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1 Welcome
A board member will lead the meeting in prayer.

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Papakura Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting held on Wednesday 22 April 2020, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Papakura Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.
Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,.

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To notify the Papakura Local Board of a decision made under the local board’s urgent decision-making process to change the additional business meeting in May 2020, in order to meet the new timeframes for the modified Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the urgent decision to cancel the additional business meeting date on 13 May 2020 and add a new additional meeting date of 6 May 2020, in order to meet the new timeframes for the modified Annual Budget 2020/2021, as provided in Attachment A to this report.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td>Urgent decision memo Papakura Local Board additional meeting in May 2020</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories
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Decision Memo

29 April 2020

To: Manoj Ragupathy, Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Local Board
cc: Papakura Local Board Chairperson and Members
From: Paula Brooke, Democracy Advisor Papakura Local Board

Subject: Urgent decision for the Papakura Local Board additional business meeting in May 2020

Purpose
Seeking the Papakura Local Board’s decision to change the additional business meeting date.

Reason for the urgency:
• The timeframes for the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process have now been modified due to delays in receiving the processed submissions caused by the Covid-19 lockdown.
• The Papakura Local Board scheduled an additional meeting for 13 May 2020 [resolution PPK/2020/42] to decide on their feedback and advocacy for the Annual Budget 2020/2021, based on submissions from their local board area.
• The 13 May 2020 meeting will need to be brought forward to the original approved date of 6 May 2020 due to the new timeframes for the modified Annual Budget 2020/2021.
• This matter has been canvassed with the local board and all members are supportive of the staff recommendations and using the urgent decision process to determine this matter.

Decision sought from the chairperson and deputy chairperson (or any person acting in these roles):

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) cancel the additional meeting scheduled on 13 May 2020 at 4.30pm, to decide on their feedback and advocacy for the Annual Budget 2020/2021.
b) add a new meeting date of 6 May 2020 at 4.30pm, to meet the new timeframes for the modified Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Background
• The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.
• The Papakura Local Board adopted its 2019-2022 business meeting schedule at its 4 December 2019 meeting [resolution PPK/2019/219].
• That schedule provided for an additional meeting on 6 May 2020 to decide on the board’s feedback and advocacy for the Annual Budget 2020/2021, based on submissions from its local board area.
• The timeframes for the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process have been modified due to delays in receiving the processed submissions caused by the Covid-19 lockdown.
The Papakura Local Board cancelled its 6 May 2020 meeting [PPK/2020/42] on 22 April 2020 to accommodate the Annual Budget 2020/2021 timeframes and scheduled a new meeting date of 13 May 2020.

This meeting needs to be brought forward to the original date of 6 May 2020 in order to meet the new timeframes for the modified Annual Budget 2020/2021.

The local board will now need to cancel the scheduled 13 May additional meeting.

Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process:

[Signature]

Manoj Ragupathy
Relationship Manager, Papakura & Manurewa Ward

29 April 2020
Date

[Signature]

Brent Catchpole
Chairperson, Papakura Local Board

29 April 2020
Date

[Signature]

Jan Robinson
Deputy Chairperson, Papakura Local Board

29 April 2020
Date
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/05203

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for local financial matters for the local board agreement 2020/2021, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 process.
2. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. Our Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards to agree with the Governing Body. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.
4. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements (consultation part 1).
5. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council is now considering what those impacts are likely to be, and plan to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (consultation part 2).
6. Local boards are required to receive the feedback on the proposals in consultation part 1, which are not affected by the changes being considered by the council and therefore will not be subject to further consultation and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway, so the scope of consultation part 2 is clear.
7. This report seeks decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including:
   a) any new/amended Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates
   b) any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   c) proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   d) release of local board specific reserve funds.
8. The council received feedback in person at community engagement events and through written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.
9. This report summarises consultation feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021, including on local board priorities for 2020/2021.

Feedback on Papakura Local Board priorities for 2020/2021
10. The local board consulted on the following priorities:
   - Priority 1: Continue providing funding towards events that bring the community together such as Movies in the Park, Carols in the Park, Santa Parade and Papakura Street Festival
Priority 2: Building a new playground at Kauri Heart Park and a new play space at Hingaia park.

Priority 3: Continue to provide quality parks and playgrounds, libraries, community and recreation facilities

11. Ninety-eight submissions were received on Papakura local board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people (54%) support most of the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 from the Papakura local board area

12. This report seeks local board views on the proposed regional Annual Budget topics including:

- the changes to rates and fees, key proposals:
  - waste management targeted rate
  - refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
  - Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate

- the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

- other budget information.

13. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

14. Out of the 2522 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021, 98 submissions were from people living in the Papakura local board area.

15. Waste management targeted rate: a strong majority of respondents (73 percent) support the proposed waste management targeted rate, 22 percent did not support, and 5 percent selected other.

16. Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City: a clear majority of respondents support the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate increase (64 percent), with 27 percent opposed and 9 percent selecting other.

17. Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate: fifty seven percent of respondents supported the increase targeted rate proposed, 27 percent did not support (end service) and a further 16 percent disagree with the proposal (continue as is).

18. No feedback was received on the Draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

19. Auckland Council also consulted on the Council-Controlled Organisations (CCO) Review at the same time. The feedback received on this will be presented at a later date.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the proposed Papakura Local Board priorities for 2020/2021.

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Papakura local board area.

c) recommend any new or amended Business Improvement District targeted rates to the Governing Body.
d) recommend any new or amended local targeted rate proposals to the Governing Body.

e) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) capital projects, which are outside local boards’ allocated decision-making responsibility.

f) recommend the release of local board specific reserve funds to the Governing Body.

g) provide feedback on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021.

**Horopaki Context**

20. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s Annual Budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures.

21. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This is now referred to as consultation part 1.

22. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Work to date on the proposed Annual Budget will need to be adjusted to consider the new financial realities facing Auckland.

23. The financial report presented to the Emergency Committee during April 2020 indicated potential reductions in cash revenue of $350-650m for financial year 2020/2021, depending on the length and extent of the disruption caused by COVID-19. The Emergency Committee requested staff provide further information to the Governing Body on the impacts of the various scenarios modelled against a rates increase of between 0 per cent and 3.5 per cent. They also resolved that further public consultation on the Annual Budget would include considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship due to the impacts of COVID-19.

24. The council is planning to ask Aucklanders for their views on certain aspects of Auckland Council’s proposed ‘emergency budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19. It is anticipated this will be carried out from late May until mid-June 2020. This will be in addition to the Annual Budget 2020/2021 consultation we have already carried out from February to March 2020. This is referred to as consultation part 2.

25. Consultation part 2 is unlikely to revisit any of the specific proposals in consultation part 1. Therefore, the local boards and the Governing Body are required to receive the feedback on these proposals and make decisions on them. This must be done before consultation part 2 can get underway so it is clear what decisions have already been made, and what decisions will be made after consultation part 2.

26. Further, some of the proposed changes to fees and charges required a Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) and the requirements for this were met in consultation part 1. It is important to complete this statutory process, especially where consultation part 2 will not be relevant to the decisions on these fees and charges.

27. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the Papakura Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, and on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Papakura local board area.

**Local financial matters for the local board agreement**

28. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in May 2020. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the Annual Budget process.
Local targeted rate and Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rate proposals

29. Local boards are required to endorse any new local targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

Funding for Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI)

30. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million; projects over that amount require approval from the Governing Body.

31. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2020/2021 if there is a specific need to do so. Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

Local board input on regional plans

32. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Annual Budget.

33. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

Council-controlled organisation (CCO) review

34. An independent panel was appointed by Auckland Council to examine three areas: (1) the CCO model, roles and responsibilities, (2) the accountability of CCOs, and (3) CCO culture. Local boards had the opportunity to provide input into this in March 2020.

35. Auckland Council also consulted on the review of CCOs during the same period as the Annual Budget, from 21 February to 22 March 2020.

36. After receiving feedback, the panel will report on key issues, community and stakeholder feedback to the council in May 2020.

37. The panel will provide a final report and recommendations to the council in July 2020.

Types of feedback

38. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 4765 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:

- written feedback – 3828 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
- in person – through 58 Have Your Say and community events.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

39. The Papakura Local Board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Continue providing funding towards events that bring the community together such as Movies in the Park, Carols in the Park, Santa Parade and Papakura Street Festival
- Priority 2: Building a new playground at Kauri Heart Park and a new play space at Hingaia park.
- Priority 3: Continue to provide quality parks and playgrounds, libraries, community and recreation facilities.

40. Key themes across all feedback received (through written and in person channels) were:
Feedback received

41. Ninety-eight submissions were received on Papakura Local Board priorities for 2020/2021, showing that the majority of people support most (54%) local board’s priorities as shown in graph 1 below.

Graph 1: Support for Papakura local board’s priorities

- Results show that the majority (54%) of submitters supported most of the local board’s priorities and a further 28% of respondents supported all the priorities
- 14% of submitters did not support most of the priorities and a further 4% did not support any of the priorities
- Most comments received from the 54% of submitters who supported most of the board’s priorities referred to more investment towards youth initiatives, environment, upgrading playgrounds and community halls. Other remarks noted a perceived lack of investment and quality services in Papakura compared to other parts of Auckland
- Comments relating to more playgrounds, youth initiatives and celebrating diverse cultures were the main remarks from the 28% of respondents who supported all the priorities
- Responses recorded from submitters (14%) who did not support most of the priorities cited better focus on core council services, improve quality of parks and increase investment for youth initiatives
- Those who did not support any of the priorities (6%) commented on prioritising car parking spaces next to train stations
42. The Papakura Local Board held 1 Have Your Say event on Friday 6 March at Central Park. Feedback was received from 31 people.

Table 1: Response to local board priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support all</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support most</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not support most</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not support any</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Feedback from respondents who either supported all or most of the local board’s priorities indicated approval for town centre initiatives. Requests for improved community safety and more community events such as fireworks display, and music festivals were received.

Feedback on other local topics
43. Key themes across feedback received on other local topics include:

- Local community services: most comments from submitters felt there should be more focus on supporting young people
- Local parks, sport and recreation: responses highlighted the need to improve walking and cycling infrastructure, upgrades of playgrounds and play spaces to bring community together
- Local planning: respondents who commented suggested prioritising funding towards roading infrastructure, the environment and other planning services to supplement growth
- Local arts, culture and events: feedback received voiced requests for more investment towards youth initiatives and events
- Local environmental management: comments received believed there should be more funding and priority towards addressing environmental issues and services

Requests for local funding
44. Requests for local funding included:

- Request 1: Bruce Pulman Park: Two funding applications were received. A facility operating grant of $150,000 per annum for Pulman Arena and a capital grant of up to $600,000 for the rubberising of outdoor courts.
- Request 2: Papakura Museum: increase funding for Papakura Museum to cover additional 30% of museum operating expenses

Information on submitters
45. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.
Table 2: Gender demographic of submitters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 3: Age demographic of submitters

Graph 4: Ethnic breakdown of submitters

- Of the 90 people who provided demographic information, 50 were female, 40 were male and 0 were gender diverse, as shown in table 2. Graph 3 also shows that the age group with the highest submission rates were those between 15 – 24 and 55 – 64. Fifty percent of the submissions came from respondents who identified as European, followed by Maori (32%), Asian (16%), Pacific (10%) and other (1%).
Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Papakura Local Board area

46. The proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 sets out our priorities and how we're going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees, the key proposals were:

- waste management targeted rate
- refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City
- Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

47. The submissions received from the Papakura Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

Waste management targeted rate

48. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate.

**Question 1: Waste management targeted rate**

The cost of responsibly dealing with our kerbside recycling (paper, cardboard and plastics) has increased due to international market conditions.

To pay for this we propose to charge only those who use the service by increasing the targeted rate by $19.97 a year or $0.38 a week (the total cost changing from $121.06 to $141.03 incl. GST).

If we do not do this, we would have to fund the shortfall by increasing general rates for all ratepayers, including those who don’t get a kerbside collection service.

49. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Papakura Local Board area.

**Graph 5: Response to waste management targeted rate**

- A strong majority of submitters support the proposal and considered the increase to be acceptable given the importance of the issue. Respondents also supported a pay as you throw system as an equitable approach for those who do not use the service while others encouraged council to find longer term solutions to prevent future rates increases.
- Those who opposed the proposal recommended improvements to the current system such as recycling buy back schemes and other incentivisation programmes, while some felt the increase will encourage illegal dumping. Respondents who replied with ‘other’ generally supported the increase and considered other measures such as charging per individual as
opposed to per household, and ensuring businesses are accountable for waste they produce.

Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City

50. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate.

Question 2: Refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City

In the old Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas, households pay for rubbish through a targeted rate. In other parts of the city, residents pay for their collection via Pay As You Throw. The targeted rate for the Auckland City and Manukau City Council areas no longer meets the cost of collection.

To cover this extra cost we propose increasing the targeted rate in these areas by $14.23 a year or $0.27 a week for a 120 litre bin (the total cost changing from $129.93 to $144.16 incl. GST), and an additional $6.68 a year or $0.13 a week for a large 240 litre bin (the total cost changing from $191 to $211.91 incl. GST).

If we do not do this, we would have to increase general rates for all ratepayers, including those living outside these two areas who would subsidise residents of old Auckland and Manukau cities.

51. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Papakura Local Board area.

Graph 6: Response to refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City

- There is strong support for the proposal to increase refuse collection in the former Auckland and Manukau City areas, with most respondents generally agreeing those in the affected areas to pay for the service as reasonable. Others suggested applying a consistent ‘pay as you throw’ system across the Auckland region and finding solutions to prevent illegal dumping.
- Submitters who did not support this proposal believed all areas of Auckland should pay as you throw, provided alternative methods to address the issue such as the creation of private companies to collect waste, and others suggesting to share the cost across the region to lessen the financial impact on low income households. Those who responded with ‘other’ made similar comments to endorse a uniform approach across the region.
Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate

52. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to increase the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate.

**Question 3: Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate**

Last year we consulted on removing the septic tank pumpout service funded by a targeted rate. While feedback indicated a willingness to go ahead with the removal of this service in the Henderson-Massey and Upper Harbour local board areas, residents of the Waitākere Ranges local board area said they wanted to keep the service. The cost of delivering this service is higher than the current targeted rate of $198.43.

Our proposal, for those in the Waitākere Ranges local board area who want the service, is to recover the full cost by increasing the targeted rate to between $260 and $320 a year (incl. GST). This increase would apply from July 2021.

If we do not do this, the council could end the service, or continue to subsidise the cost of the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers, including those who don’t use the service.

53. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Papakura Local Board area.

- Of the 57 percent of respondents who supported this proposal, many felt this did not affect them and agreed that Waitakere residents should cover the full cost of this service and not have it subsidised from ratepayers outside Waitakere.
- Those who did not support the proposal (27% for council to suspend the service) felt users should take full responsibility of the cost privately as other rural areas do.
- The 16 percent of submitters who did not support the proposal (continue to subsidise costs) were concerned about the environmental impacts and risks if septic tank users could not afford to go private and considered it a responsibility for all ratepayers.

**Other feedback**

54. Aucklanders were asked what is important to them and if they had any feedback on any other issues. This could include the key topics of how we charge for pool fencing inspections, and adjusting our fees and charges.

55. The table below gives an overview of the responses from the Papakura Local Board area.
Table 3: Responses to other fees and charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Swimming pool inspection charges</th>
<th>Auckland Botanic Garden international visitor fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not support</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Swimming pool inspection charges: most comments opposing the proposal preferred the status quo of a 3-year charge and raised concerns around administration costs. Those in favour of the proposal felt it was fair system, particularly when change of home ownership occurs.

- Auckland Botanic Garden international visitor fee: submitters who commented generally disagreed with the proposal and considered the charge to be excessive, other also suggesting any revenue generated be reinvested into the Botanic Garden.

Feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021

56. No feedback was received from the Papakura Local Board area on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2020/2021.

Feedback on other regional proposals with a local impact

57. Feedback was received from the Papakura Local Board area on other regional proposals.

58. The table below shows the areas covered by this feedback.

Table 4: Responses to other regional proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Community Services</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional planning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue and Finance</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Transport: respondents commented on improving roading, walking and cycling infrastructure, and alleviating traffic congestion.

- Water: submitters commented on improving public wastewater stations and drainage maintenance issues.

- Regional community services: submitters supported ongoing maintenance of parks and libraries; others commented on upgrading various speedway venues across Auckland.
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021

Item 12

• **Regional planning:** respondents endorsed better public health facilities in south Auckland and monitoring dog off leash areas in public spaces.

• **Revenue and finance:** most responses opposed future rates increases and others requested to remove targeted rates around compost collection.

---

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

59. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. New targeted rates and the release of reserve funds will not have any climate impacts themselves.

60. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

61. Some of the proposed projects these would fund will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

62. The Annual Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

63. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

64. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Annual Budget. Local board agreements form part of the Annual Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Annual Budget.

---

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

65. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Annual Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

66. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.

67. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.

68. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

69. Some of the proposed projects these would fund may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
70. This report is seeking local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.

71. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
72. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget by 15 May 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Annual Budget in May.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
73. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes.

74. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting.

75. The dates of these meetings are yet to be determined as the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are taken into account.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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