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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

The Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members (the code) requires elected members to fully acquaint themselves with, and strictly adhere to, the provisions of Auckland Council’s Conflicts of Interest Policy. The policy covers two classes of conflict of interest:

i) a financial conflict of interest, which is one where a decision or act of the local board could reasonably give rise to an expectation of financial gain or loss to an elected member

ii) a non-financial conflict interest, which does not have a direct personal financial component. It may arise, for example, from a personal relationship, or involvement with a non-profit organisation, or from conduct that indicates prejudice or predetermination.

The Office of the Auditor General has produced guidelines to help elected members understand the requirements of the Local Authority (Member’s Interest) Act 1968. The guidelines discuss both types of conflicts in more detail, and provide elected members with practical examples and advice around when they may (or may not) have a conflict of interest.

Copies of both the Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members and the Office of the Auditor General guidelines are available for inspection by members upon request. Any questions relating to the code or the guidelines may be directed to the Relationship Manager in the first instance.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 9 July 2020, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.
8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Upper Harbour Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Hip Hop Rehab programme

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To introduce the Hip Hop Rehab programme and discuss its relevance to youth culture.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Kirsty Joseph, representing the Hip Hop Rehab programme, will be in attendance to introduce herself and talk about the history of the programme.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive the deputation from Kirsty Joseph and thank her for her attendance and presentation.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local
authority; and
(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. The open unconfirmed minutes of the Upper Harbour Local Board ordinary meeting held on Thursday, 9 July 2020, are attached at item 11 of the agenda for the information of the board only.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) note that the open unconfirmed minutes of the Upper Harbour Local Board meeting held on Thursday, 9 July 2020, are attached at item 11 of the agenda for the information of the board only and will be confirmed under item 4 of the agenda.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Upper Harbour Local Board open unconfirmed minutes - 9 July 2020</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cindy Lynch - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minutes of a meeting of the Upper Harbour Local Board held in the Upper Harbour Local Board Office, 30 Kell Drive, Albany on Thursday, 9 July 2020 at 9.35am

PRESENT

Chairperson
Margaret Miles, QSM, JP

Deputy Chairperson
Lisa Whyte

Members
Anna Atkinson
Uzra Casuri Balouch, JP via electronic attendance
Nicholas Mayne
Brian Neeson, JP
1  Welcome
   The Chairperson opened the meeting and welcomed those present.

2  Apologies
   There were no apologies.

3  Declaration of Interest
   There were no declarations of interest.

4  Confirmation of Minutes
   Resolution number UH/2020/64
   MOVED by Member A Atkinson, seconded by Member N Mayne:
   That the Upper Harbour Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 18 June 2020, as true and correct. CARRIED

5  Leave of Absence
   There were no leaves of absence.

6  Acknowledgements
   There were no acknowledgements.

7  Petitions
   There were no petitions.

8  Deputations
   There were no deputations.

9  Public Forum
   9.1  Mike Hassett - Herald Island wharves
       Resolution number UH/2020/65
       MOVED by Chairperson M Miles, seconded by Member N Mayne:
       That the Upper Harbour Local Board:
       a) receive the public forum item from Mike Hassett and thank him for his attendance. CARRIED

10 Extraordinary Business
    There was no extraordinary business.
11 Minutes of the Upper Harbour Local Board meeting held Thursday, 18 June 2020

Note: That the open unconfirmed minutes of the Upper Harbour Local Board meeting held on Thursday, 18 June 2020, are attached at item 11 of the agenda for the information of the board only and were confirmed under item 4 of the agenda.

12 Local board input into the Emergency Budget 2020/2021

The Senior Local Board Advisor was in attendance to support the item.
The meeting adjourned at 10.48am and reconvened at 11.01am.

Resolution number UH/2020/66

MOVED by Chairperson M Miles, seconded by Member N Mayne:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people and organisations based in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.

Questions consulted on as part of 2020/21 Emergency Budget

b) support an annual general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for the 2020/21 financial year as:

i) any rates increase set at a lower level (2.5 per cent or lower, including no rates increase) would make post COVID-19 pandemic recovery exponentially more difficult

ii) any rates increase set at a lower level (2.5 per cent or lower, including no rates increase) would result in council having to re-adjust other funding mechanisms accordingly to aid recovery, such as drastically increasing the debt-to-revenue ratio and relying more heavily on asset recycling / divestment as a means to fund council activities

iii) any rates increase set at a lower level (2.5 per cent or lower, including no rates increase) would have a significant negative impact at the community level, in that there would be a further reduction in service levels beyond those that are already proposed as part of a 3.5 per cent rates increase (such as further reductions in community facility hours of operation and programming, further reduction in the renewal and maintenance of community assets, further reduction in regional and local capital programmes of work etc.).

c) acknowledge the sentiments expressed by submitters regarding the need for council to continue to find savings in its operational model and to eliminate inefficiencies within the organisation to ensure efficient and effective operations into the future.

d) support the introduction of a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme for the 2020/21 financial year as it:

i) reflects the nature of the feedback the board has received from its community in response to the question asked as part of consultation on the Emergency Budget (approximately 64 per cent of all respondents from the local board area indicated support for the proposal)

ii) allows ratepayers who are struggling financially as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic to defer up to $20,000 of their rates for the 2020/21 financial year, noting that at the end of the postponement period, ratepayers accessing the scheme would have until 30 June 2022 to pay off the balance.
e) support the suspension of the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR) for hotels, motels, hostels and accommodation businesses until 31 March 2021 as it:
   i) reflects the nature of the feedback the board has received from its community in response to the question asked as part of consultation on the Emergency Budget (approximately 75 per cent of all respondents from the local board area indicated support for the proposal)
   ii) will assist the accommodation sector who are struggling financially as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic due to its negative impact on the tourism and accommodation industry, whilst noting that the APTR will only be charged for the last three months of the 2020/21 financial year (1 April – 30 June 2021).

Capital projects

f) is willing to accept deferral of uncommitted planned capital projects within the board area for the 2020/21 financial year, with the exception of those projects that the local board has resolved support for against the funding received from the sale of land as part of the New Zealand Transport Agency Northern Corridor Improvements project.

Service levels

   g) accept a reduction in the funding of service levels by reducing the hours of operation for both the Albany Library and Albany Stadium Pool for the 2020/21 financial year, in the acknowledgment that such reduction in funding will help contribute to savings for the next financial year.

   h) request that, in consideration of resolution g) above, that for future years beyond the 2020/21 financial year, the funding of service levels for both the Albany Library and Albany Stadium Pool be returned to historical or pre-financial year 2020/21 levels.

   i) only support those operational savings derived from the activity outlined in resolution g) above and under the conditions outlined in resolution h) and strongly oppose a reduction in the funding of service levels for the maintenance of local parks and reserves, as such reductions will likely result in significant health and safety issues that will have a compounding impact on council’s ability to renew assets in future years.

Debt-to-revenue ratio

   j) support a small increase in council’s debt-to-revenue ratio beyond those established within council’s financial policies, as long as this does not result in negative long-term consequences for council via a credit rating downgrade, or higher interest rates and charges incurred through borrowing.

Asset recycling

   k) do not support the potential sale of the Wasp Hangar building and land located within the Hobsonville Point precinct, as both the land and the facility have been identified by both the local board and council staff as fulfilling a service need (development of an indoor court facility).

   l) restate the Upper Harbour Local Board resolution number UH2020/17, passed at the board’s 19 March 2020 meeting, through which the local board:
      i) endorsed the Wasp Hangar: Community Recreation Facility Project application to the regional 2019/20 Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund
ii) reallotted $500,000 of the board’s locally driven initiatives capital expenditure to the Wasp Hangar: Community Recreation Facility Project

iii) supported the transition of the Wasp Hangar into council’s service asset portfolio.

m) support the retention and allocation of funding provided through the Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund for the 2020/21 financial year, regardless of the outcome of Governing Body decision-making around the level of rates set for the 2020/21 financial year.

n) support the concept of asset recycling and the sale of council assets/holdings as a means of increasing revenue to fund council initiatives, but only if those assets proposed for recycling or divestment have been identified as not serving any current or future service requirement.

o) do not support the divestment or sale of park or reserve land or other open space that currently fulfills a service requirement, or any other land that has been identified as needed to respond to future growth/demand.

p) support in principle the sale of the land identified at R33A Tauhinu Road, Greenhithe, subject to the conditions set out in resolutions n) and o) above have been met upon further investigation by staff and subsequent discussion with the Upper Harbour Local Board.

q) note the resolution passed at the September 2018 Planning Committee, which recommended the Finance and Performance Committee ‘not proceed with the sale of any marina land pending the development of a strategy and forward plan regarding the future of Auckland’s marinas’.

r) do not support the sale of land at West Park Marina (West Harbour Marina), West Harbour, in advance of completion and thorough consideration of the region-wide marina strategy that was endorsed for completion by council’s Planning Committee at its March 2019 meeting, and referenced in the September 2018 Planning Committee resolution outlined in resolution q) above.

s) advocate strongly that local board views and preferences are sought formally as part of any future decisions made regarding the recycling or sale of assets in the local board area, which will ensure community views are considered as part of the process.

One local initiative (OLI) – destination indoor court facility

f) reiterate its strong support for the local board’s ‘one local initiative’, which is a destination indoor court facility located within the Upper Harbour Local Board area, for the following reasons:

   i) the need for such a facility has been identified in a variety of studies and investigations completed to date, such as:

   A) the Auckland Sport Sector: Facilities Priority Plan, which states that a total of 21 indoor court facilities are needed in Auckland by 2021, and a total of 42 courts are needed by 2031

   B) the National Facilities Strategy for Indoor Sports that indicates the current high demand for multi-sport facilities in Auckland, which is expected to increase further over the next several decades

   C) the Sport New Zealand Future Sport report

   D) the Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan, which acknowledges that ‘growth, intensification and changing population composition will create more pressure on existing facilities and resources, some of which are struggling to meet demand now’
ii) data and information contained within submissions and completed survey that illustrate both the need and desire for such a facility within the Upper Harbour area, such as:

A) submissions to the 2018/28 Long-term Plan (LTP) received from Aktiv-Auckland Sport and Recreation, Sport New Zealand, Sport Waitākere and Sport Auckland, which highlight the current shortfall of 30 indoor courts, with a further 10 courts required within the 10-year LTP period

B) submission received as part of consultation on the 2017 Upper Harbour Local Board Plan, where 35 respondents cited a need for an indoor court facility in the local board area, 27 of which specifically mentioned the need for indoor basketball courts

C) Sport New Zealand’s ‘Active New Zealand 2017’ survey, which highlighted the growth in sport participation in the North Harbour area and among the community's growing Asian population.

u) oppose strongly the deferral of any funding in the 2020/21 financial year for the completion of a detailed business case to inform the progression of the destination indoor court facility referenced in resolution t) above as such delay would:

i) significantly impact consideration of the project as part of deliberations on the 2021-2031 Long-term Plan (LTP) which will be occurring over the next 12 months

ii) result in no benefit being realised from the investment in work undertaken to date on the business case (approximately $100,000), and will likely mean that such investment is wasted in the event that the business case is not completed in time for the 2021/31 LTP as any work would need to commence from scratch at a future date, thereby setting back progress on the initiative for many years.

General / other comments

v) do not support the introduction, either permanently or on a trial basis, of user pays charges at Auckland Transport owned, managed and operated park and ride facilities located within the local board area (namely Albany and Constellation bus stations) as:

i) such facilities encourage and support the use of public transport, particularly as there are very few alternatives in terms of feeder bus services and safe active transport modes

ii) the introduction of a user-pays scheme at park and ride facilities will likely result in the unintended consequence of reduced patronage / use of public transport.

w) do not support any reduction to the Community Safety Fund as the local board strongly values the importance and necessity of investing in road safety improvements, and expresses serious concern regarding the likely increase in the number of deaths and serious injury caused as a result of budget cuts or deferrals in this area.

x) do not support any proposed cuts to the Auckland Transport Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) as included as part of the proposed reductions under a 3.5 per cent or 2.5 per cent rates increase.

y) accept that the local board may need to absorb a 10 per cent reduction in its locally driven initiative operational budget for the 2020/21 financial year as part of a 3.5 per cent rates increase as a result of lost revenue associated with the
13 New road names in the Hobsonville Development Limited subdivision at 96 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville

Resolution number UH/2020/67

MOVED by Member B Neeson, seconded by Member A Atkinson:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) approve the following two road names for new roads in the Hobsonville Development Limited subdivision at 96 Hobsonville Road, Hobsonville, as referenced in Attachments A and B to the agenda report:

i) Road 1 – Te Ahurea Street

ii) Road 2 – Inanga Street.

CARRIED

14 Record of the Upper Harbour Local Board workshops held on Thursday 11 and 25 June 2020

Resolution number UH/2020/68

MOVED by Chairperson M Miles, seconded by Member N Mayne:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COVID-19 pandemic, and note that the local board will work with council staff to identify agreed savings as part of the board’s 2020/21 work programmes.

z) do not support any reduction to the local board’s locally driven initiatives (LDI) operational budget beyond 10 per cent as indicated in resolution y) above for the following reasons:

i) this budget is used to fund important front-line services, and any reduction will have a widespread and significant impact on the local community and community groups / organisations

ii) during the post COVID-19 rebuilding period, the local board needs the ability to respond to the new reality with greater focus on support for the community, as well as ensuring that previously provided community activities, events and services are maintained.

aa) do not support the deferral of any Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) funding projects planned in the financial year 2020/21 for the local board area, including any deferral of kauri dieback mitigation, enforcement and track works that are supported through this funding stream.

bb) do not support any cut or reduction to budgets associated with addressing the impacts of climate change and the delivery of such programmes as:

i) Auckland is already playing ‘catch-up’ in terms of responding to the impacts/effects of climate change

ii) the impacts of climate change, if left unaddressed, will have a negative impact on a range of council services in the future.

cc) request that, in the event that budgets associated with addressing the impacts of climate change are reduced for the 2020/21 financial year, those budgets be reinstated in full and increased as part of the Long-term Plan 2021-2031.
a) receive the records of the Upper Harbour Local Board workshops held on Thursday 11 and 25 June 2020 (refer to Attachments A and B to the agenda report).

CARRIED

15 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

11.43am The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE UPPER HARBOUR LOCAL BOARD HELD ON

DATE: ..............................................................................................................

CHAIRPERSON: ..................................................................................
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive an update on traffic-related matters in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.
2. To agree the prioritized list of preferred projects for the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) for the 2019-2022 term.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. Auckland Transport (AT) request that the local board approve their list of prioritised projects for the LBTCF.
4. Included is a list of the public consultations sent to the local board in June 2020 for comment and the decisions of AT’s Traffic Control Committee (TCC) for June 2020.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive the monthly update report from Auckland Transport for July 2020.

b) agree on the prioritised list of preferred projects for the Local Board Transport Capital Fund for the 2019-2022 term.

Horopaki
Context
5. AT is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. AT reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in its Local Board Engagement Plan. This reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within the governance of Auckland on behalf of their local communities.

6. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by AT. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that are considered important but are not part of AT’s work programme. Projects must also:
   - be safe
   - not impede network efficiency
   - be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF)
7. Council’s original resolutions relating to the LBTCF make it very clear that the overall budget allocation for the fund is on the basis that ‘it can be managed by AT within its annual budget. While council’s budget allocation to AT will only be finalised when the Emergency Budget is
Item 12

adopted, current indications are that AT’s capital budget will be significantly constrained. This being the case, it is highly likely that there will be a negative impact on the LBTCF.

8. Until the final budget is complete, AT will not be able to advise local boards on the funds available in the LBTCF. This may have an impact on progressing potential projects. AT will update local boards on the status of the LBTCF as soon as decisions around the budget are finalised.

9. As the Upper Harbour Local Board has held its workshop to prioritise projects for allocation to the LBTCF, AT now requests that the local board agree on the following projects list in order of priority as the preferred projects for the LBTCF for the 2019-2022 term, noting that these are not listed in order of priority below:

- Scott Point Sustainable Sports Park shared path
- Ōtehā Valley Road (connecting existing shared paths to fill in the gaps)
- shared path on Bush Road from the hockey stadium to Rosedale Road
- improve safety between Albany shared path and the new SH18 shared path.

Community Safety Fund (CSF)

10. The Community Safety Fund is funded from AT’s safety budget and is dependent on the level of funding AT receives from the council. Current indications are that this level of funding will be significantly constrained. Public consultation and the design work informed by this consultation is progressing, with a view to having projects designed and ready to go when money becomes available.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

11. AT engages closely with Auckland Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and council’s priorities.

12. AT’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

13. The impact of information in this report is confined to AT and does not impact on other parts of the council group. Any engagement with other parts of the council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

Greenhithe – footpath and road corridor safety concerns

14. Given the large number of areas of concern, there will be a delay before AT can fully repair all faults. AT has instructed the maintenance contractor to attend to all safety concerns urgently to make them safe. The contractor will then provide a detailed estimate of the cost to fix all identified fault locations.

15. Once this estimate is complete, AT’s Assets team will be able to plan and budget for the works to fully repair the remaining areas of concern. Due to the magnitude of the works, AT are unable to advise an exact timeframe for full completion.
Local board workshops
16. AT attended a workshop in June 2020, the purpose of which was to update the board on the following topics:
   • Dairy Flat Highway/Gills Road business case
   • Supporting Growth North-West update
   • Upper Harbour Drive junction – local board project
   • prioritisation of Local Board Transport Capital Fund for 2019-2022 term
   • removal of the 114 bus service, Herald Island.

Consultation documents on proposed improvements
17. Consultation documents for the proposals listed below have been provided to the Upper Harbour Local Board for feedback and are summarised for information purposes only.
18. After consultation, AT considers the feedback received and determines whether to proceed further with the proposal as consulted on or proceed with an amended proposal if changes are considered necessary.
   • new bus stop outside 38 Joy Street (on Gills Road)
   • new pram crossing and refuge island on 42 Joy Street (on Gills Road)
   • Albany bus station retail kiosk – expression of interest
   • proposal to upgrade two existing pedestrian crossings to raised zebra crossings on Brigham Creek Road and Airport Road, Whenuapai.

Traffic Control Committee (TCC) report
19. Decisions of the TCC during the month of June affecting the Upper Harbour Local Board area are listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street (suburb)</th>
<th>Type of report</th>
<th>Nature of restriction</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oteha Valley Road Extension / Dairy Flat Highway, Albany</td>
<td>Permanent traffic and parking changes</td>
<td>No stopping at all times / loading zone / flush median / P60 parking / no stopping between certain hours / lanes / lane arrow marking / traffic signal / footpath / give-way control / traffic island / bus stop / bus shelter</td>
<td>CARRIED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
20. The decision to receive this monthly update report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori or consideration of impacts and opportunities will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
21. The decision to receive this monthly update report has no financial implications.
Risks and mitigations

22. Auckland Council is currently consulting on its Emergency Budget 2020/2021. Until this review and a new budget is adopted in July 2020, AT will not be able to report with certainty on its programme.

23. However, under both proposed revisions to the budget, AT’s capital and operating budgets will be reduced. Therefore, it is expected that some projects that were planned for 2020/2021 may not be able to be delivered and AT expects this will be disappointing to communities that had already been engaged with.

24. Both the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Transport Capital Fund may be impacted by these budget reductions. The only way to mitigate this risk is to clearly communicate the board’s priorities so staff supporting these may plan and to make the best use of any available funds.

Next steps

25. AT will provide a further update report to the Upper Harbour Local Board in August 2020.

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Owena Schuster – Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon – Elected Member Relationship Team Manager, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of the Upper Harbour Local Board Agreement 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/09290

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area.

3. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (annual plan) including local board priorities (consultation part 1). Local boards considered this feedback between 4 to 8 May 2020.

4. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation (part 2) on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (the new name for this year’s annual plan) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Local boards are now considering local content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, which includes a local board agreement and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

6. Normally, the local board agreement would include a message from the chair, local board advocacy and other content. This year, due to time constraints caused by COVID-19, the content of the Emergency Budget document, including the Local Board Agreements, is being reduced to only the parts that are a statutory requirement. This includes key projects, levels of service and performance measures, and Financial Impact Statement (FIS).

7. On 30 July 2020, the Governing Body will meet to adopt Auckland Council’s Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) adopt the Upper Harbour Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

b) adopt the Upper Harbour Local Board local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

c) delegate authority to the Chairperson to make any final minor changes to the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

d) note that:
   i) Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 local activity budgets will be updated to reflect final budget decisions made by the Governing Body on 16 July 2020
   ii) the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Governing Body when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021 on 30 July 2020.
Horopaki

Context

8. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area, outlining local priorities, budgets and intended levels of service. They are informed by the local board plans, which are strategic documents that are developed every three years to set a direction for local boards, by reflecting the priorities and preferences of the communities within the local board area. Local board plans can also provide a basis for local board feedback on regional content in the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021).

9. Local board chairs have had the opportunity to attend Finance and Performance Committee workshops on key topics and provide local board views on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 to the Finance and Performance Committee.

10. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted with the public on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 1). One locally held event was held in the Upper Harbour Local Board area to engage with the community and seek feedback on both regional and local proposals. Feedback was received through written and event channels.

11. A report analysing the feedback on local board priorities, as well as feedback from those living in the local board area related to the regional topics from consultation part 1, was included on the 8 May 2020 business meeting agenda.

12. Local boards considered this feedback, and their input on regional topics was reported to the Emergency Committee on 21 May 2020.

13. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 2) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

14. A report analysing the feedback on the regional topics from consultation part 2 (Emergency Budget) was included on the 9 July 2020 business meeting agenda.

15. Local boards considered this feedback, and then the local board chairs held discussions with the Finance and Performance Committee on 14 July 2020 on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget. The local board input was also reported to the Finance and Performance Committee on 16 July 2020.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

16. Both staff and the local board have reviewed the feedback received on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from both consultations and local boards have received reports analysing the feedback. It is now recommended that local boards adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 (draft to be tabled at the meeting), and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021 (Attachment A).

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

17. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature and will not have any climate impacts themselves.

18. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

19. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
20. Local boards worked with council departments to develop their local board work programmes for 2020/2021 that will be adopted at August 2020 business meetings. The local board work programmes reflect local board agreements.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
21. This report seeks local board adoption of its content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and other associated material, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
22. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021) are important tools that enable and can demonstrate the council’s responsiveness to Māori.
23. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant, the wider Māori community.
24. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in the council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
25. The local board agreement includes the allocation of locally driven initiatives (LDI) funding and asset-based services (ABS) funding to projects and services for the 2020/2021 financial year.
26. LDI funding is discretionary funding allocated to local boards based on the Local Board Funding Policy, which local boards can spend on priorities for their communities. Local boards can also utilise LDI funding to increase local levels of service if they wish to do so.
27. Funding for asset-based services (ABS) is allocated by the Governing Body to local boards based on current levels of service to run and maintain local assets and services including parks, pools and recreation facilities, community facilities, and libraries.
28. Local boards have the decision-making and oversight responsibility in respect of local fees and charges within parameters set by the Governing Body. A local fees and charges schedule for Active Recreation, Community Venues for Hire (including library rooms for hire) for 2020/2021 is adopted alongside the Local Board Agreement. The fees and charges have been formulated based on region-wide baseline service levels and revenue targets. Where fees and charges are amended by a local board that results in lower revenue for the council, the shortfall will need to be made up by either allocating LDI funds or reducing expenditure on other services to balance overall budgets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
29. Decisions on the local content of the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021, are required by 24 July 2020 to ensure the Governing Body can adopt the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 at its 30 July 2020 meeting.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

30. The resolutions of this meeting will be reported to the Governing Body on 30 July 2020 when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

31. Minor changes may need to be made to the attachments before the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 is adopted, such as correction of any errors identified and minor wording changes. Staff therefore recommend that the local board delegates authority to the Chairperson to make minor final changes if necessary.

32. Local board agreements set the priorities and budget envelopes for each financial year. Work programmes then detail the activities that will be delivered within those budget envelopes. Work programmes will be agreed between local boards and operational departments at business meetings in August 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local fees and charges schedule 2020/2021</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rita Bento-Allpress - Senior Local Board Advisor Upper Harbour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upper Harbour Local Board - Fees and Charges

Purpose

The purpose of this workshop is to seek local board feedback on the proposed local fees and charges for the 2020/2021 financial year.

Context

Local boards have the decision making and oversight responsibility in respect of local fees and charges within parameters set by the Governing Body. For example, local boards can set and vary fees for adult entry to swimming pools but may not charge an entry fee for children under 16.

Proposed Fees and Charges 2020/2021

The tables on the following pages will outline the changes proposed to the current fees and charges from the following areas (where applicable) of Council:

- Active Recreation
- Community Places
- Libraries

The fees and charges have been formulated based on region-wide baseline service levels and revenue targets. Where fees and charges are amended by local board that results in lower revenue for Council, the shortfall in revenue must be made up by either allocating LD1 funds or reducing expenditure on other services to balance overall budgets.
Active Recreation - Leisure and Recreation Facilities

Leisure and Active Recreation teams have proposed minor increases to some fees and charges. The increases are required due to:

- Expectations for developing non-rates revenue
- Cost pressures, especially with the introduction of the living wage

The Financial Policy team have recommended a 1.25% CPI increase for 2020/2021 budgets.

Memberships

In 2017 the Active Recreation team introduced a regional membership structure across Auckland Council operated pools and leisure centres and new membership options for pools and leisure centres.

No changes are proposed to the cost of new memberships. However, legacy memberships will be increased (limited to no more than 10% per annum) over the years to align with current charges.
The table below shows other fees and charges for Leisure and Recreation facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Facility Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Proposed Fees 2021</th>
<th>Percentage change</th>
<th>Dollar change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany Stadium Pool</td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Aquatic Spectator</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Aquatic Supervising Adult</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Aquatic Adult Swim</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Aquatic Concessionary Swim (Snr, Disabled, Student, Community Card Holder)</td>
<td>$6.40</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Casual Fitness</td>
<td>$15.80</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Casual Entrance Fees</td>
<td>Casual Fitness - Concession</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Programmes</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Programmes</td>
<td>Learn to Swim - Babies</td>
<td>$13.50</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Programmes</td>
<td>Learn to Swim - Pre-School/School Age, Teenage &amp; Adults/Squads</td>
<td>$14.50</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Visit Passes</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Hireage</td>
<td>Full Leisure Pool</td>
<td>$260.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Hireage</td>
<td>Pool lane hire</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Hireage</td>
<td>Teaching pool</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community and Arts Facilities

In 2019, the Community Places business unit held separate workshops with each local board. These workshops included discussion on utilisation of venues, the Hire Fee Framework and revenue updates. Community Places are not proposing changes to next year’s fees and charges for most centres and venues for hire managed by Council. Where changes are proposed they generally fall into the following categories:

- Proposed reductions of fees to increase utilisation
- Proposed increase due to the specialist nature of the venue such as an arts facility
- Proposed removal of off-peak charges due to the nature of the venue

The following categories for venue for hire fees and charges remain unchanged:

- Standard (peak)
- Off peak, 20% off standard
- Regular, 20% off standard (10 or more bookings in financial calendar year)
- LB priority, 50% off standard (based on criteria set by the local board)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Facility Category</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Peak Standard 2020</th>
<th>Off-Peak Standard 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany Community Hub</td>
<td>Venues for hire</td>
<td>The Albany House</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
<td>$27.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Albany Beauty Room</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fruit Growers Room</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$31.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Braeburn Room</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gravenstein Room B</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunderland Lounge</td>
<td>Venues for hire</td>
<td>Main Hall</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$31.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive an update on Panuku Development Auckland (Panuku) activities within the local board area and the region for the six months from 01 September 2019 to 29 February 2020

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Panuku is charged with balancing financial and non-financial outcomes in order to create and manage sustainable and resilient places where people want to live, work, invest, learn and visit. The activities of Panuku cover four broad areas:
   - redevelopment of urban locations, leveraging off council-owned land assets, mostly within existing suburbs
   - review of and, where appropriate, redevelopment of council non-service property
   - management of council property assets including commercial, residential, and marina infrastructure
   - other property related services such as redevelopment incorporating a service delivery function, strategic property advice, acquisitions and disposals.
3. Panuku Development Auckland currently manages 20 commercial interests in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.
4. One property was purchased in the Upper Harbour Local Board area during the six month reporting period.
5. No properties were sold in the Upper Harbour Local Board area during the six month reporting period.
6. Panuku is awaiting direction from Auckland Council regarding the property subject to the rationalisation process.
7. Panuku leads a multi-year redevelopment programme of the council’s Housing for Older People (HiOP) portfolio (Haumaru). There is one Haumaru village in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive the Panuku Development Auckland - Upper Harbour Local Board six-month report for 01 September 2019 to 29 February 2020.

Horopaki
Context
8. Panuku helps to rejuvenate parts of Auckland, from small projects that refresh a site or building, to major transformations of town centres or neighbourhoods.
9. The Auckland Plan is the roadmap to deliver on Auckland’s vision to be a world class city, Panuku plays a significant role in achieving the ‘Homes and Places’ and ‘Belonging and Participation’ outcomes.


11. Panuku manages around $3 billion of council’s non-service property portfolio, which is continuously reviewed to find smart ways to generate income for the region, grow the portfolio, or release land or property that can be better used by others. ‘Non-service properties’ are council-owned properties that are not used to deliver council, or council-controlled organisation (CCO), services.

12. As at 31 December 2019, the Panuku-managed regional property portfolio comprised 1674 properties, containing 1035 leases. This includes vacant land, industrial buildings, warehouses, retail shops, cafes, offices, medical centres, and a large portfolio of residential rental homes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

13. Panuku is contributing commercial input into approximately 50 region-wide council-driven renewal and housing supply initiatives.

14. Panuku works with partners and stakeholders over the course of a project. It also champions best practice project delivery, to achieve best value outcomes within defined cost, time and quality parameters.

15. Following is a high-level update on activities in the Upper Harbour Local Board area:

Unlock Hobsonville

16. On 27 February 2020, a workshop was held with the local board by Auckland Council Sports and Recreation and Panuku to discuss the opportunity for the Wasp Hangar building to be used for an indoor recreation facility.

17. Avanda completed their first eight homes in the Unlock Hobsonville Airfields Precinct. There are another 510 homes planned for this area.

Properties managed in the Upper Harbour Local Board area

18. Panuku currently manages 20 commercial and non-residential interests within the local board area.

Portfolio strategy

Optimisation

19. Optimisation is a self-funding development approach targeting sub-optimal service assets approved in 2015. The process involves an agreement between Community Facilities, Panuku and local boards and is led by Panuku. It is designed to equal or enhance levels of service to the local community in a reconfigured form while delivering on strategic outcomes such as housing or urban regeneration with no impact on existing rate assumptions.

20. Using optimisation, underperforming assets will have increased utility and efficiency, lower maintenance and operating costs, as well as improved service delivery benefiting from co-location of other complementary services or commercial activities. Optimisation will free up a range of under-capitalised development opportunities such as air space, full sites, or part sites.
21. Using optimisation as a redevelopment and funding tool, the Local Board can maximise efficiencies from service assets while maintaining levels of service through the release of some or all of that property for sale or development.

22. Local boards are allocated decision making for the disposal of local service property and reinvestment of sale proceeds in accordance with the service property optimisation approach.

**Portfolio review and rationalisation**

**Overview**

23. Panuku is required to undertake ongoing rationalisation of the council’s non-service assets. This includes identifying properties from within the council’s portfolio that may be suitable for potential sale and development if appropriate. Panuku has a focus on achieving housing and urban regeneration outcomes.

24. Identifying potential sale properties contributes to the Auckland Plan focus of accommodating the significant growth projected for the region over the coming decades, by providing the council with an efficient use of capital and prioritisation of funds to achieve its activities and projects.

**Performance**

25. Panuku works closely with Auckland Council and Auckland Transport to identify potential surplus properties to help achieve disposal targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$30 million disposal recommendations</td>
<td>$30.4 million disposal recommendations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Target for July 2019 to June 2021:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$45 million disposal recommendations</td>
<td>$20 million disposal recommendations as at 23 February 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Process**

26. Once identified as no longer delivering the council service use for which it was acquired, a property is taken through a multi-stage rationalisation process. The agreed process includes engagement with council departments and CCOs, the local board and mana whenua. This is followed by Panuku board approval, engagement with the local ward councillors, the Independent Māori Statutory Board and finally, a Governing Body decision.

**Acquisitions and disposals**

27. Panuku manages the acquisition and disposal of property on behalf of Auckland Council. Panuku purchases property for development, roads, infrastructure projects and other services. These properties may be sold with or without contractual requirements for development.

**Acquisitions**

28. Panuku does not decide which properties to buy in a local board area. Instead, it is asked to negotiate the terms and conditions of a purchase on behalf of the council.

29. Panuku has purchased eight properties for open space across Auckland in the time period between October 2019 and April 2020, at a cost of $31.5 million.
30. One property has been purchased in the Upper Harbour Local Board area during the reporting period for open space (the one property purchased in the Upper Harbour Local Board area in this period is 4 Spedding Road, Whenuapai).

31. All land acquisition committee resolutions contain a confidentiality clause due to the commercially sensitive nature of ongoing transactions, and thus cannot be reported on while in process.

Disposals

32. In the reporting period between October 2019 and April 2020, the Panuku disposals team has entered into seven sale and purchase agreements, with an estimated value of $7.5 million of unconditional net sales proceeds.

33. Panuku 2019/20 disposals target is $24 million for the year. The disposals target is agreed with the council and is reviewed on an annual basis.

34. No properties have been sold in the Upper Harbour Local Board area during the reporting period.

Under review

35. Properties currently under review in the Upper Harbour Local Board area are listed below. The list includes any properties that may have recently been approved for sale or development and sale by the Governing Body:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hobsonville Marina (Clearwater Cove, West Harbour)</td>
<td>At the Planning Committee meeting in September 2018, the committee resolved that the Auckland Plan Strategy and Research team undertake further work on a strategy and a forward plan with respect to the future of Auckland marinas. Panuku has not progressed a marina proposal in anticipation of a marina strategy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing for Older People – Haumaru Housing

36. The council owns 1452 units located in 63 villages across Auckland, which provide rental housing to low income older people in Auckland.

37. The Housing for Older People (HfOP) project involved the council partnering with a third-party organisation, The Selwyn Foundation, to deliver social rental housing services for older people across Auckland.

38. The joint venture business, named Haumaru Housing, took over the tenancy, facilities and asset management of the portfolio, under a long-term lease arrangement from 1 July 2017.

39. Haumaru Housing was granted community housing provider (CHP) status in April 2017. Having CHP registration enables Haumaru to access the government’s Income Related Rent Subsidy (IRRS) scheme.

40. Auckland Council has delegated Panuku to lead a new multi-year residential development programme.

41. The following Haumaru Housing village is located within the Upper Harbour Local Board area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windsor Court</td>
<td>480A East Coast Road</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

42. The Panuku Priority Location programmes support regeneration of existing town centres, developing underutilised sites within the urban area, close to transport links. Increasing the density of housing results in reduced carbon emissions through improved utilisation of existing infrastructure and transit-oriented development. The provision of easy, safe and attractive walking and cycling routes reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and enables low carbon lifestyles. Panuku has adopted a minimum standard of a Homestar 6 rating for all homes, resulting in warmer, drier and more energy efficient buildings.

43. Climate change increases the probability of hotter temperatures and more frequent flooding and drought in the Upper Harbour Local Board area. Panuku seeks to future-proof our communities by:
   - specifying adaptation and resilience in the design of buildings and spaces.
   - specifying that infrastructure and developments are designed to cope with warmer temperatures and extreme weather events.
   - use of green infrastructure and water sensitive design for increased flood resilience, ecological and biodiversity benefits
   - provision of increased shade and shelter for storm events and hotter days.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

44. The views of the council group are incorporated on a project-by-project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

45. Any local or sub-regional impacts related to local activities are considered on a project-by-project basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

46. Panuku works collaboratively with mana whenua on a range of projects including potential property disposals, development sites in the area and commercial opportunities. Engagement can be on specific individual properties and projects at an operational level with kaitiaki representatives, or with the Panuku Mana Whenua Governance Forum who have a broader mandate.

47. Panuku will continue to partner with Māori on opportunities which enhance Māori social and economic wellbeing.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

48. There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

49. There are no risks associated with receiving this report.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

50. The next six-monthly update is scheduled for October 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Perin Gerrand - Engagement Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Helga Sonier - Senior Engagement Advisor, Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New road name in the subdivision for stage 2 of BB12 at 25 Walter Merton Road, Hobsonville

File No.: CP2020/09316

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve a name for a new private road, being a commonly owned access lot created by way of a subdivision for stage 2 of BB12 at 25 Walter Merton Road, Hobsonville.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland region.

3. The applicant’s agent, Kāinga Ora, has submitted the following names for the new private road:
   • Kaiwawao Lane (applicant’s preferred name)
   • Whakahaumaru Lane (alternate).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) approve the name ‘Kaiwawao Lane’ for the new private road constructed within the subdivision for stage 2 of BB12 at 25 Walter Merton Road, Hobsonville.

Horopaki
Context
4. Resource consent has been obtained for a 16-lot residential subdivision for stage 2 of BB12 at 25 Walter Merton Road, Hobsonville (council references BUN60353318 and SUB60353330).

5. A site plan of the road and development can be found in Attachment A and a location map of the proposed development can be found in Attachment B.

6. In accordance with the national addressing standards, the private road requires a name as it serves more than five lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
7. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   • a historical or ancestral linkage to an area
   • a particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature, or
   • an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area, and
   • the use of Māori names is actively encouraged.
8. The applicant has proposed the names set out in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaiwawao Lane (preferred name)</td>
<td>Defender</td>
<td>Meets criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whakahaumaru Lane (alternate name)</td>
<td>To safeguard, protect</td>
<td>Meets criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. The road is in an area where surrounding roads have been named after former airforce personnel. The proposed names are a further acknowledgement of the role that these people had in defending/protecting New Zealand and the role we all have in defending and protecting the environment.

10. Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that the proposed and alternate names are both acceptable.

11. Local iwi in the Auckland area were contacted and invited to comment, however no replies were received.

12. The proposed names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines and staff recommend approving the applicant’s choice.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement**

13. The naming of roads has no effect on climate change. Relevant environmental issues have been considered under the provisions of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the associated approved resource consent for the development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views**

14. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on any council groups.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views**

15. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement**

16. The naming of roads is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome, ‘a Māori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world’. The use of Māori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Māori identity. To aid local board decision-making, the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines includes the following:

- the objective of recognising ancestral linkages to areas of land by engagement with mana whenua and the allocation of road names as appropriate and a principle that Māori road names are actively encouraged

17. Two Māori road name options have been proposed.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

18. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road name.

19. The road naming process does not raise any other financial implications for the council.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

20. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

21. Approved road names are notified to LINZ who record them on their New Zealand-wide land information database, which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Site plan - 25 Walter Merton Road</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Location map - 25 Walter Merton Road</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dale Rewa - Subdivision Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To present the updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. The governance forward work calendar for the Upper Harbour Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive the Upper Harbour Local Board governance forward work calendar for the period August 2020 to July 2021, as set out in Attachment A to this agenda report.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance forward work calendar - August 2020 to July 2021</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cindy Lynch - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## GOVERNANCE FORWARD WORK CALENDAR

### August 2020 to July 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Water supply and wastewater bylaw review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Auckland Waters Strategy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>Annual planning (LBWP) approve work programmes</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>Upper Harbour library services - indicative business case</td>
<td>Confirm board position</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-20</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-20</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-20</td>
<td>Navigation Safety bylaw review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep/Oct-20</td>
<td>Water tank Plan Change</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-20</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>Panuku Development Auckland six-monthly update report: 1 March 2020 to 30 September 2020</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-20</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-20</td>
<td>Q1 Reporting: July to September 2020</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-21</td>
<td>Q2 reporting: October to December 2020</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-21</td>
<td>Q3 reporting: January to March 2020</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-21</td>
<td>Auckland Transport monthly update</td>
<td>Receive update on progress Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Record of the Upper Harbour Local Board workshops held on Thursday 25 June and 2 July 2020

File No.: CP2020/09095

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Upper Harbour Local Board workshops were held on Thursday 25 June and 2 July 2020. Copies of the workshop records are attached (refer to Attachments A and B).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:
a) receive the records of the Upper Harbour Local Board workshops held on Thursday 25 June and 2 July 2020 (refer to Attachments A and B to the agenda report).

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Upper Harbour Local Board record of workshop - 25 June 2020</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Upper Harbour Local Board record of workshop - 2 July 2020</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cindy Lynch - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Upper Harbour Local Board workshop record

Workshop record of the Upper Harbour Local Board held in the Upper Harbour Local Board office, Kell Drive, Albany village, on 25 June 2020, commencing at 9.30am

**Chairperson:** Margaret Miles  
**Deputy Chairperson:** Lisa Whyte  
**Members:** Anna Atkinson, Uzra Casuri Balouch, Nicholas Mayne, Brian Neeson (via electronic attendance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Update on the Te Uru pocket park concept design**  
**Presenters:**  
- Wendy Zapart  
  Senior Parks Planner | To receive the changes to the revised concept design for the pocket park, following previous local board feedback | The Senior Parks Planner was in attendance via Skype for Business to outline revisions to the concept plan for the Te Uru pocket park. The plan was amended following direction from the local board in May 2020.  
A formal report will be presented to a local board business meeting to approve the final concept design. |
| **Removal of 114 bus service from Herald Island**  
**Presenters:**  
- Pete Moth  
  Service Network Development Manager  
- Edward Newbiggin  
  Principal Planner  
  Customer Engagement  
- Owena Schuster  
  Elected Member  
  Relationship Manager | To receive an additional response to previous local board feedback and discuss next steps | Auckland Transport staff were in attendance via Skype for Business to seek further direction from the local board on the removal of the 114 bus service from Herald Island, due to low patronage.  
Auckland Transport will contact Herald Island stakeholders seeking feedback on the options discussed, with a view to rolling out alterations to the service in January 2021. |
| **Emergency Budget feedback discussion**  
**Presenters:**  
- Rita Bento-Alipress  
  Senior Local Board Advisor | Input into regional decision making  
- Setting direction / priorities / budget | Local board members were provided an opportunity to discuss their preliminary thoughts for the board’s submission to the Emergency Budget.  
The board’s formal feedback will be adopted at its business meeting on 9 July 2020. |

The workshop concluded at 11.17am
## Upper Harbour Local Board workshop record

Workshop record of the Upper Harbour Local Board held in the Upper Harbour Local Board office, Kell Drive, Albany village, on 2 July 2020, commencing at 9.30am

### Chairperson:
Margaret Miles

### Deputy Chairperson:
Lisa Whyte (until 1.28pm)

### Members:
Anna Atkinson, Uzra Casurri Balouch (*via electronic attendance*), Nicholas Mayne

### Apologies:
Brian Neeson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Workshop 5: Section A**  
*Confidential*

**Presenters:**
- Rita Bento-Allpress  
  Senior Advisor
- Mark Purdie  
  Lead Financial Advisor
- Senior Leaders  
  Customer & Community Services

- Discussion on asset-based services operational expenditure (ABS opex)

  Council staff from various departments were in attendance via Skype for Business to deliver an overview of the Emergency Budget and outline options to address potential budget reductions.

  The board will have an opportunity to provide formal feedback to the Emergency Budget at its business meeting on 9 July 2020.

| **Workshop 5: Section B**  

**Presenters:**
- Rita Bento-Allpress  
  Senior Advisor
- Mark Purdie  
  Lead Financial Advisor
- Integration Team  
  Various departments

- To provide feedback on the recommended prioritisation

  Council staff from various departments were in attendance to seek direction from board members on priorities for the locally driven initiatives operational budget (LDI opex) for 2020/2021.

  Once priorities are established, work programmes will be prepared for discussion with the Integration Team at a further workshop on 30 July 2020.

| **Workshop 5: Section C**

**Presenters:**
- Melissa Johnston  
  Work Programme Lead
- Kris Bird  
  Manager Area Operations
- Leigh Radovan  
  Senior Project Manager  
  – Kauri Dieback Team
- Rita Bento-Allpress  
  Senior Advisor

- To provide feedback on recommended capital expenditure (capex) work programme

  The Manager Area Operations and the Work Programme Lead from Community Facilities were in attendance, with the Senior Project Manager (Kauri Dieback Team) who attended via Skype for Business, to seek direction from board members on specific line items on the current work programme.

  The board’s feedback will be incorporated into work programmes for further discussion at a workshop on 30 July 2020.

The workshop concluded at 2.26pm
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. An opportunity is provided for members to update the Upper Harbour Local Board on projects and issues they have been involved with since the last meeting.

[Note: This is an information item and if the board wishes any action to be taken under this item, a written report must be provided for inclusion on the agenda.]

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

a) receive the verbal board members' reports.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cindy Lynch - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Eric Perry - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>