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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 18 March 2020, as a true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-
(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To recommend an amendment to the local board’s standing orders in order to provide for attendance of non-members at local board meetings via audio or audio-visual link.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report updates the local board on the temporary arrangements for local board meetings enabled by the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 and provides options for implementing similar arrangements for non-members.

3. The COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 temporarily amends the existing legislative restrictions for local government on remote attendance for elected members and minimum quorum at local board meetings. This now enables meetings to proceed by audio-visual link, changes how meetings can be open to the public and how members of the public receive the agenda and minutes.

4. The current local board standing orders do not provide for non-members, specifically members of the public and Māori, to give input via audio or audio-visual link.

5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) requires that a person other than a member of the local board may participate by means of audio link or audio-visual link if the standing orders of the local authority permit this and if the chair is satisfied that all conditions and requirements in the standing orders are met. (Clause 25A(2), Schedule 7, LGA). Local board standing orders do not currently allow for this.

6. Auckland Council will be using Skype for Business for local board meetings. Attendance by members and non-members (if approved) will be facilitated by phone (audio only) or Skype video (audio-visual) via Skype for Business app.

7. An amendment to Standing Orders to enable electronic attendance can either be reversed at a future date or maintained to support that attendance in the future, where it is available.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) note the temporary amendments pursuant to the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 which allows members to attend meetings by audio-visual link, as of right and despite anything to the contrary in standing orders and to be counted for the purposes of quorum.

b) amend its standing orders by including a new Standing Order 3.3.10 that reads as follows:

Attendance of non-members by electronic link

A person other than a member of the local board may participate in a meeting of the local board by means of audio link or audio-visual link if the person is otherwise approved to participate in accordance with Standing Orders Sections 6 and 7.

c) amend its Standing Order 7.8.5 to provide discretion to the chair of the meeting to decline Public Forum requests via audio or audio-visual link.
Horopaki

Context

COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020


9. The amendments to the LGA and LGOIMA enable local authorities to have meetings by audio-visual link (given the restrictions regarding physical distancing and Alert Level 4) and support the effective operation of those meetings by removing conditions associated with the right to attend meetings by audio or audio-visual link.

10. These amendments only apply while the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice 2020 is in force and will be repealed when that notice expires or is revoked.

Amendments to LGA

11. The amendments to the LGA modify Clause 25A, Schedule 7 so that a member of a local authority has the right to attend any meeting by audio or audio-visual link, regardless of what is provided for in the local authority’s standing orders. It also modifies clause 25A so that a member attending by audio link or audio-visual link is counted for the purposes of quorum.

Amendments to LGOIMA

12. The amendments to LGOIMA include modifying s 47 so that the requirement for meetings of local authorities to be ‘open to the public’ may be met during Alert Level 4 and other restrictions on physical distancing. The amendment redefines ‘open to the public’ to mean that the local authority:

   a) if it is reasonably practicable, enables access to the meeting by broadcasting live the audio or video of the meeting (for example, by broadcasting it on an Internet site); and

   b) does 1 or both of the following as soon as practicable after the meeting ends:

      i. makes an audio or a video recording of the meeting available on its Internet site;

      ii. makes a written summary of the business of the meeting available on its Internet site.

13. This amendment does not anticipate public involvement as part of the meeting itself but ensures the public can access or view meeting proceedings online (either live or after the meeting) or through reviewing the summary.

14. Other amendments to LGOIMA include:

   • Modifying s 46A so that agendas and reports for the meetings may be made available on the local authority’s internet site instead of at offices and other physical locations.

   • Modifying s 51 so that minutes of meetings may be made available on the local authority’s internet site instead of at offices and other physical locations.

   • The changes made by the COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 now supersede some of the provisions in the local board standing orders and the restrictions on physical distancing and from Alert Level 4 now limit the opportunity for public input.

Local Board Standing Orders

15. The LGA requires local authorities to adopt a set of standing orders for the conduct of its meetings and those of its committees (Clause 27, Sch 7). Each local board has adopted its standing orders which have been developed from a template.

16. As a result of the statutory amendments listed in this report, the following standing orders have been temporarily superseded:

   • 3.3.2 Member’s status – quorum and vote
3.3.3 Conditions for attending by electronic link
3.3.4 Request to attend by electronic link
7.3.1 Information to be available to the public
7.3.2 Availability of agendas and reports
8.2.1 Inspection of minute books

17. There are additional provisions in standing orders that may require further consideration if the local board wishes to enable these to continue during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. These relate to input and participation by Māori and the public.

18. Clause 25A(2), Schedule 7 of the LGA requires that a person other than a member of the local authority may participate by audio link or audio-visual link if the standing orders of the local authority permit this and if the chair is satisfied that all conditions and requirements in the standing orders are met.

19. The current standing orders do not currently provide for non-members, if required and approved to do so, to give input by means of audio link or audio-visual link.

20. Other participants at local board meetings include Governing Body members and staff. The LGA and the recent amendment provide the right for any member of a local authority or committee to attend any meeting of a local authority by audio-visual link (unless lawfully excluded). This can be interpreted broadly to extend to meetings where the elected member may not be a decision-maker or be participating in the decision at all. As such, Governing Body members participation may be by audio or audio-visual link and the process for providing them with speaking rights remains under standing orders.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

21. In performing their role, local boards are required to act in accordance with the principles contained in s 14(1) of the LGA including the requirement for the council to conduct its business in an open, transparent and democratically accountable manner and make itself aware of and have regard to the views of all of its communities.

22. While the LGA does not specifically require public input to be provided for at local board meetings, the standing orders approved by the local board reflects the principles in s 14 LGA by providing for public attendance and enabling public input at meetings.

23. In order to continue to provide this opportunity as well as facilitate input by Māori and the public, the standing orders require amending.

Standing Orders Section 6 Māori Input

24. Speaking rights for Māori organisations or their nominees are granted under standing orders for the purpose of enabling Māori input, if any, to any item on the agenda of a meeting.

25. To ensure this right can be exercised during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period, provision needs to be made enabling any input to be given by audio or audio-visual link.

Standing Orders 7.7 Deputations and 7.8 Public Forum

26. The provisions for public input in standing orders are one of the ways that local boards give effect to the requirements of the LGA (s 78 and s 79).

27. The LGA provides that in the course of its decision-making, a local authority must consider the views and preferences of persons likely to be affected by or have an interest in the matter. The LGA does not specify how those views are to be obtained or what form that consideration should take. It does not require a public forum at meetings.

28. However, the LGA gives local authorities discretion as to how to comply with s 78 and what to consider. Through their standing orders, local boards and the Governing Body have
chosen to enable public input through deputations and public forum at their meetings as one way to obtain community views, among other things.

29. To ensure this opportunity can continue to be made available during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period, provision must be made in standing orders to receive this by audio or audio-visual link.

Proposed amendment

30. This report recommends that input from non-members continue to be enabled during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. This requires an amendment to the standing orders.

31. An amendment to standing orders requires a 75% majority vote.

32. A similar amendment has been made by the Governing Body to their standing orders. It is desirable to ensure consistency across the governance arms of Auckland Council. The Governing Body resolutions are as follows:

Resolution GB/2020/33 (n) That the Governing Body amend standing orders by inserting a new Standing Order 3.3.10 as follows:

Attendance of non-members by electronic link A person other than a member of the Governing Body, or the relevant committee, may participate in a meeting of the Governing Body or committee by means of audio link or audio-visual link in emergencies if the person is otherwise approved to participate under these standing orders (such as under Standing Order 6.2 “Local board input” or 7.7 “Public input”.)

Resolution GB/2020/33 (p) That the Governing Body agree to change Auckland Council’s Standing Orders to provide full discretion to the chair of the Emergency Committee to decline public input requests.

33. The local board’s standing orders currently gives discretion to the chair to decline deputations but not public forum requests. Giving discretion to the chair to manage requests for public forum during this time can ensure the requirements of the LGA regarding the provision of the technology requirements, can be supported.

Technology options available

34. Where attendance by audio or audio-visual link is permitted, the LGA requires that the chair of the meeting ensures:

- the technology for the audio link or audio-visual link is available and of suitable quality and;
- that the procedure for use of the technology will ensure that participants can hear and be heard by each other.

35. The chair’s discretion will need to be exercised where the technology and quality cannot be guaranteed.

36. The audio and audio-visual link options available for non-member input are provided by Auckland Council through Skype for Business:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio link only</td>
<td>• No ability to see presentations being shared or to see and be seen by local board members attending the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend Skype for Business meeting via phone.</td>
<td>• Only technical equipment required is a landline or mobile telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio-visual link</td>
<td>• Allows non-member to see both presentations being shared and to see and be seen by the local board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 11

**Option**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video and audio attend Skype for Business meeting</th>
<th>Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>members attending</td>
<td>• Requires a mobile phone or a computer device with an internet connection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. If enabled under standing orders, non-members who wish to give input would need to contact the local board with a request to attend. If approved by the chair, information on how to join the meeting using audio and audio-visual link options above will be sent out to the attendee by staff.

**Summary of meeting**

38. Where it is not reasonably practicable for the public to attend the meeting through a broadcast and/or peruse a recording after it has happened, a summary of the meeting will need to be provided by staff.

39. A summary in this context would be different from the content of agendas, reports and minutes which are all separately required to be publicly available. It should contain the thrust or key points of the discussion or debate at the meeting keeping in mind that its purpose is to provide an alternative to an audio or video recording of the meeting, in a situation where the public is not able to attend and hear this discussion themselves.

40. The ordinary definition of a summary is a brief statement or account of the main points of something. While the appropriate level of detail is likely to vary depending on what is being discussed at meetings, a summary is not expected to include verbatim notes.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

41. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

42. Staff attendance at meetings, while not specifically provided for, is a necessary part of local board meetings and as such is expected to take place using audio-visual link.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

43. This report seeks to amend the local boards standing orders to enable public input and Māori input at meetings.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

44. This report seeks a decision that will ensure Māori input can continue to be given during the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period.

45. This will ensure Māori are not prevented from giving input at a meeting on any matter that may be of interest to them.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

46. The decision to amend standing orders is of a procedural nature and is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.
47. The scaling up of technology to ensure compliance with COVID-19 Response (Urgent Management Measures) Act 2020 is being done at a cost to the council. The costs are not known at this stage and will be factored into operational budgets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

48. The objective of the recent legislative changes is to reduce public health risks and ensure compliance with social distancing measures and other restrictions in New Zealand’s COVID-19 alert levels response plan.

49. While this is not specifically required by legislation, permitting public input by audio or audio-visual link, if practicable, can ensure the local board can receive and consider views of its constituents on decisions that they are making.

50. There is a risk that the audio-visual option would only be taken up by a small number of constituents as this would only be available to those who have the technical devices and internet access. The software that will be used for meetings is Skype for Business which is free to download and use. However, the internet access costs or availability of technology/devices can be a limiting factor for some constituents. Constituents who do not have internet access can participate, if approved, by phone.

51. The report is seeking discretion for the local board chair to decline public forum requests. This delegation should be exercised with caution so as to not undermine the intention of standing orders (which currently provided some limited grounds to decline public input). There will be instances where it is reasonable to decline (noting these examples are not intended to be exhaustive), such as:
   - where the technology cannot be provided or quality cannot be assured;
   - a need to manage time allocations for the agenda;
   - the matter is neither urgent nor the subject of a decision to be made at the meeting;
   - the request is offensive, repetitious or vexatious.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

52. If approved, the amendments to standing orders can, if the local board chooses, continue beyond the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period. Enabling these changes gives maximum flexibility for attendance of non-members at future meetings, including those with underlying health issues or compromised immune systems that may need to take extra precaution even after the Epidemic Preparedness (COVID-19) Notice period has ended.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Local board feedback for inclusion in Auckland Council submissions

File No.: CP2020/04122

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To recommend that the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board delegate authority to the local board chair to submit the local board’s formal views for inclusion in Auckland Council submissions to Central Government and other councils, where this feedback is due before a local board meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Central Government (and other councils) seek feedback through public consultation on bills, inquiries and other key matters. The consultation timeframes vary between four and eight weeks.

3. The Governing Body is responsible for making official submissions to Central Government on most matters except for submissions to government on legislation where it specifically relates to a local board area. Where the Governing Body decides to make an official submission on a Central Government matter, staff work to develop a draft submission for consideration by the Governing Body and will call for local board input so it can be incorporated. The Auckland Council submission needs to be approved within the consultation timeframes set by Central Government.

4. Local board input is required to be approved by the local board. Where local boards are unable to make these decisions at a local board meeting due to the constrained timeframes, another mechanism is required. In situations where local boards prefer not to use the urgent decision process, local boards sometimes provide informal feedback that is endorsed at the next business meeting. This is not considered best practice because the local board input can be challenged or changed at ratification or approval stage, which leads to reputational risk for the council.

5. In situations where timeframes don’t allow reporting to formal business meetings, staff recommend that the local board either uses the urgent decision process or delegates authority to the chair to approve and submit the local board’s input into Auckland Council submissions. Both options provide an efficient way to ensure that local board formal input is obtained when external parties set submission deadlines that don’t allow formal input to be obtained from a local board business meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) delegate authority to the chair to approve and submit the local board’s input into Auckland Council submissions on formal consultation from government departments, parliament, select committees and other councils.

b) note that the local board can continue to use its urgent decision process to approve and submit the local board’s input into Auckland Council submissions on formal consultation from government departments, parliament, select committees and other councils, if the chair chooses not to exercise the delegation sought in recommendation (a).
c) note that this delegation will only be exercised where the timeframes do not allow for local board input to be considered and approved at a local board meeting.

d) note all local input approved and submitted for inclusion in an Auckland Council submission is to be included on the next local board meeting agenda for the public record.

Horopaki
Context

6. Government departments, parliament, select committees and other councils seek feedback on issues using both formal and informal consultation opportunities. Auckland Council has an ongoing opportunity to provide advocacy on public policy matters and this is often done by making a public submission. Submissions can be provided on other council’s plans, on policy and legislative reviews or on an agency’s proposed strategy.

7. Council submissions are the formal responses to the public consultation opportunities that are open to everyone, including all Aucklanders.

8. Under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 the Governing Body must consider any views and preferences expressed by a local board, where a Governing Body decision affects or may affect the responsibilities or operation of the local board or the well-being of communities within its local board area.

9. Under the current allocation of decision-making responsibility, the Governing Body is allocated decision-making responsibility for “submissions to government on legislation including official submissions of Auckland Council incorporating local board views”. Local boards are allocated decision-making for “submissions to government on legislation where it specifically relates to that local board area only”.

10. Central Government agencies set the deadlines for submissions which are generally between four to eight weeks. These timeframes do not usually allow for formal reporting to local boards to input into the council submission. In situations where local boards prefer not to use the urgent decision process, local boards can sometimes provide informal feedback that is endorsed at the next business meeting. This is not considered best practice because the local board input can be challenged or changed at ratification or approval stage, which leads to reputational risk for council.

11. Providing a delegation for Central Government submissions provides local boards with another option to give formal local views within prescribed timeframes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. There are five options available to local boards to approve their formal views and input on submissions to Central Government. Where this input is sought within a time constrained process and is due before a meeting of the local board, only four of these options will be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Local board input</td>
<td>• Decision is made and adopted in a public meeting (transparency of decision making).&lt;br&gt;• All local board members have the opportunity to make the formal decision.</td>
<td>• Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines often don’t align with external agency deadlines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved at a business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Local board input</td>
<td>• Provides a mechanism for local</td>
<td>• Extraordinary meeting needs to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| approved at an extraordinary meeting of the local board                | boards to provide their formal views where submission deadlines do not align with local board meeting schedules.  
  • Decision is made and adopted in a public meeting (transparency of decision making).  
  • All local board members have the opportunity to make the formal decision. | called by a resolution (requires anticipation by the local board) or requisition in writing delivered to the Chief Executive. The process usually requires a minimum of three clear working days.  
  • There are additional costs incurred to run an unscheduled meeting.  
  • It may be difficult to schedule a time when enough local board members can attend to achieve a quorum. |
| 3. Local board input approved using urgent decision mechanism (staff recommend this option) | • It provides a mechanism for local boards to provide their formal views where submission deadlines do not align with local board meeting schedules.  
  • Local board input can be submitted once the Chair, Deputy Chair and Relationship Manager have received the report providing the local board views and input.  
  • The urgent decision needs the sign-off from two local board members (i.e. the Chair and Deputy Chair), rather than just one. | • The decision is not made in a public meeting. It may be perceived as non-transparent decision-making because it is not made by the full local board.  
  • Chair and deputy may not have time to properly consult and ascertain view of the full local board. |
| 4. Local board input approved by the chair who has been delegated authority from the local board (staff recommend this option where local boards choose not to use the urgent decision process) | • It provides a mechanism for local boards to provide their formal views where submission deadlines do not align with local board meeting schedules and local boards don’t want to use the urgent decision process.  
  • Local board input can be submitted as soon as possible after the local board views and input have been collated and discussed by the local board members. | • Decision is not made in a public meeting. It may be perceived as non-transparent decision-making because it is not made by the full local board.  
  • The chair who has the delegated authority may not have time to properly consult and ascertain views of the full local board. |
| 5. Local board input submitted and ratified at a later date             | • Local board informal input can be submitted as soon as possible after the local board views and input have been collated and discussed by the local board members. | • Local board input submitted is considered to be the informal views of the local board until they are approved.  
  • Local board input can be challenged or changed at ratification or approval stage.  
  • Decision to ratify informal views, even if made in a public meeting, is unable to be changed in the council submission (can be perceived as non-transparent decision-making).  
  • Inclusion of informal views in the Auckland Council submission will be at the discretion of the Governing Body. These may be included with caveats noting the views have not been ratified by the local board.  
  • If the local board changes its views, |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>there is a reputational risk for the council.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Options one, two and three are already available to local boards and can be utilised as required and appropriate. Option one should always be used where timeframes allow reporting. Option four requires a delegation in order for a local board to utilise this mechanism and should be used only when timeframes don’t allow reporting to a business meeting.

14. Local boards who wish to utilise option four are requested to delegate to the chair as this fits within the leadership role of the chair and they are more likely to be available because the chair is a full-time role. The role of this delegated member will be to attest that the approved and submitted input constitutes the views of the local board. The input should then be published with the agenda of the next formal business meeting of the local board to provide transparency. The delegate may choose not to exercise their delegation if the matter is of a sensitive nature and is something that the full board should consider at a business meeting.

15. Each local board will be in charge of its own process for considering and developing their local board input that will be approved by the delegated member. This can include discussions at workshops, developing ideas in a small working group or allocating it to an individual member to draft.

16. Where local boards do not wish to delegate the views to the chair, the recommended option is to use the urgent decision mechanism (where deadlines don’t align with local board reporting timeframes). The mechanism requires a staff report and the decision to be executed by three people (the Chair, Deputy Chair and the Relationship Manager). Local board input can be submitted within one to two days after the local board views and input have been collated and discussed by the local board members.

17. Option five is not considered best practice and local boards are strongly discouraged from using this.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

18. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

19. This report proposes a delegation to ensure that staff can undertake the preparation of submissions in a timely manner, while receiving formal local board input on matters that are of local board importance.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

20. This report seeks to establish a specific delegation for the local board chair.

21. Any local board member who is delegated responsibilities should ensure that they represent the wider local board views and preferences on each matter before them.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

22. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have a positive or negative impact for Māori.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
23. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
24. If local boards choose to delegate to provide their formal views on Auckland Council submissions, there is a risk that this mechanism is perceived as non-transparent decision-making because it is not made by the full local board. This can be mitigated by publishing the submitted local board input on the next agenda.

25. There is also a risk that the chair who has the delegated authority may not have time to properly consult and ascertain views of the full local board. This can be mitigated by encouraging the local board to collectively discuss and agree their input before it is submitted by the member who has been delegated authority.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
26. On those occasions where it is required, the delegation will be used to approve and submit the local board’s input into Auckland Council submissions on formal consultation from government departments, parliament, select committees and other councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Carol Stewart - Senior Policy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers   | Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services  
|               | Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager, Mangere-Otahuhu and Otara-Papatoetoe Local Boards |
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. A period of time (10 Minutes) has been set aside for the Manukau Ward Councillors to have an opportunity to update the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the verbal reports from Cr Alf Filipaina and Cr Efeso Collins.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager, Mangere-Otahuhu and Otara-Papatoetoe Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairpersons Report and Announcements

File No.: CP2020/05224

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. This item gives the Chairperson an opportunity to update the local board on any announcements and for the local board to receive the Chairperson’s written report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal update and written report of the local board Chair.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager, Mangere-Ohu and Otara-Papatoetoe Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Purpose of the report

1. This item allows the local board members an opportunity to present verbal and written updates on their lead roles, such as relevant actions, appointments and meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Area</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Community and Events (including libraries)</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sport and Recreation and Community Facilities</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local planning, housing, and heritage – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>1st Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td>Harry Fatu Toleafoa</td>
<td>1st Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth, Children, Seniors and Uniquely Abled</td>
<td>Anae Dr Neru Leavasa</td>
<td>1st Harry Fatu Toleafoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner Consents (excluding filming)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>2nd Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner Consents Filming</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events (receive staff notifications of areas that may involve reputational, financial, performance or political risk)</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Licences Hearings</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Consent (proceed as a non-notified, limited notified or fully notified application)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (until 27/4/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Consents (notified hearings)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (from 28/4/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Plan Working Group</td>
<td>MOLB All board members OPLB Apulu Reece Autagava, Dawn Trenberth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGNZ (Local Government New Zealand)</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Deputy Chairperson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Item 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation / Initiative</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Forum for Kohuora Corrections Facility</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Bridge BID</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Town Centre BID</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere East Village BID</td>
<td>Tauanu‘u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otahuhu Business Association</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harbour Business Association BID</td>
<td>Harry Fatu Toleafoa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Airport Community Trust for Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group</td>
<td>Tauanu‘u Nanai Nick Bakulich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Pukaki Tapu O Poutukeka Historic Reserve &amp; Associated Lands Co-Management Committee</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambury Park Centre</td>
<td>Anae Dr Neru Leavasa</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Trust</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Connections South Local Governance Group (3 members)</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo, Harry Fatu Toleafoa, Anae Dr Neru Leavasa</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori input into local board decision-making political steering group</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtāhuhu Portage Project Steering Group</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Southern Initiative (TSI) Steering Group</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ngā tūtohunga

**Recommendation/s**

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal and written reports from local board members.

### Ngā tāpirihanga

**Attachments**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Member O’Brien report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ngā kaihaina

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager, Mangere-Otahuhu and Otara-Papatoetoe Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
O’Brien Board Member Report

Roles assigned by the local board
- Arts, Community and Events (including libraries) (Lead)
- Parks, Sport and Recreation and Community Facilities (Alternate)
- Economic development (Alternate)
- Youth, Children, Seniors and Uniquely Abled (Alternate)
- Delegated roles: Landowner consents for filming; Events; Alternate to Youth Connections South
- Appointments to external organisations: Ōtāhuhu Business Association Committee; Alternate to Ambury Park Centre Committee

General / assigned roles update
- Civic events: ANZAC DAY Civic Services and Citizenship Ceremonies cancelled due to COVID-19 restrictions
- Libraries closed from 20 March, to be reviewed 11 May. All loans extended to 29 May; no returns please
- Community events, cancelled or postponed; no filming or event applications in process
- Youth Connections strategic discussions

Meetings / events attended, selected March – April 2020
- “Safe as Houses” Auckland Arts Festival exhibition opening, Ōtāhuhu
- Southwest Gateway Workshop
- AT “Sprint” findings briefing
- Hon Salesa / Lotu Fuli International Women’s Day 2020 afternoon tea – MC
- Ambury Park Centre carboot sale fundraiser
- HYS event - Fono, Annual Budget and CCO Review / Thriving Communities Refresh, Te Puke Ōtara
- Auckland Writers Festival programme launch
- Manukau UFC – 2020 season opening
- Norana Park Esplanade clean-up
- Māngere East Garden Club 1st birthday celebration
- Ōtāhuhu Leopard v Māngere East Hawks pre-season match
- Draft MOLB Plan – Economic Development Meeting [skype]
- Council’s Community Empowerment Unit Youth work programme
- Council’s ACE work programme workshop
- Creative Communities South Grants Assessment Panel [Zoom]
- Draft MOLB Plan – Māori and Pasifika [Skype]
- Draft MOLB Plan – Thriving and belonging in safe and healthy communities [Skype]
- Ōtāhuhu Rotary [Zoom] x 3
- Ōtāhuhu Business Association [Zoom] x 2
- Ambury Park Centre Committee [Zoom]
- Youth Connections – LGG meeting [Skype]
- ANZAC Day – Stand at Dawn
- MOLB-OPLB Area Plan Review [skype]
- Ōtāhuhu Network Meeting [Zoom]

Disclosures
- N/A
Acknowledgements (if not cited by other members) March–April

- Essential workers over the last 2 months
- All those staffing our Māngere CBTC at risk to themselves
- Auckland Council librarians who have been making support calls to the elderly across the region
- All staff assisting with the Auckland Council foodbank and Emergency Management administration and comms
- The many community people supporting vulnerable families and seniors in our community under COVID-19 Level 4 restrictions, including but not limited to, Ngā Whare Waatea Marae, Māngere Connect, Ōtāhuhu Budgeting Service, Ōtāhuhu Pātaka Kai, Ōtāhuhu and Turehou Māori Wardens, Māngere Budgeting Service, South Auckland Christian Foodbank as well as the regional organisations such as Auckland City Mission and Salvation Army
- Māngere Arts Cente / Ngā Tohu o Uenuku and the artist responsible for the current exhibitions for putting them online for everyone to enjoy
- 275 Times for coming our of retirement to connect and inform the community

Recommendation

That this report be received.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020

File No.: CP2020/05217

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board to support the applicants of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s Local Board Plan 2017 sets out the local board’s direction and guides their decisions on supporting local initiatives and activities.
3. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020 initiative #341 work programme, deliver’s the local board plan’s outcome - A place where everyone thrives and belongs. And highlighting the local board’s commitment to helping young people to contribute, prosper and thrive in their areas of interests.
4. There were 26 applications received for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020, requesting $31,692.50. All 26 applications were presented and considered by the local board at a workshop on the 20 April 2020.
5. The applicants total funding request exceeded the $10,000 allocated towards this initiative. Auckland Council officers will seek additional funding through this report to support this initiative. The local board were impressed with the innovative ideas showcased within the applications and agreed to provide further support.
6. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020 was extensively advertised through the council grants webpage, local board webpages, local board e-newsletters, Facebook pages, council publications, radio, and community networks.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) agree to fund, part-fund or decline the following applications for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020 listed in table 1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant name and application number</th>
<th>Purpose of funding</th>
<th>Approve/Grant Decline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teava MYOG-102</td>
<td>Towards purchasing a laptop that will help further her studies during COVID-19 as well as teach online Cook Island dancing for her students within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu area.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ane MOYG - 105</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in her studies and communicate with her peers and youth group online as part of an online peer support group</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimet’r’e MYOG - 106</td>
<td>To support in the development of his own social enterprise project that will help him to produce beats and music as part of a small start up business that is a creative</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>MYOG -</td>
<td>Financial Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fereni</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Vehicle for youth to express everyday life through music. Finances are specifically to assist in paying to fix his MacBook Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sosefina</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Financial assistance to pay for part of her studies in a Bachelor of Science, majoring in geography that will reduce financial burden once her studies are completed in two years’ time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katelyn</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>To assist in educational activity fees for a leadership programme run by PASS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacey</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>To assist financially to purchase a laptop and course books to assist in his studies in a Bachelor of Science.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in completing his studies in a Bachelor of Education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lila</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Financial assistance to attend a leadership programme that motivates high performance run by AUT in September 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aigagalefili</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Financial assistance to represent New Zealand at the Global Young Leaders Conference held by Envision in Washington D.C and New York in June 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rokalani</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop, venue hire and travel costs to develop a creative piece for the youth within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. This event is to run post-COVID 19 to uplift the morale of youth within the local board area. Event will not take place until end of 2020 or beginning of 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leioia</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Financial assistance to run a homework centre for Pasifika female students between the ages of 10-14 years old within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. Financial assistance will go towards the following: - Stationary costs (including the printing of materials) - Refreshments for fortnightly sessions - Travel costs - Team building activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph</td>
<td></td>
<td>Purchasing laptop for completing online assessments from home. Tools to assist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOG - 68</td>
<td></td>
<td>in his everyday learning as he works towards being a builder as well as clothes when going for an interview or attending conferences with potential employers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fa'asolo</td>
<td>MYOG - 69</td>
<td>Running 10 sessions over a fortnightly/monthly basis that will first take place online during lockdown and then resume face to face in September once the lockdown levels have been lifted for a group of 10-15 young Pasifika people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen</td>
<td>MYOG - 72</td>
<td>To purchase a laptop that will assist in her studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>MYOG - 77</td>
<td>Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampoline Club situated in Mangere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liam</td>
<td>MYOG - 79</td>
<td>Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampoline Club situated in Mangere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luai</td>
<td>MYOG - 83</td>
<td>Financial assistance to purchase laptop for studies as he does not have one at present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joy</td>
<td>MYOG - 84</td>
<td>Financial assistance in the support of the development of Joy’s own social enterprise project for Māori and Pasifika kids (aged 5 to 18 years) in South Auckland. Finances are specifically for Logo, Brochure &amp; Website Design Pasifika Youth Social Enterprise Mentoring x 10 hrs (Online and costs for administration) Other promotional material (business cards &amp; banner) Camera (for interviews and programmes) Stationery &amp; Printing costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) approve an additional $9,000 from the Community Grants budget, towards the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020.

**Horopaki Context**

7. On 19 June 2019, the local board allocated $10,000 to fund the 2020 Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships that encouraged future development of successful applicants. The youth scholarships have been funded by the local board for three consecutive years.

8. The purpose of these youth scholarships is noted in the local boards strong commitment to helping young people to contribute, prosper and thrive. This supports the Local Board Plan 2019/2020 of a place where people thrive and belong.
9. Scholarship dates for applicants to apply were between 17 February 2020 to 27 March 2020 and the grant value was $0 to $1000. The criteria for applicants is as follows:
   - Be aged between 13 and 24
   - Live or attend school and/or have work within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area
   - Be a New Zealand citizen or permanent resident
   - Include a letter from school principal, teacher, tutor, community leader or employer in support of application.

10. Scholarships were to fund any one of the following:
   - Learning and development opportunities to build on leadership and experience within the community
   - Supporting the development of a social enterprise project
   - Support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media
   - Run an event or programme in response to community interest and need.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

11. Staff have assessed the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020 against the funding criteria. A total of 26 applications were received, this is the highest number of applications that this local board have received since they started to fund youth scholarships.

12. The applications were submitted prior the COVID-19 lockdown. A phone call was made to each applicant to assess if applications were still valid for scholarships. There are three tables relating to the successful, declined and withdrawn applications.

13. Staff recommend the following applicants are approved for funding as they show alignment to the funding criteria and will not be significantly impacted by Covid-19 circumstances, as shown in table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant name and application number</th>
<th>Ethnic background</th>
<th>Requested funding amount</th>
<th>Purpose of funding</th>
<th>Recommended funding amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teava MYOG-102</td>
<td>Cook Island Māori</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Towards purchasing a laptop that will help further her studies during COVID-19 as well as teach online Cook Island dancing for her students within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu area.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ane MOYG - 105</td>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in her studies and communicate with her peers and youth group online as part of an online peer support group</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimetr’e</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>To support in the development of his own</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYOG - 106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social enterprise project that will help him to produce beats and music as part of a small start-up business that is a creative vehicle for youth to express everyday life through music. Finances are specifically to assist in paying to fix his MacBook Pro.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fereni</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Financial assistance to pay for part of her studies in a Bachelor of Science, majoring in geography that will reduce financial burden once her studies are completed in two years’ time.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sosefina</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>To assist in educational activity fees for a leadership programme run by PASS.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katelyn</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>To assist in educational activity fees for a leadership programme run by PASS.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacey</td>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>To assist financially to purchase a laptop and course books to assist in his studies in a Bachelor of Science.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in completing his studies in a Bachelor of Education.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lila</td>
<td>Other: Middle Eastern</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Financial assistance to attend a leadership programme that motivates high performance run by AUT in September 2020.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aigagalefili</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Financial assistance to represent New Zealand at the Global Young Leaders Conference held by Envision in Washington D.C and New York in June 2021</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rokalani</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop, venue hire and travel costs to develop a creative piece for the youth within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Financial Assistance Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Leoia</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00 - To run a homework centre for Pasifika female students between the ages of 10-14 years old within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. Financial assistance will go towards the following: - Stationary costs (including the printing of materials) - Refreshments for fortnightly sessions - Travel costs - Team building activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph</td>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00 - Purchasing a laptop for completing online assessments from home. Tools to assist in his everyday learning as he works towards being a builder as well as clothes when going for an interview or attending conferences with potential employers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fa'asolo</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00 - Running 10 sessions over a fortnightly/monthly basis that will first take place online during lockdown and then resume face to face in September once the lockdown levels have been lifted for a group of 10-15 young Pasifika people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helen</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00 - To purchase a laptop that will assist in her studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>NZ European, Māori</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00 - Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampoline Club situated in Mangere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liam</td>
<td>NZ European, Māori</td>
<td>$842.50</td>
<td>$842.50 - Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampoline Club situated in Mangere.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant name and application number</th>
<th>Ethnic background</th>
<th>Requested funding amount</th>
<th>Reason for declining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potiki MYOG - 82</td>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Did not meet the eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora MYOG - 80</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>Did not meet the eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasifine MYOG - 92</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Did not meet the eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faataape MYOG - 67</td>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Did not meet the eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Staff recommend the following applicants are declined for funding as they do not meet the eligibility criteria, as shown in table 2:

Table 2: Applications recommended to be declined

15. The following applicants withdrew their applications due to requesting funding for travel that was cancelled due to it occurring within the duration of the lock down period for COVID-19, as shown in table 3:
Table 3: Applications that have been withdrawn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant name and application number</th>
<th>Ethnic background</th>
<th>Requested funding amount</th>
<th>Application status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhihana MYOG - 17</td>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy MYOG-18</td>
<td>Other: South African</td>
<td>$2,850.00</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodian MYOG - 78</td>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

16. In this time of uncertainty for communities, the applications that have been submitted have demonstrated how resilient and creative youth are during the COVID-19 lockdown.

17. Most of the funding requested relates to education and innovative, connectivity and relationship building within the communities.

18. Overseas trips were either cancelled or put on hold during this time due to COVID-19.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

19. Grants are assessed and administered by the Community Empowerment Unit.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

20. Benefits of scholarships being funded by local board support the Local Board Plan 2019/2020 of Māngere-Otāhuhu being a place where people thrive and belong.

21. Submitted applications reflected the ethnic background of the Māngere-Otāhuhu Local Board area:
   - 16 applicants identified as Samoan
   - 3 applicants identified as Māori
   - 2 applicants identified as Tongan
   - 2 applicants identified as NZ/European
   - 2 applicants identified as Other (South African and Middle Eastern)
   - 1 applicant identified as Cook Island/Māori

22. Geographically applicants, submission were made from the following areas:
   - 15 applicants from Māngere
   - 6 applicants from Otāhuhu
   - 5 applicants from outside of the Māngere-Otāhuhu area.
Item 16

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

23. Three of the applicants identify as Māori and of these:

- One application was withdrawn due to travelling plans being cancelled. However, the applicant was encouraged to apply for the next round of youth grants for 2020/2021.
- One application was declined due living outside of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area.
- One application was successful as this was in relation to financial assistance for his education to progress within their chosen field in a Bachelor of Science.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

24. The aim of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Youth Scholarships 2020 is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcome identified in the local board plan. All applications have been assessed utilizing scholarships criteria. The eligibility of each application is identified in the report recommendations.

25. The applicants requested total amount exceeds the allocated budget for this initiative. This report seeks additional funds to deliver the successful applications in this funding round.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

26. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, overseas travel is considered a risk. Contact was made with each candidate intending to travel overseas to confirm postponed dates or cancellations.

27. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic face to face events are considered a risk with current restrictions on gatherings. Contact was made with each candidate intending to attend an event to determine their eligibility. Applicants advised projects had been moved to an online platform and able to continue.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

28. The funding agreements will be administered by council staff and declined applicants will be sent letters.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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## Mangere-Otahuhu Youth Scholarships 2020

Total annual budget: $10,000
Total amount transferred from the Community Grants Workplan - 3d1: $5,000
Annual budget remaining: $19,000

### Current grant round - amount requested
$31,632.50

### Current grant round - recommended allocation
$19,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Basic eligibility check list</th>
<th>Recommended allocation</th>
<th>Workshop allocation</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teava</td>
<td>MOYD-163</td>
<td>Towards purchasing a laptop that will help further her studies during COVID-19 as well as teach online Cook Island dancing for her students within the Mangere-Otahuhu area.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible&lt;br&gt;Age: 17&lt;br&gt;Lives in Mangere &amp; attends a school in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board area.&lt;br&gt;First time applicant.&lt;br&gt;Meets scholarship objectives: - learning and development opportunities to build on your own leadership and experience within your community - supporting the development of your own social enterprise project</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ane</td>
<td>MOYG - 105</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in her studies and also communicate with her peers and youth group online as part of an online peer support group</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible&lt;br&gt;Age: 17&lt;br&gt;Lives in Mangere &amp; attends a school in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board area.&lt;br&gt;First time applicant.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davide’s</td>
<td>MOYG - 106</td>
<td>To support the development of his own social enterprise project that will help him to produce beats and music as part of a small start up business that is a creative vehicle for Youth to express everyday life through music. Finances are specifically to assist in paying for his MacBook Pro.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible&lt;br&gt;Age: 23&lt;br&gt;Lives in Otahuhu&lt;br&gt;First time applicant.&lt;br&gt;Meets scholarship objectives: - learning and development opportunities to build on your own leadership and experience within your community</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhihana</td>
<td>MOYG - 17</td>
<td>To assist in travel expenses to represent Auckland Girls Grammar at the Queen Liliuokalani Race in Hawaii September 2020</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>Eligible&lt;br&gt;Age: 14&lt;br&gt;Lives in Favona&lt;br&gt;First time applicant.&lt;br&gt;Meets scholarship objectives: - learning and development opportunities to build on your own leadership and experience within your community - support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Application Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>MYOG-18</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy</td>
<td>MYOG-18</td>
<td>To assist in overseas studying for six months in relation to a Discipleship Training Programme that is part of a programme led by a faith-based organization called Youth With A Mission (YWAM)</td>
<td>$2,850.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Application Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fereni</td>
<td>MYOG-19</td>
<td>Financial assistance to pay for part of her studies in a Bachelor of Science, majoring in geography that will reduce financial burden once her studies is completed in two years time.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sosefina</td>
<td>MYOG-21</td>
<td>To assist in educational activity fees for a leadership programme run by PASS.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katelyn</td>
<td>MYOG-27</td>
<td>To assist in educational activity fees for a leadership programme run by PASS.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacey</td>
<td>MYOG-35</td>
<td>To assist financially to purchase a laptop and course books to assist in his studies in a Bachelor of Science</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent</td>
<td>MYOG-37</td>
<td>Purchasing a laptop to assist in completing his studies</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lila
MYO0 - 40
Financial assistance to attend a leadership programme that motivates High Performance run by AUT in September 2020
$1,000.00
Eligible
Age: 16
Lives in Mangere
First time applicant.
Meets scholarship objectives:
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

Aigapaleli
MYO0 - 59
Financial assistance to represent New Zealand at the Global Young Leaders Conference held by Envision in Washington D.C and New York in June 2021
$1,000.00
Eligible
Age: 17
Lives in Papatoetoe, but has a heavy involvement and affiliation to the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.
First time applicant.
Meets scholarship objectives:
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

Rokatani
MYO0 - 61
Purchasing a laptop, venue hire and travel costs to develop a creative piece for the youth within the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. This event is to run post-COVID 19 to uplift the morale of youth within the local board area. Event will not take place until end of 2020 or beginning of 2021
$1,000.00
Eligible
Age: 22
Lives in Ōtāhuhu
First time applicant.
Meets scholarship objectives:
- run an event or programme in response to community interest and need.

Leola
MYO0 - 64
Financial assistance to run a homework centre for Pasifika female students between the ages of 10-14 years old within the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. Financial assistance will go towards the following:
- Stationery costs (pencils, pens, notebooks)
- Refreshments for (fortnightly) sessions
- Travel costs (to assist girls to travel to venue)
- Team building activities
$1,000.00
Eligible
Age: 22
Lives in Mangere
First time applicant.
Meets scholarship objectives:
- run an event or programme in response to community interest and need.

Fasttape
MYO0 - 67
Purchase tools for tertiary studies and laptop for studies as well as travel costs.
$1,000.00
Eligible
Age: 17
Lives in Mangere East
First time applicant.
Meets scholarship objectives:
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

Attachment A
Item 16
**Joseph**  
**MYOG - 68**  
Purchasing laptop for completing online assessments from home. Tools to assist in his everyday learning as he works towards becoming a builder as well as clothing when going for an interview or attending conferences with potential employers.  
Eligible  
Age: 17  
Lives in Mangere East  
First time applicant  
Meets scholarship objectives:  
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

**Fe'asolo**  
**MYOG - 69**  
Running 10 sessions over a fortnightly/monthly basis that will first take place online during lockdown and then resume face to face in September once the lockdown levels have been lifted for a group of 10-15 young Pasifika people.  
Eligible  
Age: 21  
Lives in Mangere East  
First time applicant  
Meets scholarship objectives:  
- run an event or programme in response to community interest and need.

**Helen**  
**MYOG - 72**  
Purchasing a laptop that will assist in her studies  
Eligible  
Age: 16  
Lives in Otautahi  
First time applicant  
Meets scholarship objectives:  
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

**Blake**  
**MYOG - 77**  
Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampoline Club situated in Mangere.  
Eligible  
Age: 17  
Lives in Mangere Bridge  
First time applicant  
Meets scholarship objectives:  
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.

**Dodan**  
**MYOG - 78**  
Financial assistance towards flights, accommodation and uniform costs as an opportunity to showcase the Samoan culture in Europe, specifically in Fribourg, Switzerland and Zagreb, Croatia from August 14 – September 1, 2020. This is with the Samoan Dance Group A'okae'a (SDGA).  
Eligible  
Age: 22  
Lives in Mangere  
First time applicant  
Meets scholarship objectives:  
- support to attend conferences, programmes or training for personal development, such as climate change, leadership, social innovation, wellness, arts and culture, trade, sports, and media.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>MYOG - 79</th>
<th>Financial assistance for sports activities and club fees within the Trampolining Club situated in Mangere.</th>
<th>$842.50</th>
<th>$842.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nore</td>
<td>MYOG - 83</td>
<td>Financial assistance for five female youth studying and living in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu local board area to attend the 'To Dress in Confidence (DIC) wellness programme this is run by Pasifika Women'</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potiki</td>
<td>MYOG - 82</td>
<td>Financial Assistance for Travel Cost, Venue Hire PA System Hire and Food for a production called '1 AM' that addresses youth issues within the local board area.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luati</td>
<td>MYOG - 83</td>
<td>Financial assistance to purchase laptop for studies as he does not have one at present</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay</td>
<td>MYOG - 84</td>
<td>Financial assistance in the support of the development of Jay's own social enterprise project for Maori and Pasifika kids (aged 5 to 18 years) in South Auckland. Features are specifically for Logo, Brochure &amp; Website Design Pasifika Youth Social Enterprise Mentoring x 10 hrs (Online and costs for administration) Other promotional materials (business cards &amp; banner) Camera (for kids interviews and programmes) Stationary &amp; Printing costs</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testline</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Application declined as did not meet the eligibility criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY00 - 92</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>Age: 24</td>
<td>Lives in Mangere</td>
<td>First time applicant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meets scholarship objectives:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>run an event or programme in response to community interest and need.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial assistance for a community church youth project. Originally wanted run a homework centre/educational training project for students from intermediate to tertiary studies including trades. Wanted to be the broker for kids and community providers. Due to COVID-19, Testline will now be creating an online platform for between young people and local providers as well as create a package that caters to individuals educational interest. The great potential of foundation is where Tasi draws this idea from as she was an educational mentor and wanted to transfer these skills into her community to assist with what is currently happening. Tasi saw that there was a gap for young people who did not have guidance with journeying from high school to tertiary studies.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek the local board’s views on the acquisition of land for open space and two proposed land exchanges in Māngere East and West.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local board’s views are sought to inform decision-making about the proposed acquisition of approximately 3336m² of land for open space and two proposed land exchanges under section 15 of the Reserves Act 1977.
3. Staff recommend that the local board supports:
   • acquisition of approximately 2451m² of land on Molesworth Street for a neighbourhood park
   • acquisition of 855m² of land on Ventura Street for connection/linkage open space that will connect to the above neighbourhood park and Tararata Creek
   • notification of a proposed exchange of 101m² reserve land on Watchfield Close, which is used as a walkway to Moyle Park for a new walkway of 336m² on Watchfield Close, including capital investment by Kāinga Ora in the development of the new walkway
   • notification of a proposed exchange of Mayflower Park (1619m²) for a new park (1620m²), including capital investment by Kāinga Ora, up to a maximum of $290,000 (excluding GST), in the development of the new park.
4. The acquisition of a neighbourhood park and connection/linkage open space have been assessed against council policy and are deemed to be high priorities.
5. The two proposed land exchanges are also high priorities because they will improve the amenity values and functionality of the existing reserves. The two land exchanges are supported by capital investment by Kāinga Ora.
6. There is a low legal risk to council if it manages the land exchanges in accordance with section 15(2) of the Reserves Act 1977. Key aspects of this process include public and mana whenua consultation.
7. Kāinga Ora will manage delivery risk and any risk associated with their redevelopment projects in Māngere East and West.
8. The local board’s views on the open space acquisitions and proposed land exchanges will be reported to the Parks, Arts, Culture and Environment Committee on 9 April 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) support the acquisition of approximately 2451m² of land on Molesworth Street (lots 111 DP 6159, 110 DP 65159 and 109 DP 65159) for a neighbourhood park.
b) support the acquisition of 855m$^2$ of land on Ventura Street (Lot 29 DP 57785) for connection/linkage open space that will connect to the above neighbourhood park and Tararata Creek.

c) support notification under section 15(2) of the Reserves Act 1977 of a proposed exchange of 101m$^2$ of reserve land on Watchfield Close (Lot 36 DP 66356), which is used as a walkway to Moyle Park for a new walkway of 336m$^2$ on Watchfield Close (LOT 39 DP 66356), including capital investment by Kāinga Ora in the development of the new walkway.

d) support notification under section 15(2) of the Reserves Act 1977 of a proposed exchange of Mayflower Park (1619m$^2$ / LOT 167 DP 55383) for a new park (1620m$^2$ / lots 134 and 160 DP 55383 and land from adjoining lots), including capital investment by Kāinga Ora in the development of the new park.

Horopaki

Context

There are opportunities to acquire open space and to enhance existing open space

9. Kāinga Ora is undertaking a major redevelopment in Māngere West. It is planning to replace 228 dwellings with approximately 930 new dwellings. This will provide housing for approximately 2106 additional residents.¹

10. Kāinga Ora is also redeveloping the Aorere Park area in Māngere East. It will replace 136 dwellings with approximately 486 new dwellings and in so doing provide housing for approximately 1050 additional residents.

11. Both developments present an opportunity to address gaps in open space provision and to redevelop existing open space to increase amenity values and improve functionality.

12. Staff have worked with Kāinga Ora to identify four opportunities:

- acquisition of approximately 2451m$^2$ of land on Molesworth Street for a neighbourhood park
- acquisition of 855m$^2$ of land on Ventura Street for connection/linkage open space that will connect to the above neighbourhood park and Tararata Creek
- an exchange of 101m$^2$ reserve land on Watchfield Close, which is used as a walkway to Moyle Park for a new walkway of 336m$^2$ on Watchfield Close
- an exchange of Mayflower Park (1619m$^2$) for a new park (1620m$^2$).

13. The two land exchanges are supported by capital investment by Kāinga Ora in the development of the new walkway and park.

There is shared decision-making for the acquisition of open space

14. The decision-making allocations for the acquisition of land for parks and open space is set out in Volume Two of the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.

15. The governing body is responsible for:

- the number and general location of all new parks and the prioritisation of major upgrades to existing parks (including sports fields within parks)
- acquisition and divestment of all park land, including the disposal or surplus parks, excluding any disposals and reinvestment made in accordance with the Service Property Optimisation Approach.

¹ Based on the average household size of 3.0 in Auckland at Census 2013.
16. Local boards are responsible for the specific location of new local parks (including the prioritisation for acquisition) within budget parameters agreed with the Governing Body.

The land exchange process is set out in the Reserves Act 1977

17. Section 15 of the Reserves Act 1977 prescribes the process for a land exchange between reserves and other land. The process has four key steps:

- the administering body (in this case the Auckland Council) publicly notifies its intention to undertake the land exchange and calls for objections in writing, allowing a period of at least one month for objections to be received
- after a period of at least one month following public notification the administering body considers all objections to the proposed land exchange
- the administering body passes a resolution supporting the land exchange if it considers it appropriate to do so in light of the objections received
- a copy of the resolution supporting the land exchange is forwarded to the Minister of Conservation or their delegate along with the objections for authorisation.

18. Relevant mana whenua must also be consulted.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

There is an opportunity to acquire open space to address a gap in provision

19. Working with Kāinga Ora staff have identified an opportunity to acquire approximately 2451m² of land on Molesworth Street, Māngere West, adjacent to the esplanade reserve that runs along Tararata Creek.

20. This land could meet open space requirements for a neighbourhood park in this area. It would address a gap identified using the provision metrics in the Open Space Provision Policy (2016).
21. The acquisition of 885m² of land on Ventura Street, also next to the Tararata Creek esplanade reserve, could provide pedestrian/cycling connections and links to Moyle Park.

22. The proposed location of these open space acquisitions is shown in Figure 1 above.

**Proposed acquisitions are assessed against council policy**

23. Acquisition opportunities are formally assessed against the Parks and Open Space Acquisition Policy (2013) and the Open Space Provision Policy (2016). They are prioritised according to the highest rating achieved.

24. The following table provides a summary of the assessment of the proposed neighbourhood park acquisition.

**Table 1: Neighbourhood park open space assessment summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Park type:</strong> Neighbourhood Park</th>
<th><strong>Number of new lots:</strong> Approximately 702</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density:</strong> Medium</td>
<td><strong>Number of new residents:</strong> Approximately 2106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unitary plan zone:</strong> Residential - Mixed Housing Urban</td>
<td><strong>Proposed size of acquisition:</strong> 2451m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent valuation:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Settlement:</strong> 2020/21 – budget available for acquisition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Potential future features:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisition Criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Overall Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting community needs, now and in the future</td>
<td>High priority as there is a gap in open space provision in Māngere West (north of Elmdon Street and east and west of Tararata Creek).</td>
<td>✓ High priority for acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting parks and open spaces</td>
<td>Medium priority as the land connects to an esplanade reserve. Acquisition of land on the other side of the creek is being recommended to form local connections.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting and restoring Auckland’s unique features and meanings</td>
<td>Not a priority as there are no known ecological, historic heritage, landscape, geological or cultural values of significance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the parks and open spaces we already have</td>
<td>High priority as the proposed new park is connected to existing park land.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Development Costs:

Local boards decide how local open space is developed. Capital expenditure is allocated through the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.

### Operational Costs:

Median consequential operational costs for the undeveloped park is estimated at $5156 per annum. Allocated as a percentage of the acquisition cost through the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.
25. The table below provides a summary of the assessment of the proposed connection/linkage open space.

**Table 2 Connection/Linkage open space assessment summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park type:</th>
<th>Number of new lots: Approximately 702</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density: Medium</td>
<td>Number of new residents: Approximately 2106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitary plan zone: Residential - Mixed Housing Urban</td>
<td>Proposed size of acquisition: 885m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent valuation:</td>
<td>Settlement: 2020/21 – budget available for acquisition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential future features:</th>
<th>acquisition criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Overall Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>walkway/cycleway</td>
<td>Meeting community needs, now and in the future</td>
<td>High priority as the subject site will increase accessibility of the proposed new neighbourhood park and contribute to meeting the open space provision targets.</td>
<td>✓ High priority for acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>Connecting parks and open spaces</td>
<td>Medium priority as the subject site will provide a walkway/cycleway connection to an existing esplanade reserve and a link to a proposed neighbourhood park (subject to construction of a bridge).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protecting and restoring Auckland’s unique features and meanings</td>
<td>Not a priority as there are no known ecological, historic heritage, landscape, geological or cultural values of significance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improving the parks and open spaces we already have</td>
<td>High priority as the subject site will provide access to an esplanade reserve in a medium density area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Costs:</th>
<th>Operational Costs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local boards decide how local open space is developed. Capital expenditure is allocated through the Long-term Plan 2018-2028. Funding for a bridge to connect to the neighbourhood park will need to be allocated. Potentially it could be funded by development contributions.</td>
<td>Median consequential operational costs for the undeveloped park is estimated at $1861 per annum. Allocated as a percentage of the acquisition cost through the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff recommend the acquisition of both open spaces**

26. Acquisition of the neighbourhood park and connection/linkage open space are both assessed as high priorities.

27. There is a gap in neighbourhood park provision in this area.

28. Staff recommend the acquisition of approximately 2451m² of land on Molesworth Street (lots 111 DP 6159, 110 DP 65159 and 109 DP 65159) for a neighbourhood park. It would provide access to recreation for current and future residents in an area that will be intensified.
29. The neighbourhood park will enable the community to gather, socialise and recreate in a well-connected, highly-visible neighbourhood park. The park will also increase pedestrian use of the esplanade reserve and improve safety through increased passive surveillance.

30. Staff also recommend the acquisition of 855m² of land on Ventura Street (Lot 29 DP 57785) for connection/linkage open space that will connect to the above neighbourhood park and Tararata Creek.

31. This open space will connect the community to recreation opportunities at the proposed neighbourhood park and forms part of the local board’s Greenways Plan to provide off-road walking and cycling connectivity.

Kāinga Ora proposes a land exchange to enlarge and improve a walkway into Moyle Park

32. Kāinga Ora proposes an exchange, under section 15 of the Reserves Act 1977, of a walkway from Watchfield Close to Moyle Park (101m²) for a new walkway to be developed by Kāinga Ora from Watchfield Close to Moyle Park (336m²).

33. As part of its proposal, Kāinga Ora has agreed to meet the capital costs of developing the new walkway. This will include the following amenities:
   - landscaping
   - specimen trees
   - hard surface treatments
   - bollards
   - LED lighting.

34. The images below show the existing walkway and an artist’s impression of the new walkway.

Figure 2: Existing walkway and concept design of the new walkway

35. If the land exchange proceeds all aspects of the design and development of the reserve will be approved by the local board.

The proposed land exchange is deemed to be a high priority

36. Land exchanges are assessed against the criteria in the council’s Parks and Open Space Acquisition Policy 2013 and Parks and Open Space Provision Policy 2016. Proposed land exchanges are prioritised according to the highest rating achieved.

37. Table 3 below provides a summary of the assessment of the proposed land exchange.
Table 3: Initial assessment of the proposed land exchange (Watchfield Close)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisition criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting community needs, now and in the future</td>
<td>High priority as the proposed land exchange would increase the accessibility and capacity of a reserve that serves an area of high growth.</td>
<td>High priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting parks and open spaces</td>
<td>Not priority as it will not connect existing open spaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting and restoring Auckland’s unique features and meanings</td>
<td>Not a priority as there are no known heritage, cultural or natural values of significance located within the areas proposed for exchange.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the parks and open spaces we already have</td>
<td>High priority as the proposed land exchange will improve the accessibility and functionality of existing open space.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38. Staff recommend that the local board supports public notification of the proposed exchange of the existing walkway on Watchfield Close (Lot 36 DP 66356), for a new walkway of 336m² on Watchfield Close (LOT 39 DP 66356). It is a high priority when assessed against council policy.

39. The proposed land exchange is expected to have positive benefits to the community, including improved access into Moyle Park and increased amenity values. It would also deliver increase in open space (235m²) as well as a safer, more visible walkway for current and future residents and the wider community.

Kāinga Ora proposes a land exchange to improve Mayflower Park

40. Kāinga Ora also proposes an exchange, under with section 15 of the Reserves Act 1977, of Mayflower Park (1619m²) with a new park (1620m²) to be located between Mayflower Close and Winthrop Way (see Figure 3 below).

Figure 3: Proposed land exchange of Mayflower Park

41. Mayflower Park is an undeveloped pocket park in Māngere East.
42. Kāinga Ora proposes to provide a new park. The proposed park will be located on a new road between Mayflower Close and Winthrop Way.

43. As part of its proposal, Kāinga Ora has agreed to meet the capital costs of developing the new park up to a maximum of $290,000 (excluding GST). This will include the following amenities:
   - landscaping
   - specimen trees
   - hard surface treatments
   - bollards
   - LED lighting.

44. If the land exchange proceeds all aspects of the design and development of the reserve will be approved by the local board.

The proposed land exchange is deemed to be a high priority

45. The proposed proposed land exchange is a high priority when assessed against council policy as illustrated in Table 4 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisition criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting community needs, now and in the future</td>
<td>High priority as the proposed land exchange would increase the accessibility of the park that serves an area of high growth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting parks and open spaces</td>
<td>Not priority as it will not connect existing open spaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting and restoring Auckland’s unique features and meanings</td>
<td>Not a priority as there are no known heritage, cultural or natural values of significance located within the areas proposed for exchange.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the parks and open spaces we already have</td>
<td>High priority as the proposed land exchange will improve the accessibility and functionality of existing open space.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

46. Staff recommend that the local board supports public notification of the exchange of Mayflower Park (1619m² / Lot 167 DP 55383) for a new park (1620m² / lots 134 and 160 DP 55383 and land from adjoining lots).

47. The land exchange would result in a 1m² increase in council land. Accessibility will be markedly increased with road frontages on three sides. Development of the park by Kāinga Ora will improve amenity values and functionality.

48. The other benefits of the proposed land exchange are improved access and sightlines into the new reserve. Crime prevention through environmental design will create a safer, more visible space for the enjoyment of current and future residents and the wider community.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

49. Vegetation on parks and open space can serve as temperature regulators through shade and evapotranspiration. Plants and woodlands can also process and store carbon, helping to offset the accumulation of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere.

50. Parks and open space also act as collection points for surface and run-off water, reducing flood risks during storms.

51. Climate change is expected to bring increasing temperatures, rising sea levels and changing rainfall patterns. Park development proposals will need to reflect these effects and take into consideration the environmentally sensitive ways parks and open space must be managed.
to achieve their benefits. This includes energy and waste reduction and conserving water resources.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

52. Auckland Transport has actively supported the acquisition opportunity in Māngere West, through road stopping and an asset transfer in accordance with council policy.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

53. A gap in provision of open space has been identified in Māngere West. Provision of open space will provide recreation opportunities and community benefits.

54. The Māngere-Otahuhu Open Space Network Plan 2016 identified the area as a high priority area for creating public access from Molesworth Place to Tararata Creek Reserve.

55. Local board views are sought through this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

56. The provision of quality parks and open spaces has broad benefits for Māori, including:
   - helping facilitate Māori participation in outdoor recreational activity
   - helping make Auckland a green, resilient and healthy environment consistent with the Māori world view of the natural world and their role as kaitiaki of the natural environment.

57. The proposed land acquisitions do not contain any known sites or places of significance to mana whenua.

58. Mana whenua will be consulted on the land exchanges and about the development of the open space.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

59. There is sufficient funding available in the Open Space Acquisition Budget to acquire the neighbourhood park and connection/linkage open space.

60. Funding for a bridge to connect to the neighbourhood park will need to be allocated. Potentially it could be funded by development contributions.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

61. There is a low legal risk to council if it manages the land exchanges in accordance with section 15 of the Reserves Act 1977. Key aspects of this process include public and mana whenua consultation. Approval is sought to undertake this consultation.

62. Some delivery risks sit with Kāinga Ora, as there is no guarantee that the proposed land exchanges will be:
   - approved by the governing body for notification
   - supported by mana whenua through the consultation process
   - supported by the public through the consultation process
   - supported by the governing body following consultation
63. Kangaro Ora will also manage any risks associated with their redevelopment projects in Māngere East and West.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
64. The local board’s views on the open space acquisitions and the land exchanges will be reported to the Parks, Arts, Culture and Events Committee on 9 April 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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Approval for a new road name at 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu

File No.: CP2020/04131

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board to name a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot, created by way of a subdivision development at 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council’s road naming guidelines set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. On behalf of the developer and applicant, Usapho Limited, agent EA Civil Limited have proposed the names presented in the table below for consideration by the Local Board.

4. Any of the three proposed road name options would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana Whenua were also consulted.

5. The proposed names for the new private road at 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu:
   - Arataki Lane (Applicant Preferred)
   - Airini Lane (Alternative 1)
   - Tekau Mā Rua Way (Alternative 2)

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) approve the name (local board to insert chosen name and road type) for the new private road created by way of subdivision at 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent reference BUN60343632 & SUB60343634).

Horopaki
Context
6. Resource consent BUN6034363 (subdivision reference number SUB60343634) was issued 23 December 2019 for the construction of eleven residential dwellings and one commonly owned access lot (COAL).

7. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards for road naming (the AS/NZS 4819-2011 standard), the COAL requires a road name because it serves more than 5 lots.

8. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachments A and B respectively.
Approval for a new road name at 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name/s for the Local Board’s approval.

10. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

11. The Applicant’s proposed names and meanings are set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Names &amp; Preferences</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by applicant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arataki Lane (Applicant preferred)</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: to conduct, lead, point out, guide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Airini Lane (alternative 1) | Māori origin meaning: peace  
Used as a girl’s name. A notable bearer of this name is nineteenth century Māori rights activist, Airini Donnelly. |
| Tekau mā rua Way (alternative 2) | Māori meaning: (numeral) be twelve, dozen, 12.  
To reference the site address being 12 Atkinson Ave. |

12. Assessment: The names proposed by the Applicant have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the suitability of the names within the local context.

13. Confirmation: Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

14. Road type: ‘Lane’ and ‘Way’ are acceptable road types for the new private road, suiting the form and layout of the road, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

15. Iwi Consultation: All relevant local iwi were contacted by Council staff and invited to comment.

No iwi provided responses or comments. It is therefore implied that no iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for this small private road.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

16. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

17. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.
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Ngā whakaawae ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

18. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

Tauākī whakaawae Māori
Māori impact statement

19. The review sought from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity.

20. Three Māori road name options have been proposed.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

21. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

22. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

23. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Site Location for 12 Atkinson Avenue</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Site Plan for 12 Atkinson Avenue</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Elizabeth Salter - Subdivision Technical Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>David Snowdon - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
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Item 18

Site Location for 12 Atkinson Avenue, Otahuhu
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Local board resolution responses and information report

File No.: CP2020/04658

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. This report provides a summary of resolution responses, feedback from the board and information reports for circulation to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board.

Feedback reports for the local board:

2. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Submission on the Local Government (Rating of Whenua Māori) Amendment Bill is Attachment A to this report.
3. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Submission on the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package is Attachment B to this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) note the local board’s submission on the Local Government (Rating of Whenua Māori) Amendment Bill.

b) note the local board’s submission on the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Submission on the Local Government (Rating of Whenua Māori) Amendment Bill</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Submission on the Accessible Streets Regulatory Package</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board April 2020: Submission on the Local Government (Rating of Whenua Māori) Amendment Bill

That the Mangere-Otahuhu Local board:

1. Support in principle Auckland Council’s submission with the following input:
   
i. Agree with the Bill’s overarching goal to support owners to engage, use, develop and live on their land.

2. Do not agree with the short timeframe allowed for the local board to provide its feedback. As the local board would have consulted with local Mana Whenua seeking their views, principles and aspirations to fully inform the local board’s position and feedback.

3. Note: The Mangere-Otahuhu local board area has one of the highest numbers of Maori population residing in the area, including the greatest number of Marae in the region.

4. Request further information on the impact this has on land used specifically for Maori education such as Kohunga Reo, to ensure that this Bill does not become a barrier to Maori education.

5. Request to include sites used for Maori education in the proposed list of amendments.

6. Investigate how can Central Government’s, Provincial Growth Fund, address the accumulation of rates on unused Māori land, and land which has been inherited from a deceased owner.

That the local board chair and or delegate welcomes the opportunity to provide verbal feedback to the Governing Body on the Local Government (Rating of Whenua Māori) Amendment Bill.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board's Submission:
Accessible Streets Regulatory Package

In the last five years, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has provided significant investments to support pedestrian traffic, footpaths and cycleways—both widening footpaths and providing separation between vehicles and cycleways. With our partners, we have provided data and research on the positive impacts of this investment, including examples from our community. We have invested millions in the Future Streets | Te Ara Mua local project and urgently request further investment to enhance outcomes, as the first area in the Auckland Region to deliver these strategic initiatives showing wide ranging benefits.

LOCAL BOARD POSITION

The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board supports the Auckland Council submission, in principle, but would like to highlight the following points for further consideration:

1) Our diverse communities need appropriate education and local champions with the ability to front the education for pedestrians, cyclists and device users. These champions need to have a clear understanding of the pedestrians and cyclists of a wide range of ages and mobilities living here in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu.

2) Many parents are worried about their children, young people and elderly accessing cycleways, lacking confidence in their safety and separation from other vehicles. Often, this creates a barrier for their children to participate in activities or use the roadways.

3) Many parents must accompany their dependants using local cycleways or public roads to supervise safe cycling.

4) Many parents, families, community organisations and church communities want to access cycling programmes, but do not own or cannot afford bicycles.

5) Many parents want to access bicycles and are generally supportive of bicycle programmes in schools as some cannot afford to purchase or maintain bicycles on a regular basis.

6) Individuals, families and community groups continually ask for regular activation programmes by their community organisations such as Mr T from Time To Thrive – To Stay Alive, a charitable trust based in Māngere.

7) We request for this unique community organisation to be supported and resourced by Auckland Transport on an ongoing basis. Our community relies upon Mr T to provide cycle education, helmet and cycle gear maintenance, and voluntary programmes. He does on a shoestring budget or using his own resources, with sporadic support from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board, Auckland Council and Auckland Transport. His organisation runs incredibly successful programmes; however, he continues to have capacity problems.
8) The education programme promised requires translation into Te Reo Māori as well as Pasifika and other ethnic languages. Road and pathway users need clear and easily visible descriptions of what is and is not permitted to understand the various rules.

9) The signage provided must have simple universal symbols, designed in a way that stands out and can be easily understood by cyclists, children, teenagers, adults and older people and people with disabilities. Our signs need to have font and clear symbols that can be seen by a moving cyclist, walking pedestrian or a scooter user, in clearly visible locations.

10) Consistent maintenance and updates of these signs are required to ensure they are appropriate for the users.

11) Dirt bikes are clearly not to use either footpaths or cycle ways and are illegal. They are currently a significant transport and enforcement issue as they are difficult to monitor when not following transport rules.

12) The language of policies following legislation announcements will need to provide clear messaging to the public, distinguishing the responsibilities of various enforcement authorities, including Auckland Transport and police officers.

13) If road rules are updated through the Accessible Streets regulatory package, our communities will need a clear “Go Live” date with a well-resourced education package among communities with high deprivation, low social and economic indicators, including ongoing health and wellbeing challenges. Our community members who are eager to increase their participation in active modes of transport will need as much support as possible, especially at these crucial milestones.

14) Lastly, the Covid-19 Level 4 Lockdown has created an environment where locals have been encouraged to walk and cycle in local streets, neighbourhoods and parks to cope with the current circumstances and maintain mental health and wellbeing. Our community in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu have been able to utilise this consent to be physically active, walking or using family bicycles to cycle locally, making use of the recent investments by Auckland Council and the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. This is significant in the context of our communities having some of the most challenging health and wellbeing statistics, high unemployment, and—as anecdotal evidence would suggest—high job losses amidst the current crisis which will directly impact our local economy. Efforts and investments must continue to develop wide footpaths, clear distinctions of cycleways, signage and clear rules for road users in our local community. This will improve daily exercise and mental health and wellbeing for our local community and healthy outcomes that will contribute to a sustainable future.

In summary, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board requests a comprehensive, localised transition package to accompany any regulatory changes, including local procurement, education in multiple languages and appropriate, visible signage. Clear public communications with a “Go Live” date and clarity around enforcement will help our community to be confident pedestrians, cyclists and road users.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Workshop Notes

File No.: CP2020/03230

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Attached are the notes from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board workshops held on 4 and 11 March 2020 and 1, 8, 15, 22 April and 29 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the workshop notes from the workshops held on 4 and 11 March 2020 and 1, 8, 15, 22 April and 29 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4 March Workshop Notes</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11 March Workshop Notes</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1 April Workshop Notes</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8 April Workshop Notes</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15 April Workshop Notes</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>22 April Workshop Notes</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>29 April Workshop Notes</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager, Mangere-Ōtāhuhu and Otara- Papatoetoe Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 4 March 2020, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Members: Tauanu'u Nanai Nick Bakulich
Makalita Kolo
Anae Dr Neru Leavasa
Christine O'Brien
Harry Fatu Toleafoa (until 2.30pm)

Apologies: Togiatolu Walter Togiamua

Also present: Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop 3 - Draft Work programmes Introduction Slides/budget overview</td>
<td>Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Jestine Joseph</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board had an overview and gave feedback on the following draft 2020/2021 work programmes to incorporate prior to presenting final work programmes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Linda Pillay, Bill Teaukura, Tai Stirling</td>
<td>Action: Lease officer to have further detail discussion with the board at a Thursday Meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>Liz Muliga, Bobby Kennedy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I&amp;ES</td>
<td>Emma Cowie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sports and Rec</td>
<td>Debra Langton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans and Places</td>
<td>Marc Dendale, Chris Mallows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>Gill Pannell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATEED</td>
<td>Luo Lei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSI</td>
<td>Dhaya Haran</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other and Wrap up</td>
<td>Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Workshop record of the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 11 March 2020, commencing at 1.00pm**

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
- Deputy Chairperson: Togiatolu Walter Togiamua
- Members: Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich, Makaliita Kolo, Ana Dr Neru Leavasa, Christine O’Brien, Harry Fatu Toleafoa

**Also present:** Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe, Shoma Prasad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of the Grants Programme for 2021</td>
<td>Helen Taimarangai,</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board reviewed the grants programme for 2020-2021 and gave feedback. <strong>Action:</strong> A report will come to a business meeting to approve the 2020-2021 grants programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport -FN32 (Upgrade of Mangere to Ōtāhuhu Bus Route)</td>
<td>Ben Stallworthy, Connected Communities Team Member TBA</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board had a presentation and reviewed the options for FN32 Mangere to Ōtāhuhu (Westney Road to Walmsley Road) and provided feedback to Auckland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtāhuhu Town Centre Upgrade</td>
<td>Lisa Spasic, Nick Fitzherbert</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board had a verbal update on the Ōtāhuhu Town Centre Upgrade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Local Board Plan Development</td>
<td>Daniel, Sam</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board discussed the draft local board plan and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 1 April 2020 commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Members: Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich
         Makalita Kolo
         Anae Dr Neru Leavasa
         Christine O’Brien
         Harry Fatu Toleafoa

Apologies: Togiatolu Walter Togiamua

Also present: Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review Local Board Plan Formal Engagement Results</td>
<td>Shoma Prasad</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board reviewed the local board formal engagement results and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop 4 Draft Local Board Plan</td>
<td>Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the draft Local Board Plan and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsolicited proposal for sports field development</td>
<td>Rob Cairns, George Joseph</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board had a powerpoint presentation on the unsolicited proposal that council has received for the development of sports fields on council land.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Action:
Officers to schedule another workshop to include the following:
Modelling and analysis on the proposal/financial modelling/proposed parks.
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 8 April 2020, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Deputy Chairperson: Togiatolu Walter Togiamua
Members: Makalita Kolo
Ana Dr Neru Leavasa
Christine O’Brien
Harry Fatu Toleafoa

Absent: Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich

Also present: Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Services</td>
<td>Debra Langton, Howell Davies</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board had a presentation on the Urban Ngahere. board had a presentation on the Urban Ngahere. Action: Officers to arrange another workshop for June. A report will come back to the board in July or August business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sport &amp; Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Urban Ngahere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Southern Initiative</td>
<td>Dhaya Haran</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the 2020/2021 Youth Connections funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Update</td>
<td>Ben Stallworthy</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board were presented with the Auckland Transport report. Unfortunately, the skype call was intermittent and the officer could not carry on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Pollution</td>
<td>Emma Cowie, Stephanie</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board had a powerpoint presentation from the results from the completed 2019/2020 Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Programme</td>
<td>Robinson, Cushla Barfoot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEU update</td>
<td>Liz Muliaga</td>
<td></td>
<td>The board discussed the FY 20/21 Youth Capacity Building and Participation SOK.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 15 April 2020 commencing at 1.30pm

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
- Deputy Chair: Togiapolu Walter Togiamua
- Members: Christine O’Brien, Harry Fatu Toleafoa

**Apologies:** Tauanu’u Nanai Nick Bakulich, Makalita Kolo, Anae Dr Neru Leavasa, 

**Also present:** Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeslot</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.30 – 3.00pm</td>
<td>I&amp;ES work programme</td>
<td>Emma Crowie, Amber Pierce, Bianca Lilley, Ben Paris, Cynthia Karikala, Gabi Ezita, Niklas Erikson</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the I&amp;ES 20/21 work programme development and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 22 April 2020 commencing at 1.00pm

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
- Deputy Chair: Togiatoa Walter Togiamua
- Members: Tauanuu Nanai Nick Bakulich
  - Makalita Kolo
  - Ana Dr Neru Leavasa
  - Christine O’Brien
  - Harry Fatu Toleafoa

**Also present:** Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe, Shoma Prasad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationship Manager Update</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board discussed the key developments in timelines, processes and scenarios related to the LB Plan, Annual Plan and other key issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Draft Local Board Plan Engagement questions for consultation</td>
<td>Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Shoma Prasad</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the engagement questions for consultation and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities - Operational management and maintenance - Walter Massey Park Presentation</td>
<td>Linda Pillay, Jasmine Samuel, Bill Teaukura, Dominic Stanley</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the Walter Massey Park path development and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Community Services Parks, Sport & Recreation - Increasing access to 3rd party facilities Work programme #703 | Rose Ward, Debra Langton | Oversight and monitoring | The board discussed the options for #703 including costs.  
**Action:** A report will be coming to the board. |
| Community Services Arts, Community & Events (ACE) - Youth Scholarships $10k (30 mins) | Sa Va’aelua, Liz Muliaga | Oversight and monitoring | The board discussed the recipients that come through for the scholarships.  
**Action:** A further workshop will be held on 29 May 2020 for further discussion. |
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 29 April 2020 commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sose
Deputy Chair: Togiatiolu Walter Togiamua
Members: Tauanu‘u Nanai Nick Bakulich
Makilita Kolo
Anae Dr Neru Leavasa
Christine O’Brien
Harry Fatu Toleafoa

Also present: Victoria Villaraza, Janette McKain, Samantha Tan Rodrigo, Daniel Poe, Shoma Prasad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop 4 Review Annual Budget consultation feedback</td>
<td>Shoma Prasad, Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the Annual Budget consultation feedback. Action: 6 May Business meeting to approve Part 1 consultation feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Draft Local Board Plan after peer review</td>
<td>Daniel Poe, Samantha Tan Rodrigo</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the Local Board Plan and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Arts, Community &amp; Events (ACE) - Youth Scholarships $10k (30 mins)</td>
<td>Sa Va'aelua, Liz Muliaga</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the options the recipients that come through for the scholarships. Action: Option 3 will come to the board for approval in a business meeting on 20 May.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for local financial matters for the local board agreement 2020/2021, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process.

2. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Executive summary

3. Our Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards to agree with the governing body. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

4. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements (consultation part 1).

5. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council is now considering what those impacts are likely to be, and plan to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (consultation part 2).

6. Local boards are required to receive and make decisions on the feedback on the proposals in consultation part 1 which are not affected by the changes being considered by the council and therefore will not be subject to further consultation. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway, so the scope of consultation part 2 is clear.

7. This report seeks decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including:
   a) any new/amended Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates
   b) any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   c) proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   d) release of local board specific reserve funds.

8. The council received feedback in person at community engagement events, and through written forms (including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters).

9. 4765 submissions were received across Auckland, including 94 related to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Area.

10. This report summarises consultation feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021, including on local board priorities for 2020/2021.

Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

11. The local board consulted on the following priorities:
   • Priority 1: continuing initiatives to increase tree canopy coverage and care for our local environment
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
06 May 2020

- Priority 2: delivering local events to showcase the culture and talent of our diverse communities
- Priority 3: increasing access to high-quality, well-maintained parks and open spaces for all people to enjoy and connect
- Priority 4: supporting local organisations to deliver community services through the contestable grants process
- Priority 5: improving play and recreation opportunities for children.

12. 75 submissions were received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s proposed priorities for 2020/2021, showing that most people either support most (26 per cent) or support all (39 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area

13. This report seeks local board views on the proposed regional Annual Budget topics including:

- the changes to rates and fees, key proposals:
  - waste management targeted rate
  - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
  - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate
- the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021
- other budget information.

Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Waste management targeted rate

14. Waste management, including recycling and rubbish collection, is a core council service and important for achieving our waste management goals. Council is proposing to increase the base service waste management charge by $19.97 from $121.06 to $141.03 to cover the recycling revenue shortfall. This change will apply to all ratepayers receiving a waste service.

15. Of the 57 local submitters, 34 supported this proposal with 17 not in support and 6 suggesting other solutions, which includes improving our existing Waste management systems.

Refuse collection in the former Auckland City and Manukau City

16. Council have signed new waste collection contracts that encourage greater diversion of materials from landfill and are more cost effective over the longer term. The new refuse collection contracts increase costs in the former Auckland City and Manukau City areas until the new pay-as-you-throw refuse service is introduced in 2021/2022.

17. Council is proposing an increase in the standard refuse (120 litre bin) rate from $129.93 to $144.16 (including GST) and the large refuse (240 litre bin) rate from $191.00 to $211.91 (including GST) in the former Auckland City and Manukau City areas.

18. Of the 56 local submitters, 33 supported this proposal with 17 not in support and 6 suggesting other solutions such as composting food waste. Major reasons for not supporting the proposal was based on having a standard system for all Aucklanders.

Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate

19. Council currently provides a septic tank pump-out service to ratepayers in the former Waitākere City Council area and are proposing to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate, with the service will being provided to ratepayers in the Waitākere Ranges Local Board area (which will exclude Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board...
areas), and the targeted rate would only be charged to those rate payers that receive the service.

20. Of the 46 local submitters, 31 supported this proposal with 10 not in support and 5 having no view.

Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

21. There were 10 submissions on the Draft Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2020/2021 from local submitters, including the Mangere Bridge Residents. All submissions were detailed with suggestions for improvement to the draft operational plan.

22. The majority of feedback was focused on restoration, maintenance, and reforestation of the maunga appropriate to its heritage and geological status, including efforts to rebuild its ecology.

23. The Māngere Bridge Residents strongly advocated for comprehensive consultation in the future regarding the maunga, with its members expressing dissatisfaction on the removal of exotic trees from the maunga.

Council-Controlled Organisations Review

24. Auckland Council also consulted on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review at the same time. The feedback received on this will be presented at a later date.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the proposed Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2020/2021.

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area.

c) recommend any new or amended Business Improvement District targeted rates to the Governing Body.

d) recommend the continuation of our local targeted rate set as a uniform charge per separately used or inhabited part of a property, on residential properties in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area, for the purpose of fully subsidising entry to swimming pools for persons 17 years and over, noting that the rate for 2019/2020 is estimated at approximately $33.04.

e) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects, which are outside local boards’ allocated decision-making responsibility.

f) recommend the release of local board specific reserve funds to the Governing Body

g) provide feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Horopaki
Context

25. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s Annual Budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

26. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements.
27. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Work to date on the proposed Annual Budget will need to be adjusted to consider the new financial realities facing Auckland.

28. The financial report presented to the Emergency Committee during April 2020 indicated potential reductions in cash revenue of $350-650 million for financial year 2020/2021, depending on the length and extent of the disruption caused by COVID-19. The Emergency Committee requested staff provide further information to the Governing Body on the impacts of the various scenarios modelled against a rates increase of between 0 per cent and 3.5 per cent.

29. The Emergency Committee also resolved that further public consultation on the Annual Budget would take place, including consideration of whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, amongst a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship due to the impacts of COVID-19.

30. The council is planning to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19. It is anticipated this will be carried out from late May until mid-June 2020 and will be referred to as consultation part 2. This will be in addition to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation we have already carried out from February to March 2020, referred to as consultation part 1.

31. Consultation part 2 is unlikely to revisit any of the specific proposals in consultation part 1. Therefore, the local boards and the Governing Body are required to receive the feedback on these proposals and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway so it is clear what decisions have already been made, and what decisions will be made after consultation part 2.

32. Further, some of the proposed changes to fees and charges required a Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) and the requirements for this were met in consultation part 1. It is important to complete this statutory process, especially where consultation part 2 will not be relevant to the decisions on these fees and charges.

33. This report includes analysis of consultation part 1 feedback on the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, and on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area.

Local financial matters for the local board agreement

34. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in May 2020. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the Annual Budget process.

Local targeted rate and Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rate proposals

35. Local boards are required to endorse any new local targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

Funding for Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI)

36. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million, projects over that amount require approval from the Governing Body.

37. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2020/2021 if there is a specific need to do so. Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.
Local board input on regional plans

38. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Annual Budget.

39. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

Council-controlled organisation (CCO) review

40. An independent panel was appointed by Auckland Council to examine three areas: (1) the CCO model, roles and responsibilities, (2) the accountability of CCOs, and (3) CCO culture. Local boards had the opportunity to provide input into this in March 2020.

41. Auckland Council also consulted on the review of CCOs during the same period as the Annual Budget, from 21 February to 22 March 2020.

42. After receiving feedback, the panel will report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback to the council in May 2020.

43. The panel will provide a final report and recommendations to the council in July 2020.

Types of feedback

44. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 4,675 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:

- Written feedback – 3,828 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
- In person – 793 pieces of feedback were received through 58 events held regionally

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

Feedback received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2020/2021

45. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board consulted on the following priorities:

- **Priority 1**: continuing initiatives to increase tree canopy coverage and care for our local environment
- **Priority 2**: delivering local events to showcase the culture and talent of our diverse communities
- **Priority 3**: increasing access to high-quality, well-maintained parks and open spaces for all people to enjoy and connect
- **Priority 4**: supporting local organisations to deliver community services through the contestable grants process
- **Priority 5**: improving play and recreation opportunities for children.

46. Key themes across all feedback received were:

- Local environmental management
- Local parks, sport and recreation
- Local planning
- Local community services

Feedback received

47. 75 submissions were received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that most people either support most (26 per cent) or support all (39 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.
48. Reflecting on local priorities, 38 per cent of submitters gave feedback on local environmental management, which included improved waste minimisation systems, stronger monitoring of illegal rubbish dumping and restoration of local creeks and waterways. Feedback on local parks, sport and recreation advocated for quality playgrounds and sports facilities in the local area.

49. In terms of demographics, 25 per cent of the feedback was received from people from 35-44 years. In terms of ethnicities, 30 per cent of the submissions were from Pakeha/NZ European, followed by 29 per cent from Samoans, and 20 per cent from Maori.

50. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board participated in existing community events as part of their Have Your Say event, which included Otahuhu Family Festival and Mangere Movies in the Park on the 29th of February 2020.

Information on submitters

51. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

Table 1: Submission Type: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission type</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard copy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>94</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 1: Submitter Group

Graph 1: Submitter Demographics
Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

52. The proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees, the key proposals were:

- waste management targeted rate
- refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
- Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

53. The submissions received from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

Waste management targeted rate

54. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: Waste management targeted rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The cost of responsibly dealing with our kerbside recycling (paper, cardboard and plastics) has increased due to international market conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To pay for this we propose to charge only those who use the service by increasing the targeted rate by $19.97 a year or $0.38 a week (the total cost changing from $121.06 to $141.03 incl. GST).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If we do not do this, we would have to fund the shortfall by increasing general rates for all ratepayers, including those who don’t get a kerbside collection service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

55. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

Of the 57 local submitters, 34 supported this proposal with 17 not in support and 6 suggesting other solutions, which includes improving our existing Waste management systems.

Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City

56. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 2: Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas, households pay for rubbish through a targeted rate. In other parts of the city, residents pay for their collection via Pay As You Throw. The targeted rate for the Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas no longer meets the cost of collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To cover this extra cost we propose increasing the targeted rate in these areas by $14.23 a year or $0.27 a week for a 120 litre bin (the total cost changing from $129.93 to $144.16 incl. GST), and an additional $6.68 a year or $0.13 a week for a large 240 litre bin (the total cost changing from $191 to $211.91 incl. GST).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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If we do not do this, we would have to increase general rates for all ratepayers, including those living outside these two areas who would subsidise residents of old Auckland and Manukau cities.

57. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

Of the 56 local submitters, 33 supported this proposal with 17 not in support and 6 suggesting other solutions such as composting food waste. Major reasons for not supporting the proposal was based on having a standard system for all Aucklanders.

Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate

58. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

Question 3: Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate

Last year we consulted on removing the septic tank pumpout service funded by a targeted rate. While feedback indicated a willingness to go ahead with the removal of this service in the Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board areas, residents of the Waitākere Ranges local board area said they wanted to keep the service. The cost of delivering this service is higher than the current targeted rate of $198.43.

Our proposal, for those in the Waitākere Ranges local board area who want the service, is to recover the full cost by increasing the targeted rate to between $260 and $320 a year (incl. GST). This increase would apply from July 2021.

If we do not do this, the council could end the service, or continue to subsidise the cost of the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers, including those who don't use the service.

59. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

Of the 46 local submitters, 31 supported this proposal with 10 in favour of ending the service and 5 in favour of continuing the service as is.

Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

60. Feedback was also received from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

61. There were 10 submissions on the Draft Tūpuna Maunga Operational Plan 2020/2021 from local submitters, including the Mangere Bridge Residents. All submissions were detailed with suggestions for improvement to the draft operational plan.

62. In summary, majority of the feedback was focused on restoration, maintenance, and reforestation of the maunga appropriate to its heritage and geological status, including efforts to rebuild its ecology. The Mangere Bridge Residents strongly advocated for
comprehensive consultation in the future regarding the maunga, with its members expressing dissatisfaction on the removal of exotic trees from the maunga

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**
**Climate impact statement**

63. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. New targeted rates and the release of reserve funds will not have any climate impacts themselves.

64. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

65. Some of the proposed projects these would fund will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**
**Council group impacts and views**

66. The Annual Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**
**Local impacts and local board views**

67. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

68. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Annual Budget. Local board agreements form part of the Annual Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Annual Budget.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**
**Māori impact statement**

69. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

70. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.

71. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.

72. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

73. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**
**Financial implications**

74. This report is seeking local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.

75. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
76. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget by 15 May 2020, in order for the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Annual Budget in May 2020.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
77. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes.
78. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting.
79. The dates of these meetings are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments to this report.
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