impact for the council group from this report.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

16. This report requests the Board’s decision to schedule an additional meeting and consider whether to approve this as an extraordinary meeting or an addition to the meeting schedule.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

17. There is no specific impact for Māori arising from this report. Local boards work with Māori on projects and initiatives of shared interest.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

18. There are no financial implications in relation to this report apart from the standard costs associated with servicing a business meeting.
Risks and mitigations

19. There are no significant risks associated with this report.

Next steps

20. Implement the processes associated with preparing for business meetings.

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairman and Board Member March 2020 report

File No.: CP2020/03403

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Ōrākei Local Board Chairman and Members with the opportunity to provide an update on projects, activities and issues in the local board area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
a) That the Chairman and Board Member March 2020 report be received.
b) That the attached feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga Authority’s Draft Operational Plan 2020/2021 be endorsed.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Chairman and Board Member March 2020 report</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board feedback: Tūpuna Maunga Authority’s Draft Operational Plan 2020/202</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairman and Board Member Report

General / assigned roles update

Transport – Scott Milne, Alternate: Sarah Powrie
- Section 2 of the shared pathway from Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive (St Johns Road to Ōrākei Basin) has been given the go ahead by NZTA and will go out for tender. Stage 4 from the Ōrākei Station to Tamaki Drive is waiting on resource consent.
- Continued work on the links between Meadowbank and Kohimarama.
- Attended the Auckland Transport sod-turning for Tamaki Drive on 16 February.
- Progress on community safety fund projects.
- Mission Bay and St Heliers Bay transport working groups nearly ready to send document out for public consultation.

Environment
- Up-coming Environment Forum: Member Voyce continues her huge effort in organising what looks to be a highly successful event. It will be held at Remuera Golf Club on 29 March.
- The Hauraki Gulf Forum has appointed co-chairs Pippa Coom (Waitemata Ward) and Nicola McDonald (Mana Whenua). The Forum also held a public function at the Royal NZ Yacht Squadron to celebrate its 20th birthday and released its latest ‘State of the Gulf 2020’ report on the health of the Gulf.
- Environment Enhancement Plan: a draft user-friendly summary booklet will be circulated for feedback at the Environment Forum.

America’s Cup
- The Board has been working with ATEED, council event organisers and local business and community groups on a programme of community-driven events and activations along the Waterfront during the America’s Cup in early 2021.

Supporting Local Business
- BIDs and business associations: the Board received excellent presentations by each of the four business associations, three of which run Business Improvement District programmes (BIDs).
- Business Awards: this event will be held in August, with a three-month lead-up.

Forward Planning
- Local Board Plan Consultation: pre-draft engagement events to help shape the new three-year plan have been running since early February and include a feedback stall at Okahu Bay on Waitangi Day, feedback opportunities at the Eastern Bays Network meeting and residents’ association meetings, workshops with Saint Kentigern School and Kohimarama School students, library drop-ins and a Have Your Say event at St Chad’s Church hall on 17 March. Further information can be found on our Facebook page etc. Formal consultation on the draft local board plan will be in May/June.
- The Board is working on its Work Programme/Annual Plan and budgets for 2020/2021 financial year.
Other
- Remuera Railway Station: The Board is meeting with the Albert-Eden Local Board to discuss how to best support the local initiative to restore the building.
- Meeting with Police: Board members and community representatives met with Senior Sergeant Shaun Warin, Sergeant Scott Jones (Eastern Area Co-ordinator), Inspector Graeme Anderson and Scott Baine from Safer Cities to address anti-social issues at the waterfront and crime at Achilles Point.
- Stakeholder Function on 19 February: The Board held a meet and greet evening with community representatives at the Meadowbank Community Centre.
- The Landing: the Board has approved a new operating model for The Landing which includes an operations working group and a steering group which will deal with day-to-day operating issues. The Board will still be responsible for governance of the park. A stakeholders’ meeting is proposed for the end of the month to explain the model and to set up the operations working group.

Environment – Lead: Margaret Voyce
- Environmental Forum: 29 March: 2-5pm Remuera Golf Club (Conference Room).
  - Over the coming weeks we will be meeting with the chair of the forum, Iwi and the Engagement Advisor to confirm and track tasks
  - The Board plans to repeat the Environmental Forum to keep momentum going and to tackle specific environmental issues
- Waiaurua Reserve: there are on-going discussions with council staff re maintenance work. Silt traps will be cleared in next few weeks and pest eradication (especially rabbits) is a priority.

Stormwater Issues
- Results are expected by mid-March from dry-weather testing at Hapua Street following concerns raised about stormwater/wastewater flowing through the areas some people are fishing from the Hapua Bridge, they may be at risk if the fish are consumed.

Remuera Residents’ Association
- Very encouraging meeting last week. Two new members have joined the executive with an interest in reviving the association. A public meeting is to be arranged with the suggested date of 6 May

Heritage, Arts and Libraries – Lead: Colin Davis
The Board’s concerns about the state of a heritage building on Ohinerau-Mt Hobson at the end of Mt Hobson Lane have been included in feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority’s operational plan (attached). In its submission the Board has also suggested a way forward to restore and make use of the building.

Economic Development – Lead: Sarah Powrie
- America’s Cup planning is progressing with a meeting of interested parties and the setting up of a steering group to facilitate development of a calendar of events for a Summer in the Bays programme. Expected presentation to ATEED by the end of April.
- A "Whale Tale" trail is being considered for the Eastern Bays waterfront.
- Planning of the Orakei Business Awards is underway with an August date planned for the event.
- Ellerslie: an upgrade of main square in the township is being formulated.
- Received BID presentations from our area.

Community – Lead: Sarah Powrie
- Glendowie Boating Club: restoration of Anderson’s Beach is nearly complete so the club can operate without interruptions with an upgraded entry.
- Mission Bay: working on upgrading changing facilities and the playground space.
- Eastern Bays Network Meeting was held in the HMSC, updates from the local community.
- Continued engagement to inform the development of the 2020/2021 Annual Plan.
- Welcome to the New Year: a meet the community event hosted by the Board.
- Stonefield Community Centre updates.
- Neighbours Day 4 April briefing.

Resource Consenting and Regulatory – Lead: Troy Churton
- On-going assistance to residents in Mainston Road to try and uphold tree protection on neighbouring development site, eventually receives a non-notified recommendation from Council planner.
- Submitted to Planning Committee on residential helipad application issues.
- Supplied the local board with important background to The Landing and Pathways to the Sea concept Plan, including hardstand financials and implications from broader use of the landing by specific stakeholders.

Events, Landowner Approvals and Leases – Lead: David Wong

Resource Consenting and Regulatory
- Additional consultation and advisement on 1/36 Mainston Road; intention to fell a native tree, which could set a precedent for protected trees.
- Initial review of 223 Kohimarama Road – Ryman Retirement apartment village – 3.2 hectares; 7 buildings, 98 care rooms, 75 assisted living suites, 123 apartments, 192 carparks. There appear to be height breaches above the AUP which require restricted discretionary activity consents.
- 4A Victoria Avenue resource consent application: provided initial feedback on the Assessment of Environmental Effects, highlighting traffic ingress/egress for new development with 43 car park owners.

Events, Landowner Approvals and Leases
- NZ Lizard Cruise: motorcycle fundraiser requested starting area at Vellenoweth Green; 14 March
- Crossfield Reserve carpark filming – 24 February 2020; application was withdrawn
- NZ Volleyball Beach competition – Auckland Slam revisited for Friday 21 Feb to Sunday 23 Feb 2020. Initial concerns were for location and construction of grandstand. The application has been withdrawn
• Submission on Ellerslie Jazz event in the Park for 15 March 2020, on Ellerslie Domain. The application has since been withdrawn

• Land application 19-434 – Remuera Golf Club request to extend their driving range capacity with a two-level building (10 bays per level). A site visit was undertaken by the Chair/Deputy Chair with no major issues noted.

Community
• Working with Men’s Shed representative, Alan Minson, to progress the lease of the tractor shed next to the Men’s Shed premise and evaluate options for insurance

• Considered feedback from Mission Bay Kohimarama Residents Association member on Mission Bay playground design, noting it was not conducive to coastal space and marine play

• Reviewed and advised on Barfoot and Thompson Stadium Strategic Business Plan 2020

• Reviewed East City Community Trust audited accounts for year end 31 December 2019

• An enquiry about potential ANZAC Day celebration in Ellerslie was approved by Ellerslie Residents Association, but limited time to arrange for 2020

• Attended Remuera Residents’ Association meeting 3 March which put out a call for support to bolster committee and maintain a group of dedicated volunteers

• Represented the Board at the first Citizenship Ceremony of the term on 2 March at Auckland Town Hall.

Disclosures
• I am currently Vice Chair of the NZ Chinese Association, Auckland Branch, and Vice Chair Auckland Chinese Community Centre.

• I am a newly appointed member of the Community Organisation Grants Scheme – Auckland Region.

Recommendation
1. That this report be received

2. That the attached feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga Authority’s Draft Operational Plan 2020/2021 be endorsed
On 16th February 2020 – OLB members joined the Mayor Phil Goff and Transport Minister Phil Twyford to formally open the Tamaki Drive cycle way which runs along the seaward side. The cost is estimated at $14mio with completion towards the end of Q4 2020.

On 27 February 2020 – the Have your Say consultation was hosted by Stonefields Residents Association. Member Davis provided an overview of the Local Board 3 year plan and fielded questions from the engaged residents. A good turnout – well over 170 in the audience.

On 27 February 2020 – the Have your Say consultation was hosted by Stonefields Residents Association. Member Davis provided an overview of the Local Board 3 year plan and fielded questions from the engaged residents. A good turnout – well over 170 in the audience.

On 3 March – Member Wong represented OLB to welcome in over 500 new citizens to Aotearoa at the Auckland Town Hall.
TUPUNA MAUNGA AUTHORITY CONSULTATION
DRAFT TUPUNA MAUNGA OPERATIONAL PLAN 2020/2021

FEEDBACK FROM THE ŌRĀKEI LOCAL BOARD

1. The Ōrākei Local Board has only one of the 14 Maunga under the administration of the Tupuna Maunga Authority viz Ōhinerau-Mount Hobson. Another Maunga, Maungarei-Mount Wellington is on the boundary between the Tamaki-Maungakiekie and the Ōrākei Local Boards and the Stonefields suburb.

2. The summary background information about the Tūpuna Maunga Authority is very interesting and informative.

3. However, the Board notes that the summary does not refer to the Tūpuna Taonga o Tamaki Makaurau Trust. We understand that the Trust is the entity that holds the title of and is therefore the legal owner of the Maunga.

4. The Board is aware that the Trust has retained specific ownership and control of a few buildings on Maunga. One such property is at 11 Mt Hobson Lane, adjacent to Ōhinerau – Mount Hobson. In the particular context of Ōhinerau – Mount Hobson and the Operational Plan and Auckland Council funding, reference to the Trust is considered very important.

5. No. 11 Mt Hobson Lane is at the end of a cul-de-sac, adjacent to one of the entrances to the Maunga. While retention of the building may not be considered by some to fall within the seven Tupuna Maunga values expressed in the summary document, the villa, built ca1900-1915, was recorded by the Auckland Council’s Specialist Built Heritage – Policy, Heritage Unit, Plans and Places Department, Chief Planning Office, as having heritage characteristics important to the area’s history, and while it might not be eligible for statutory scheduling in the Unitary Plan, it nonetheless should be retained and its history further investigated. The Board considers restoration is consistent with and could be considered as supporting one of the seven Tūpuna Maunga Values listed in the Plan – Mana Aoturoa/Cultural and Heritage values, one of which is to “recognise European and other histories and interaction with the Maunga”.

6. It is understood that Auckland Council’s CCO, Panuku, had been managing this property at the time the asset was transferred and was rented as a residential tenancy. Unfortunately, the house is no longer tenanted, and it is not known why the empty house has been allowed to deteriorate to a very poor state of repair which detracts from the amenity of the street of well-kept buildings and grounds. The Board is concerned that if the building is allowed to remain empty it will attract the homeless, be vandalised (graffiti is visible on interior walls) and could be a target for arson.

7. While the legislation provides for funding and staff resourcing through the Auckland Council for the maintenance of the Maunga, it is understood the Trust, as owner of the Maunga and specific properties associated with the Maunga, such as 11 Mt Hobson Lane, is not so funded. The Board would like to offer to assist the Trust in its
role of kaitiaki for the property at 11 Mt Hobson Lane which might then enable Council’s funding to be used to renovate the building to a habitable condition for tenancy, for use by the Trust and/or the Authority, or made available for community groups and meetings. This is also reinforced under the Development section of priority programme and projects – “commercial activities to develop alternative revenue streams to invest in the protection and enhancement of the values of the Tupuna Maunga”. The outcome could be beneficial for iwi and the wider community.

8. The draft Operational Plan is light on detail on specific projects to be delivered or commenced in the coming financial year and subsequent years. While the Board supports in principle projects such as protection and restoration of the tihi, pest eradication, making quality access and pathways, and protection of historic sites, it is difficult for the Board to be more specific in its feedback as there is very little information provided to assist the Board to comment on projects which might be carried out on Ōhinerau – Mount Hobson.

9. Of concern, is the statement under “Development” – removal of redundant infrastructure. Although some examples are given, the Board is concerned that this outcome is subjective and would prefer in the case of Ōhinerau – Mount Hobson for a positive statement that the war memorial seat and daffodil plantings will not be removed as part of this programme (this would satisfy the concerns of residents of the area) and on a wider note that the trig stations on various Maunga will not be removed.

Ōrākei Local Board

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the Ōrākei Local Board with a governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report contains the governance forward work calendar, a schedule of items that will come before the Ōrākei Local Board at business meetings and workshops over the coming months. The governance forward work calendar for the local board is included in Attachment A to the agenda report.

3. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is required and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar will be updated every month. Each update will be reported back to business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Local board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board:

a) note the draft governance forward work calendar as at March 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar - March 2020</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, March 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, April 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, April 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., Apr. 23, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., Apr. 23, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 7, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 7, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 7, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 7, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 14, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 14, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 14, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thu., May 14, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thu., May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, May 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 28, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, May 28, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, June 11, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachment A**

Item 20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>draft budget for Round Two of Auckland Transport Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Regional development direction on UELBA and local board agreements</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget decisions</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Quick Response and Ten Cents Round Two</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 18, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 25, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, July 2, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, July 9, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Review of the Local Board's budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Governance Forward Work Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Quarterly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council’s Quarterly Performance Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/ inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Thriving Communities Action Plan Refresh</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Chairperson’s Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member’s Reports</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, July 16, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, July 23, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, July 23, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, July 23, 2020</td>
<td>ACE update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update including OLI</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 6, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 13, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Chairperson’s Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member’s Reports</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, August 20, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 27, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 27, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, August 27, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update including OLI</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 3, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 10, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Chairperson’s Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member’s Reports</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, September 17, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>ACE update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, September 24, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update including OLI</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 1, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 8, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval of transport capital fund projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Quarterly Update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/ inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Council's Annual Report</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance/ inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Chairperson's Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member’s Reports</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, October 15, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, October 22, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport update including OLI</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 5, 2020</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 12, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval of transport capital fund projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Chairperson’s Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member’s Reports</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Ōrākei Local Board Workshop Proceedings</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, November 19, 2020</td>
<td>Resolution Pending Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Board Member discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>Americas Cup update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, November 26, 2020</td>
<td>ACE update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or meeting)</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Governance Role: Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, December 10, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday, December 3, 2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To note the records for the Ōrākei Local Board workshops held following the previous business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local Board workshops are an informal forum held primarily for information or discussion purposes, as the case may be, and at which no resolutions or decisions are made.
3. Attached are copies of the records for the Ōrākei Local Board workshops held on 30 January 2020, 13 and 27 February 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board records for the workshops held on 30 January 2020, 13 and 27 February 2020 be noted.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings - 30 January 2020</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings - 13 February 2020</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings - 27 February 2020</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authoriser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Workshop Record

Workshop record of the special Ōrākei Local Board workshop held in the Ōrākei Local Board office meeting room on Thursday, 30 January 2020 commencing at 1.00pm

### Present

**Chairman:**  
Scott Milne

**Members:**  
Troy Churton  
Colin Davis  
Troy Elliott  
Sarah Powrie  
Margaret Joyce  
David Wong from 1.50pm

**Also present:**  
Suzanne Welld, Senior Local Board Advisor  
Nick Palmsano, Local Board Advisor  
Kim Lawgun, Democracy Advisor  
Ollin Perez Raynaud, Local Board Engagement Advisor  
Lucia Davis, Strategic Broker  
Audrey Gan, Lead Financial Advisor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Community Facilities update | What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:  
   Philippa Geere-Watson, Business Performance Manager  
   Johan Ferreira, Area Manager Project Delivery  
   Valerie Vui, Community Lease Specialist  
   Andrew Case, Senior Programme Manager  
   Vincent Perry, Project Manager | Community Facilities staff were in attendance to provide the Board with updates on the Selwyn Domain Toilet Project and St Helier’s Tennis Club Inc and Plunket Ellerslie community leases.  
   - Local initiatives/specific decisions  
   - Oversight and Monitoring  
   - Keeping informed. |
Attachment A

Item 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</th>
<th>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jane Aickin, Maori Outcomes Lead</td>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anahera Higgins, Te Kete Rukuruku Programme Manager</td>
<td>• Oversight and Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Carr, Te Kete Rukuruku Naming Lead</td>
<td>• Keeping informed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parks, Sports and Recreation staff were in attendance to provide an overview of the Te Kete Rukuruku (Maori naming) Programme for the Board to consider as part of its 2020/2021 local work programme.

The workshop concluded at 3.30pm
**PRESENT**

**Chairman:** Scott Milne  
**Members:**  
Troy Churton from 12.55pm  
Colin Davis from 2.30pm  
Troy Elliott  
Sarah Powrie  
Margaret Voyce departed 3.15pm  
David Wong from 1.10pm  
Troy Churton for lateness  
Colin Davis for lateness  
David Wong for lateness  

**Apologies:**  
Troy Churton for lateness  
Colin Davis for lateness  
David Wong for lateness  

**Also present:**  
Suzanne Weid, Senior Local Board Advisor  
Nick Palmasano, Local Board Advisor  
Kim Lawgun, Democracy Advisor  
Olin Perez Raynaud, Local Board Engagement Advisor  
Lucia Davis, Strategic Broker  
Audrey Gan, Lead Financial Advisor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board member discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Board member only session which provided the Member’s with the opportunity to discuss any issues and/or provide updates to the Board for input and direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time: 12.00 noon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mark Millar, Area Manager</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>James Barrett-Boyes, Coastal and Slips</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme Principal</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Oversight and Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Keeping informed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities staff were in attendance to update the Board on progress with its Community Facilities work programmes including project delivery, capital development, operational maintenance and community leasing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal/Marine update</td>
<td>Paul Klinac, Head of Specialist Delivery Unit</td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being worked through:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacqui Thompson-Fell, Work Programme Lead</td>
<td>- Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johan Ferreira, Area Manager Project Delivery</td>
<td>- Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vincent Perry, contractor</td>
<td>- Keeping informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varni Kamani, Project Manager</td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide a coastal/marine update to the Board and to provide opportunity for the Board to indicate areas that it would like further updates on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation update</td>
<td>Rob Gear, PSR Portfolio Manager</td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being worked through:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacqui Fell, Parks and Places Specialist</td>
<td>- Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunny Karon, Activation Advisor</td>
<td>- Oversight and Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Barker, Parks and Places Team Leader</td>
<td>- Keeping informed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elleslie Hall community needs assessment</td>
<td>Peter Loud, Senior Advisor</td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide a Parks, Sports and Recreation update to the Board on the activation programme, marine needs assessment and Hakumau and The Landing concept plans,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michelle Irving, contractor</td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being worked through:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.15pm
**Workshop Item** | **Governance role** | **Summary of Discussions**
---|---|---
Board member discussion |  | Board member only session which provided the Member’s with the opportunity to discuss any issues and/or provide updates to the Board for input and direction.

2021 America’s Cup update | Michael Goudie, External Relations Manager - AKL2021 | What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:
- Local initiatives/specific decisions
- Oversight and Monitoring
- Keeping informed.
Braden Pivac, Event Activations Lead AC36 |  | Staff were in attendance to provide a progress update on the 36th America’s Cup programme to the Board.
Victoria Brooke, Facilitation Lead AC36 |  |  
Rua Pani, Auckland Transport Operations Smalles Manager |  |  

**Present**

Chairman: Scott Mihal
Members: Troy Churton from 3.20pm
Colin Davis till 3.00pm
Troy Elliott
Sarah Powrie
Margaret Joyce
David Wong from 1.20pm

Apologies: Troy Churton for lateness
Also present: Adam Milina, Relationship Manager
Suzanne Weil, Senior Local Board Advisor
Nick Palmisano, Local Board Advisor
Kim Lawgun, Democracy Advisor
Lucia Davis, Strategic Broker

**Orakei Local Board Workshop Record**

Workshop record of the Orakei Local Board held in the Orakei Local Board office meeting room on Thursday, 27 February 2020 commencing at 12.00 noon
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Cross, Special Events Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide the Board with an Auckland Transport update on the Tamaki Drive improvements project, road safety around schools and the Local Board Capital Fund long list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Thomas, Elected Member Relationship Manager</td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Jay, Spectator Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide an introduction to the Local Park Management Plan project and a brief introduction to the land status review / reserve classification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kane Hopkins, Communications Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernadette Fatu, Community Transport Team Leader</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Ahmu, Principal Project Manager</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrākei Local Park Management Plan – introduction to the plan</td>
<td>What is the local boards governance role with regards to the item being workshopped:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shyrel Burt, Service and Asset Planning Specialist</td>
<td>• Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiri Le Heron, Service and Asset Planner</td>
<td>• Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to Financial Governance</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrey Gan, Lead Financial Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Audrey was in attendance to provide part two of the introduction to financial governance as part of the Elected Members induction programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.15pm
Resolutions Pending Action
File No.: CP2020/03149

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Ōrākei Local Board with an opportunity to track reports that have been requested from staff.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Ōrākei Local Board resolutions pending action report be noted.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Resolution pending action register - March 2020</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Kim Lawgun - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Adam Milina - Relationship Manager - Albert-Eden &amp; Orakei Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resolutions in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Adoption</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Active Assigned to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 March, 2017, Item 9</td>
<td>Mission Bay Swimming Club</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request staff to report back to the Board within a reasonable time frame on the proposal to redevelop the former Mission Bay Swimming Club site on Tamaki Reserve (9 Tamaki Walkway) for a multi-use sport facility, noting in Pōwhenua Auckland Development’s six-monthly quarterly update 1 July to 31 December 2016, “the reserve was formerly occupied by the former Mission Bay Boating Club, was transferred from Council community facilities department to Pōwhenua for a robust investigation into future service requirements or possible disposal” b) request staff to report back to the Board on the suitability of the former Mission Bay Swimming Club in Tamaki Reserve for the Board’s meeting on 16 March 2017</td>
<td>Community Facilities Service Manager, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>15 March, 2017, Item 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board will rebrand the former swimming Club site on Tamaki Reserve as the “Mission Bay Pool” andc) request that the Ōrākei Local Board continue to engage with external advisors to advise on the future of the property.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>15 February, 2018, Item 15</td>
<td></td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board will continue to engage with external advisors to advise on the future of the property.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 October, 2018, Item 19</td>
<td>Aigare Street, Ōrākei</td>
<td>That Ōrākei Transport be requested to investigate the feasibility of implementing a lower speed limit on all the approaches into Ōrākei, Ōrākei and report back to the Board on its findings April 2019 update: Auckland Transport are investigating and will report back to the Board once completed.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>21 March, 2019, Item 20</td>
<td>Fence removal at Hukarere Reserve</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation to liaise with Outdoor Boat Club of Auckland Inc requesting the Board to demarcate the current fenceline along Tamaki Drive bordering the property areas of the Hukarere Reserve and the public boat ramps to enable safer access and egos for the public into the public boat ramps and grass area of the Reserve from Tamaki Drive. End of 2019: Legal advice received no existing use. Staff to workshop issues on 5 September 2019. April 2019: The Manager PSR will liaise with ORC about the fence and other issues of concern. July 2019: PSR staff updated the Board on 26 June 2019 re ORC’s proposals and Board provided feedback, not recommended Board that favours removed until future Reserve issues agreed. December 2019: An update will be provided to the Board at their December 2019 workshop. February 2020 update: Following the Board’s December workshop, it was recommended that further planning for the Reserve be initiated. March 2020: An analysis of coastal access at Hukarere Reserve and the location of public reserves.</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>18 April, 2019, Item 9.3</td>
<td>Yachting New Zealand</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the PSR Portfolio Manager to work with Yachting New Zealand to assess their requirements for the use of the landing and report back to the Board. December 2019 update: The PSR Portfolio Manager is meeting regularly with Yachting New Zealand and will provide a progress update at upcoming board workshop.</td>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 May, 2019, Item 13</td>
<td>Draft Tamaki Open Space Network Plan</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request the PSR Portfolio Manager to work with Yachting New Zealand to assess their requirements for the use of the landing and report back to the Board. December 2019 update: The PSR Portfolio Manager is meeting regularly with Yachting New Zealand and will provide a progress update at upcoming board workshop.</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 May, 2019, Item 16</td>
<td>Safety and Heavy vehicles</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport to consider the feasibility of implementing a lower speed limit on all the approaches into Ōrākei, Ōrākei and report back to the Board on its findings. March 2020 update: Auckland Transport has provided a response in its March 2020 report to the Board</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16 May, 2019, Item 20</td>
<td>Naming of Ladies Bay/Lady’s Bay</td>
<td>That: a) the Ōrākei Local Board request Auckland Transport advise on the current status of public access to the Ballarat Street, Ellerslie paper road extension, reporting on the feasibility and providing a rough order of costs to install a walking/cycling pathway from Alberts Way to the formed part of Ballarat Street. September 2019 update: Ōrākei and Mangereenēkā Te Ahu advisors met on site and have highlighted the potential use for AT staff February 2020 update - Staff are planning a site meeting with the Board, Ward Councillors and Auckland Transport to discuss next steps. March 2020 update: Auckland Transport Property Manager is investigating the status of the site, including requirements.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>19 July, 2019, Item 19</td>
<td>Plastic bag dispensing units</td>
<td>That the Ōrākei Local Board request Community Facilities to report on the removal of all plastic bag dispensing units from all reserves in the Ōrākei Local Board area. July 2019: request has gone to Community Facilities staff for action. December 2019 update: Community Facilities staff are looking at a bio-degradable bag as an alternative. February 2020 update: Community Facilities staff will provide an update and options to the Board at their upcoming workshop.</td>
<td>Community Facilities Service Manager, Sports and Recreation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resolutions in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>17/04/2020</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachment A**

**Item 22**