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1 Welcome
   A board member will lead the meeting in prayer.

2 Apologies
   At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
   Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
   That the Papakura Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting held on Wednesday 6 May 2020, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence
   At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
   At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
   At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.
8  Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Papakura Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1  Deputation - Papakura Business Association

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. Tracy Shackleton from the Papakura Business Association, will speak to CCTV funding.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
 a) thank Tracy Shackleton from the Papakura Business Association, for her presentation on CCTV funding.

8.2  Deputation - Bruce Pulman Park

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. Gary Troup, acting Chairman of Bruce Pulman Park, will introduce Steven Bartholomew, acting CEO of Bruce Pulman Park, who will update on activities of the park.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
 a) thank Gary Troup and Steven Bartholomew from Bruce Pulman Park, for their presentation on the activities of Bruce Pulman Park.

9  Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.
10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To provide an opportunity for the Manurewa Papakura ward councillors to update the board on Governing Body issues they have been involved with since the previous meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Standing Orders 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 provides for Governing Body members to update their local board counterparts on regional matters of interest to the board.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive Councillor Angela Dalton and Councillor Daniel Newman’s updates.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairperson's Update

File No.: CP2020/05558

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for the Papakura Local Board Chairperson to update the local board on issues he has been involved in over the past month.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the verbal report from the Papakura Local Board Chairperson.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. Each month, Auckland Transport provides an update to the Papakura Local Board on transport-related matters, relevant consultations in its area, Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects and decisions of Auckland Transport’s Traffic Control Committee.

2. The Auckland Transport May 2020 update report is attached to this report along with the decision report for the Papakura One Local Initiative, seeking direction for implementation of its One Local Initiative for upgrades to the Papakura Train Station and park and ride facility.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the Auckland Transport April 2020 monthly update report as provided in Attachment A to this report

b) request Auckland Transport to pursue, through a business case to New Zealand Transport Agency for co-funding, 117 at-grade car parks at the Papakura train station made up of 91 car parks on KiwiRail land to the north-east and a further 26 car parks on the Kiwirail land to the south-west of the station (subject to that land being available to lease), as provided in Attachment B to this report

c) consistent with the underlying objectives of its One Local Initiative, request AT undertake further investigation into train station access improvements, for all modes of transportation, due to the limitations of increasing park and ride capacity at the Papakura train station.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Auckland Transport May 2020 report to the Papakura Local Board</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>One Local Initiative report to the Papakura Local Board</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 2020: Auckland Transport monthly update to the Papakura Local Board

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. An update for the Papakura Local Board about transport related matters in its area, including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A separate report from Auckland Transport on this agenda requests the Papakura Local Board to make a decision on the following:
   - Next step of the Papakura One Local Initiative (OLI).
3. The report also contains information about the following:
   - Information about the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.
   - Information about Auckland Transport local and regional projects and activities.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport May 2020 monthly update report.

Horopaki
Context
4. This report addresses transport-related matters in the board’s area and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund and the fund’s projects.
5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport (AT). Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of AT’s work programme.
6. Any LBTCF projects selected must be safe, must not impede network efficiency, and must be located in the road corridor or on land controlled by Auckland Transport (though projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).
7. AT is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. AT reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

8. This section of the report contains information about local projects, issues and initiative. It provides summaries of the detailed advice and analysis provided to the local board during workshops and briefings.

Local Board Transport Capital Fund

9. In this 2019-2022 electoral term, the local board has approximately $2.32 million of LBTCF to allocate to projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previously unallocated funds</th>
<th>Allocation for term</th>
<th>Total $ available to new Board</th>
<th>To ensure projects can be constructed during the current electoral term, the board should allocate 50% of their allocation by June 2020.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>366,879</td>
<td>1,965,384</td>
<td>$2,332,263</td>
<td>1,066,307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. AT encourages all local boards to maximise the use of their allocated funding and has established a timeline for the board to use for identification, investigation and delivery of projects.

11. The timeline is listed below:
   - On the 5th February 2020, AT workshopped an initial list of potential projects with the local board providing an opportunity to identify possible projects.
   - On the 4th March 2020, AT further workshopped this initial list of projects with the local board, providing an opportunity for the board to provide feedback.
   - On the 18th of March 2020, AT workshopped the projects identified by the local board again and confirmed the projects that the board wished to put forward for rough order of costing (ROC). No projects were put forward for ROC’s, with a preference being to utilise the LBTCF to progress delivery of an existing Community Facilities (CF) project.
   - The process agreed was that the local board would formally request by resolution, advice and rough order of costing for projects identified at the March workshop at its April 2020 business meeting.
   - During May and June 2020 AT would then provide costs and advice on the projects. This information could be used by the local board to prioritise its projects and to allocate funds based on quality advice.
   - A decision on allocation of the LBTCF is anticipated in June 2020.

12. In the second year of the local board’s electoral term, this cycle will be repeated if the board does not allocate its funds in the first year.

13. With the Papakura LB’s preference to advance an existing CF project, no ROC’s have been requested from AT as part of this process.
Responses to Resolutions

14. The most recent resolutions of the Papakura Local Board are recorded below in bold font, with Auckland Transport contained below each resolution.

Resolution number PPK/2020/11
That the Papakura Local Board:

expresses its disappointment that Auckland Transport will not consider an alternative location for the bus layover until the bus interchange work commences and a permanent solution found (noting that this may be indefinite as the bus interchange is not currently funded), despite previous undertakings by Auckland Transport staff that feasibility work has commenced at the site at the corner of Settlement Road and Great South Road, and requests information on the outcome of any feasibility work conducted to date.

15. Metro Services appreciates that it appears frustrating for the Local Board to not see movement of the bus layover issues within Papakura until issues regarding the funding for the bus interchange work is progressed. Following the earlier conversations with the local board, the team did commence some early exploration into the potential for the site on the corner of Settlement Rd and Great South Rd. The sketch diagrams that we prepared suggested that this site could have housed six buses, with appropriate supporting facilities.

16. At this point, however, we shared our thinking with our local bus operator RMTS. They immediately expressed concerns about the cultural appropriateness of establishing a driver rest and meal break facility in such close proximity to a public cemetery. Following this initial advice, we explored the matter further with Maori and Pacific Island staff members within Auckland Transport, who confirmed that this was an inappropriate location for this type of facility. Many drivers who operate local Papakura bus services for RMTS are from one of these ethnic groupings. As such, the decision was taken to not progress this site option any further – the proximity of the cemetery could not be designed away.

17. The focus has now returned to how we can deliver the integrated bus / layover facility that has been previously discussed with the local board in the Railway Street West area. Any bus layover facility needs to be located somewhere that is within a reasonably close proximity to where services commence from and also with access to toilet facilities, otherwise it will not be used in the intended manner by bus drivers. Metro Services remains in dialogue with our colleagues in the Planning and Investment team to explore how this project can be delivered.

Resolution number PPK/2020/39
That the Papakura Local Board:

b) notes that the Auckland Transport agreed to provide the board information on an alternate option for the Pescara Point Walkway project including route details and costs at its workshop on 26 March 2020, which has not been provided to date.

c) understands Community Facilities staff have developed the design and costings for the alternative route for the Pescara Point Walkway project which has not been included in this report by Auckland Transport.

d) cannot make a decision on this matter as Auckland Transport’s report is incomplete and does not include both viable options for the Pescara point Walkway project, including an analysis of each option, to help the board make an informed decision.

e) requests Auckland Transport and Community Facilities staff to discuss both options for the Pescara Point walkway project with the board at a workshop and jointly report this project for a decision to its May meeting.
f) expresses its disappointment that Auckland Transport has not responded to the board adequately and provided the necessary advice to progress the Pescara Point Walkway project to a decision, and allow the board to allocate its Local Board Transport Capital Fund in a timely manner.

18. The above resolutions (b, c, d, e, f,) relate to a Community Facilities project and have been referred to Community Facilities staff.

h) requests further clarification from Auckland Transport as to why roads in the board’s submission to Auckland Transport dated 28 March 2019, provided as an attachment to these minutes, were not approved for a speed limit reduction.

19. This request has been provided to the Road Safety Engineering Team for a detailed investigation and response. It is anticipated that this response will be provided to the Board in late June.

Local Updates

Community Safety Fund Projects for Public Consultation

19. Two of the proposed Community Safety Fund projects for Papakura have progressed to public consultation this month, including proposals for a new crossing on Elliot Street, and a raised zebra crossing on Wood Street.

20. **Elliot Street Crossing:** Papakura Local Board and Auckland Transport are proposing to install a new crossing outside Papakura skatepark in Papakura. This proposal is in response to requests from the community to improve the quality of the crossing facilities for people accessing Ray Small Park. The proposal aims to make this crossing point safer for people walking in the area.

21. **Wood Street Raised Zebra Crossing:** Papakura Local Board and Auckland Transport are proposing to install a new raised zebra crossing at 43 Wood Street in Papakura. Our proposal responds to requests from the community to improve crossing facilities for people walking to schools, sports grounds, houses and shopping centres. The proposal aims to make this a safer road for people to cross.

22. The Community Safety Fund is $20 million programme focused on tackling road safety concerns raised by the community. Auckland Transport is partnering with local boards and ward councillors to deliver more than 80 locally funded safety improvement projects across Auckland as part of this programme.

23. The results of the feedback received during the consultation process will be made available on Auckland Transports website: [https://at.govt.nz/about-us/have-your-say/south-auckland-consultations/](https://at.govt.nz/about-us/have-your-say/south-auckland-consultations/)

Covid-19 Impact.

24. At the time of report writing, the announcement has been made that New Zealand will be moving from alert level 3 to alert level 2. Isolation rules continue to have an effect on how AT conducts its business. The decrease in alert levels have allowed for construction work to recommence. While limited, this has allowed for the continuation of work in the Papakura area. Updates of projects to recommence will be provided as information allows.
Regional Transport Updates

COVID-19: Auckland Transport update
Update on public transport in Auckland under Alert Level 2

25. At the time of writing, New Zealand has announced the moved to Alert Level 2. Auckland Transport is reminding everyone that roads will become busier across the region during Alert Level 2 than they have been, and it remains important to share the road safely.

26. There are more vehicles on the road during Alert Level 2 because:
   - Work on construction sites will start again. This means more construction vehicles on the road and temporary traffic management around the sites
   - More people driving to work if their business is able to operate
   - Deliveries for businesses that can now operate safely
   - Freight services will be returning to normal levels, so there will be more heavy vehicles on the road at all times of the day

27. Although the number of people who would ordinarily be cycling to work has decreased, AT has seen an increase in local bike riding during lockdown with some areas, such as East Coast Road and Curran Street, experiencing an increase of 100 per cent or more in use compared to the same period last year.

28. The rise was mainly in neighbourhoods near homes, with good weather conditions and quiet, safer streets encouraging people to get on their bikes. AT reminds everyone driving for essential travel to be aware of people walking and bike riding. Please travel only if necessary and always keep within the speed limit.

29. People walking and cycling also need to be aware of the increase of traffic under Alert Level 2. It’s also important to maintain physical distance from other people during Level 2. We’ve made great progress on breaking the chain of COVID-19 transmission, but we need to keep up the good work if we are to eliminate the virus.”

30. Public transport is accessible at Alert Level 2 and can also be used to avoid disruption on the road network.

31. The Government asks Aucklanders to stay home and travel only if necessary. The best place to find the latest information about the COVID-19 situation in New Zealand is on the Government’s special COVID-19 website: https://covid19.govt.nz/

32. Auckland Transport’s COVID-19 page, which includes up to date public transport timetables, is at: https://at.govt.nz/COVID-19

COVID-19: AT Mobile updated with real-time train capacity levels

33. Auckland Transport has updated its AT Mobile app to show users how many people are on a train at any given time. This means, like with buses, Aucklanders using AT Mobile can now see if the recommended physical distancing between other passengers of two metres will be achievable before they get on board.

34. Currently around 15,000 essential trips are happening each day on average across AT’s network, which include trips by essential workers and those traveling to supermarkets or pharmacies. For details on what is considered an essential service visit https://covid19.govt.nz/government-actions/covid-19-alert-level/

35. As they could start doing with buses in March, AT Mobile users now can look under the Live Departures area of the app to see one of four “live occupancy statuses” for trains: Likely empty, Likely space available, Likely near the limit of safe distancing, and Likely not accepting passengers.
36. It enables AT to ensure that it meets the rule of trains as well of buses running at no more than 20 per cent capacity to ensure passengers can maintain 2 metres of separation. This allows passengers travelling to essential work or to access essential services to know that they will be safe using public transport.

37. Those who are travelling on trains for essential trips are now able to make an informed decision about which service to take for their health and safety.

38. In addition, AT Mobile’s journey planning section has been redesigned to more clearly show the steps for each journey, including notifying the user if the first stop is closed or moved so they can plan accordingly.

39. These new features are included in the latest AT Mobile update which is now available in the Google Play and Apple App stores. You can find links to those app store pages at https://at.govt.nz/atmobile

**Game-changing $450m Eastern Busway contract will generate thousands of jobs**

40. Thousands of new jobs in the infrastructure sector will result from Auckland Transport’s announcement to proceed with a Registration of Interest (ROI) for an integrated design, engineering and construction partner consortium to deliver the remaining stages of the $1.4b AMETI Eastern Busway project between Pakuranga and Botany.

41. The contract value for the construction phase alone will be $450m - with the total spend on stages 2,3 and 4 being $700m. As the agency responsible for delivering the project, Auckland Transport will form the alliance partnership with the successful consortium.

42. The project is one of the biggest investment decisions across the whole of Auckland, representing a total spend of $700 million, with huge benefits for expanding rapid public transit, cycling and walking.

43. Coming as we recover from the COVID-19-induced international recession, it will create a much-needed boost to jobs and incomes and assist Auckland’s economic recovery.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

44. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.

45. Auckland Transport’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

46. To this end, Auckland Transport’s Statement of Intent contains three performance measures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2019/20</th>
<th>2020/21</th>
<th>2021/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of buses in the Auckland bus fleet classified as low emission</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in CO2 (emissions) generated annually by Auckland Transport corporate operations (from 2017/18 baseline)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Auckland Transport streetlights that are energy efficient LED</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
47. The impact of information (or decisions) in this report are confined to AT and do not impact on other parts of the council group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
The local board have been consulted on the following project(s) over the reporting period:

a) Grove Road Development – TCC resolution process
b) 72 Hunau Road Development – TCC resolution process
c) Elliot Street, Papakura – New Crossing
d) Wood Street, Papakura – Raised Zebra Crossing

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
48. There are no specific impacts on Māori for this reporting period. Auckland Transport is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi-the Treaty of Waitangi and its broader legal obligations in being more responsive or effective to Māori.

49. Our Maori Responsiveness Plan outlines the commitment to with 19 mana whenua tribes in delivering effective and well-designed transport policy and solutions for Auckland. We also recognise mataawaka and their representative bodies and our desire to foster a relationship with them.

This plan in full is available on the Auckland Transport Website - https://at.govt.nz/about-us/transport-plans-strategies/maori-responsiveness-plan/#about

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial Implications
50. The LBTCF is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papakura Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications for the local board.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
52. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. AT has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the Papakura Local Board area.

Ngā korīnga ā-muri
Next steps
53. AT will provide another update report to the board at the next meeting in June 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
54. There are no attachments to this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>James Ralph, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Manager, Elected Member Relationship Unit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decision Report – Papakura One Local Initiative

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. For the Papakura Local Board (the Board) to advise Auckland Transport (AT) on its direction for implementation of its One Local Initiative for upgrades to the Papakura Train Station and park and ride facility.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
A decision is required this month on the next step of the Papakura One Local Initiative. The nature of this decision will dictate:

- the funding application that AT present to the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) for additional park and ride capacity investment
- the need for further investigation by AT on other ways to improve train station access, for all transport modes, due to the limitations on increasing park and ride capacity at the train station.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the decision report
b) request Auckland Transport to pursue, through a business case to New Zealand Transport Agency for co-funding, 117 at-grade car parks at the Papakura train station made up of 81 carparks on KiwiRail land to the north-east and a further 26 car parks on the KiwiRail land to the south-west of the station (subject to that land being available to lease)
c) consistent with the underlying objectives of its One Local Initiative, request AT undertake further investigation into train station access improvements, for all modes of transportation, due to the limitations of increasing park and ride capacity at the Papakura train station.

Horopaki
Context
2. The Auckland Regional Land Transportation Plan (RLTP) 2018-2028 includes a budget of $11.7m for upgrades to the Papakura park and ride facility. This budget assumes 49% funding from Council and 51% funding from NZTA.
3. The Papakura Local Board advocated for upgrades to include 300 additional car parking spaces with a preference for a multi-level park and ride option. Initial indications were that a facility meeting this preference could be delivered within the indicated budget.
4. Detailed investigation into the cost of delivery of a multi-level facility was conducted as part of a wider train station access business case by AT and Aurecon. A geotechnical assessment of the site around the train station established that the soil conditions (muddy peat and lignite present) would complicate the construction of any multi-level option. The site
shape is also not optimal for an efficient multi-level parking building layout. These factors greatly increase the overall, and per space, cost of delivery.

5. A recommendation was made that an alternative option of 117 at-grade car parks be developed, as the most cost-effective solution to deliver more parking. This is made up of 91 at grade car parks on KiwiRail land to the north-east of the station (noting this site is currently leased to AT) and a further 26 on KiwiRail land to the south-west of the station (noting this site is not currently leased to AT). A site map is provided as Attachment A.

6. The Local Board requested a peer review of the multi-level carpark cost estimates, and preparation of cost estimates for staging delivery of capacity (i.e. carpark building ground level and level-1 as stage-1 followed by additional levels at a later point in time). The scope of the review was agreed with the Local Board in July 2019. AT agreed to cover the costs ($36,000) for the review, carried out by consultants, nominated by the Local Board, Beca Ltd.

7. This peer review confirmed the high costs of delivery for a multi-level option, as detailed below.

Options Analysis:

8. Cost estimates for the available options are as follows:

Multi-level cost estimate options range from (2019 $’s):
- A total of $12.6m for an additional 80 spaces over current provision (1 level above ground)
- A total of $27.2m for an additional 269 spaces over current provision (3 levels above ground)

At Grade cost estimates (2018 $’s):
- $2.3m for an additional 117 spaces.

**Proposed location of 117 spaces**

![Diagram showing proposed location of 117 spaces]
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

9. AT advises that the monetised benefits of a multi-level car parking facility at Papakura are outweighed by the estimated cost.

10. Benefit Cost Ratio’s (BCR) calculated for the multi-story options range from 0.3 to 0.7. The BCR for the at-grade improvements was calculated to be 2.9.

11. AT has advised that it can prepare a business case to present to NZTA for a multi-level car parking facility. However, given that the Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) for this option is less than 1 and projects with BCR’s less than 1 are unlikely to secure NZTA funding subsidy without having a “Very High Results Alignment” under NZTA’s investment framework, an application for funding for a multi-level car park is unlikely to succeed.

12. Affordability and value for money remain key constraints for the Papakura Local Board’s vision for a multi-level park and ride facility at Papakura train station.

13. Due to the limitations on the additional park and ride capacity that can be delivered it is recommended that the Papakura Local Board pursue a two stage programme for its One Local Initiative.

14. It is recommended that Stage-1 is the delivery of additional at-grade park and ride capacity.

15. It is recommended that Stage-2 investigates train station access improvements for all transport modes that could deliver benefits consistent with the underlying objectives of the Local Board’s One Local Initiative.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

16. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no identified impacts or opportunities for climate change.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

17. The impact of the recommendations in this report are not confined to AT - as the One Local Initiative is an Auckland Council instigated program, advice on options has been provided to the Board by Auckland Council Staff.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

18. The Papakura Local Board view has been that delivery of a multi-level car park is the preferred option, and the Board has advocated strongly for this option.

19. Locally, in the decision making, consideration should be given by the Board to the impact that additional new train stations may have on the demand for parking at the Papakura Station. Specifically, two new stations are planned to be constructed at Drury, and a third at Paeraata Rise and delivery of these stations has been brought forward under the Governments recently announced New Zealand Upgrade Programme.
20. These additional stations are likely to have an impact on the demand from people residing outside of Papakura and currently parking around the station. A parking study conducted around the Papakura station indicated that many commuters parking around the station did not reside in the area.

21. Planned electrification of the rail network to Pukekohe is also likely to have an impact on the demand for parking, as this will remove the need for commuters to change trains at Papakura. Changing trains at Papakura often involves a wait time, so once the line to Pukekohe is electrified, commuters from south of Papakura will be more likely to utilise the Pukekohe Station.

22. Other forms of demand management for parking continue to be explored by AT including on demand Public Transport, shuttles and extending bus services in the future.

**Tauākī whakaawaeve Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

23. The proposed decision of this report has no specific impacts or opportunities for Māori.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

24. Financial implications will be dependent on the decision that the board directs to AT. As outlined, the RLTP 2018-2028 includes a budget of $11.7m for upgrades to the Papakura park and ride facility – assuming a 49% funding from Council and 51% funding from NZTA.

25. Advice on options here have been discussed with the Board by Council.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

26. The risk associated with presenting a business case to NZTA with a BCR of less than one would likely see no NZTA funding being provided, and potentially no delivery of any improved parking capacity at the Papakura Train Station.

27. There is a risk the NZTA may want to see the results of Stage-2 investigations prior to approving funding for delivery of Stage-1 improvements. AT will seek to mitigate this risk through discussions with NZTA on the urgency of providing additional park and ride capacity.

28. There is a risk that Auckland Council may not consider Stage-2 to be consistent with the original One Local Initiative wording and reduce funding. Auckland Council staff can mitigate this risk through explanation of how Stage-2 is consistent with the underlying objectives of the One Local Initiative.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

29. AT will take note of the Papakura Local Board’s decision regarding whether to proceed with Stage-1 and Stage-2 or a multi-level park and ride facility and present a business case to NZTA for funding consideration accordingly.

30. AT will agree the scope of the stage 2 investigation for other improvements to access the train station with the local board and commence this work as soon as possible.
Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

31. There are no attachments to this report.

Ngā kaihaina
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Papakura Local Grant Round Two and Multi-Board Grant Round Two 2019/2020.

File No.: CP2020/04922

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To fund, part-fund or decline the applications received for Papakura Local and Multi-Board Grant Round Two 2019/2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report presents applications received for the Papakura Local and Multi-Board Grant Round Two 2019/2020.
3. The Papakura Local Board adopted the Papakura Local Grants Programme 2019/2020 on 27 March 2019 (PPK/2019/32) as provided in Attachment A to this report. The document sets application guidelines for contestable grants submitted to the local board.
4. The Papakura Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $137,777 for the 2019/2020 financial year.
5. The Papakura Local Grant Round One and Multi-Board Grant Round One 2019/2020 is complete and $67,856 was allocated to that round. At the September 2019 business meeting the board resolved (PPK/2019/171) to allocate a further $2,500 to the Papakura Santa Parade from the Community Grants budget line, leaving $67,421 for the remaining grant rounds.
6. In Small Grants Round One 2019/2020 the local board allocated a total of $20,326.51. Then at the December 2019 business meeting the board resolved (PPK/2019/223) to allocate a further $5,000 to the Local Civic Events Papakura work programme for proposed upcoming local civic events.
7. The local board also granted $1,811.25 for the Hawkins Theatre hireage costs for the Papakura Theatre Company fundraising weekend in support of the Australian fires (PPK/2020/17). A total of $40,283.24 is available for the remaining grant rounds.
8. Twenty-four applications were received for consideration for Papakura Local Grant Round Two 2019/2020 with a total requested of $100,203.34. Eighteen applications were received for consideration for the Papakura Multi-Board Grant, Round Two 2019/2020, with a total requested amount of $51,570.00.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) agree to fund, part-fund or decline each application received in Papakura Local Board Grants Round Two 2019/2020 listed in Table One.

Table One: 2019/2020 Papakura Local Board Grants Round Two Applications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Main focus</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-202</td>
<td>The Rising Foundation Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards programme co-ordinator costs for The Rising Foundation Trust</td>
<td>$3,460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-204</td>
<td>Papakura Business Association</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards costs to install the Matariki flags in Great South Road, Papakura.</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-205</td>
<td>Auckland Southern District Chinese Association Incorporated</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards venue hire, financial auditing, costume making activities, Maori cross-cultural activities and transportation costs for the Auckland Southern District Chinese Association.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-206</td>
<td>Papakura Community Trust</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards costs for sports equipment and percussion instruments for the Red Hill Community Centre.</td>
<td>$4,204.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-207</td>
<td>Tai Brown</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards venue hire, sausages, drinks, vouchers, promotional printing and t-shirts for the graduation of participants in the men’s counselling workshops in Papakura.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-208</td>
<td>NZ PREMIERSHOW DOG OF THE YEAR INCORPORATED</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards cost for the purchase of prizes and hireage of the evening tables and chairs</td>
<td>$2,682.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-209</td>
<td>Great Potentials Foundation</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards costs of two new laptop computers for the Great Potentials service centre.</td>
<td>$3,830.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-211</td>
<td>Life Education Trust Counties Manukau</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards educational resources, insurance, salary and professional development of the Life Education coordinators at Strathallan School.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-213</td>
<td>The Youth Spaces Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards venue hire for the sports activities at the Bruce Pulman Park.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-215</td>
<td>South Auckland Performing Arts Competition Society (SAPACS) Incorporated</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards venue hire of the Hawkins Theatre for the SAPACS Performing Arts Festival 2020.</td>
<td>$10,043.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-217</td>
<td>Papakura Contract Bridge Club Incorporated.</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards costs of a defibrillator for the Bridge Club in Papakura.</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-218</td>
<td>Youthline Auckland Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards Youthline’s Helpline operational costs, volunteer triage support and supervision costs.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-219</td>
<td>Papakura City Brass</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards shirts and hats with band branding.</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-220</td>
<td>Auckland Sexual Abuse Help Foundation Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards resources, transport and operating costs of the &quot;We Can Keep Safe pre-school programme&quot;.</td>
<td>$2,111.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-221</td>
<td>Merrin Brown</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards venue hire, sausages, drinks, vouchers, promotional printing and t-shirts for graduation of participants from the women’s counselling workshops in Papakura.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-222</td>
<td>Shiloh Creative Life Centre Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards venue hire and participation fees for the &quot;Youth Tribe Arts Leadership Programme&quot; in Papakura.</td>
<td>$8,234.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-223</td>
<td>New Foundations Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards a contribution for facilitators costs for the “lead the way” programme at Papakura Intermediate.</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-225</td>
<td>Bruce Pulman Park Trust</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards venue hire of the Bruce Pullman Park for youth activities.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-226</td>
<td>Papakura City Football Club</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards coaching course fees for junior and youth level coaching.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-228</td>
<td>Children's Autism Foundation</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards contractor and administration costs of outreach sessions in homes of autistic children in Papakura.</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG2014-229</td>
<td>New Zealand Kennel Cub (trading as Dogs New Zealand)</td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Towards set-up and pack-down costs of the grandstand for exhibitors at the 2020 National Dog Show held in Papakura.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 14

LG2014-230  |  Shiloh Creative Life Centre Charitable Trust  |  Community  |  Towards participant costs, including fees and venue hire for the "dads and lads" fishing programme.  |  $5,637.28  

LG2014-231  |  New Netball Team Limited  |  Sport and recreation  |  Towards venue hire for the Bruce Pulman Park for the hosting of the round robin games of the Northern Star regional team.  |  $1,000.00  

LG2014-233  |  Action Education  |  Arts and culture  |  Towards workshop costs for the spoken word programme in Papakura,  |  $3,000.00  

Total  |  |  |  |  $100,203.34  

b)  agree to fund, part-fund or decline each application in the Papakura Multi-Board Local Grants Round Two 2019/2020

Table Two: Papakura Multi-Board, Local Grants Round Two 2019/2020 grant applications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Main focus</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-206</td>
<td>KidsCan Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards programme items including food, raincoats, shoes and socks for children attending KidsCan low decile partner schools within the Papakura area.</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-2100</td>
<td>The Kids for Kids Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards the National Young Leaders Day and the &quot;Kids for Kids&quot; mass choir event, including venue hire from 8 to 11 November 2020.</td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 14</td>
<td>MB1920-2103</td>
<td>Skatepark Maintenance Services Limited</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards skatepark supervision and an activation programme for the skateparks in Takanini, Mangere Mountain and Pukekohe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2108</td>
<td>Rainbow Youth Incorporated</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the &quot;Peer Support groups&quot; for Rainbow Youth from 1 June 2020 to 31 May 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2109</td>
<td>LifeKidz Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards play equipment and support worker wages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2112</td>
<td>Fresh Movement Arts Trust</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards the Fresh Movement Arts tour in partnership with the Revo Tour in schools from 1 June to 30 October 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2113</td>
<td>Mobility Assistance Dogs Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards veterinary costs for the puppies in prison programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2114</td>
<td>Auckland Basketball Services Limited</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards the junior coaching programme including coaching fees, promotion and administration. from 1 July to 15 December 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2115</td>
<td>The Operating Theatre Trust, trading as Tim Bray Theatre Company</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards the 'Gift a Seat' outreach programme to enable children from low decile schools to experience live children's theatre from 21 September to 18 December 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB1920-2117</td>
<td>CLM Counties Manukau Limited</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards a community hydrohub, a portable solar powered water dispenser.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant ID</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-213</td>
<td>Fix Up, Look Sharp</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards venue hire, transport, mobile phone and storage leasing costs.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-258</td>
<td>Te Whānau Tupu Ngātahi o Aotearoa - Playcentre Aotearoa</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards research costs at five local board Early Childhood Education centres in south Auckland.</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-263</td>
<td>Parenting Place Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the delivery costs of life skills, mental health and wellbeing presentations.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-267</td>
<td>Training and Budget Services Incorporated.</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards rental costs of the organisation's office space.</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-271</td>
<td>Counties Manukau Sports Foundation</td>
<td>Events, Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards venue hire and event co-ordinator costs for the 2020 Counties Manukau Sporting Excellence Awards.</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-281</td>
<td>Road Safety Education Limited</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Support towards the company's operational costs to manage the delivery of Road Safety Programme across Auckland</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-290</td>
<td>Kiwi Harvest Limited</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards driver wages and operational expenses.</td>
<td>$4000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1920-293</td>
<td>Age Concern Auckland Incorporated</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards salary costs, supervision, phone, vehicle and travel costs.</td>
<td>$3000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Horopaki Context

9. The local board allocates grants to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders and contribute to the vision of being a world class city.

10. Auckland Council Community Grants Policy supports each local board to adopt a grants programme.

11. The local board grants programme sets out:
   - local board priorities
   - lower priorities for funding
   - exclusions
   - grant types, the number of grant rounds and when these will open and close
   - any additional accountability requirements.

12. The Papakura Local Board adopted its grants programme for 2019/2020 on 27 March 2019 (PPK/2019/108) and will operate three small grants and two local grants rounds for this financial year.

13. The community grant programmes have been extensively advertised through the council grants webpage, local board webpages, local board e-newsletters, Facebook pages, council publications, radio, and community networks.

14. For the 2019/2020 financial year, the Papakura Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $137,000.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

15. Due to the current COVID-19 crisis, staff have also assessed each application according to which alert level the proposed activity is able to proceed. For example, under alert level two, only gatherings of up to 500 people outdoors and up to 100 people indoors, can take place. Events and activities have been assessed according to this criteria.

16. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. All applications have been assessed utilising the Community Grants Policy and the local board grant programme criteria. The eligibility of each application is identified in the report recommendations.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**  
**Climate impact statement**

17. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to address climate change by providing grants to individuals and groups with projects that support community climate change action. Community climate action involves reducing or responding to climate change by local residents in a locally relevant way. Local board grants can contribute to expanding climate action by supporting projects that reduce carbon emissions and increase community resilience to climate impacts. Examples of projects include local food production and food waste reduction; decreasing use of single-occupancy transport options; home energy efficiency and community renewable energy generation; local tree planting and streamside revegetation; and education about sustainable lifestyle choices that reduce carbon footprints.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
**Council group impacts and views**

18. Based on the main focus of an application, a subject matter expert from the relevant department will provide input and advice. The main focus of an application is identified as arts, community, events, sport and recreation, environment or heritage.

19. The grants programme has no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations and therefore their views are not required.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**  
**Local impacts and local board views**

20. Local boards are responsible for the decision-making and allocation of local board community grants. The Papakura Local Board is required to fund, part-fund or decline these grant applications in accordance with its priorities identified in the local board grant programme.

21. Staff will provide feedback to unsuccessful grant applicants about why they have been declined, so they will know what they can do to increase their chances of success next time.

22. A summary of each application received through Papakura Local Board Grant Round Two and Multi-Board Grant Round Two 2019/2020 is provided in Attachments B and C to this report.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**  
**Māori impact statement**

23. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to individuals and groups who deliver positive outcomes for Māori. Auckland Council’s Māori Responsiveness Unit has provided input and support towards the development of the community grant processes.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**  
**Financial implications**

24. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-Term Plan 2018-2028 and local board agreements.

25. The Papakura Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $137,777 for the 2019/2020 financial year.
26. The Papakura Local Grant Round One and Multi-Board Grant Round One 2019/2020 is complete and $67,856 was allocated to that round. At the September 2019 business meeting the board resolved (Resolution PPK/2019/171) to allocate a further $2,500 to the Papakura Santa Parade from the Community Grants budget line, leaving $67,421 for the remaining grant rounds.

27. The Local Board Small Grant’s Round One 2019/2020 was completed and an amount of $20,326.51 was allocated. At the December 2019 business meeting the board resolved (Resolution PPK/2019/223) to allocate a further $5,000 to the Local Civic Events Papakura work programme line 290 for the proposed upcoming local civic events.

28. The local board also resolved to grant the Hawkins Theatre hireage costs for the Papakura Theatre Company fundraising weekend in support of the Australian fires (PPK/2020/17). An amount of $1,811.25 was allocated, leaving $40,283.24 for the remaining grant rounds.

29. Twenty-four applications were received for the Papakura Local Grant, Round Two 2019/2020 with a total requested of $100,203.34 and eighteen applications were received for consideration for the Papakura Multi-Board Grant, Round Two 2019/2020 with a total requested amount of $51,570.00

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

30. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. The assessment process has identified a low risk associated with funding the applications in this round.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

31. Following the Papakura Local Board allocating funding for the local grants round two, council staff will notify the applicants of the local board’s decision.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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</tr>
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<td>B:</td>
<td>Papakura Local Grant Round Two 2019/2020 grant applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:</td>
<td>Papakura Multi Board Grant Round Two 2019/2020 Application Summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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</tr>
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</table>
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Papakura Local Board – Local Grants Programme 2019/2020

Our Local Grants Programme provides a funding source that is aimed at helping local communities to achieve their aspirations. Together we can contribute to making Papakura a thriving, safe and vibrant community.

Outcomes sought by the Papakura Local Board

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan 2017:

- Activities that contribute to a vibrant and prosperous metropolitan centre.
- Activities that focus on people in Papakura to lead active, healthy and connected lives.
- Activities that contribute to a strong local economy.
- Activities that contribute to Papakura being well-connected and easy to move around.
- Activities that contribute to ensuring that Papakura is treasured for its environment and heritage.

Our priorities sought from grant applications

The Papakura Local Board particularly welcomes grant applications that:

- Enable people to participate, celebrate and contribute to their local community.
- Contribute to a thriving, vibrant and safe town centre.
- Provides for local cultural and arts experiences in the Papakura local board area.
- Ensure that Papakura’s parks, sports and recreation facilities are well used.
- Support communities to achieve their goals and aspirations.
- Increase numbers of visitors who contribute to the local economy.
- Contribute to the environment in and around the harbour and streams are enjoyed by increasing numbers of people.
- Focus on reducing, reusing and recycling.
- Celebrate and highlight Papakura’s history and heritage.

Higher priorities:

The Papakura Local Board will prioritise:

- events or activities that are held in the local board area or can demonstrate the benefit for the local community.
- applicants who have considered other sources of funding for their project and/or are collaborating with other community groups
- projects or events that align with the healthy environment principles of:
  - water is the first choice
  - good kai (food) for all
  - smokefree, alcohol and drug free
  - movement is encouraged
Lower priorities:

1) Papakura Local Board has identified the following activities as lower priorities:
   - Fundraising events.
   - Costs towards travel expenses, salaries, vehicles, electronic equipment and catering.

2) Papakura Local Board has also identified the following financial situation of an applicant, as a lower priority for funding:
   - the applicant has little demonstrated financial need for the project.

Ineligibility

In addition to the eligibility criteria outlined in the Community Grants Policy, the Papakura Local Board will not fund:

- Applicants who have failed to complete or provide satisfactory accountability form from previous grants received.
- Applicants can only apply for the same project or activity once each financial year.

Investment approach

Papakura Local Board has a budget to support the local grants programme. The following minimum and maximum amounts apply:

i. **Small Grants:**
   a. Minimum amount per grant: $300
   b. Maximum amount per grant: $2,000

ii. **Local Grants:**
    a. Minimum amount per grant $ 2,000
    b. Maximum amount is generally up to $5,000 per grant unless there are exceptional circumstances

iii. **Discretionary Grants:**
    a. Requests will be assessed on a case by case basis but must demonstrably support at least one of the Papakura Local Board Plan outcomes

Application dates

Grant rounds for 2019/2020 will close on the following dates:

**Small Grants:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019/2020 grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>30 September 2019</td>
<td>25 October 2019</td>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>16 December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>13 April 2020</td>
<td>8 May 2020</td>
<td>17 June 2020</td>
<td>1 July 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local Grants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019/2020 grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>24 June 2019</td>
<td>2 August 2019</td>
<td>25 September 2019</td>
<td>1 October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>17 February 2020</td>
<td>27 March 2020</td>
<td>27 May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multi-board grants

We will consider multi-board funding on a case by case basis but will be particularly interested in applications concerning the environmentally sensitive use of the Manukau Harbour or a safe cycling and walking network across the south.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019/2020 Multi-board grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>3 June 2019</td>
<td>19 July 2020</td>
<td>25 September 2019</td>
<td>1 October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>20 January 2020</td>
<td>13 March 2020</td>
<td>27 May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accountability measures

The Papakura Local Board requires that all successful applicants:

- Include the Papakura local board logo on their publicity and promotional material, with the words “funded by/part funded by Papakura Local Board”.
- Be available to work with Council staff on media coverage and provide at least one photograph of the event or activity.

Additionally, successful applicants would be welcome to provide a verbal report at a Papakura Local Board business meeting. Ten minutes at the start of the meeting can be set aside for a deputation or three minutes during public forum. Please contact the local board’s Democracy Advisor to make arrangements.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval of the preferred concept plan for the installation of a shared path connection from Elliot Street to Pescara Bridge, Papakura.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The 2016 Papakura Greenways Plan identifies 23 pedestrian connections aimed at creating a network of priority walking and cycling routes across the area. One section, Elliot Street to Freelance Terrace, was identified for advancement through funding from the Local Board Transport Capital Fund in October 2017. This scope of work was expanded to include the design of section 13, Freelance Terrace to Pescara Bridge in August 2018.

3. Combining the two sections of work was based on the incoming installation of a new pedestrian bridge and metro-grade cycleway along the Southern Motorway Corridor. This demonstrated an opportunity to connect walking and cycling paths and complete the network linkages.

4. A series of concept alignments based on the existing conditions and public input were developed and presented at the local board workshop in August 2019. It was at this time that a preferred alignment was recommended for approval.

5. This report outlines the design alignments, required budgets, and seeks approval of the recommended concept option to deliver on the greenways shared path connection from Elliot Street to Pescara Bridge.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) approve the concept design for a new shared path linking Elliot Street to Pescara Bridge, as detailed in Attachment A of the agenda report.

b) note the Auckland Transport report to the Papakura Local Board in April 2020 recommended allocating 50% of the available Local Board Transport Capital Fund being $1,066,307 as a contribution to the shared path.

c) allocate 50% of board’s remaining Local Board Transport Capital Funding as per Auckland Transport’s recommendation amounting to $1,066,307, which together with the allocation from the previous Papakura Local Board of $1,280,000 to bring the board’s allocation for the project to $2,346,307.

d) request that Auckland Transport supported by community Facilities progress a business case urgently to the New Zealand Transport Agency for the remainder of the funding required to deliver the Elliot Street to Pescara Bridge shared path project.
Horopaki

Context

6. The 2016 Papakura Greenways Plan identifies 13 pedestrian connections aimed at creating a network of walking and cycling routes across the area. The Greenways Plan is intended to guide the provision of a network of pathways to increase the recreational and non-motorised transport opportunities for users.

7. Section 12, Elliot Street to Freelance Terrace is a new section of path which would connect a previously constructed boardwalk linking Prince Edward Park to Freelance Terrace. It is characterised by a coastal environment, limited esplanade, and private residences along a raised bluff.

8. Section 13, Freelance Terrace to Pescara Bridge is dominated by an existing 1.2m wide concrete track in moderate condition. However, the pathway follows a localised inlet and is dominated by heavy vegetation. It is predominantly used as a walking path.

9. In December 2017 the local board approved (resolution PPK/2017/251) the allocation of funds through the Papakura Local Board Transport Capital Fund to progress the section 12 connection, and requested staff begin the detailed design and report back on the firm order of costs.

10. The board resolved in 2018 to expand the design scope and rough order of costs (PPK/2018/145), as follows:
   a) approve that staff proceed with developed design and order of costs for sections 12, Elliot Street to Freelance Terrace, and 13, Freelance Terrace to Pescara Point Bridge, of the Papakura Greenways Plan
   b) note that staff do not recommend the use of pontoons as a shared path structure.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

11. A series of site investigations and public engagements were conducted to both prepare a site analysis of current conditions and to better understand local use. This combination of existing conditions and feedback was used to assemble pathway alignment options for evaluation. The following information is a summary of the information collected and advice.

12. Topography – The project site is characteristically coastal, with a steep esplanade bluff and adjacent tidal estuary. The existing grading and drainage are without any dominant overland flows. The design options consider these slopes and look to avoid any unnecessary earthworks.

13. Geotechnical - The geotechnical assessment considers the project area stable enough to support a shared pathway. However, the coastal boardwalk alignment requires fairly deep piles as a result of the geological conditions. Additionally, Section 13 has a cross slope that is subject to lateral movement, and therefore it is recommended to have a retaining wall for this portion of the pathway.

14. Infrastructure – Infrastructure is limited to the existing concrete pathways and stormwater outlets. The main issue with existing infrastructure is located at the midpoint of section 12 where a buried stormwater pipe drains to the estuary.

15. Arboreal – The existing vegetation on site is a mixture of native and introduced species with some invasive plants present. The proposed design of section 13 avoids using fill which follows a recommendation to minimise impacts to the root zone of existing pohutakawa trees. The proposed coastal boardwalk for section 12 has minimal impact to the existing vegetation, but it is recommended to remove invasive species and replace with native materials.

16. Ecological – An ecological assessment was conducted of both pathway sections. It was found that the overall ecological features of the site are considered high quality. This is
primarily due to the threatened and at-risk species within the habitat, as well as the value of 
the estuary ecosystem itself. However, the ecological impacts associated with the proposed 
development are considered to be low in accordance with the Environment Institute of 
Australia and New Zealand (EIANZ) impact assessment methodology, as the project will not 
change the baseline conditions of the site. Taking this advice into consideration, the 
recommended alignment for section 12 is not on the limited esplanade to avoid disturbing 
existing habitat, but instead follows a coastal alignment.

17. Visual Impact – In the visual impact assessment, all potential alignments and possible 
materials were considered. It was recommended that where compliant, no balustrade 
should be promoted, as it would be a visual intrusion and disrupt the viewshed. It is 
acknowledged that properties along Freelance Terrace would have minor adverse visual 
effects. An option to mitigate this further through an in-land connection is considered further 
below. The option to cross the inlet is not recommended as it would detract more from the 
visual values at present. Additionally, the in-land alignment is not supported as it takes 
users away from the coastal environment and diminishes the overall experience.

18. Coastal – The shoreline is currently stable as it is afforded protection from the marsh habitat 
and coastal planting. However, with anticipated sea level rise, more inundation of the 
foreshore margin can be expected, and the shoreline may naturally retreat over time. The 
coastal assessment outlined the existing conditions as having full tidal range but limited 
current flows, minimal wave action, as well as no significant boat wake. The proposed pile 
structure would allow for continued water movement in and out of the estuary without 
impediment. It is understood that the proposed deck level of the boardwalk would be set at 
approximately reduced level (RL) 2.8m, which is the same as the 20yr average recurrence 
interval (ARI) coastal storm event inundation. The deck is then anticipated to have 
inundation during extreme storm events. This design is to minimize the height of the 
boardwalk and reduce the visual intrusion but allow the boardwalk to be raised over time to 
adjust for sea level rise.

19. Disabled Access - Each pathway option has been reviewed for compliance with disabled 
access standards. The in-land option is in excess of the recommended slope at the 
midpoint inlet and therefore is the less supported option for full public access. The coastal 
boardwalk option is compliant and is predominantly level over the course of the alignment. 
The connection of Section 13 to the Pescara overpass bridge does increase in elevation. 
The developed design seeks to minimise this slope to the extent possible.

20. Several alignment options were prepared at the draft concept level but were excluded based 
on the findings of the site investigations. The esplanade alignment for Section 12 was 
eliminated as it would degrade the terrestrial habitat, and also, due to the narrow width and 
steep slope, could not accommodate a shared path’s dimensions. The alignment as 
suggested to cross the inlet nearest to the existing boardwalk was removed as a viable 
option. This was done as it was not considered safe due to the added fall height, the 
exposure to tidal currents, and the visual intrusion of being so far from the shoreline.

21. Through this elimination process, two remaining alignments were advanced for 
consideration. The in-land alignment was considered an option as it is supported by several 
residents along Freelance Terrace and also was an alternative design noted in the 2012 
Pahurehure and Hingaia Walkway Feasibility study. The coastal boardwalk option is the 
preferred option as it has safe access and a better experience of the natural environment. 
The two alignment options are as depicted below:
22. A summary table of the proposed options is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do Nothing (Status Quo)</th>
<th>In-land Connection</th>
<th>Coastal Boardwalk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathway Type</strong></td>
<td>No change, no connection</td>
<td>Limited timber boardwalk, widened street path on Freelance Terrace, and concrete path 3m wide</td>
<td>Timber boardwalk and concrete path, 3m wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits &amp; Challenges</strong></td>
<td>• Benefit is no further costs&lt;br&gt;• Challenge is walking and cycling connection is not made</td>
<td>• Benefit is lower cost option&lt;br&gt;• Challenges are the bluff terrain not conducive to easy walking and cycling access, exposure to vehicles, and less enjoyment of coastal nature</td>
<td>• Benefit is contiguous link with enjoyment of coastline nature&lt;br&gt;• Challenges are added cost and timeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineer’s Estimated Cost</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
<td>$3.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

23. The most immediate climate threat to the project is sea level rise. The usability of the structure may become compromised as deck inundation becomes more frequent as sea levels rise. Therefore, the design of the boardwalk sub-structure is to allow for raises to the deck, so that an adaptive approach to changing environmental conditions can be easily undertaken.

24. The production of cement is a significant contributor to carbon dioxide emissions. The quantity of concrete for these options is minimised where possible. Alternatively, the use of timber boardwalk has less emissions as the timber stores carbon taken from the atmosphere. Existing concrete can be recycled as basecourse to help minimise emissions.
25. The objective of the greenways project is to provide shared walking and cycling pathway connections. All options and the subsequent installation would reduce fossil fuel emissions over the long term by promoting non-motorised emission free transport linkages.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpu Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

26. The concept options were consulted with the Auckland Council Operational Management and Maintenance and Project Delivery teams. These teams responded with no significant issue to the options and noted that all surfaces should be non-slip.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

27. Local impacts were collected through the initial phase of community engagement. An online survey was open from 15 March until 12 April 2019. Additionally, an open day for in-person conversations was conducted on 30 March 2019 on the northern esplanade to Freelance Terrace. All stakeholders were invited to comment through the online survey or open day attendance.

28. The initial results of this feedback showed concerns for a potential increase of crime with a coastal boardwalk connection and the subsequent promotion of more people accessing the area. Further concerns for the coastal boardwalk option included maintenance, household security, impact to views, and impacts to wildlife. Local impacts in support of the coastal boardwalk option included improved access to other areas, disabled access, enjoyment of the coastline, and an improved ability to commute.

29. The draft concept options were discussed at the local board workshops on 21 August 2019 and 06 May 2020. It was at this time that the local board expressed their preference for the coastal boardwalk option as the preferred alignment.

30. The project aligns with the following Papakura Local Board Plan 2017 –
   • Outcome 4: Papakura is well-connected and easy to move around
     ▪ Objective – Papakura’s cycleway and walkways provide safe, connected alternative routes to get us to where we need to go.
   • Outcome 5: Treasured for its environment and heritage
     ▪ Objective – The environment in and around our harbour and streams is enjoyed by increasing numbers of people.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

31. Engagement with mana whenua was undertaken as part of the consultation process. A number of joint site walks were conducted with Ngai Tai Ki Tāmaki, Ngāti Tamaoho, and Ngāti Whanaunga on 24 April 2019, 27 August 2019 and 19 September 2019 respectively. All concept options were discussed, and council staff received the following feedback. The planting of native plant materials is encouraged while removal or disturbance is to be avoided in the protection of the coastal habitat. Water quality is to be protected with minimised disturbance, detailed erosion control, and stormwater management.

32. Overall effects were seen to be low, and support was given to the coastal boardwalk alignment, provided the boardwalk follows closely along the land contours of the Pahurehure Esplanade.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

33. The previous Papakura Local Board allocated $1,280,000 towards this project in 2017 (resolution PPK/2017/251). The remaining budget for physical works under the original is $975,000. The cost estimate for all options stands outside of this available budget, and therefore has insufficient funding to build both Sections 12 and 13 of the greenways plan. The local board acknowledged the budget shortfall at the workshop held on 21 August 2019 and 06 May 2020, and expressed support for seeking additional funding.

34. From the Auckland Transport report in April 2020, the required funding total was $4,067,918. Upon review with the Consultant, the design went through a value engineering process to seek savings and minimize costs. The total estimated costs are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Do Nothing (Status Quo)</th>
<th>In-land Connection</th>
<th>Coastal Boardwalk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rough Order of Cost</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
<td>$3.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Shortfall</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1.5 million</td>
<td>$2.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. Funding is available through the Local Board Transport Capital Fund which has a remaining balance of $2,332,263 for this political term. As noted in the April 2020 Auckland Transport report, it is recommended to allocate 50% of this available budget, being $1,066,307, in support of project delivery.

36. With this, there still remains a budget shortfall, and therefore it is advised the Papakura Local Board request that Auckland Transport progress a business case urgently to the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) for remaining funding required for the delivery of the Elliot Street to Pescara Bridge shared path project. A preliminary discussion with NZTA suggested they were open to considering such a business case for partnership funding.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

37. A safety-in-design review was conducted of the alignment options in coordination with the consultant. The following risks were identified as the primary risks, and mitigation treatments were incorporated where possible.
### Next steps

38. If the recommendation is supported by the local board, the project will be progressed to business case to NZTA, consent, detailed design, and procurement phases to enable construction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions – Underground services, overhead powerlines,</td>
<td>Moderate – Disturbance to all existing conditions raises the risks of injury, disruption, health and safety measures, and environmental impacts</td>
<td>Good construction methodology, clear instructions, coordinated planning, site safe delineations, minimise limit of disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drainage, vegetation, habitat, viewsheal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction - Equipment, dust and fumes, workmanship, excavations,</td>
<td>Moderate – Injuries, health and safety, rework, added costs</td>
<td>Apply safe work method, clear detailed instructions, enclose construction area, fencing, traffic control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>demolition, disposal, access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation and Public Use – Slips, trips, falls, social behaviour,</td>
<td>Moderate/High – Conflicts of use, injuries</td>
<td>Employ Principals of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED), ensure adequate sightlines and good passive surveillance throughout for cyclists and pedestrians, non-slip surfacing, sized appropriately to accommodate shared path dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shared space pedestrian and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance, Inspection, Cleaning, Repair – Asset wear and tear</td>
<td>Low/Moderate – Access to boardwalk, inspection of shared path, on-going repairs</td>
<td>Materials of shared path are simplified to timber boardwalk and concrete paths, requiring limited maintenance and comparable repair to other pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Events – Sea level rise, storm events, flooding, erosion</td>
<td>Low/Moderate – Structural integrity, inundation, damage</td>
<td>Boardwalk height can be adjusted over the long term, and site disturbances are kept to a minimum to avoid erosion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

38. If the recommendation is supported by the local board, the project will be progressed to business case to NZTA, consent, detailed design, and procurement phases to enable construction.
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Approval for a new road name at 295 Hingaia Road, Hingaia

File No.: CP2020/05483

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval from the Papakura Local Board to name four new roads, being three public roads and one private commonly owned access lot (COAL), created by way of a subdivision development at 295 Hingaia Road, Hingaia.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Auckland Council’s road naming guidelines set out the requirements and criteria for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across Auckland.

3. On behalf of the developer, Ben Harper from Surveyworx Ltd (the applicant’s agent), has proposed the names presented in the table below for consideration by the local board.

4. Any of the 12 proposed road name options shown in the table below would be acceptable for the local board to approve for use in this location, having been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region. Mana whenua were also consulted.

5. The names ‘Toitoi Circle’ and ‘Eke Circle’ where suggested by Ngāti Tamaoho. These have been suggested as options for Road 1.

6. The proposed names for the new roads at 295 Hingaia Road, Hingaia, are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Preferred And Alternative Road Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAL 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) approve 4 names for the following new roads within the development at 295 Hingaia Road, Hingaia, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 (resource consent references BUN60311739, SUB60223353 and LUC60311311):
   i) Public Road 1: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
   ii) Public Road 2: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)
   iii) Public Road 3: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

b) COAL 4: (local board to insert chosen name and road type)

Horopaki
Context

7. Resource consent BUN60311739 (subdivision reference number SUB60223353) was issued in December 2017 under the Housing Accords and Special Housing Area Act 2013 (HASHAA) for the construction of 62 residential freehold lots, seven commonly owned access lots (COALs), two local reserves, one drainage reserve, one utility reserve, one esplanade reserve and three public roads.

8. Site and location plans of the development can be found in Attachments A and B respectively.

9. In accordance with the National Addressing Standards for road naming (the AS/NZS 4819-2011 standard), the 4 roads that are the subject of this application and report require names because they each serve more than five lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the local board’s approval.

11. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect one of the following local themes, with the use of Māori names being actively encouraged:
   - a historical, cultural, or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

12. The applicant has proposed names around three local themes:
   i) horses, stud farms and horse racing, which the local area is renown for.
   ii) the Manukau Harbour, which is in close proximity to the development. The subject site is located directly on the inlet/river that empties out into the harbour.
   iii) local flora found in the area.

13. The applicant’s proposed names and meanings are set out in the table below:
Table 2: Road 1 - 295 Hingaia Road Preferred and alternative Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
<td>Toitoi Circle</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> to trot like a horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant Preferred)</em></td>
<td><strong>Suggested by Ngāti Tamaoho</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
<td>Eke Circle</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> to ride or mount a horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant first alternative)</em></td>
<td><strong>Suggested by Ngāti Tamaoho</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
<td>Tānapu Circle</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> to rear up <em>(of a horse)</em>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Road 2 - 295 Hingaia Road Preferred and alternative Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 2</td>
<td>Tipa Drive</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> scallop, queen scallop. A fan-shaped bivalve mollusc found on sand and mud-banks from low tide level to depths of 45 m. The top shell is flat and the bottom half curved. Relevant as the nearby Manukau Harbour is home to scallops and other seafood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant Preferred)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 2</td>
<td>Pipi Drive</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> Pipi, a common shellfish found in the nearby Manukau Harbour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant first alternative)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 2</td>
<td>Karatī Drive</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> Young Snapper A fish once abundant in the nearby Manukau Harbour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant second alternative)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Road 3 - 295 Hingaia Road Preferred and alternative Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Hihihiki Drive</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(verb)</em> to carry in the arms. It is also the name of one of the nearby sandbanks in the nearby Manukau Harbour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant Preferred)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Hangore Drive</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(stative)</em> be more than half full. Hangore is the name of one of the nearby sandbanks in the Manukau Harbour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant first alternative)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Pāhurehure Drive</td>
<td>It is the name of the nearby inlet in the Manukau Harbour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant second alternative)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: COAL 4 - 295 Hingaia Road Preferred and alternative Names and Meaning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road number</th>
<th>Proposed Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COAL 4</td>
<td>Köpī Lane</td>
<td>Māori word meaning: <em>(noun)</em> Karaka; a tree with dark green, very glossy, large leaves and orange berries containing seeds which are poisonous unless roasted. Cultivated by Māori. This tree is prevalent in the area, hence the name of the area - Karaka.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Applicant Preferred)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. The names proposed by the applicant in the tables above have been assessed to ensure that they meet Auckland Council’s Road Naming Guidelines and the National Addressing Standards for road naming. All technical standards are met and the names are not duplicated anywhere else in the region, therefore it is up to the local board to decide upon the most suitable names within the local context.

15. Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region, apart from the name Toitoi. There is Toi Toi Place in Northcote, approximately 34kms away, but this separation distance, and the different road types, means that ‘Toitoi’ would still be acceptable for use in this development.

16. ‘Circle’, ‘Drive’ and ‘Lane’, as shown in the tables above, are acceptable road types for the new public roads and private commonly owned access lot, suiting the form and layout of the roads, as per the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

17. All 7 relevant local iwi groups were written to (via email) by the applicant (/agent) and invited to comment on the proposed names, with only 2 iwi groups responding: Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki accepted all the road name options originally proposed by the applicant. Ngāti Tamaoho suggested to change the proposed road names for Road 1, and provided two road name options. These were in turn accepted by Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki and have been included in the applicant’s proposal for consideration by the local board.

No other iwi provided responses or comments. It is therefore implied that no other iwi were opposed to the use of any of the proposed names in this location for these small roads.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

18. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

19. The decision sought for this report has no identified impacts on other parts of the council group. The views of council controlled organisations were not required for the preparation of the report’s advice.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

20. This report seeks the decision of the local board. The decision will not have any immediate local impact beyond those outlined in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

21. The naming of roads is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome “A Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to support Auckland’s Māori identity. To aid Local Board decision making, the ‘Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines’ includes:
The Objective of recognising ancestral linkages to areas of land by engagement with mana whenua and the allocation of road names as appropriate and a Principle that Māori road names are actively encouraged, and;

An agreed process to enable mana whenua to provide timely feedback on all proposed road names in a manner they consider appropriate.

The road names proposed in this report have been provided to all mana whenua for consideration through council’s central facilitator. Where feedback has been received, this has been indicated.

22. Twelve Te Reo Māori road name options have been proposed.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

23. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

24. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

25. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand and recorded on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by local councils.
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Endorsing Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates for 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/05115

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To recommend to the Governing Body the setting of the targeted rate for the Papakura Town Centre Business Improvement District (BID) programme for the 2020/2021 financial year.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) are rohe within Tāmaki Makaurau, including Papakura, where local business and property owners have agreed to work together to improve their business environment, promote innovation and attract new businesses and customers.

3. Auckland Council supports business associations operating BID programmes, including Papakura Business Association (PBA), by collecting a targeted rate from commercial properties within a defined geographic area. The funds from the targeted rate are then provided by way of a BID grant to the relevant business association.

4. Under the Auckland Council shared governance arrangements, local boards are allocated several decision-making responsibilities in relation to BIDs. One of these is to annually recommend BID targeted rates to the Governing Body.

5. Each business association operating a BID programme sets the BID grant amount at its Annual General Meeting (AGM) when members vote to approve an operational budget for the following financial year. This budget funds the implementation of a business plan that delivers programmes based on each BID’s strategic priorities.

6. PBA members approved a BID grant sum of $250,000 for 2020/2021. This figure is unchanged from the current financial year and was last increased when its BID boundary was expanded in 2018.

7. The business associations operating BID programmes are incorporated societies that are independent of the council. However, to sustain public trust and confidence in the council, there needs to be a balance between the independence of the business association and the accountability for monies collected by a public sector organisation.

8. For the council to be confident that the funds provided to the BIDs are being used appropriately, the council requires the BIDs to comply with the Business Improvement District (BID) Policy (2016) (Hōtaka ā-Rohe Whakapiki Pakihi), known as the BID Policy.

9. The council staff regularly monitor compliance with the BID Policy and this report is part of an active risk management programme to minimise inappropriate use of funds.

10. Staff are satisfied PBA complies with the BID Policy.

11. Staff propose the Papakura Local Board receives this report and recommends to the Governing Body the setting (striking) of the BID targeted rate sought by PBA as part of the council’s 2020/2021 Annual Budget decision-making.

12. After the Annual Budget is approved, the council collects the targeted rate funds and distributes them in quarterly BID grant payments, effective from 1 July 2020. This enables PBA to implement programmes that contribute to a vibrant and prosperous metropolitan centre and strong local economy, thereby supporting the economic and placemaking aspirations of the Papakura Local Board Plan 2017.
13. PBA, like all BID-operating business associations, will continue to play an important role in supporting its members facing two global challenges. Firstly, helping local businesses throughout the COVID-19 alert level stages and, secondly, responding to the world’s climate change emergency with a strong focus on sustainability.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) recommends to the Governing Body the setting of the targeted rate for inclusion in the Annual Budget 2020/2021 for the following Business Improvement District (BID) programme:

i. $250,000 for Papakura Business Association.

Horopaki
Context

BID programmes promote economic well-being and collaboration with the council

14. Tāmaki Makaurau is growing fast and is projected to include another one million people in the next 30 years. This level of growth presents challenges and opportunities for Auckland town centres and commercial precincts.

15. Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) are rohe within Auckland, including Papakura Town Centre, where local business and property owners have agreed to work together, with support from the council, to improve their business environment, promote innovation and attract new businesses and customers.

16. BID programmes provide the opportunity for the council group to partner with business associations, including PBA, to seize on the opportunities from Auckland’s growth, particularly in key metropolitan centres such as Papakura.

17. BID programmes encourage collaboration to achieve greater local outcomes. They provide a mechanism to enable local boards to engage with the business sector in local town centres and business areas in a co-ordinated way.

BIDs provide essential support in the economic recovery from COVID-19

18. The economy has been heavily impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and related lockdown, including both retail-based town centres and industrial precincts.

19. BID-programme operating business associations now, more than ever, provide the local business leadership required to help businesses recover from the seismic economic shock and transition to a viable future state.

BIDs are funded by a targeted rate on business ratepayers within a set area

20. BID programmes are funded by a targeted rate applied to all commercially rated properties within a designated area around a town centre or commercial precinct.

21. Auckland Council supports business associations operating BID programmes by collecting the targeted rates and providing these funds, in their entirety, by way of a BID grant to the relevant business association.

22. This revenue is paid to the business associations every quarter to provide a regular and sustainable income stream to implement an agreed work programme.

The BID Policy is the mechanism to ensure accountability for BID targeted rates

24. The policy outlines the principles behind the council’s BID programme; creates the process for establishing, expanding, amalgamating and disestablishing BIDs; determines rating mechanisms; prescribes operating standards and guidelines; and sets accountability requirements.

Diagram A: From calculation to approval, how the BID targeted rate is set.

25. BID-operating business associations are provided with a rate modelling spreadsheet to help with their budget decision-making. The spreadsheet models any proposed changes to their current BID grant amount and, most importantly, how that influences the BID targeted rate for everyone who will pay it. When considering a change to the BID grant amount, BIDs must take into account what the local business and property owners can afford.

26. Each BID prepares an annual business plan for the following financial year that will deliver programmes based on their strategic priorities and financial parameters.

27. The cost of implementing that business plan is set out in an annual budget that the BID’s board (governing committee) agrees will be recommended for approval by the business association membership.

28. The AGM provides the forum where members vote to approve the operational budget and, in doing so, set the requisite BID grant amount for the following financial year.

Local boards are responsible for recommending the targeted rate if a BID complies with the BID Policy

29. Under the Auckland Council shared governance arrangements, local boards are allocated several decision-making responsibilities in relation to BIDs. One of these is to annually recommend BID targeted rates to the Governing Body. The local board should recommend the setting of the targeted rate if it is satisfied that the BID is substantially complying with the BID Policy.

30. Papakura Town Centre Manager Tracy Shackleton addressed the local board on 4 December 2019 to update members on the progress towards achieving the BID’s business and strategic plans.

31. The Papakura Local Board approved a similar recommendation for the Papakura BID programme last year (resolution number PPK/2019/71), as did 17 other local boards that have BID programmes operating in their rohe.

The Governing Body sets the targeted rate when it approves the Annual Budget
32. The recommendation in this report is put into effect with the Governing Body’s approval of the Annual Budget 2020/2021 and its setting (striking) of the targeted rates.

33. In accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 and the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, the Governing Body is authorized to make the final decisions on what BID programme targeted rates, if any, to set in any particular year or property (in terms of the amount and the geographic area to be rated).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

34. BID programmes are operated by independent business associations, and their programmes and services are provided according to their members’ stated priorities. In recognition of their independent status, the BID Policy does not prescribe standards for programme effectiveness. That is a matter for business association members to determine. Staff, therefore, cannot base recommendations on these factors, but only on the policy’s express requirements.

Papakura Business Association complies with the BID Policy

35. Staff are satisfied that PBA has fully met the requirements of the BID Policy.

36. Staff require BID-operating business associations to provide to the council the following documents, and stay in touch with their local board at least once a year:
   - Current strategic plan – evidence of achievable medium to long-term opportunities.
   - Audited accounts – assurance that the BID-operating business association is managing its members’ BID targeted rate funds responsibly.
   - Annual report on the year just completed – evidence that programmes are addressing priority issues that benefit BID targeted ratepayers.
   - Business plan for the coming year – detailed one-year programme, based on the strategic plan, to be achieved and resourced.
   - Indicative budget for the following year – Auckland Council’s Annual Budget requires targeted rates to be identified a year in advance to inform the Annual Budget process which sets all rates.
   - Board Charter – establishes guidelines for effective board governance and positive relationships between the association and its members.
   - Annual Accountability Agreement – certification that these requirements have been met.
   - Programme Agreement – a good faith agreement between each BID-operating business association and the council that sets basic parameters of the council-business association relationship.
   - AGM minutes – the provisional minutes of each business association’s 2019 AGM meetings which contain the resolution, voted on by members, confirming the BID grant amount for the following financial year.

37. In addition, BID-operating business associations are required to inform the council staff of progress with other compliance requirements, including:
   - Incorporated Society registration – a current registration of the business association along with all required documents up to date.
   - Key initiatives – identified activities to be advanced in the next 12 months.
   - Resolving problems or issues, if any – problems or issues that have an impact on the governance or operation of the BID programme.

38. The BID Policy sets an annual compliance deadline of 10 March for the information to be forwarded to the council, as summarized in the table below.
Table 1: Business association’s compliance with the BID Policy as of 10 March 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Financial Year 2018/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Plan</td>
<td>✅ updated 2019/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audited financials</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Report</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Plan</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicative budget</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Charter</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Accountability Agreement</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual meeting w/ local board</td>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme Agreement</td>
<td>✅ valid to June 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporated society registration</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key initiatives</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting business recovery throughout lockdown stages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiatives include working with Papakura commercial project team; #LivePapakura campaign; working with local marae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 AGM minutes (provisional)</td>
<td>✅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolving problems or issues</td>
<td>Nothing to record</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39. As Papakura Business Association has comprehensively complied with the BID Policy, staff advise the local board to recommend to the Governing Body the setting of the targeted rate.

**Papakura Business Association retains the same BID grant amount for 2020/2021**

40. The amount of PBA’s proposed BID targeted rate for 2020/2021 - $250,000 – is unchanged from the current financial year.

41. PBA last increased its BID targeted rate in 2018, following a successful BID boundary expansion.

42. Many among Tāmaki Makaurau’s 48 BID-operating business associations reaffirmed decisions to increase their 2020/2021 targeted rates, with rises ranging from 1.2% to 14.4%. However, eight BIDs rescinded their AGM resolutions in the wake of the pandemic-related economic downturn, and opted to retain their current, 2019/2020 BID grant levels.
Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

43. Through targeted rate-funded advocacy and activities, BID-operating business associations, including PBA, promote and often facilitate environmental sustainability programmes.

44. From running carbon-reducing ‘shop local’ campaigns to promoting online channels and championing waste reduction and recovery programmes, there are many examples of Papakura’s BID leading the local business sector’s response to the world’s climate change emergency.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

45. Papakura is identified as a growing metropolitan centre in the Auckland Plan. The PBA’s BID programme provides an ideal opportunity for the council group to partner with local business leaders to seize on the opportunities from this township’s growth.

46. Advocacy is a key service provided by business associations and those with BID programme-funded personnel are at an advantage. PBA ensures the views and ambitions of its members are provided to council teams, including CCOs, on those policies, plans, programmes and projects that impact them.

47. With Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development’s enhanced spatial focus on Tāmaki Makaurau’s south and west, ATEED often works with the southern-located PBA on local economic development initiatives and events.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

48. The local board’s views are most frequently expressed by its appointed representative on the board of each BID-operating business association. This liaison board member can attend BID board meetings to ensure there is a direct link between the council and the operation of the BID programme.

Visions, plans aligned

49. Papakura’s business association and local board share an interest in the local rohe and are ambitious for its future and its people. They also share goals that include economic prosperity, community identity, placemaking and pride.

50. Papakura Town Centre’s BID programme tangibly supports the vision and aspirations of the Papakura Local Board Plan 2017, best expressed in Outcome 1: A vibrant and prosperous metropolitan centre and Outcome 3: A strong local economy.

51. To reinforce that point, the Papakura Business Association’s Strategic Plan highlights the town centre’s own vision, “to be a safe place where people come together to meet, eat, shop and do business”. PBA’s activities practically deliver on its own and the local board’s visions.

Local rohe, local benefit, local funding

52. Recommending that the Governing Body sets the targeted rate for Papakura Business Association means that this BID programme will continue to be funded from targeted rates on commercial properties in its rohe, and provide services in accordance with its members’ priorities as stated in its strategic plan.

53. Papakura Local Board is among several local boards who provide additional funding to local business associations, however accountability for any grants is set by funding agreements between the local board and the business association. Those contractual obligations are separate from the requirements of the BID Policy and are not covered in this report.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

54. Papakura boasts the largest suburban Māori population in New Zealand, with 26.8% of Papakura residents being Māori (SOURCE: 2018 CENSUS). Working in partnership with local marae presents an opportunity to advance Māori economic development within the township and beyond. To reference the business association’s vision again, “Papakura is known for its passionate community spirit and for celebrating its cultural diversity, including its significant local Māori community”.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

55. There are no financial implications for the local board. Targeted rates for BID-operating business associations are raised directly from commercial ratepayers in the district and used by the business association for improvements within that rohe. The council’s financial role is to collect the BID targeted rates and pass them directly to the association every quarter.

56. The targeted rate is payable by the owners of the commercial properties within the geographic area of the individual BID programmes. In practice, this cost is often passed on to the business owners who occupy these properties. This cost will be harder to meet at a time when businesses are financially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and alert level restrictions. The council may consider extending its Rates Remission and Postponement Policy to commercial property owners as part of the Annual Budget 2020/2021. If approved, this would help mitigate the impact of the targeted rate on those who are struggling financially.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

57. There are no direct financial risks to the local board or the council that could result from this recommendation to endorse the BID targeted rate for PBA.

58. To sustain public trust and confidence in the council, there needs to be a balance between the independence of the BID-operating business association and the accountability for monies collected by a public sector organisation.

59. The rules and obligations of the BID Policy are intended to help minimise the potential for BIDs to misuse funds by requiring each BID to plan for their intended use, report on its activities to its members and to have its accounts audited.

60. The council staff regularly monitor compliance with the BID Policy and this report is part of an active risk management programme to minimise inappropriate use of funds.

61. The economic shockwaves created by the COVID-19 global pandemic are being felt everywhere, including Auckland’s town centres and business precincts. The BID programme is an internationally proven approach to engage and empower local businesses. The Papakura BID programme will, through business resilience, recovery and support initiatives, help to mitigate some of the economic effects of the pandemic.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

62. If the local board supports this report, it will recommend to the Governing Body that the BID targeted rate be set as part of the Annual Budget 2020/2021.

63. After the Annual Budget is approved, the council collects the targeted rate funds and distributes them in quarterly BID grant payments, effective from 1 July 2020, to PBA. This enables the BID to implement programmes that improve the local business environment, support businesses to recover from the COVID-19 pandemic and help address the climate change emergency through sustainability initiatives.
Endorsing Business Improvement District (BID) targeted rates for 2020/2021
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Purpose of the report

1. To provide local boards with an overview of the Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi) Innovating Streets for People pilot fund (ISPF).
2. To request feedback on projects within your local board area that have been proposed by staff across Auckland Transport (AT), Auckland Council, and Panuku for inclusion in Auckland Council's application to the ISPF.

Executive summary

3. Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi) announced a pilot fund in April 2020 that supports pilot projects and interim improvements for safe active transport. The Innovating Streets Pilot Fund (ISPF) is intended to help councils create more people-friendly spaces through the application of tactical urbanism techniques such as pilots, pop ups and interim projects. While the fund is intended to support pilots that can be rolled out rapidly and at relatively low cost, projects should also be able to demonstrate a pathway to more permanent status, should they prove successful.

4. Local boards have previously been invited to contribute localised strategic direction and guidance regarding projects that may be suitable to submit for funding. This guidance has been incorporated into the development of a list of potential projects that will be circulated to local boards by 25 May 2020.

5. Local boards are now invited to provide formal feedback on the list of potential projects within their local area, including their view of which projects are the highest priority.

Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) provide feedback on the list of local projects proposed as suitable for inclusion in Auckland Council’s application to the Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi) Innovating Streets Pilot Fund (ISPF) by 12pm on 29 May 2020.

Context

6. On 3 April 2020, Waka Kotahi announced the ISPF, which supports council projects that aim to transition streets to be safer and more liveable spaces. The fund encourages the use of ‘tactical urbanism’ techniques, such as pilots and pop ups - interim treatments that can be delivered within a short timeframe to test and help demonstrate the value of future permanent street changes that make walking and cycling easier. Projects that Waka Kotahi aims to support include:

- temporary, or semi-permanent, physical changes to streets
- improvements that test a permanent fix and prototype a street design
- activations that help communities re-imagine their streets.
7. There are two application rounds for the ISPF:
   - The first round opened on 3 April and closed on 8 May 2020. Successful applicants are expected to be announced in June 2020.
   - The second round opens on 8 June and closes on 3 July 2020 with successful applicants to be announced by the end of July 2020.

8. Qualifying projects are expected to be delivered by June 2021.

9. In addition to the two funding rounds, Waka Kotahi is offering support for interventions that specifically relate to Covid-19. Auckland Transport (AT) is leading an emergency response programme in conjunction with Auckland Council and are applying for a funding subsidy for the costs associated with Covid-19 measures which are already being implemented across Auckland.

10. The selection process for round one was led by AT. Due to tight timeframes for submission, consultation was not possible. Twelve projects were submitted to Waka Kotahi for consideration. All these projects come from existing programmes previously approved by Auckland Council and align well with Governing Body and local board strategic transport priorities.

11. If these projects are awarded funding from Waka Kotahi, comprehensive stakeholder engagement will occur throughout the planning and delivery of each project, as per Waka Kotahi’s selection criteria.

12. For round two ISPF funding, a project team has been established across Auckland Council, AT and Panuku and a process developed to identify potential projects and take them through to a finished application.

13. On 8 May 2020, local boards were invited to contribute localised strategic direction and guidance regarding projects that may be suitable to submit for funding. This guidance has been incorporated into the development of a list of potential projects circulated to local boards on or before 25 May 2020.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

14. The ISPF provides an opportunity for Auckland Council and AT to catalyse positive change across Auckland in line with Auckland Council’s strategic goals of improving walking and cycling options and creating more people-friendly spaces.

15. The techniques of tactical urbanism supported by the pilot fund represent an innovative change to the typical way in which projects are engaged upon, designed and delivered. Tactical urbanism entails piloting and testing key project elements on a temporary basis, that can generally be rolled out rapidly and at low cost. This constitutes a form of ‘engagement by doing’ and enables the relative success of ideas to be assessed before they are committed to more permanently.

Criteria for the assessment and prioritisation of projects

16. When providing feedback on the list of potential projects, local boards should keep the following criteria in mind, which will be used by the project team to finalise the list of projects to recommend to the Emergency Committee.

17. Prioritised projects will:
   - improve transport choices and liveability of a place
   - help mitigate a clear safety issue (related to Deaths and Serious Injuries at a specific location)
   - be effective at:
     o reducing vehicle speed (to 30km/hr or less), and/or
Item 18

- creating more space for people on our streets, and/or
- making walking and cycling more attractive
- use temporary pilots, pop ups or treatments as a pathway to permanent change in the future
- contribute to more equitable access to opportunities and essential services, particularly in areas with low levels of travel choice
- support mode shift to low-carbon modes
- support Māori outcomes, i.e.:
  - adopt a design or project approach founded on Māori principles
  - help advance Māori wellbeing, e.g. active Māori participation, improved access to marae, kura, kohanga, papakāinga, employment
- test key elements or is designed to generate community support for the ‘parent’ project
- be part of an existing planned and budgeted project (AC projects only)
- demonstrate the importance of the project within the current AT work programme (AT projects only)
- demonstrate ability to deliver
- demonstrate strong likelihood of project delivery by June 2021
- demonstrate co-design approach involving key stakeholders and community, including:
  - support from the relevant local board(s) and stakeholders
  - support from local community/stakeholders (e.g. business association)
- display clear process, including milestones, cost, monitoring and evaluation, and identification of risks and mitigation
- demonstrate value for money
- demonstrate opportunity to improve efficiency, or reduce risks associated with future permanent upgrades.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

18. The transport sector is the largest contributor to greenhouse gas emissions in the Auckland region with around 40 per cent of Auckland’s total emissions. Increased support and prioritisation of ‘no and low’ emissions modes of transport such as active transport, micro-mobility modes and public transport, will help reduce these emissions.

19. The interventions supported by the Innovating Streets for People pilot fund enable a reduction of transport emissions, which would support Auckland Council’s ability to achieve its climate goals and is well aligned with the draft Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland’s Climate Action Framework, and with the in-principle changes to this framework endorsed by the Environment and Climate Change Committee (resolution number ECC/2020/12).

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

20. Auckland Council and AT are following an aligned approach for the ISPF submission and are working together to develop joint application packages.

21. Relevant parts of the council, including Ngā Mātārae; the Auckland Design Office; the Development Programme Office; Libraries; the Southern Initiative; Arts, Community and
Events; Parks, Sports and Recreation; Plans and Places, and Panuku, have been engaged to prepare and collate funding proposals for the second round.

22. If a project application is successful, there will be a need to implement, coordinate and monitor the outcomes of projects that are funded by the ISPF. This would be jointly coordinated by AT and staff from across the Auckland Council family.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

23. Staff captured informal local board views earlier this month by inviting local boards to contribute localised strategic direction and guidance regarding projects that may be suitable to submit for funding. This guidance has been incorporated into the development of the list of potential projects.

24. The types of projects that Waka Kotahi seek to promote through this fund will have positive impacts on local communities in terms of the outcomes that are reflected in the assessment criteria.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

25. Māori are likely to benefit from interventions that support safer and more accessible active transport in Auckland. This is because Māori are over-represented in pedestrian-related crashes and tend to live in parts of Auckland where travel choice is poorest. To ensure these interventions benefit Māori equitably, they need to be complemented by meaningful access to active modes such as bicycles and micro-mobility devices, as well as supporting infrastructure such as secure bicycle parking outside main destinations.

26. The Innovating Streets fund encourages community-led interventions to transform urban spaces into safe and liveable spaces for people. There are opportunities to tap into the creativity and local knowledge of Māori communities in Tāmaki Makaurau to create urban interventions that address community needs and provide a strong sense of place.

27. Ngā Mātārae, the Southern Initiative and the Independent Māori Statutory Board have been approached for their input into the proposed project list.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

28. The proposed high levels of funding assistance from Waka Kotahi (up to 90 per cent of a project’s value) will potentially result in savings for both Auckland Council and AT on any projects that may already have been planned and funded prior to the pilot fund application.

29. The funding provided by Waka Kotahi for piloting or testing of temporary interventions is likely to reduce design time and increase financial security for permanent improvements in the future. Trialling of real-life options for more permanent activities can also reduce or avoid potential costs associated with the redesign of interventions in case desired outcomes could not be achieved.

30. There are no financial implications for local boards arising from providing feedback on the list of potential projects, except for those projects proposed by local boards, and which they have proposed to fund themselves.

31. Local boards that submit an expression of interest for a project need to demonstrate both the ability to fund the temporary project and, if the project does not link to an existing AT, Auckland Council or Panuku funded permanent project, that the local board is able to completely fund the permanent project as well.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

32. There is a risk that Auckland Council may not be able to afford the local share of 10 per cent of the project cost needed to implement interventions under the ISPF, particularly given the present circumstances and the need to significantly amend the draft Annual Plan 2020/21. Note that while successful projects will require 10 per cent funding from council, they will bring the benefit of additional funding into Auckland. Similar financial constraints may also apply to AT and Panuku who are also potentially funding projects.

33. Another risk is the possibility that the implementation of successful Auckland Council projects under the pilot fund will not lead to the desired outcomes for Auckland. To mitigate this risk, staff have developed a set of assessment criteria for projects (see paragraph 17) to ensure strategic alignment with Auckland Council objectives before projects are submitted to Waka Kotahi.

34. Waka Kotahi’s Criteria 2: Ability to Deliver requires a co-design approach with community and key stakeholders in the development and delivery of projects. The possibility that unified community support for local interventions cannot be achieved through the co-design process within the required timeframe poses an additional risk.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

35. Local boards are requested to provide feedback on the list of local projects proposed as suitable for inclusion in Auckland Council’s application to the ISPF by 12pm (midday) on 29 May 2020.

36. Each project will then be assessed against the criteria described above, and the project team will produce quality advice for endorsement from an Auckland Council committee.

37. AT projects will be presented to the AT Board on 3 June 2020 for endorsement.

38. All projects will be presented to an Auckland Council committee in early June 2020 following which, all interested parties will be notified whether their proposed project has been selected to proceed to an ISPF application.

39. Following this decision, further work will be undertaken to develop, prepare, and review each project that has been selected for submission to Waka Kotahi.

40. Completed applications will be submitted to Waka Kotahi prior to the closing date of 3 July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.
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Local board feedback on Plan Change 22 and Plan Modification 12 – additions of places of significance to Mana Whenua

File No.: CP2020/05942

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To request the views of the local board on:
   - Plan Change 22 (PC22) to the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part) (AUP)
   - Plan Modification 12 (PM12) to the Auckland Council District Plan – Hauraki Gulf Islands Section 2018 (Inner Islands) (HGI).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. In 2014, in collaboration with 19 mana whenua entities, Auckland Council initiated the Māori Cultural Heritage Programme (MCHP) to improve the understanding and protection of Māori cultural heritage across the Auckland region.

3. As part of the implementation of the findings of the MCHP, the council has proposed two plan changes in order to appropriately recognise and protect culturally significant sites within both the AUP and HGI. These two plan changes form tranche one of what is intended to be a series of future plan changes to progressively identify and protect culturally significant sites.

4. Eleven mana whenua entities have completed assessments for sites in these plan changes. Thirty-three sites are proposed for scheduling: 30 sites in the AUP and four in the HGI. Note that one site, Te Rangihoua (Te Putiki o Kahumatamomoe), is included in both the AUP and HGI to represent its landward and coastal extents.

5. On 21 March 2019, PC22 and PM12 were originally notified. Following submissions and after further analysis, on 26 September 2019 a minor correction was made to PC22 to remove an incorrect reference. Due to technical and procedural issues, on 24 October 2019 a second amendment to withdraw the Te Wairoa River site was notified. On 11 February 2020, the plan changes were then re-notified to a limited number of directly affected parties.

6. The details of the sites related to the local board are listed in Attachment A to this report.

7. Key themes of the submissions received are to:
   - support PC22 as notified
   - support PC22 with a minor amendments to Schedule 14.1 and a site description in Schedule 12
   - oppose PC22 due to potential effects on houseboat activities
   - support PM12 as notified
   - support PM12 and apply the same approach to other reserves on Waiheke Island
   - oppose PM12 for various other reasons.

8. On 8 August 2019, the Regulatory Committee appointed three independent hearing commissioners to hear and make decisions on PC22 and PM12 (REG/2019/49). This included at least two independent commissioners with expertise in planning and tikanga Māori.
9. This report is the mechanism for the local board to provide its formal views on PC22 and PM12 prior to the public hearing. Any comments received will be included in the planner’s hearing report and considered by the independent commissioners. Any local board views provided should be that of the local board, therefore no technical recommendations are made in this report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) provide local board views on Plan Change 22 and Plan Modification 12.
b) appoint a local board member to speak on behalf of the local board views at a hearing on the plan changes.
c) delegate authority to the chairperson of the local board to make a replacement appointment in the event the local board member appointed in Resolution b) is unable to attend the plan change hearing.

Horopaki
Context

Decision-making authority
10. Each local board is responsible for communicating the interests and preferences of people in its area regarding the content of the council’s strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws. Local boards provide their views on the content of these documents.

11. In 2014, the council initiated a Māori Cultural Heritage Programme (MCHP) in collaboration with 19 mana whenua entities in the Auckland region with the purpose of improving the understanding and protection of Māori cultural heritage and to identify the best management options that recognise and protect the cultural values of these sites. To date 400 such sites of have been nominated by mana whenua for consideration.

12. The AUP currently contains 75 scheduled Sites and Places of Significance to mana whenua. There are no Māori Heritage sites currently identified in the HGI Plan.

13. The Auckland Council’s Planning Committee resolution (PLA/2017/39) approved engagement with mana whenua and landowners in order to develop draft plan changes to add qualifying sites to the AUP and the HGI plan. The criteria to identify and evaluate these sites are contained within the Auckland Regional Policy Statement section of the AUP.

14. On 27 November 2018, the Planning Committee resolved to approve the proposed plan changes for notification (PLA/2018/128).

15. The plan changes propose:
   i. The addition of 30 sites to the AUP’s Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Overlay, as identified in Chapter L Schedule 12. There are also consequential changes to Schedule 6 (Outstanding Natural Features) and Schedule 14.1 (Historic Heritage Overlay) to reflect the cultural significance of the identified sites; and

   ii. The addition of four sites to the HGI Plan. There are also changes to the explanatory text of the plan to clarify the criteria by which sites are identified and evaluated.

16. If the local board chooses to provide its views, the reporting planner will include those views in the hearing report for these plan changes. Local board views will be included in the analysis of the plan changes and submissions received.
17. If the local board chooses to provide its views, local board members will be invited to present the local board’s views at the hearing to commissioners, who make the decision on the plan changes.

18. This report provides an overview of PC22 and PM12 and gives a summary of the key themes which have arisen through submissions. This report does not include a recommendation. The planner cannot advise the local board as to what its views should be, and then evaluate those views.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Plan change overview**

19. The AUP and the HGI plans contain objectives, policies, and rules to manage and protect both Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua (AUP), and Māori Heritage sites (HGI). The proposed plan changes do not alter any of the existing objectives, policies, rules or resource consent assessment criteria set out in the two plans.

20. PC22 proposes the following changes:

- The addition of 30 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua (SSMW) to Schedule 12 of AUP.
- The addition of a ‘significance of the site to Mana Whenua’ evaluation criterion (criterion k) to eight sites already listed in Schedule 6 – Outstanding Natural Features Overlay of the AUP.
- The addition of a ‘significance of the site to Mana Whenua’ evaluation criterion (criterion k) to eight sites already listed in Schedule 6 – Outstanding Natural Features Overlay of the AUP.
- The addition of the ‘significance of the site to Mana Whenua’ evaluation criterion (criterion c) to five sites already listed in Schedule 14.1 - Schedule of Historic Heritage Overlay of the AUP(OiP).
- The addition of the sites to the AUP viewer (the online tool to view the AUP maps).

21. PM12 proposes the following changes:

- The addition of four Māori Heritage Sites (MHS) to Appendix 1f of the HGI.
- The addition of explanatory text to Appendix 1f, Appendix 4 and Part 7.13 of the HGI – Māori heritage to include references to the criteria for the identification and evaluation of MHS.
- The addition of the sites to the HGI planning maps.

**Further discussion:**

22. There are 33 sites proposed across both PC22 and PM12. These sites cover a wide range of zones including open space, coastal marine area, and transport corridor zones.


24. By scheduling the sites, there will be greater awareness and weight placed on existing objectives, policies and methods within the plans for protecting cultural heritage when considering applications for resource consent, private plan changes, designations and policy development in both plans.

25. In the AUP, scheduling introduces more restrictions on activities within the sites with respect to disturbance in the coastal marine area, temporary activities, new buildings and structures, new alterations and additions to existing buildings, and subdivision.
26. In the HGI, scheduling will remove permitted levels of ground disturbance within scheduled sites, making all ground disturbance activities require resource consent. Resource consent will also be required for establishing toilets and changing facilities within scheduled sites.

Themes from submissions received

27. On 21 March 2019, PC22 and PM12 were originally notified. Following submissions and after further analysis, on 26 September 2019 a minor correction was made to PC22 to remove an incorrect reference. Due to technical and procedural issues, on 24 October 2019 a second amendment to withdraw the Te Wairoa River site was notified. On 11 February 2020, the plan changes were then re-notified to a limited number of directly affected parties.

28. Following the processes outlined above, a total of seven primary submissions and two further submissions have been received for PC22. Six primary submissions and three further submissions have been received for PM12. The following key themes have been identified in the submissions received:

- support PC22 as notified
- support PC22 with minor amendments to Schedule 14.1 and a site description in Schedule 12
- oppose PC22 due to potential effects on houseboat activities
- support PM12 as notified
- support PM12 and apply the same approach to other reserves on Waiheke Island
- oppose PM12 for various other reasons.

29. Minor amendments identify a technical error in the plan change where an evaluation criterion has been omitted from one of the schedules. They also propose additional wording to one of the site descriptions to include reference to bird roosting/gathering sites.

30. Effects on existing houseboat activities in Putiki Bay (Waiheke Island) are of concern to two houseboat owners. Heritage scheduling which is outside the proposed plan change area is of concern to one submitter opposing PM12.

31. One submitter is opposing the scheduling of Rangihoua Park / Onetangi Sports Fields on Waiheke Island as part of PM12 on the basis that they feel the scheduling would place unrealistic conditions on the continued use and development of these activities. This was supported by one further submission with 92 co-signatories.

32. On 26 March 2020, the latest summary of the decisions requested by submitters on PC22 was notified and is available on the council’s website at the following link: https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/plans-projects-policies-reports-bylaws/our-plans-strategies/unitary-plan/auckland-unitary-plan-modifications/proposed-plan-changes/pc22summarydecisions/summary-of-decisions-requested-and-submissions.pdf

33. On 26 March 2020, the latest summary of the decisions requested by submitters on PM12 was notified and is available on the council’s website at the following link: https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/plans-projects-policies-reports-bylaws/our-plans-strategies/unitary-plan/auckland-unitary-plan-modifications/proposed-plan-changes/docspc22/pm-12-renotification.pdf

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

34. The decision whether to provide local board views:

- will not lead to increased greenhouse gas emissions and negatively affect the approach to reduce emissions.
- will not be impacted by a climate that changes over the lifetime of that decision.
35. This is because the plan changes do not promote new activities within the sites and, by their nature of protecting Māori cultural heritage, are unlikely to encourage a greater intensity of development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

36. As mentioned previously, the 33 sites covered by these two plan changes cover a range of environments. These include roads, carparks, publicly owned parks and lakes, rivers and streams. They are also subject to a number of designations.

37. During the development of the plan changes, relevant council departments and Council Controlled Organisations (CCO) were consulted. With respect to council internal departments, the sites have particular relevance to the council’s Customer and Community Services Department. This department includes the Community Facilities, Parks, Sports and Recreation, and Service Strategy and Integration teams.

38. Many of the proposed sites contain leases which are managed by the above department. The strategic management of public open spaces is also managed by these teams through the use of reserve management plans as well as other open space and recreation planning tools.

39. The Customer and Community Services Department has been actively involved in the plan changes during their development and notification. None of these teams have raised opposition to the proposed scheduling.

40. From a CCO perspective, Auckland Transport has been involved in the development of the plan changes as they apply to public roads and parking infrastructure. Auckland Transport is not opposed to the plan changes.

41. One of the sites, Te Puna Wai a Hape (Site 091), schedules land currently owned by Watercare Services Limited. Watercare has been involved during the development of the plan changes and is not opposed to the scheduling.

42. No CCO has made a submission or further submission on PC22 or PM12.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

43. Further detail such as a map showing the location of the sites relevant to the local board and previous involvement by the board are in Attachment A to this report.

44. The main impact of PC22 and PM12 is to place greater recognition on the cultural significance of identified sites. This is likely to increase the need for consultation with affected mana whenua when considering activities within the sites. The scheduling places greater restrictions on some land use activities and coastal activities as outlined previously.

45. A summary here of what local board engagement was undertaken during the development of this plan change is included in Attachment A to this report.

46. Factors the local board may wish to consider in formulating its view are as follows: interests and preferences of people in the local board area; well-being of communities within the local board area; local board documents, such as the local plan and local board agreement; responsibilities and operation of the local board.

47. This report is the mechanism for obtaining formal local board views so the decision-makers on PC22 and PM12 can consider those views.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**  
**Māori impact statement**

48. This report addresses matters that relate to two plan changes to protect and manage new nominated sites and places of cultural significance to Mana Whenua. All Mana Whenua entities have been invited to participate in this process and 11 Mana Whenua entities have actively contributed to these plan changes.

49. Recognising and protecting Mana Whenua cultural heritage is identified as an issue of regional significance in the Auckland Unitary Plan Regional Policy Statement (RPS). Policies in the RPS specifically provide for the identification, protection and enhancement of the tangible and intangible values of identified Mana Whenua cultural heritage.

50. In November 2018, a governance hui was conducted where staff briefed all 19 Mana Whenua entities on the feedback received from the 14 affected local boards and of the landowner engagement. The IMSB has also been kept informed of these plan changes and has participated in their approval for notification.

51. Some iwi authorities have made submissions in support of these plan changes.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**  
**Financial implications**

52. The local board is not exposed to any financial risk from providing its views.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**  
**Risks and mitigations**

53. The power to provide local board views regarding the content of a plan change cannot be delegated to individual local board member(s). This report enables the whole local board to decide whether to provide its views and, if so, to determine what matters those views should include.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**  
**Next steps**

54. Any views provided by the local board will be included in the planner’s hearing report. The local board will be informed of the hearing date and invited to speak at the hearing in support of its views. The planner will advise the local board of the decision on the plan change by memorandum.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**  
**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Sites related to the Papakura Local Board</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina**  
**Signatories**
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<tbody>
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Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachment A: Papakura Local Board

1. Of the 33 sites proposed to be scheduled, one nominated site is located within the Papakura Local Board area. Council officers met with local board members on 24 October 2018 to brief the board on the scope and provisions of the plan change and sites proposed to be scheduled within the local board area.

2. A map of the site within the Papakura Local Board area is included below.

![Map of Site 99 - Ōpahihe](image)

Previous involvement of Papakura Local Board in PC22


4. On 24 October 2018, the local board made the below resolution. This feedback was included within reporting to the Planning Committee seeking approval for notification and was also included within the s32 Planners Evaluation Report which supported the plan change at notification.

PPK/2018/185 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua – Tranche 1: Plan Changes to the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative In Part) and Auckland Council District Plan - Hauraki Gulf Islands Section 2018

FILE REF CP2018/19561


AGENDA ITEM NO. C1

C1 Sites and Places of Significance to Mana Whenua – Tranche 1: Plan Changes to the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part) and Auckland Council District Plan - Hauraki Gulf Islands Section 2018

Resolution number PPK/2018/185

MOVED by Member W McEntee, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Auva'a:

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) support the inclusion of the proposed site within the Papakura Local Board area, in the draft plan change

Restatement

b) note that the report, resolutions and the feedback remain confidential until the plan change is publicly notified.

CARRIED
Urgent Decision - Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport for 2021/22–2030/31 (GPS2021) and the draft National Rail Plan

File No.: CP2020/05710

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. The National Rail Plan (NRP) establishes the government’s vision and strategic investment priorities for rail for the next 10 years. It provides the context for a Rail Network Investment Programme (RNIP) to be developed by KiwiRail. The NRP is referred to multiple times in the draft GPS2021.

4. GPS2021 sets out the government’s priorities for expenditure from the National Land Transport Fund over the next 10 years.

5. GPS 2021 builds on and consolidates the priorities of (GPS2018) identifying four strategic priorities for land transport – Safety, Better Travel Options, Improving Freight Connections and Climate Change.

6. These investment priorities are generally well aligned with council’s priorities identified in the Auckland Plan 2050.

7. The NRP sets a vision for rail planning and investment in New Zealand and is referred to multiple times in GPS2021. A new rail network activity class is included in GPS2021.

8. Comments received from local boards by 15 April will be reported to the 30 April Emergency Committee. Comments can be received after 15 April until 10 May. These will not be reported to the Emergency Committee but will be attached to the council’s submission when it goes to Government.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the urgent decision endorsing the Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport for 2020/22 – 2030/31 (GPS2021) and the draft National Rail Plan detailed as attachment A to this report.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
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Urgent Decision Memo

8 May 2020

To: Manoj Ragupathy, Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Local Board
cc: Papakura Local Board Chair and Members
From: Lee Manaia – Local Board Advisor

Subject: Urgent decision - Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport for 2021/22– 2030/31 (GPS2021) and the draft National Rail Plan

Purpose

To endorse the Papakura Local Board’s feedback on the government’s national policy statement on land transport.

Reasons for the urgency:

- Local Board feedback is required by 15 April 2020 which is before 22 April 2020, being the next scheduled meeting of the Papakura Local Board.
- An urgent decision is required in order for the local board feedback to be provided in time to meet the deadline.

Decision sought from the chair and deputy chair (or any person acting in these roles)

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) endorse the Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport for 2021/22– 2030/31 (GPS2021) and the draft National Rail Plan, attachment A to this report.

About the Papakura Local Board

1. Papakura Local Board is one of 21 local boards which are part of the Auckland Council shared governance model. The local board has responsibility for local decision making while the Governing Body has a regional focus.

2. The board’s population, as at the 2018 census, was 57,636. The population is ethnically diverse with 49.1% European, 26.8% Māori, 23.4% Asian and 16.9% Pacific peoples. Since the 2013 census there has been a significant growth in the Asian population. Papakura still has the largest Māori population per capita in Auckland. The median age in Papakura is 32 years, with 23.6% of the population being aged between 0 and 14 years.

Background

4. The draft National Rail Plan (NRP) is open for public submission over the same period.

5. The National Rail Plan (NRP) establishes the government’s vision and strategic investment priorities for rail for the next 10 years. It provides the context for a Rail Network Investment Programme (RNIP) to be developed by KiwiRail. The NRP is referred to multiple times in the draft GPS2021.

6. GPS2021 sets out the government’s priorities for expenditure from the National Land Transport Fund over the next 10 years.

7. GPS 2021 builds on and consolidates the priorities of GPS (GPS2018) identifying four strategic priorities for land transport – Safety, Better Travel Options, Improving Freight Connections and Climate Change.

8. These investment priorities are generally well aligned with Council’s priorities identified in the Auckland Plan 2050.

9. The NRP sets a vision for rail planning and investment in New Zealand and is referred to multiple times in GPS2021. A new rail network activity class is included in GPS2021.

10. Comments received from local boards by 15 April will be reported to the 30 April Emergency Committee. Comments can be received after 15 April until 10 May. These will not be reported to the Emergency Committee but will be attached to the council’s submission when it goes to Government.


Authorisation of the urgent decision-making process

Signed by Manoj Ragupathy
Relationship Manager Papakura & Manurewa Ward
Date: 8 May 2020

Signed by Brent Catchpole
Chairperson, Papakura Local Board
Date: 8 May 2020
Jan Robinson  
Deputy Chairperson, Papakura Local Board  
Date: 8 May 2020
Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport for 2021/22–2030/31 (GPS2021) and the draft National Rail Plan


The Papakura Local Board recognises that this is a high-level document that gives guidance on the government direction for land transport expenditure.

Roles and responsibilities

1. The Papakura Local Board supports the requirement for co-ordination and investment between different agencies and decision makers including:
   - Minister of Transport
   - Ministry of Transport
   - Waka Kotahi, the NZ Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi)
   - Local government
   - KiwiRail
   - Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (Kāinga Ora).

2. The board believes that agencies and decision makers working together will ensure costs savings and efficiencies are found.

3. Partnerships across agencies maximise the benefits on the investment. A willingness to embrace and improve these relationships will determine success.

Strategic direction

4. The board supports the five key outcomes of the Transport Outcomes Framework:
   - Inclusive access
   - Healthy and safe people
   - Environmental sustainability
   - Resilience and security
   - Economic prosperity.

5. The board believes that there is an alignment with the policy statement and the Auckland Plan and the Papakura Local Board Plan outcomes.
6. The board support the four strategic priorities:
   - Safety
   - Better travel options
   - Improving freight connections
   - Climate change.

7. The board is thankful for central government's support for ATAP projects and the recent December 2019 announcement of additional funding to progress some of the projects.

8. Congestion in the Papakura Local Board area is significant and will be exacerbated as further intensification and development occurs.

9. Grade separation will become essential in order to keep traffic moving once the central rail link is completed. The commuter train service will increase in regularity compounding congestion issues due to traffic having to give way to trains at the street level rail crossings.

**Investment in land transport**

10. The board supports the notion of integrated planning hierarchy, demand management and optimisation of existing networks but not to the extent that a project is paralysed by bureaucracy. Efficient ways of working together will need to be found to ensure progress happens in a timely manner.

11. The board supports a strategy for a whole of system travel demand management approach, ie: influencing how, how often, when and whether people need to travel at all. However, the board will have a concern if this leads to a financial impact at the local level, eg: paid parking at park and rides. It is essential there is an integrated approach across the transport options. If paid parking at park and rides is introduced, other demand management options need to be made available.

12. The board supports making investment ahead of demand and highlight the Mill Road project as a case in point. Residential development has been allowed to happen in an area that is proposed to be part of the Mill Road corridor. If a whole of system approach had been taken the required land would have been designated which would have ensured costs were lower, as it would not be necessary to purchase properties in the required route. Congestion in the south of Auckland is significant. Development is happening at a faster pace than infrastructure. Structure plans are not considered statutory documents yet should be. Future planning and designating corridors for roading and public transport networks early gives clarity to the public, developers and homeowners.

13. The board supports a strategy that requires partners to work together in a cohesive way.

14. The board is concerned about local road maintenance funding. The state of local roads in the Papakura Local Board area leaves a lot to be desired.
15. There are several roads where the road maintenance is inadequate for the volume and weight of vehicles. Local roads by default become arterial routes for freight and heavy vehicles, far in excess of the roads' intended design and use, eg:

- Diversion from the SH1 motorway via Elliott Street, East Street, Coles Crescent and Great South Road, Papakura. Elliott Street is a local road that is struggling with the volume and weight of vehicles diverted from SH1.

- Settlement Road, Papakura, is now the main route for quarry vehicles.

16. A whole of network approach is required so that local road maintenance keeps ahead of the pressures of development and intensification.

17. The board supports the ministerial expectations for a collaborative approach.

Papakura Local Board Feedback on the draft National Rail Plan

18. The board agrees with a long-term approach to the rail strategy.

19. The board believes success will depend on how well the Ministry of Transport, Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (the Transport Agency), KiwiRail, Auckland Council, Auckland Transport and Greater Wellington Regional Council can work together to plan and fund New Zealand's rail network infrastructure.

20. The board believes any future expansions, development or redevelopment of the rail network should have a climate change lens over it with the ultimate goal of electrifying the entire network.

21. The development of a nationwide inland port infrastructure for the short haul for local distribution will be required.

22. In terms of south Auckland, the board believe, two additional rail lines are imperative:
   i) one to take freight movements off the commuter network, and
   ii) another line for express services, particularly once inter-regional travel is established, eg: the Hamilton to Papakura rail service.

23. The board believes freight and commuter rail lines to Auckland airport would be ideal.

Brent Catchpole  
Chairperson  
Papakura Local Board  
Date: 8 May 2020

Jan Robinson  
Deputy Chairperson  
Papakura Local Board
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for members to record the achievements of the Papakura Local Board for the 2019 – 2022 political term.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. An opportunity to note the achievements of the Papakura Local Board for the 2019 – 2022 political term.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:
a) request any new achievements be added to the Papakura Local Board Achievements Register for the 2019-2022 political term.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Papakura Local Board Achievements Register 2019-2022 Political Term</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Papakura Local Board Achievements Register 2019-2022 Electoral Term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended via Skype the MDCAT Papakura Community Networks hui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended via Skype the Project Reference Group hui – Improving Maori Input into Local Board Decision Making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended via Skype the Kuraconnect Committee meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended via Skype the Local Board Chairs weekly COVID-19 operational briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended a skype MDCAT Papakura response team collective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 April 2020</td>
<td>Attended a COVID – 19 – operational skype briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended via Skype the Local Board Chairs weekly COVID-19 operational briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Community Law South meeting with the CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended an urgent COVID-19 Councillor/Chair skype meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Action Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the monthly Papakura Crimewatch meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Local Board Members forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Wild Families Nature Network meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the LGNZ Auckland Zone meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 March 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Commercial Projects Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the board tour of central Papakura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Conifer Grove Residents meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Addison Residents Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Australian Bush Fires Concert at Hawkins Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 March 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended Movies in Parks at Central Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 March 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Have Your Say drop-in session at Central Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association after five function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Drury Community Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the CAB Service Framework Political Advisory Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 March 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Kuraconnect meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura African Community event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the YOUTHFEST / Childrens Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Joint Manurewa and Papakura joint workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Joint Franklin and Papakura Local Board Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Pukekiwinkti Paa Joint Committee Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Pukekiwinkti Paa Joint Committee Dawn Blessing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Karaka Harbourside Residents Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Neighbourhood Support sausage sizzle on Scott Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association monthly meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Watercare Underground Central Interceptor sod turning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the McLennan Residents Society AGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Ako Hoe Waka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association strategic plan breakfast meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Pahurehure Inlet Protective Society meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Waiata-Shores site visit with the Manurewa Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Ardmore Airport Noise Consultative Committee meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Constituent Drop In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Crimewatch monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Hunua Trial Introduction meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Street Play at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Families Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Massey Park User Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 February 2020</td>
<td>Supported the Papakura Commercial Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Rosehill College 50th Anniversary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 February 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Youth Council meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Improving Maori Input into Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Hui – Papakura Marae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Wet and Wild Slides – Drury Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Kura Connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Thing – Southern Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Library Dare to Explore finale party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Kite Day – Keri Downs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Youth Council bbq dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Mayor’s visit to Papakura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Junky Monkeys – Central Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the MPS Addison group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Conifer Grove Residents meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 January 2020</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Association Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 January 2020</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Amazing Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Southern Corridor Improvements Project Opening event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Wild Child Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Addison Annual Festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Kura Connect Steering Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Association Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Army Cadets Prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Pukekiwiriki Paa Joint Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Kiwi Property drop in session in Drury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 4 Squadron Air Training Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Coastguard Christmas party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Chilling in the Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Santa Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Carols in the Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Ardmore Airport Christmas party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Franklin/Papakura Citizenship Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Local Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Local Board Stakeholder Christmas afternoon tea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community Network meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Book launch – History of Drury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Community Crimewatch Patrol Christmas Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 December 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 28th Annual Sporting Excellence Awards for 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 December 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Cross Street Playground opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Sikh Games</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Counties Manukau Gymnastics end of year prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura Business Association end of year function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended Christmas at the Paa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Papakura Commercial Projects Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the KURA Connect Steering Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Franklin and Papakura Citizenship ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Pahurehure Inlet Protection Society meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Takanini Residents Action Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended Hotaka Piri Kura graduation ceremony at Papakura High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended Clarice Reserve Playground opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the opening of ‘Dad’s Army’ at Off Broadway Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the kumara planting event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Sikh Games at Pulman Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Papakura High School Senior Prizegiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Conifer Grove Residents meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Local Board Chairs forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 November 2019</td>
<td>Supported and attended the Armistice Parade and Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the Free Family event – kuraconnect Turbo Touch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 November 2019</td>
<td>Attended the 2019 Rangatahi Festival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 November 2019</td>
<td>Inaugural Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Election of Chairperson, Brent Catchpole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Deputy Chairperson, Jan Robinson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Papakura Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar - May 2020

File No.: CP2020/05561

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present to the Papakura Local Board the three months Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Governance Forward Work Calendar is a schedule of items that will come before the local board at business meetings and workshops over the next three months. The Governance Forward Work Calendar for the Papakura Local Board is included in Attachment A of this report.

3. The calendar aims to support local boards' governance role by:
   i) ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   ii) clarifying what advice is required and when
   iii) clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar will be updated every month, be included on the agenda for business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Papakura Local Board:

a) note the Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 21 May 2020.

Horopaki
Context
5. The council’s Quality Advice Programme aims to improve the focus, analysis, presentation and timeliness of staff advice to elected representatives. An initiative under this is to develop forward work calendars for Governing Body committees and local boards. These provide elected members with better visibility of the types of governance tasks they are being asked to undertake and when they are scheduled.

6. There are no new projects in the Governance Forward Work Calendar. The calendar brings together in one schedule reporting on all of the board’s projects and activities that have been previously approved in the local board plan, long-term plan, departmental work programmes and through other board decisions. It includes Governing Body policies and initiatives that call for a local board response.

7. This initiative is intended to support the board’s governance role. It will also help staff to support local boards, as an additional tool to manage workloads and track activities across council departments, and it will allow greater transparency for the public.
8. The calendar is arranged in three columns, “Topic”, “Purpose” and “Governance Role”:
   i) Topic describes the items and may indicate how they fit in with broader processes such as the annual plan.
   ii) Purpose indicates the aim of the item, such as formally approving plans or projects, hearing submissions or receiving progress updates.
   iii) Governance role is a higher-level categorisation of the work local boards do. Examples of the seven governance categories are tabled below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Capex projects, work programmes, annual plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local initiatives / specific decisions</td>
<td>Grants, road names, alcohol bans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input into regional decision-making</td>
<td>Comments on regional bylaws, policies, plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Local board agreement, quarterly performance reports, review projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability to the public</td>
<td>Annual report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Community hui, submissions processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Briefings, cluster workshops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar. The calendar will be updated and reported back every month to business meetings. Updates will also be distributed to relevant council staff.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. This report is an information report providing the governance forward work programme for the next three months.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rūpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

11. The council is required to provide Governance Forward Work Calendar to the Manurewa Local Board for their consideration.

Ngā whakaaweawe a-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari a-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

12. All local boards are being presented with a Governance Forward Work Calendar for their consideration.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

13. The projects and processes referred to in the Governance Forward Work Calendar will have a range of implications for Māori which will be considered when the work is reported.

Ngā ritenga a-pūtea
Financial implications

14. There are no financial implications relating to this report.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
15. This report is a point in time of the Governance Forward Work Calendar. It is a living document and updated month to month. It minimises the risk of the board being unaware of planned topics for their consideration.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
16. Staff will review the calendar each month in consultation with board members and will report an updated calendar to the board.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar - May 2020</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Paula Brooke - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Manoj Ragupathy - Relationship Manager Manurewa &amp; Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Papakura Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 21 May 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop / Business meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>27/05/2020</td>
<td>Expected reports on the agenda:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local and Multiboard Grants 2019/2020 Round Two</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adopt draft Local Board Plans and SCP content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>Panuku quarterly update</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>Veolia quarterly update</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>ATEED quarterly update and Local Board Engagement Plan</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>Resilient Food Systems</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>Phased reopening of local community facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3/06/2020</td>
<td>Older people paper</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
<td>Proposed amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
<td>Small Grants Round Two applications</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Review community grant applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10/06/2020</td>
<td>Report a problem online using the new Auckland Council online form</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Informal dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
<td>Connected Communities update</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
<td>Arts Community and Events monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
<td>Community Facilities monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>17/06/2020</td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss Meeting</td>
<td>24/06/2020</td>
<td>Expected reports on the agenda:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual planning (Local Board Work Programme) approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan change 22 and plan modification 12 – Sites and places of significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol Control Bylaw Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1/07/2020</td>
<td>Te o era O Manukau</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment A

#### Item 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting Type</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Type of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Papakura Youth Council update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Arts Community and Events monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Community Facilities work monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/07/2020</td>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Expected reports on the agenda:</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/07/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - The Papakura Business Association</td>
<td>Keep informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Papakura Community Crimewatch Patrol</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Neighbourhood Support</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Police</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups update - Maori Wardens</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Revised content of draft Local Board Plan</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Arts Community and Events monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>General business</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Community Facilities monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/2020</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/08/2020</td>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Expected reports on the agenda:</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Papakura Local Board Workshop Records

File No.: CP2020/05562
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Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on Wednesday, 22 April 2020, commencing at 2pm.

**PRESENT via Skype for Business**

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole – Papakura Local Board  
**Members:**  
Jan Robinson - Papakura Local Board  
Felicity Auva’a - Papakura Local Board  
George Hawkins - Papakura Local Board  
Sue Smurthwaite – Papakura Local Board  
Keven Mealamu – Papakura Local Board  

**Also present:**  
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager, Local Board Services)  
Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Paula Brooke (Democracy Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Lee Manaia (Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Jacqueline Pryor (PA/Liaison, Local Board Services)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **One Local Initiative (OLI) next steps** Via Skype:  
**Kevin Jones** (Manager Strategic Projects, Auckland Transport)  
**James Ralph** (Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport)  
**Rosco Webb** (Programme Principal OLI’s, Community Facilities)  
**Chris Morgan** (Group manager strategic projects)  
**Yvonne Gwyn** (Health Streets and Active Modes team, Auckland Transport)  | Setting direction/priorities/budget | Staff sought input from the board about the board’s One Local Initiative (OLI) to agree a way forward, confirm next steps and if possible, timelines and funding. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papakura Local Board Sports Awards – review brief proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kareem Colmenares (Senior Event Organiser, Events, ACE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Henry (Manager Civic Events, Events, ACE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leanne Roche (Event Development Manager, Events, ACE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massey Park Pools - completion of the link from solar heating to the indoor pool</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff updated on the Massey Park Pools completion of the link from solar heating to the indoor pool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Facilities draft 2020/2021 work programme</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Staff presented the draft Community Facilities 2020/2021 work programme, for the board's feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Via Skype:

**Helen Bliffin**
(Work Programme Lead, Community Facilities)

**Sam Pohiva**
(Work Programme Lead, Community Facilities)

The workshop ended at 4.00 pm.
## Workshop Item | Governance role | Summary of Discussions
--- | --- | ---
**General business**<br>Via Skype:<br>Local board members | Setting direction / priorities / budget | The members discussed the following items:
- Standing item: **Member updates/welfare check**
- **Crime in the Rosehill area**
- **Local Board feedback on Local Government (Rating of Whenua Maori) Amendment Bill**
- **Financial Briefing**
- **I&ES response to work programme lines.**

**Arts, Community and Events (ACE) monthly work programme update**<br>Via Skype:<br>Tracey Hainsworth-Fa-aro (Strategic Broker, Arts Community and Events) | Oversight and Monitoring | Staff provided an update on the Arts, Community and Events work programme.

**Community Facilities monthly work programme update**<br>Via Skype: | Oversight and Monitoring | Sam provided an update on the Community Facilities work programme.
## Workshop Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sam Pohiva (Work Programme Lead, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine Samuel (Project Manager, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennice Stringer (Project Manager, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sports and Recreation monthly work programme update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff provided an update on the progress of the Greenways Plan which is part of the Parks, Sports and Recreation (PSR) work programme, to see if the board want to include a refresh of it in the 2020/2021 PSR work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype: Debra Langton (PSR Portfolio Manager, Parks Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Lowe (Parks and Places Specialist, Parks Sports and Recreation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Four – Local Board Agreement – review consultation feedback</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>The Senior Advisor introduced the Local Board Agreement presentation reviewing consultation feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype: Vic Hutt (Senior Advisor, Local Board Services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelvin Munif-Imo (Engagement Advisor, Local Board Services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop ended at 4.28pm.
Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on Wednesday, 6 May 2020, commencing at 2pm.

**PRESENT via Skype for Business**

**Chairperson**

Members:  
Jan Robinson  
Felicity Auva’a  
George Hawkins  
Sue Smurthwaite  
Keven Mealamu

**Apologies**  
Brent Catchpole

**Also present:**  
Manoj Ragupathy (Relationship Manager, Local Board Services)  
Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Paula Brooke (Democracy Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Lee Manaia (Advisor, Local Board Services)  
Jacqueline Pryor (PA/Liaison, Local Board Services)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Staff provided an update on the Auckland Transport (AT) work programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Ralph (Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport) Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pescara Point Walkway update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff provided options for the Pescara Point walkway, for the board's feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype: Kim Graham (Project Manager, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen Auckland (Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED)) update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff provided an update on Screen Auckland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ellie Beard</strong> (Relationship Facilitator - Film, Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Facilities Leasing update – the Papakura Rugby Football Club</strong></td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff provided an update on the Papakura Rugby Football Club lease in relation to the gym operating on the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jenny Young</strong> (Community Lease Specialist, Community Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop ended at 3.41pm.
Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on **Wednesday, 13 May 2020, commencing at 12.30pm.**

**PRESENT via Skype for Business**

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole – Papakura Local Board (from 4.10pm)

**Members:**
- Jan Robinson - Papakura Local Board
- Felicity Auva’a - Papakura Local Board
- George Hawkins - Papakura Local Board
- Sue Smurthwaite – Papakura Local Board
- Keven Mealamu – Papakura Local Board (until 5pm)

**Also present:**
- Victoria Hutt (Senior Advisor, Local Board Services)
- Paula Brooke (Democracy Advisor, Local Board Services)
- Lee Manaia (Advisor, Local Board Services)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grants applications - local and multiboard 2019/2020 Round Two</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Staff presented the local and multi-board applications for the 2019/2020 Grants Round Two, for the board's review. The remaining budget for this round and the last small grants round is $40,283.24.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Via Skype:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Taimarangai (Senior Grants Advisor, Treasury)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracey Hainsworth-Fa’afofo (Strategic Broker, Arts, Community and Events)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drury East Private Plan Change Applications</strong></td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Barker and Associates Planning Consultancy presented the three Drury East Private Plan Change applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rebecca Sanders</strong> (Associate, Barker &amp; Associates Planning Consultancy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus other attendees:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nick Roberts – Barker Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rachel Morgan – Barker Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• David Schwartzfeger – Kiwi Property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emma McDonald - Pragmatix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Andrew McCarthy– Oyster Capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Craig Cairncross</strong> (Team Leader, Planning, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michael Luong</strong> (Principal Planner, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drury East Private Plan Change</strong> Applications question time with Plans and Places</td>
<td></td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Craig Cairncross</strong> (Team Leader, Planning, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michael Luong</strong> (Principal Planner, Plans and Places)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Board Plan - discussion of proposed changes to the draft</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff presented the current draft Local Board Plan, for the boards review and feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vic Hutt</strong> (Senior Local Board Advisor, Local Board Services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General business</strong></td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Members discussed the following items:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Skype:</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Member updates/welfare check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- <strong>Local Board Plan</strong>: Confirmed SCP dates 13 July – 9 August 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Paying rates without use of technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Level 2 arrangements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Feedback for Emergency Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop ended at 5.34pm.
Exclusion of the Public: Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

That the Papakura Local Board

a) exclude the public from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

**C1 Papakura Local Board feedback on the draft 2020/2021 Annual Budget (also known as the Emergency Budget)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
<td>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. In particular, the report contains information around potential financial implications and emerging financial risks of COVID-19 in a highly uncertain environment. The release of this information could prejudice the position of the council and CCOs in sensitive commercial arrangements and negotiations. s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). In particular, the report contains information around potential financial implications and emerging financial risks of COVID-19 in a highly uncertain environment. The release of this information could prejudice the position of the council and CCOs in sensitive commercial arrangements and negotiations.</td>
<td>s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>