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1 Welcome

A board member will lead the meeting in prayer.

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting held on Wednesday 26 February 2020, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Papakura Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation – Papakura Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB)

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. Judy Boyd from the Papakura Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB), will present the CAB’s annual statistics for the 2018/2019 period.

Ngā tūhohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) thank Judy Boyd from the Papakura Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) for her presentation.
8.2 Deputation - Kuraconnect

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. Melissa Tipene from the Papakura Athletic and Harrier Club, will provide an update on the Kuraconnect activities.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) thank Melissa Tipene from the Papakura Athletic and Harrier Club for her Kuraconnect presentation.

Attachments
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8.3 Deputation - The Rising Foundation Trust

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. General Manager Alex Tarrant and Programme Coordinator Eddie Lepou from the Rising Foundation Trust will introduce what the charity does within the Papakura community and the benefits and outcomes the programme has on the youth and wider whanau members.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) thank Alex Tarrant and Eddie Lepou from the Rising Foundation Trust for their presentation.

8.4 Deputation - Mana Whenua Engagement Paoa Whanake Strategic Partnership

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. Genesis Moana, from Manawhenua Ngati Paoa Social Development, will speak to the activities of Manawhenua Ngati Paoa Social Development.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) thank Genesis Moana, from Manawhenua Ngati Paoa Social Development for her presentation.
9  **Public Forum**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1  **Public Forum - The Papakura Business Association**

*Te take mō te pūrongo*

**Purpose of the report**

1. Tracy Shackleton, Town Centre Manager for the Papakura Business Association, will introduce the new Community Safety Coordinator.

*Ngā tūtohunga*

**Recommendation/s**

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) thank Tracy Shackleton from the Papakura Business Association, for introducing the new Community Safety Coordinator.

10  **Extraordinary Business**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

  (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

  (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

  (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

  (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for the Manurewa Papakura ward councillors to update the board on Governing Body issues they have been involved with since the previous meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Standing Orders 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 provides for Governing Body Members to update their local board counterparts on regional matters of interest to the board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
   a) receive Councillor Angela Dalton and Councillor Daniel Newman’s updates.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairperson's Update

File No.: CP2020/02647

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To provide an opportunity for the Papakura Local Board Chairperson to update the local board on issues he has been involved in over the past month.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the verbal report from the Papakura Local Board Chairperson.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Auckland Transport update report to the Papakura Local Board for March 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each month, Auckland Transport provides an update to the Papakura Local Board on transport-related matters, relevant consultations in its area, Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects and decisions of Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee.
3. The Auckland Transport March 2020 update is attached to this report (Attachment A) along with the Community Safety Fund report (Attachment B) and the One Local Initiative report (Attachment E).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the Auckland Transport March 2020 monthly update report as provided in Attachment A to this report.

b) receive the Community Safety Fund report provided as Attachment B to this report, and provide a direction to AT.

c) receive the Papakura One Local Initiative (OLI) report as provided in Attachment E to this report.

d) pursue the at-grade car parking improvements at Papakura Rail Station as its One Local Initiative (OLI) due to the favourable benefit cost ratio.
### Ngā tāpirihanga
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</tr>
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<td>D</td>
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February 2020: Auckland Transport monthly update to the Papakura Local Board

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. An update for the Papakura Local Board about transport related matters in their area, including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A decision is required this month on the following:
   - Next step of the Papakura One Local Initiative.
   - Reallocation of Community Safety Fund project funding.
3. The report also contains information about the following:
   - Information about the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).
   - Information about Auckland Transport local and regional projects & activities.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the Auckland Transport March 2020 monthly update report.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport-related matters in the board’s area and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund and the fund’s projects.

5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport (AT). Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of AT’s work programme.

6. Any LBTCF projects selected must be safe, must not impede network efficiency, and must be located in the road corridor or on land controlled by Auckland Transport (though projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).

7. AT is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. AT reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

8. This section of the report contains information about local projects, issues and initiative. It provides summaries of the detailed advice and analysis provided to the local board during workshops and briefings.

Local Board Transport Capital Fund

9. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by AT. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:
   - Be safe
   - Not impede network efficiency
   - Be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks may be considered if they support a transport outcome).

10. The fund is designed to allow local boards to build transport focused local improvements in their areas.

11. In this 2019-2022 electoral term, the local board has approx. $2.32 million of LBTCF to allocate to projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previously unallocated funds</th>
<th>Allocation for term</th>
<th>Total $ available to new Board</th>
<th>Minimum $ that must be allocated by 30 June 2020 to ensure projects can be constructed during the electoral term, the board should allocate 50% of their allocation in June 2020.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>366,879</td>
<td>1,965,384</td>
<td>$2,332,263</td>
<td>1,066,307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. AT encourages all local boards to maximise the use of their allocated funding and has established a timeline for the board to use for identification, investigation and delivery of projects.

13. The timeline is listed below:
   - On the 5th February 2020, Auckland Transport workshoped an initial list of potential projects with the local board providing an opportunity to identify possible projects.
   - On the 4th March 2020, Auckland Transport further workshoped this initial list of projects with the local board, providing an opportunity for the board to provide feedback.
   - Later in March 2020, AT will workshop the projects identified by the local board again and confirm the list of projects that the board wishes to put forward for rough order of costing (ROC).
   - The local board will formally request by resolution, advice and rough order of costing (ROC) for the projects identified at the March workshop at its April 2020 business meeting.
   - During May and June 2020 AT will provide costs and advice on the projects. This information can be used by the local board to prioritise its projects and to allocate funds based on quality advice. Workshops will be scheduled to discuss this information to support the local board’s decision-making.
   - In June 2020 it is planned that the local board will able to:
14. The aim is that during the first six months of the term the local board can identify worthwhile transport projects and start work on utilising its transport capital fund. This will allow projects to be progressed or delivered inside of the board’s current political term.

15. Auckland Transports’ aim is to move through this process and synchronise it with development of the board’s Local Board Plan. This process ensures transport projects support the board’s goals. It maximises efficiency and minimises the risk that transport funds are used to fund unplanned or poorly evaluated projects. Further, it helps to ensure that projects are completed in this electoral term. In the second year of the local board’s electoral term, this cycle will be repeated if the board does not allocate all its funds in the first year.

16. In this term, a strategic consideration is partnership funding by the board with the Papakura Greenways Plan - Elliot Street to Freelance Terrace (Sections 12 & 13) project. This is additional partnership funding by the board, on top of a previous allocation towards this project. Advice from Auckland Transport officers is to consider using the LBTCF to contribute to funding of this project, as this may assist with achieving the projects delivery.

Responses to Resolutions

17. The most recent resolutions of the Papakura Local Board are recorded below in bold font, with Auckland Transport contained below each resolution.

Resolution number PPK/2020/11

b) notes that in relation to the projects identified as part of the Safer Communities programme which has now been de-funded by NZTA, AT’s report to the board in September 2019 stated that “Auckland Transport wishes to complete this project and is continuing to work with the local board, and together Auckland Transport and the board can explore alternative funding options for elements of the proposed project, in the same way as with the Community Safety Fund projects. The plan is that Auckland Transport’s Road Safety Team will report and workshop with the Papakura Local Board and then will inform the wider community of the programme’s status. The report back is likely to be in late September or October 2019 so it will be the next local board responding to this work.”

Auckland Transport expects to deliver physical work on the Safer Communities Programme Projects in the 2020-2021 financial year. Information on this is available online on the Auckland Transport website: https://at.govt.nz/driving-parking/road-safety/safer-communities-programme/papakura/#overview

Consultation has occurred, with the key identified areas for works being identified as Elliot Street to Beach Road, the Papakura Town Centre, Clevedon Road to Willis Road, Settlement Road and Rosehill. Further updates will be provided to the board on this programme as it progresses.

c) seeks further details on Auckland Transport’s response to resolution PPK/2019/137 e) that Auckland Transport is continuing to work on alternate funding sources for delivery of the Safer Communities Programme, including what work has been undertaken to date

As per resolution b), delivery of physical works is expected in the 2020-2021 financial
year.

d) notes that the board resolution PPK/2019/137 e) included a request that Auckland Transport inform submitters that the project has been de-funded by NZTA, which has not been responded to and requests Auckland Transport to respond

Refer to resolution b)

g) requests Auckland Transport consult with the Papakura Business Association and inform on-street carpark users through appropriate notices, of the proposed changes to parking prior to the changes taking effect

The Papakura Business Association were consulted during the parking study and their feedback was received in October 2019. Appropriate notification of changes to the public will occur.

h) expresses its disappointment that the Chair was informed by a member of the public that they have sighted information from Auckland Transport that the road remediation works at Parerekau Road has been delayed by a further 11 months, and if accurate, requests an explanation why this information was not shared with the local board given the board’s continued advocacy on this issue

An indicative timeline was provided to a member of the public, on request, through the customer care center, before the board was advised. This time frame was indicative only and has since changed.

i) continues to express its frustration with the rehabilitation works at Parerekau Road which has been the subject of numerous previous resolutions and urgently request a written update by Auckland Transport of when the works will be completed

Parerekau Road is an issue that was elevated to the Executive Leadership Team in full of AT following issues with the work. The project has been reviewed and delivery is a priority for AT. AT acknowledge that there have been some serious issues around delivery of the upgrade around Parerekau Road, and a full communications plan is being developed to front up to the community and explain these issues. Work is expected to be completed by December 2020 – which is necessary due to the weather required across the construction season. Further updates on this project will be provided.

i) expresses its disappointment that Auckland Transport will not consider an alternative location for the bus layover until the bus interchange work commences and a permanent solution found (noting that this may be indefinite as the bus interchange is not currently funded), despite previous undertakings by Auckland Transport staff that feasibility work has commenced at the site at the corner of Settlement Road and Great South Road, and requests information on the outcome of any feasibility work conducted to date.

Information to address this resolution is not available at the time this report was written, and will be responded to in next month’s AT report.
k) disputes Auckland Transport response to resolution PPK/2019/137 f), that “Auckland Transport have moved and consolidated the bus layovers in Opaheke Road to address the problems outside the CAB”, as the Chair has been informed by the CAB Manager that buses continue to park outside their office which is continuing to have a significant impact on the ability for customers to access the vital counselling and CAB services.

AT are aware of the issue with buses not parking in the designated layovers. This is an operational issue and our bus performance manager continues to raise this issue for compliance with our operator in the region. However AT rely on operator compliance to adhere to this, as AT lack enforcement options.

l) request Auckland Transport to investigate signage to prevent buses from parking in the spaces outside the CAB and counselling services, or marking those spaces as being for the use of CAB and counselling customers only.

AT advises that there is no lawful signage solution to prevent buses parking in this location, nor is there the lawful ability for the road reserve to be reserved for the Citizen’s Advice Bureau.

Local Updates

Planned Lighting Upgrades for Papakura Town Centre

18. A lighting design has been completed for the Papakura Town Centre and AT is planning to upgrade the existing lighting to whiter LED bulbs. LED bulbs are more energy efficient and in line with AT’s commitment to minimizing its impact on climate change. The area of the upgrades is as depicted below:

Pedestrian Crossing Upgrade: Elliot Street and East Street Intersection.
19. AT ran a consultation between the 24th of February and 9th of March 2020 on proposed upgrades to this intersection. This proposal has come in response to the frequent damage to traffic light poles at this location due to large trucks mounting the corners. AT’s recommended option is relocating the traffic lights and reinstating the kerb at each corner, to provide a clearer space for truck turning movements, so they no longer mount the corner, and in turn improve the safety of people waiting to cross. Feedback is currently being assessed and further updates will be provided.

Parerakau Road

20. As previously reported Parerakau Road is now to be subject to a full road upgrade. There have been significant issues with this project and its delivery, and as highlighted in the addressed resolutions in this report, work is expected to be completed by December 2020 – which is necessary due to the weather required across construction season. Further updates on this project will be provided to the board.

Supporting Growth Programme

21. Significant infrastructure upgrades and investment is occurring in the area as part of the “Supporting Growth Programme”. The Supporting Growth Programme is a collaboration between NZ Transport Agency, AT and Auckland Council to investigate, plan and deliver the transport services needed to support Auckland’s future urban growth areas over the next 30 years. The board have been presented with information on various project impacting on the Papakura area, and members of the public are encouraged to read the information on projects, which can be found on the website: https://www.supportinggrowth.govt.nz/have-your-say/south/

Regional Updates

A Big Year for Public Transport

22. More Aucklanders are jumping on public transport with annual growth of almost eight per cent. Last year public transport patronage totaled 103.2 million passenger boarding’s. AT Metro train services carried more than 22 million passengers in the past 12 months. That’s the highest rate ever, train patronage is growing at six per cent a year.

23. Last year AT added an additional 13 per cent capacity at peak times to the busiest bus corridors and more services are on the way. Last year bus patronage grew at almost nine per cent and ferry passenger numbers were up two per cent.

24. Meanwhile, the first three of Auckland’s new trains have arrived, meaning AT can run larger trains during the morning and afternoon peak. The trains are currently being tested and certified.

25. The remaining 12 will be here before the end of the year, bringing the fleet to 72.

City Centre bus routes change

26. Most services in the city centre had route changes that took effect from Sunday, 23 February. These changes are needed so construction can start on what will be the country’s busiest train station, Aotea Station. The station will be built 15m underneath the city and will be 300m long. There will be entrances on Victoria Street and Wellesley Street, providing the option to connect to bus services.

27. As a result of construction, the intersection of Wellesley Street, Albert Street and Mayoral Drive will be closed from 1 March until early 2021. At peak, currently 145 buses travel along Wellesley Street.

28. The new routes for the 26 bus services currently using Wellesley Street will stay in place until the intersection reopens next year. The amended bus routes are designed to cause as little disruption as possible while keeping people moving through the city. Most new bus routes will be using Victoria Street or Mayoral Drive to cross the city, which means most passengers will only be one block away from their old stop.
29. Aotea will be the busiest rail station in the country and will make a big contribution to Auckland’s future development when it opens. Link Alliance, which is building the station, acknowledges the impact the construction and the intersection closure will have on the immediate community and road users. While its central Auckland location means disruption during construction is unavoidable, Link Alliance will continue to work with AT and Auckland Council to minimise those impacts.

30. The bus routes are being supported by new bus priority measures such as bus lanes and priority signaling at traffic lights. AT staff will be on the ground to assist passengers before and during the closure.

31. To find out more about the bus changes and intersection closure, visit AT.govt.nz/BetterWay.

32. For information on Aotea Station, visit: https://www.citraillink.co.nz/cfr-stations-aotea

**Extra seats on buses and trains**

33. Auckland Transport is promising extra capacity on buses and trains for the busiest time of the year as Aucklanders head back to work and study.

34. Last year public transport patronage totaled 103.2 million passenger boarding’s, that’s annual growth of eight per cent. With the annual surge in demand for public transport as students return to university Auckland Transport has added an extra 5000 seats on buses at peak times and is rolling out extra train cars to accommodate demand.

35. The first of our new trains have arrived from Spain and we are rolling those out with more six car trains during the busy periods. We will have 1200 extra seats in the morning peak and the same in the afternoon.7

36. Auckland Transport has a few additional buses to help out over the next few weeks. This means when we see a problem, we may be able to slot in extra services to help. However, there may be times when customers may not be able to get on the first bus on our high frequency routes, but we aim to keep the wait time to a minimum.

**Walking School Bus Month**

37. A reminder that March is ‘Walking School Bus Month’ and there are some great activities and prizes for the kids to win:

- Week 1 is Hilarious Head Gear;
- Week 2 is Fancy Feet;
- Week 3 is Super Hero; and
- Week 4 is Trolls World Tour.

38. Find out more about the Walking School Bus scheme and (in March) Walking School Bus Month at: https://at.govt.nz/cycling-walking/travelwise-school-programme/walking-school-bus/how-a-walking-school-bus-works/

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**
**Climate impact statement**

39. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.

40. Auckland Transport’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

41. To this end, Auckland Transport’s Statement of Intent contains three performance measures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2019/20</th>
<th>2020/21</th>
<th>2021/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of buses in the Auckland bus fleet classified as low emission</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in CO2 (emissions) generated annually by Auckland Transport corporate operations (from 2017/18 baseline)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Auckland Transport streetlights that are energy efficient LED</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

42. The impact of information (or decisions) in this report are confined to AT and do not impact on other parts of the council group.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

The local board have been consulted on the following project(s) over the reporting period:

a) upgrading the pedestrian crossings at the Elliot Street and East Street intersection in Papakura.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

43. There are no specific impacts on Māori for this reporting period. Auckland Transport is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi—the Treaty of Waitangi—and its broader legal obligations in being more responsible or effective to Māori.

   Our Māori Responsiveness Plan outlines the commitment to with 19 mana whenua tribes in delivering effective and well-designed transport policy and solutions for Auckland. We also recognise mataawaka and their representative bodies and our desire to foster a relationship with them.

   This plan in full is available on the Auckland Transport Website - [https://at.govt.nz/about-us/transport-plans-strategies/māori-responsiveness-plan/#about](https://at.govt.nz/about-us/transport-plans-strategies/māori-responsiveness-plan/#about)
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
44. The LBTCF is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papakura Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
<td>$3,851,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
<td>$1,518,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
<td>$2,332,263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications for the local board.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
46. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. AT has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the Papakura local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
47. AT will provide another update report to the board at the next meeting in April 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
48. There are no attachments to this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>James Ralph, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Manager, Elected Member Relationship Unit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decision Report – Community Safety Fund Allocation

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. For the Papakura Local Board to advise Auckland Transport (AT) on its direction regarding two Community Safety Fund (CSF) Projects.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A decision is required this month on allocation on funding for the following CSF projects:
   - CSFA1.5 Porcheer Rd Manuroa Road Refuge Improvements.
   - CSFA1.7 Settlement Road Safety Improvements
   This decision may see reallocation of existing CSF funds, or topping up the programme from the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
   a) receive the decision report and provide a direction to AT.

Horopaki
Context
3. In the 2018 Regional Land Transport Plan Auckland Council’s Governing Body set aside $20 million to address local road safety concerns raised by the community to their elected members. This project is named the Community Safety Fund (CSF).
4. Beginning in March 2019, AT conducted workshops with the region’s 21 local boards whose members were invited to share their candidate projects. Local Boards were also encouraged to involve ward councilors in selection and prioritisation of these projects.
5. AT undertook detailed assessment of these candidate projects to determine their feasibility in terms of budget and site-constraints.
6. After assessment, AT conducted another series of workshops to present projects that were selected from the original candidate lists supplied by the local boards.
7. AT provided indicative costs which included all stages for development and delivery of projects.
8. Local boards then selected the shortlisted projects that could be accommodated within their Community Safety Fund budgets.
9. A total of 84 safety projects were identified for full or significant funding across Auckland’s 21 local board areas. The below table depicts the projects for the Papakura Local Board Area:
10. As these projects have moved into the design phase, issues have arisen with the following projects:

a) CSFA1.5 Porchester Rd Manuroa Road Refuge Improvements.

b) CSFA1.7 Settlement Road Safety Improvements

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**CSFA1.5 Porchester Road / Manuroa Road Refuge Improvements**

11. $65,000 is currently allocated to this project. The initial proposal was to widen the existing refuge/splitter islands on the northern and western legs of this intersection. The Investigation has confirmed that tracking requirements mean that the improvements requested by the Board cannot be progressed.

12. The alternative is to install raised crossings on these legs. Locations will be further away from the intersection, with the exact locations to be determined. To achieve this would bring the project to $270,000, meaning a $205,000 shortfall in funding.

**CSFA1.7 Settlement Road Safety Improvements**

13. $65,000 is currently allocated to this project. The recent installation of the central refuge island improvements on Settlement Road has impacted on the ability to provide splitter islands Kelvin Road as tracking cannot be provided for.

14. An alternative design for a raised speed table to be installed on Kelvin Road appears feasible. To achieve this would bring the project up to $135,000 meaning a $70,000 shortfall.
Options Available to the Board

15. The following options are available to the board in order to progress these projects:
   a) Re-allocate the $85,000 from the CSFA1.5 Porchester Road / Manuroa Road Refuge
      Improvements project to the CSFA1.7 Settlement Road Safety Improvements.
   b) Utilise the LBCTF to allocate an additional $205,000 to CSFA1.5 Porchester Road / Manuroa
      Road Refuge Improvements, and an additional $70,000 to the CSFA1.7 Settlement
      Road Safety Improvements.

16. AT have no preferred option and it will be up to the board to select which project they want
    to proceed with, and how they want to manage their LBCTF allocation.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

Climate impact statement

17. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no identified impacts or opportunities for climate change.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

Council group impacts and views

18. The impact of information (or decisions) in this report are confined to AT and do not impact on other parts of the council group.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

Local impacts and local board views

19. The Papakura Local Board have indicated that safety and delivery of CSF projects is a high priority.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

Māori impact statement

20. The proposed decision of this report has no specific impacts or opportunities for Māori.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

Financial implications

21. The proposed decision of receiving the report has financial implications on the boards LBCTF allocation for the term. Current allocations are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papakura Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOLD - AT
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

22. The decision made by the board will impact on the ability for delivery of either CSF project. Should the board elect to not allocate further funding to the Porchester Road / Manuroa Road Refuge Improvements, AT will continue to investigate what potential improvement options are available at this location.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

23. AT will take the decision on direction of the board regarding allocation of funding on these projects and advance accordingly.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

24. The following diagrams are attached to this report:
   
a) Diagram of proposed amendments to project CSFP1.5 – Manuroa Road & Porchester Road pedestrian safety improvements.

b) Diagram of proposed amendments to project CSFP1.7 – Settlement Road Safety Improvements.

Ngā kaihaina
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Decision Report – Papakura One Local Initiative

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. For the Papakura Local Board to advise Auckland Transport (AT) on its direction for implementation of its One Local Initiative (OLI) for upgrades to the Papakura Train Station park and ride facility.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A decision is required this month on the next step of the Papakura One Local Initiative. The nature of this decision will dictate if AT are to take a business case to the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) for funding of the selected project ($11.7m). This would not be required if the option directed is deliverable within the Council allocation ($5.7m).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the decision report.
b) pursue the at-grade car parking improvements at Papakura Rail Station as its One Local Initiative due to the favourable benefit cost ratio.

Horopaki
Context
3. The Auckland Regional Land Transportation Plan (RLTP) 2018-2028 includes a budget of $11.7m for upgrades to the Papakura park and ride facility. This budget assumes 49% funding from Council and 51% funding from NZTA.
4. The Papakura Local Board advocated for upgrades to include 300 additional car parking spaces with a preference for a multi-storied park and ride option. Initial indications were that a facility meeting this preference could be delivered within the indicated price range.
5. An investigation into the firm cost of delivery was conducted by AT and Aurecon. Following a geotechnical assessment of the site around the train station it was established that the soil conditions (muddy peat and lignite present) would complicate the construction of any multi-storied option and greatly increase the cost of delivery.
6. A recommendation was made that a further 117 at-grade car parks be developed, as the most cost-effective solution to deliver more parking. This is made up of 91 at grade car parks on KiwiRail land to the north-east of the station and a further 26 on KiwiRail land to the south-west of the station.
7. The Local Board requested a peer review of the carpark building cost estimates and preparation of cost estimates for staging delivery of capacity (i.e. carpark building ground level and level-1 as stage-1 followed by additional levels at a later point in time). The scope of the review was agreed with the Local Board in July 2019. AT agreed to cover the costs ($36,000) for the review, carried out by consultants Beca Ltd.
8. This peer review confirmed the high costs of delivery for a multi-story option.

**Options Analysis:**

9. Cost estimates for the available options are as follows:

- **Multi-storey cost estimate options range from:**
  - $12.6m for an additional 60 spaces (1 level above ground)
  - $24.8m for an additional 208 spaces (2 levels above ground)
  - $27.2m for an additional 269 spaces (3 levels above ground)

- **At Grade cost estimates:**
  - $3.0m for an additional 117 spaces.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

10. AT advises that the benefit of a multi-level car parking facility at Papakura is outweighed by the estimated cost.

11. Benefit Cost Ratio’s (BCR) calculated for the multi-story options range from 0.3 to 0.7. BCR’s for the at-grade improvements are estimated at 2.

12. AT has advised that it can prepare a business case to present to NZTA for a multi-level car parking facility. However, given that the Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) for this option is less than 1 and projects with BCR’s less than 1 are unlikely to secure NZTA funding subsidy without having a “Very High Results Alignment” under NZTA’s investment framework, an application for funding for a multi-story car park is unlikely to succeed.

13. Affordability and value for money remain key constraints for the Papakura Local Board’s vision for a multi-story park and ride facility at Papakura train station.

14. It is recommended that the Papakura Local Board pursue the at-grade improvements.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

15. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no identified impacts or opportunities for climate change.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

16. The impact of the recommendations in this report are not confined to AT - as the One Local Initiative is an Auckland Council instigated program, advise on options has been provided to the board by Auckland Council Staff.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

17. The Papakura Local Board view has been that the preferred option is delivery of a multi-levelled car park as the preferred option, and the board has advocated strongly for this option.

18. Locally, in the decision making, consideration should be given by the board to the impact that additional new train stations may have on the demand for parking at the Papakura Station. Specifically, two new stations are planned to be constructed at Drury, and a third at Paerata Rise.

19. These additional stations are likely to have an impact on the demand from people residing outside of Papakura and currently parking around the station. A parking study conducted around the Papakura station indicated that many commuters parking around the station did not reside in the area.

20. Planned electrification of the rail network to Pukekohe is also likely to have an impact on the demand for parking, as this will cancel the need for commuters to change trains at Papakura. Changing trains at Papakura often involves a wait time, so once the line to Pukekohe is electrified, commuters from south of Papakura will be more likely to utilise the Pukekohe Station.

21. Other forms of demand management for parking continue to be explored by AT including on demand PT, shuttles and extending bus services in the future.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement

22. The proposed decision of this report has no specific impacts or opportunities for Māori.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

23. Financial implications will be dependent on the decision that the board directs AT. As outlined, the RLTP 2018-2028 includes a budget of $11.7m for upgrades to the Papakura park and ride facility – assuming a 49% funding from Council and 51% funding from NZTA.

24. Should the board elect to take the recommended option in this report, NZTA funding would not be required, and there will be a shortfall in the Council’s spending of RLTP allocation for this project.

25. Advise on options here have been discussed with the board by Council.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

26. The risk associated with presenting a business case to NZTA with a BCR of less than one would see likely see no NZTA funding being provided, and potentially no delivery of any improved parking capacity at the Papakura Train Station.
Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
27. AT will take note of which option the Papakura Local Board decides and present a business case to NZTA for funding consideration accordingly.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
28. There are no attachments to this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>James Ralph, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Manager, Elected Member Relationship Unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Papakura Grants Programme 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototangatanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
3. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year.
4. This report presents the Papakura Grants Programme 2020/2021 for adoption as provided in Attachment A to this report).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) adopt the Papakura Grants Programme 2020/2021.

Horopaki
Context
5. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
6. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt its own local grants programme for the next financial year. The local board grants programme guides community groups and individuals when making applications to the local board.
7. The local board community grants programme includes:
   • outcomes as identified in the local board plan
   • specific local board grant priorities
   • which grant types will operate, the number of grant rounds and opening and closing dates
   • any additional criteria or exclusions that will apply
   • other factors the local board consider to be significant to their decision-making.
8. Once the local board grants programme 2020/2021 has been adopted, the types of grants, grant rounds, criteria and eligibility with be advertised through an integrated communication and marketing approach which includes utilising the local board channels.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. The new Papakura Grants Programme has been workshopped with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2020/2021.

10. The new grant programme includes the requirement for applications to provide quotes.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

11. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to address climate change by providing grants to individuals and groups with projects that support community climate change action. Local board grants can contribute to climate action through the support of projects that address food production and food waste; alternative transport methods; community energy efficiency education and behaviour change; build community resilience and support tree planting.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

12. The grants programme has no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations and therefore their views are not required.

13. Based on the main focus of an application, a subject matter expert from the relevant council unit will provide input and advice. The main focus of an application is identified as arts, community, events, sport and recreation, environment or heritage.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

14. The grants programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of its grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

15. All grant programmes respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to organisations delivering positive outcomes for Māori. Applicants are asked how their project aims to increase Māori outcomes in the application process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

16. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-Term Plan 2018 - 2028 and local board agreements.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

17. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy. Therefore, there is minimal risk associated with the adoption of the grants programme.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

18. An implementation plan is underway and the local board grants programme will be locally advertised through the local board and council channels, including the council website, local board facebook page and communication with past recipients of grants.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Papakura Local Board – Local Grants Programme 2020/2021

Our Grants Programme provides a funding source that is aimed at helping local communities to achieve their aspirations. Together we can contribute to making Papakura a thriving, safe and vibrant community.

Outcomes sought by the Papakura Local Board

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan 2017:

- Activities that contribute to a vibrant and prosperous metropolitan centre.
- Activities that focus on people in Papakura to lead active, healthy and connected lives.
- Activities that contribute to a strong local economy.
- Activities that contribute to Papakura being well-connected and easy to move around.
- Activities that contribute to ensuring that Papakura is treasured for its environment and heritage.

Our priorities sought from grant applications

The Papakura Local Board particularly welcomes grant applications that:

- Enable people to participate, celebrate and contribute to their local community.
- Contribute to a thriving, vibrant and safe town centre.
- Provides for local cultural and arts experiences in the Papakura local board area.
- Ensure that Papakura’s parks, sports and recreation facilities are well used.
- Support communities to achieve their goals and aspirations.
- Increase numbers of visitors who contribute to the local economy.
- Contribute to the environment in and around the harbour and streams are enjoyed by increasing numbers of people.
- Focus on reducing, reusing and recycling.
- Celebrate and highlight Papakura’s history and heritage.

Higher priorities:

The Papakura Local Board will prioritise:

- events or activities that are held in the local board area or can demonstrate the benefit for the local community.
- applicants who have considered other sources of funding for their project and/or are collaborating with other community groups
- projects or events that align with the healthy environment principles
Lower priorities:

1) Papakura Local Board has identified the following activities as lower priorities:
   - Fundraising events.
   - Costs towards travel expenses, salaries, vehicles, electronic equipment and catering.

2) Papakura Local Board has also identified the following financial situation of an applicant, as a lower priority for funding:
   - the applicant has little demonstrated financial need for the project.

Ineligibility

In addition to the eligibility criteria outlined in the Community Grants Policy, the Papakura Local Board will not fund:

- applicants who have failed to complete or provide a satisfactory accountability form from previous grants received.
- applications with no quotes provided
- applications applying for the same project or activity more than once in a financial year.
- applications requesting funding for projects or events which have already taken place

Investment approach

Papakura Local Board has a budget to support the local grants programme. The following minimum and maximum amounts apply:

i. Small Grants:
   a. Minimum amount per grant: $300
   b. Maximum amount per grant: $2,000

ii. Local Grants:
   a. Minimum amount per grant $2,000
   b. Maximum amount is generally up to $5,000 per grant unless there are exceptional circumstances

iii. Discretionary Grants:
   a. Requests will be assessed on a case by case basis but must demonstrably support at least one of the Papakura Local Board Plan outcomes

Application dates

Grant rounds for 2020/2021 will close on the following dates:
Small Grants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020/2021 grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round One</td>
<td>31 August 2020</td>
<td>25 September 2020</td>
<td>28 October 2020</td>
<td>1 November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Two</td>
<td>12 October 2020</td>
<td>6 November 2020</td>
<td>9 December 2020</td>
<td>10 December 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Three</td>
<td>26 April 2021</td>
<td>21 May 2021</td>
<td>23 June 2021</td>
<td>1 July 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Grants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020/2021 grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round One</td>
<td>22 June 2020</td>
<td>31 July 2020</td>
<td>23 September 2020</td>
<td>1 October 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Two</td>
<td>26 February 2021</td>
<td>2 April 2021</td>
<td>26 May 2021</td>
<td>1 June 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multi-board grants

We will consider multi-board funding on a case by case basis but will be particularly interested in applications concerning the environmentally sensitive use of the Manukau Harbour or a safe cycling and walking network across the south.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020/2021 Multi-board grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round One</td>
<td>22 June 2020</td>
<td>31 July 2020</td>
<td>23 September 2020</td>
<td>1 October 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Two</td>
<td>26 February 2021</td>
<td>2 April 2021</td>
<td>26 May 2021</td>
<td>1 June 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accountability measures

The Papakura Local Board requires that all successful applicants:

- Include the Papakura local board logo on their publicity and promotional material, with the words “funded by/part funded by Papakura Local Board”.
- Be available to work with Council staff on media coverage and provide at least one photograph of the event or activity.

Additionally, successful applicants would be welcome to provide a verbal report at a Papakura Local Board business meeting. Ten minutes at the start of the meeting can be set aside for a deputation or three minutes during public forum. Please contact the local board’s Democracy Advisor to make arrangements.
Transfer of ownership for basketball facilities at Smiths Reserve in Papakura

File No.: CP2020/03057

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for the transfer of assets installed by the “There’s a Better Way” programme at Smiths Reserve, Papakura, into council ownership.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In December 2019, staff attended a local board workshop to discuss assets that were installed at Smiths Reserve in 2018 for a basketball program run by “There’s a Better Way” (TABW).
3. The assets include a basketball hoop and spectator seating modules, and are currently being maintained by the asset owner, TABW.
4. Physical works are currently taking place to redevelop Smiths Reserve, as per the Smiths Reserve Concept Plan adopted by the local board (PPK/2018/146). Staff met with TABW founder Glen Green in November 2019 to discuss the future of the non-council assets.
5. TABW are pleased to transfer ownership of the assets to Auckland Council as part of the Smiths Reserve Concept Plan.
6. Staff propose to retain and relocate the basketball hoop in accordance with the adopted concept plan as provided in Attachment A. The spectator seating modules are in poor condition and staff propose to replace these with a more durable solution as part of the wider enhancement works within the reserve.
7. This report seeks to formalise the local boards request for Auckland Council to own and maintain assets gifted by TABW.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) approve the transfer of ownership of one basketball hoop from the ‘There’s a Better Way’ programme to Auckland Council for Smiths Reserve in Papakura.

b) request that staff offer the ‘There’s a Better Way’ programme an opportunity to remove the four seating units installed by them, or to give council permission to remove and dispose of the units.

c) note that new spectator seating will be installed as part of the Smiths Reserve development.

Horopaki
Context
8. The concept plan at Smiths Reserve, Papakura, reflects the community’s aspirations and was adopted in 2018 by the Papakura Local Board. (PPK/2018/146).
9. While the concept plan was in development, the Papakura Local Board funded a basketball programme run by TABW for the Smiths Avenue community’s youth.

10. Organisers of the programme installed a basketball half court, including one basketball hoop and four spectator seating modules. These were installed on the reserve without landowner approval.

11. The local board have indicated to staff that they would like some of the equipment be transferred to Auckland Council for ownership and maintenance.

12. Council staff have observed basketball as a popular activity within the Smiths Avenue community and keeping the basketball assets aligns with the community aspirations in the Smiths Reserve concept plan.

13. The concept plan identified relocating the basketball half court to the opposite side of the community hall. This caters for the relocation of the playground in its place as per the community’s request.

14. TABW have expressed support for council to own and maintain the assets.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

15. An asset report has been prepared by council staff and presented to the local board for consideration. It is summarised below:

**Stadium style seats**

- the seats are in poor condition
- graffiti, cracks and holes, as a result of vandalism, will require repairs or replacement (refer to figures 1a, 1b and 1c)
- due to exposure to the elements including UV weathering, the colour of the seats has faded.

**Basketball hoop**

- the basketball hoop is in good condition
- the hoop’s stand is made from high-grade steel with bolted flanges
- the construction of the hoop has been engineered and has been constructed to a compliant standard.

16. The basketball hoop has already been relocated as per the Smiths Reserve Concept Plan.

17. Council staff believe that the seating installed by TABW is not an appropriate design for the long term, and due to its current poor condition should be removed and replaced with a more durable and versatile replacement to reflect the overall upgrade of the surrounding reserve.
18. TABW will be given the opportunity to remove the seats if they want to retain them. Alternatively, council will remove and dispose of the seats.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
19. In June 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency and a commitment to the community to look at ways on how we can consider climate implications in everything that we do. Auckland faces risks such as heat waves, droughts and tropical storms.

20. Maintaining our green spaces is a proven climate solution to reduce harmful carbon pollution. The replacement seats have been sourced locally and are made from natural materials. They are more durable and versatile to increase resilience to climate impact.

21. Providing a more visually pleasing natural style of seating aligns with the community’s aspirations in the concept plan to encourage utilisation of our green spaces.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
22. Council staff from Community Facilities and Community Services collaborated to provide advice for this report. Staff agree that council taking ownership of the hoop and an upgrade of the spectator seating modules will improve recreation opportunities and the overall utilisation and care of the reserve.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
23. The local board has supported the redevelopment at Smiths Reserve, Papakura and has provided feedback regarding the assets in June, July and December 2019 local board workshops.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
24. In 2019, staff engaged Mana Whenua as part of the Smiths Development. Ngāti Tamaoho, Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki and Ngāti te Ata met with Council staff and members of the community. Iwi have expressed their support of the concept plan and are interested in participating in future story telling that may well develop in line with the concept plan.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
25. If resolved by the local board, council will be responsible for future maintenance of the basketball hoop.

26. In 2018, the local board approved $297,526 from their Local Driven Initiatives (LDI) capital budget to investigate and implement the Smiths Reserve Concept Plan - Stage One (PPK/2018/146).
27. Staff will work within the current budget for new replacement spectator seating modules from the current LDI allocation. No further funding from the local board is required. The new seats will be more durable and versatile, these will be delivered as part of the playground and courts renewal project.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

28. Due to the current state of the spectator seating modules staff believe further damage could prove to be an issue if not monitored and maintained.

29. The spectator seating modules do not reflect the current upgrade and facilities of the site and do not align with the community’s aspirations. If the proposal is not supported by the local board, it could cause disappointment for locals, as well as contribute to a drop in the community’s engagement as part of future projects.

Ngā korūngaro ā-muri

Next steps

30. Following approval from the local board, staff will contact the asset owner and arrange removal of the spectator seating modules and the handover of the basketball hoop to the council’s operations team.

31. The basketball hoop will be mapped into the council system to confirm ownership and future maintenance.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for the proposed boardwalk connection to Parerekau Island at 149A Capriana Drive, Hingaia.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. An esplanade reserve is being created as part of the residential development at Parerekau Island. The proposed boardwalks connect Karaka Harbourside to the new esplanade around the perimeter of this unique island via Kopuhingahinga Island.
3. Development of the Parerekau Island Boardwalk will be carried out by Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd.
4. Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd have prepared concept plans for the Parerekau Island Boardwalk in consultation with council staff and the local board following a workshop held on 5 February 2020 and a follow up workshop to discuss the wider project on 2 March 2020. These plans are provided for the local board’s consideration and approval.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) approve the Parerekau Island Boardwalk Plans, prepared by Civil Plan Consultants as provided in Attachment A to this report

b) delegate the approval of engineering detailed design to Parks, Sport & Recreation and Community Facilities.

Horopaki

Context
5. Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd, a land development organisation formed in January 1997, is overseeing the development of Parerekau Island in Hingaia, Auckland. The development of this land was initiated in 2015 when the site was rezoned to the Single Housing Zone. The development involves the creation of approximately 103 new homes across 18 hectares.
6. The development will also include an esplanade reserve around the perimeter of the island. This will vary in width between 20m and 51m and is a great opportunity for recreation and access all around the island.
7. The site is located 30km south of Auckland city, 2km northwest of the Southern Motorway and 4km west of Papakura township.
8. Resource consent for the subdivision creating the 103 residential lots and esplanade reserve was granted in December 2019. Works will begin mid-way through 2020.
9. The esplanade reserve to vest is currently in private ownership and will be transferred to Auckland Council as part of the residential subdivision. This means the new boardwalks connecting the reserves will be owned and maintained by Auckland Council long term.
10. The development of the Parerekau Island Boardwalk will be completed by Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd in accordance with the Parerekau Island Boardwalk Plans, prepared by Civil Plan Consultants as provided in Attachment A to this report. The Parerekau Island Boardwalks meet the Auckland Council standards and Auckland Council will be responsible for the ongoing management and maintenance of these assets following handover to Council. Handover will be arranged as part of the resource consent process.

11. The Parerekau Island Boardwalk Plans have been developed following consultation with council staff and the local board at a workshop held on 5 February 2019.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. These boardwalks provide access to Kopuahingahinga Island and the Parerekau Island Esplanade Reserve and are a great open space opportunity to provide access to and along the coast. The provision of these assets is aligned with the key principles in the Parks and Open Space Strategic Action Plan relating to connected open space networks and celebrating the coast.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

13. The proposal has been assessed from a climate change resilience perspective. Coastal Specialist, Ashashika Sharma, confirms the effects on marine ecology including avifauna will be short term and localised. The design of the boardwalks uses piles, which means the coastal processes will continue underneath the deck level. The two boardwalks are in low energy areas and the piles will only interact with coastal processes at high tide. The height of the boardwalks is 1m above current sea levels, which is considered appropriate. Council’s technical experts conclude that the boardwalks will not have an adverse effect on coastal processes.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

14. The proposal has been assessed from a maintenance, safety, accessibility and design perspective by council staff. Parks, Sport and Recreation and Community Facilities staff have discussed the concept plans and support the preferred concept for the Parerekau Island boardwalks. The design has been reviewed by Regulatory Services Engineers and Coastal Specialists who support the design and proposal.

15. Community Facilities Asset Management Team Engineers and Coastal Specialists have also reviewed and endorse the design. The boardwalks will be constructed with timber posts and have handrails for pedestrian safety. These will be of an open nature and will not stand out in the proposed location. The Parks, Sport & Recreation department endorse these becoming council assets to provide public access to and all the way around Parerekau Island.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

16. The proposal for the Parerekau Island Boardwalk was presented to the local board in a workshop on 5 February 2019. Feedback from the local board was supportive of the boardwalks and agreement that the link to the new Parerekau esplanade is a great opportunity. However, the Papakura Local Board raised concerns over the wider project, specifically whether the coastal hazards are being adequately addressed by the subdivision scheme plan that has been approved.

17. Parerekau Island is likely to experience between 17m and 30m of erosion combined with sea level rise over the next 100 years. Instead of armouring the perimeter of the island, the
wider subdivision has taken a precautionary approach to the coastal hazards at Parerekau island and provides an esplanade of up to 51m in width. This avoids rather than mitigates against the hazard and aligns with the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and Part 2 of the Resource Management Act. A wall would have a 35-year consenting life, while the approved subdivision will ensure there is a 20m wide esplanade reserve for the public to enjoy in 100 years from now. The modelling looks at the worst-case scenario and is considered a low risk solution as council do not anticipate needing to construct, own or maintain any coastal management structures for the next 100 years.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

18. No direct impacts on iwi arising from this development have been identified.

19. Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd have undertaken consultation with with Te Akitai Waiohua, Ngati Tamaoho and Ngati Te Ata. Iwi have provided a Cultural Impact Statement (CIA) looking at the development as a whole. The CIA supports boardwalks and asks that archaeological sites are avoided. No such sites are impacted by the two boardwalks proposed. Overall, the CIA is supportive of the approach, and the recommendations contained in the CIA are being implemented as part of the proposal.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

20. All construction costs will be funded and carried out by Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd. The annual operational costs of maintaining the proposed Parerekau Island Boardwalk has been estimated by Community Facilities to be between $1,400 and $3,700 per year, subject to detailed design. The funding for this will come from the operational expenditure budget.

21. Council’s obligation for maintenance of the boardwalks will commence upon the completion of the assets, which will be 1-5 years from now. The procedure for inspections and handover to Community Facilities is outlined in the resource consent. There will be one agreement for the esplanade development and a second for the playground so that these may be delivered in stages.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

22. Risks associated with the proposed Parerekau Island Boardwalk development sits with the private developer. The Unitary Plan requires these links to be constructed and the developer is obligated to construct them under their resource consent. A bond will also be taken by council if it is not constructed by the time subdivision is complete. It is also noted that this is a low risk as the developer has a proven track record.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

23. The developer wishes to develop the Parerekau Island Boardwalk in mid-2020. Once approval for the concept plan has been provided, council staff will work with Karaka Harbourside Estate Ltd on engineering details of the proposed developments to ensure the proposal meets the council’s park construction standards and is appropriate in regard to maintenance.

24. Parks Planning and Community Facilities staff have reviewed concept design and provided their approval.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Attachment A
Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Action Framework - Proposed changes

File No.: CP2020/03796

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to outline key amendments to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework and to obtain the local board’s views.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee resolved to develop an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region (ENV/2018/11).
3. To meet this requirement, Auckland Council led the development of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework, (ACAF) with extensive collaboration and engagement with mana whenua, public, private and voluntary sectors.
4. In June 2019, the Environment and Community Committee approved a consultation draft of ACAF and associated materials.
5. In February 2020, a memorandum and consultation summary was circulated to share key findings from the public consultation as provided in Attachments A and B to this report.
6. To address the feedback from the consultation, this report outlines key structural changes proposed for the framework including:
   - introducing three pillars representing the core drivers to which all actions will align (i.e. a place-based approach; emissions reduction; preparing for climate change)
   - moving from eleven key moves to eight priorities to streamline actions and address feedback.
7. It is also proposed that the title of the document is changed from Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan to reflect feedback and the greater focus on the impact of actions against our climate goals and roles in delivery. In addition, this provides certainty for roles and responsibilities with regards to implementation.
8. The proposed changes meet the requirements of a climate action plan as defined by C40 Cities.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) provide feedback on the changes to the draft Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework including:
   - introducing three pillars representing the core drivers for climate action (i.e. a place-based approach; emissions reduction; preparing for climate change)
   - moving from eleven key moves to eight priorities
   - changing the title from Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework to Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan.
Horopaki

Context

9. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee resolved to develop an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region, addressing both emissions reduction (i.e. mitigation) and preparing for the impacts of a changing climate (i.e. adaptation) (ENV/2018/11).

10. To meet this requirement, Auckland Council led the development of *Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework*, (ACAF) with extensive collaboration and engagement with mana whenua, public, private and voluntary sectors, reaching hundreds of Aucklanders.

11. Local board engagement and insights were sought throughout development of the framework, including meetings and cluster workshops. A summary of feedback from local boards is available in Attachments C and D to this report.

12. In June 2019, the Environment and Community Committee approved the consultation draft of ACAF and associated materials.

13. In February 2020, a memo and a consultation summary was circulated to all local boards to share key findings from the public consultation on the draft ACAF as provided in Attachments A and B to this report.

14. This report provides an overview of key proposed changes to the draft ACAF to address the feedback received through the consultation. Local Board views will be reflected in the final version, which will be reported to the Environment and Climate Change Committee in May 2020.

15. More detailed changes reported in the consultation summary are not repeated here but will be reflected in text changes in the final version.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

16. The proposed changes to ACAF have been informed by consultation feedback received on the draft document. Some key themes that arose include:

- Urgency and scale of action needs to be better articulated
- Lack of clarity on how key moves work together and how they address our climate goals. In addition it was felt that there are too many.
- Need to be clearer about roles and responsibilities with a request for more information on who is responsible for actions at each level.
- Need for partnership working across sectors and with central government and mana whenua in particular.
- Greater focus on equity across feedback points.
- Need for a strong Māori voice with widespread support for working with Māori, using mātauranga Māori and Māori practices in designing and implementing climate action.
- Need for a system shift and scale of change required, and to better articulate this with Aucklanders.
- Need for communication and behaviour change and a request for campaigns to raise awareness across the region and enable action at an individual level.
- Need for a significant shift in transport (of all key moves) with the identified actions supported but a need for these to be delivered at pace and scale.

17. To address this feedback a number of key structural changes are proposed.
18. The first of these is establish three core drivers for action – our ‘pillars’ as provided in Attachment E. These provide greater clarity on the goals of the framework and all actions will align to how they deliver against these goals:

- **A Tāmaki response**: This pillar reflects the uniqueness of Auckland and our place-based response to climate change. It is informed by learning from Māori principles and practice, provides a greater focus on equity and a better definition of roles and responsibilities and collective action across governance and sectors.

- **Reducing our emissions**: This pillar reflects the need to provide greater clarity on our emissions target and the need to halve emissions by 2030 and reach net zero emissions by 2050. It improves alignment with the actions and how we will deliver and prioritise emissions reductions.

- **Preparing for climate change**: This pillar enables a greater focus on how we will approach climate change adaptation and take a precautionary approach for the region and also provides greater alignment with the actions.

19. The second structural change is that the eleven key moves are streamlined into eight priorities as outlined in Attachment F. This proposed change is to address feedback on where areas are more foundational and therefore should be embedded throughout all priority areas, or where there is confusion and overlap.

- It is proposed that **Key Move 3: Make development and infrastructure climate compatible** and **Key Move 4: Transform existing buildings and places** are combined into a single built environment priority area.

- It is proposed that **Key Move 1: Lay the foundation** is embedded into our three pillars in recognition of the cross-cutting nature of the actions.

- Similarly, **Key Move 9 - Rangatahi (Youth & Inter-generational equity)** is embedded into pillar 1 to reflect the need to consider actions across the framework.

20. Actions contained within Key Moves 1 and 9 will still be maintained and reflected in the updated document.

21. Actions contained within Key Moves 1-11 will be carried through into Priorities 1-8 (Figure 2) and updated to:

- clarify any ambiguities that were raised in consultation
- remove repetition or overlapping actions
- make additions in response to consultation feedback
- strengthen alignment to delivery of the three pillars.

22. Overall, the intent of the actions between the Key Moves 1-11 and Priority areas 1-8, remain the same. Attachment G briefly summarises how the actions have changed from the consultation document to the updated priority areas.

23. It is also proposed that the title of the document is changed from **Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework** to **Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Plan** to reflect feedback and the greater focus on the impact of actions against our climate goals and roles in delivery. In addition, this provides certainty for roles and responsibilities with regards to implementation.

24. The proposed changes meet the requirements of a climate action plan as defined by C40 Cities.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

25. The changes identified in this report have been made to reflect feedback received and updated emissions modelling. As such, they will further deliver and strengthen climate action already identified.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

26. Regular meetings and workshops took place across the council group for development of the framework.

27. In addition, a working group was established from the outset to provide expertise from across the council group, central government and district health boards.

28. This group has continued to provide input post-consultation and has reviewed and provided input into the proposed changes.

29. In addition, the team has been working closely across the Council group in the development of costed actions for consideration in the Long-term Plan. This process is running concurrently with the finalisation of the plan.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

30. The framework will have implications for all local boards.

31. In June 2018, the Chief Sustainability Office attended workshops of 19 of the 21 local boards and obtained informal email feedback from the other two local boards to identify their main priorities related to climate change. This was followed up in September 2018 at cluster workshops to assess and test a series of ‘must haves’, which were the precursors to the actions included in the draft framework.

32. Priorities included:
   - coastal erosion and inundation concerns
   - affordable and accessible transport
   - long-term infrastructure development to consider climate impacts
   - better stormwater management
   - climate-related education and awareness
   - building community resilience
   - for Auckland Council to lead by example.

33. This report seeks Local Board formal views on proposed changes to the draft Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework outlined in this report. These views will be reflected in the final version.

34. Local boards will be key in taking climate action at a local level. Support will be provided for local board planning and alignment with outcomes.

35. The Chief Sustainability Office and Quality Advice Unit will implement a programme of work for the whole council family to provide guidance and training on how to embed climate action in Local Board plans and what to expect in climate impact statements.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

36. Climate change impacts and associated policy and action will have significant impacts for Māori communities.

37. A Tāmaki and climate change subject matter expert rōpū (group) was established in March 2019 which has been supporting and advising mana whenua and council on climate change issues for Māori and providing direct advice and narrative for the draft framework.

38. A rangatahi Māori and Pasifika rōpū has also been working in partnership with council on this kaupapa to develop rangatahi-focused actions for the framework.
39. A joint mana whenua and Māori expert task group is finalising a Tāmaki and climate change position paper, Te ora ō Tāmaki, which will be used as the bridging document to weave key anchor points into the climate action framework.

40. Anchor points include:
   - weaving the narrative into the framework, specifically the following sections: Climate change and Māori, Impacts on Māori and Developing the Plan with Māori
   - a section developed by rangatahi (the Youth and intergenerational equity key move)
   - a separate key move of Te puawaitanga o te tangata (Resilient Māori communities).

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

41. Actions within the framework will result in budgetary implications for organisations across the region. Identifying and unlocking appropriate funding and financing streams in the future will be critical.

42. Taking climate action will require a range of finance and/or funding mechanisms. For instance, green bonds have been a useful tool for financing council-owned assets such as electric trains but investment in clean tech may require crowd-sourcing, grants or venture capital.

43. To support this, a climate finance work package is underway to identify partnerships and broader funding mechanisms across actions such as bonds, grants, equity instruments and public/private partnerships.

44. The final framework and specific Auckland Council actions being developed will need to inform on-going Long-term Plan discussions to support delivery and avoid costs associated with inaction, such as increased maintenance costs and infrastructure failures through to missed opportunities to Auckland’s economy in delivering the transition.

45. Not all actions within council’s remit will require additional budget. Some actions can result in long-term cost avoidance – for example electrifying fleets can reduce fuel and maintenance costs. Some actions could require existing funds to be redirected if priorities change.

46. Also, not all actions will require funding, for example those related to advocacy to central government or expert input into actions led by other organisations.

47. The costs associated with different council-specific actions will consider funding sources as described above.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

48. No high or extreme risks have been identified with the proposed approach.

49. Moderate risks exist, including:
   - preparing for the implications of climate change may not comply with current rules and regulations
   - potential strategic risk with non-alignment with New Zealand Government direction and policy
   - potential governance risk in shared leadership and ownership of the framework across sectors.

50. A risk mitigation plan has been developed to address the above, including targeted engagement approaches, a legal review of the final framework, on-going partnership with central government and establishment of clear governance structures for the implementation of the framework.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

51. Workshops will be held in April 2020 with the Environment and Climate Change Committee and Independent Māori Statutory Board to discuss updated framework text, and the final text will be presented to the Environment and Climate Change Committee for approval in May 2020.

52. The draft digital plan layout will be workshopped with the Environment and Climate Change Committee in June 2020 and finalised in July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Local Board feedback to the Independent Council-Controlled Organisations Review

File No.: CP2020/03795

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for local boards to provide formal feedback on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review to the Independent Panel.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Governing Body approved the Terms of Reference for an Independent Panel to undertake a review of substantive CCOs at its meeting on 26 November 2019 [GB/2019/127].

3. The review covers Auckland Transport, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development, Panuku Development Auckland, Regional Facilities Auckland and Watercare. The overall objectives are to examine:
   - whether CCOs are an effective and efficient model for delivering services to the council and Aucklanders, and
   - whether the CCO decision-making model provides sufficient political oversight, public transparency and accountability.

4. The review asks the Independent Panel to examine three areas: the CCO model and its accompanying roles and responsibilities; the accountability of CCOs; and CCO culture.

5. The Independent Panel is seeking the views of local boards on these areas.

6. Local boards are advised that their views are requested by the Independent Panel by 3 April 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) provide formal feedback on the Council-Controlled Organisations Review to the Independent Panel by 3 April 2020.

Horopaki
Context
7. The Governing Body approved the CCO review Terms of Reference on 26 November 2019 [GB/2019/127]. The Independent Panel was appointed by the Governing Body on 12 December 2019 and is comprised of Miriam Dean, Doug Martin and Leigh Auton. Miriam Dean has been appointed panel chair [GB/2019/149].

8. Briefings on the CCO Review were provided to local board chairs in December 2019 by staff and in February 2020 by panel member Leigh Auton. The panel wrote to local board chairs in February asking for advice on what constitutes good engagement between CCOs and local boards.
9. Monthly updates on the review are reported to the CCO Oversight Committee and circulated to all local boards.

10. The Independent Panel is seeking comprehensive engagement to obtain a range of views about the issues forming the subject of the review as outlined in Attachment A. Community engagement on the review is occurring alongside the Annual Budget 2020/2021 in February/March 2020. An engagement document has been developed and a summary document has been translated into five languages and a New Zealand Sign Language video. A webpage\(^1\) provides information on the review, including stakeholder updates, relevant documents (including the Terms of Reference) and a contact for further information.

11. All feedback on the CCO Review will be provided to the Independent Panel. The Panel will report on the key issues and community and stakeholder feedback in May and will provide a final report and recommendations in July 2020.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

12. To identify the scope of their work, the Independent Panel has distilled the essence of the review terms into a list of issues, that forms the basis of the engagement and eventual report. The list and prompts, in Attachment A, provide a structure for local boards to give feedback.

13. The three key areas of focus set out in the list of issues are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Area of Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCO model, roles and responsibilities</td>
<td>The essential question here is whether the CCO model delivers council services with the maximum of operational efficiency, transparency and accountability, or whether there are better ways to deliver such services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO accountability</td>
<td>Here the key question is whether the council’s current approach to holding CCOs to account on behalf of Aucklanders could be improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO culture</td>
<td>The central issue here is whether CCOs need to improve how they consult, engage with and respond to the wider community and council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

14. Local boards have an opportunity to consider suggestions that might improve climate change outcomes/mitigation in their feedback on the CCO Review.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

15. The Independent Panel is engaging across the council group on the review, including:

- the chair of the independent panel wrote introducing the panel and the review objectives to all CCO chairs and chief executives, councillors, local board chairs, chief executive of IMSB and the co-chairs of the Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum on 20 December 2019
- the panel met briefly with the CCO chief executives and chairs on 28 January 2020 to discuss the proposed review process and CCO engagement. Each CCO was asked to provide the panel with key stakeholders/customers

---

\(^1\) [https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/council-controlled-organisations/Pages/review-of-council-controlled-organisations.aspx](https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/council-controlled-organisations/Pages/review-of-council-controlled-organisations.aspx)
individual meetings have taken place with CCO chief executives and board chairs over February and March 2020, and the panel is meeting with CCO stakeholders.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

16. Local board formal feedback on the CCO Review, including issues experienced with CCOs, good practice and options for improvement, is sought by the Independent Panel by 3 April 2020.

17. Material on the CCO Review was available at Have your Say local board events for the Annual Budget.

18. Following the conclusion of the Independent Panel’s review, as part of the development of the next 10-year budget, local boards will have the opportunity to provide formal views on any proposals for change to the CCO model.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

19. Staff presented to the Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum on 19 December 2019. The panel met with one of the Forum co-chairs and mana whenua are invited to provide feedback to the panel. Mana whenua have also been invited to a hui with panel members on 18 March 2020.

20. The panel has met with the Independent Māori Statutory Board.

21. Panel members spoke on Radio Waatea to promote Māori interest and feedback on the CCO review. Material on the CCO review is being provided at mataawaka events for the Annual Budget and mataawaka organisations have been briefed on the review during the public engagement period.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

22. There are no financial implications from this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

23. There are no risks associated with the recommendations in this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

24. The Independent Panel is due to report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback in May and to provide a final report, with recommendations, in July 2020.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Independent Council-Controlled Organisations Review list of issues</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CCO REVIEW

Independent review of council-controlled organisations: list of issues:

This list is intended as a guide to the high-level issues on which the review will focus. The issues in this list may be subject to revision during the course of this review.

Objectives

The review’s overall objectives are to examine:

- whether CCOs are an effective and efficient model for delivering services to the council and Aucklanders
- whether the CCO decision-making model provides sufficient political oversight, public transparency and accountability.

The terms of reference require us to examine the following three issues:

CCO model, roles and responsibilities

The essential question here is whether the CCO model delivers council services with the maximum of operational efficiency, transparency and accountability, or whether there are better ways to deliver such services. In particular:

- Are there any problems, real or perceived, with the current model, including the risk of duplication with in-house council activities?
- Is the purpose of each CCO clear and current, and is the council giving each adequate direction?
- Are the roles and responsibilities of CCOs and the council towards one another clearly defined and well understood?
- Are there viable alternatives and what are their advantages and disadvantages?

CCO accountability

Here the key question is whether the council has adequate mechanisms to hold CCOs to account and is using them appropriately, and whether improvements, including new mechanisms (such as those provided for through the Local Government Act 2002 Amendment act 2019), are needed. In particular:

- Do current accountability mechanisms, monitor CCOs’ performance effectively and ensure CCOs respond appropriately to the concerns of the council, local boards and the public?
Auckland CCO Review
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- Do CCOs understand the need to act in a way that reflects their accountability to the community, as well as the council’s accountability to the community for CCO performance?
- Are there adequate mechanisms to ensure CCO board members and senior management meet the legislative requirements towards Maori, and that CCOs have developed sufficient capability to achieve this?
- Do CCOs have adequate guidance about when to act in their best commercial interests and when to act in the best interests of the public?
- Are council policies that are applicable to all CCOs (group policies) adequate, or should they be extended to other areas, such as remuneration?
- Is the process for appointing CCO board members, including the skills criteria used in the selection process, appropriate?

CCO culture

The central issue here is whether CCOs need to improve how they consult, engage with and respond to the community and council. In particular:

- Are the working relationships between the various levels of council (political, executive and staff) and CCOs (and between CCOs themselves) based on mutual trust, respect and confidence?
- Do recruitment processes and job descriptions sufficiently address the need for CCO chief executives and senior managers to respond to council directions and work effectively with senior council managers?
- Do CCO boards, executives and staff demonstrate accountability to Aucklanders, including by consulting sufficiently with Aucklanders and responding sufficiently to their concerns, or could their performance be improved?
- Are CCOs giving adequate public acknowledgement (such as through branding) to council-funded activities?
- Do CCOs give the council quality advice?

The full terms of reference can be found at

20 December 2019
Urgent Decision - Papakura Local Board feedback on central government's Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill

File No.: CP2020/01955

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To note the urgent decision for the Papakura Local Board feedback on central government's Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill is a new way of funding and financing local infrastructure by providing a tool that is independent of local authorities.

3. The tool will enable urban development projects to begin sooner than council funding for infrastructure allows, by enabling finance for infrastructure projects (or bundles of projects) to be raised through a stand-alone entity, a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV). This tool will also help to make the cost of new infrastructure more transparent and will spread the costs by way of a levy so the cost falls primarily on the landowners who benefit, including over time and across generations.

4. The levy would be in place until the infrastructure is paid off by those who are expected to benefit. When a property is sold, the new owner would pay the levy. This levy would be collected by councils via their normal rates collection mechanisms on behalf of the SPV.

5. All infrastructure assets built using the tool would transfer to the relevant public body. In most circumstances this will be a council, who will be responsible for the ongoing operation and maintenance of the new assets. Prior to an agreement on an SPV proposal, endorsement will be sought from the council.

6. This tool will work alongside other related central government initiatives such as the Urban Development Bill.

7. Public submissions are open until 5 March 2020. However, the council has been granted an extension until 9 March to allow the Planning Committee to consider the draft submission.

8. Local Board feedback was required by 19 February 2020 to be appended to the Auckland Council submission, which was before scheduled February meeting of the Papakura Local Board. Hence the necessity for an urgent decision.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the urgent decision for the Papakura Local Board feedback on central government's Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill in Attachment A to this report.
Ngā tāpirihanga
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Urgent Decision Memo

To: Manoj Ragupathy, Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Local Board
cc: Papakura Local Board Chair and Members
From: Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor

Subject: Urgent decision - Papakura Local Board input into Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill

Purpose

To endorse the Papakura Local Board’s feedback on the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill.

Reason for the urgency:

- Local Board feedback is required by 19 February 2020 to be appended to the Auckland Council submission, which is before 26 February 2020, being the next scheduled meeting of the Papakura Local Board.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) endorse the following Papakura Local Board input into the central government’s Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill:

i) The Papakura Local Board supports the intent of the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill to provide a tool to raise additional capital for infrastructure.

ii) The board believe the Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill should be more explicit in how it relates to the Urban Development Bill and clearly stipulate one levy for one site.

iii) The board is concerned about a possibility of two or more levies applying to one site, i.e: one raised as a special purpose vehicle for infrastructure leading up to a development area and another under the Urban Development Bill for the infrastructure within a development. Potentially a ratepayer would be paying two or more levies. This would add considerably to the day to day costs of living.

iv) The board support council being able to collect the levy and believe council should be able to claim the administrative costs for this service.

v) The board has a concern that the first purchasers may understand the purpose of the charge, however, over time subsequent purchasers may not necessarily understand. This could create a political risk for future elected members.
vi) The board believe council endorsement must be required for asset specifications.

vii) The board express concern at a local authority’s ability to manage future operational expenditure should special purpose vehicles become more common.

About the Papakura Local Board

1. Papakura Local Board is one of 21 local boards which are part of the Auckland Council co-governance model. The board has responsibility for local decision making while the Governing Body has the regional decision making focus.

2. The board’s population, as at the 2018 census, was 57,636. The population is ethnically diverse with 49.1% European, 26.8% Māori, 23.4% Asian and 16.9% Pacific peoples. Since the 2013 census there has been a significant growth in the Asian population. Papakura still has the largest Māori population per capita. The median age in Papakura is 32 years, with 23.6% of the population being aged between 0 and 14 years.

Background

3. The Infrastructure Funding and Financing Bill is a new way of funding and financing local infrastructure by providing a tool that is independent of local authorities.

4. The tool will enable urban development projects to begin sooner than council funding for infrastructure allows, by enabling finance for infrastructure projects (or bundles of projects) to be raised through a stand-alone entity, a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV). This tool will also help to make the cost of new infrastructure more transparent and will spread the costs by way of a levy so the cost falls primarily on the landowners who benefit, including over time and across generations.

5. The levy would be in place until the infrastructure is paid off by those who are expected to benefit. When a property is sold, the new owner would pay the levy. This levy would be collected by councils via their normal rates collection mechanisms on behalf of the SPV.

6. All infrastructure assets built using the tool would transfer to the relevant public body. In most circumstances this will be a council, who will be responsible for the ongoing operation and maintenance of the new assets. Prior to an agreement on an SPV proposal, endorsement will be sought from the council.

7. This tool will work alongside other related central government initiatives such as the Urban Development Bill.

8. Public submissions are open until 5th March 2020, however, the council has been granted an extension until 9th March to allow the Planning Committee to consider the draft submission.

9. Local Board input is required by Wednesday 19 February to be appended to the Auckland Council submission.
Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Manoj Ragapathy
Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Ward
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Noting the Papakura Local Board input on the Water Services Regulatory Bill (Taumata Arowai).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In mid-2017 the government launched the Three Waters Review, in parallel to the latter stages of the Havelock North Inquiry into drinking water safety. The review focussed on improving regulation and service delivery arrangements of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater.

3. In July 2019, Cabinet agreed to a suite of system-wide reforms to the regulation of drinking water, including the establishment of a centrally located regulator to oversee a new drinking water regulatory system. Cabinet also agreed to targeted reforms to improve the regulation and performance of wastewater and stormwater systems, including some new regulatory functions that would be undertaken by a central regulatory body.

4. The Three Waters Review is a separate but related work programme to the Ministry for the Environment’s Essential Freshwater programme. The government released the Action for Healthy Waterways Discussion Document in September 2019, which outlined the proposals of the Essential Freshwater programme. The discussion document covered some proposals related to the Three Waters Review, such as the government’s intent to amend the National Environmental Standards for Human Drinking Water and the proposed approach to improving management of stormwater and wastewater systems. The discussion document did not include any information about the structure or function of the proposed central regulator.

5. Taumata Arowai – the Water Services Regulatory Bill (Taumata Arowai) was introduced to Parliament on 17 December 2019. It is expected this will be followed by introduction of the Water Services Bill in the coming months. These bills build on the government’s work to date on the Three Waters review. Submissions on Taumata Arowai close on 4 March 2020. The submission period for the Water Services Bill has not yet been announced.

6. Taumata Arowai will establish a stand-alone regulatory body with responsibilities relating to drinking water safety and administration of the drinking water regulatory system, along with improving the environmental performance and transparency of stormwater and wastewater networks.

7. Taumata Arowai – the Water Services Regulator Bill proposes the establishment of a new regulator, which will be a standalone crown agent, with the objectives of:
   a) protecting and promoting drinking water safety and public health outcomes
   b) effectively administering the drinking water regulatory system
   c) building and maintaining capability among drinking water suppliers and across the wider industry
   d) giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai, to the extent that Te Mana o te Wai applies to functions and duties of Taumata Arowai
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Item 20

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the urgent decision of the Papakura Local Board which provided input into the Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai) as follows:

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) endorse the following Papakura Local Board input into the central government’s Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai):

i) While the Papakura Local Board support the provision of quality drinking water, the board question whether having a crown entity controlling water services would have made any difference to the Havelock North scenario or the collapsing of infrastructure in Wellington.

ii) In the board’s view the issue for local authorities is the cost of infrastructure including renewals. The smaller the local authority the more difficult it is to finance based on the smaller rating base. The challenge of funding the infrastructure becomes prohibitive.

iii) The proposed crown entity is another regulatory layer that local authorities will have to comply with.

iv) Where smaller local authorities struggle with infrastructure financing this entity could also assist with raising capital for projects, ie:
v) The board is concerned that local authorities will lose control over the resource which is one of the core functions local authorities are responsible for.

vi) Local authorities have the accountability back to the community, it would be concerning if the crown entity did not have any direct accountability to the public.

vii) The Council-Controlled Organisation Auckland model hasn’t always been smooth sailing in terms of accountability. Essentially the Council-Controlled Organisation model is once removed from consumer. This becomes problematic when the Council-Controlled Organisation decides to increase costs at a higher than expected level. Although it is acknowledged that the Statement of Intent negotiations generally cover this issue.

viii) The board believe the Watercare Services Council-Controlled Organisation does a wonderful job in the Auckland region and the board was very impressed with the work Watercare is doing in regard to research in wastewater and waste solids treatment.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments
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Urgent Decision Memo

21 February 2020

To: Manoj Ragupathy, Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Local Board
cc: Papakura Local Board Chair and Members
From: Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor

Subject: Urgent decision - Papakura Local Board input on the Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai)

Purpose

To endorse the Papakura Local Board’s feedback on the Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai).

Reason for the urgency:

• Local Board feedback is required by 24 February 2020 to be appended to the Auckland Council submission, which is before 26 February 2020, being the next scheduled meeting of the Papakura Local Board.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) endorse the following Papakura Local Board input into the central government’s Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai):

i) While the Papakura Local Board support the provision of quality drinking water, the board question whether having a crown entity controlling water services would have made any difference to the Havelock North scenario or the collapsing of infrastructure in Wellington.

ii) In the board’s view the issue for local authorities is the cost of infrastructure including renewals. The smaller the local authority the more difficult it is to finance based on the smaller rating base. The challenge of funding the infrastructure becomes prohibitive.

iii) The proposed crown entity is another regulatory layer that local authorities will have to comply with.

iv) Where smaller local authorities struggle with infrastructure financing this entity could also assist with raising capital for projects, ie: underwrite infrastructure projects.

v) Local authorities have the accountability back to the community, it would be concerning if the crown entity did not have any direct accountability to the public.

vi) The Council-Controlled Organisation Auckland model hasn’t always met the publics expectations of accountability and transparency. Essentially the
Council-Controlled Organisation model is once removed from the consumer. This becomes problematic when the Council-Controlled Organisation decides to increase costs at a higher than expected level. Although it is acknowledged that the Statement of Intent negotiations generally cover this issue.

vii) The board believe the Watercare Services Council-Controlled Organisation does a wonderful job in the Auckland region and the board was very impressed with the work Watercare is doing in regard to research in wastewater and waste solids treatment.

About the Papakura Local Board

1. Papakura Local Board is one of 21 local boards which are part of the Auckland Council co-governance model. The board has responsibility for local decision making while the Governing Body has the regional decision making focus.

2. The board’s population, as at the 2018 census, was 57,638. The population is ethnically diverse with 48.1% European, 26.8% Māori, 23.4% Asian and 16.9% Pacific peoples. Since the 2013 census there has been a significant growth in the Asian population. Papakura still has the largest Māori population per head of capita. The median age in Papakura is 32 years, with 23.6% of the population being aged between 0 and 14 years.

3. Veolia Water Papakura provides water services in the Papakura Local Board area.

Background

4. In mid-2017 the government launched the Three Waters Review, in parallel to the latter stages of the Havelock North Inquiry into drinking water safety. The review focussed on improving regulation and service delivery arrangements of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater.

5. In July 2019, Cabinet agreed to a suite of system-wide reforms to the regulation of drinking water, including the establishment of a centrally located regulator to oversee a new drinking water regulatory system. Cabinet also agreed to targeted reforms to improve the regulation and performance of wastewater and stormwater systems, including some new regulatory functions that would be undertaken by a central regulatory body.

6. The Three Waters Review is a separate but related work programme to the Ministry for the Environment’s Essential Freshwater programme. The government released the Action for Healthy Waterways Discussion Document in September 2019, which outlined the proposals of the Essential Freshwater programme. The discussion document covered some proposals related to the Three Waters Review, such as the government’s intent to amend the National Environmental Standards for Human Drinking Water and the proposed approach to improving management of stormwater and wastewater systems, including a proposed National Environmental Standard for Wastewater Discharges and Overflows. The discussion document did not include any information about the structure or function of the proposed central regulator.

7. Taumata Arowai – the Water Services Regulator Bill (Taumata Arowai) was introduced to Parliament on 17 December 2019. It is expected this will be followed by introduction of the Water Services Bill in the coming months. These bills build on the government’s work to date on the Three Waters review. Submissions on Taumata Arowai close on 4 March 2020; the submission period for the Water Services Bill has not yet been announced.

8. Taumata Arowai will establish a stand-alone regulatory body with responsibilities relating to drinking water safety and administration of the drinking water regulatory system, along with improving the environmental performance and transparency of stormwater and wastewater networks.
8. Taumata Arowai will establish a stand-alone regulatory body with responsibilities relating to drinking water safety and administration of the drinking water regulatory system, along with improving the environmental performance and transparency of stormwater and wastewater networks.

6. Taumata Arowai – the Water Services Regulator Bill proposes the establishment of a new regulator, which will be a standalone crown agent, with the objectives of:
   a) protecting and promoting drinking water safety and public health outcomes
   b) effectively administering the drinking water regulatory system
   c) building and maintaining capability among drinking water suppliers and across the wider industry
   d) giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai, to the extent that Te Mana o te Wai applies to functions and duties of Taumata Arowai
   e) providing oversight of, and advice on, the regulation, management, and environmental performance of wastewater and stormwater networks
   f) promoting public understanding of the environmental performance of wastewater and stormwater networks.

7. While the regulator’s primary focus is drinking water, its functions include providing national-level oversight, leadership, communication, coordination, guidance, advice and information in relation to statutory requirements for and environmental performance of wastewater and stormwater networks and network operators.

9. Auckland Council’s role in the delivery of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater services will not be significantly affected by the establishment of the regulator. The details within the Water Services Bill are expected to have implications for how council delivers these services, and how the planning and regulatory functions are carried out. Further detail and analysis of the implications of the Water Services Bill for Auckland Council will be provided following its release.

10. Auckland Council’s draft submission on Taumata Arowai will be considered by the Environment and Climate Change Committee on 12 March 2020. The official submission period closes on 4 March, however, the Health Select Committee Secretariat have permitted an extension for Auckland Council until 17 March 2020.

11. Local board input into the draft submission on Taumata Arowai is required to 24 February 2020.
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Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for members to record the achievements of the Papakura Local Board for the 2019 – 2022 political term.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. An opportunity to note the achievements of the Papakura Local Board for the 2019 – 2022 political term.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) request any new achievements be added to the Papakura Local Board Achievements Register for the 2019-2022 political term.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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</tr>
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<tbody>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Papakura Local Board
### Achievements Register 2019-2022 Electoral Term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Constituent Drop In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Crimewatch monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Street Play at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Families Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Massey Park User Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported the Papakura Commercial Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Rosehill College 50th Anniversary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Youth Council meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Improving Maori Input into Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Hui – Papakura Marae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Wet and Wild Slides – Drury Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Kura Connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Thing – Southern Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Library Dare to Explore finale party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Kite Day – Keri Downs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Youth Council bbq dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Mayor’s visit to Papakura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Junky Monkeys – Central Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the MPS Addison group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Conifer Grove Residents meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Association Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Amazing Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Southern Corridor Improvements Project Opening event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Child Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Addison Annual Festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Kura Connect Steering Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Association Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Army Cadets Prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Pukekiwiriki Paa Joint Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Kiwi Property drop in session in Drury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 4 Squadron Air Training Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Coastguard Christmas party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Chilling in the Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Santa Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Carols in the Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Ardmore Airport Christmas party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Franklin/Papakura Citizenship Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Local Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Local Board Stakeholder Christmas afternoon tea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community Network meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Book launch – History of Drury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community Crimewatch Patrol Christmas Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 28th Annual Sporting Excellence Awards for 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Cross Street Playground opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Sikh Games</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Counties Manukau Gymnastics end of year prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association end of year function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended Christmas at the Paa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Commercial Projects Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the KURA Connect Steering Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Franklin and Papakura Citizenship ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Pahurehure Inlet Protection Society meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Action Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended Hotaka Piri Kura graduation ceremony at Papakura High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended Clarice Reserve Playground opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the opening of ‘Dad’s Army’ at Off Broadway Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the kumara planting event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Sikh Games at Pulman Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura High School Senior Prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Conifer Grove Residents meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Local Board Chairs forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Armistice Parade and Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Free Family event – kuraconnect Turbo Touch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 2019 Rangatahi Festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 November 2019</td>
<td>Inaugural Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Election of Chair, Brent Catchpole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Deputy Chair, Jan Robinson
For Information: Reports referred to the Papakura Local Board
File No.: CP2020/03766

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide an opportunity for the Papakura Local Board to receive reports and resolutions that have been referred from the Governing Body committee meetings, Council Controlled Organisations, forums or other local boards for information.

2. The following information was circulated to the local board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Governing Body Committee or Council Controlled Organisation or Forum or Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the following information from the following Governing Body committee meetings, Council Controlled Organisations, forums or other local board meetings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Item no.</th>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Governing Body Committee or Council Controlled Organisation or Forum or Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present to the Papakura Local Board the three months Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Governance Forward Work Calendar is a schedule of items that will come before the local board at business meetings and workshops over the next three months. The Governance Forward Work Calendar for the Papakura Local Board is included in Attachment A of this report.

3. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   i) ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   ii) clarifying what advice is required and when
   iii) clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar will be updated every month, be included on the agenda for business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 17 March 2020.

Horopaki
Context
5. The council’s Quality Advice Programme aims to improve the focus, analysis, presentation and timeliness of staff advice to elected representatives. An initiative under this is to develop forward work calendars for Governing Body committees and local boards. These provide elected members with better visibility of the types of governance tasks they are being asked to undertake and when they are scheduled.

6. There are no new projects in the Governance Forward Work Calendar. The calendar brings together in one schedule reporting on all of the board’s projects and activities that have been previously approved in the local board plan, long-term plan, departmental work programmes and through other board decisions. It includes Governing Body policies and initiatives that call for a local board response.

7. This initiative is intended to support the board’s governance role. It will also help staff to support local boards, as an additional tool to manage workloads and track activities across council departments, and it will allow greater transparency for the public.
8. The calendar is arranged in three columns, “Topic”, “Purpose” and “Governance Role”:
   i) Topic describes the items and may indicate how they fit in with broader processes such as the annual plan.
   ii) Purpose indicates the aim of the item, such as formally approving plans or projects, hearing submissions or receiving progress updates
   iii) Governance role is a higher-level categorisation of the work local boards do. Examples of the seven governance categories are tabled below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Capex projects, work programmes, annual plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local initiatives / specific decisions</td>
<td>Grants, road names, alcohol bans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input into regional decision-making</td>
<td>Comments on regional bylaws, policies, plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Local board agreement, quarterly performance reports, review projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability to the public</td>
<td>Annual report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Community hui, submissions processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Briefings, cluster workshops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar. The calendar will be updated and reported back every month to business meetings. Updates will also be distributed to relevant council staff.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. This report is an information report providing the governance forward work programme for the next three months.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

11. The council is required to provide Governance Forward Work Calendar to the Manurewa Local Board for their consideration.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

12. All local boards are being presented with a Governance Forward Work Calendar for their consideration.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

13. The projects and processes referred to in the Governance Forward Work Calendar will have a range of implications for Māori which will be considered when the work is reported.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

14. There are no financial implications relating to this report.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

15. This report is a point in time of the Governance Forward Work Calendar. It is a living document and updated month to month. It minimises the risk of the board being unaware of planned topics for their consideration.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

16. Staff will review the calendar each month in consultation with board members and will report an updated calendar to the board.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar - February 2020</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop / Business meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>18/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25/03/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>15/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>22/04/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Item Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>Workshop Four - Local Board Agreement - review consultation feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>General business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>ACE Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>CF Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>PSR Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/04/20</td>
<td>ATEED LB Quarterly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/20</td>
<td>Workshop Five - Local Board Agreement - finalise work programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/20</td>
<td>Thriving Communities Action Plan Refresh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/20</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/20</td>
<td>General business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/20</td>
<td>Additional meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - The Papakura Business Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Papakura Community Crimewatch Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Neighbourhood Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Papakura Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Papakura Maori Wardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>General business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Review of amended draft Local Board Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/20</td>
<td>Grants applications - local and multiboard 2019/2020 round two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/20</td>
<td>Connected Communities update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/20</td>
<td>ACE Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/20</td>
<td>General business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/20</td>
<td>CF Work Programme monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20/05/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20/05/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>27/05/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>24/06/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/07/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8/07/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment A

Item 23
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>PSR Work Programme monthly update</th>
<th>Oversight and monitoring</th>
<th>Check in on performance / Inform future direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>22/07/2020</td>
<td>Expected reports on the agenda:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To note the Papakura Local Board record for the workshops held on 29 January, 5, 12 and 19 February, and 4 and 11 March 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In accordance with Standing Order 12.1.4, the local board shall receive a record of the general proceedings of each of its local board workshops held over the past month.
3. Resolutions or decisions are not made at workshops as they are solely for the provision of information and discussion. This report attaches the workshop record for the period stated below.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the Papakura Local Board Workshop Records held on:
   i) 29 January 2020
   ii) 5 February 2020
   iii) 12 February 2020
   iv) 19 February 2020
   v) 4 March 2020
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 29 January 2020</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 5 February 2020</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 12 February 2020</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 19 February 2020</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 4 March 2020</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Workshop Record 11 March 2020</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Papakura Local Board Induction Workshop Record

Induction workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 29 January 2020, commencing at 12.30pm.**

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson**  
Brent Catchpole  

**Members:**  
Jan Robinson  
Felicity Auva’a  
George Hawkins  
Keven Mealamu  
Sue Smurthwaite  

**Also present:**  
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager)  
Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor)  
Lee Manaia (Advisor)  
Paula Brooke (Democracy Advisor)  
Tracey Hainsworth Fa-aofo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elected Member Whole Brain Thinking (Herrman Brain Dominance Instrument – HBDI) session</strong></td>
<td>Elected member only session – 12.30pm – 3.30pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suzy Craies</strong> (Organisational Development Advisor, Strategy and Capability)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local board general Business**  

**Board members**  
The board discussed the following items:  

- **Standing agenda item** - updates from members in relation to external organisation meetings / topic area updates.  
  - Hunua Falls  
  - Junky Monkeys event.  
  - Pescara Point footbridge opening  
  - Ashdown Place walkway lighting  
  - Youth council meeting  
  - RMA process  
  - Youth Council planning BBQ.

- Landowner approval delegates  
- Creating videos of boards projects  
- LGNZ Conference and Excellence Awards 2020  
- Maori Outcomes  
- Waiaata Shores Bridge
### Workshop Item | Summary of Discussions
---|---
**Waste Solutions food scraps pilot**<br>Via SKYPE<br>Georgina Langdon-Pole (Senior Community Advisor, Waste Solutions)<br>Miriana Knox (Relationship Advisor, Infrastructure & Environmental Services)<br>Jenny Chilcott (Community Recycling Centre Relationship and Partnering Project Manager, Waste Solutions)<br>Staff provided an update on the uptake of the waste solutions food scraps pilot and an overview of the council waste plan.<n
**Supporting Growth business case**<br>Sarah MacCormick (Engagement Area Lead – South, Supporting Growth)<br>Staff provided an update on the supporting growth project, starting with the project progress to date as a refresh.<n
**Supporting Growth planning team:**<br>• Amelia Linzay<br>• Emma Fisk<br>• Laura Christian<br>• Rory Fraser – Auckland Transport<br>**John Dunshea** (General Manager, Development Programme Office)<n
The workshop concluded at 5.24 pm
Papakura Local Board Induction Workshop Record

Induction workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 5 February 2020, commencing at 12.30pm.**

**PRESENT**
- **Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole
- **Members:** Jan Robinson, Felicity Auva’a, George Hawkins, Sue Smurthwaite
- **Apologies:** Kevan Mealamu
- **Also present:** Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager), Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor), Lee Manaia (Advisor), Jacqueline Pryor (PA Liaison)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pararekau Island boardwalk proposal</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the proposal for new boardwalks around and connecting to Pararekau Island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kate Richardson</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Senior Parks Planner, Parks, Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debra Langton</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSR Portfolio Manager, Parks, Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Papakura Commercial Project Group update</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leigh Auton</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Papakura Commercial Project Group)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manoj Ragupathy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Relationship Manager, Local Board Services)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Richard Knott</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tracy Shackleton</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Luong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Ralph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Norman (via Skype)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Board General Business</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board discussed the following items:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Radio Waatea.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tuia programme.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pukekiwiriki Paa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Kura Kawana training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community network meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Southern Initiative meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Papakura Youth Council – YouthFest on 29 Feb 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rosehill College 50th anniversary this weekend –</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Presentations from four mana whenua groups at Ngāti Ōtara marae – 30 Jan 2020.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder groups quarterly update - The Papakura Business Association</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Shackleton</td>
<td>Tracy Shackleton, Papakura Business Association Manager, gave an update covering the activities undertaken over the last quarter, and spoke about the upcoming projects, activities or programmes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Papakura Business Association Town Centre Manager)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Newman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Safety Co-ordinator, Papakura Town Centre)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder groups quarterly update – Papakura Community Crimewatch patrol</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Torrens</td>
<td>Glenn Torrens from Crimewatch provided an update of the activities undertaken over the last quarter and information on proposed activities and programmes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Crimewatch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder groups quarterly update – The Papakura Māori Wardens</strong></td>
<td>Jan Piahana explained the powers and duties of the Māori Wardens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Jan Piahana and the Papakura Māori Wardens (Māori Wardens)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder groups quarterly update – The NZ Police</strong></td>
<td>Senior Sergeant Alan Nash gave an overview of the statistics relating to crimes over the last 12-month period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Senior Sergeant Alan Nash (Relieving Community Services Manager, Papakura, NZ Police)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kim Ainsworth (Neighbourhood Support)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Michelle Smith (Neighbourhood Support)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auckland Transport work programme update</strong></td>
<td>James Ralph provided an update on the current Auckland Transport work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>James Ralph (Elected Members Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auckland Transport Local Board Transport Capital Fund projects</strong></td>
<td>James Ralph sought direction from the board on the preferred options for the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>James Ralph (Elected Members Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.43pm.
Papakura Local Board Induction Workshop Record

Induction workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on Wednesday, 12 February 2020, commencing at 12.30pm.

PRESENT
Chairperson
Brent Catchpole
Members:
Jan Robinson
Felicity Auva’a
George Hawkins
Keven Mealamu
Sue Smurthwaite

Also present:
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager)
Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor)
Lee Manala (Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Business</td>
<td>The board discussed the following topics:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Member Roles and Duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Community and Events (ACE) 2019/2020 work programme update</td>
<td>Staff presented the 2019/2020 ACE work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Hainsworth-Fa‘afofo (Strategic Broker, Arts, Community and Events (ACE))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus the ACE team</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronelle Baker (Practice Manager - Operations (via skype))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Dawson (Manager Strategic Brokers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice McCarthy (Event Facilitator)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Green (Arts &amp; Culture Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Lelo (Specialist Advisor, Youth Specialist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan McLaggan (Service and Integration Manager)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickie Humphries (Specialist Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Facilities current multi-year work programme update</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the current multi-year Community Facilities work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigo Pizarro (Manager Project Delivery – Rima, Community Facilities)</td>
<td>Staff also provided individual updates on the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Barratt-Boyes (Programme Principal (Coastal &amp; Slips Projects))</td>
<td>• Growth funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Klinac (Head of Specialist Delivery Unit)</td>
<td>• Coastal projects review - Bottletop Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Eltayeb (Project Manager)</td>
<td>• Takanini Community Hub and Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Keat (Senior Growth Development Specialist)</td>
<td>• Smiths Reserve progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Taunga (Head of Community Libraries South &amp; East)</td>
<td>• Community Facilities Work Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine Samuel (Community Led &amp; LDI Specialist)</td>
<td>• Introduction - Sam Pohiva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Pohiva (Area Operations Manager)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Hope (Work Programme Lead)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn Martin (Manager Programme Development)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Southern Initiative 2020/2021 work programme proposals</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented three proposals for the board’s consideration for funding in the 2020/2021 Southern Initiative work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhaya Haran (Specialist Advisor, Youth Employment, The Southern Initiative)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.37pm.
Papakura Local Board Induction Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 19 February 2020, commencing at 12.30pm.**

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole  
**Members:**  
Jan Robinson  
Felicity Auva’a  
George Hawkins (until 5.30pm)  
Keven Mealamu (until 5.46pm)  

**Apologies:** Sue Smurthwaite  

**Also present:**  
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager)  
Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor)  
Lee Manaia (Advisor)  
Paula Brooke (Democracy Advisor)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arts, Community and Events (ACE) proposed 2020/2021 work programme discussion</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the ACE 2019/2020 and proposed 2020/2021 work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Hainsworth Fa’aofo (Strategic Broker, Arts, Community and Events (ACE))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ronelle Baker</strong> (Practice Manager, Operations, CEU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Danika Waiti</strong> (Specialist Advisor, CEU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mary Dawson</strong> (Manager Strategic Brokers, CEU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Karem Colmeneres</strong> (Senior Event Organiser, Events)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Melissa Lelo</strong> (Specialist Advisor, Youth Specialist, CEU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dickie Humphries</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Specialist Advisor, CEU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Takanini Business Improvement District (BID)</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the 2019/2020 and proposed 2020/2021 work programmes for the board’s review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paul Thompson</em> (BID Senior Advisor, BID team)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plans and Places 2019/2020 work programme update and advice on proposed 2020/2021 work programme</strong></td>
<td>Staff provided an update on the Manurewa, Takanini and Papakura Area Plan and the next steps for the board’s feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Craig Cairncross</em> (Team Leader, Planning, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anna Boyer</em> (Senior Specialist Community Heritage, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gill Pannell</em> (Manager Library Operations, Libraries and Information)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cathy Cooper</em> (Manager Papakura Community Library)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Business</strong></td>
<td>The board discussed the following items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Franklin’s Hunua Trail Aspiration Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tour of Airside at Auckland Airport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Centennial Building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Meeting with NZTA about the Takanini business issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Member’s event attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neighbourhood Support grant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parks, Sports and Recreation (PSR) 2019/2020 work programme update and advice on proposed 2020/2021 work programme</strong></td>
<td>Staff updated on the 2019/2020 and proposed 2020/2021 work programme for the boards review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Langton (PSR Portfolio Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td>Staff updated on the Opaheke Sports Park Clubrooms project work programme line #1138.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opaheke Sports Park Clubrooms project update</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the I&amp;ES 2019/2020 work programme, along with projections for the 2020/2021 work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Langton (PSR Portfolio Manager Parks, Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ward (Sport and Recreation Lead, Parks, Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Solutions</strong></td>
<td>Staff presented the I&amp;ES 2019/2020 work programme, along with projections for the 2020/2021 work programme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Recognition Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karem Colmenares Borrego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Senior Event Organiser (Civic), Events)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff presented the proposed plan for the 2020 Volunteer Recognition Awards for the boards feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 6.05pm.
Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 4 March 2020, commencing at 11.00pm.**

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole – Papakura Local Board  
**Members:** Jan Robinson - Papakura Local Board  
Felicity Auva’a - Papakura Local Board  
George Hawkins - Papakura Local Board  
Sue Smurthwaite – Papakura Local Board  
Keven Mealamu – Papakura Local Board

**Also present:** Victoria Hutt (Senior Local Board Advisor)  
Paula Brooke (Local Board Democracy Advisor)  
Jacqueline Pryor (PA/Liaison, Local Board Services)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) project review</strong></td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>James presented the draft Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) list of projects the board will indicate they wish to proceed with for a rough order of costs (ROC).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **James Ralph**  
(Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport) | Keeping informed | The board discussed the following items:  
  - **Movies in the Park** - Fri 6 March: ‘Have Your Say’ event seeking feedback on Local Board Plan and Annual Plan 6-8pm and the movie follows.  
  - **Marae dinner** – Thurs 2 April from 5-7pm. This is also Neighbours Day.  
  - **Papakura Business Association monthly meeting** – 4 March at Noel Lemming.  
  - **Youth Council**  
  - **Community Network meeting**  
  - **Bus layover issue** |
| **General business** | Keeping informed | Yolanda and Gary updated on the recent activities of Veolia. |
| **Veolia Quarterly update** | Oversight and monitoring | Yolanda and Gary updated on the recent activities of Veolia. |
| **Yolanda Oosthuizen**  
(Auckland Regional Manager, Papakura) | Keeping informed | The board discussed the following items:  
  - **Movies in the Park** - Fri 6 March: ‘Have Your Say’ event seeking feedback on Local Board Plan and Annual Plan 6-8pm and the movie follows.  
  - **Marae dinner** – Thurs 2 April from 5-7pm. This is also Neighbours Day.  
  - **Papakura Business Association monthly meeting** – 4 March at Noel Lemming.  
  - **Youth Council**  
  - **Community Network meeting**  
  - **Bus layover issue** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Gary Stevenson**  
(Customer Service Manager, Veolia) | | |
| Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED) Engagement Plan | Oversight and monitoring | Holly updated on the ATEED Engagement Plan. |
| Holly Franklin  
(External Relationship Advisor, ATEED) | | |
| **Local Board Plan**  
**Vic Hutt**  
(Senior Advisor, Local Board Services) | Setting direction / priorities / budget | Vic workshoped with the board their preferred objectives and initiatives within their proposed new māori outcome in the draft Local Board Plan. |
| **Shelvin Munif-imo**  
(Engagement Advisor, Local Board Services) | | |
| **Supporting Growth update**  
**James Ralph**  
(Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport) | Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions | The Supporting Growth team updated on the project. |
| Supporting Growth team:  
Emma Fisk  
Rory Power  
Laura Christina | | |
| **Airport to Botany Rapid Transit update**  
**James Ralph**  
(Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport) | Input to regional decision-making | Southwest Gateway Program consists of three major projects – Airport to Botany Rapid Transit, 20Connect and Auckland Airport precinct improvements. |
<p>| Mel Taylor | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Transport Planner, Auckland Transport)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austin Kim</strong></td>
<td>(Advisor, Comms and Engagement, NZTA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Review of the 2020/2021 Grants Programme</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Marion introduced herself and presented the criteria for the 2020/2021 grants round for the boards review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marion Davies</strong></td>
<td>(Grant Operations Manager)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop ended at 4.48 pm.
Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 11 March 2020, commencing at 12.00pm.**

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole – Papakura Local Board  
**Members:**  
Jan Robinson - Papakura Local Board  
Felicity Auva’a - Papakura Local Board (from 12.08pm)  
George Hawkins - Papakura Local Board (from 12.31pm)  
Sue Smurthwaite – Papakura Local Board  
Keven Mealamu – Papakura Local Board

**Also present:**  
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager)  
Victoria Hutt (Senior Local Board Advisor)  
Paula Brooke (Local Board Democracy Advisor)  
Lee Manaia (Advisor, Local Board Services)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One Local Initiative (OLI) next steps / options / process decision</strong></td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Roscoe Webb gave an overview of the current situation of the Papakura One Local Initiative (OLI), in order to assist the board in next steps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roscoe Webb</strong> (Programme Principal – OLI, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>James Ralph</strong> (Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auckland Transport monthly work programme update</strong></td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>James updated on the Auckland Transport monthly work programme update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>James Ralph</strong> (Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop Three – Local Board Agreement</strong></td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
<td>The board reviewed the draft 2020/2021 work programmes presented by staff today, with a view to balancing LDI Opex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vic Hutt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Senior Advisor, Papakura Local Board)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faithe Smith</td>
<td>(Lead Financial Advisor, Financial Strategy and Planning)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Team:</td>
<td></td>
<td>The board discussed the following items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• John Norman – ATEED - Skype</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Standing agenda item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dhaya Haran – TSI - Skype</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Coronavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Debra Langton – PSR</td>
<td></td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prasanthi Cottingham – I&amp;ES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tracey Hainsworth Fa-aofo – ACE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jenny Young – Community Leases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General business

The workshop ended at 5.40pm.
ATTACHMENTS

Item 8.2  Attachment A  Papakura Local Board 25 March 2020 - Deputation - Kuraconnect  Page 127
Attachment A

Item 8.2
Our Kuraconnect kaupapa, is about connecting our Papakura community.

Together, we can encourage, equip, and actively engage with the young people of Papakura and their families, to help them reach their full potential.

We want to invest into their lives, by developing future leaders, and role models for the next generation.

By building a positive platform through Kuraconnect, by encouraging everyone in our community to use their influence for good and are able to help our young people see their worth and purpose.

Everyone involved is sharing their own journey in sport and music and the creative arts, encouraging and inspiring young people to believe in themselves and go after their dreams.

With the sharing of people’s personal journey’s, it will encourage young people to pursue their dreams, to stand tall and not let their past dictate their future.

We want to partner with people who have hearts of integrity and a genuine heart to help our young people realize their potential.

‘We as a community and a Nation benefit when our young people are functioning to their fullest potential’.
OUR VISION

- We seek to see that whanau in Papakura are thriving, safe and connected through holistic well-being, active engagement, sport, recreation and culture.

- Te Kaha Te Tautoko Me Te Oranga O Papakura - E Tu Whanau.
KuraConnect is about connecting our CommUNITY in a culture of Whanau (family), Tika-PonoAroha (Do what’s right not easy), Kotahitanga and fun.

The core groups who are party to this agreement will work together in a culture of respect and support of each other and the community gathering around the principles of a holistic framework and the importance of sport and well-being.
The four quadrants of the holistic framework are: Mental, Physical, Social and Spiritual Health.

Together the group will provide the following support:

- Resources & facilities
- Knowledge & wisdom
- Collective social impact strategies
- Communication between organisations
- Security & protection services
- Sport and wellbeing services
- Governance, operational & fundraising experience
- Social, employment and training opportunities
OUR MISSION

- Introduce more youth to sport.
- Educate people about the connection between sport, wellbeing and mental health. To improve communication between organisations, community members and government departments.
- Create a network of organisations focused on "Whanau wrap around community services" for at risk youth and families.
- Raise the profile of sporting facilities and wellbeing organisations in our community. Create a fun and engaging educational event for our community.
- Raise money for sports clubs.
# Lean-in Fun

#Lean-in Whanau

#Lean-in Kotahitanga
Website:  
https://www.kuraconnect.co.nz

Facebook:  
https://www.facebook.com/kuraconnect
Community doing Community well.
'Kuraconnect Community Day’
May 3
Bruce Pulman Park
Papakura
11:00am -3:00pm
KuraConnect, is all about encouraging life, and the potential of our tamariki and, our rangatahi the next generation.

We want to build a positive brand and influence across all ages, provide added value to the whanau of these young people.

Everyone involved is sharing their own journey in sport and the arts, encouraging and inspiring young people to believe in themselves and go after their dreams.

Events like this grows a sense of pride in our community for both whanau and our rangatahi, when they can celebrate who they are in their hometown.

It also gives huge opportunities for our young people to learn transferable skills to take into the work force or help young people to see what further education they need to do to achieve their dreams.

Their sense of wellbeing is enhanced when they have something positive to be involved in.
We also recognise that Music plays a huge part in our communities’ lives. As well as the sport initiative we are also looking to reach the many young people that love the performing arts. And we can give them a platform to be a part of the ‘Kuraconnect Community Day’

- There will be 8-9 Sporting Codes that anyone can participate in, and a stage showcasing 4x hours of local talent
- Invited Guest Artists ARDIJAH and BEAU MONGA that have a heart for our Papakura Community
- Free Sausage Sizzle, Live Music, Dance, Food Stalls, Massages, Yoga, Health checks, Arm Forces Stalls.
- We want to have this event yearly, to build a positive initiative in Papakura for our tamariki and rangatahi and their whanau.
- We, Kuraconnect, along with over 23 local organisations who are working collaboratively to promote a positive, healthy lifestyles through sport as a vehicle especially for our families who cannot afford to take part in sport and wouldn’t otherwise have the opportunity to do so.
We want to encourage everyone in our community to use their influence for good and is able to help young people see their worth and purpose. With the sharing of people’s personal journey’s,

it will encourage young people to pursue their dreams. To stand tall and not let their past dictate their future.

We want to partner with people who have hearts of integrity and a genuine heart in helping young people realize their potential.

We will be championing the local talent across all creative sectors, marae’s, schools, studios, and all creative organisations.

Bringing a inclusive combination of all cultures, music, dance, spoken word, and creative graphics, on a stage that will showcase all local artists and invited guests artists to entertain the community for 4 Hours of entertainment.
Planet Youth

Bird's-eye view
From highest to lowest in substance use – 15/16 year old students in Iceland

Based on the Icelandic Prevention Model
It's all about risk and protective factors

**Risk**: Factors in the life of young children and adolescents that increase the likelihood of substance use

**Protective**: Factors in the life of young children and adolescents that decrease the likelihood of substance use
The main risk and protective factors

- Organized activities vs. unorganized
- Extracurricular activities, sports
- General well-being
- Time spent with parents
- Support, Monitoring, Control
- Peer group effect

Positive and negative effects. How we as parents approach the peer group. Staying outside late. Hanging out in shopping centers.
As of 2019, we have partnered with over 150 communities in over 30 countries including Chile, Portugal, Spain, Australia, Canada, USA, France, Malta, Italy, Greece, Turkey, Slovakia, Romania, Moldova, Bulgaria, Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Sweden, Norway, Faeroe Islands, The Netherlands, Ukraine, Ireland, Kenya, and Guinea-Bissau.
How we work with our partners

Five year cyclical process

Service definition process
Service Agreement
Community Readiness Assessment (optional)
Local Team Training 1
Baseline Planet Youth survey
Intervention implementation design
Intervention follow-up and performance evaluation
Continuing community work
Planet Youth survey
Data driven approach

- Questionnaires (adapted to community context) sent to schools
- 70-80 questions, 10-18 years old (depends on country and project)

1. Your family
2. Connection to others
3. Health / mental health
4. Substance use
5. Your studies and school
6. Your leisure participation
7. Your diet
8. Bullying
9. Physical activities
10. Anger and behavior
11. Social media, computer use
12. And more
Intervention and implementation design

- A workshop based process aimed at designing the **intervention strategy** focusing on minimizing risk factors and enhancing protective factors

- **Stakeholder participation** based on national set-up but can include: Community organizations, Municipalities, Schools, Planet Youth partner organizations, federal and national organizations and governments

- Focus on using the data to evaluate and select the most **appropriate intervention strategies**, using the **Planet Youth toolbox** as a reference
The Planet Youth Toolbox
A few examples

- Dissemination of reports
- Empowerment of parents
- Friendship groups
- Organized leisure activities
- The leisure time-card

- Outside hours limitations
- Empowering NGOs
- A National/Local prevention day
- Campaigns
- And more

But it is up to the community stakeholders – with Planet Youth support to adapt and design the interventions that fit with their culture and context.