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1 Welcome
A board member will lead the meeting in prayer.

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Manurewa Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 16 April 2020, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Manurewa Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.
At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/05322

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for local financial matters for the local board agreement 2020/2021, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process.

2. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. Our Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards to agree with the Governing Body. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

4. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements (consultation part 1).

5. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council is now considering what those impacts are likely to be, and plan to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (consultation part 2).

6. Local boards are required to receive the feedback on the proposals in consultation part 1, which are not affected by the changes being considered by the council and therefore will not be subject to further consultation, and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway, so the scope of consultation part 2 is clear.

7. This report seeks decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including:
   
   a) any new/amended Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates
   b) any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   c) proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   d) release of local board specific reserve funds.

8. The council received feedback in person at community engagement events and through written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

9. This report summarises consultation feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021, including on local board priorities for 2020/2021.

Feedback on Manurewa Local Board priorities for 2020/2021

10. The local board consulted on the following priorities:

   • Priority 1: Support the expansion of the Manurewa Business Improvement District
   • Priority 2: Continue to respond to community-led activity including Maori aspirations, events, and waste minimisation initiatives
Item 11

- Priority 3: Oversee the provision of quality parks and playgrounds, libraries, community and recreation facilities
- Priority 4: Continue to provide free access to Manurewa Pool and Leisure Centre for those ages 65 and over, adults supervising children, and people with disabilities
- Priority 5: Focus on the regeneration of Puhinui and Papakura streams and other environmental priorities.

11. One hundred and ninety-six submissions were received on Manurewa local board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority (92 per cent) of people support most of the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Manurewa local board area

12. This report seeks local board views on the proposed regional Annual Budget topics including:

- the changes to rates and fees, key proposals:
  - waste management targeted rate
  - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
  - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate
  - the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021
  - other budget information.

13. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

14. Out of the 2522 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021, 196 submissions were from people living in the Manurewa local board area.

15. Waste management targeted rate: majority of respondents (68 percent) support the proposed waste management targeted rate, 13 percent did not support, and 19 selected other.

16. Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City: over half of the submitters (52 percent) supported the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate increase, 33 percent did not support and 15 percent selected other.

17. Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate: a strong majority of respondents supported the proposal (73 percent), 15 per cent opposed it with comments to end the service and 12 percent were against the proposal with comments to continue the service as is.

18. No feedback was received on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

19. Auckland Council also consulted on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review at the same time. The feedback received on this will be presented at a later date.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Manurewa Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the proposed Manurewa Local Board priorities for 2020/2021.

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021
from people or organisations based in the Manurewa local board area.

c) recommend any new or amended Business Improvement District targeted rates to the Governing Body.

d) recommend any new or amended local targeted rate proposals to the Governing Body.

e) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects, which are outside local boards’ allocated decision-making responsibility.

f) recommend the release of local board specific reserve funds to the Governing Body.

g) provide feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021.

**Horopaki Context**

20. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s Annual Budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

21. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This is now referred to as consultation part 1.

22. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Work to date on the proposed Annual Budget will need to be adjusted to consider the new financial realities facing Auckland.

23. The financial report presented to the Emergency Committee during April 2020 indicated potential reductions in cash revenue of $350-650m for financial year 2020/2021, depending on the length and extent of the disruption caused by COVID-19. The Emergency Committee requested staff provide further information to the Governing Body on the impacts of the various scenarios modelled against a rates increase of between 0 per cent and 3.5 per cent. They also resolved that further public consultation on the Annual Budget would include considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship due to the impacts of COVID-19.

24. The council is planning to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council's proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19. It is anticipated this will be carried out from late May until mid-June 2020. This will be in addition to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation we have already carried out from February to March 2020. This is referred to as consultation part 2.

25. Consultation part 2 is unlikely to revisit any of the specific proposals in consultation part 1. Therefore, the local boards and the Governing Body are required to receive the feedback on these proposals and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway so it is clear what decisions have already been made, and what decisions will be made after consultation part 2.

26. Further, some of the proposed changes to fees and charges required a Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) and the requirements for this were met in consultation part 1. It is important to complete this statutory process, especially where consultation part 2 will not be relevant to the decisions on these fees and charges.

27. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the Manurewa Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, and on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Manurewa local board area.
Local financial matters for the local board agreement
28. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in May 2020. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the Annual Budget process.

Local targeted rate and Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rate proposals
29. Local boards are required to endorse any new local targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

Funding for Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI)
30. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million. Projects over this amount require approval from the Governing Body.
31. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2020/2021 if there is a specific need to do so. Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

Local board input on regional plans
32. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Annual Budget.
33. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

Council-controlled organisation (CCO) review
34. An independent panel was appointed by Auckland Council to examine three areas: (1) the CCO model, roles and responsibilities, (2) the accountability of CCOs, and (3) CCO culture. Local boards had the opportunity to provide input into this in March 2020.
35. Auckland Council also consulted on the review of CCOs during the same period as the Annual Budget, from 21 February to 22 March 2020.
36. After receiving feedback, the panel will report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback to the council in May 2020.
37. The panel will provide a final report and recommendations to the council in July 2020.

Types of feedback
38. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 4765 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   • written feedback – 3828 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters.
   • in person – through 58 Have Your Say and community events.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
Feedback received on Manurewa Local Board priorities for 2020/2021
39. The Manurewa Local Board consulted on the following priorities:
   • Priority 1: Support the expansion of the Manurewa Business Improvement District.
• Priority 2: Continue to respond to community-led activity including Maori aspirations, events, and waste minimisation initiatives.

• Priority 3: Oversee the provision of quality parks and playgrounds, libraries, community and recreation facilities.

• Priority 4: Continue to provide free access to Manurewa Pool and Leisure Centre for those ages 65 and over, adults supervising children, and people with disabilities.

• Priority 5: Focus on the regeneration of Puhinui and Papakura streams and other environmental priorities.

40. Key themes across all feedback received (through written and in person channels) were:

• Environment

• Parks, sport and recreation

• Community services

Feedback received

41. One hundred and ninety-six submissions were received on Manurewa local board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people (48 per cent) support most of the local board’s priorities as shown in graph 1 below.

Graph 1: support for Manurewa local board priorities

- Results show that the majority (48 per cent) of submitters supported most of the local board’s priorities and a further 42 per cent of respondents supported all the priorities.

- Five per cent of submitters did not support most of the priorities and an additional four per cent did not support any of the priorities.
Most comments received from the 48 per cent of submitters who supported most of the board’s priorities referred to addressing environment issues, town centre improvements, youth initiatives and cultural diversity.

Comments relating to the environment and youth initiatives were the main remarks from the 42 per cent of respondents who supported all the priorities.

Responses recorded from submitters (5%) who did not support most of the priorities cited better utilisation of spending, suppress investment in Southmall and increase funding for youth initiatives.

Those who did not support any of the priorities (four per cent) commented on prioritisation of funding and a focus on core business such as parks and road maintenance as opposed to events.

42. The Manurewa Local Board held one Have Your Say event on Saturday 7 March at Totara Park. Feedback was received from 61 people.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support all</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support most</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not support most</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feedback from respondents who either supported all or most of the local board’s priorities requested more support for youth initiatives, more rubbish bins, upgrading playgrounds, splash pads and free access to community facilities.

One comment that opposed most of the priorities believed a stronger focus on mental health was needed.

**Feedback on other local topics**

43. Key themes across feedback received on other local topics include:

- **Local community services**: main comments from respondents focussed on increased support for youth related initiatives, town centre beautification and acknowledging all cultures within Manurewa.

- **Local parks, sport and recreation**: submitters appealed for free access to pools for all ages and upgrades of parks and playgrounds including basketball courts.

- **Local arts, culture and events**: concerns were raised around focusing solely on Māori aspirations and excluding other cultures. Furthermore, an emphasis on core council business was highlighted as more essential than events.

- **Local planning**: respondents mentioned concerns around traffic congestion, support for town centre beautification and housing affordability.

- **Local economic development**: an emphasis on addressing socioeconomic issues such as homelessness and crime, and requests to upgrade town centres were the main responses.

- **Local environmental management**: submitters supported efforts around clean waterways and pest free programmes.

**Requests for local funding**

44. Requests for local funding included:

- **Netball Manurewa**: Request for various building maintenance issues were received.
Information on submitters

45. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Gender demographic of submitters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph 3: Age demographic of submitters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph 4: Ethnic breakdown of submitters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Of the 190 people who provided demographic information, 119 were female, 71 were male and 0 were gender diverse, as shown in table 2. Graph 3 also shows that the age group with the highest submission rates were those between 15 – 24 and 24 – 34. Fifty three percent of the submissions came from respondents who identified as Māori, followed by
Pacific (25 per cent), European (25 per cent), Asian (nine per cent) and other (one per cent).

Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from the Manurewa local board area

46. The proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees, the key proposals were:

- waste management targeted rate
- refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
- Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

47. The submissions received from the Manurewa Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

Waste management targeted rate

48. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: Waste management targeted rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The cost of responsibly dealing with our kerbside recycling (paper, cardboard and plastics) has increased due to international market conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To pay for this we propose to charge only those who use the service by increasing the targeted rate by $19.97 a year or $0.38 a week (the total cost changing from $121.06 to $141.03 incl. GST).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If we do not do this, we would have to fund the shortfall by increasing general rates for all ratepayers, including those who don’t get a kerbside collection service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

49. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Manurewa local board area.

Graph 5: Responses to waste management targeted rate

- A strong majority of respondents (68 per cent) support a waste management targeted rate and believe improvements to the current system including alternative ways to reduce waste without increasing rates are needed. Some respondents supported a ‘pay as you throw’ system as opposed to spreading the costs to those who do not use the service.
Others considered the increase to be reasonable given the significance of the issue and queried how revenue generated would be spent.

- Submitters who responded with ‘other’ (19 per cent) commented on methods to improve the current system and the financial implications on lower income residents. Respondents who did not support the proposal (13 per cent) also cited concerns on already high costs of living, reprioritisation of existing council funding to negate the need for a targeted rate, and a view that those who produce the most waste should pay equitably.

**Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City**

50. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate.

**Question 2: Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City**

*In the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas, households pay for rubbish through a targeted rate. In other parts of the city, residents pay for their collection via Pay As You Throw. The targeted rate for the Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas no longer meets the cost of collection.*

To cover this extra cost we propose increasing the targeted rate in these areas by $14.23 a year or $0.27 a week for a 120 litre bin (the total cost changing from $129.93 to $144.16 incl. GST), and an additional $6.68 a year or $0.13 a week for a large 240 litre bin (the total cost changing from $191 to $211.91 incl. GST).

*If we do not do this, we would have to increase general rates for all ratepayers, including those living outside these two areas who would subsidise residents of old Auckland and Manukau cities.*

51. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Manurewa local board area.

*Graph 6: Responses to refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City*

- Just over half of respondents (52 per cent) support the proposal to increase refuse collection in the former Auckland City and Manukau City area, with commentary centered on endorsing user pays and the inequity for residents outside these areas disbursing for this service. Some respondents noted the impact of the increased costs on low income households and felt more education campaigns on reducing waste is needed.
- Those who did not support the focus areas (33 per cent) raised concerns around unaffordability for lower income residents, who already feel stretched by existing tax/rates policy and cost of living. In addition, some respondents urged the council to find alternative solutions while others felt the increase in cost will only encourage illegal dumping.

- The 15 per cent who selected ‘other’ proposed a variety of explanations for waste management challenges and ways to address it, such as pay as you throw across the entire region and cleaner disposing of waste to produce energy.

**Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

52. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

**Question 3: Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

Last year we consulted on removing the septic tank pumpout service funded by a targeted rate. While feedback indicated a willingness to go ahead with the removal of this service in the Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board areas, residents of the Waitākere Ranges local board area said they wanted to keep the service. The cost of delivering this service is higher than the current targeted rate of $198.43.

Our proposal, for those in the Waitākere Ranges local board area who want the service, is to recover the full cost by increasing the targeted rate to between $260 and $320 a year (incl. GST). This increase would apply from July 2021. If we do not do this, the council could end the service, or continue to subsidise the cost of the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers, including those who don't use the service.

53. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Manurewa local board area.

**Graph 7: Responses to Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

- A clear majority of submitters support the proposal, citing user pay as the main reason as residents outside Waitakere should not have to compensate for a service they are not using. Some respondents who did not support this proposal also held similar views to those stated above and others felt users should carry the cost privately like other rural areas.
Other feedback

54. Aucklanders were asked what is important to them and if they had any feedback on any other issues. This could include the key topics of how we charge for pool fencing inspections, and adjusting our fees and charges.

55. The table below shows the number of responses from the Manurewa local board area on the Auckland Botanic Gardens fees and charges proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Responses to international visitor fees at Auckland Botanic Garden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Respondents who supported the proposal generally agreed but considered the fee to be expensive.
- Those who did not support raised concerns on where revenue generated would be spent, felt the fees were exorbitant and would subsequently drive visitors away.
- Submitters who responded with ‘other’ also believed the charge was excessive and would prove challenging to enforce.

Feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

56. No feedback was received from the Manurewa local board area on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

Feedback on other regional proposals with a local impact

57. Feedback was received from the Manurewa local board area on other regional proposals. The table below shows the areas covered by this feedback.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Responses to regional proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theme</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue and Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Transport**: respondents raised concerns around improving roading infrastructure to support Auckland’s growth and alleviate traffic congestion. Further comments alluded to footpath maintenance and improving public transport.
- **Water**: the lone response promoted a wastewater tariff for sports groups and not for profit organisations.
- **Regional community services**: submitters commented on addressing crime, as well as improving safety in public spaces.
• Regional planning: respondents referred to prioritising housing/affordable housing and upgrading dated infrastructure.

• Other regional services: most comments received centred on addressing waste management issues, such as reinstating kerbside inorganics, providing all households with compost bins and waste disposal initiatives.

• Revenue and finance: submitters placed focus on prioritising core business, supporting the council’s living wage policy and other fees and charges proposals.

**Tauākī whakaaweae āhuarangi**  
**Climate impact statement**

58. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. New targeted rates and the release of reserve funds will not have any climate impacts themselves.

59. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

60. Some of the proposed projects these would fund will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

**Ngā whakaaweae me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
**Council group impacts and views**

61. The Annual Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

**Ngā whakaaweae ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**  
**Local impacts and local board views**

62. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

63. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Annual Budget. Local board agreements form part of the Annual Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Annual Budget.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**  
**Māori impact statement**

64. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

65. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.

66. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.

67. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

68. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

69. This report is seeking local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.

70. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

71. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget by 15 May 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Annual Budget in May.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

72. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes.

73. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting.

74. The dates of these meetings are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.
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