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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

5 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

6 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

7 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

8 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

9 Extraordinary Business
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a
subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/05316

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To recommend to the Governing Body the approval of local financial matters for the local board agreement 2020/2021, as part of the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process, that are outside of the local board’s allocated authority.

2. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (Consultation part 1).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. Our Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards to agree with the Governing Body. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

4. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements (Consultation part 1).

5. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council is now considering what those impacts are likely to be, and plan to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (Consultation part 2).

6. Local boards are required to receive the feedback on the proposals in consultation part 1. These proposals are not affected by the changes being considered by the council and therefore will not be subject to further consultation. Local boards are requested to make recommendations on financial matters and provide feedback on regional proposals in consultation part 1 to the Governing Body for consideration. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway, so the scope of consultation part 2 is clear.

7. This report requests the local board make recommendations to the Governing Body on local financial matters for the local board agreement, that are outside of allocated local board decision-making, including:
   a) any new/amended Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates
   b) any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   c) proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   d) release of local board specific reserve funds.

8. The council received feedback in person at community engagement events and through written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

9. This report summarises consultation feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021, including on local board priorities for 2020/2021.
Feedback on Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021

10. The local board consulted on the following priorities:
   - Priority 1: continue to support multi-year projects
   - Priority 2: increase our focus on walking and cycling connections
   - Priority 3: protecting our environment for future generations.

11. 123 submissions were received on Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people either support most (48 per cent) or support all (43 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

12. This report seeks local board views on the proposed regional Annual Budget topics including:
   - the changes to rates and fees, key proposals:
     - waste management targeted rate
     - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
     - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate
   - the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021
   - other budget information.

13. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before final decisions are made on the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

14. Out of the 4673 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021, 160 submissions were from people living in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.

15. The waste management targeted rate was overall supported by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submitters with 72 per cent in support, 25 per cent opposed, and 3 per cent marked ‘other’.

16. There was overall support for the proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate with 71 per cent in support, 23 per cent in opposition, and 6 per cent marked ‘other’.

17. The Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate was overall supported by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki residents with 67 per cent in support of continuing the service, 24 per cent opposed, wanting to end the service, and 9 per cent opposed, wanting to continue the service as is.

18. Seven of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submissions provided feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021, mostly raising their concerns regarding the plans to fell exotic trees on the maunga.

19. Auckland Council also consulted on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review at the same time. The feedback received on this will be presented at a later date.
**Ngā tūtohunga**  
**Recommendation/s**

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the proposed Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021;

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area;

c) recommend any new or amended Business Improvement District targeted rates to the Governing Body;

d) recommend any new or amended local targeted rate proposals to the Governing Body;

e) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects, which are outside local boards’ allocated decision-making responsibility;

f) recommend the release of local board specific reserve funds to the Governing Body;

g) provide feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021.

**Horopaki Context**

20. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s Annual Budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

21. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This is now referred to as consultation part 1.

22. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Work to date on the proposed Annual Budget will need to be adjusted to consider the new financial realities facing Auckland.

23. The financial report presented to the Emergency Committee during April 2020 indicated potential reductions in cash revenue of $350-650m for financial year 2020/2021, depending on the length and extent of the disruption caused by COVID-19. The Emergency Committee requested staff provide further information to the Governing Body on the impacts of the various scenarios modelled against a rates increase of between 0 per cent and 3.5 per cent. They also resolved that further public consultation on the Annual Budget would include considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship due to the impacts of COVID-19.

24. Local boards have been asked by the Governing Body to provide formal views on priorities for consideration in the ‘emergency budget’. Local boards will have until 12 May 2020 to provide their views, noting that staff are developing advice on potential local areas for cost saving, and that this will take some time to finalize.

25. The council is planning to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19. It is anticipated this will be carried out from late May until mid-June 2020. This will be in addition to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation we have already carried out from February to March 2020. This is referred to as consultation part 2.

26. Consultation part 2 is unlikely to revisit any of the specific proposals in consultation part 1. Therefore, the local boards and the Governing Body are required to receive the feedback on
these proposals and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway so it is clear what decisions have already been made, and what decisions will be made after consultation part 2.

27. Further, some of the proposed changes to fees and charges required a Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) and the requirements for this were met in consultation part 1. It is important to complete this statutory process, especially where consultation part 2 will not be relevant to the decisions on these fees and charges.

28. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, and on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local board area.

Local financial matters for the local board agreement

29. This report asks the local board to agree its input and recommend any local financial matters to the Governing Body in May 2020. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the Annual Budget process.

Local targeted rate and Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rate proposals

30. Local boards are required to endorse any new local targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

31. The expansion of the Glen Innes Business Improvement District was consulted on. However, the Glen Innes Business Association has decided to postpone their BID expansion ballot until May 2020 so that engagement with businesses in the proposed expansion area can be improved. Under the BID Policy, the business association have until the end of March 2021 to complete the ballot. If successful, the expansion will be considered as part of the 2021/2022 Annual Budget consultation process.

Funding for Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI)

32. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million, projects over that amount require approval from the Governing Body.

33. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2020/2021, if there is a specific need to do so. Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

34. There are no LDI projects over $1 million, or projects requiring the release of local board specific reserve funds in the local board area at this stage.

Local board input on regional plans

35. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Annual Budget.

36. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

Council-controlled organisation (CCO) review

37. An independent panel was appointed by Auckland Council to examine three areas: (1) the CCO model, roles and responsibilities, (2) the accountability of CCOs, and (3) CCO culture. Local boards had the opportunity to provide input into this in March 2020.

38. Auckland Council also consulted on the review of CCOs during the same period as the Annual Budget, from 21 February to 22 March 2020.
39. After receiving feedback, the panel will report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback to the council in May 2020.

40. The panel will provide a final report and recommendations to the council in July 2020.

**Types of feedback**

41. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 4,673 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   - Written feedback – 3,728 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
   - In person – through two Have Your Say events and one community event.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Feedback received on Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021**

42. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board consulted on the following priorities:
   - Priority 1: continue to support multi-year projects
   - Priority 2: increase our focus on walking and cycling connections
   - Priority 3: protecting our environment for future generations.

43. Key themes across all feedback received (through written and in person channels) were:
   - increasing safe cycling connections throughout the local board area
   - stronger priority for protecting the environment
   - greater investment on the environment

**Feedback received**

44. 123 submissions were received on Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people either support most (48 per cent) or support all (43 per cent) of the local board’s priorities.

45. The Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board held two Have Your Say events and one community event.

**Feedback on other local topics**

46. Key themes across feedback received on other local topics include:
   - Theme 1: closing the loop and keeping the community informed on project progress and timeframes.
   - Theme 2: support for town centres and local businesses post COVID19
   - Theme 3: opportunity for local social enterprises to support positive environmental outcomes
   - Theme 4: support focus on climate change

**Requests for local funding**

47. There were no requests for local funding in all the feedback received.
Information on submitters

48. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

Figure 1 - Gender and age demographics of submitters from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Gender Diverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Gender and age demographics of submitters from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

Figure 2 - Ethnicity of submitters in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pākehā/NZ European</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African/Middle Eastern/Latin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total people providing ethnicity</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>106%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Ethnicity of submitters from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area

49. The proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees, the key proposals were:

- waste management targeted rate
- refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
- Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

50. The submissions received from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.
Waste management targeted rate

51. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate.

**Question 1: Waste management targeted rate**

The cost of responsibly dealing with our kerbside recycling (paper, cardboard and plastics) has increased due to international market conditions.

To pay for this we propose to charge only those who use the service by increasing the targeted rate by $19.97 a year or $0.38 a week (the total cost changing from $121.06 to $141.03 incl. GST).

If we do not do this, we would have to fund the shortfall by increasing general rates for all ratepayers, including those who don’t get a kerbside collection service.

52. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.

![Waste management targeted rate response graph]

53. Of the 160 submissions form the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area, 127 responded to the waste management targeted rate. This proposal was overall supported by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submitters.

54. Key themes in the comments for those that opposed this proposal was support for initiating pay as you throw across the region, concern that this will cause an increase in illegal dumping, and support for a product stewardship scheme.

Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City

55. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate.

**Question 2: Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City**

In the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas, households pay for rubbish through a targeted rate. In other parts of the city, residents pay for their collection via Pay As You Throw. The targeted rate for the Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas no longer meets the cost of collection.

To cover this extra cost we propose increasing the targeted rate in these areas by $14.23 a year or $0.27 a week for a 120 litre bin (the total cost changing from $129.93 to $144.16 incl. GST), and an additional $6.68 a year or $0.13 a week for a large 240 litre bin (the total cost changing from $191 to $211.91 incl. GST).

If we do not do this, we would have to increase general rates for all ratepayers, including those living outside these two areas who would subsidise residents of old Auckland and Manukau cities.

56. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.
57. 126 of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submissions responded to the proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate. There was overall support for this proposal.

58. However, key themes in the comments for those that opposed this proposal was concern that this will cause an increase in illegal dumping, support for education/campaigns on reducing household waste, and support for local social enterprises within this industry.

**Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

59. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

**Question 3: Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

_Last year we consulted on removing the septic tank pumpout service funded by a targeted rate. While feedback indicated a willingness to go ahead with the removal of this service in the Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board areas, residents of the Waitākere Ranges local board area said they wanted to keep the service. The cost of delivering this service is higher than the current targeted rate of $198.43.

Our proposal, for those in the Waitākere Ranges local board area who want the service, is to recover the full cost by increasing the targeted rate to between $260 and $320 a year (incl. GST). This increase would apply from July 2021.

If we do not do this, the council could end the service, or continue to subsidise the cost of the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers, including those who don’t use the service._

60. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.
61. 109 of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submissions responded to the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate. The proposal was overall supported by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki submitters.

62. Of the 33 per cent that opposed this proposal the main theme in their comments was that this is individual households’ responsibility not councils.

Feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

63. Seven comments were received from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021, mostly raising their concerns regarding the plans to fell exotic trees on the maunga.

Feedback on other regional proposals with a local impact

64. Feedback was received from the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area on other regional proposals, the graph below shows the areas covered by this feedback.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Transport</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Water</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Regional Community Services</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Regional planning</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Other Regional Services</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Rating and Funding</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Revenue and Finance</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Tupuna Maunga</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Council Controlled Services</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-General Comments</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

65. Majority of the feedback received on other regional proposals was regarding the transport, other regional services, and rating and funding themes.

66. Most of the transport feedback was regarding the need for walking and cycling improvements across Auckland and in the local board area.

67. The feedback received on other regional services primarily supported the need for further climate action.

68. The feedback received on the rating and funding theme was regarding concern on council spending and the affordability of the rates increase.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

69. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. New targeted rates and the release of reserve funds will not have any climate impacts themselves.

70. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

71. Some of the proposed projects these would fund will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

72. The Annual Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

73. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

74. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Annual Budget. Local board agreements form part of the Annual Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Annual Budget.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

75. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

76. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.

77. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.

78. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

79. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

80. This report is seeking local board recommendations on financial matters in local board agreements that require approval by the Governing Body.

81. Local boards are also providing input into regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

82. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget by 15 May 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Annual Budget (consultation part 1) in May.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

83. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant Governing Body committees for consideration during decision making on the Annual Budget (consultation part 1). The dates of these decision-making meetings are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

84. Local boards are requested by the Governing Body to provide formal views on priorities for consideration in the ‘emergency budget’ (consultation part 2) by 12 May 2020. Staff are currently developing advice on potential local areas for cost saving.

85. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes for FY 2020/2021. The dates for local board workshops and business
meetings, as part of this process, are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**  
**Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.
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