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Welcome
Chairperson I Fordham will open the meeting held by Skype for Business and welcome everyone in attendance. Member V Toki will lead a karakia.

Apologies
An apology from Deputy Chairperson L Coles had been received.

Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

Confirmation of Minutes
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:
  a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Monday, 15 June 2020, as a true and correct record.

Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.
9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-
   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-
   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Environmental agency and community group reports

File No.: CP2020/07635

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for Aotea Great Barrier community groups and environmental agencies with interest or role in the environment or the work of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board to have items considered as part of the board’s business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Environment Committee of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board has been discontinued from the start of this electoral term 2016/2019. To continue with the tradition of open and more direct interaction between the board, local groups and others, the local board has extended an invitation to either speak at the board’s business meeting via Public Forum or put items forward and have reports included in the agenda.

3. Inclusion of items on the agenda is at the discretion of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Chairperson in discussion with the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Relationship Manager to ensure the material is appropriate and will not create any issues. Any items submitted will be included under a cover report which will have the recommendation that “item xyz be noted or received”.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) note the June 2020 local board update from the Great Barrier Island Environmental Trust and the Motu Kaikoura Trust Supporters’ newsletter issue 29 June 2020

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
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Aotea Great Barrier Local Board: Update – June 2020

Kia ora Izzy, Sue, Luke, Valmaine and Pat,

Our last report to you was for your March Business Meeting. It feels a very long time ago and we hope you are all well after this extraordinary time. Here is an update on our activities and priorities:

1. **Aotea Area Plan and Local Board Plan**: We submitted to both these processes in writing after COVID put paid to our planned feedback sessions with you. Resilience in every sense has since become more critical than ever. Protecting fish stocks, marine and freshwater environments, reducing environmental impacts, and growing employment from conservation and sustainable tourism should all be supported in these plans.

2. **Our Strategic Plan 2020-2022**: This is in draft and outlines what we will focus on in the next 3 years. Our objectives and key activities are summarised in this table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Key activities include…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <em>Build a partnership with mana whenua</em></td>
<td>Supporting Ngati Rehua restoration priorities and projects directly and indirectly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Strengthen and enable community and landowner action</em></td>
<td>Aotea Trap Library, support/umbrella fund community projects (e.g. OME, North Aotea/Tu Mai Taonga), Windy Hill/Glenfern Tiike project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Provide targeted research and information to support action</em></td>
<td>Aotea Bird Count, State of Environment Reporting (Birds, Marine, research library), Seabird Survey, Okiri Kakariki research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Advocate for Aotea’s taonga ecosystems and species</em></td>
<td>Education on taonga of Aotea and threats; working in partnership towards a predator-free Aotea; submissions to relevant national and regional policies, plans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Project North Aotea/Tu Mai Taonga**: This project arose from the Aotea Conservation Park Advisory Committee 3 Year prioritisation process. It aims to expand existing effort to remove feral cats from the north of the island and to suppress rats. This will eventually enable the return of kokako and other species such as seabirds and the protection of taonga such as black petrels, pateke, dotterel, kaka, kereru and kakariki. Bring Back Kokako remains a distinct Ngati Rehua project, enabled by this work. On behalf of the group we applied for project manager role funding from the DOC national Conservation Hub Fund in March. A working group has been established comprising DOC, local and iwi reps, Auckland Council and GBET. The priority is to secure a Project Manager and operational/field manager and to carry out community consultation with the 150 landowners/residents in the area. There is a lot of excitement about this mahi in the north. We are also seeking a workshop with the Local Board as soon as practical.

4. **Aotea Trap Library and community conservation activities**: There has been huge demand for rat traps and boxes – to the extent that boxes may soon have to be made on island. *Aotea Trap Library*
had to shut down during L4 and L3 which gave us time to define and brand the service. Focus since then has been getting as much participation at end of autumn as possible. Lotte McIntyre (WWF funded until Feb 2021) has been busy meeting this demand working with the Ecology Vision Facilitator Taryn Wilkes and the OME project. Two collaborative progress meetings were held and these are ongoing as required. Our Community Conservation Fund application to Auckland Council was successful but may be halved depending on the Emergency budget outcome. We are working with Taryn Wilkes to prioritise how this is spent. The priority is to work with others including the Board to make sure there is sustained funding for community conservation activities (traps, support, education, tools) to meet the obvious demand and make a visible difference for biodiversity. There are at least three landowner groupings interested in larger projects which will be followed up in winter.

5. **Otuawhara Medlands Ecovision**: GBET supports this project by acting as the umbrella funding organisation for OME, run by a community group. Unfortunately their application for Year 2 costs was not successful in the first 2020 Local Board round due to oversubscription. OME are hopeful an application to DOC Community Fund to add the historically significant wetland next to the road will be successful. OME is an important step in restoring nature in the south of the island. Having set up the project, they now need to develop a sustainable model so the biodiversity benefits desired can be realised. Kokari have been sighted in gardens this autumn which is an exciting development. Feedback is that having a non-council, non-DOC coordinator for people to interact with is key. OME will reapply to the next round of Local Board funding in June.

6. **Aotea Bird Count**: A summary of results was published in the Bulletin and we hope the full report will be “launched” in early July COVID notwithstanding. Thanks go to Emma Waterhouse in particular for project managing this, with support from John Ogden and Auckland Council who have funded the all-important data analysis and first report. This count is a fantastic collaboration and will occur annually to give us an understanding of the health of forest birds island wide.

7. **State of Environment (SoE) Report Update on Birds**: this project is progressing well COVID notwithstanding – Emma Waterhouse is again project managing (all as a volunteer) with an analysis of all the published data on our birdlife since 2010 (the date of the last SoE). John Ogden and many others are assisting with data sets on dotterels, bitterns, patake, black petrels, gannets and more. We thank the board for your original grant to assist with this and patience as we pull in new bird count data from the Aotea Bird Count to create a single picture of our birds. With COVID impacts we now expect to publish this before the end of 2020.

8. **Marine protection**: We submitted to the Sea Change ministerial advice process in support of the submission from the Auckland Conservation Board (see attachment) – emphasising the urgency of action especially on crayfish, MPAs, reduced commercial catches in the gulf and seabirds. We are still planning to seek funding for a State of the Marine Environment report for Aotea subject to resourcing within the trust to manage this. We are still advocating for an appropriate planning response to marine dumping in the Auckland Region and will appear at the POAL hearing in late June.

9. **Other advocacy**: we submitted on the draft National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity in March, which is the mechanism similar to the NPS freshwater that will require better protection of taonga species in planning and development in our region. EDS is undertaking a Landscape study of Gulf Islands and interviewed trustees and former trustees in April. We supported the request from
ACPAC to the Minister of Conservation to allow access to Hirakimata during level 3 for cat trapping and monitoring of black petrel chicks. We are also supporting DOC Aotea’s efforts to get technical input into ongoing pateke declines on Aotea. And finally we have advocated to the office of Director General of Conservation Lou Sanson, to provide support to Aotea in line with that being provided to Rakiura and Waiheke for conservation and restoration – with associated employment and community benefits.

Priorities coming up

For the coming quarter our priorities are as follows:

1. Support the case for investment in Aotea from Jobs for Nature and other funding via the DOC process.
2. Progress Tu Mai Taonga/North Aotea project – funding, filling the project manager and operations/field manager roles, and community consultation.
3. Feed back on the Emergency Budget, Local Board plan and Aotea Plan consultation.
5. Share our updated 2020-2022 Strategic Plan with partners, members and stakeholders.
7. Continue to enable community conservation working with the Aotea Trap Library coordinator, Ecology Vision Facilitator, DME, Okiw Project, and interested landowners to prepare for spring trapping and related events.

Thank you for your support as always and we look forward to seeing you soon.

Nga mihi nui,

Kate Waterhouse
Chair, Great Barrier Island Environmental Trust

8 June, 2020
Auckland Conservation Board: Sea Change Implementation: Feedback Summary, 30 April 2020

Background

On Friday 28 February a joint meeting of the Auckland Conservation Board and the Waikato Conservation Board received a briefing from DOC Manager of Marine Policy team member Sam Thomas on the recommendations being made to the Ministerial Advisory Committee regarding the implementation of Sea Change. We received a further update to these by email from Sam in board papers on 3 April 2020 and this document is referenced in our feedback in the table below.

Overview

- **Outcomes**: the strategy outlines the right outcomes for the Gulf – restoration and a return to abundance. It also rightly highlights kaitiakitanga, mataurangatanga and an ecosystem view. However, it is misleading to seek a thriving HGMP fishery in the short term until fish stocks are restored to more sustainable levels. There has to be a reduction in fishing.

- **Recognise the Treaty**: The document needs to explicitly recognise the Treaty of Waitangi and the partnership between Maori and the Crown, and this needs to be expressed more explicitly in charting the future for the Hauraki Gulf - Tikapa Moana/Te Moananui-ā-Toi. The fundamental principles of kaitiakitanga and protecting the wairua of Tikapa Moana/Te Moananui-ā-Toi that are critical to tangata whenua must be central and promoted toward success. This includes honouring the Crown implementing the overdue Waitangi Tribunal decisions on the management of the inner Gulf islands.

- **Go further**: While it is reassuring to see a focus on marine protection in the recommendations, we urge you to go further, given the dire state of many species in the Gulf and the trends that have been clear for at least a decade. The MAC must go much further if we are to arrest the intergenerational loss of access to healthy kaimoana and marine ecosystems, and if we are to prevent functional extinctions of endemic seabirds and koura/crayfish in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park. In the immediate term, this means eliminating commercial fishing from much of the park, an emergency recovery plan for koura/crayfish including a no take period while this is done, greatly reduced recreational fishing limits, and acceleration of marine protected areas in the 15 identified areas and any others where communities are prepared to lead marine protection projects. A target of 30% of the park under protection by 2030 is not unrealistic given the data the committee has been presented with on the state of the Gulf.

- **Go faster**: it is inexcusable that five years have passed since the extensive public consultation and a bottom-up planning process took place around Gulf communities, with iwi and other stakeholders, and yet not one additional marine protected area has been set aside. Despite reassurances from the government agencies pushing out the Sea Change stakeholder process at the outset the outcomes would be implemented, recommendations from the extensive Sea Change process remain only recommendations several years later. This is a failure of political leadership, governance, councils and central government accountability. There is already legislation to protect the park between the treaty, the HGMP Act, the RMA, Fisheries Act and Conservation
Act. Make the accountability for delivering change clear and ensure there is full transparency of milestones. Consider what new organisational structures and capacity are needed to drive implementation of the changes (see below), monitor participating agencies and to effectively manage this “National Park of the Sea”.

- There will be predictable and strong resistance from the fishing industry and dairy interests, but the time for that has passed. Crayfish and mussel beds are functionally extinct and ecosystem collapse is occurring in places because of overfishing and nutrient and sediment flows into the Gulf. To achieve the outcomes you outline, these vested interests must be neutralised with strong political leadership that upholds the full intent of Seachange.

- **Set up a fit for purpose implementation team and governance:** the resourcing and investment needed from government to implement the actions and manage the park on an ongoing basis must reflect the extent of the changes needed and the importance of the Gulf to mana whenua and its many peoples. This is clearly not possible under BAU for DOC’s current Auckland team. Implementation and the ongoing management of the park will require different skills and more capacity. Seachange is a kete that holds many changes, but it has proved too heavy to lift. Breaking up implementation and governance – for example into smaller meaningful spatial areas, could engage iwi and communities more effectively and drive the necessary changes that are needed to reverse the ongoing decline in the environment of the Hauraki Gulf.

- **Actively pursue quick wins to build momentum:** choose projects that help build more effective collaboration between Auckland and Waikato Councils, their departments, DOC, MPI and iwi. These could include a no-take period for crayfish until stocks recover and begin to remove the kina imbalance generated by overfishing; a plan change to curtail the ecologically destructive commercial fishing practices inside 12 mile coastal waters on biodiversity grounds under the RMA; and fast-tracking a number of marine reserves or Ahu Moana areas.

- **Build public knowledge of taonga** – the HGMP is an internationally acknowledged biodiversity hotspot and seabird mecca. But most people simply do not know what goes on under the water. Without better public education on the reality of fish stocks, pollution, climate and biosecurity threats and the treasures that need to be protected, the public may not tolerate the level of marine protection and other changes now required. Education in schools being driven by private companies and individuals should be scaled up to ensure that every child that grows up on the shores of the park understands its value and knows how to protect it.

- **Climate impacts** – it has been said that climate means all the risks that the marine ecology faced already, get worse. This strengthens the case for rapid implementation of all the recommendations because the marine environment of the Hauraki Gulf will have more resilience to climate change if those other environmental stressors are greatly reduced through positive and immediate management actions.
- **Harness the public’s support** – there is a strong iwi and community desire to see positive change, that is being eroded by ongoing inept governance and inertia, when this support can be harnessed to begin to implement effective change. For example, the proposal for preparing a fisheries plan for the Hauraki Gulf will direct public engagement and energy toward yet another slow round of consultation, without making any significant management progress on the ground to arrest the environmental decline. Furthermore, fisheries management plans have a history of high failure rate in the New Zealand context and are likely to be viewed with scepticism by the public.

**Feedback against the 8 streams outlined in the Seachange Stakeholder Engagement presentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seachange stream</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **W1 Fisheries management** | • Sustainability of fisheries and the control of commercial fishing in the HGMP are mandatory tools if any reversal of ecosystem collapse or restoration is to occur. There is a strong case for dramatically reducing commercial fishing in the park, banning some destructive fishing methods, like seafloor dredging and bottom trawling completely, and much more sustainable management of all fish stocks, beyond snapper.  
• If the scope of the proposed Hauraki Gulf Fisheries Plan is to do this, then it will be valuable.  
• Fisheries management is not a separate activity from the management of the HGMP. Overfishing – commercial and recreational, is the single biggest threat to the health of the park based on the data available. The objective of any HG Fisheries Plan must be to restore abundance and ecosystem function, not manage the allocation of a resource. Those days are gone. |
| **W2 Habitat restoration** | • Passive restoration through the creation of no take areas has been proven to be effective in existing marine reserves if they are big enough. This must be the primary ecosystem restoration tool.  
• Enforced elimination of destructive fishing techniques for the sea floor within the HGMP should be a priority  
• Query how will habitats be prioritised for restoration, where this is possible? What data is there to quantify the ecosystem loss (eg total extent of kina barrens, loss of different sea floor types) and the ecological impacts of these?  
• Support increased scale of mussel restoration projects – streamlining consenting, additional funding to expand scale. |
| **W3 Aquaculture** | • Large scale aquaculture must be managed to avoid biosecurity risk and damage to neighbouring ecosystems  
• As food security and sustainable food production increase in importance, there may be pressure to dramatically expand capacity. Appropriate controls and governance are needed to ensure there is no unacceptable consequence for the health of the Gulf overall or for specific aquaculture locations. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seachange stream</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **W4 Marine protection** | • A target of 30% of the HGMP protected by 2030 is in line with international obligations and the restoration outcomes desired. Provision needs to be made for the proposed list of 15 to be expanded as new areas are identified as possible MPAs.  
• The process as described is too slow. There has been virtually no progress on MPAs in the 20 year life of the park. Yet they are the principle restoration tool available to us. What investigations have been made into fast tracking any of the identified MPAs? What targets can be set to shorten the process from initiation to completion?  
• The pathway to implementation must be agile, easy for communities to understand and include options to fast-track where iwi, community and conservation/restoration interests align.  
• Iwi and communities will need implementation support and with 15 MPAs proposed this support could be provided from a central point/team. |
| **W5 Protected species** | • Seabirds: threats to seabirds are serious and very well documented (See Threats to Seabirds report from Northern NZ Seabird Trust, Edin Whitehead et al). This data confirms what is needed and includes:  
  o Eradication of rats and other predators from all of the HGMP's islands, maintain strict biosecurity to protect them  
  o Significantly reducing or eliminating commercial fishing which leads to deaths by bycatch of a number of species (notably black petrels), and loss of food sources for seabirds  
  o Ensuring councils implement measures to reduce both marine plastic pollution and light pollution.  
  o Reducing or eliminating fishing of species which are important as food sources for seabirds in the Hauraki Gulf, reversing the recent dramatic increases in commercial landings of these species over recent decades  
• Shorebirds: predation and disturbance by dogs, people or vehicles are the preventable causes of shorebird loss – Fairy Tern spatial sanctuaries may be needed to stop this species going extinct. Shore plovers, other terns and dotterels should be protected by appropriate enforcement of bylaws regarding dogs and vehicles on beaches in the park and pest control around breeding sites.  
• Marine mammals: the key threats to marine mammals in the park are reduced food availability and interaction with boats. Reducing fishing in the Gulf and educating boat owners and shipping operators on safe behaviour will help these species recover.  
• Other species: there is a case for protection of korua and some fish species in the park based on the population data available. What other species have you considered adopting measures for? |
<p>| <strong>W6 Ahu moana</strong> | • We agree that mana whenua and local communities desire to have a role and more influence and decision rights. However adding complexity and waiting for pilot results denies communities that are ready to move a chance to protect their coastal areas now. Regulatory mechanisms are available now to empower iwi and communities to improve the management of their local coastal resources now, and efforts should be made to implement these sooner rather than later. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seachange stream</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Learning how this tool will work should be done in practice. Investment is needed in facilitation capability to support any iwi and community ready to put Ahu Moana in place, or indeed more formal marine protection. Other support may include &quot;How to&quot; online guides, communications support and project coordination. Instead of a small number of pilot sites, aim for as many sites as are ready and ensure they are supported to get started and to build and share learnings as they go.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- We note that the MAC has asked that these be considered for Waiheke and Aotea. There is considerable support for stronger measures than Ahu Moana on both islands, including banning commercial fishing, no-take reserve areas, and the creation and enforcement of local rules to prevent stripping of shellfish and fish stocks by non-residents. Facilitation and implementation support is needed for both these islands to agree and fulfill their goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other iwi and communities need to be supported to take on a greater role in protecting their coastal environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>W7 Marine biosecurity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- We endorse a cross-region approach such as Top of the North to detect incursions and a focus on the biosecurity risks of marine farms. This should include promoting enforcement action for biosecurity offenders and support for local communities to be eyes and ears for pests, trial of protected areas and communicating doing the right thing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- We note the failure of marine biosecurity processes to prevent the mass die-off of pilchards in the Gulf from a herpes virus in 2008, brought into the Gulf in frozen bait from Australia. Pilchards are a critical if not the most critical ecosystem species in the Gulf but there is little knowledge or management of this species by MPI either as a stock or as a species vulnerable to biosecurity risks. The knock on effects of this one incident on marine mammals, pelagic fish and seabirds is immeasurable. The recommendations must include risk assessments for keystone species like pilchards to ensure the prevention effort is targeting the right vectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Aotea is a sentinel site for biosecurity incursions into the park. There may be others. If invasive species are to be kept out, surveillance and enforcement effort will need to increase. This investment is insurance against economic and biodiversity loss and may not have been adequately provided for by regional councils and MPI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Has the biosecurity risk of marine restoration been overstated relative to other risks as above?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>W8 Governance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Agree that the governance of the park is complex. However, the park has not been managed in its first 20 years to ensure its ongoing health, despite clear data to alert decision makers of problems. Three years have passed since the Sea Change recommendations, with no change. The ongoing environmental decline has been confirmed through a series of State of the Gulf reports, every 3 years. More effective and responsive management and governance of the Park needs to be devised and implemented immediately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Accountable central government and regional agencies have not met their legislative responsibilities to the park under the Treaty, the RMA, the Fisheries Act, the Conservation Act or the HGMP Act. The question must be asked: how was this allowed to happen under the current governance?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seachange stream</td>
<td>Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• It follows that to avoid further damage to the park there must be a change in the effectiveness of governance. There must also be an improvement in the transparency and scrutiny of decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The recommendations must therefore identify the specific accountabilities for specific outcomes – both in the park under all relevant legislation, and also for the implementation of the recommendations the MAC makes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The governance of the implementation of the recommendations must be more agile and transparent than the current HGMP governance. There is a need for rapid change to arrest the degradation that is occurring. The set up and management of the work programme to implement the MAC recommendations needs to fit this purpose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Feedback against the key 20 Year State of Our Gulf Issues**

On 28 February, James Frankham, publisher of NZ Geographic, threw the spotlight on the dire state of Tikapa Moana/ Te Moananui-ā-Toi following the release of the 20 Year State of Our Gulf Report. He highlighted 9 findings which demand a response from the Sea Change MAC and from DOC, MPI and both Auckland and Waikato regional councils. They are listed below along with the actions needed to turn around each trend. We include them because Seachange, the MAC and accountable ministers will be under scrutiny to deliver results that disrupt these trends. The MAC recommendations must be strong enough to support this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Action required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Crayfish are functionally extinct in the Hauraki Gulf, once one of its most abundant species. | • No-take period until a restoration plan can be agreed  
• Investment in solutions to reseed koura to areas where they have been wiped out |
| 2. In 20 years, no-take marine protection has increased just 0.05 per cent, to 0.3 per cent inside the Park (the internationally sanctioned goal is 100 times that.) | • Fast track the process to create the 15 identified Seachange MPAs  
• Agree the target of 30% of the HGMP as MPAs by 2030  
• Identify where the balance of MPAs could go working alongside iwi and communities |
| 3. Commercial catch has increased 30 per cent in the 20 years since the marine park was established. | • Ban commercial fishing from the coastal zone to protect biodiversity  
• Ban harmful methods from the HGMP  
• Mandatory Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Fisheries Management Plan targets for species recovery |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Action required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Recreational catch of snapper has decreased 27 per cent in the six years from 2011, either because of changes in catch limits, reduced fish stocks, or both.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- See 3 above  
- Agree and implement sustainable recreational catch limits for snapper  |
| 5. There have been 10 mass mortality events of fish and shellfish in the gulf in the past 10 years—relating to adverse environmental conditions—and nine toxic algal blooms in the nine years to 2019. |  
- Reduce nutrient flows into the Firth of Thames  
- Adjust shellfish and fish catch limits down to account for forecast adverse conditions leading to die off  |
| 6. 3730 tonnes of nitrogen flow into the Firth from rivers in Hauraki each year, and it's increasing (Auckland's two largest waste water plants contribute 245 tonnes per year). |  
- Set limits on stock holding and establish sustainable farming practices in Hauraki catchments in order to reduce nutrient flows into the Firth of Thames  
- Re-seed mussel beds in Firth of Thames  |
| 7. 38 per cent of 50 monitored sites are not safe enough to swim 10 per cent of the time. Three sites are never safe to swim. |  
- Auckland Council's timely implementation of changes to stormwater overflows into harbours and the Gulf and prevention of future overflows under probable climate change and urban growth scenarios  |
| 8. In 2000, 4% of seabirds were threatened with extinction vs 20% in 2020. In particular, fairy terns and takahē/black petrels will not survive ongoing predation or by-catch. |  
- Spatial exclusion zone around black petrel breeding colonies on Aotea and Hauturu for trawling and longlining (to reduce high bycatch risk)  
- Fairy tern spatial protection measures  
- Active plans to monitor and manage key threats to seabirds and shore birds by Auckland and Waikato regional councils – including reducing plastic pollution, light pollution and enforcement of bylaws on dogs and vehicles on beaches during nesting  |
| 9. Invasive marine species have more than doubled in 20 years, from 66 species to 144. |  
- Increased investment in risk assessment, prevention, surveillance, education, enforcement and interregional cooperation  |
KAKA COMMENTS
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OUR OBJECTIVES

- Restoring the natural environment of Motu Kaikoura
- Making Motu Kaikoura available for outdoor and environmental education
- Keeping a scenic reserve open and available to the public of NZ

Our objective of natural regeneration leaves us time for other projects. While our rodent eradication programme continues under the management of Mike Lee, over the years we have been able to embark on major improvements to the island. This last year has seen the installation of solar power. This coming year our aim is to improve the water supply system and “Save our Streams”

Lockdown
This has been our unexpected challenge at the end of the year and we have adapted to the situation. The island was closed during Levels 4 and 3 due to the Covid-19 pandemic and we now conduct our meetings via ZOOM. It is now open under Level 2.

Management Plan
The Management Plan and Summary of Submissions are now on the website www.motukaikoura.org.nz
We appreciate the advice and support we have received from DOC staff.

Rodents
Mike Lee has continued with the management of rodent eradication on the island and the Rodent Management Plan Report December 2019 has been forwarded to the Minister of Conservation and is on the website. Our last quarterly monitoring in May indicated 6%.

Staff
Contractors Clint and Jacinda Stannard along with their children continue with carrying out rodent control on the island and managing the day to day activities in keeping the facilities operational and up to date.
There has also been an increasing number of visitors over the years which are welcomed to the island. Resident volunteer Nick Mitchell continues to help reduce their work load in appropriate activities.
“SILENCE IS GOLDEN”
Friday 15 December, 2019,
SOLAR PANELS ON – GENERATOR OFF
A MOMENTOUS OCCASION

Our thanks to the Gt Barrier Local Board and Pub Charity for their grants towards the cost of solar power for Motu Kaikoura and the expertise of electrician Ricky Gregory in designing and installing the system. We also appreciate his support in time and materials.

Lion Foundation contributed towards the cost of our insurance for the lodge and other buildings.

DOC Community Fund for grant for ranger.
Foundation North for grant for operational costs.
Gt Barrier Local Board for funding towards a water supply.

The Trust appreciates the continued support given from these funders to help keep the island operational.
As a volunteer organisation it is imperative that we continue with fundraising to enable us to operate
**Airfield**
I continue to manage the airfield under the Civil Aviation regulations and keep the airfield mown. I have visited the island regularly during the year and now total 464 flights plus boat trips.

**Supporters**
We continue to increase our numbers of supporters and their financial support is very much appreciated. Newsletters are always well received as we endeavour to keep everyone up to date with activities on the island. Thanks to those who contribute articles. It is encouraging that so many people are willing to support conservation in general in NZ.

**Website**
Yeneh Badimayulew of Collate continues to keep our website up to date. All information regarding booking of accommodation and operational documents are regularly added to the site.

**Trustees**
Trustees have met 11 times during the year with the December meeting held at the home of Bev and Geoff Davidson which gave us a delightful ending of the year. Trustees do not meet in January.

Trustee Sue Daly has resigned after 6 years, firstly representing the GB Local Board and then later as an independent. The Trust expressed their appreciation of her input in keeping them up to date with GB activities and operational matters. We wish her well in her other duties on the Barrier. We welcomed Rosemary Baraclough who has now joined our Trust.

My thanks to my fellow volunteer trustees, especially Kim Grove as treasurer, and Rosalie Miller as secretary/supporter co-ordinator. We all bring different attributes to the Trust.

Rod Miller  
Chair  
Motu Kaikoura Trust
Motu Kaikoura Bird Survey, December 2019

Our 2019 bird survey took place as usual in December. The weather was fine for the 4 days, which is always a plus when trying to see and hear birds in the canopies of the taller trees on the survey transects. And with the new solar panels operational, having electricity available 24/7 for the first time was fantastic.

My first impressions of the birdlife were encouraging, with a number of team members commenting on an apparent increased abundance. But the analysis of the survey data showed a very different story. In all habitats and for all species, the counts were down! This was certainly unexpected, and initiated some serious team talks about what was different for 2019.

One anecdotal observation worthy of noting was that the stick insects and cicadas were not as obvious as usual, possibly late emerging for that season or emerging in lower numbers. Their activity cycles are highly in tune with local environmental conditions, and possibly a good indicator that the conditions were not optimal. These invertebrates are a significant food resource for many birds, so if their activity is delayed, there will be a flow-on effect to the bird populations.

It may not necessarily mean that the populations are down, just that a change in behaviour may not have favoured us detecting the birds. Our thoughts to date are that a lower-than-usual seasonal rainfall has impacted on all fauna.

However, I think it also crucial to point out that survey such as ours is a snapshot of a point in time. The true value of such surveys lies in tracking trends over an extended period of time. Despite the count drop for 2019, the overall bird diversity for Motu Kaikoura is creeping up – and we do anticipate the trend to increase as the recovery of the native vegetation continues to take effect.

This means that we really do need to continue the bird surveys for some years to come!

Nevertheless, our visit to the island is never without great sightings of kaka, kereru and banded rail – and for an ornithologist, it always a delight to see the myriad of petrels during the boat crossings from Sandspit. Motu Kaikoura always has something on show for a team of biologists loose in the field, as the photos show!

I do extend my thanks to my fellow 2019 expedition members for their support to make the annual surveys a reality.

Mel Galbraith

Gorse mite web
Introduced bio control agent
Photos: Barbara Hughes

Manuka in flower

Kawakawa caterpillar
Hanging on a thread
Rat Behaviour and Banded Rail

I was fortunate to be able to return to Motu Kaikoura this summer to continue in my work investigating rat behaviour while combining it with some work on the Banded rail/moho pereru population on the island as I now had a DOC Wildlife Permit to work with this species as well.

As all these species are opportunistic omnivores, there are some potentially interesting overlaps in diet between them. In addition, as the vast majority of the rats I catch on Motu Kaikoura are kioe, I now have the opportunity to compare kioe diet and behavior on different islands where they exist alongside other species that may compete or otherwise interfere with them.

Ecological fieldwork always throws up surprises though, and this year it was the much drier conditions that have now continued well into the Autumn. Potentially this was one of the reasons why I captured far fewer banded rails than in my visits in the previous two years. I captured a total of 10 individuals with an even split between adults and juveniles.

Apart from that I cannot tell you much more about them as Covid19 has prevented me from carrying out any laboratory analyses.

However, these captures also enabled me to provide training in bird handling and banding to Jacques de Satge, a PhD student at Massey University who is studying banded rails in New Zealand mangrove habitats.

Thomas Bodey

Volunteers
The Trust always welcomes volunteers, especially for track clearing before the summer would be appreciated. If you are able to help in this way please check the website for details regarding accommodation and what you need to bring.

www.motukaikoura.org.nz
Motu Kaikoura Autumn 2020

Hi Everyone,

Finally, the rains of autumn have begun to revive the bush on Kaikoura Island, but only just… as many Punga, Taraire and Hangihangi have not survived our exceptionally dry summer. Good news on the pest control and avian fronts though. Kakariki have become a more common sight in the past year; a heart-warming change to behold, and for the first time that we have experienced, there have been two broods of Pateke at the top pond up past the old house.

We have been busy with pest control get the ship rat population down to and are now focussing our efforts on more trap stations and creating new progress.

We have had some lovely volunteers majority through connections of our dozen people from boats, hailing from the globe, who helped out with the monitoring.

Home schooling our children during change for us. We are fortunate to has proven essential during these always grateful to live and work in environment.

From the Stannard family.

and have managed to extremely low levels Kiore by introducing tracks to facilitate this during summer. The children, including a far flung corners of invertebrate

lockdown was no big have a garden which unusual times. We are such a beautiful

TREASURER - URGENT

Following the resignation of our treasurer of many years, Peter Hutton, Kim Grove (treasurer TOSSI) stepped in temporarily to assist us.

She has now advised she is resigning from this position and we are in urgent need of a volunteer treasurer.

The job involves payment of monthly accounts, cash reports for our monthly meetings, annual balance sheet, invoicing accommodation and completing the annual Charities Services return.

Accounting system is Xero.

If you can help please contact Rod Miller hellorodmiller@hotmail.com or 021 773 286

We would really appreciate your help.
MOTU KAIKOURA TRUST SUPPORTERS

C/- Rosalie Miller
44 Martins Bay Road
R D 2
WARKWORTH 0982

rosaliegmiller@hotmail.com

Annual donations as a supporter are tax deductible. Your donations are appreciated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bequest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name ____________________________
Address _________________________

My cheque for ___________________________ is enclosed

Or ASB Account – Motu Kaikoura Trust 12 3077 0580668 00

Please email me if you have made a direct payment so that I can issue you with a tax deductible receipt.

Thank you,
Rosalie Miller
Motu Kaikoura Supporters

I would like to receive newsletters by: Email ____________________________
Hard copy Yes/No

"Having vision is not enough. Change comes through realising the vision And turning it into reality."
Sir Peter Blake

www.motukaikoura.org.nz
Allocate funding to Building a Flourishing Community Aotea Trust to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island's housing issues

File No.: CP2020/07468

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To allocate funding to Building a Flourishing Community Aotea Trust to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island's housing issues.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Housing has long been an issue on Aotea, and it’s one the current local board committed to addressing when it allocated $25,000 towards housing solutions in its 2019-20 work programme.

3. To date, none of the $25,000 has been spent.

4. A new community group was recently established on Aotea / Great Barrier - Building a Flourishing Community Aotea Trust (BFCA). The group’s key focus is housing. According to its strategic plan, its primary objective is to “find viable and sustainable solutions for housing needs on Aotea”.

5. In the second round of Local Board grant applications for financial year 2019-20, BFCA applied for $6,264.31 to cover trust admin and set up costs (attachment A), so that it’s equipped to get on with its housing solutions work.

6. The board declined the grant application, on the basis that the grant round was heavily oversubscribed, and the work could instead be funded from the 2019-20 Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) housing budget line.

7. The stated purpose of the local board’s housing budget line is to “progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island’s housing issues as discussed at the 2019 housing expert’s roundtable, taking into account past work done in this area, in particular the 2015 Affordable Housing Feasibility Study”.

8. On 2 June 2020, the local board held a workshop at which the allocation of funds from the housing budget line to BFCA was discussed. At that workshop, the board expressed a preference for meeting with BFCA and familiarizing themselves with the group and its work programme more thoroughly before committing the funds. A workshop between the local board and BFCA was scheduled for 15 June 2020.

9. Staff recommend allocating $6,264.31 to cover trust admin and set up costs, as requested in BFCA’s grant application from the board’s LDI housing budget line, in order to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island’s housing issues.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) allocate $6,264.31 to Building a Flourishing Aotea community group from its Locally Driven Initiatives housing solutions budget line to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island's housing issues.
Allocate funding to Building a Flourishing Community Aotea Trust to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island's housing issues
Allocate funding to Building a Flourishing Community Aotea Trust to progress potential solutions to Great Barrier Island's housing issues
for people to thrive, and can make daily living hard. Our work in developing housing solutions is committed to best environmental practice, sustainability and assisting residents toward economic self-sufficiency. We are currently working with property owners and liaising with Auckland Council staff to develop strategies and guidelines to address the range of housing needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaborating organisation/individual</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aotea Family Support Group</td>
<td>Umbrella organisation and support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demographics**

- **Māori outcomes:** None identified

- **Accessible to people with disabilities:** Yes - Our proposed office site is accessible to people with disabilities.

- **Target ethnic groups:** All/everyone

- **Healthy environment approach:**
  - Promote smoke-free messages. Include waste minimisation (zero waste) messages. Healthy options for food and drink, including water as the first choice. Encouraging active lifestyles including movement or fitness programmes.
  - Our volunteers already practice a healthy environment approach, and we will encourage and promote this with all our clients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of males targeted</th>
<th>Percentage of females targeted</th>
<th>All - not targeted male/female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0-5 years</th>
<th>&lt; 15 years</th>
<th>15-24 years</th>
<th>25-44 years</th>
<th>45-64 years</th>
<th>&gt;65 years</th>
<th>All ages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial information**

- **Amount requested:** $6264.31
- **Requesting grant for:** Office set-up and ongoing administration costs.

If part funded, how would you make up the difference:

We would make up the difference from other funding or philanthropic sources.

**Cost of participation:** No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total expenditure</th>
<th>Total income</th>
<th>Other grants approved</th>
<th>Applicant contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6,264.31</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1,692.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenditure item**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditure item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Amount requested from Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment hardware, installation and support</td>
<td>$1,955.41</td>
<td>$1,955.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wifi</td>
<td>$1,058.90</td>
<td>$1,058.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator wages</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers mileage</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration costs</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other funding sources</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donated materials</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of volunteers</th>
<th>Total number of volunteer hours</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$1,692.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information to support the application: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding history</th>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Project title</th>
<th>Decision Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Round - Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>No previous application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020 grant allocations

File No.: CP2020/07374

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve funding recommendations for applications received to the Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report recommends the allocation of funds to applications received under the Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020 for the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board's approval (see Attachment A).


4. The waterways protection fund was established to support community-led initiatives that improve water quality on Aotea. These initiatives are expected to protect and enhance land, streams, wetlands and waterways, and reduce the potential for erosion and water contamination.

5. Three applications were received for the fund during the 2019/2020 funding round, requesting a total of $30,421. Staff assessed the applications against the grant criteria outlined in Attachment B and verified how much funding is required to undertake each initiative.

6. Following this verification, staff recommend the allocation of $15,848 as detailed in the recommendations below. The locations of these initiatives are shown in the maps in Attachment C.

7. The funding allocations recommended through this report will see a total of $13,652 of the fund unallocated. As these funds cannot be reallocated towards an initiative that could be delivered within the financial year (before the end of June 2020), the unallocated budget will be given up as savings.

8. At a workshop on 19 May 2020, the local board indicated that it would like to allocate more of its grant funding if possible to achieve greater environmental outcomes. At the time of writing this report, staff were still in discussions with the Mason Road project applicant to see if additional funding could be allocated towards this initiative, closer to the $15,351 they initially requested.

9. If the applicant can provide evidence to justify this funding allocation, this would see a further allocation of $13,648 towards this project, and the full allocation of the board’s $29,500 waterways protection fund budget for 2019/2020. An update on the outcome of these discussions will be provided at the board’s June 2020 business meeting.

10. Funding allocations must be approved by the local board at its 23 June 2020 business meeting to ensure the grants can be administered within the 2019/2020 financial year.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) approve the funding recommendations for the Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020, as outlined in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020 grant applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Funding contribution</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Amount recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mason Road stream waterway restoration project</td>
<td>Towards the cost of 840 native plants to plant 840m² along the waterway that runs parallel to 50 and 50a Mason Road, Aotea Great Barrier.</td>
<td>$15,351</td>
<td>$5,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōkiwi River Tributary fencing and planting project</td>
<td>Towards the cost of erecting and upgrading 147 metres of 8-wire batten fence line that will protect 194 metres of a tributary of the Ōkiwi River that runs through 1624 Aotea Road and 7 Mabey Road, Aotea Great Barrier. Funding will also cover the cost of 320 ($2,140) plants to be planted within the fenced off riparian area.</td>
<td>$8274</td>
<td>$5,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Bay waterway protection</td>
<td>Towards the costs of fencing materials to erect 649 metres of post and waratah fence line with sheep netting to protect 380 metres of waterways at 541 Blind Bay Road, Aotea Great Barrier.</td>
<td>$6,796</td>
<td>$4,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$30,421</strong></td>
<td><strong>$15,848</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Horopaki
Context

11. In June 2019, the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board allocated $50,000 towards a freshwater management programme to be delivered as part of its 2019/2020 local environment work programme (resolution GBI/2019/63).

12. As part of this freshwater management programme, the local board set aside a total waterways protection fund budget of $29,500 for the 2019/2020 financial year.

13. The waterways protection fund was open from 28 January 2020 to 8 March 2020, and was advertised through the council grants webpage, local board webpages, local newspapers, Facebook pages, and council publications.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

14. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. All applications have been assessed against Auckland Council’s Community Grants Policy and the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board’s Waterways Protection Fund criteria as detailed in Attachment B. Recommendations for each application are identified in the report recommendations.

15. Three applications were received through the 2019/2020 funding round. Applications were then assessed by staff against the following criteria:

- **environmental benefits** including improved water quality and aquatic ecological health, the type and significance of the environment to be protected, the length and area of riparian margin protected from stock
- **community benefits** including the extent of community involvement in leading the project or participation in the project. Also, the potential for the applicant to connect with other landowners to form partnerships and share knowledge
- **feasibility and likelihood of success** - projects should be practical and feasible
- **Māori outcomes** - projects or activities that empower mana whenua in their role as kaitiaki for the natural environment.

16. Total possible scores against each assessment criteria have been outlined in Table 2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment criteria</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility and representing best practice</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benefits</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community benefits</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori outcomes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. The assessed applications scored as detailed in Table 3 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Scoring by criteria</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mason Road Stream waterway restoration project</td>
<td>Feasibility and representing best practice</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Benefits</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community benefits</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Māori outcomes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōkiwi River Tributary fencing and planting project</td>
<td>Feasibility and representing best practice</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Benefits</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community benefits</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Māori outcomes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Bay waterway</td>
<td>Feasibility and representing best practice</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. Discrepancies between budget requested and recommended budget allocations are due to recalculations of fence lines length, number of plants and their costs, and best practice considerations during the assessment process.

19. Following the assessment of project budgets, project activities and potential environmental outcomes, funding for applications recommended do not exceed more than 50 per cent of total project costs for any project.

20. Applications were assessed and peer reviewed by Healthy Waters specialists, before being presented to the local board for a workshop discussion on 19 May 2020.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhaurangi**

**Climate impact statement**

21. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to address climate change by providing grants to individuals and groups with projects that support community climate change action. Local board grants can contribute to climate action through supporting projects that contribute to climate change mitigation (reducing emissions) or climate change adaptation (building resilience to changes caused by climate change).

22. All applications in this round respond to council’s commitment to address climate change. The implementation of stream restoration and protection efforts will minimise the impact of flooding. They will also protect stream banks from erosion caused by increased rainfall events resulting from climate change. Riparian planting will also sequester carbon emissions.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

23. The applications received through this funding round have no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations, and therefore their views on these applications were not obtained for the purpose of this report.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

**Local impacts**

24. The proposed grants will support freshwater improvements on Aotea Great Barrier, in particular to waterways around Mason Road, Blind Bay Road, and the Ōkiwi River.

**Local board views**

25. The Community Grants Policy provides for local boards to operate their own local grants programmes. Local boards may choose to fund local environmental projects and activities, some of which may complement the grants provided at a regional level, or vice versa.

26. A summary of each application received through round one of the Aotea / Great Barrier Island Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020 was provided to the local board for discussion at a workshop on 19 May 2020 (see Attachment A). The board indicated its support in principle for these grant applications, however noted it would like to provide more funding towards these initiatives where possible to achieve greater environmental outcomes.

27. Further to this feedback, staff have been working with the applicant for the proposed Mason Road project to establish if stage two of the project follows best practice, as it was unclear.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>protection</th>
<th>Environmental Benefits</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community benefits</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Māori outcomes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the evidence provided in the initial application whether the proposed planting area has the appropriate stock exclusion.

28. If the applicant confirms that stock-proof fencing requirements are in place and provides landowner approval for the proposed planting on neighbouring land, a further allocation of $13,648 to cover the cost of 2040 plants will be recommended towards this application.

29. At the time of writing this report, this information had not yet been confirmed. However, a further update will be provided to the board on this at its 23 June 2020 business meeting. This additional allocation will see the full allocation of the available grant budget for the 2020/2021 financial year.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

30. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to individuals and groups who deliver positive outcomes for Māori. The project assessment supports projects or activities that align with, enable and empower mana whenua and mataawaka in the exercise of kaitiakitanga in Tāmaki Makaurau.

31. While the three applications received and recommended for funding contribute positively towards restoring the mauri of waterways, these projects do not directly deliver on Māori outcomes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

32. The local board allocated $50,000 to a 2019/2020 freshwater management programme at its June 2019 business meeting. As part of this programme, the board subsequently ring-fenced $29,500 of this budget for a waterways protection fund.

33. Three applications were received for the 2019/2020 funding round, requesting a total of $30,421. Staff recommend the allocation of $15,848 towards the three applications for the reasons outlined in this report. This will see $13,652 of the available grant funding for 2019/2020 unallocated. This budget cannot be reallocated towards another initiative within the 2019/2020 financial year, so will be put forward as savings.

34. A further allocation of $13,648 towards the Mason Road project (pending the conclusion of discussions with the applicant) would see the full allocation of the board’s $29,500 waterways protection fund budget for 2019/2020. An update on the outcome of these discussions will be provided at the board’s June 2020 business meeting.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

35. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. The assessment process has identified a low risk associated with funding the applications in this round. Grant recipients are required to account for use of allocated funds and the council can request the return of any funds not used in line with the approved grant purpose.

36. Grants will be paid through a funding agreement with Auckland Council, with $1000 paid upfront. The balance will be paid as reimbursements once the required goods and services have been obtained, and receipts have been sent to council staff.

37. Staff will maintain regular contact with grant recipients during project implementation to follow up on progress, and to ensure that any risks of individual projects are properly mitigated.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

38. The funding allocations outlined in this report must be approved by the local board at its 23 June 2020 business meeting to ensure the grants can be administered within the 2019/2020 financial year.

39. Healthy Waters staff will notify the successful applicants following the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board’s funding decisions at its June 2020 business meeting. Applicants will then have 18 months to complete their projects from the day of signing their individual funding agreements.
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## Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020 grant allocations

### Attachment A - Applications received for the Aotea / Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund 2019/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project title</th>
<th>Project cost</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Amount recommended</th>
<th>Funding recommended for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mason Road Stream waterway restoration project</td>
<td>$29,151</td>
<td>$15,351</td>
<td>$5,796</td>
<td>Towards the cost of 840 native plants to plant 840m² along the waterway that runs parallel to 50 and 50a Mason Road, Aotea Great Barrier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōkīwi River Tributary fencing and planting project</td>
<td>$31,845</td>
<td>$8,274</td>
<td>$5,111</td>
<td>Towards the cost of erecting and upgrading 147 metres of 8-wire batten fence line that will protect 194 metres of a tributary of the Ōkīwi River that runs through 1624 Aotea Road and 7 Mabey Road, Aotea Great Barrier. Funding will also cover the cost of 320 plants ($2,140) to be planted within the fenced off riparian area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Bay waterway protection</td>
<td>$8,488</td>
<td>$6,796</td>
<td>$4,941</td>
<td>Towards the costs of fencing materials to erect 649 metres of post and waratah fence line with sheep netting to protect 380 metres of waterways at 541 Blind Bay Road, Aotea Great Barrier.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | $69,484 | $30,421 | $15,848 |
Aotea/Great Barrier Waterways Protection Fund
Guidelines
This grant programme is an Aotea/Great Barrier initiative set up to support community led initiatives that improve water quality on the island. These initiatives are expected to protect and enhance land, streams, wetlands and waterways, and reduce the potential for erosion and water contamination.

Why should you apply?

- To join the growing movement to help protect and restore our waterways
- To get financial support and professional advice for improving the health of your waterways
- To support the Local Board’s long-term vision of improved water quality

What areas will be targeted?

Applications are welcome for projects that protect and restore waterways anywhere across the island, however, priority will be given to the Tryphena catchment due to having high concentrations of E.Coli.

Who can apply?

The fund is available to all landowners, mana whenua, iwi groups, community groups, and environmental groups.

Projects can take place on private and/or Māori land.

What can I apply for?

Funding is available for fencing of waterways, restoration planting and plant maintenance within fenced-off areas. It is also available for alternative water supply for livestock, needed as a result of fencing.

Are there any specific requirements?

Fencing should be permanent 7-8 wires properly straining, unless an alternative is better suited to local conditions such as flooding risk or land management considerations.

To be effective, a minimum riparian strip width of 3-5 metres is required. Preference is given to projects with wider strips.

All plants must be eco-sourced and appropriate to the planting area. Eco-sourced native plants are plants which have been grown from seeds collected from local, natural populations.

Successful applicants will have 18 months to complete the proposed work and send Council receipts for grant payment. Longer project timeframes to accommodate seasonal activities may be considered on a case-by-case basis. There must be an on-going
commitment to maintenance and management by the property owner, via a grant Agreement.

How much of the project will the Local Board fund?

Applicants can apply for up to 50 per cent of the total project cost. The applicant contribution can be financial, or in-kind (e.g. voluntary labour) or a contribution by other donors.

As a guide, volunteer labour such as planting can be calculated at $20.50 per hour and voluntary professional assistance such as fencing at $30 per hour.

Applicants can also seek funding from alternative sources, with this funding forming part of or the entire applicant contribution towards project costs. Auckland Council views its contribution towards individual projects as a stimulus or top-up rather than the sole funding source.

What will not be funded?

The following exclusions apply to the grant programme:

- Activities or work that have already been completed including purchases already made (i.e. no retrospective funding).

- Activities or work that is required as a condition of a resource consent or mitigation requirements. This includes wetland or forest fragment planting and fencing required as part of subdivision consent/entitlement applications.

- Projects that are part of a current or known future subdivision consent application. Grant agreements for successful applicants will include a clause noting fencing and/or native planting supported by Council grants cannot be used to secure subdivision consent for a period of ten years from the date of grant approval.

- Projects that may lead to a conflict of interest for Auckland Council as a consent authority or are identified as not in accordance with the Auckland Council’s rules, policies or recommended best practice.

- Projects whose sole purpose is beautification.

Assessment criteria

Applications will be assessed against the following criteria:

- **Environmental benefits:** environmental benefits include improved water quality and aquatic ecological health, the type and significance of the environment to be protected, the length and area of riparian margin protected from stock.
• **Community benefits:** the extent of community involvement in leading the project or participation in the project. Also, the potential for the applicant to connect with other landowners to form partnerships and share knowledge will be assessed.

• **Feasibility and likelihood of success:** projects should be practical and feasible. The project should be likely to be successfully completed based on the activities and time frames listed in the application.

• **Māori outcomes:** Projects or activities that empower mana whenua in their role as Kaitiaki for Auckland’s natural environment

**GST**

To help us understand your project, please include all project costs in your application. If you or your group are GST registered, please do not include GST in the amounts. If you or your group are not GST registered, please do include GST (where applicable) in the amounts.

Grant recipients will receive payment/s up to the value of the grant approved by fund decision makers.

**Who will assess applications?**

Applications will be assessed by Auckland Council Healthy Waters Specialists. Recommendations for approval of funding will be reported to the Healthy Waters General Manager.

**How to apply**

Applications are accepted online via the [SmartyGrants](#). If you have any questions in regard to the application process, please contact the Auckland Council.

If you have any questions regarding your application please contact Sonia Parra via email on sonia.parra@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz or phone 021 946 316.

**Application cut-off date**

The Aotea/Great Barrier Waterway Protection Fund will be open for applications on 20 January 2020 and will remain open until 8 March 2020. Decisions are expected to be made by May 2020.

Applications must be accompanied by sufficient supporting information:

- quotes
- maps showing existing fencing lines, location of proposed fencing and/or planting
- total budget, including your contribution (in kind or financial).
Please note, quotes of the proposed work are required for the application to be processed.

Successful applicants

Successful applicants will be required to enter into a funding agreement with the Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board (Auckland Council) and comply with certain terms and conditions.

Grants will be paid:

- $1000 upfront payment and
- The balance will be paid as reimbursements once you have purchased the required goods and services and you have sent copies of full receipts.

Please note copies of full receipts/invoices are required to be provided to Environmental Funding staff, an Auckland Council representative will complete a final site visit.
stream length protected 116m
area planted 840sqm
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Auckland Council

Stream protection:
Stream length: 194.4m
Area protected: 6845.1m²
Total fence line: 147m
Dotted line is the existing fence lines

Disclaimer:
This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified prior to taking any action. Auckland Council, Land Parcel Boundaries information from LPS (open copyright material). Whilst the care has been taken, Auckland Council does not warrant as to the accuracy and plenitude of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information.

Right dataset: Auckland 1946

1624 Aotea Rd & 7 Mabey Rd
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Fencing at 541 Blind Bay Rd

Stream 1:
- Stream length: 170m
- Area protected: 3146.3m²
- Total fence line: 316m

Stream 2:
- Stream length: 210.3m
- Area protected: 5761.3m²
- Total fence line: 333.2m
This is a late covering report for the above item. The comprehensive agenda report was not available when the agenda went to print and will be provided prior to the 23 June 2020 Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board meeting.
New Community Leases to Fire and Emergency New Zealand at four sites Aotea / Great Barrier Island.

File No.: CP2020/06466

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To grant new community leases to Fire and Emergency New Zealand at four sites on Aotea / Great Barrier Island.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017 came into effect on 1 July 2017. The main purpose of the Act is to strengthen the role of emergency services in communities and improve the support for volunteers in the provision of these services.

3. From 1 July 2017, council’s legal team has been dealing directly with Fire and Emergency New Zealand (FENZ) negotiating and approving interim licences for FENZ to occupy sites from the commencement of the Act. This was to allow for longer term arrangements at each location to be negotiated and approved. These longer-term arrangements have taken longer to complete than anticipated, so the temporary licences were continued in a holding over state on at ‘at will’ basis and terminable by either party giving 20 business days’ notice in writing to the other party.

4. FENZ indicated at the commencement of the legislation that the preference was to purchase the properties to be occupied. The Aotea / Great Barrier Board prefers to lease the sites.

5. There are five sites on the island that contain facilities that are operated by FENZ. Four of these are council owned with one site being owned by the Department of Conservation, who will manage the relationship with FENZ on that site.

6. Details of the deed of lease that will apply to sites across the region have now been agreed with FENZ and can be implemented here.

7. This report recommends leases be granted subject to conditions that include the public notification for three of the four sites as the land is held under the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) approve public notification of the intent to grant leases on three of the four sites described in the report held under the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to Fire and Emergency New Zealand

b) endorse iwi engagement of the intent to grant leases on all four sites described in the report to Fire and Emergency New Zealand

c) appoint a hearings panel to hear any submissions as the result of the public notification with the panel to report to the full board for any further decisions

d) subject to either no adverse submissions or the resolution of any other submissions, grants community leases to Fire and Emergency New Zealand on the sites described in the table in the report below on the following terms and conditions;

i) an initial term of five (5) years commencing 1 June 2020 with one right of
Item 15 - renewal of five (5) years

  ii) rental of one ($1) plus GST per annum if demanded

  iii) that no subsidised maintenance fee apply to each site as Fire and Emergency
       New Zealand will undertake the maintenance of each site

  e) All other terms and conditions in accord with the provisions of the council
     Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.

Horopaki
Context

8. In 2017 legislation came into effect to change the way that fire and emergency services are
   delivered across the country.

9. As FENZ will be occupying council owned facilities on Aotea / Great Barrier Island,
   occupations agreements need to be formalised to secure the tenure.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. The Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017 came into effect on 1 July 2017. The main
    purpose of the Act is to strengthen the role of emergency services in communities and
    improve the support for volunteers providing these services.

11. Four existing council owned sites on Great Barrier are affected by the change in legislation.
    At 1 July 2017, when the act came into effect, council’s legal services negotiated and
    approved interim licences for FENZ to occupy each site for a term of one year. This was to
    allow for longer term arrangements to be negotiated and approved. The longer-term
    arrangements have taken longer to complete than anticipated so further extensions to the
    temporary licences were negotiated.

12. The four existing fire service sites on the island are detailed in the table below. There is one
    additional facility at 1501 Aotea Road Okiwi, which is located on Department of
    Conservation (DOC) land and the relationship with FENZ there will be managed by DOC.
    The maps at Attachment A also show each site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Legal Description and Land Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 Hector Sanderson Road, GBI</td>
<td>Allot 255 Parish of Aotea SO Plan 53040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Adjacent to Claris Airfield)</td>
<td>NA 93D/667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council owned Local Purpose Community Buildings Reserve under the provisions of the Reserves Act 1977.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 Hector Sanderson Road, GBI</td>
<td>Lot 1 DP 60013 and Lot 100765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA 15B/1151 and NA 55C/738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230A Blind Bay Road, GBI</td>
<td>Lot 8 DP 62930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA 20C/65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Rosalie Bay Road, GBI</td>
<td>Section 1 SO492673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT 716747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land is subject to an encumbrance protecting a first right of refusal to the grantor (or their successors) if the land is no longer used for 'housing an operative fire brigade'.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Lease
13. The form of lease and terms to recognise the relationship between FENZ and council has been developed for other sites across the region and can be used for the leases on Aotea / Great Barrier Island. The lease and specific amendments have been prepared and approved by council’s legal services.

14. As the land and improvements are owned by council, the term of each lease will have an initial term of five years with one right of renewal of five years, for a total of 10 years.

15. Rent will be one dollar plus GST per annum if demanded.

16. As FENZ have agreed to undertake the maintenance of the buildings they occupy on the island, no subsidised maintenance fee will be charged for the occupation by FENZ.

17. Before granting leases of longer than six months on land held under the provisions of the Local Government Act 2002, public notification must be undertaken of the intention to lease. This is done by advertising in the Barrier Bulletin and on the council website.

18. In case any submissions are received that seek to be heard, the board should agree to appoint a hearings panel should a hearing be required. This can either be a designated number of board members or the whole board. The panel would then report back to the full board on the hearing and recommend on any further decisions required.

19. Public notification is not required for the site at 80 Hector Sanderson Road as the land is classified local purpose (community buildings) under the Reserve Act 1977 and the classification supports the activity.

20. Iwi engagement is required for all sites and this will be undertaken concurrently with the public notification. Emails with supporting information will be sent to iwi groups with an interest in Aotea / Great Barrier Island.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

21. There should be no change to the greenhouse gas emissions from the four sites with the new leases as there is no change to the activities at each. The site at 81 Hector Sanderson Road has recently converted to solar energy with the generator being retained to assist with supplying peak load and emergency power.

22. None of the sites are adjacent to the sea or waterways.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

23. There is no impact on the wider council group with granting these new leases.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

24. The change in legislation and the future management of the fire station sites on the island was workshoped with the board on 15 May 2018. At the workshop, staff indicated the FENZ preference was to purchase each site. That was not practical for two of the four sites and the board expressed a preference that the sites not be sold and instead leased. This preference has been advised to legal services and FENZ.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

25. There are no changes in use or operational activities being conducted on the land. The service provided by Fire and Emergency New Zealand is of benefit to all.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

26. There are no financial implications for the board in granting these leases. Costs relating to advertising the intent to grant new leases and iwi engagement will be borne by the Community Facilities Department.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

27. There are no risks for the local board in granting these new leases. One site (22 Rosalie Rd) was gifted to council for a fire station and is subject to an encumbrance protecting a first right of refusal to the grantor (or their successors) if the land is no longer used for ‘housing an operative fire brigade’. That encumbrance remains in effect.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

28. Subject to there being no adverse submissions to the public notification of the intent to lease, staff will work with legal services and FENZ to prepare and execute the deed of lease for each site.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Addition to the 2019-2022 Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board meeting schedule

File No.: CP2020/07637

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for extraordinary meeting dates to be added to the 2019-2022 Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board meeting schedule in order to accommodate changes to the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 timeframes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Due to the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, Auckland Council is consulting Aucklanders on further matters for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021. This significantly changes the process set out for the annual plan this year.

3. The local board is being asked to approve two extraordinary meeting dates as an addition to the Aotea / Great Local Board meeting schedule to receive feedback from the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 consultation and provide input to the Governing Body. This will enable the modified Emergency Budget 2020/2021 timeframes to be met.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) approve the addition of meeting dates to the 2019-2022 Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 timeframes as follows:
   • Friday, 10 July, 10:00am
   • Tuesday, 21 July 2020, 1:00pm

Horopaki
Context

4. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.

5. In summary, adopting a meeting schedule helps meet the requirements of:
   • clause 19, Schedule 7 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings, which requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Such notification may be provided by the adoption of a schedule of business meetings.
   • sections 46, 46(A) and 47 in Part 7 of the LGOIMA, which requires that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting and that local board meetings are open to the public.

6. The Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board adopted its 2019-2022 business meeting schedule at its Tuesday, 3 December 2019 business meeting.
7. Due to the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, Auckland Council is consulting Aucklanders on further matters for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021. This significantly changes the process set out for the annual plan this year.

8. To allow local boards to receive feedback from Aucklanders in their local board area on the proposed Emergency Budget, and to provide input to the Governing Body, it is recommended that an additional or extraordinary business meeting be held between 6 to 10 July.

9. To ensure the Emergency Budget can be adopted by the Governing Body on 30 July it is recommended that an additional or extraordinary business meeting be held between 20 to 24 July to adopt the Local Board Agreement.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. The local board has two choices:
   i) Add the meeting as an addition to the meeting schedule.
   or
   ii) Add the meeting as an extraordinary meeting.

11. For option one, statutory requirements allow enough time for these meetings to be scheduled as additions to the meeting schedule and other topics may be considered as per any other ordinary meeting. However, there is a risk that if the Annual Budget 2020/2021 timeframes change again, or the information is not ready for the meeting, there would need to be an additional extraordinary meeting scheduled anyway.

12. For option two, only the specific topic Emergency Budget 2020/2021 may be considered for which the meeting is being held. No other policies or plans could be considered at this meeting.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

13. This decision is procedural in nature and any climate impacts will be negligible. The decision is unlikely to result in any identifiable changes to greenhouse gas emissions. The effects of climate change will not impact the decision’s implementation.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

14. There is no specific impact for the council group from this report.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

15. This report requests the local board’s decision to schedule an additional meeting and consider whether to approve it as an extraordinary meeting or an addition to the meeting schedule.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

16. There is no specific impact for Māori arising from this report. Local boards work with Māori on projects and initiatives of shared interest.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
17. There are no financial implications in relation to this report apart from the standard costs associated with servicing a business meeting.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
18. If the local board decides not to add these extraordinary business meetings to their schedule this will cause a delay to the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 process, which would result in the input of this local board not being able to be presented to the Governing Body for their consideration and inclusion in the Emergency Budget, and stop the Governing Body from being able to adopt the Emergency Budget by 31 July 2020.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
19. Implement the processes associated with preparing for business meetings.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Guia Nonoy - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board on transport related matters in their area including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) and the local board’s Community Safety Fund.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
This report covers:
2. A general summary of operational projects and activities of interest to the board.
4. Other Auckland Transport news of interest to the board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:
 a) receive the Auckland Transport June 2020 update report.

Horopaki
Context
5. Auckland Transport (AT) is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. We report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in our Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within the governance of Auckland on behalf of their local communities.

6. This report updates the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board on Auckland Transport projects and operations in the local board area, it updates the local board on their advocacy and consultations and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) and Community Safety Fund (CSF).

7. The Local Board Transport Capital Fund is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme.

8. The Community Safety Fund was a capital budget established by Auckland Transport for use by local boards to fund local road safety initiatives. The purpose of this fund is to allow elected members to address long-standing local road safety issues that are not regional priorities and are therefore not being addressed by the Auckland Transport programme.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. Update on Auckland Transport operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airfields</td>
<td>NZGB (Claris) and NZOX (Okiwi) remained closed to all aircraft except authorised operators during May until Thursday 14th May. This was in line with the Civil Aviation Authority’s recommendation to allow general aviation to recommence flying activity at Level 2. During May 2020, the airport was used by 43 different aircraft for 432 movements. This is a decrease of 35% from 662 for the same month last year. For the last 12 months there were 9,250 movements, which is a decrease of 12% from 10,467 for the same period in the previous year. <strong>Claris Helipad</strong> – concrete extension constructed between helipad and sealed apron at request of ARHT (Auckland Rescue Helicopter Trust). To enable new ARHT helicopter to taxi directly from apron onto pad without having to traverse across grass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Enforcement</td>
<td>No update this month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharves</td>
<td>The dinghy ramp at Shoal Bay is now complete. There has been some feedback that minor adjustments could be made to improve performance and these are being investigated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Maintenance</td>
<td>Road maintenance and renewals either underway or to be completed in June:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Aotea Rd (Okiwi School): 40m of footpath renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Seawall repairs: Puriri Bay, Blind Bay and Shoal Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unsealed Road Metaling and Grading: Puriri Bay Rd, Rosalie Bay Rd, Maungatawhiri Rd, Schooner Bay Rd and Masons Rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Primrose timber pedestrian bridge: replacement of handrail, other faulty timber and hardware, cleaning/preparation for re-staining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AT &amp; Fulton Hogan have been providing weekly Metaling and Grading updates to the Auckland Council Service Centre. We feel this is an effective way for the Service Centre staff to communicate the upcoming programme to customers who request this information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Update on Auckland Transport projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cowshed Bridge - river bank erosion around bridge</td>
<td>Auckland Transport’s Structures Asset Management Team met with AT consultants to initiate investigations issue and provide potential solutions. A Bailey Bridge was installed in</td>
<td>A design report has been received by AT. AT’s Assets to carry out site visit to consider the report’s findings then progress. This has been delayed by the COVID-19 situation but is now</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 2019. The bridge will be retained to allow safe access underneath the original bridge. Drilling investigations are required to establish the form of replacement. A hydrological study is to follow to determine bridge dimensions. Drilling investigations were carried out on site in December 2019, although an unidentified utility service prevented a second borehole investigation.

**Slips on Puriri Bay Road**

Discussions regarding consents have been held with Auckland Council. Proposed options have been put forward for community and Iwi consultation. Projects presented to September Hui which deferred decisions to Ngāti Rehua Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust. COVID-19 response has delayed the arrangement of a separate Hui for the Gt Barrier Island Iwi groups.

**Slips on Aotea Road**

Consenting requirements prepared and documentation commencing. A programme of geotechnical investigations is currently being programmed for the larger slips. Drilling investigations were carried out on site in December 2019. Designers report has been received. Further design, engagement and consenting work will follow on. A construction time will be developed when the design is further progressed.

**Subsidence on Shoal Bay Road at Pah Beach**

Holding remedial works are being priced by contractor. Further investigations are underway. The area opposite the Stonewall café is under investigation & design. The area near Shoal bay pottery, towards the wharf, requires minor maintenance works to the seawall this is expected to be done by end of June.

11. **Update on Local Board advocacy projects:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish passage remediation</td>
<td>Following site visits from Auckland Transport, Environmental Services and Healthy Waters, a prioritized short list of the top three priority sites for fish passage</td>
<td>The Elected Member Relationship Manager is investigating whether funding is available to support this project from AT’s Environmental Programme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 17
remediation has been developed.
The advice as a result of this visit is that it will be necessary to replace key locations with oversize box culverts.
Auckland Transport will ensure that future renewals accommodate this.

Local Board Transport Capital Fund
12. As of the new electoral term the total fund available to the Board was $687,898.
13. Auckland Council is currently consulting on its Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and this may have an impact on the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.
14. The previous Board passed resolution number GBI/2018/73 requesting Auckland Transport to investigate a rough order of cost for traffic calmera at Claris settlement.
15. Auckland Transport has engaged a consultant to investigate and carry out a Safety Audit of possible traffic calming devices that could be installed on this section of road. It is anticipated that the investigation will occur in June 2020 and the Board will be advised of the outcome.
16. The current Board has passed resolution GBI/2019/137 requesting that Auckland Transport create a rough order of cost for the replacement of the two culverts (identified by Environmental Services as numbers 66 & 68) under Aotea Road with oversized box culverts.
17. A consultant has been engaged to evaluate this project, the replacement of the existing triple 900mm culvert and develop a rough order cost. They have already completed some desk top analysis. It is anticipated that they will visit the site in June 2020. The Board will be advised of their findings.
18. The current Board has passed resolution GBI/2020/39 requesting that Auckland Transport prepare a rough order of cost for unsealed road improvements.

Community Safety Fund
19. Auckland Council is currently consulting on its Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and this may have an impact on the Community Safety Fund.
20. The local board resolved for Auckland Transport to deliver the remaining section of the Hector Sanderson walkway. This is project 540 from the Great Barrier Local Board Transport Capital Fund.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Approved Funding</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remaining section of the Hector Sanderson walkway</td>
<td>$288,000</td>
<td>Procurement and construction drawings for new unsealed footpath on the northside of Hector Sanderson Rd have been completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An arborists assessment is needed regarding some of the vegetation and trees earmarked for removal, this is expected to happen in June 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Our contractor is developing the construction programme and this will be shared with the Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>They will also be progressing some of the auxiliary works such as the extension of four culverts and making a start at the crossroads through the reserve area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
21. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.
22. Auckland Transport’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
23. The impact of the information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
24. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no local, sub-regional or regional impacts.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
25. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
26. There are no financial implications of receiving this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
27. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for all projects.
28. Auckland Council is currently consulting on its Emergency Budget 2020/2021. Until this review and a new budget is adopted in July 2020, AT will not be able to report with certainty on our Programme.
29. However, under both proposed revisions to the budget AT’s capital and operating budgets will be reduced. Therefore, we can expect that some projects we had planned for 2020/2021 may not be able to be delivered and we expect this will be disappointing to communities that we had already engaged with.
30. Both the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Transport Capital Fund may be impacted by these budget reductions. The only way to mitigate this risk is to clearly communicate the board’s priorities so staff supporting it may plan and to make the best use of any available funds.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
31. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board at their next business meeting.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ben Halliwell, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Elected Member Relationship Team Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local Ward Area Councillor’s Update

File No.: CP2020/07633

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an opportunity for the local ward area councillor to update the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board on Governing Body issues and other points of interest to the local board.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Standing Orders 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 provides provision in the local board meeting for local ward area councillors to update their local board counterparts on regional matters of interest to the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) receive the written report update from the Waitematā and Gulf Ward Councillor, Pippa Coom.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Councillor Pippa Coom - June 2020 Update</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Guia Nonoy - Democracy Advisor</th>
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<td>Authorisers</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>/ Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Pippa Coom Councillor Report – Waitematā and Gulf Ward

General update

This is my Councillor report covering the period from 29 April until 5 June. It has been prepared for the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board business meeting to be held on 23 June.

The purpose of my report is to detail my main activities and to share information with the local board regarding; governing body decisions, my attendance at events and meetings, regional consultations, media updates and key issues.

Positions

- Deputy Chair, Environment and Climate Change Committee
- Co-Chair, Hauraki Gulf Forum
- Member, Auckland City Centre Advisory Board
- Board Member, LGNZ National Council
- Member, Auckland Domain Committee

Summary

- In my previous written report to the local board, I reported on Auckland Council’s response as the pandemic unfolded and through the lockdown period.
- As NZ moved from Alert Level 3 to 2 council facilities and venues opened up and planning was well underway for the post Covid-19 recovery.
- The Emergency Committee was established as an ad hoc committee of the whole of the Governing Body due to the pandemic. The final Emergency Committee meeting was held on 28 May. Workshops and Committees of the whole have recommenced meeting again since the beginning of June.
- Following advice from Watercare, mandatory metropolitan water restrictions came into effect on 16 May due to the ongoing drought
- The first co-chaired Hauraki Gulf Forum meeting was held on 25 May
- Consultation on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 started on 29 May

Governing Body meetings

The minutes for all meetings are available on the Auckland Council website. The following is intended as a summary only.

**On 30 April the** Emergency Committee received the regular weekly update on the Covid-19 pandemic and the Auckland Emergency Management response.

The committee approved the total levy applied for by the Museum of Transport and Technology of $14,890,578 for 2020/2021 and made appointments to the District Licensing Committee. A governing body meeting was also held to approve Bylaw Panel recommendations on the proposed new Food Safety Information Bylaw 2020 and approve procedural plan changes.
On 7 May the Emergency Committee received the regular weekly update on the Covid-19 pandemic and the Auckland Emergency Management response.

In response to one of the most severe droughts in Auckland’s history, the committee voted unanimously to introduce mandatory water restrictions, which will come into effect across the region from Saturday 16 May.

Auckland Council’s submission on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport was approved and the committee endorsed Auckland Transport’s applications to the first tranche of Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency’s Innovating Streets for People pilot fund. It also approved the process for developing a recommended package of projects for the second funding round closing on 3 July 2020, which will include Auckland Council, Auckland Transport and Panuku Development Auckland projects.

Successful applicants in round one are expected to be announced in June 2020. Local boards can put forward applications for round two.


The committee unanimously endorsed the rationale, scope, and proposed process for updating the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP) 2020 and its terms of reference. Within the terms of reference, it was agreed to propose an additional objective for the shared government and council priorities for transport in Auckland to improve the resilience and sustainability of Auckland’s transport system and significantly reduce greenhouse gases. The refresh will consider a number of emerging issues including the impact of Covid-19 on funding, any government economic stimulus packages, the New Zealand Upgrade Programme (NZUP) of transport investment in Auckland, climate change, mode shift and emerging brownfield and greenfield priorities.

The committee considered the reappointment of three directors of the Tāmaki Redevelopment Company (TRC). Of the directors on the board, Auckland Council and the government appoint one director each and the remaining directors are jointly appointed by both the government and the council. With the terms of three of the current directors ending soon decisions need to be made about appointments to those positions.


The committee received Local Board feedback on the first round of public consultation on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 for consideration when decisions are made.

The committee agreed to consult alongside the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 on the addition to the Rates remission and postponement policy of a COVID-19 Rates postponement scheme.

The committee agreed unanimously to publicly consult on a Covid-19 rates postponement scheme alongside the consultation on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021. Under the proposed scheme rates postponement will be available to all residential and business ratepayers financially stressed because of Covid-19.
The council consulted with Aucklanders on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 from mid-February to mid-March this year. However, due to the impact of Covid-19 the council is proposing to consult on further matters for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021. The committee made a recommendation to the council’s Governing Body to endorse a recommended engagement approach for further consultation on the Emergency Budget to take place from 29 May 2020 to 19 June 2020.


The committee endorsed the Water Supply and Wastewater Network Bylaw 2015 Review findings report. A further options report will be brought to the Regulatory Committee in June.

The Governing Body meeting on 28 May minor changes to rating policy and some fees for inclusion in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and amendments to the council’s Revenue and Financing Policy were adopted. These changes were publicly consulted on in February and March this year and some of the changes recommended are subject to the consideration of further feedback.

The Governing Body endorsed the engagement approach for public consultation on the Emergency Budget following a recommendation from the Emergency Committee. To adhere to Covid-19 health and safety requirements a digitally led engagement approach is recommended, including the use of online webinar events, with feedback options through written, telephone and digital channels.

On 4 June the Planning Committee approved Auckland Transport and Auckland Council’s proposed list of projects for further development and assessment prior to submission to the second application round of the Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency Innovating Streets for People pilot fund closing on 3 July 2020.

The Planning Committee endorsed Auckland Council’s draft submission on the proposed amendments to the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality: Particulate Matter and Mercury Emissions (included as Attachment A of the agenda report). It

The Planning Committee delegated authority to the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Planning Committee, Chair of the Regulatory Committee and an Independent Māori Statutory Board member to approve the council’s submission on the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Bill and requested that staff forward the draft submission to the Planning Committee and Local Board chairs for high-level feedback.

The committee also support a Notice of Motion from Cr Walker seeking a joint water conservation campaign with Watercare and the development of a water climate-resilient strategy for Auckland (The strategy is being progressed by the Environment and Climate Change Committee).

Other meetings and events

In the period 29 April to 5 June I attended:

- The weekly meeting with the Mayor for Chairs and Deputies of the committees
the LGNZ metro sector meeting on 8 May as alternate for the Mayor and the Infrastructure Commission briefing to local government representatives. I also attended the LGNZ National Council board meeting on 15 May and meeting on 5 June to meet the new CEO of LGNZ.

On 27 May I attended the Central Government and Local Government annual Forum hosted by the Prime Minister

the Waitematā Local Board meetings on 5 and 19 May, the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board meeting on 12 May and the Waiheke Local Board meeting on 27 May

I was invited to speak to the National Council of Women Auckland Branch meeting on 11 May

The Auckland City Centre Advisory Board workshop and meeting on 27 May (High St pilot project is one of the projects supported by ACCAB in the City Centre. Attachment 1 More space for pedestrians on High Street)

Appointments and Performance Review committee CEO interviews on 18 May

The Governing Body and Independent Maori Statutory Board Joint meeting on 19 May

Hauraki Gulf Forum meeting on 25 May

Finance and Performance Committee workshops regarding the Emergency Budget

Meetings relevant to the work programme of the Environment and Climate Change Committee

Auckland Festival of Photography exhibition opening on 4 June at the Grey Gallery (first function in real life since Alert level 3 prior to lockdown)

Other matters

Emergency Budget 2020/2021 consultation
Auckland Council has produced an Emergency Budget to address the $525m shortfall in revenue due to Covid-19 and in so doing has to make some tough decisions about where to find significant savings and what to prioritise.

Consultation on the Emergency Budget 20/21 budget started on 29 May for three weeks. The consultation material provides a clear explanation of what each rating option will mean for council services and infrastructure. (Attachment 1 Emergency Budget decisions will impact Waitemata and Gulf says Councillor)
Hauraki Gulf Forum

I have been working with the Executive Officer and Tangata Whenua co-chair of the Hauraki Gulf Forum, Nicola MacDonald to develop a work plan and governance statement. We hosted two co-chair drop-in sessions via Skype to provide Forum members the opportunity to give feedback ahead of the Forum meeting held on 25 May. On 14 May a media release went out about the new governance arrangements for the Forum (Attachment 3: Our Auckland: Co-Chairs to lead Hauraki Gulf Forum)

On 25 May the Hauraki Gulf Forum adopted the new work plan with an updated set of ambitious goals for the Gulf:
- At least 30 per cent marine protection (*up from the 20 per cent goal established in 2019)
- 1000sqkm of shellfish-bed and reef restoration
- Riparian planting of the Gulf’s catchment (new goal)
- An end to marine dumping in or near to the Gulf (new goal).

We also adopted (i) a new Governance Statement reflecting the Forum’s recent move to a co-governance leadership model, and (ii) a 2020-2021 budget which delivers total savings to members of around 26 per cent for the year ahead – reflecting the difficult financial situation as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic (Attachment 4: Ambitious goals for ailing Hauraki Gulf).

In the photo right with co-chair Nicola MacDonald wearing pake (capes) commissioned by Nicola for the co-Chairs to symbolise our enduring relationship and stewardship to protect our taonga tuku iho. These kahu korari will pass from co-Chairs to successive co-Chairs and serve to remind us of our duty to look after Te Moananui o Toi and Tikapa Moana.

I am wearing a kahu korari beautifully made by master weaver Meleta Bennett, Te Arawa, named Tipaka Moana, a name gifted by the Hauraki tangata whenua members.

Nicola’s kahu korari is called Te Moananui o Toi the name was gifted by Ngāti Wai Tangata Whenua members and was woven by master weaver Meakere Taane no Ngai Tahu.
Innovating Streets

I supported Auckland Transport rolling out a set of initiatives on 20 roads and popular walkways across Auckland to assist with safe physical distancing during Covid-19 Alert Level 3.

These emergency measures were reviewed going into Alert Level 2. I agreed at that point with the removal of the temporary measures on Ponsonby Road because I didn’t think the scheme was strong enough to withstand a significant increase in traffic. (photo right of the additional space on Ponsonby Road during Alert Level 3).

However, the temporary measures provided a valuable opportunity to re-image how Ponsonby Road could be made far more people friendly and has directly contributed to a Ponsonby Road pilot being included as an application in the second round of the Innovating Streets Funding considered by the Planning Committee on 3 June.

The temporary works on Queen St installed for Alert Level 3 (photo right taken by Kent Lundberg) are intended to transition into an Innovating Streets pilot if the NZTA funding application is successful.

Recommendation

That this report be received.
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Attachment 1

More space for pedestrians on High Street

Third stage of pilot project now complete

Our Auckland Published: 11 May 2020

Pedestrians on High Street can now enjoy more walking space with the completion of a continuous footpath extension running the length of the street.

The third stage in Auckland Council’s High Street Pilot was finished over the weekend between Durham Street East and Victoria Street, taking the project a step closer to completion.

Ten on-street car parks have been removed from the section of road to allow decking to be laid for a wider footpath and additional loading space has been created on the street to support local businesses.

Waitematā and Gulf ward Councillor Pippa Coom is delighted with the progress being made.
“It’s fantastic to have reached another milestone in this project. Through a process of collaboration and engagement with local businesses, residents and property owners, High Street’s narrow congested footpaths have been attractively opened up by repurposing car parking.

“The changes made through the pilot are rebalancing the use of space to make the street function much better for both pedestrians and for essential business operations, like pick-ups and deliveries.

Auckland Council’s project delivery lead, James Buckley, says the pilot is showing that good outcomes for different groups of users can be delivered quickly and cost effectively with simple interventions.

“We’ve used planters to signal where the kerbside is, which prevents vehicles parking on the pavement and obstructing pedestrians. This was a real issue with couriers because of a lack of loading zones,” says Buckley.

“We’ve converted some of the paid on-street parking spaces into dedicated spaces for delivery vehicles, which makes their life easier and makes it safer for pedestrians.”

The project has been a collaboration between Auckland Council, Heart of the City and the High Street community. The trial changes are testing ways to improve High Street and explore ideas for its future.

The final stage of the High Street pilot will see additional decking added at the Shortland Street entrance to High Street and is scheduled for late May.
Attachment 2

Emergency Budget decisions will impact Waitematā and Gulf says Councillor

Our Auckland Published: 2 June 2020

Waitematā and Gulf Councillor Pippa Coom supporting local business.

Councillor Pippa Coom is urging residents across the Waitematā and Gulf ward to have their say on Auckland Council’s Emergency Budget 2020/21.

The once in a lifetime impact of COVID-19 has put a half a billion-dollar hole in the council’s income forecast which will mean some incredibly tough decisions ahead.

“We are now faced with hard decisions to balance our budget, while continuing to support our region, in the next financial year. So, for the first time ever, we are consulting on an Emergency Budget,” says Councillor Coom.

“Decisions made will affect all Aucklanders and the impact will be felt in Waitematā and the Gulf.
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“There are a number of key projects in the pipeline across the ward, each of significant importance to local communities. We have to prioritise and focus on how best to rebuild from the COVID-19 crisis, so we really want to hear what is important.”

“Overall, our challenge is deciding how to respond to a massive reduction in Auckland Council’s revenue while maintaining the services Aucklanders need and value the most, continuing capital investment and retaining local jobs to stimulate the economic recovery.

“The Emergency Budget consultation document discussed the advantages and disadvantages of either a 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent rates rise and sets out in detail what each option would mean for ratepayers, for local communities and the future of our entire region.”

“Additionally, we’re proposing a rates postponement scheme to help people financially impacted by COVID-19.

“We need to have a robust debate about the budget because we all have a part to play in Auckland’s future and an opportunity to work towards a healthier, more resilient and prosperous Auckland for everyone.

Consultation is open now until 19 June. Visit Akhaveyoursay.nz/emergency-budget to have your say,
Attachment 3

Co-Chairs to lead Hauraki Gulf Forum

Our Auckland Published: 14 May 2020

The Hauraki Gulf Forum has become the latest institution to adopt a co-governance leadership model, taking a historic decision to appoint co-chairs: one of whom will be from and confirmed by its tangata whenua members.

The inaugural co-chairs are:

- Nicola MacDonald (Ngāti Rehua, Patuhaukeke, Te Whanau Whero and Te Ākitai (hāpu of Ngāti Wai), and Te Rarawa and Taranaki iwi), and;
- Pippa Coom (Auckland Councillor, Waitakaruru and Gulf ward)

“It is really exciting that the Forum agreed to adopt a co-governance leadership model,” says Co-chair Pippa Coom.

“It takes us to the next level and brings us closer to operating in partnership under the Treaty of Waitangi to integrate and respect Te Ao Māori as well as other world views.”

“Our kaupapa is to restore the mauri of Te Moananui-ā-Toi, Tikapa Moana, the Hauraki Gulf” says Co-chair Nicola MacDonald. “The moana is under increased pressure and the time for action is now. We need more marine protection. We need greater support for restoration work. And we need to stop marine dumping in or near to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.”

Co-chairs Coom and MacDonald are the first co-Chairs of the Forum and the first wāhine to hold the top job in the Forum’s 20-year history.

Their first meeting as co-chairs will take place online on 25 May 2020.
Ambitious goals for ailing Hauraki Gulf

Published: 26 May 2020

The Hauraki Gulf Forum yesterday adopted an updated set of ambitious goals for the Gulf:

1. At least 30 per cent marine protection (*up from the 20 per cent goal established in 2019*)
2. 1000sqkm of shellfish-bed and reef restoration
3. Riparian planting of the Gulf's catchment (new goal)
4. An end to marine dumping in or near to the Gulf (new goal).

“For the Hauraki Gulf, this can be achieved through a variety of protection mechanisms, including indigenous and regulatory tools. The key point from the 2020 State of our Gulf report is we need much more protection, and we need it fast.”

“We also need to stop the archaic practice of marine dumping,” says Co-chair Nicola MacDonald (Ngāti Rehua, Patuharakeke, Te Whanau Whero and Te Akitai (hāpu of Ngāti Wai), and Te Rarawa and Taranaki iwi).

The Forum’s four big goals are reflected in the Forum’s 2020-2022 Work Plan adopted this week. The new two goals – supporting riparian planting and ending marine dumping – will now undergo stocktakes to enable the Forum to set key actions against those new goals.

Also adopted this week were (i) a new Governance Statement reflecting the Forum’s recent move to a co-governance leadership model, and (ii) a 2020-2021 budget which delivers total...
savings to members of around 25 per cent for the year ahead – reflecting the difficult financial situation as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

What is the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park?

The Hauraki Gulf Marine Park is New Zealand’s first marine park. It’s a big park, stretching from Te Arai in the north to Waihi in the south.

At 1.2 million hectares, or 20 times the size of Lake Taupō, it includes the Waitematā Harbour, Gulf Islands, Firth of Thames and the east coast of the Coromandel Peninsula.

The Park was established by special legislation in February 2000. This year marks its 20th anniversary.

It is the seabird capital of the world, and a whale superhighway.

What is the Hauraki Gulf Forum?

The Hauraki Gulf Forum is a statutory body charged with the promotion and facilitation of integrated management and the protection and enhancement of the Hauraki Gulf.

The Forum has representation on behalf of the tangata whenua of the Hauraki Gulf and its islands, the Ministers of Conservation, Fisheries and Māori Development, and elected representatives from Auckland Council (including Aotea Great Barrier Island and Waiheke Island Local Boards), Waikato Regional Council, and the Waikato, Hauraki, Thames-Coromandel and Matamata-Piako District Councils.

See haurakigullforum.org.nz and gulfjournal.org.nz
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To enable the local board to formalise by resolution the feedback provided on the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ) and the Basel Convention Amendment.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Local Board provided feedback to two government consultations in May 2020. Both submissions were approved by the chair and deputy chair under delegation due to the tight timeframes. This report enables the feedback to be formalised retrospectively.
3. Details of the government consultations are outlined below:

National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ)
4. On 25 February 2020, the Ministry for the Environment (MfE) began consultation on a review of the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ). The NESAQ was introduced in 2004 and last amended in 2011, and sets standards for ambient air quality, woodburner emissions, and rules prohibiting certain activities with significant discharges of toxic pollutants.
5. The proposed amendments to the NESAQ aim to better regulate fine particulate material that can adversely affect human health and to better target controllable sources of air pollution.
6. The amendments specifically relate to the standards regarding particulate matter concentrations within airsheds, emissions standards for domestic fuel burners and the emission of mercury.
7. The Auckland Plan Strategy and Research department drafted a submission and was presented to the Planning Committee on 4 June 2020. Formal local board feedback on this review was submitted on 18 May 2020 and appended to the Auckland Council submission (Attachment A).

Basel Convention Amendment
10. In May 2020, Auckland Council staff prepared a draft submission on the Basel Convention and sought local board feedback on how Aotearoa New Zealand meets our obligations under the Basel Convention with the amendment to include plastic waste coming into effect on 1 January 2021.
11. The board submitted its formal feedback on the Basel Convention Amendment on Wednesday 20 May 2020 (Attachment B) and it was appended to the Auckland Council submission.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) ratify the board’s feedback provided on the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ) submitted on 18 May 2020 (Attachment A of the report).

b) ratify the board’s feedback provided on the Basel Convention Amendment submitted on 20 May 2020 (Attachment B of the report).

Ngā tāpirihunga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board feedback on the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ)</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board feedback on the Basel Convention Amendment</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Guia Nonoy - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers | Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services  
Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards |
Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board feedback on the proposed amendments to the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality: Particulate Matter and Mercury Emissions (NESAQ)

Background

- Aotea / Great Barrier Island lies 90km east of Auckland City in the Hauraki Gulf and is Auckland Council’s most remote and isolated area.
- Over 60 per cent of the island is Department of Conservation (DoC) estate; 43 per cent of which is the Aotea Conservation Park.
- The island has a permanent population of 936 residents (2018 Census)
- The island has no reticulated power nor water. Households are off-the-grid powered by generators, solar and wind and collect water by bore, stream-take or rainwater.
- Transport and freight to and from the island is by either plane, a 35-minute flight one way, or by ferry a four-and-a-half-hour trip one way. There is no on-island public transport.
- Telecommunications can be a challenge on the island with many black spots.

Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board feedback

1. Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board fully supports Auckland Council’s feedback on the proposed amendments to the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality: Particulate Matter and Mercury Emissions (NESAQ).

2. We are an isolated rural community that lives off the grid and use alternate methods to heat our homes and cook our food. We would support the NESAQ being aligned to the National Planning Standards to enable consistency while recognising our island’s difference to our urban counterparts.

3. We propose that all areas of New Zealand who do not have access to mains power, should have less stringent regulation on fireplace efficiency, as many electrical options are not available to them.
Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board input into the Ministry for the Environment’s Basel Amendment Industry Engagement

Background

- Aotea / Great Barrier Island lies 90km east of Auckland City in the Hauraki Gulf and is Auckland Council’s most remote and isolated area.
- Over 60 per cent of the island is Department of Conservation (DoC) estate; 43 per cent of which is the Aotea Conservation Park.
- The island has a permanent population of 936 residents (2018 Census)
- The island has no reticulated power nor water. Households are off-the-grid powered by generators, solar and wind and collect water by bore, stream-take or rainwater.
- Transport and freight to and from the island is by either plane, a 35-minute flight one way, or by ferry a four-and-a-half-hour trip one way. There is no on-island public transport.
- Telecommunications can be a challenge on the island with many black spots.

Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board feedback

1. Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board thanks the Ministry for the Environment for the opportunity to provide feedback on its proposal to bring domestic legislation in line with the Basel Convention Plastic Waste Amendment.

2. Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board supports Auckland Council’s comprehensive submission and proposed option 3. We agree with the recommendation that implementation of export requirements be staggered over a period of 18 months; Option one would come into effect from 1 January 2021 and the more prescriptive specification (that aligns with Australasian standards) regarding contamination standards for any plastic waste exports be introduced from July 2022.

3. Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board is committed to responding to the challenge of climate change; lowering waste emissions and reducing waste going to landfill. We support the need for further actions to accompany these changes to mixed plastic recycling such as container return schemes, developing onshore processing infrastructure and product stewardship.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board with its updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar 2019 - 2022 is appended to the report as Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff for reference and information only.
3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is expected and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:
a) receive its Governance Forward Work Calendar for the political term 2019 - 2022 as at June 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>June 2020 Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board governance forward work calendar</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Guia Nonoy - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Governance forward work calendar - June 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Auckland Waters Strategy - workshop on public feedback and draft strategy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Water supply and wastewater bylaw review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Water supply and wastewater bylaw review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To reschedule</td>
<td>Corporate Property</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To be scheduled</td>
<td>Arts &amp; Culture Advisory team</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To be scheduled</td>
<td>Kura Kawana overview</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To reschedule</td>
<td>Follow up Nexus training for Elected Members</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To reschedule</td>
<td>Update on project licencing moorings around the Island</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To reschedule</td>
<td>ATEED’s Local Board Engagement Plan</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>To be scheduled</td>
<td>Report a problem online using the new Auckland Council online form</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>On hold, New timeline TBC</td>
<td>Governance Framework Review - Service Levels and Funding project proposals</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>On hold, New timeline TBC</td>
<td>Age-friendly City</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>On hold, New timeline TBC</td>
<td>Thriving Communities Action Plan Refresh</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td>On hold, New timeline TBC</td>
<td>Auckland Waters Strategy report</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 2 June</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 9 June</td>
<td>Aotea Great Barrier Area Plan Working Party meeting - formal sign off (approval for release for public consultation)</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Monday 15 June</td>
<td>2020/2021 Local board work programme development (Annual Planning WSSa) - Covid_19 prioritisation, gaps and alert level advice</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Monday 15 June</td>
<td>Adopt draft Local Board Plans and SCP content report</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 23 June</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 30 June</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cemeteries and Crematoria Bylaw: Proposed amendments to the Cemeteries and Crematoria Bylaw 2014</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local board workshop (WS5)</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Review programme / projects proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 7 July</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop 6 (LBA): feedback from consultation part 2</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Friday 10 July</td>
<td>Business Meeting to agree Approve input for Emergency Budget decision making</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 14 July</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Empowerment Unit: Strategic Broker update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 21 July</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop 7 (LBA): Finalise LBA</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Extraordinary business meeting</td>
<td>Business Meeting: Annual planning (LBA) adopt local board agreements, and fees and charges schedule</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 28 July</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cemeteries and Crematoria Bylaw report (local boards with governance role for cemeteries)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 30 July</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>additional workshop</td>
<td>Board Member only - pre LB work programme development</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Review progress with projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>day</td>
<td>Workshop 8 (LBWP): Review updated work programmes</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Review programme / projects proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Board member only - post LB work programme development</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 4 August</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment update:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 11 August</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Empowerment Unit: Strategic Broker update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 18 August</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aotea Great Barrier Area Plan Working Party - to receive amended (Final) draft Area Plan; Sign-off to proceed to Planning Committee for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 25 August</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual planning (LBWP) approve work programmes report</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 1 September</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment update:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 8 September</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Empowerment Unit: Strategic Broker update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 15 September</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/ keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define opportunities / potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 22 September</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 29 September</td>
<td>Area Plan discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 6 October</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment update:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 13 October</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Empowerment Unit:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strategic Broker update</td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local Board Plan discussion</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction /</td>
<td>Define opportunities /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>priorities / budget</td>
<td>potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 20 October</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local Board Plan discussion</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction /</td>
<td>Define opportunities /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>priorities / budget</td>
<td>potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 27 October</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 3 November</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment update:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 10 November</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Empowerment Unit:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strategic Broker update</td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local Board Plan discussion</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction /</td>
<td>Define opportunities /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>priorities / budget</td>
<td>potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Tuesday 17 November</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Recreation:</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring/</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local Board Plan discussion</strong></td>
<td>Setting direction /</td>
<td>Define opportunities /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>priorities / budget</td>
<td>potential approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Tuesday 24 November</td>
<td>Board Member Discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenda run through</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To note the records for the Aotea / Great Local Board workshops held following the previous business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Under section 12.1 of the current Standing Orders of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board, workshops convened by the local board shall be closed to the public. However, the proceedings of every workshop shall record the names of members attending and a statement summarising the nature of the information received, and nature of matters discussed.
3. The purpose of the local board’s workshops are for the provision of information and local board members discussion. No resolutions or formal decisions are made during the local board’s workshops.
4. The record of proceedings for the local board’s workshops held on 15 & 19 May and 2 & 9 June 2020 are appended to the report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board:

a) note the record of proceedings for the local board workshops held on Friday 15 May, Tuesday 19 May, Tuesday 2 June and Tuesday 9 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20200515 Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20200519 Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20200602 Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>20200609 Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Guia Nonoy - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager, Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board held virtually by Skype for Business on Friday 15 May 2020, commencing at 9.30am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Izzy Fordham
Members: Luke Coles, Sue Daly, Patrick O’Shea (from 9.55am) and Valmaine Toki
Also present: Jacqui Fyers and Jestine Joseph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Emergency Budget discussion</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Discussion was about board feedback for the prioritisation and discretionary activities on the emergency budget consultation phase 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Fyers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jestine Joseph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 11.33am.
Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board held virtually by Skype for Business on Tuesday 19 May 2020, commencing at 9.30am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Izzy Fordham
Members: Luke Coles, Sue Daly, Patrick O’Shea and Valmaine Toki
Also present: Jacqui Fyers, Janine Geddes, Oliver Kunzendorff, Joseph Milan, Lucy Hawcroft, Sonia Parra Beltran, Kathy Cumming, Hilde Hoven, Derek Bell, Sue Whaanga and Lucy Dixon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Board Member only discussion</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Aotea Emergency Response Team update</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Departmental updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Kunzendorff</td>
<td>• Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide an update regarding the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Milan</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td>• Community Facilities Work Programme including project delivery and operational maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Hawcroft</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Waterway Protection Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonia Parra Beltran</td>
<td></td>
<td>• I&amp;ES monthly update April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Cumming</td>
<td></td>
<td>• CEU monthly update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>• Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Discussion were on the draft Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board Plan and Special Consultative Procedure questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Fyers</td>
<td>• Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Cumming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Destination Great Barrier Island (DGBI)</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td>Members of the Destination Great Barrier Island were in attendance and discussion were about roles, Team Aotea, budgets, funding, Dark Sky, Destination Management Plan and DGBI projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilde Hoven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derek Bell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Whaanga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Dixon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Cumming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.14pm.
Workshop record of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board held virtually by Skype for Business on Tuesday 2 June 2020, commencing at 9.30am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Izzy Fordham
Members: Luke Coles, Sue Daly, Patrick O’Shea and Valmaine Toki
Also present: Jacqui Fyers, Janine Geddes, Jaimee Maha, Thomas Daly, Chelsea Samuel and Kathy Cumming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Board Member only discussion</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide an update on local and regional environmental projects on Aotea / Great Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Environment update</td>
<td>• Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Staff gave the board an update on timeframes and also discussed the statement of proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaimee Maha</td>
<td>• Keeping informed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Daly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelsea Samuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Local Board Plan discussion</td>
<td>• Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Fyers</td>
<td>• Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Cumming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dark Sky and Building a Flourishing Community for Aotea Trust (BFCA) discussion</td>
<td>• Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Staff were in attendance to provide an update on the Dark Sky project and the BFCA Trust grant funding application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqui Fyers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Cumming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 1.02pm.
Workshop record of the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board held virtually by Skype for Business on Tuesday 9 June 2020, commencing at 11.25am.

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Izzy Fordham
- Members: Luke Coles, Sue Daly, Patrick O’Shea and Valmaine Toki
- Also present: Jacqui Fyers, Janine Geddes, Kathy Cumming, Ben Halliwell and Jestine Joseph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Local Board Plan discussion  
   Jacqui Fyers | • Setting direction / priorities / budget | Discussion was about the consultation document and engagement plan. |

*Note: The board took a break and reconvened at 12.30pm*

| 2. Board Member only discussion | • Keeping informed |

| 3. Community Empowerment Unit: Strategic Broker update  
   Kathy Cumming | • Oversight and Monitoring  
   • Keeping informed | Staff was in attendance to provide an update on the work of the Aotea / Great Barrier Island strategic broker and other projects on Aotea / Great Barrier. |

| 4. Auckland Transport update  
   Ben Halliwell | • Oversight and Monitoring  
   • Keeping informed | Staff was in attendance to provide an update on operational and maintenance work on the island and other projects on Aotea / Great Barrier. |

| 5. Emergency Budget and Local Impacts  
   Jestine Joseph CA | • Setting direction / priorities / budget | Staff in attendance spoke through emergency budget and local impacts presentation. |

The workshop concluded at 3.47pm.