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1 **Welcome Karakia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whakatake te hau kīte uru</th>
<th>Cease o winds from the west</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whakatake te hau kīte tonga</td>
<td>Cease o winds from the south</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kāmākinakina ki uta</td>
<td>Bring calm breezes over the land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kāmātara kā tāi</td>
<td>Bring calm breezes over the sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E hī ake ana tē atakura</td>
<td>And let the red-tipped dawn come</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heti</td>
<td>With a touch of frost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He huka</td>
<td>A sharpened air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He hau hū</td>
<td>And promise of a glorious day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tīhei mauri orāl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 **Apologies**

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 **Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

The Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members (the Code) requires elected members to fully acquaint themselves with, and strictly adhere to, the provisions of Auckland Council’s Conflicts of Interest Policy. The policy covers two classes of conflict of interest:

i) **A financial conflict of interest**, which is one where a decision or act of the local board could reasonably give rise to an expectation of financial gain or loss to an elected member; and

ii) **A non-financial conflict of interest**, which does not have a direct personal financial component. It may arise, for example, from a personal relationship, or involvement with a non-profit organisation, or from conduct that indicates prejudice or predetermination.

The Office of the Auditor General has produced guidelines to help elected members understand the requirements of the Local Authority (Member’s Interest) Act 1968. The guidelines discuss both types of conflicts in more detail, and provide elected members with practical examples and advice around when they may (or may not) have a conflict of interest.

Copies of both the Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members and the Office of the Auditor General guidelines are available for inspection by members upon request.

Any questions relating to the Code or the guidelines may be directed to the Relationship Manager in the first instance.

4 **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 18 March 2020, as true and correct.

5 **Leave of Absence**

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.
6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Kaipātiki Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but
(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Urgent decision: change date, time and location of 6 May Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting

File No.: CP2020/05282

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To notify the board of a decision made using the local board’s urgent decision-making process (resolution number KT/2019/224) to change the date, time and location of the 6 May 2020 Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) note the urgent decision made on 29 April 2020 as set out in Attachment A of the agenda report, which changed the Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting scheduled to be held at the Kaipātiki Local Board office, 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield, on Wednesday 6 May 2020 at 10.00am, to a business meeting held via Skype for Business on Monday 11 May at 2.00pm.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments
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</table>

Ngā kaihaina
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Memo

29 April 2020

To: John Gillon – Chairperson, Kaipātiki Local Board
    Danielle Grant – Deputy Chairperson, Kaipātiki Local Board
    Eric Perry – Relationship Manager, Kaipātiki Local Board

From: Jacinda Short – Democracy Advisor, Kaipātiki Local Board

Subject: Request for Urgent Decision – Change date and time of 6 May 2020 Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting

Purpose

1. To use the urgent decision-making process (resolution number KT/2019/224) to change the date, time and location of the Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting currently scheduled for Wednesday 6 May 2020 at 10.00am at the Kaipātiki Local Board office at 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield.

Reason for the urgency

2. Information relating to the 2020/21 Annual Budget was not available at the time of building the agenda for the 6 May 2020 business meeting and as Local board feedback needs to be considered prior to the next scheduled business meeting on 20 May 2020, a decision in rescheduling this meeting has been made.

3. A decision is, therefore, required as soon as possible to change the business meeting currently scheduled for Wednesday 6 May 2020 at 10.00 am at the Kaipātiki Local Board office, 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield, to a business meeting on Monday 11 May 2020 at 2.00pm to be held via audio or audio-visual link.

Background

4. At its meeting on 20 November 2019, the Kaipātiki Local Board resolved (resolution number KT/2019/224) the following:

   That the Kaipātiki Local Board:
   a) delegate authority to the Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, or any person acting in these roles, to make urgent decisions on behalf of the local board in order to manage unforeseen and urgent circumstances when it is not practical to call the full board together and meet the requirements of a quorum.
   b) agree that the Relationship Manager, Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson (or any person/s acting in these roles) will authorise the urgent decision-making process by signing off an authorisation memo.
   c) note that all urgent decisions will be reported to the next ordinary meeting of the local board.
5. As there is no other opportunity to change the Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting scheduled for 6 May 2020 at 10.00am, use of the urgent decision-making process is sought for the reasons outlined in paragraphs 2-4 above.

Resolution

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) change the date, time and location of the business meeting scheduled to be held at the Kaipātiki Local Board office, on Wednesday 6 May at 10.00am, to a business meeting held via Skype for Business on Monday 11 May 2020 at 2.00pm.

Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 29 April 2020
Eric Perry – Relationship Manager, Kaipātiki Local Board

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 29 April 2020
John Gillon - Chairperson, Kaipātiki Local Board

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 29 April 2020
Danielle Grant - Deputy Chairperson, Kaipātiki Local Board
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To recommend an amendment to the local board’s standing orders in order to provide for attendance of non-members at local board meetings via audio or audio-visual link.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report updates the local board on the temporary arrangements for local board meetings enabled by the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 and provides options for implementing similar arrangements for non-members.

3. The COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 temporarily amends the existing legislative restrictions for local government on remote attendance for elected members and minimum quorum at local board meetings. This now enables meetings to proceed by audio-visual link, changes how meetings can be open to the public, and how members of the public receive the agenda and minutes.

4. The current local board standing orders do not provide for non-members, specifically members of the public and Māori, to give input via audio or audio-visual link. A copy of the current local board standing orders can be found at the following link: https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/meetings-council-bodies/Documents/standing-orders-kaipatiki-local-board.pdf

5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) requires that a person other than a member of the local board may participate by means of audio link or audio-visual link if the standing orders of the local authority permit this and if the chair is satisfied that all conditions and requirements in the standing orders are met (Clause 25A(2), Schedule 7, LGA). Local board standing orders do not currently allow for this.

6. Auckland Council will be using Skype for Business for local board meetings. If approved, attendance by members and non-members will be facilitated by phone (audio only) or Skype video (audio-visual) via Skype for Business application.

7. An amendment to standing orders to enable electronic attendance can either be reversed at a future date or maintained to support that attendance in the future, where it is available.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) note the temporary amendments pursuant to the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 which allows members to attend meetings by audio-visual link as of right and, despite anything to the contrary in standing orders, and to be counted for the purposes of quorum.

b) amend its standing orders by including a new Standing Order 3.3.10 that reads as follows:

i) **Attendance of non-members by electronic link**: a person other than a member of the local board may participate in a meeting of the local board by means of audio link or audio-visual link if the person is otherwise approved to
item 12

participate in accordance with Standing Orders Sections 6 and 7.

c) amend its Standing Order 7.8.5 to provide discretion to the chair of the meeting to
decline Public Forum requests via audio or audio-visual link.

Horopaki

Context

COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020

8. In late March 2020, central government enacted an omnibus bill that amended various acts
of parliament, including the LGA and the Local Government Official Information and
Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA).

9. The amendments to the LGA and LGOIMA enable local authorities to have meetings by
audio-visual link (given the restrictions regarding physical distancing and alert level 4) and
support the effective operation of those meetings by removing conditions associated with the
right to attend meetings by audio or audio-visual link.

10. These amendments only apply while the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice 2020 is
in force and will be repealed when that notice expires or is revoked.

Amendments to LGA

11. The amendments to the LGA modify Clause 25A, Schedule 7, so that a member of a local
authority has the right to attend any meeting by audio or audio-visual link, regardless of what
is provided for in the local authority’s standing orders. It also modifies Clause 25A so that a
member attending by audio link or audio-visual link is counted for the purposes of quorum.

Amendments to LGOIMA

12. The amendments to LGOIMA include modifying Section 47 so that the requirement for
meetings of local authorities to be ‘open to the public’ may be met during alert level 4 and
other restrictions on physical distancing. The amendment redefines ‘open to the public’ to
mean that the local authority:

a) if it is reasonably practicable, enables access to the meeting by broadcasting live the
audio or video of the meeting (for example, by broadcasting it on an Internet site); and

b) does one or both of the following as soon as practicable after the meeting ends:

i) makes an audio or a video recording of the meeting available on its Internet
site;

ii) makes a written summary of the business of the meeting available on its
Internet site.

13. This amendment does not anticipate public involvement as part of the meeting itself but
ensures the public can access or view meeting proceedings online (either live or after the
meeting) or through reviewing the summary.

14. Other amendments to LGOIMA include:

• modifying Section 46A so that agendas and reports for the meetings may be made
available on the local authority’s internet site instead of at offices and other physical
locations.

• modifying Section 51 so that minutes of meetings may be made available on the local
authority’s internet site instead of at offices and other physical locations.

• the changes made by the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act
2020 now supersede some of the provisions in the local board standing orders and the
restrictions on physical distancing and from alert level 4 now limit the opportunity for
public input.
Local Board Standing Orders

15. The LGA requires local authorities to adopt a set of standing orders for the conduct of its meetings and those of its committees (Clause 27, Schedule 7). Each local board has adopted its standing orders which have been developed from a template.

16. As a result of the statutory amendments listed in this report, the following standing orders have been temporarily superseded:
   - 3.3.2 Member’s status – quorum and vote
   - 3.3.3 Conditions for attending by electronic link
   - 3.3.4 Request to attend by electronic link
   - 7.3.1 Information to be available to the public
   - 7.3.2 Availability of agendas and reports
   - 8.2.1 Inspection of minute books

17. There are additional provisions in standing orders that may require further consideration if the local board wishes to enable these to continue during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. These relate to input and participation by Māori and the public.

18. Clause 25A(2), Schedule 7 of the LGA requires that a person other than a member of the local authority may participate by audio link or audio-visual link if the standing orders of the local authority permit this and if the chair is satisfied that all conditions and requirements in the standing orders are met.

19. The current standing orders do not currently provide for non-members, if required and approved to do so, to give input by means of audio link or audio-visual link.

20. Other participants at local board meetings include Governing Body members and staff. The LGA and the recent amendment provide the right for any member of a local authority or committee to attend any meeting of a local authority by audio-visual link (unless lawfully excluded). This can be interpreted broadly to extend to meetings where the elected member may not be a decision-maker or be participating in the decision at all. As such, Governing Body members participation may be by audio or audio-visual link and the process for providing them with speaking rights remains under standing orders.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

21. In performing their role, local boards are required to act in accordance with the principles contained in Section 14(1) of the LGA, including the requirement for the council to conduct its business in an open, transparent and democratically accountable manner and make itself aware of and have regard to the views of all of its communities.

22. While the LGA does not specifically require public input to be provided for at local board meetings, the standing orders approved by the local board reflects the principles in Section 14 LGA by providing for public attendance and enabling public input at meetings.

23. In order to continue to provide this opportunity as well as facilitate input by Māori and the public, the standing orders require amending.

Standing Orders Section 6 Māori Input

24. Speaking rights for Māori organisations or their nominees are granted under standing orders for the purpose of enabling Māori input, if any, to any item on the agenda of a meeting.

25. To ensure this right can be exercised during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period, provision needs to be made enabling any input to be given by audio or audio-visual link.
26. The provisions for public input in standing orders are one of the ways that local boards give effect to the requirements of the LGA (Sections 78 and 79).

27. The LGA provides that, in the course of its decision-making, a local authority must consider the views and preferences of persons likely to be affected by or have an interest in the matter. The LGA does not specify how those views are to be obtained or what form that consideration should take. It does not require a public forum at meetings.

28. However, the LGA gives local authorities discretion as to how to comply with Section 78 and what to consider. Through their standing orders, local boards and the Governing Body have chosen to enable public input through deputations and public forum at their meetings as one way to obtain community views, among other things.

29. To ensure this opportunity can continue to be made available during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period, provision must be made in standing orders to receive this by audio or audio-visual link.

Proposed amendment

30. This report recommends that input from non-members continue to be enabled during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. This requires an amendment to the standing orders. An amendment to standing orders requires a 75 per cent majority vote.

31. A similar amendment has been made by the Governing Body to their standing orders. It is desirable to ensure consistency across the governance arms of Auckland Council. The Governing Body resolutions are as follows:

   - Resolution GB/2020/33 (n) That the Governing Body amend standing orders by inserting a new Standing Order 3.3.10 as follows:

     Attendance of non-members by electronic link A person other than a member of the Governing Body, or the relevant committee, may participate in a meeting of the Governing Body or committee by means of audio link or audio-visual link in emergencies if the person is otherwise approved to participate under these standing orders (such as under Standing Order 6.2 “Local board input” or 7.7 “Public input”).

   - Resolution GB/2020/33 (p) That the Governing Body agree to change Auckland Council’s Standing Orders to provide full discretion to the chair of the Emergency Committee to decline public input requests.

32. The local board’s standing orders currently gives discretion to the chair to decline deputations but not public forum requests. Giving discretion to the chair to manage requests for public forum during this time can ensure the requirements of the LGA regarding the provision of the technology requirements can be supported.

Technology options available

33. Where attendance by audio or audio-visual link is permitted, the LGA requires that the chair of the meeting ensures:

   - the technology for the audio link or audio-visual link is available and of suitable quality and;
   - that the procedure for use of the technology will ensure that participants can hear and be heard by each other.

34. The chair’s discretion will need to be exercised where the technology and quality cannot be guaranteed.

35. The audio and audio-visual link options available for non-member input are provided by Auckland Council through Skype for Business:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attendance at local board meetings during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period

### Item 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Audio link only  
Attend Skype for Business meeting via phone. | • No ability to see presentations being shared or to see and be seen by local board members attending the meeting  
• Only technical equipment required is a landline or mobile telephone |
| Audio-visual link  
Video and audio attend Skype for Business meeting | • Allows non-member to see both presentations being shared and to see and be seen by the local board members attending  
• Requires a mobile phone or a computer device with an internet connection |

36. If enabled under standing orders, non-members who wish to give input would need to contact the local board with a request to attend. If approved by the chair, information on how to join the meeting using audio and audio-visual link options above will be sent out to the attendee by staff.

**Summary of meeting**

37. Where it is not reasonably practicable for non-members to attend the meeting through a broadcast and/or peruse a recording after it has happened, a summary of the meeting will need to be provided by staff.

38. A summary in this context would be different from the content of agendas, reports and minutes which are all separately required to be publicly available. It should contain the thrust or key points of the discussion or debate at the meeting, keeping in mind that its purpose is to provide an alternative to an audio or video recording of the meeting, in a situation where the public is not able to attend and hear this discussion themselves.

39. The ordinary definition of a summary is a brief statement or account of the main points of something. While the appropriate level of detail is likely to vary depending on what is being discussed at meetings, a summary is not expected to include verbatim notes.

** Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi  
Climate impact statement**

40. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

** Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera  
Council group impacts and views**

41. Staff attendance at meetings, while not specifically provided for, is a necessary part of local board meetings and as such is expected to take place using audio-visual link.

** Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe  
Local impacts and local board views**

42. This report seeks to amend the local boards standing orders to enable public input and Māori input at meetings.

** Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori  
Māori impact statement**

43. This report seeks a decision that will ensure Māori input can continue to be given during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period.
44. This will ensure Māori are not prevented from giving input at a meeting on any matter that may be of interest to them.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
45. The decision to amend standing orders is of a procedural nature and is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.

46. The scaling up of technology to ensure compliance with COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 is being done at a cost to the council. The costs are not known at this stage and will be factored into operational budgets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
47. The objective of the recent legislative changes is to reduce public health risks and ensure compliance with social distancing measures and other restrictions in New Zealand’s COVID-19 alert levels response plan.

48. While this is not specifically required by legislation, permitting public input by audio or audio-visual link, if practicable, can ensure the local board can receive and consider views of its constituents on decisions that they are making.

49. There is a risk that the audio-visual option would only be taken up by a small number of constituents as this would only be available to those who have the technical devices and internet access. The software that will be used for meetings is Skype for Business which is free to download and use. However, the internet access costs, or availability of technology/devices can be a limiting factor for some constituents. Constituents who do not have internet access can participate, if approved, by phone.

50. The report is seeking discretion for the local board chair to decline public forum requests. This delegation should be exercised with caution to not undermine the intention of standing orders (which currently provided some limited grounds to decline public input). There will be instances where it is reasonable to decline (noting these examples are not intended to be exhaustive), such as:
   • where the technology cannot be provided, or quality cannot be assured;
   • a need to manage time allocations for the agenda;
   • the matter is neither urgent nor the subject of a decision to be made at the meeting;
   • the request is offensive, repetitious or vexatious.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
51. If approved, the amendments to standing orders can, if the local board chooses, continue beyond the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. Enabling these changes gives maximum flexibility for attendance of non-members at future meetings, including those with underlying health issues or compromised immune systems that may need to take extra precaution even after the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period has ended.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

| Authors           | Polly Kenrick - Business Manager, Local Board Services  
|                  | Shirley Coutts - Principal Advisor - Governance Strategy |
| Authorisers      | Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services       
|                  | Eric Perry - Relationship Manager           |
Approval for Road Names for Stages 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C & 4B of the Northcote Development at Northcote

File No.: CP2020/04134

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval from the Kaipātiki Local Board to name new public and private roads within Stages 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C & 4B of the Northcote development being undertaken by Kainga Ora (the applicant) at Northcote.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

3. The applicant has submitted the following seven preferred names for three public roads and four private roads for consideration by the local board:
   - Hāngai Road;
   - Matatiki Street;
   - Huataki Road;
   - Tūhono Lane;
   - Kōwhanga Lane;
   - Tāmata Lane; and
   - Hononga Lane.

   The applicant has submitted the following alternative names in the event the Local Board may not consider some of the above names appropriate:
   - Kauhanga;
   - Taiāpure;
   - Pūngāwha;
   - Whānāriki; and
   - Tōhuka.

4. The names are considered suitable and meet the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) approve the preferred road names ‘Hāngai Road’, ‘Matatiki Street’, ‘Huataki Road’, ‘Tūhono Lane’, ‘Kōwhanga Lane’, ‘Tāmata Lane’, ‘Hononga Lane’ or alternative

Horopaki Context

5. The development and associated dwellings approved under council references BUN60323398, BUN603337780, BUN60326461, BUN60326818, BUN60338865 and SUB60337780, are currently under construction.

6. In accordance with the national addressing standards, all public roads and any private road that serves more than five lots are required to be named.

Tātāritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

7. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider / developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the relevant local board’s approval.

8. Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical or ancestral linkage to an area,
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

9. In selecting names, the applicant has chosen to use Māori names in keeping with their theme of using Māori names with previous stages of the development.

10. The names translate as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hāngai Road</td>
<td>Opposite, relevant to, in line with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matatiki Street</td>
<td>Spring (of water), fitting with Jessie Tonar Spring and awa running beneath Northcote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huataki Road</td>
<td>To raise, lift, begin, lead, commence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tūhono Lane</td>
<td>Join, bond, connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kōwhanga Lane</td>
<td>Nest, nursery, birthplace, cradle, stronghold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tāmata Lane</td>
<td>Refresh, restore, revitalize, work the soil (fitting for restoration, revitalization of public, private and native spaces in Northcote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hononga Lane</td>
<td>Union, connection, relationship, bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauhanga</td>
<td>Open space, sacred passageway, corridor (refers the Te Ara</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Awataha that will run through Northcote) | Stretch of coast, reef fishing ground set aside as reserve for inland iwi to gather shellfish (refers pre-colonial settlement of Awataha) | Taiāpure

Pūngāwha | Sulphur (refers sulphur works on edge of Northcote Point) | Pūngāwha

Whānāriki | Pale yellow (refers sulphur works on edge of Northcote Point) | Whānāriki

Tōhuka | Sugar cane (refers Chelsea sugar works) | Tōhuka

11. Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed the proposed and alternative names are acceptable and no duplicates exist within the Auckland region.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

Climate impact statement

12. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

Council group impacts and views

13. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations (CCOs) were not required for the preparation of the advice contained within this report.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

Local impacts and local board views

14. The decision sought through this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

Māori impact statement

15. Because of the applicant’s partnership with mana whenua it consulted directly with the local iwi groups it identified as possibly having an interest in the proposal. These were Ngāti Whātua o Kaipara, Te Kawerau a Maki, Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki, Te Patukirikiri, Ngati Maru, Ngati Whanaunga and Ngati Paoa Iwi. No responses were received from the iwi groups.

16. Given the applicants partnership with mana whenua and their preference for using Māori names it is considered that sufficient consultation has been undertaken.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

Financial implications

17. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

Risks and mitigations

18. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.
Next steps

19. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) which records them on its New Zealand-wide land information database that includes street addresses issued by councils.
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ATTACHMENT A

OVERVIEW MASTERPLAN FOR PROPOSED ROAD NAMES FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
STAGES 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, & 4B
Stage 2B

- 1 New Internal Road – approved under HLC’s BUIN60323398, and
- 2 X JOAL Names on N33 – Universal’s LUC and Subdivision consent approved, BUIN60337780 and SUB60337781

ATTACHMENT B

STAGE 2B - ROAD NAME PLAN FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
Stage 3A (NC-19 JOAL)

- 1 New JOAL. Approved consent BUN60326461

Note: Internal roads within Stage 3A are already approved by local board in 2019, names as shown on the image.

ATTACHMENT B

STAGE 3A – ROAD NAME PLAN FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
Stage 3B (NC-28 JOAL)

- 1 New JOAL. Approved consent BUN60326818

Note: Internal roads within Stage 3B are already approved by local board in 2019, names as indicated on the image.

ATTACHMENT B

STAGE 3B – ROAD NAME PLAN FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
Stage 3C:

- 1 New Road. Enabling Works Consent Approved, BUN60338865

Note: Kaka Street to the left side of Potter Avenue, preference would be to have new road as an extension to Kaka Street.

ATTACHMENT B

STAGE 3C – ROAD NAME PLAN FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
Stage 4B:

- 1 New Road. Enabling Works Consent Approved, BUN60338865

ATTACHMENT B

STAGE 4B – ROAD NAME PLAN FOR NORTHCOTE DEVELOPMENT
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/05539

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for local financial matters for the local board agreement 2020/2021, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process.

2. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. Our Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards to agree with the governing body. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

4. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements (Consultation part 1).

5. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council is now considering what those impacts are likely to be, and plan to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (Consultation part 2).

6. Local boards are required to receive the feedback on the proposals in consultation part 1, which are not affected by the changes being considered by the council and therefore will not be subject to further consultation, and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway, so the scope of consultation part 2 is clear.

7. This report provides the opportunity to make decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including the following, however none of these are relevant to the Kaipātiki Local Board at this time:
   a) any new/amended Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates
   b) any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   c) proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   d) release of local board specific reserve funds.

8. The council received feedback in person at community engagement events and through written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

9. This report summarises consultation feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021, including on local board priorities for 2020/2021.

10. The local board consulted on the following priorities for 2020/2021:
    - Priority: Deliver events celebrating diversity in the community
    - Priority: Install additional shadesails
    - Priority: Develop the Glenfield Centre Plan
    - Priority: Progress stage one of the Beach Haven coastal connection
Item 14

- Priority: Continue maintaining public spaces
- Priority: Deliver the kauri dieback mitigation work programme
- Priority: Complete the Local Parks Management Plan

11. 136 submissions were received on the Kaipātiki local board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people either support most (49 per cent) or support all (28 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Kaipātiki local board area

12. This report seeks local board views on the proposed regional Annual Budget topics including:
   - the changes to rates and fees, key proposals:
     - waste management targeted rate
     - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
     - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate
   - the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021
   - other budget information.

13. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

14. Out of the 2298 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021, 123 submissions were from people living in the Kaipātiki local board area.

15. There were 117 Kaipātiki residents responded to this question compared to 2194 responses in total. 68% of Kaipātiki respondents supported an increase to the waste management targeted rate compared to 29% who did not.

16. 112 Kaipātiki residents responded to this question compared to 2076 total responses. 71% of Kaipātiki respondents supported increasing the refuse collection targeted rate in the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas.

17. 104 Kaipātiki residents responded to this question compared to 1999 responses from across the region. 74% of the responses from the Kaipātiki local board area supported increasing the targeted rate for a septic tank pump-out service in the Waitākere Ranges.

18. There were just 157 total responses on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021. None of these were from Kaipātiki residents. The main objection revolved around the removal of mature exotic trees, particularly on Ōwairaka.

19. Auckland Council also consulted on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review at the same time. The feedback received on this will be presented at a later date.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the proposed Kaipātiki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021.

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Kaipātiki local board area.

c) provide feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021.

d) provide views and preferences as part of the phase two Emergency Budget, which will be considered by the Emergency Committee at their 14 May 2020 business
Horopaki Context

20. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council's Annual Budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

21. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This is now referred to as consultation part 1.

22. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Work to date on the proposed Annual Budget will need to be adjusted to consider the new financial realities facing Auckland.

23. The financial report presented to the Emergency Committee during April 2020 indicated potential reductions in cash revenue of $350-650 million for financial year 2020/2021, depending on the length and extent of the disruption caused by COVID-19. The Emergency Committee requested staff provide further information to the Governing Body on the impacts of the various scenarios modelled against a rates increase of between 0 per cent and 3.5 per cent. They also resolved that further public consultation on the Annual Budget would include considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship due to the impacts of COVID-19.

24. The council is planning to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19. It is anticipated this will be carried out from late May until mid-June 2020. This will be in addition to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation we have already carried out from February to March 2020. This is referred to as consultation part 2.

25. Consultation part 2 is unlikely to revisit any of the specific proposals in consultation part 1. Therefore, the local boards and the Governing Body are required to receive the feedback on these proposals and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway so it is clear what decisions have already been made, and what decisions will be made after consultation part 2.

26. Further, some of the proposed changes to fees and charges required a Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) and the requirements for this were met in consultation part 1. It is important to complete this statutory process, especially where consultation part 2 will not be relevant to the decisions on these fees and charges.

27. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the Kaipātiki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, and on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Kaipātiki local board area.

Local financial matters for the local board agreement

28. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in May 2020. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the Annual Budget process.

Local targeted rate and Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rate proposals

29. Local boards are required to endorse any new local targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented). There were no new local targeted rate or BID targeted rate proposals for the Kaipātiki local board area.
Funding for Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI)

30. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million, projects over that amount require approval from the Governing Body.

31. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2020/2021 if there is a specific need to do so. Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

Local board input on regional plans

32. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Annual Budget.

33. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

Council-controlled organisation (CCO) review

34. An independent panel was appointed by Auckland Council to examine three areas: (1) the CCO model, roles and responsibilities, (2) the accountability of CCOs, and (3) CCO culture. Local boards had the opportunity to provide input into this in March 2020.

35. Auckland Council also consulted on the review of CCOs during the same period as the Annual Budget, from 21 February to 22 March 2020.

36. After receiving feedback, the panel will report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback to the council in May 2020.

37. The panel will provide a final report and recommendations to the council in July 2020.

Types of feedback

38. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 4600 people and 165 organisations in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   - Written feedback – 3828 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
   - In person – through 58 Have Your Say and community events.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

39. The Kaipātiki Local Board consulted on the following priorities:
   - Priority: Deliver events celebrating diversity in the community
   - Priority: Install additional shadesails
   - Priority: Develop the Glenfield Centre Plan
   - Priority: Progress stage one of the Beach Haven coastal connection
   - Priority: Continue maintaining public spaces
   - Priority: Deliver the kauri dieback mitigation work programme
   - Priority: Complete the Local Parks Management Plan

40. Key themes across all feedback received (through written and in person channels) were:
   - A majority of submitters supported most or all of the priorities (66 supported most of the priorities, 38 supported all of the priorities)
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

• A significant proportion of submitters did not know what the local board priorities were (21 submitters out of the 60 that provided comments, confessed they were unclear what the local board priorities were)

• There should be a focus on core services like maintenance to rein in spending (7 comments)

Feedback received

41. 136 submissions were received on the Kaipātiki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people either support most (49 per cent) or support all (28 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.

42. The Kaipātiki Local Board held one Have Your Say event, at the Beach Haven Fun Run at Shepherds Park on 15 Mar.

Feedback on other local topics

43. There were 60 submissions that provided comments on the Kaipātiki local board priorities. However, the feedback provided through these comments were too varied and disparate for any key theme to be identified.

Requests for local funding

44. Requests for local funding included:

• The Little Shoal Bay Renewal Working Group requested $193,000-$290,000 for the first stage of a multi-stage project to recover and renew Little Shoal Bay facilities. This first stage comprises altering the Outfall Pipe under Maritime Terrace to stop sea water from accessing the reserve through measures such as upgrading the sea wall and closing the ramp access adjacent to the boatyard. It also involves construction of a Tidal Gate close to the road bridge.

Information on submitters

45. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.
A total of 164 submitters from the Kaipātiki local board area provided information on their genders and/or age groups.

**According to ethnicity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European (75 submitters, 43%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakeha/NZ European</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific (1 submitter, 1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (84 submitters, 49%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African/Middle Eastern/Latin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A bar chart showing the distribution of submitters by ethnicity.
A total of 173 submitters from the Kaipātiki local board area provided information on their ethnicity. Asian submitters outnumbered Europeans slightly, though this is not significant given the overall low number of submitters from the local board area.

**Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from the Kaipātiki Local Board area**

46. The proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees, the key proposals were:
   - waste management targeted rate
   - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
   - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

47. The submissions received from the Kaipātiki Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

**Waste management targeted rate**

48. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: Waste management targeted rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The cost of responsibly dealing with our kerbside recycling (paper, cardboard and plastics) has increased due to international market conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To pay for this we propose to charge only those who use the service by increasing the targeted rate by $19.97 a year or $0.38 a week (the total cost changing from $121.06 to $141.03 incl. GST).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If we do not do this, we would have to fund the shortfall by increasing general rates for all ratepayers, including those who don’t get a kerbside collection service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Kaipātiki Local Board area.

50. This question received the most responses among those on the Annual Budget proposals, with 117 from the Kaipātiki local board area. The majority of responses from Kaipātiki residents supported an increase in the waste management targeted rate. Among those who provided comments, those in support of the increase cited the necessity of recycling, and the fairness of user pays, while those not in favour pointed to the inconsistency in there still being charges for other services they do not benefit from.

**Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City**

51. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate.
**Question 2: Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City**

In the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas, households pay for rubbish through a targeted rate. In other parts of the city, residents pay for their collection via Pay As You Throw. The targeted rate for the Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas no longer meets the cost of collection.

To cover this extra cost we propose increasing the targeted rate in these areas by $14.23 a year or $0.27 a week for a 120 litre bin (the total cost changing from $129.93 to $144.16 incl. GST), and an additional $6.68 a year or $0.13 a week for a large 240 litre bin (the total cost changing from $191 to $211.91 incl. GST).

If we do not do this, we would have to increase general rates for all ratepayers, including those living outside these two areas who would subsidise residents of old Auckland and Manukau cities.

52. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Kaipātiki Local Board area.

53. A total of 112 responses were received from Kaipātiki submitters, the majority of whom supported increasing the targeted rate for waste collection in the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas. Among those who provided comments, most asked for an equitable approach, namely user pays, and that this be consistent across the region.

**Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

54. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

**Question 3: Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

Last year we consulted on removing the septic tank pumpout service funded by a targeted rate. While feedback indicated a willingness to go ahead with the removal of this service in the Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board areas, residents of the Waitākere Ranges local board area said they wanted to keep the service. The cost of delivering this service is higher than the current targeted rate of $198.43.

Our proposal, for those in the Waitākere Ranges local board area who want the service, is to recover the full cost by increasing the targeted rate to between $260 and $320 a year (incl. GST). This increase would apply from July 2021.

If we do not do this, the council could end the service, or continue to subsidise the cost of the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers, including those who don’t use the service.

55. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Kaipātiki Local Board area.
56. This question received the lowest number of responses, just 104, among the questions on the Annual Budget proposals, but also the largest proportionate majority. Submitters who were supportive stated that user pays was only fair, with the users being in this case, Waitākere Ranges residents who had opted to retain the septic tank pumpout service. Among those who disagreed, the majority wanted the service to end, with those providing comments pointing out how antiquated it was.

Other feedback

57. Aucklanders were asked what is important to them and if they had any feedback on any other issues. This included the key topics of how we charge for pool fencing inspections, and adjusting our fees and charges.

58. There were 13 submissions from the Kaipātiki local board area on the proposed annual targeted rate for pool fencing inspections. A slight majority of eight submitters were against shifting to annual inspections from every three years, as they felt that this was unnecessary and approved pool fencing is unlikely to appreciably deteriorate within a year.

59. There were 9 comments on fees and charges from Kaipātiki residents. All were against any increases to rates and the current level of fees and charges, most stating that the current cost of living was too high, and Council spending needed reining in.

Feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

60. No feedback was received from the Kaipātiki Local Board area on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

61. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. New targeted rates and the release of reserve funds will not have any climate impacts themselves.

62. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

63. Some of the proposed projects these would fund will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

64. The Annual Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

65. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.
66. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Annual Budget. Local board agreements form part of the Annual Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Annual Budget.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

67. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.
68. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.
69. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.
70. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.
71. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

72. This report is seeking local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.
73. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

74. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget by 15 May 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Annual Budget in May.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

75. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes.
76. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting.

77. The dates of these meetings are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Daniel Han - Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021
Feedback on draft of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework

File No.: CP2020/04204

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide retrospective endorsement of the Kaipatiki Local Board’s feedback on the draft of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. In February 2018, the Environment and Community Committee resolved to develop an integrated climate action plan for the Auckland region. To meet this requirement, Auckland Council led the development of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework.

3. Consultation on the draft of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework was undertaken between 17 July – 30 September 2019.

4. At its business meeting on 18 March 2020, the Kaipātiki Local Board received a report on the changes to the draft Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework, with an opportunity to provide feedback. At this meeting Member Cindy Schmidt was delegated authority for preparing local board feedback on Auckland’s Climate Action Framework – proposed changes (resolution number KT/2020/39), noting that:
   i) proposed board feedback will be circulated to all members via email for comment and indicative support prior to it being submitted; and
   ii) finalised board feedback will be placed on the next available business meeting agenda for retrospective endorsement.

5. The feedback submitted on behalf of the Kaipātiki Local Board is provided as Attachment A to this agenda report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) endorse the feedback on the draft of Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework as provided in Attachment A to this agenda report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Kaipātiki Local Board submission on Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Authors | Jacinda Short - Democracy Advisor - Kaipātiki
Authorisers | Eric Perry - Relationship Manager
The Kaipātiki Local Board submission on Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework

- The Kaipātiki Local Board is supportive of ACAF
- Cities have a key role to play in combating climate change. Auckland is a member of the C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group and this carries with it a commitment to limit temperature rise to 1.5 degrees above pre-industrial levels
- Local Boards are uniquely positioned to work in partnership with their communities in utilising their collective knowledge of the local area to make the necessary changes.
- Acknowledge the feedback gathered => nearly 3,000 respondents, a fifth of whom were youths from the 15-24 age group, and a quarter of whom were Māori, two target groups that previous consultation efforts have struggled to engage with.
- Recognise that the feedback from the Colmar Brunton public consultation on the draft Auckland Climate Plan indicated that Kaipātiki residents were largely aligned with that of the rest of the region.
  The two priority issues among Kaipātiki respondents were:
  1) A significantly greater degree of prioritisation for electric vehicles
  2) The importance of enhancing, restoring and connecting our natural environment

The Auckland Council, three pillars recommend:

- Mitigation/Emissions reduction
- Adaptation/Preparing for the impacts of climate change
- Tailored/Place-based approach
  - The Kaipātiki Local Board is broadly supportive of the three pillars
  - We acknowledge the pillar of Mitigation/Emissions reduction, recognises New Zealand’s international obligation of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 30% below 2005 levels by 2030, and net zero by 2050 (Nationally Determined Contribution under the Paris Agreement)

Mitigation/Emissions reduction pillar => We broadly support the target of a 50% reduction in emissions by 2030, with net zero emissions by 2050, under the pillar of Emissions Reductions. Presently local boards take an advocating, supportive and where practicable a leadership role. In the coming years we would envisage the resourcing of local boards and community organisations to enable us to undertake projects/actions which support climate initiatives. This would make it ‘standard practice’ to plan, implement and evaluate climate compatible decisions.

Adaptation/Preparing for the impacts of climate change => The Kaipātiki Local Board area on the north, west and south boarders are coastal, so they are particularly susceptible to inundation, flooding, coastal erosion, slips. In our area there are now and in the future inevitable impacts of coastal inundation. One such example was the 2019 motorway flooding between the Esmond and Onewa Rd exits. It was caused by both a significant weather event and a colliding king tide. It was highly disruptive to both the safety of people on the road and to traffic flow.
Kaipātiki has one of the largest areas of continuous urban native vegetation remaining in Auckland’s ecological region and are the local board with the highest canopy cover in a suburban area. Although this has a cooling effect on the wider environment it also elevates the importance of restoration and conservation in the area and comes with increased risk of fire in drought conditions. We advocate for council and our community partners to successfully minimise the impacts of climate change. For example, collaboration between local fire services to plan for and implement the needed actions to minimise the likelihood of a forest and or scrub fires.

- **Tailored/Place-based approach** => the importance of Kaitiakitanga (guardianship/protection) over the land including our environment is embraced in the Māori world view. Having an intrinsic connection to the spirit, land and people the Kaipātiki Local Board forward to a close partnership with Manu whenua for local environmental causes and discussions over solutions. The Kaipātiki Local Board takes an active interest in supporting innovations in the food production sector. This means businesses that both produce and or manufacturing food sources for our community. We support the ‘live local buy local’ philosophy in relation to food and beverages. The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the eight priorities. However, we understand that the evolving nature of climate science and technology means that we must currently take an aspirational approach. This means some future flexibility is required within Auckland council (including the sustainability policies) to keep abreast and be responsive to future developments and evolving technologies. The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the title change.

**The seven climate action outcomes**

- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of keeping temperature rises to within 1.5 degrees above pre-industrial levels. This is largely obtained through emission reductions and currently in Auckland our emissions are continuing to rise
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of climate resilience, as this will help insulate us from the economic impacts of climate related weather events
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of protecting and enhancing the natural environment, noting the key role nature including green canopy cover has in offsetting man-made carbon emissions
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of improving air quality, community mental health and physical wellbeing. We note the positive effects the natural environment has on an individual’s wellbeing and lifelong heath.
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of drawing on, reflecting and celebrating the unique cultural heritage and diversity of Tāmaki Makaurau/Auckland
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of equity. The Board recognises the intergenerational impact of climate change. This requires measures to mitigate the impact on future generations, while also balancing fair and affordable access to opportunities
- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the outcome of building a strong and resilient economy to generate sustainable jobs, noting challenges posed by climate change including energy shortages, damage to infrastructure, industry loss, heat-related mortality and illness, food and water scarcity
Key Moves -> Priorities

Priority: Natural environment

- The Kaipātiki Local Board strongly supports this priority given the important role natural environments play in climate mitigation.
- Kaipātiki enjoys one of the largest areas of continuous urban native vegetation remaining in Auckland’s ecological region. We are the local board with the highest canopy cover in a suburban area, two-thirds of which is in public open spaces. This elevates the importance of restoration and conservation.
- The importance of enhancing, restoring and connecting our natural environments is also recognised by Kaipātiki residents who identified the preservation of their natural environment as a key issue to mitigate climate change.
- The Kaipātiki Local Board acknowledges the presence of kauri dieback in some of our parks and reserves and recognises this demands an urgent and intensified response. The Kaipātiki Local Board, together with Auckland Council and environmental partners such as Pest Free Kaipātiki, are actively collaborating to combat the spread of this disease so we can preserve Kauri for our current and future generations to enjoy.
- The Kaipātiki catchment is the largest catchment on the North Shore and is the focus of the largest urban restoration project in New Zealand carried out by a single group, Kaipātiki Project. The Kaipātiki Project is a key environmental partner of the Kaipātiki Local Board.
- Kaipātiki Local Board partners with Pest Free Kaipātiki to strongly support an urban restoration project which helps residents, schools and businesses become Pest Free.
- The National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity, the Kaipātiki Local Board fully endorsed the submission from Pest Free Kaipātiki which called for stronger and immediate tree protection and increases to the percentage baselines for targets to make them meaningful.
- The Little Shoal Bay area presents us with a clear example of how sea level rise and increasing king tides are affecting the coastline, park and both public and private structures. The carpark, sports field and other parts of Little Shoal Bay Reserve are regularly flooded, and there are concerns for public safety in relation to the water quality. The Kaipātiki Local Board is awaiting a coastal report for the park in order to ascertain and prioritise mitigation measures.
- Note that a majority of Kaipātiki respondents in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 supported a higher targeted rate of $47 per annum per residential ratepayer to better tackle kauri dieback and protect native species and ecosystems.
- Note that a large majority of people in Kaipātiki in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 supported the implementation of a water quality targeted rate to increase funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams.

Priority: Built environment

- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports this priority.
- A significant project in Kaipātiki is the Northcote town centre redevelopment. This project provides an opportunity to develop Northcote from the ground up, to have climate-compatible infrastructure and urban design that strengthens community connectedness.
- Enough resourcing is key to ensure that new developments are not only climate-proof, but also account for future growth, lifestyle changes, and wider social well-being.
- It is imperative to ensure development and infrastructure are climate-compatible considering energy use in both industry and buildings are among the top sources of emissions for Auckland.
- The Kaipātiki Local Board, together with the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board, face the issue of protecting Wairau Estuary and Milford Beach. This issue is exacerbated by open concrete-lined channels in the Wairau Valley. Today’s waterways would not be designed in this manner. To address this, we are working closely with the community, Healthy Waters and other interested stakeholders towards reaching solutions.

- Being one of the more established local board areas, Kaipātiki enjoys many facilities. However, some of them are aging and in dire need of renewal. This presents an opportunity to renew and rebuild community facilities to be climate compatible and, in some instances, multipurposed. Climate-compatible features often incur additional costs to upgrade projects to NZ building standards.

- The most recent example faced by the Kaipātiki Local Board was the rebuild of the Kaipātiki Project at 17 Lauderdale Road, Birkdale. This property was cold and damp, compromising the health and well-being of the volunteers and employees. Additional budget was sought for the enhancement of the proposed concept design to the green building framework, this was to include all the design features required to make the rebuild truly sustainable and climate compatible.

**Priority: Transport**

- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports this priority as transport is the most significant source of emissions in Auckland.

- That getting around Kaipātiki is easy, of the Kaipātiki Local Board Plan, identifies accessible, reliable and efficient transport, along with walking and cycling links throughout the local board area, is a key priority.

- Transport is a key priority for Kaipātiki residents, they endorse the utility of electric vehicles as a climate solution for their specific local area.

- The New Northern Bus Network has been largely well-received, and there is excitement and interest among residents for the planned harbour bridge ‘shared path’.

- As part of the Regional Land Transport Plan 2018-2028 the Kaipātiki Local Board advocated for affordable, frequent and all-weather ferry services from Beach Haven, Birkenhead and Northcote terminals.

- The Kaipātiki Local Board is continuing to deliver walking and cycling links, as identified in the Kaipātiki Connections Network Plan, to improve the feasibility of transport and recreation for residents.

**Priority: Economy**

- The Kaipātiki Local Board supports this priority as industrial process and product use are among the top three sources of emissions in Auckland.

- The Kaipātiki Local Board has instituted the Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme. The programme is ongoing and aims to partner, educate and inform urban industry and local businesses about the potential impacts of their activities on waterways. As well as best practices around waste minimisation techniques and spill training.

- The Kaipātiki Local Board is committed to partnering with our local communities and council’s Infrastructure and Environmental Services with the intention of finding real solutions for our most vulnerable climate-affected areas.

**Priority: Community & Coast**

- The Kaipātiki Local Board area on the north, west and south boarders are coastal, so we are particularly susceptible to inundation, flooding, coastal erosion, slips. In our area there are now and in the future inevitable impacts of coastal inundation.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board is responsible for representing the community and serving them as their local voice.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board supports local businesses and local employment. We support the community to live and buy local where possible.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board supports the economic growth and vibrancy of our urban centres and our aim is to encourage and support business in the area including those of the lite commercial area of the Wairau Valley, to provide local employment opportunities to the community.
- We support improved access in these areas, to support residents to use these local businesses rather than travel further afield

**Priority: Te puawaitanga ō te tangata**

- The Kaipätiki Local Board fully supports this priority and looks forward to partnering with Mana whenua and marae to support the aspirations of Maori in Kaipätiki

**Priority: Energy & Industry**

- The Kaipätiki Local Board supports this priority, recognising that energy use in industry and buildings is one of the most significant sources of emissions in Auckland.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board acknowledge that one of the most significant results from the People’s Perception Survey conducted by Colmar Brunton for the draft Auckland Climate Plan, was the willingness of Kaipätiki residents to transition into electric vehicles given that there is no rail option currently.

**Priority: Food**

- The Kaipätiki Local Board fully supports this priority
- The Kaipätiki Local Board is interested in supporting business innovations in the food production sector. This may include planning in relation to nurseries, we acknowledge this may require cross-board collaboration to achieve an outcome that is sustainable and maximises productivity
- On a smaller scale we support and encourage residents to independently plant vegetable and or fruit trees to produce sustainable food (if they choose) on their private properties. On a local board level there is a small-scale fruit tree initiative which aims to plant fruit trees in public spaces for all to enjoy.
- We support the “live local buy local” philosophy in relation to food and beverages.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board supports cross-board collaboration regarding future proofing and simplifying recycling on the North Shore.
- We know that a large majority of Kaipätiki supported the draft Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, particularly in respect to working with businesses to reduce construction and demolition waste and to reduce plastic and organic wastage.
- A common theme from Kaipätiki submitters on the draft Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 was support for some form of food scraps collection.
- The Kaipätiki Local Board supported the draft Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, though advocated for any proposed container deposit scheme to place the responsibility for all packaging on manufacturers like the Green Dot scheme in Germany.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide retrospective endorsement and feedback on New Zealand Transport Agency’s Accessible Streets Regulatory Package.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. On 19 March 2020, local board members received a memo on the council submission to the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package. This package aims to clarify the types of vehicles and devices allowed on footpaths, shared paths, cycle paths and cycle lanes, how road controlling authorities may regulate pedestrians, devices and the various types of paths, and propose changes prioritising road users to facilitate walking, device use and cycling (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report). There are nine proposals to achieve these which include:

- Proposal 1: Change and re-name the types of device that are used on footpaths, shared paths, cycle paths and cycle lanes
- Proposal 2: Establish a national framework for the use of footpaths
- Proposal 3: Establish a national framework for the use of shared paths and cycle paths
- Proposal 4: Enable transport devices to use cycle lanes and cycle paths
- Proposal 5: Introduce lighting and reflector requirements for powered transport devices at night
- Proposal 6: Remove barriers to walking, transport device use and cycling through rule changes
- Proposal 7: Mandate a minimum overtaking gap for motor vehicles passing cycles, transport devices, horses, pedestrians and people using mobility devices on the road
- Proposal 8: Clarify how road controlling authorities can restrict parking on berms
- Proposal 9: Give buses priority when exiting bus stops.

3. Local boards were given the opportunity to provide input into the NZTA’s Accessible Streets Regulatory Package submission with an initial deadline for Local Board views for appending to the submission were requested by Friday, 17 April 2020, subsequently submissions on the package closed on Wednesday, 22 April 2020. As the deadline for feedback fell outside the normal business meeting schedule, the Kaipātiki Local Board feedback was prepared on behalf of the local board by Member Melanie Kenrick.

4. The feedback submitted on behalf of the Kaipātiki Local Board is provided as Attachment B to this agenda report

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) endorse the feedback on the New Zealand Transport Agency Accessible Streets Regulatory Package as provided in Attachment B to this agenda report.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
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</thead>
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Ngā kaihaina
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Memorandum
19 March 2020

To: Planning Committee members, Local Board Chairs and Members

Subject: Council submission to the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package

From: Alastair Cribbens, Principal Transport Advisor, Growth, Transport & Infrastructure Strategy

Purpose
1. To highlight the release of Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency’s Accessible Streets Regulatory Package and outline the next steps.

Summary
• The Associate Minister of Transport is proposing a collection of rule changes known as the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package.
  • These rules are intended to:
    o make footpaths, shared paths, cycle lanes and cycle paths safer and more accessible
    o accommodate the increasing use of micro-mobility devices like e-scooters on streets and footpaths
    o encourage active modes of transport and support the creation of more liveable and vibrant towns and cities
    o make social and economic opportunities more accessible, and
    o make buses and active transport such as walking and cycling safer and more efficient.
  • The package will clarify the types of vehicles and devices that are allowed on footpaths, shared paths, cycle paths and cycle lanes, and how they can use these spaces. This will include a 15km/h speed limit on the footpath and a requirement for all other footpath users to give way to pedestrians.
  • The proposed rules also clarify how road controlling authorities may regulate pedestrians, devices and spaces like the footpath; and propose changes to the priority given to a range of road users to remove barriers to walking, device use and cycling.
  • Submissions on the package close on 22 April 2020.
  • Council intends to make a submission. A paper with further information on the review, our proposed process for responding to the package and key themes in the submission will be considered at the Planning Committee meeting on 2 April.
Context

2. The Accessible Streets Regulatory Package aims to achieve a shift in government support for:
   - making footpaths, shared paths, cycle lanes and cycle paths safer and more accessible
   - accommodating the increasing use of micro-mobility devices like e-scooters on streets and footpaths
   - encouraging active modes of transport and supporting the creation of more liveable and vibrant towns and cities
   - making social and economic opportunities more accessible
   - making buses and active transport such as walking and cycling safer and more efficient.

3. These changes are intended to help give effect to the 2018/19-2027/28 Government Policy Statement on Land Transport which seeks to, amongst other things, prioritise:
   - accessible and affordable transport
   - safety
   - liveable cities.

4. The package consists of nine proposals:
   - Proposal 1: Change and re-name the types of device that are used on footpaths, shared paths, cycle paths and cycle lanes
   - Proposal 2: Establish a national framework for the use of footpaths
   - Proposal 3: Establish a national framework for the use of shared paths and cycle paths
   - Proposal 4: Enable transport devices to use cycle lanes and cycle paths
   - Proposal 5: Introduce lighting and reflector requirements for powered transport devices at night
   - Proposal 6: Remove barriers to walking, transport device use and cycling through rule changes
   - Proposal 7: Mandate a minimum overtaking gap for motor vehicles passing cycles, transport devices, horses, pedestrians and people using mobility devices on the road
   - Proposal 8: Clarify how road controlling authorities can restrict parking on berms
   - Proposal 9: Give buses priority when exiting bus stops

5. Waka Kotahi released its consultation documents on the 9th of March. They can be accessed via this link.

Discussion

6. From our initial review of the package some of the main areas that may be of interest to the council, councillors, IMRB members and local board members include:
   a. Proposal 2 – This proposal would allow bikes and e-bikes as well as unpowered (push scooters, skateboards etc) and all legal powered (e-scooters and YikeBikes) transport devices to be used on footpaths. New rules would be introduced for their use on footpaths including the need to give way to all pedestrians and a speed limit of 15km/h. This proposal also raises the alternative option of only allowing cyclists on footpaths up to the age of 16.
   b. Proposals 2 and 3 – Propose allowing road controlling authorities the ability to set speed limits for bikes and transport devices on footpaths, shared paths and cycle paths and make it easier for them to restrict the use of bikes and transport devices on footpaths.
c. Proposal 6 – The proposal seeks to give priority to footpath, shared path and cycle path users over traffic turning into and out of side streets where markings are installed, as shown in the diagram to the right.

d. Proposal 8 – Proposes changes to clarify how road controlling authorities can restrict parking on berms.

7. While the above summarises some of package’s main themes, we recommend that you review the consultation documents using the link above as they set out a more detailed discussion of the proposals.

8. For clarity, the proposals do not:
   a. change the Land Transport Act 1998 or legalise the use of any other powered transport devices (beyond the already legal e-scooters and YikeBikes)
   b. propose to amend the current rules around wearing helmets for either transport devices or bikes.

Next steps


10. Auckland Transport are preparing a separate submission. We are working closely with Auckland Transport to ensure our submissions are as aligned as possible.

11. A paper with further information on the package and our proposed process for responding is being prepared for the Planning Committee meeting on 2 April. This is likely to focus on seeking guidance on key themes in the package. An update is likely to be provided on the day describing key themes and an emerging recommended position.

12. In the meantime, we welcome any initial questions or feedback from elected members ahead of this meeting (alastair.cribbens@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz).

13. Local Board views for appending to the submission are requested by Friday, 17 April 2020.
Kaipātiki Local Board Submission On Accessible Streets Regulatory Package

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to comment on the proposal for rule changes known as the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package.

The context of reforms reflects the changing use of our footpaths and roads. The primary purpose being to improve safety for footpath users, encourage active modes of transport, and support the creation of more liveable and vibrant towns and cities.

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the majority of the proposals set out in the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package.

The Board would like to make comment on several proposals.

Children Cycling on the footpath

The Kaipātiki Local Board support that children should be allowed to cycle at slow speeds in places where cycling on the road would put them at risk. The local board also supports parents being able to cycle and support young children on the footpath.

This is in line with the recommendation. It is an important issue locally as there are many roads within the Kaipātiki Local Board area that many parents would feel uncomfortable with inexperienced cyclists using.

Speed limits for shared paths and cycle paths

Under the proposed changes if a shared path or cycle path is adjacent to a roadway, the speed limit will be the same as the roadway. This means it’s possible for a shared path or cycle path to have a speed limit of 100km/h.

The Kaipātiki Local Board are looking forward to the opening of the Northern pathway. It is not reasonable to have a speed limit that is the same as the Auckland Harbour Bridge or motorway. At present this is theoretical as e-bikes, bicycles etc would not be able to travel at such speed. It also appears that reasonable processes are in place if changes to speed were desired.

The most important issue will be ensuring adequate education of etiquette and safety of all users whilst using a shared pathway.

Berms

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to see berms protected. However, for any decisions regarding enforcement of parking on berms, the Kaipātiki Local Board believe the road controlling authority should draw upon local knowledge.

The road controlling authorities to consult with local boards prior to passing resolutions that restrict parking within local board boundaries.
Item 16

Prepared on behalf of the Kaipātiki Local Board by
Melanie Kenrick
Kaipātiki Local Board Member
021 198 1079
Feedback on Northern Pathway Stage 1 – Westhaven to Akoranga route

File No.: CP2020/04870

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide endorsement and feedback on the Northern Pathway Stage 1 – Westhaven to Akoranga route.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency is progressing the Northern Pathway Project to provide a seamless dedicated walking and cycling link between central Auckland and Albany on the North Shore. It will connect with existing routes to help unlock the region’s wider walking and cycling network (refer to the consultation brochure and map provided as Attachment A and B of this agenda report).

3. The Northern Pathway will:
   • Provide more travel choices in Auckland and support the future growth of the walking and cycling network on both sides of the harbour
   • Provide people with a healthy, environmentally friendly way to get to and from work and education, as well as accessing services and connecting with friends and family.
   • Reduce the number of short trips by private vehicle.
   • Increase access to transport hubs on the North Shore, particularly Akoranga and with future sections of the Northern Pathway, Constellation and Albany Bus Stations.

4. The Northern Pathway project is being delivered in the following three stages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>NEXT STEPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Westhaven to Akoranga</td>
<td>Design, consenting, and procurement underway. We are seeking feedback now.</td>
<td>Lodge statutory approvals in mid-2020, followed by another round of public engagement. Subject to approvals, construction starts in the first half of 2021 and will be complete in 2023/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akoranga to Constellation</td>
<td>Business case to identify a preferred route is underway</td>
<td>During 2020, Waka Kotahi will be speaking with partners, key stakeholders, and the community about this project to help confirm the preferred route. The business case is expected to be completed in early 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constellation to Albany</td>
<td>Being constructed as part of the Northern Corridor Improvements project</td>
<td>Construction of the Northern Corridor Improvements project, including the new walking and cycling paths, is underway and is expected to be completed in mid-2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Kaipātiki Local Board had the opportunity to provide input into the Northern Pathway Stage 1 – Westhaven to Akoranga with a deadline of feedback by Sunday 19 May. This was extended for the Kaipātiki Local Board to Wednesday 22 May 2020. The Kaipātiki Local
Board feedback was prepared by Chairperson John Gillon in collaboration with the other Members of the local board.

6. The feedback submitted on behalf of the Kaipātiki Local Board is provided in as Attachment C to this agenda report

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) endorse the feedback on the Northern Pathway Stage 1 – Westhaven to Akoranga route as provided in Attachment C to this agenda report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Northern Pathway Consultation Brochure</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Northern Pathway Map March 2020</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Northern Pathway Kaipātiki Local Board Feedback Stage One</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Jacinda Short - Democracy Advisor - Kaipātiki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency is progressing the Northern Pathway project to provide a seamless dedicated walking and cycling link between central Auckland and Albany on the North Shore. It will connect with existing routes to help unlock the region’s wider walking and cycling network.

The project is picking up pace. To ensure that we are creating a pathway that will serve you and future generations, we are waiting your input, specifically on several aspects of the section of the pathway from Westhaven to Akoranga. After we have your feedback, we will be applying for the Resource Consent that we need to construct and operate the pathway.

This year we’ve been working to determine the best route of the pathway, so this update is to share where our planning has got to and also let you know our preferred designs for access to the pathway at Westhaven, Princes Street, Onawa Road and Esmonde Road. Please read on for more information below and to learn how to provide your feedback.

How we will engage with you
We are continuing to progress this project as infrastructure will play a critical role in the economic recovery of New Zealand.
In response to COVID-19, it's no longer appropriate to have face-to-face and buy-in events to share information with you and gather your feedback, so we won’t be bringing people together in big groups as we have done in the past. That means no information days or drop in sessions. Instead, we encourage you to read this brochure and to provide us with your feedback.

As always, we have our dedicated email address so that you can ask questions or let us know if you would like a phone call with one of our team - northernpathway@nzta.govt.nz.

THE NORTHERN PATHWAY WILL:

- Provide more travel choices in Auckland and support the future growth of the walking and cycling network on both sides of the harbour.
- Provide people with a healthy, environmentally friendly way to get to and from work and education, as well as accessing services and connecting with friends and family.
- Reduce the number of short trips by private vehicle.
- Increase access to transport hubs on the North Shore, particularly Akoranga and with future sections of the Northern Pathway, Constellation and Albany Bus Stations.
Westhaven to Akoranga

In January 2020, the Government announced the Northern Pathway, a $5 billion investment in upgrading the state highway network and improving accessibility along the Auckland Harbour Bridge.

Kaipatiki Local Board

11 May 2020

We’d like your feedback on the following things:
Complete the online feedback survey here.

Westhaven connection

Working closely with our partners is important to ensure safe access to this Northern Pathway for all users and action-bound routes.

We have heard comments from concerned parties about the new alignment, which would connect STCP Westhaven to North Shore. Our proposals are designed to be the same as the current cycle path and walking path.

We welcome your feedback on this proposal, which will help us to look for feedback on this new cycle path.

Princes Street connection

We have received a number of comments on the proposed changes to Princes Street. The proposed changes will include the removal of the current roundabout and the addition of a new roundabout at the intersection with Feilding Street. The changes are aimed at improving the flow of traffic along the street.

We also welcome feedback on the new arrangement at the intersection with Rossington Avenue. The proposed changes will include the addition of a new roundabout at the intersection with Rossington Avenue. The changes are aimed at improving the flow of traffic along the street.

Owens Interchange connection

We have also been working to finalise the design at the north end of the interchange at Owens Interchange. The proposed changes will include the addition of a new roundabout at the intersection with Rossington Avenue. The changes are aimed at improving the flow of traffic along the street.

Newcastle Park to Stafford Park

We have also been working to finalise the design at Newcastle Park. The proposed changes will include the addition of a new roundabout at the intersection with Rossington Avenue. The changes are aimed at improving the flow of traffic along the street.

Enquiry:

Please feel free to contact the local board office if you have any questions or comments on the proposed changes.
Next steps

We want to hear your thoughts about these revised designs. You can provide feedback between Monday 30 March 2020 and Sunday 19 April 2020. During this period, we will also continue to have conversations with our partners and stakeholders. Following the consultation period, we will produce an engagement report so that you can see how we have considered your feedback in our plans. We’ll also continue to talk with our partners and the community as the project progresses. The consenting/approval process will start from mid-2020. Subject to approvals, construction is expected to begin in early 2021 and take around two and a half years.

Get involved ONLINE SURVEY

We invite you to share your feedback on the Northern Pathway Westhaven to Akoranga design. Please clearly state which part of the proposed design you are referring to in your feedback to help inform our final designs.

You can complete the online survey to provide your feedback here.

The Northern Pathway project is being delivered in three stages. The Westhaven to Akoranga part of the link will be the second section to be constructed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>NEXT STEPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Westhaven to Akoranga</td>
<td>Design, consenting, and procurement underway. We are seeking feedback now.</td>
<td>Lodge statutory approvals in mid-2020, followed by another round of public engagement. Subject to approvals, construction starts in the first half of 2021 and will be complete in 2023/2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akoranga to Constellation</td>
<td>Business case to identify a preferred route is underway.</td>
<td>During 2020, Waka Kotahi will be speaking with partners, key stakeholders, and the community about this project to help inform the preferred route. The business case is expected to be completed in early 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constellation to Albany</td>
<td>Being constructed as part of the Northern Corridor Improvements project</td>
<td>Construction of the Northern Corridor Improvements project, including the new walking and cycling paths, is underway and is expected to be completed in mid-2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information visit the project webpage or email the team
E: northernpathway@nzta.govt.nz
W: www.nzta.govt.nz/northern-pathway

New Zealand Government
Feedback from Kaipātiki Local Board: Northern Pathway Stage 1 - Westhaven to Akoranga route

22 April 2020

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the Northern Pathway project, and thanks the NZTA team for the work they have done and the opportunity to provide feedback.

The Northern Pathway provides a unique opportunity for Kaipātiki residents to commute or travel recreationally to and from the city by cycling or walking. It will also provide a coastal walkway along the eastern coastline of the Kaipātiki Local Board area, linking into a number of parks and roads and providing new access opportunities into our neighbouring local board areas.

The proposed new route for Stage 1 “Westhaven to Akoranga” is a much improved and more intuitive design than we have previously seen. We do however have some concerns with the proposed Princes Street connection, and also request support for additional connections into the local area that are not currently shown on the consultation information.

The Kaipātiki Local Board requests the ability to provide feedback on any resource consent or hearing associated with the Northern Pathway project.

Please accept the following feedback from the Kaipātiki Local Board:

Princes Street Connection

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports a connection to Northern Pathway at Princes Street as it will enable a link to both the Northcote Ferry Terminal and the Northcote Safe Cycle Route, however we have some serious concerns about the proposed impact to the private properties on the eastern side of the motorway, as outlined in the Princes Street Connection Alternatives Report.

We request that the Northern Pathway route design ensures a viable, useable connection to Princes Street while also having a minimal impact on the neighbouring properties. Acquiring private property for a public work is a very significant step that should be avoided unless absolutely necessary. Adding to this view is the age of some of the dwellings, which although may not be registered with Heritage New Zealand, are nevertheless significant examples of New Zealand heritage stretching back to the 1880s and covered by the Special Character Areas overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

We are also very concerned at the short notice at which the owners and residents of the affected Princes Street properties were informed about the likelihood of compulsorily acquiring their properties, coming at the start of COVID-19 Alert Level 4 and only a few days before public consultation opened on the new design.

The Kaipātiki Local Board requests that support, noise and dust mitigation measures, a complaint escalation process, and unrestricted vehicle access are provided to the properties on the western side of the
motorway (including the properties bounded by Princes Street, Alma Street and Queen Street) during construction of the Northern Pathway and Princes Street connection.

We also request that NZTA work with Auckland Transport on clear linkages for cyclists and pedestrians from the Prince Street connection to both the Northcote Ferry Terminal and the southern end of the Northcote Safe Cycle Route.

Other proposed connections

Sulphur Beach Reserve
The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the revised design for the connection at the northern end of the Sulphur Beach Reserve carpark. It is important that there is unrestricted access and minimal disruption to the carpark, and users of the dinghy lockers and boatramp, both during and after construction. We also support the widening of the stretch of pathway between Sulphur Beach Road and the Tenbyson Street motorway underpass/overbridge to Stafford Park.

Onewa Interchange
The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the revised route incorporating bridges and underpasses to safely navigate north and south of the Onewa Interchange. We also support the revised design for the spur connection to the Onepoto Bridge/Onewa Road. This will be an important walking/cycling link into one of our main arterial roads, Onewa Road, and one of our popular parks, Onepoto Domain.

Esmonde Road Interchange
The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the revised connection at Akoranga Drive consisting of a pedestrian crossing with traffic lights. We look forward to continuing discussions with NZTA on Stage 2 of the Northern Pathway and how that will connect to Stage 1.

Missing connections

The following three connections are not explicitly shown on the proposed route for the Northern Pathway, but are connections that the Kaipātiki Local Board believe are essential to providing complete access to and from the local area.

Stafford Park Connection
The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the new proposed bridge over the motorway to connect to Stafford Park, as it solves a number of safety concerns with the previous dog-leg design, and also provides the potential for a feature bridge or “Welcome to Kaipātiki” gateway.

However the Northern Pathway route is then shown to run along the eastern boundary of the Stafford Park, without a specific connection into the park. The Kaipātiki Local Board requests that NZTA work with the Local Board to develop a formal connection into Stafford Park, which could then continue up Stafford Road to connect into the Northcote Safe Cycleway on Queen Street, providing an alternative route for commuting cyclists that will help to alleviate pressure on Onewa Road.

Heath Reserve Connection and Tuff Crater Reserve Connection
The Kaipātiki Local Board requests that NZTA work with the Local Board to develop formal walking/cycling connections into both Heath Reserve and the north-eastern end of Tuff Crater Reserve.

The Local Board has an existing project to upgrade the “loop” track around the Tuff Crater basin, and connections from both ends of this into the Northern Pathway will help to link the wider Northcote area (including the extensive Kāinga Ora housing redevelopment) into the Northern Pathway, as well as provide an optional recreational loop for users of the Northern Pathway.
The Local Board also has an existing project to renew and reposition the existing playground at Heath Reserve, and that will help to provide a destination or significant stop-off point for users of the Northern Pathway.

**Mature Trees**

The Kaipātiki Local Board requests minimal removal of mature trees along the Northern Pathway route.

We support the proposed design for the “pinch-point” north of the Onewa Interchange, that is designed to avoid the removal of a listed Pōhutukawa tree. However we are concerned about the removal of a mature Pōhutukawa tree, and a number of listed notable trees, and other mature trees as part of the Princes Street connection.

The Kaipātiki Local Board requests that where possible, any mature trees that will be adversely affected by the construction of the Northern Pathway are transplanted within the local area, or where that is not possible, replaced with equivalent 10,000+ litre trees within the local area, in consultation with the Local Board.

**Other matters**

The Kaipātiki Local Board requests the inclusion of:

- public art and traditional Māori motif along the route, including as part of the design of the prominent overbridge to Stafford Park;
- toilet facilities, drinking fountains, seating, shelter, and bicycle repair stations at appropriate locations along the route;
- applicable maps and directional signage along the route, including to Northcote Wharf, town centres, parks, natural and cultural points of interest, public facilities, etc;
- information panels depicting historical information on natural and cultural points of interest.

We look forward to continuing collaboration and ongoing engagement on the Northern Pathway project.

Prepared on behalf of the Kaipātiki Local Board by

John Gillon
Chairperson, Kaipātiki Local Board
Members' Reports

File No.: CP2020/04189

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

1. An opportunity is provided for members to update the Kaipātiki Local Board on the projects and issues they have been involved with since the last meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) note any verbal reports of members.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Jacinda Short - Democracy Advisor - Kaipātiki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of the report

1. An opportunity is provided for the Kaipātiki Local Board Chairperson to update members on recent activities, projects and issues since the last meeting.

Recommendation/s

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) note the chairperson's report.

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Jacinda Short - Democracy Advisor - Kaipātiki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to record the Kaipātiki Local Board workshop held on Wednesday 4 March and Wednesday 11 March 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At the workshop held on Wednesday 4 March 2020, the workshop session was on:
   • Community Facilities
     - Sispara Place walkway
     - Windy Ridge playground renewal
     - Nell Fisher playspace enhancement
   • Engagement session
     - Local Board engagement postcards
     - Engagement HQ
   • Local Board Work Programme workshop three - draft work programmes
3. At the workshop held on Wednesday 11 March 2020, the workshop session was on:
   • Climate Action Framework
   • Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust (KCFT) work programme
   • Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development (ATEED)
     - ATEED - Local Board Engagement Plan, Screen Auckland and Road to 2021
   • New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)
     - Northern Pathway, stage 1 - Westhaven to Akoranga and stage 2 - Akoranga to Constellation Drive.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Kaipātiki Local Board:
a) note the record for the Kaipātiki Local Board workshop held on Wednesday 4 March and Wednesday 11 March 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A41</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Kaipātiki Local Board Workshop Record for Wednesday 4 March 2020</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B41</td>
<td>11 May 2020 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Kaipātiki Local Board Workshop Record for Wednesday 11 March 2020</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Jacinda Short - Democracy Advisor - Kaipātiki</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kaipātiki Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Kaipātiki Local Board held at 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield on 4 March 2020 commencing at 10.11am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: John Gillon
Deputy Chairperson: Danielle Grant JP
Members: Ann Hartley JP
Melanie Kenrick
Cindy Schmidt
Andrew Shaw
Adrian Tyler
From 10.20am
Apologies: Paula Gillon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Setting direction / priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaitlyn White</td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update and provided feedback on Sispara Place walkway, Windy Ridge playground renewal and Neil Fisher playspace enhancement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Renewals Coordinator, Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Heise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager, Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager Area Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport Takapuna and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipātiki, Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement session</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaghan Fisher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Engagement Advisor, Local Board Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td>• The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update on the local board engagement postcards and Engagement HQ.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local Board Work Programme workshop three - draft work programmes

Paul Edwards
Senior Advisor, Local Board Services

Riya Seth
Work Programme Lead, Community Facilities

Mark Purdie
Lead Financial Advisor, Corporate & Local Board Performance

Challen Wilson
Strategic Broker, Arts Community and Events

Zella Morrison
Manager Strategic Broker, Arts Community and Events

Sarah Jones
Manager Area Operations Devonport Takapuna and Kaipātiki, Community Facilities

Michelle Sanderson
PSR Portfolio Manager, Parks Sports and Recreation

Anna Halliwell
Relationship Advisor, Infrastructure and Environmental Services

Tracey Williams
Service and Asset Planning Specialist, Service Strategy and Integration

Ross Moffatt
Principal Planner, Plans and Places

Corrina Meikle
Manager Library Operations, Community Libraries (North/West)

Jill Nerheny
Community Co-ordination and Strategic Partnerships, Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust

Phillipa Carroll
Senior Community Lease Advisor, Community Facilities

- Setting direction / priorities
- The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update and provided direction on the draft Kaipātiki Local Board draft work programme 2020/21.

Workshop concluded at 5.04pm.
Kaipātiki Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Kaipātiki Local Board held at 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield on 11 March 2020, commencing at 10.08am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: John Gillon
Deputy Chairperson: Danielle Grant JP
Members: Paula Gillon (Until 2.29pm)
         Ann Hartley JP
         Melanie Kenrick
         Cindy Schmidt
         Andrew Shaw
         Adrian Tyler

Apologies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate Action Framework</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Setting direction / priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Simpson</td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update on the Climate Action Framework following public consultation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Sustainability and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience Advisor, Auckland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Strategy and Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Mahoney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability and Resilience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisor, Auckland Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy and Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCFT Work Programme</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Setting direction / priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Kaipātiki Local Board received a follow up session on the draft Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust (KCFT) work programme and budget for 2020/21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Local Board Advisor,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Nerheny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Co-ordination and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Partnerships,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipātiki Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Whiu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiawhina, Events and Resources, Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development (ATEED)

**Holly Franklin**  
External Relations Advisor, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development

**Ellie Beard**  
Screen Auckland Relationship Facilitator, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development

**Michael Goudie**  
External Relations Manager, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development

- Keeping informed

- The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update on the ATEED - Local Board engagement plan, Screen Auckland and Road to 2021.

### New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)

**Lydia Haigh**  
Communication and Engagement Lead, New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)

**James Shi**  
Project Manager, New Zealand Transport Agency

**Deepak Rama**  
Consenting / Approvals Planner, New Zealand Transport Agency

**Rhys Millier**  
Project Manager WSP

- Keeping informed

- The Kaipātiki Local Board received an update on the Northern Pathway, stage 1 - Westhaven to Akoranga and stage 2 - Akoranga to Constellation Drive.

---

The workshop concluded at 3.30pm.