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1 **Welcome**

Kua ʻuru mai a hau kaha, a hau maia, a hau ora, a hau nui,
Ki runga, ki raro, ki roto, ki waho
Rire, rire hau…pai marire

Translation (non-literal) - Rama Ormsby
Let the winds bring us inspiration from beyond,
Invigorate us with determination and courage to achieve our aspirations for abundance and sustainability
Bring the calm, bring all things good, bring peace… good peace.

2 **Apologies**

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 **Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 24 June 2020 and the extraordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 8 July 2020, as a true and correct record.

5 **Leave of Absence**

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 **Acknowledgements**

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 **Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 **Deputations**

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Waiheke Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 **Deputation - Anne Bailey - Senior Housing Needs**

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. Anne Bailey would like the opportunity to update the board on the various activities in progress on housing for the elderly.
Executive summary

2. As a result of a meeting called in December 2019, a series of activities are underway looking at housing and support options for seniors including the following:

- A group looking at policy change in relation to housing and also land availability in Waiheke.
- A group focusing on In-Home Care Options for seniors.
- A resource booklet for seniors
- A group considering rest-home and hospital level care options.

Te tūtohunga

Recommendation

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) thank Anne Bailey for her attendance and presentation.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Councillor's Update
File No.: CP2020/09063

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide Councillor Pippa Coom with an opportunity to update the Waiheke Local Board on Governing Body issues.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive Waitemata and Gulf Ward Councillor, Pippa Coom’s update.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
<th>No.</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td>Councillor's Update July 2020</td>
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</tbody>
</table>
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Pippa Coom Councillor Report – Waitematā and Gulf Ward

General update

This is my Councillor report covering the period from 8 June – 7 July. It has been prepared for the July business meetings of the Aotearoa Great Barrier, Waiheke and Waitematā Board Local Boards.

The purpose of my report is to detail my main activities and to share information with the local boards in my ward regarding governing body decisions, my attendance at events and meetings, regional consultations, media updates and key issues.

Positions

- Deputy Chair, Environment and Climate Change Committee
- Co-Chair, Hauraki Gulf Forum
- Member, Auckland City Centre Advisory Board (ACCAB)
- Board Member, LGNZ National Council
- Member, Auckland Domain Committee

Summary

- As NZ moved to Alert Level 1 on 8 June a phased re-opening of council facilities was able to happen more quickly. Meetings are now all in person but with more flexibility to join by Skype.
- As of 6 July, water levels in Auckland’s nine water collection dams remain at a record low, sitting at 55.8 per cent. Water restrictions continue.
- From 30 June most of Auckland’s city centre moved to a speed limit of 30km/h
- Consultation on the Emergency Budget closed on 19 June. The budget has been my main focus as the Governing Body works towards the decision making meeting on 16 July.

Governing Body meetings – Key decisions

The minutes for all meetings are available on the Auckland Council website. The following is intended as a summary only.

On 9 June Governing Body held a confidential meeting to appoint the new CEO. The successful candidate has yet to be announced.

On 11 June the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee approved the initiation of a comprehensive review of the Regional Parks Management Plan 2010.

The committee also approved the proposed amendments to the Local Government Funding Agency legal documents and Foundation Policies.

On 25 June Governing Body received an update from Watercare on the water shortage and agreed to waive resource consent fees for residential rainwater tank installation. The committee also amended the standing orders to allow elected members to attend electronically if they prefer to, but without voting rights.

The governing body agreed to urgently contact central government to request an announcement on shovel ready project funding be made prior to our emergency budget decision making on July 16th.

On 2 July the Planning Committee approved several private plan changes in Drury East and Whenuapai.

The committee also approved the preparation of Spatial Land Use Frameworks for the Kumeu-Huapai and Wainui Silverdale Dairy Flat areas and established a Political Working Party to approve the draft frameworks for consultation.

Other key meetings and events

In the period 8 June to 7 July I attended:

- Event with the Mayor to mark the planting of native trees as part of CRL works along Albert Street. Eight trees were planted over the week, with a total of 23 trees (Totara, Golden Totara, Pohutukawa, Black Maire and Puriri) planned as part of CRL’s Contract 2 works (photo right with CRL CEO Sean Sweeney and the Mayor).
- Ports of Auckland Community Reference group meeting held via Zoom on 10 June
- Dawn blessing and opening by the PM of Commercial Bay on 11 June (photo below)
- Waitāmatā Local Board business meeting on 16 June and the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board meeting on 23 June
- CRL event on 23 June to mark the start of works on the underground Aotea Station
- ACCAB workshop on 23 June
- Grey Lynn Business Association networking event on 25 June at Malt bar
- Media briefing for the Safer Speeds rollout on 29 June
- A low key opening of the new high canopy primate habitat for orangutans and siamangs at Auckland Zoo
- KBA convened meeting to discuss Karangahape Road/Auckland Street Whanau.
- Sam Judd farewell from Sustainable coastlines on 3 July
- NZ Trio concert Origins at the Concert Chamber on 6 July (this was the first live performance at the Town Hall post lockdown)
- Piki Toi exhibition opening on 6 July at Merge Cafe

Other matters
Emergency Budget 2020/2021

Consultation on the Emergency Budget ended on 19 June (Attachment 1 Our Auckland, Waiheke must speak up on Emergency Budget says Councillor)

During the consultation period I participated in three online community webinars. A Have your Say event for regional stakeholders was held on 10 June.

A record 34,000 submissions were received through the three weeks consultation period.

The budget and consultation were in response to the financial impact of COVID-19. At the start of the consultation the forecast shortfall in revenue was of more than half a billion dollars over the next financial year.

Unfortunately, it is likely a further $224m needs to be found for Watercare measures to increase the supply of water in the face of the worst drought ever experienced in the city. This number is higher than the estimate provided in the draft emergency budget documentation and places further pressure on the council.
A series of workshops are underway to discuss the feedback and all elements of the budget leading up to the final decision on 16 July.

**Safe Speeds**

From 30 June most of Auckland’s city centre moved to a speed limit of 30 km/h (the current 10 km/h combined pedestrian and vehicle zones will remain). Speed limits on Hobson, Fanshawe and Nelson streets will be reduced to 40 km/h instead of 30 km/h.

This is a major milestone since Auckland became a Vision Zero region last year. Photo right at Auckland Transport’s media briefing to unveil the new signage with Cr Darby and Rodney Local Board member Louise Johnston. (Attachment 2: Opinion piece: *Together our streets can be safer* )

**Innovating Streets**

The temporary COVID-19 works installed in the northern end of Queen Street were planned to undergo some refinement over the week beginning 5 July. These improvements are based on feedback received from businesses and residents to make the purpose of the new spaces clearer for users and improve the overall appearance of Queen Street.

Later this month, the ‘Access for Everyone’ pilot for the Waihorotiu Queen Street Valley will begin through a co-design process, which will test new ways to lay out Queen Street prioritising space for pedestrians. Access for buses, emergency and service vehicles will be retained, while non-essential traffic will be discouraged. The pilot is funded from NZTA’s innovating streets fund and the City Centre Targeted rate. (Attachment 3: Our Auckland Access for Everyone Pilot to begin on Queen Street)

**Recommendation**

That this report be received.

**Attachments**
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Our Auckland: <em>Access for Everyone pilot to begin on Queen Street</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment 1

Waiheke must speak up on Emergency Budget says Councillor

Our Auckland Published: 15 June 2020

A forecasted $525 million dollar hole in Auckland Council’s budget for next year caused by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, means there will need to be a whole range of cuts to services, projects, environmental programmes, and grants funding that will have an impact on Waiheke says Waitematā and Gulf Councillor Pippa Coom.

Councillor Coom says Auckland Council is taking pro-active measures to address its unprecedented financial situation resulting from the COVID-19 crisis and has already found substantial savings, however additional cuts are inevitable.

“We’re already tracking towards $120 million dollars’ worth of savings for next year by severely cutting back numbers of temporary and contracted staff and we’re currently reviewing out operating model to make further staffing cuts.

“On top of that, the mayor, councillors, chief executives and CCO board chairs have also accepted pay reductions of 20 per cent.”
"In addition, staff and board members earning over $100,000 dollars per year, have taken voluntary pay cuts of up to 10 per cent.

"But we need to do more; and this is where we face tough decisions as we try to balance our budget, while still maintaining the services relied upon by communities across the region, including on Waiheke.

"On Waiheke, the council looks after 112 local parks, two regional parks, two sports parks, five community places, four cemeteries, 30 public toilets, 25 community leases, one arts and culture space, one animal shelter and one much-loved library.

"All of these spaces and places are important to the community and contribute to the well-being of Waiheke residents.

"The Emergency Budget consultation closes this Friday and I urge Waiheke residents to speak up and tell us what's important to you and your community.

"Do you support the previously agreed 3.5 per cent average general rates increase, or a lower increase of 2.5 per cent? The 2.5 per cent option would require greater cuts in spending with $10 million less investment in community assets and further cuts to the transport budget for projects to renew and make Waiheko's roads safer. Where do you think cuts are acceptable and what do you want to see prioritised?

"The more information we have about what's important to residents, the better able we are to make the right decisions for the island and for the whole Auckland region,” says Councillor Coom.

To have your say, visit akhaveyoursay.nz/emergency-budget

You can also provide feedback via social media using the hashtag #AKHaveYourSay, at your local library or service centre, by calling 09 301 0101 or by requesting a feedback form and returning it to the freepost address.

You have until 19 June to have your say.
Attachment 2

Access for Everyone pilot to begin on Queen Street

Our Auckland Published: 18 June 2020

The highly anticipated ‘Access for Everyone’ pilot for the Waihorotiu/Queen Street Valley will begin next month, signalling the start of pedestrian priority for the heart of Auckland.

Auckland Transport and Auckland Council will use a co-design process with Queen Street users and stakeholders to test low-cost ways to lay out the street that can be quickly adjusted, adapted, improved or removed through the process. This approach has successfully been used in High Street, where it won an award from Living Streets Aotearoa.

Access for Everyone will work towards the removal of non-essential car traffic from Queen Street. This prioritises pedestrians and frees up road space for public transport, deliveries, emergency services and for people with limited mobility.

Access for Everyone is the key concept in the City Centre Masterplan, the visionary plan to guide the city centre’s development for the next 20 years, which was endorsed by the Auckland Council Planning Committee in March this year.
Aucklanders were overwhelmingly supportive of Access for Everyone with 82 per cent of submitters endorsing the concept through the City Centre Masterplan consultation.

“We had originally planned to start the pilot later this year, but we’ve seized the opportunity to bring it forward due to the environment created by COVID-19 which required Auckland Transport to urgently install emergency measures in Queen Street for physical distancing.

“The emergency measures provide a layout for safer space. Now, the opportunity is to build on that and investigate how to make Queen Street more attractive and easier to use. The time is ripe to begin the transition of Queen Street to become a magnet for people.

“Close collaboration between Auckland Transport, Auckland Council and Waka Kotahi in a co-design process with stakeholders and users of Queen Street will show the way,” he says.

Waitematā and Gulf ward Councillor Pippa Coom says feedback is already being collected as part of the evaluation of the emergency physical distancing measures and will serve as a starting point for the discussion and co-design process.

“A key principle of Access for Everyone is the environment is co-designed with the community it is meant to serve. This process will involve a working group of key partners who represent the Queen Street community, as well as working closely with individual businesses, residents, property owners and street users,” says Cr Coom.

Commencing next month, the co-design process will adapt the existing Queen Street emergency measures, reflecting user needs and feedback. Being a pilot, it will use temporary materials and test a range of activities to help people better use the space. By focussing on people’s perceptions of Queen Street, it will work to find the best layout and promote Queen Street as being ‘open for business’.

Chair of the City Centre Residents Group, Noelene Buckland, says “As residents of the city centre, we support the City Centre Master Plan’s vision for cleaner air, safer streets and greater amenity in the city centre. We were pleased to be a part of the combined council, business and residents team that produced the fantastic outcome on High Street, and we look forward to the same close collaboration and co-design to achieve the outcomes we need on Queen Street.

In the coming weeks some further enhancements to the appearance of the emergency installations will be made based on initial feedback.

Funding for the Queen Street pilot is being sought from NZTA’s Innovating Streets contestable fund. This was established in September 2019 to support trials of temporary treatments to improve street environments and provide more space for people. Funding is also being provided by the City Centre Targeted Rate.
In early May research was undertaken into the attitudes of Aucklanders to pilot programmes such as Access for Everyone and Innovating Streets. Almost three in four (73 per cent) Aucklanders support this type of initiative and more than half of people surveyed believe that these initiatives are more relevant since the pandemic began. While COVID-19 remains a potential threat, there is a need to maintain our ability to react rapidly if physical distancing may once again be required.

Further surveys will be undertaken throughout the duration of the pilot, to gauge people’s perceptions and attitudes towards the improvements.
Attachment 3
Together we can make our streets safer

In uniting against Covid-19, Aucklanders stayed home, stayed safe and went out of their way to be kind. Local trips during lockdown for exercise and essential travel were on relatively quiet, stress-free roads. Low traffic volumes allowed Aucklanders to reclaim their neighbourhoods and gave many the confidence to take to walking and cycling.

We have an opportunity to embed this kindness into our collective culture; and extend it to our behaviour behind the wheel. The changes coming on 30 June 2020 will make for permanently safer streets for everyone and build on the enthusiasm for active transport.

On this day Auckland Transport will roll-out safe new speed limits around the region designed to stop people being killed or seriously injured on our roads. In the first phase, more than 600 self-explaining and high-risk roads will have new and safe speed limits.

Look what you’re missing!
From 30 June 2020, most of Auckland’s city centre will have a speed limit of 30km/h (the current 10km/h combined pedestrian and vehicle zones will remain). Speed limits on Hobson, Fanshawe and Nelson streets will be reduced to 40km/h instead of 30km/h. In addition, AT will implement engineering treatments on these arterials to protect vulnerable road users like people walking and cycling.

Slower speeds in the city centre will create a safer environment for everyone and complement the initiatives already underway to create a people focused city centre. Auckland is falling into line with international best practice and joining communities aspiring to a transport system where nobody dies if someone stuffs up.

Setting safe speeds is one the quickest and cost-effective ways to reduce deaths and serious injuries on our roads.

The work towards the roll out of lower speed limits began with the Auckland Council Planning Committee’s September 2018 resolution requesting Auckland Transport to accelerate the road safety and speed management programmes and seek input from partners to make Auckland a Vision Zero region. In September 2019 Auckland Transport’s board approved the Vision Zero strategy for the Auckland region.

This was a major milestone that I had worked towards with many other road safety advocates advocates including Living Streets Aotearoa, Bike Auckland, Brake NZ the road safety charity and NZ School Speeds. Sweden may have followed a fatally misguided response to Covid-19 but when it comes to a different kind of crisis Sweden’s Vision Zero road safety strategy, first introduced in 1995, has proved successful as a pathway towards eliminating road trauma. Vision Zero is an ethics-based approach that puts human life ahead of any other benefits and has now been adopted around the world.

Road crashes will happen but what we need to do is to make them “survivable” when people inevitably make mistakes. Survivable means that people involved in a crash should be able to walk away rather than be carried away by first responders.

No matter what causes a crash, speed is the undeniable factor in whether a crash is likely to occur and whether it kills or seriously injures those involved. Evidence also shows that for every additional kilometre of speed, the chances of getting involved in a fatal crash are at least four times greater.

The benefits extend beyond lives saved. Safer speeds are a pro-community and pro-business response. Lower speed limits have the potential to improve traffic flow, improve fuel efficiency, reduce pollution and noise. Fewer severe crashes mean less time delays and decreased business interruption.

Speed limit reductions are only one part of a package of measures that will make our neighbourhoods more liveable, equitable, healthy and safe. We need to
continue investment in road safety treatments, driver education that entrenches a “be kind” approach to everyone on the road and walking and cycling infrastructure to incentivise choosing active transport.

30 June is an important date for Auckland. It marks the next stage of our journey to making our roads and streets kinder and safer for everyone.

A version of this article appeared in the July Ponsonby News

Illustration by Emma McInnes courtesy of Bike Auckland
Minutes of the Waiheke Local Board meeting held Wednesday, 24 June 2020

File No.: CP2020/09064

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. The open unconfirmed minutes of the Waiheke Local Board ordinary meeting held on Wednesday 24 June and Waiheke Local Board extraordinary meeting held on Wednesday 8 July 2020 are attached at item 11 of the agenda for the information of the board only.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note that the open unconfirmed minutes of the Waiheke Local Board ordinary meeting held on Wednesday, 24 June and the Waiheke Local Board extraordinary meeting held on Wednesday 8 July 2020, are attached at item 12 of the agenda for the information of the board only and will be confirmed under item 4 of the agenda.
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</table>
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</table>
Minutes of a meeting of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend, Waiheke on Wednesday, 24 June 2020 at 5.18pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson
Cath Handley
Deputy Chairperson
Bob Upchurch
Members
Kylee Matthews
Robin Tucker
Paul Walden

APOLOGIES

Councillor
Pippa Coom
1 Welcome

Members opened the meeting with a karakia.

Kua uru mai a hau kaha, a hau maia, a hau ora, a hau nui,
Ki runga, ki raro, ki roto, ki waho
Rire, rire hau...pai marire

2 Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Declaration of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number WHK/2020/89
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 27 May 2020, as true and correct record, subject to the following change made to item 17, Auckland Transport Report - May 2020 for resolution e) to read as follows:

That the Waiheke Local Board:
e) acknowledge that the patronage measured on the Ostend/Wharf Road loop during its trial, is far below that which would be required for a public bus route and support Auckland Transport’s decision to remove this loop from the Waiheke bus network

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Abstained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairperson C Handley</td>
<td>Member K Matthews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Chairperson B</td>
<td>Member R Tucker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upchurch</td>
<td>Member P Waiden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion was declared LOST by 2 votes to 3. CARRIED

5 Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

6 Acknowledgements

There were no acknowledgements.

7 Petitions

There were no petitions.
8 Deputations

There were no deputations.

9 Public Forum

9.1 Public Forum - Hana Blackmore - Ostend Road/Wharf Road bus loop

A document was tabled for this item. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minute attachment.

Resolution number WHK/2020/90

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member K Matthews:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) thank Hana Blackmore for her attendance.

CARRIED

Attachments
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10 Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

11 Councillor's Update

Resolution number WHK/2020/91

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive Waitakere and Gulf Ward Councillor, Pippa Coom's update.

CARRIED

12 Minutes of the Waiheke Local Board meeting held Wednesday, 27 May 2020

Resolution number WHK/2020/92

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note that the open unconfirmed minutes of the Waiheke Local Board meeting held on Wednesday, 27 May 2020 are attached at item 12 of the agenda for the information of the board only and will be confirmed under item 4 of the agenda.

CARRIED

13 Waiheke Quick Response Grant, Round Two 2019/2020 grant allocations

Resolution number WHK/2020/93

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:
### Item 12

a) agree to fund, part-fund, or decline each application in Round Two of the Waiheke Quick Response Grants 2019/2020 listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
<th>Amount Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-201</td>
<td>The Auckland Table Tennis Association Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a table tennis table to be used at the Waiheke club.</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Round oversubscribed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-202</td>
<td>Waiheke Hope Centre</td>
<td>Towards the community soup kitchen service delivery costs.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-203</td>
<td>The Artworks Theatre Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the costs of producing &quot;Remote&quot; a &quot;Waiheke Youth Film Fest&quot; including</td>
<td>$2,080.00</td>
<td>$1,639.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-204</td>
<td>Waiheke Island Playcentre under the umbrella of Te Whanau Tupa Ngātahi o Aotearoa - Playcentre Aotearoa</td>
<td>Towards the wages of a part time cleaner for the early childhood centre.</td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-205</td>
<td>Waiheke Community Art Gallery Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards winter exhibitions’ costs including advertising, signs and installation.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-207</td>
<td>Living Without Violence (Waiheke Network) Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the costs of delivering the &quot;Family Community Day&quot; event on 26 September 2020.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-208</td>
<td>Livingwaters Church Assemblies of God.</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a freezer to be used by the local residents.</td>
<td>$1,999.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00 (Gifted by Ngati Paoa Trust Board)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR2018-209</td>
<td>Waiheke Adult Literacy Incorporated</td>
<td>Towards the costs of delivering the &quot;Get Back on Track Through Learning&quot; programmes</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14 Auckland Transport Report - June 2020

A document was tabled for this item. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minute attachment.

Resolution number WHK/2020/94

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive the Auckland Transport June 2020 update report.

Resolution number WHK/2020/95

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

b) support the tabled proposed interim layout plan for the return of unbooked taxis and small passenger service vehicles to the keyhole noting that this can be implemented once signage is in place and pending Auckland Transport amending parking restrictions under urgency as proposed.

c) request that Auckland Transport remove the northern side of the bus layover area near the Harbormasters and return this to car parking and report on the continued value of the southern side of the bus layover area, along with options for alternatives.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For
Chairperson C Handley
Member K Matthews
Member R Tucker
Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch

Against
Member P Walden

Abstained

The motion was declared CARRIED by 4 votes to 1.

Resolution number WHK/2020/96

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:
d) confirm that the vacant ex car rentals buildings behind the Harbormasters building are expected to be demolished by the end of July and that Auckland Transport will return this land to paid public parking immediately after works are completed.

e) confirm its expectation that its existing Local Transport Capital Fund accumulated to $2m over recent years and was formally allocated to support implementation of the Mātiatia Plan which is the board’s No.1 priority project and its One Local Initiative and that it be retained for this purpose.

f) request Auckland Transport to return the P30 parks in row 5 at Mātiatia to 24 hour car parks.

g) commend Richard La Ville for the in-depth report and Auckland Transport’s achievements during the period.

CARRIED

Attachments
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15 Māori Responsiveness Projects 2020

Resolution number WHK/2020/97

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Recommended amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piritahi marae</td>
<td>Whare kai upgrade</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piritahi marae - Hōnonga Roopu</td>
<td>Whāriki Aroha project</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke High School</td>
<td>Waiheke community of learning’s Matariki Āhuareka event</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member P Walden:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve the following funding as part of the 2019/2020 Māori Responsiveness work programme:

CARRIED

16 Draft Waiheke Local Board Plan

Resolution number WHK/2020/98

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Member K Matthews:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) adopt the draft Waiheke Local Board Plan 2020 in Attachment A and the statement of proposal in Attachment B for public consultation using the special consultative procedure.

b) delegate authority to the Chairperson and/or other nominated member(s) of the Waiheke Local Board to approve final changes to the draft Waiheke Local Board Plan 2020 and statement of proposal.
c) delegate authority to the Chairperson and/or other nominated member(s) to approve the type of engagement events to take place, the number of events and the dates of the engagement events.

d) delegate to the following elected members and staff the power and responsibility to hear from the public through ‘spoken’ (or New Zealand sign language) interaction, at the council’s public engagement events, during the consultation period for the local board plan:
   - local board members and chairperson
   - General Manager Local Board Services, Local Board Relationship Manager, Local Board Senior Advisor, Local Board Advisor, Local Board Engagement Advisor
   - any additional staff approved by the General Manager Local Board Services or the Group Chief Financial Officer.

e) approve to hold an extraordinary meeting of the local board, if required, at a suitable date and time during the weeks of 2 November to 13 November 2020 to adopt the Waiheke Local Board Plan 2020.

CARRIED

Note: Member Paul Walden left the meeting at 6.24pm and re-entered the meeting at 6.26pm

17 Support for Waiheke Community Swimming Pool

Resolution number WHK/2020/99

MOVED by Member P Walden, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) acknowledge the Waiheke Community Pool Society and the Te Huruhui School Board of Trustees for their collaboration and willingness to support this community project.

b) receive the 2019 Waiheke Island Community Pool Feasibility Study noting that at $10m plus the development costs of a new indoor heated swimming pool on Waiheke are likely to be unaffordable.

c) receive the results of the 2020 Waiheke community pool survey, noting that 88% of the 635 respondents support redevelopment of the Te Huruhui School pool to provide year-round, all day community access to a covered main and learners pool.

d) grant $213,000 from its Swimming Pool Development Fund to the Waiheke Community Pool Society to support community-led redevelopment of the existing Te Huruhui School swimming pool as a community pool at an indicative cost of $2m-$3m.

e) note that at the board’s 13 May 2020 business meeting it passed the following resolution restating the Governing Body approval for a $1m debt funded budget for the swimming pool project and noting that an approved business case will be required to enable this funding to be available to support the Waiheke community pool development.

   recommend that the Governing Body acknowledge the approval of $1 million (debt funded at the rate of $100,000 per annum from LDI operating expenditure budget) towards development of a community swimming pool, noting this was approved by the Governing Body in FY16, and this will be required in the next 12–18 months.
18 Local board feedback on Plan Change 22 and Plan Modification 12.

Resolution number WHK/2020/100

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member R Tucker:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the resolutions of the board dated 27 May 2020 as follows:
   That the Waiheke Local Board:
   a) support the Mana Whenua and Heritage New Zealand in their submissions with respect to Plan Change 22 and Plan modification 12.
   b) delegate Chairperson Cath Handley to represent local board views at a hearing on the plan change.

b) note that Rangihoua Reserve/Onetangi Sports Park (MHS 4 – Te Rangihoua – Te Putiki o Kahumatamo moe) is the primary recreational reserve on Waiheke Island.

c) note the board are undertaking a reserve management plan for Rangihoua Reserve/Onetangi Sports Park which will contemplate the activities that may require further consenting across the reserve.

CARRIED

19 Approval for five new road names at 306 Sea View Road, Ostend, Waiheke Island (Wawata Estate)

Resolution number WHK/2020/101

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member R Tucker:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) thank Ngāti Paoa and Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki for their generous gifts of names for use in the Wawata development.

b) approve these names as gifted as Taonga by Ngati Paoa Trust Board;
   a. Tamihana
   b. Tukere
   c. Tipa
   d. Hoete
   e. Puhata

CARRIED

20 Parks Strategic fund allocation

Resolution number WHK/2020/102

MOVED by Member P Walden, seconded by Member R Tucker:
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) allocate $1000 from the FY19/20 LDI Parks Strategic Fund budget for the STQRY subscription fee.

b) allocate up to $4000 to Cycle Action Waiheke from the FY19/20 LDI Parks Strategic Fund budget to deliver locally focussed programmes which will activate local cyclists.

c) delegate Board Member Matthews to work with staff and CAW to identify suitable events as proposed within the Cycle Action Waiheke draft plan (Attachment A).

CARRIED

21 Arts, Community and Events - venue partners interim service approach

Resolution number WHK/2020/103

MOVED by Member P Walden, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve interim payments be made to:

i) Artworks Theatre for $2,810 plus Consumer Price Index adjustment from the Asset Based Services budget.

ii) Waiheke Community Art Gallery for $2,810 plus Consumer Price Index adjustment from the Asset Based Services budget

CARRIED

22 Chairperson’s report

Resolution number WHK/2020/104

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch, seconded by Member R Tucker:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive the Chairperson, Cath Handley’s update.

b) request of the Minister an update on the PTOM review of Waiheke’s status.

c) subject to b) delegate the Chairperson and Board Member Tucker to request of the minister an urgent review of Waiheke’s status under PTOM on behalf of the community.

CARRIED

23 Addition to the 2019-2022 Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule

Resolution number WHK/2020/105

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) Approve the addition of 8 July 2020 to the 2019-2022 Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 timeframes as follows:

5.15pm, 8 July 2020, Waiheke Local Board office, 10 Belgium Street, Waiheke

CARRIED
List of Resource Consents Applications
Resolution number WHK/2020/106
MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) note the lists of resource consents lodged related to Waiheke Island from 8 May to 5 June 2020.
CARRIED

Waiheke Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar 2019 - 2022
Resolution number WHK/2020/107
MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:
That the Waiheke Local Board:
CARRIED

Waiheke Local Board Workshop Record of Proceedings
Resolution number WHK/2020/108
MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) note the record of proceedings for the local board workshops held on 22 May, 29 May, 03 June and 10 June 2020.
CARRIED

Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

Member Kylee Matthews closed the meeting with the following karakia.

Waioho i te toipoto kaua i te toiroa
Let us keep close together, not far apart

7.12 pm The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE WAIHEKE LOCAL BOARD HELD ON

DATE:...........................................................................................................
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Attachment B
DEAR LOCAL BOARD – IT IS REALLY SIMPLE:
GIVE US BACK OUR BUS – RESTORE THE 50A SERVICE DOWN OSTDEND AND WHARF ROADS

- NO ONE IS DISADVANTAGED BY GIVING US BACK OUR BUS
- EVERYONE ON THE ISLAND WILL HAVE A FREQUENT 30 MINUTE BUS SERVICE and THE NEW 15 MINUTE SERVICE BETWEEN MAIATIA AND ONETANGI WILL CONTINUE UNINTERRUPTED
- EVERYONE ON THE ISLAND WILL BE ABLE TO GET TO ANYWHERE ELSE ON THE ISLAND WITH ONLY ONE BUS CHANGE
- EVERYONE ON THE ISLAND WILL BE ABLE TO GET TO AND FROM THE FERRY FROM EARLY MORNING TO LATE NIGHT.
- EVERYONE ON THE ISLAND WILL HAVE FAIR AND EQUAL TREATMENT AND HAVE THEIR HUMAN RIGHTS UPHIELD - and therefore
- NO ONE WILL BE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BY BEING TREATED LESS FAVOURABLY THAN ANYONE ELSE ON THE ISLAND.

GIVING US BACK OUR 50A BUS IS:

- SIMPLE, PRAGMATIC AND VIABLE
- IT REQUIRES NO CHANGES TO TIMETABLES AND NO ADDITIONAL COST FOR AUCKLAND TRANSPORT (in fact savings on the current service)
- IT COULD BE IMPLEMENTED TOMORROW.
- IT DOES NOT REQUIRE AUCKLAND TRANSPORT TO SPEND ANY MORE MONEY ON SURVEYS, DATA COLLECTION OR CONSULTATION.

WE DETAILED ALL THIS AND OUR SIMPLE SOLUTION OF RESTORING THE 50A SERVICE TO OSTDEND AND WHARF ROAD IN OUR DECEMBER 2019 “COMMUNITY REPORT AND RESPONSE”.
THIS WAS PRESENTED TO THE AUCKLAND TRANSPORT BOARD TOGETHER WITH OUR 2,000 SIGNATURE PETITION OF SUPPORT ON DECEMBER 3RD 2019.
COPIES OF THE REPORT AND PETITION WERE PRESENTED TO THE WAIHEKE LOCAL BOARD THE FOLLOWING DAY ON DECEMBER 4TH 2019 WITH THE BOARD RECOMMENDING REVERTING TO THE ORIGINAL SUMMER TIMETABLE AND SERVICE.

THE “COMMUNITY REPORT AND RESPONSE” CAN BE REFERRED TO FOR BACK-UP DETAILS AND FURTHER SUGGESTIONS FOR ONGOING IMPROVEMENTS TO THE WAIHEKE ISLAND BUS NETWORK.

Hana and Matthew Blackmore  
24 June 2020
Item 12

Attachment B
JUNE 2020
MATIATIA KEYHOLE

PROPOSED LAYOUT

1. The layout in the keyhole won’t be reverting back to exactly the way things were – there will be a reduction in the number of vehicles able to be parked in the keyhole as there is no longer any vehicle parking on the inner side (marked T2 in the diagram below).
2. To accommodate buses and taxis it is proposed that taxis are allocated 23.5m at the front of the stand – this is exactly the same space they had prior to the trial.
3. A 20m bus stand is created behind the taxis.
4. The AT Metro buses will continue to occupy the bus stop (FM1) closest to the terminal.
5. The raised platform in the lower carpark would be retained for use by additional taxis in the event the keyhole is full.

There are still further options we can look at implementing to improve the layout – i.e. a marked pedestrian crossing, footpath extension, shelter improvement in the lower carpark, etc. – but these can be addressed while the taxis have the means to re-enter the keyhole.

It is worth noting though that allowing the taxis back into the keyhole will require some self-management by the taxi drivers.

![Diagram of the keyhole layout with marked changes and distances for the new layout.]}
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Minutes of an extraordinary meeting of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend, Waiheke on Wednesday, 8 July 2020 at 5.18pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson Cath Handley
Deputy Chairperson Bob Upchurch
Members Kylee Matthews
Robin Tucker
Paul Walden Via skype

ALSO PRESENT

Councillor Pippa Coom Entered the meeting at 5.38pm
1 Welcome

Members opened the meeting with a karakia.

Kua uru mai a hau kaha, a hau maia, a hau ora, a hau nui,
Ki runga, ki rarō, ki roto, ki waho
Rīre, rīre hau...pai marire

Translation (non-literal) - Rama Ormsby
Let the winds bring us inspiration from beyond,
Invigorate us with determination and courage to achieve our aspirations for abundance and sustainability
Bring the calm, bring all things good, bring peace... good peace.

2 Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Declaration of Interest

Member Kylee Matthews noted she had made an individual submission to the Emergency Budget consultation, but would keep open-minded as to the decision being made collectively, following consideration of the views of the community along with the views of the rest of the board.

4 Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

5 Acknowledgements

There were no acknowledgements.

6 Petitions

There were no petitions.

7 Deputations

There was no deputations as this is an extraordinary meeting as part of a Special Consultative Process.

8 Public Forum

There is no public forum as this is an extraordinary meeting as part of a Special Consultative Process.

9 Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

10 Waiheke Local Board input into the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (Covering report)

A report and feedback was tabled for this item. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and
is available on the Auckland Council website as a minute attachment.

Resolution number WHK/2020/89

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board

a) receive the Waiheke Local Board input into the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 report.

b) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Waiheke Local Board area.

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2020/90

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Member K Matthews:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

c) provide feedback on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021 as tabled.

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2020/91

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

d) delegate to the Chair minor updates to the board’s feedback and to reiterate prior local board Emergency Budget feedback at future Governing Body meetings.

CARRIED

Attachments

A 08 July 2020 - Waiheke Local Board Extraordinary Meeting - Emergency Budget report

B 08 July 2020 - Waiheke Local Board Extraordinary Meeting - Waikinga Local Board feedback on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021

Note: Member Kylie Matthews noted she had made an individual submission to the Emergency Budget consultation, but would keep open-minded as to the decision being made collectively, following consideration of the views of the community along with the views of the rest of the board.

11 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

Member Kylie Matthews closed the meeting with the following kōrero:

Waihī te toipoto kaua i te toiroa
Let us keep close together, not far apart.

6.29 pm The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE WAIHEKE LOCAL BOARD HELD ON
Delegate to the Chair minor updates to the board’s feedback and to reiterate prior board feedback on the Emergency Budget at future Governing Body meetings.
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Waiheke Local Board input into the Emergency Budget 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/09707

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek Waiheke Local Board feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopotanga matua
Executive summary


3. The Annual Budget 2020/2021 was first consulted on in February/March 2020 (Consultation part 1). Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position. This will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council has considered what those impacts are likely to be and have asked Aucklanders for their views on aspects of the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021, through a second round of consultation (Consultation part 2).

4. During the second round of consultation, Aucklanders were asked for their views on three key proposals:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   - rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   - suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

5. The council received feedback through telephone interviews, written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

6. This report summarises the public feedback received through Consultation part 2 on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

7. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

8. Out of the 34,915 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, 456 submissions were from people living in the Waiheke Local Board area.

9. Feedback on the general rates increase for 2020/2021 was balanced between 2.5% and 3.5%.

10. There was strong support for postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19 and for the suspension of the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Waiheke Local Board area.
Horopaki Context

11. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 (Consultation part 1).

12. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted considerable pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Given the new financial realities facing Auckland, work has been undertaken to adjust the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

13. The council has undertaken further public consultation with Aucklanders for their views on Auckland Council’s proposed ‘Emergency Budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (Consultation part 2) which included considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship. This was carried out from 29 May to 19 June 2020.

14. The Emergency Budget consultation asked Aucklanders for their view on three main proposals:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   - rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   - suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

15. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Waiheke Local Board area.

Local board input on regional plans

16. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in their local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Emergency Budget.

17. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements, which are adopted every year as part of the Annual Budget, and input into regional plans.

Types of feedback

18. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 34,915 submitters in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   - Written feedback – hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
   - Over the phone

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

19. The proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021 sets out priorities and how they will be paid for. The regional consultation on the proposed Emergency Budget focused on changes to rates and fees; the key proposals were:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
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- rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
- suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

20. The submissions received from the Waiheke Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

21. Sometimes the council receives submissions that have come via a platform created by an external organisation – these are referred to by the council as pro forma submissions. The council has received an unusually large number of pro forma submissions in this consultation process – a total of 9753. These have primarily come from two organisations – the Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance (6002) and Generation Zero (371).

22. When people submit via the council’s official consultation platform (either the hardcopy feedback form or the digital form), they are directed to the council’s consultation document and supporting information which are the statutory bases for the consultation process. People who submit via pro forma submissions often will not have had this same information presented to them when they submit, although each pro forma submission is different in its approach.

23. For example, the submission form set up by the Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance did not refer to the council’s consultation material and did not ask the same questions that were included on the council’s feedback form. Generation Zero’s submission form also did not ask the same questions as the council’s feedback form. However, Generation Zero did include links to the council’s consultation material in the information supporting their submission form.

24. As with all feedback, pro forma submissions must be given due consideration with an open mind, and it is up to elected members to determine the weight that is given to this feedback.

General rates increase for 2020/2021

25. Aucklanders were asked about a proposed general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021.

Question 1: We are procuring an average general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021. We looked at, but could not responsibly propose rates increase below 2.5 per cent because of the severe impacts that would have on council services, new infrastructure, our debt levels and employment and business activity in Auckland.

The scale of the financial challenge that we face for next year, with a revenue loss of over half a billion dollars due to COVID-19 means that spending on some council services will need to be reduced and many capital projects will be delayed even with the 3.5 per cent increase we had previously planned.

With a lower rate increase of 2.5 per cent, we would need to further reduce spending on council services and further delay investment in transport, parks and community and town centre projects.

Which increase do you support?

26. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waiheke Local Board area.
27. Support for the 2.5 per cent or the 3.5 per cent rates increase was balanced. Of those who selected the 3.5 per cent rates increase option, the most frequent comment was the need to maintain core services. Respondents stated that they did not want cuts or job losses in essential activities such as public transport, libraries, parks, pest control, environmental and climate change initiatives, arts and the Matatia redevelopment.

28. Several stated that council should support the Auckland and Waiheke economic recovery. There were large numbers of calls for salary cuts for council managers. A moderate number requested no asset sales. Eight respondents stated a willingness to pay a higher rates increase. Many stated that 3.5 per cent was reasonable due to the small difference between a 2.5 per cent and 3.5 per cent increase.

29. Of those who supported the 2.5 per cent rates increase, the most frequent comment was that the increase should not be more than 2.5 per cent as many people were struggling financially due to the COVID-19 crisis. The next most frequent response was that rates increases should be minimised by reducing management salaries. Several respondents stated that the city could live with some cutbacks to non-essential services or delayed projects to keep the rates increase lower. Other comments included the following, that: vanity projects should be cut, the council organisation needed to become more efficient, that rates are already too high, and increases should be kept to a minimum.

30. Of those in the “Don’t Know” category the largest response was that there should be no rates increase and that council managers should take a pay cut. Several stated that there should be no increase due to the COVID-19 crisis. Twelve people asked why there was not a more complete range options in the survey, such as a zero increase or other amounts less than 2.5 per cent. Other respondents stated that there needed to be full disclosure of council spending so a more informed response could be made, that there is too much waste in council and that since Waiheke Island had such limited council services, there should be no increase.

Rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19

31. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to introduce a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme.

Question 2: We are proposing a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme. This will allow ratepayers who are struggling financially as a result of COVID-19 to defer up to $20,000 of their rates for the 2020/2021 year. At the end of the postponement period, ratepayers would have to pay the balance (including interest and administration fees).

What do you think of our proposal?

32. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waiheke Local Board area.
33. There was strong support for the COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme. The majority of respondents who supported the proposal stated that the rates at present were unaffordable, and difficult to repay.

34. Those not in support of the scheme stated that it was unwarranted as the government had done enough to assist residents affected by the COVID-19 crisis and that postponement was delaying a cost which had to be paid at some point. These submitters stated that interest and administration fees should be charged.

Suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers

35. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to suspend the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

Question 3: Suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers Restrictions on travel and mass gatherings due to COVID-19 have resulted in us reducing our spending on visitor attraction and major events. We are proposing to suspend the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR) which helps fund these activities until 31 March 2021. The APTR will only be charged for the last three months of the next financial year (2020/2021) as we increase our spending in this area. This proposal will assist the accommodation sector who are struggling financially.

What do you think of our proposal?

36. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waiheke Local Board area.

37. There was strong support for the proposal to suspend the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers. The most frequent comment regarding the suspension of the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers was that it was fair and reasonable due to the downturn in visitor numbers and the financial uncertainty caused by the COVID-19 crisis. Several respondents stated that these funds were not required for the stated purpose, as it is not council’s role to promote tourism and big events.

38. Comments from those opposing the proposal stated that it is unfair that accommodation providers should get special treatment and that accommodation providers should continue paying the APTR since they were mostly second homeowners who could afford the tax. There were several comments that Airbnb providers were disadvantaging traditional accommodation providers and were often not paying their way.
39. However, most responses were from those who used the question as an opportunity to comment on the APTR itself. The most frequent response was that the targeted rate was unreasonable from the outset and should be scrapped as it is not a fair tax. There were several comments stating that APTR should be a simple bed tax paid by the guest, not the accommodation provider. If paid by the provider, some respondents stated that it should be income related. A number of respondents stated that it was not appropriate for Waiheke as there were no large hotels on the island, to whom the rate should be targeted and that the island did not require further tourism marketing. Those who saw benefit in the APTR stated that any funds collected should be spent locally and that it could have the benefit of disincentivising visitor accommodation in favour of long-term rentals for locals.

Other feedback

40. Aucklanders were asked if they had any feedback on any other issues including the in-principle decisions made from the first round of consultation.

41. The proposals that we previously consulted on, and that have been agreed in principle, subject to consideration of any further feedback received in the Emergency Budget consultation are:
   - Increase to the waste management base service targeted rate
   - Increase to the waste management standard refuse rate in former Auckland City and Manukau City areas
   - Discontinuation of the Waiheke rural sewerage service and targeted rate for ratepayers in the Upper Harbour Local Board area effective from 1 July 2021
   - Introduction of a new targeted rate for Central Park Henderson Business Improvement District.

42. The other feedback most frequently offered (20 comments or more) was the need for council to review and reduce staff headcount, number of consultants and salaries particularly of higher paid staff. There were many comments in this section about the need to balance the budget given council's reduced income and to need to preserve core services and staffing for roads, parks, water, waste, libraries and public toilets. There were also large numbers of comments from those advocating for the retention of important council activities such as environmental programmes, predator and weed control, Kauri Dieback Disease prevention, Hauraki Gulf restoration, footpaths, the local board, strategic broker, actions on becoming sustainable and carbon neutral, public transport, community gardens and the work of Waiheke Resources Trust. Some felt that this should be funded through borrowing rather than rates increases. Research and preparedness for climate change was another priority.

43. Comments which came in slightly less frequently (11 – 20 comments) included: opposition to spending on cycleways which respondents felt were not appropriate for Waiheke's narrow roads and opposition to council spending on major projects and events such as the downtown redevelopment and the America's Cup. Many supported increased funding for the Waiheke Community Art Gallery and arts/culture funding in general as important supports communities during the recent difficult times.

44. Less frequent comments (3-10 comments) include the following issues which are listed in priority order: that there should be no council assets sales, that council and the CCOs should be more financially accountable to the ratepayers, that more funding is required for cycleways and walkways (the opposite of the comments in the previous paragraph). Rates should not be increased but reduced or become income-related. There should be continued support for communities, community hubs and housing initiatives. Further comments in this section on discontinuing the APTR and council promotion of tourism on Waiheke.

45. In this category there were a number of comments on the wastefulness of council surveys, with this survey being an example of that by asking biased questions with insufficient options.
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Feedback on other local topics

46. Key themes across feedback received on other local topics include:

47. Theme 1: Thirteen submitters did not support reducing the Animal Shelter service.

48. Theme 2: There were calls to continue with the Matiatia redevelopment including increasing parking provision in the area.

49. Theme 3: There were six comments requesting council support the Goldcard free ferries for seniors.

Requests for local funding

50. Requests for local funding included:

Request 1: That funding for the council contracts with the Waiheke Resources Trust not be reduced or cut as the trust is doing essential ecological restoration work on the island.

Request 2: The funding for the Waiheke Community Art Gallery should be increased in line with other arts facilities in the Auckland region with equivalent numbers of visitors.

Information on submitters

51. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;14 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-24 years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54 years</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64 years</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years or over</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
52. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature.
53. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.
54. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.
55. As stated in paragraph 28 above, large numbers of respondents stated that they did not want cuts or job losses in essential services such as environmental and climate change initiatives, public transport, libraries, parks, pest control, arts and Matiatia redevelopment.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
56. The Emergency Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
57. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.
58. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Emergency Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Emergency Budget.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
59. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Emergency Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.
60. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.
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61. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the role/local board area.

62. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

63. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

64. Local board input will be considered by the Governing Body for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 decision-making.

Ngā rau tūpono me ngā whakamaturutanga
Risks and mitigations

65. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Emergency Budget by 10 July 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Emergency Budget on 16 July 2020.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

1. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting on 16 July 2020.
2. Local boards will approve their local board agreements between 20 to 24 July and corresponding work programmes in August.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

| Authors                  | Dileeka Senewiratne - Democracy Advisor Waiheke Local Board  
|                         | Mark Inglis - Local Board Advisor |
| Authorisers             | Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services  
|                         | Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards |
Waiheke Local Board Feedback to the Emergency Budget 2020/2021

Introduction and Background

The Waiheke Local Board thanks the Governing Body for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposals within the Emergency Budget and recognises the significant financial impact bought about by the COVID-19 pandemic, coupled with the drought affecting consumption revenue as well as unplanned capital.

The Waiheke Local Board reiterates its previous feedback that Waiheke’s economy, employment and environment have been hit hard by three key effects of COVID-19 – the nationwide suspension of business, the end of international tourism and the stop work on major environmental projects with consequential reversions of our pests and predators.

There were significant recurring themes in Waiheke residents’ and ratepayers’ feedback, regardless of their rates preferences. The board represents and supports their views. These were:

- Concerns to retain strong funding for environmental and ecological work programmes to avoid losing hard-won gains, and to mitigate climate change.
- Concerns about council’s perceived and observed cost structures, with an overwhelming message to cut one’s cloth internally rather than impact core community services.
- Concerns not to stop funding community/transport health and safety initiatives.

The board recognises the very difficult decisions that the governing body has had to take to date to agree a draft budget, and that the governing body will need to deliberate on further because of additional negative fiscal challenges since that draft was set. We te ao into your deliberations.

However, the Waiheke Local Board promoted an investment budget, not an austerity budget, given the opportunity council has to leverage its balance sheet over a period of 2-3 years, rather than returning to the policy settings within a one-year framework. The costs of doing that should be set out against the gains for the economy (and therefore the people) of the region. The intergenerational equity argument needs to take account of the high social and economic costs of household unemployment in the region, and council’s responsibility in times of adversity to play its role. We ask our governing body colleagues to revisit the settings on that decision.

The Waiheke Local Board sought early assurances that flood mitigation works would be retained in the budget as these have been in the planning with Healthy Waters since devastating repeated flooding in 2017, and for some subsequently in early 2018. In Waiheke’s case many households are under threat and are suffering stress at the prospect of future floods and in some cases subsidence from floods, and their health, safety and wellbeing depends on planned, but as yet not contracted, projects. We seek an assurance that Healthy Waters regional flood mitigation projects will be given precedence over other capital works.
The board encourages the governing body to take this opportunity to **exit services** if there is direct government provision, or adequate private or not for profit market provision, such as early childhood education, holiday parks, gyms and CCOs e.g. COMET.

The Board urges the governing body to consider the **unintended consequences of deferring inorganic collections** for a year, especially where those collections are sources of recycling used materials into the local communities’ second-hand goods markets. Many community groups and trusts rely on the employment and income from those goods. Moreover, the goods serve the needs of the community. In times of hardship the market for materials from the inorganic collections will escalate with social need. This is one vital supply chain that should not be compromised in our view.

The board’s feedback on specific consultation items is contained below. The board wishes our councillors and our Mayor the very best in this difficult endeavour.

### 1. Consultation questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal in emergency budget</th>
<th>Page reference</th>
<th>Local board feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General rates increase preference (3.5%, 2.5% or other)</td>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board supports a 3.5% rates increase. The board is aware that this may not be a popular decision during difficult economic times. However, the information provided to the board shows that the consequences of a rates increase below 3.5% would significantly impact critical local services and impact community wellbeing. Specific impacts of a 2.5% scenario on Waiheke will include impacts from unemployment due to deferral of programmes, further environmental degradation, the inability to respond to any Transport capital fund projects, reduced maintenance and services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of Rates Postponement Scheme (support, don’t support, other)</td>
<td>61-68</td>
<td>The board supports the introduction of the Rates Postponement Scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>note Draft Rates Remission and Postponement Policy</td>
<td>69-77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspending APTR (support, don’t support, other)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board supports the suspension of the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Capital investment proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal in emergency budget</th>
<th>Page reference</th>
<th>Local board feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Overall reduction of $700m</td>
<td>26-32</td>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board does not support the pausing of new walking and cycling infrastructure. Provision of active transport is essential to ease congestion and support climate change response actions. The board opposes the reduction of the Community Safety Fund. This fund was developed due to an identified need and deferral or cancellation will result in unacceptable consequences. Projects that support the health and safety of Aucklanders should not be reduced while other nice to have capital projects proceed. The Local Board opposes the cutting of the Local Board Transport capital fund from $20 million to $5 million. Many of these projects have been subject to significant advocacy, planning and engagement for a number of years. The board has been accumulating their LBTCF for five years at the expense of other local priorities to focus on their DUI and it is absolutely critical this is not reduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- L6 transport capital fund (LBTCF) reduced to $5m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community safety fund—projects to be paused or cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pausing/deferring walking and cycling projects not in construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- deferral of all LBTCF-funded local programmes—no delivery next year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much of Waiheke’s infrastructure is well below regional quality standards so needs are high. In addition, delivery of transport infrastructure on Waiheke is significantly more expensive than the rest of Auckland. The LBTFP is less than adequate at current levels to achieve meaningful investment and can't be further compromised.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferral of large range of stormwater initiatives</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke’s flood mitigation projects, particularly Moa Ave, Wilma Ave and Onetangi projects relate directly to historic residential flooding and damage, and health and wellbeing e.g. to house foundations. The local board requests these be prioritised ahead of any other capital projects Auckland-wide. The Waiheke Local Board does not support the deferral of stormwater improvement or flood mitigation projects where this will lead to detrimental impacts for marine water quality or where it is necessary to prevent damage to private or public infrastructure. Many of these projects have been subject to significant advocacy, planning and engagement for a number of years and climate change impacts increase the necessity for these works.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay to planned Natural Environment Targeted Rate work including 35% of planned track upgrades</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board does not support reprioritisation of projects funded by a targeted rate as the funds should be used for the purposes consulted on and approved. Responses from Waiheke to the Have your Say survey were overwhelmingly against cutting any environmental programmes.</td>
<td>24-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community investment – a reduction of $162m. Examples of major impacts include:</td>
<td>24-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board acknowledges that there will need to be a level of reduction in community investment and services.</td>
<td>24-25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Capital budget below previous levels of delivery (27% less than last year)
- 65% reduction in land acquisition meaning only land acquisitions already signed and settling next year could be purchased
- A delay to all One Local Initiatives and to the growth/development programme unless the works are already contractually committed
- A minimum reduction of 80-90% of planned 2020/2021 renewals for buildings, playgrounds and open space
- Referral of all unallocated locally-driven initiatives (LDI) capex and uncommitted LDI projects (noting trade-offs with renewals may be available – discuss this in local board workshops)
- 28% reduction in library budgets
- 70% reduction in public art spend meaning most projects will be delayed to 2021/2022 unless committed

Any impacts due to budget cuts will need to be articulated clearly to the community.

The board accepts that renewals and maintenance of current assets needs to be prioritised over the provision of new assets. The board is also supportive of staff efforts to allow trade-offs to be made by local boards between locally driven capital budgets and renewal budgets as long as the overall budget envelope is maintained.

The Waiheke Local board strongly urges the Governing Body to maintain the investment in One Local Initiatives. The board sees One Local Initiatives as a good faith contract between the two arms of governance struck a number of years ago, and ask that the Governing Body continue to honour its undertaking and accommodate the OLI programme within its budgets.

These projects have been subject to significant research and assessment, public engagement and advocacy to date, and will significantly impact on local communities.

Waiheke’s OLI, the redevelopment of Matiatia, has been decades in the making and is in the final stages of the second stage NZTA business case. It is one of two cornerstones of the governance pilot.

The second is our contribution to a community swimming pool on school grounds, in partnership with the schools and our local pool society, which is due to be activated in collaboration with the redevelopment by the end of the new 1st Hurhi Primary School. Further funding has been budgeted for this financial year. The board moved last month to “recommend that the Governing Body acknowledge the approval of $3 million (debt funded at the rate of $100,000 per annum from LDI operating expenditure budget) towards development of a community swimming pool, noting this was approved by the Governing Body in FY16, and this will be required in the next 12-18 months.”
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panuku</th>
<th>$/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>revise $130m to $100m capital programme (for specific project locations, details will be discussed between affected local boards and Panuku)</td>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board does not wish to comment on specific deferrals of Panuku’s work as these are located in other local board areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Opex: Proposed measures to achieve operational savings**

Savings require (temporary) service level reductions. Information about service level reductions will be provided in local board workshops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal in emergency budget</th>
<th>Page reference</th>
<th>Local board feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| LDI reductions | 45 | The Waiheke Local Board supports a reduction of 10% to locally driven initiatives operational funding but would not support further reductions under the 2.5% rates scenario.  
A high proportion of our discretionary budgets go into funding local community groups or local projects that are delivered by local people.  
The impact on Waiheke’s local businesses from the loss of international tourist will be dramatic and our focus will be on supporting and rebuilding our local economy including supporting community and environmental programmes.  
It will be necessary to transition a portion of our local workforce from the hospitality industry to environmental initiatives and other parts of our developing economy, and minimising spend will directly affect local employment.  
In addition, as Waiheke’s budgets are significantly smaller than other boards, a 20% saving would have a disproportionate affect relative to other local boards. |
| • 10% under a 3.5% rates increase scenario |  |  |
| |  |  |
| Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario) |  |  |
| • 20% under a 2.5% rates increase scenario |  |  |

Note: flexibility will be provided for local boards to identify some reductions in AHS spending if that is preferred to finding all savings from LDI funding.
### Infrastructure and Environmental Services
- reduction in preventative maintenance and pest eradication
- Grants: stop Water Protection Fund grants for a year, reduce regional Natural Environment Heritage Grant reduction by 34%
- reduced Kauri dieback compliance monitoring

Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)
- suspend inorganic waste collection until 1 July 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39, 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board strongly opposes a reduction in preventative maintenance and pest eradication, and reduced Kauri dieback compliance monitoring.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There has been significant budget, resource and community support for environmental programmes such as water quality, ecological restoration, predator and weed management, Hauraki Gulf restoration, and carbon neutral initiatives. The board does not support the proposal to delay for a year the rat eradication component of the overall Te Koro o Waiheke project, or any reduction in large pest reduction programmes and Kauri dieback research. These programmes can’t be stopped or deferred otherwise we will lose ground and go backwards. Environmental programmes also provide essential local jobs. The Waiheke Local Board does not support reducing waste management services including inorganic waste collection. This will inevitably lead to pollution of our roads, town centres, communities, and waterways through illegal dumping or loose litter, which will cost more to clean up in the long run. It will limit access to second-hand goods in communities hard hit by unemployment and straitened finances.

### Customer and Community Services
- facility opening hours review - direct facility costs savings achievable by reducing opening hours

Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)
- Permanently close and vacate a proportion of under-utilised community facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The local board considers all council core services on Waiheke to be essential such as infrastructure maintenance; environment services; library and service centre services; waste management; civil defence. They all play a vital role in keeping our community’s social and economic wellbeing healthy and stable and are particularly important given Waiheke’s role as a visitor destination. Waiheke residents have no alternatives if services are reduced as have no common boundaries with other local board areas to utilise complementary services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perks, Sports and Reserves</th>
<th>Local boards should be able to manage service levels in local communities based on needs and trade-offs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Gyms move to in-house developed fitness programme, replacing leasing licence costs</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund deferral of unallocated grant funding ($3m savings)</td>
<td>13, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduced maintenance spend — reduced open space standards, closing public toilets, removing litter bins, public spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory services</th>
<th>The Waiheke Local Board does not support the proposal to close the Waiheke animal shelter until such time that the board is satisfied that alternative on-island facilities/services and arrangements can be contracted. Under the governance pilot the local board should have decision-making over the facility and future use.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Animal shelter consolidation</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arts, Community and Events (ACE)</th>
<th>The Waiheke Local Board strongly supports the continued investment in community events, arts services and support to community groups. These services make communities vibrant and liveable and will be more important as we recover from COVID. The board notes there is a current inequity of regional funding for asset-based services such as the Waiheke Art gallery (which hosts 75,000 visitors per annum) and this needs to be taken into consideration. The board itself is obliged to subsidise this</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Cancellation or scope change of some regional events (Matariki and SUSO changed delivery, Music in Perks and Movies in Perks, Waitangi ki Mārunui and heritage festival reduced, citizenship ceremonies digital delivery to and December</td>
<td>40-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduction in Arts and culture programmes delivered through community centres and arts facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
operation through an operational grant and ad hoc community grants.

The board also believes the cost of arts, culture and events services can be substantially reduced through direct delivery by the community. The board understands that not all communities have the capacity to deliver these services. The Waiheke Local Board supports investment in community development and capacity building to significantly accelerate the council operating model to shift from council led delivery to community led delivery in the short term.

libraries
- Reduction in library programmes across the region based on experience of low attendance (poor value for money) – to be discussed in local board workshops

41
The Waiheke Local Board does not support reducing the levels of service for the library. Waiheke residents have no alternatives if services are reduced as they have no common boundaries with other local board areas. The library is the cornerstone service of our local community and in times of high unemployment the value of the facility is enhanced. This is the only family-oriented community facility on Waiheke that is free of charge and it is seen as the community hub and it provides an invaluable wifi and internet resource for those who can’t afford their own access.

transport network
- Reduction in public transport service levels – optimizing timetables on lower patronized routes at the periphery of network, use of on-demand services where possible, no new public transport services
- Income from increased traffic enforcement

Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)
- Review differentiated fare structure, remove some concessions (potential 4m)

43
The board supports the introduction of park and ride charges for Auckland which are consistent with the park and ride charges at Mātaatua.

Our ratepayers fund transport to the same level that all Auckland ratepayers do, in addition to paying a wharf tax of 5ic each way on every trip. Additionally, we have paid for park and ride for many years, and in the past twelve months extended that significantly to ease congestion. We don’t have fully integrated or free child
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- Consider locations where Park and ride charging can be implemented (potential $0.9m)

*Further savings (under low-nil % scenario)*
- Maintenance reductions

---

**Other**
- Planning and procurement savings (Panuka)
- Major events funding reduction
- Elected member remuneration reduction

*Additional savings (under 2.5% scenario)*
- Reduction in ATEED economic recovery budget
- Defefer Mayoral proposal on Living Wage
- Not continue Mayoral proposal for Homelessness coordination
- Deferral of foundation work for climate change interventions
- Deferr initiatives intended to improve Maori outcomes

---

The board generally supports the proposed savings under the 3.5% rates scenario however does not support a reduction in elected member remuneration as it is relatively low given the work elected members undertake and are responsible for.

The board opposes the proposed savings under the 2.5% scenario.

The Waitakere residents/ratepayer's feedback was overwhelmingly opposed to reductions in climate change funding, at a time when it is critical for timely intervention and planning.

The board supports 3.5% rates increase in part because it opposes the initiatives for additional savings under the proposed 2.5% savings.

ATEED's contribution right now is vital for Auckland's economic recovery and specifically to regenerate Waitakere's economy.
### 4. Other revenue generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal in emergency budget</th>
<th>Page reference</th>
<th>Local board feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penuku  - Potential to increase target from asset recycling - 3 asset recycling opportunities identified, potential to raise 200-350m (current target is 24m)</td>
<td>48-54</td>
<td>The Waiheke Local Board believes consideration of asset sales needs to be prudent and cautious. The issue the board has with the current asset sale process is that it is led by Penuku which has an asset sale target and very little incentive to listen to boards who may have alternate uses in mind. The board wishes to retain the property listed for Waiheke (17 Waitai Road) as this has been identified as a possible community housing site in collaboration with mana whenua.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerate sales of property (page 49,51-54)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It is noted that achieving financial target within this timeframe will be challenging, requiring a clear political mandate and different approach to approval.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Refer list on page 51-54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider ongoing investment in non-core commercial assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- potential to realise 50-100m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- shares in Auckland International Airport (AIAL) and Ports of Auckland (POAL) - potential for partial or full sell down, noting that any disposal of shares will require an LTP amendment and consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further optimization (page 50,53) - the following opportunities have been identified:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Services that the council could exit as there is adequate private market provision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Gyms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Holiday parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Early childhood education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The board supports exiting and/or leasing premises and services if there is direct government provision, or adequate private or not for profit market provision, such as early childhood education, holiday parks, gyms and CCO’s e.g. COMET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The board would not support selling AIAL or POAL shares.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In regard to further optimisation, local boards should be able to manage facility use in local communities based on needs and trade-offs, as recognised within the governance pilot.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- consider reducing the scale of investment in certain activities e.g. provision of golf courses
- Optimisation/rationalisation of community facilities, includes:
  d. consolidation into community hubs
  e. land which is part of a community facility but is not currently used or needed to provide council services
  f. low use, poorly positioned assets (buildings and/or land)
  g. alternative service delivery model which includes a non-asset owning response to achieving outcomes
  h. sale of assets to community groups
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To update elected members on the planned introduction of green waste charges at the Waiheke Community Resource Recovery Park.

2. To seek feedback from elected members on:
   - how to minimise the impact to customers from the introduction of green waste charges
   - the proposed permanent removal of the throw and go bins at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia.

Whakarāpopototangatanga matua

Executive summary

3. The Waiheke Community Resource Recovery Park (CRRP) does not currently charge residential and commercial customers for green waste disposal. However green waste disposal charges apply to customers across the rest of the Auckland region.

4. The Tīkapa Moana Hauraki Gulf and Islands Waste Plan 2018 (Tīkapa Moana Plan) was developed following consultation with the local community, mana whenua, and the Waiheke Local Board. This plan outlines goals of zero waste for Waiheke. The plan specifies goals for behavioural change including increasing home and community composting and exploring options and supporting local enterprise opportunities around organic material, specifically how to continue a viable organic waste service. Introducing a charge for green waste drop-off is identified as a key action for this goal. Council staff have delegated authority from Governing Body to make decisions on waste services in the Auckland region.

5. Staff plan to introduce green waste disposal charges at the Waiheke CRRP to align with the Tīkapa Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Waste Plan and the Auckland-wide Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018. This will also ensure consistency with the rest of Auckland, which pays for green waste disposal.

6. Staff initially planned to introduce green waste disposal charges in August 2019, however delayed the introduction of these charges until mid-2020. The introduction of charges was further delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic disruptions.

7. A new waste contract for Waiheke commenced on 1 July 2020. This contract was priced according to the implementation of a green waste charge. The Waiheke Local Board were consulted on this contract and resolved to support the awarding of this contract to the present contractors (WHK/2019/1).

Throw and go bins at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia

8. The refuse and recycling drop-off (throw and go) bins at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia (see map of locations in Attachment A) were temporarily closed throughout COVID-19 Alert Levels 1 and 2, 3, and 4. This was due to health and safety concerns regarding frequent touch-points.

9. The bins are frequently misused for illegal disposal of construction and demolition waste, or inorganic waste such as furniture and whiteware. Their temporary closure offers an opportunity to re-assess their existence.

10. As the bins are frequently misused and create a cost for ratepayers, staff recommend the permanent closure of the Kennedy Point and Mātiatia throw and go bins and are seeking feedback from the local board ahead of any actions.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the introduction of green waste charges at the Waiheke Community Resource Recovery Park

b) provide feedback to staff on how to minimise the impact of the proposed changes on the community

c) provide feedback on the proposed permanent closure of the Kennedy Point and Mātiatia throw and go bins.

Horopaki
Context

Green waste disposal charges

11. In 2018, staff undertook consultation with residents, mana whenua, community groups, and the Waiheke Local Board to inform the local Tīkapa Moana Hauraki Gulf and Islands Waste Plan 2018 (Tīkapa Moana Plan) and the Auckland-wide Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018.

12. The resulting Tīkapa Moana Plan identifies an aim for Waiheke to reduce its reliance on regional rates funding for handling, transportation, and disposal of waste on Waiheke. It also highlights an aim from the community to work towards zero-waste on Waiheke. A key action identified to achieve these goals is for the council to introduce a charge for green waste on Waiheke. There is currently no charge for green waste disposal on Waiheke. Introducing a charge will align Waiheke with the rest of the Auckland region. Council staff have delegated authority from Governing Body to make decisions on waste services in the Auckland region.

13. In April 2019, the new Waiheke waste and resource recovery services contract went out to tender, with a contract commencement date of 1 July 2020. Staff consulted the Waiheke Local Board on the proposed supplier at a confidential workshop on 8 August 2019. At the board’s 29 August 2019 confidential business meeting, the board endorsed the recommended supplier, AIM Services (WHK/2019/2). The new AIM Services total contract value and council budget is based on the realisation of income from disposal fees, including green waste disposal.

14. Charges for green waste disposal were initially intended to be implemented in August 2019. However the introduction was delayed until 2020. It has been further delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Throw and go bins at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia

15. Refuse and recycling drop-off (throw and go) bins are located at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia. A map of the locations is provided in Attachment A. These bins were installed prior to the 2010 Super City amalgamation and are intended for use by visitors to Waiheke to dispose of household refuse and recycling prior to leaving on passenger or car ferries.

16. The throw and go bins are sectioned into two sides, one for disposal of rubbish in pre-paid rubbish bags, and the other for the disposal of clean household recycling.

17. Both bins were temporarily removed during all COVID-19 alert levels due to health and safety concerns for the public and contractors. In addition, they were not considered an essential service, as alternative disposal options were available to residents which better complied with the health and safety requirements.

18. Waste Solutions conducted a review of the ongoing use of the throw and go bins between April 2019 and March 2020. This review found frequent misuse of the bins for the illegal disposal of items such as construction and demolition waste and inorganic waste including unwanted
furniture and whiteware. In addition, refuse deposited in the bins was often in not the intended pre-paid bags. This represents a cost to ratepayers and staff recommend that the existence of the bins is looked at.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Options considered for green waste disposal on Waiheke**

19. Staff have identified the following options for green waste disposal on Waiheke:

- Option one: no charge for green waste disposal
- Option two: introduce a user-pays charge for green waste disposal
- Option three: no garden waste disposal service at Waiheke CRRP

20. Each option has been assessed against nine criteria to help determine the preferred approach for implementing a charge for green waste disposal at the Waiheke CRRP.

21. Options assessment is shown in Table 1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option one: No charge for green waste disposal</th>
<th>Option two: Introduce a user-pays charge for green waste disposal</th>
<th>Option three: No garden waste disposal service at Waiheke CRRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Align with the Tikapa Moana Plan and Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs are consistent for all ratepayers across the Auckland region</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on rates funding for waste services is reduced</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of processing and disposal is incurred by waste producers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive for waste minimisation and re-use</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk of illegal dumping</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free disposal of pest plants at specified times during the year</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourages diversion of green waste from landfill</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs included in current Waiheke waste contract</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**

No ✗ Yes ✓
22. Following from the options analysis, staff plan to progress with option two – to introduce a charge for green waste disposal. This option is aligned with key goals for waste management and minimisation in the Auckland region and on Waiheke including incentivising waste minimisation, re-use, and re-cycling and a user-pays model where waste producers incur the cost of processing and disposing of waste. This also aligns with the local and regional plans for waste and aligns with processes for green waste disposal across the Auckland region.

23. Option two does incur risks of illegal dumping and disincentivising residents from pest plant removal as they will be responsible for the cost of the disposal, however pest plant amnesties with free disposal will be established. The mitigations of these risks will be discussed in the appropriate section of this report.

24. Staff do not recommend option three – for Auckland Council to not provide a green waste disposal service. This option does not align with the Tikapa Moana plan goal to divert organic waste from landfill. In addition, a private service provider would define the charge rate which may not be comparable to Council facilities or other community recycling centres across Auckland. Under option two, charges to customers will be comparable across the Auckland region.

25. Staff do not recommend option one – no charge for green waste disposal. This option does not align with key waste management and minimisation goals outlined in the Tikapa Moana Plan. These goals are reducing reliance on rates funding for waste disposal, shifting to a user-pays model so that the cost of processing and disposal is incurred by all waste producers, and incentivising waste minimisation and re-use. Currently there are no charges for green waste disposal and ratepayers are subsidising, through their rates, for businesses to dispose of commercial volumes of green waste for free. In addition, the expected revenue from green waste disposal charges is factored into the budget for the waste services contract on Waiheke which commenced on 1 July 2020.

**Options for the throw and go bins at Kennedy Point and Mātiatia**

26. Staff have identified the following options for the throw and go bins on Waiheke:

- Option one: retain two permanent throw and go bins year-round
- Option two: permanent removal of the throw and go bins
- Option three: provide the throw and go bins in peak tourist season only

27. Each option has been assessed against four criteria to help determine the preferred approach for the changes to Kennedy Point and Mātiatia throw and go bins.

28. Options assessment is shown in Table 2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Mātiatia</th>
<th>Kennedy Point</th>
<th>Mātiatia</th>
<th>Kennedy Point</th>
<th>Mātiatia</th>
<th>Kennedy Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aligns with the Tikapa Moana Plan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of processing and disposal is incurred by waste producers</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. Following from the options analysis, staff recommend option two for both the Kennedy Point and Mātiatia throw and go bin – permanent removal of the throw and go bins. Removing the bin will align with the Tikapa Moana Plan goal to ensure that waste producers take responsibility for their waste and to promote Waiheke as a zero-waste community. The Kennedy Point ferry terminal services the car ferry to Waiheke, and therefore staff assume that visitors using this ferry have capacity to drive to the CRRP to deposit their refuse and recycling or take their waste off-island.

30. The Kennedy Point bin also has access issues when the car park is busy. This impacts the contractor’s ability to safely and effectively service the bins. This has both cost and environmental implications for the operator, the council, and the community through illegal dumping, litter, and the attraction of vermin.

31. The Mātiatia ferry terminal services the passenger-only ferry, and therefore staff assume that day visitors using this ferry have low volumes of refuse and recycling and will be encouraged to take their waste off-island. Visitors can use the refuse and recycling bins at the ferry terminal. Visitors staying in accommodation will have access to refuse and recycling kerbside services. Therefore staff recommend option two for this bin also.

32. No illegal dumping instances have been observed since the bins were removed during COVID-19 Alert Levels 4, 3, 2 and Level 1. Staff will continue to monitor these sites for illegal dumping. A security camera can be installed to identify any potential dumpers.

33. Staff do not recommend option one as retaining the throw and go bins permanently does not align with the Tikapa Moana Plan. The plan details goals for the producers of waste to incur the cost of processing and disposing of their waste. An assessment of the throw and go bins showed that refuse was frequently deposited without the intended paid bags which constitutes illegal dumping. Illegal dumping is an ongoing issue in the area. Staff plan to mitigate this risk through monitoring and surveillance.

34. Retaining both throw and go bins permanently will incur an estimated cost of $40,000 per annum.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

35. The Auckland Greenhouse Gas Inventory to 2016 shows that waste currently contributes 3.1 per cent of the total emissions profile for the region, 98.1 per cent of which were from solid waste sources.

36. Impact of green waste being placed in refuse bins and disposed of to landfill could potentially contribute to an increase in greenhouse emissions. This will be mitigated by encouraging residents to home compost and visit the CRRP for green waste disposal through a communications plan outlining resident’s responsibilities and highlighting the benefits of composting green waste either at home or at Resource Recovery Park.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

37. Community Facilities' work clearing public litter bins could be slightly impacted during the removal of the throw and go bins, however staff do not expect this impact to be significant or ongoing. The bins are currently closed, and no concerns have been raised to Waste Solutions regarding any increase in litter in the bins.

38. Environmental Services’ biosecurity work may be impacted by the introduction of green waste charges. Environmental Services have been consulted on this change and have not raised significant concerns. Waste Solutions staff will continue to work with Environmental Services to ensure that all impacts are appropriately mitigated.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

Local board views

39. Staff undertook consultation with community, mana whenua, and the Waiheke Local Board during the development of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 and the Tīkapa Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Waste Plan 2018. The final plan reflects the feedback from these stakeholders.

40. Staff consulted the Waiheke Local Board regarding the introduction of the green waste charges and the removal of the throw and go bins at workshops on 8 August 2019, and 11 March 2020.

41. At the 2019 workshop the local board requested that the introduction of green waste charges be deferred until following the local government elections in October 2019. Staff agreed to this deferral. At the workshop in March 2020 local board members raised concerns about the impact of green waste charges on the community. Specifically, the board raised concerns about illegal dumping and the disincentivising community removal of noxious weeds. Staff acknowledge these concerns and request feedback from the local board on how to mitigate the impact of these charges on the community.

42. At the March 2020 workshop the local board raised concerns about removing the throw and go bins as some commuter residents use these bins to dispose of waste if they are not on Waiheke when their kerbside waste removal occurs. Staff note that residents can still dispose of waste on weekends at the Waiheke CRRP.

Local impact on community and businesses

Green waste charging

43. The introduction of a charge for green waste disposal may discourage low income households and small businesses from properly disposing of their waste. This will be addressed through variable charge prices. Small amounts of green waste will be charged from $5 per 60-litre bag, increasing to $15 per car boot load, $30 per trailer or ute load and $180 per tonne. Staff expect that this pricing structure will ensure that businesses who dispose of large amounts of green waste will be most affected by these charges. This is consistent with a user pays model.

Removing throw and go bins

44. The throw and go bins were intended for use by Waiheke visitors to dispose of household refuse and recycling before leaving on passenger or car ferries. Removing the bins may have an impact on Waiheke residents who have used the bins for their refuse and recycling. These residents have the option to use their kerbside collection service or to visit the CRRP for disposal of refuse and recycling.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

45. The Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 emphasises an integrated life cycle approach to the management of natural resources. The goal of zero waste reflects Māori tikanga and kaupapa.

46. Through the development of the plan, Auckland Council engaged in extensive consultation with mana whenua and mataawaka. Based on this consultation, Māori values and priorities are at the core of the plan, including rangatiratanga, kaitiakitanga, kotahitanga, manaakitanga, and whanaungatanga.

47. Mana whenua were a key stakeholder in the development of the Tikapa Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Waste Plan 2018. Based on this consultation, mana whenua aspirations relating to waste are included within the priority areas of the plan.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

**Introducing a charge for green waste disposal**

48. Auckland Council pays to dispose of green waste on Waiheke to ensure that it is processed on-island. This results in a disposal cost of $160,000 per year which is currently funded by the regional rates budget.

49. The new contract with AIM Services which commenced on 1 July 2020 has a total value which is based on the realisation of income from disposal fees. The introduction of a green waste disposal fee is a key source of this income and is estimated to raise approximately $100,000 per year (or $1 million over the 10-year contract). Introducing a charge for green waste is a critical efficiency saving for regional rates funding expenditure. If this charge is not introduced, council would need to continue allocating funding to this waste contract. This would impact on waste services in Auckland and subsequently on other council departments looking to find savings under the Emergency Budget allocations.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

50. Key risks arising from the recommending option two: introduce a charge for green waste disposal are summarised in Table 3 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Service Area</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Likelihood and Consequence</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green waste charges and throw and go bins</td>
<td>Increased customer complaints/decreased customer satisfaction in council services.</td>
<td>Likelihood: High Consequence: Moderate</td>
<td>A communications plan with clear messaging to communities and businesses around the reasons for green waste charging and removing the throw and go bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in illegal dumping occurrences</td>
<td>Likelihood: Low Consequence: High</td>
<td>Monitoring and surveillance through visits and security cameras. Enforcement and removal processes in place. Promotion of other disposal options (e.g. home composting, kerbside recycling) through the communications plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green waste</td>
<td>Increased disposal of green waste</td>
<td>Likelihood:</td>
<td>A communications plan outlining residents’ responsibilities and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Waste Service Area  
#### Risk  
#### Likelihood and Consequence  
#### Mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Service Area</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Likelihood and Consequence</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>charges</td>
<td>general refuse</td>
<td>Medium Consequence: High</td>
<td>highlighting the benefits of composting green waste either at home or at Resource Recovery Park will accompany the change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative impact on Waiheke’s biodiversity</td>
<td>Likelihood: Low Consequence: High</td>
<td>Promote pest plant amnesties for residents to dispose of pest plants free of charge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. Waste Solutions staff will work with Environmental Services staff to hold pest plant amnesties for residents to dispose of pest plants free of charge. Staff cannot yet confirm how many amnesties will be able to be held per year, as this will be dependent on the outcome of the Emergency Budget consultation and decision-making throughout July 2020.

52. Green waste disposal charges have been introduced to all other parts of Auckland, most recently on Aotea / Great Barrier on 1 July 2019. No increase in instances of illegal dumping have been observed following the introduction of a green waste charge in other parts of Auckland.

---

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

### Next steps

53. Staff request feedback from the local board regarding the proposed removal of the throw and go bins and options for mitigating the impact of green waste charges on the community.

54. After considering local board feedback, staff will inform the local board about the decision on removal of the throw and go bins and on implementation and communications plans.

55. Any changes made to Waiheke waste services will be supported by a communications plan to inform customers, and to educate visitors on how to dispose of their waste on Waiheke. This will include messages on how to reduce waste brought to Waiheke (pack in and pack out).

56. Staff will monitor and install signage at the former locations of the throw & go bins. Based on monitoring the council can review its decision at any time and consider the temporary reinstallaton of bin/s if required.

57. If you have any questions regarding the content of this report or Waiheke waste services in general, please contact Prasanthi Cottingham on prasanthi.cottingham@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

---

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

### Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Map of throw and go bin locations on Waiheke</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Signatories

| Authors                      | Marcus Braithwaite – Senior Waste Specialist (Recycle)  
|                             | Terry Coe – Waste Enterprises and Refuse Manager       |
| Authorisers                 | Barry Potter - Director Infrastructure and Environmental Services  
|                             | Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards |
Map of throw and go bin locations

Key:
A - Mātia Carpark throw and go
B - Kennedy Point Carpark throw and go
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Waiheke Local Board on transport related matters in their area including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) and Community Safety Fund (CSF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
This report covers:
2. A general summary of operational projects and activities of interest to the board.
3. An update on Auckland Transport projects identified in the Waiheke 10 Year Transport Plan.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport June 2020 update report.

Horopaki
Context
5. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. We report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in our Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within the governance of Auckland on behalf of their local communities.

6. This report updates the Waiheke Local Board on Auckland Transport (AT) projects and operations in the local board area, it updates the local board on their advocacy and consultations and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund and Community Safety Fund.

7. The Local Board Transport Capital Fund is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme.

8. The Community Safety Fund was a capital budget established by Auckland Transport for use by local boards to fund local road safety initiatives. The purpose of this fund is to allow elected members to address long-standing local road safety issues that are not regional priorities and are therefore not being addressed by the Auckland Transport programme.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
Update on Auckland Transport operations:
9. The table below has a general summary of projects and activities of interest to the local board with their current status. Please note that:

- All timings are indicative and are subject to change.
- Progress on these projects will be subject to the outcomes from the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wharves</td>
<td><strong>Kennedy Point</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 (wharf hardstand structure renewal) is complete except for the fendering system which has required a design modification to make it more robust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consent has been lodged for the fendering, and Auckland Transport is awaiting on approval before commencing this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The trailer park adjacent the main loading/offloading ramp has been sealed thereby maximizing usable space and ease of use for the heavy vehicle goods trailers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Portacom buildings are being upgraded and Auckland Transport is progressing with a new woodgrain external cladding to enhance their look and provide better protection for these structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matiatia Main Wharf</strong></td>
<td>Works to replace the brackets supporting the overhead canopy on the southern walkway have been completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety hoses on the hydraulics lifting the southern gangway platform have been replaced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An engineering design to replace the old gangways, pontoon and hydraulic lifting system at the northern and southern berths is underway. Updated infrastructure will enable vessels to safely utilize both these berths in future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matiatia old Wharf</strong></td>
<td>The engineering design to reconfigure the gangway and use of the inner berth pontoon is complete. The proposed design is currently with Fullers for their feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport will meet and present the proposed designs to the local board when the configurations have been confirmed with Fullers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Due to depth issues obtained from sounding data, pedestrian access to the pontoon is proposed from the seaward side (the wharf head). This will create additional space for passengers on the pontoon and facilitate berthing facilities for the larger ferries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once complete, this berth will be available as a back-up and provide future contingency for the main wharf. It will be required for use during installation of the proposed replacement gangways and pontoon on the main wharf.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                | It is not currently envisaged to use this berth regularly but will be
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

available if additional summer services are run, or vessels are using the berths on the main wharf.

**Cleaning – boat ramps and pontoons**
Kennedy Point – ramps are washed every 3 weeks, i.e. at least once a month.

Matiatia Old – pontoons and gangways are cleaned every 6 weeks.

Additional cleans are also undertaken on request from users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Maintenance</th>
<th>Programmed works for July include preseal repairs, grading and metaling of various unsealed roads, cleaning of water tables, and other routine cyclic maintenance. Goodwin Ave was successfully remediated by asphalt surfacing. Positive feedback has been received from the public on the new surface. Auckland Transport expect to provide the asphalt and chipseal programme for the new financial year (1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021) in the August Local board report after the budgets have been confirmed in late July.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Parking Enforcement**

Parking enforcement officers are patrolling the island regularly with coverage expected to broaden and increase over the next couple of months.

Additional coverage is being provided at Kennedy Point with the introduction of the new 501 bus service.

**Bus Patronage**

Overall patronage on the island is around 75% of normal July levels.

**Matiatia carpark buildings**
The two buildings within the Matiatia carpark have been removed, including foundations.

The ground is currently still too soft to drive on due to recent rains. After a period of clear weather this area is to be graded, stabilized and then rolled. This is expected to be complete before the end of July and will remain fenced off.

The new carpark layout for this space has been approved, and the resolution is in place. Auckland Transport is currently waiting on pricing from Downers for supply and installation of signage and fencing.

**Update on Auckland Transport projects:**

10. This list is initially an update on the projects outlined in the “Waiheke 10 Year Transport Plan: Project Lists draft for consultation June 2019”. The Operations Manager will update this list as projects are delivered and new projects developed.

11. Progress on these projects will be subject to the outcomes from the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matiatia landside transport improvements</td>
<td>Development of a strategic business case for the master redevelopment of the Matiatia precinct, including carparking, footpaths, surrounding streets and modal access arrangements</td>
<td>The short list of investment options, which have been discussed with the Local Board and mana whenua, have been progressed further in preparation for key stakeholder engagement which is planned for late July. Key Stakeholder engagement will be followed by public consultation with feedback from both being used in the process to identify/develop a preferred option and configuration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New bus network routes / timetable</td>
<td>Implementation of the new Waiheke bus network, which includes new routes and timetables</td>
<td>This was largely implemented on 13 October 2019 with all, but one of the six bus routes launched. The new bus network was completed on 31 May 2020 with the launching of the Kennedy Point 501 service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New bus network infrastructure</td>
<td>Provision of infrastructure to support the new Waiheke bus network, which will require new bus stops and the removal of redundant bus stops</td>
<td>New bus stops and upgrading existing bus stops along Donald Bruce Road in Ōrākei, Waiheke. There are three new bus stop pairs being proposed, and one bus stop pair being upgraded. Consultation on these is commencing internally initially, in July / August 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New bus network to Kennedy Point</td>
<td>Investigate extension of the new Waiheke bus network, including a service to Kennedy Point</td>
<td>Kennedy Point 501 service launched 31 May 2020. Approximately 500 people per week are making use of this service. About 1 in 3 are boarding at the new KP stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulated parking – Belgium St</td>
<td>Modification and upgrade of the bus stops, pedestrian crossings and footpaths on Belgium Street, as well as implementation of regulated parking</td>
<td>The Traffic Control Committee (TCC) has approved the officer recommendations proposed for these various works. Coordination of the works is to be commenced once budgets for FY21 have been confirmed. Design work for future bus stop improvements is progressing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roadway maintenance - Moa Rd</strong></td>
<td>Rehabilitation of roadway surfacing on Moa Road</td>
<td>Auckland Transport is waiting on an Auckland Council Healthy Waters project to be completed first before the road pavement rehabilitation can be completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kerb extensions - Causeway Rd / Shelly Beach Rd</strong></td>
<td>Extension of the kerbs along the Causeway Road / Shelly Beach Road intersection</td>
<td>To be considered in new financial year (1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021). Update in the August Local Board Report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pedestrian crossing - Alison Rd</strong></td>
<td>Investigation of a new pedestrian crossing on Alison Road</td>
<td>There is a crossing point with a central island at the intersection with Jellicoe Parade. Further assessment for an additional crossing to be discussed with the local board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crossing improvement - Sea View Rd</strong></td>
<td>Upgrade of the crossing on Sea View Road to high friction surfacing</td>
<td>Considered for upgrade in new financial year (1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021). Update in the August Local Board Report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matiatia Renewal 2</strong></td>
<td>Upgrade of the gangway lift and installation of steel plates and hydraulics at the new Matiatia wharf</td>
<td>The engineering design to replace the old gangways, pontoon and hydraulics at the northern and southern berths is underway. Updated infrastructure will enable vessels to safely utilize both these berths in future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matiatia Renewal 3</strong></td>
<td>Refurbishment of existing toilet facilities at Matiatia ferry terminal and provision of additional toilets</td>
<td>Design complete, building consent awarded. Tender evaluation is complete. Tender award is currently on hold pending budget confirmation for new financial year (1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Downtown Ferry Terminal redevelopment</strong></td>
<td>Relocation of Pier 3 and 4 at the Downtown Ferry Terminal (city centre) to Queens Wharf West</td>
<td>Stage One of the Downtown Ferry Basin Redevelopment Project will see Pier 3 decommissioned and the creation six new of berths on the western side of Queens Wharf. The project commenced in mid-2019 and is scheduled to be complete in time for the 2021 America’s Cup.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 14

| Intersection upgrade - Moa Road / Oceanview Rd | Upgrade of the Moa Road / Oceanview Road intersection to improve road safety and allow for pedestrian access to Little Oneroa | Investigation complete – being considered for detailed design stage.  
Update in August Local Board Report. |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Regulated parking - Putiki Road               | Implementation of time restricted parking on Putiki Road                                                                           | Waiting on signs for installation.  
The time restrictions are:  
P30 - 6 spaces  
P120 – mobility parking 1 space. |
| Regulated parking - Palm Road and Mako Street. | Implementation of time restricted parking on Palm Road and Mako Road.                                                              | P180 – mobility parking spaces have been installed at these locations. |

AT Responses to Resolutions

12. Resolution number WHK/2020/66  
That the Waiheke Local Board:  
b) request Auckland Transport provide a copy of any economic modelling under taken for the Waiheke ferry service.

13. A report commissioned in response to the community’s concerns about service delivery, fare pricing, wider integration and span of service on exempt ferry service routes does not include economic modelling. The report provides options for dealing with these services and contains commercially sensitive information at this stage as negotiations with the operators are progressing.

Local Board Transport Capital Fund

14. Council’s original resolutions relating to the Local Board Transport Capital Fund make it very clear that the overall budget allocation for the LCTCF is on the basis that ‘it can be managed by Auckland Transport within its annual budget’. While Council’s budget allocation to Auckland Transport will only be finalised when the emergency budget is adopted, current indications are that Auckland Transport’s capital budget will be significantly constrained. This being the case, it is highly likely that there will be a negative impact on the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.

15. Until the final budget is complete Auckland Transport will not be able to advise local boards on the funds available in the Local Board Transport Capital Fund. This may have an impact on progressing potential projects.

Community Safety Fund

16. The Community Safety Fund is funded from Auckland Transport's safety budget and is dependent on the level of funding Auckland Transport receives from Council. Current indications are that this level of funding will be significantly constrained.

17. Public consultation and the design work informed by this consultation, is progressing, with a view to having projects designed and ready to go, when money becomes available.

18. The local board resolved for Auckland Transport to construct an improved and dedicated pedestrian and cycle facility along Causeway Road. This is project 103 from the Waiheke 10 Year Transport Plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Approved funding</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Causeway – from Shelley Beach Rd to the Boating Club</td>
<td>Community Safety Fund (CSF)</td>
<td>The scheme plan and rough order of cost for this project has been completed, with internal consultation taking place during July. The plan will be provided to the Local Board for review once the internal consultation has been completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. Progress on this project will be subject to the outcomes from the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

20. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.

21. Auckland Transport’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

22. The impact of the information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

23. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no local, sub-regional or regional impacts.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

24. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

25. There are no financial implications of receiving this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

26. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for all projects.

27. With the emergency budget being adopted on July 16, Auckland Transport will now be able to provide guidance on the impact of this on Auckland Transport’s programmes.
28. Auckland Transport’s capital and operating budgets will be reduced through this process. Some projects we had planned for 2020/2021 may not be able to be delivered which will be disappointing to communities that we have already engaged with.

29. Both the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Transport Capital Fund may be impacted by these budget reductions. Therefore, local boards should consider the prioritization of their projects carefully.

30. The only way to mitigate this risk is to clearly communicate the Board’s intentions so staff supporting it may plan ahead and to make the best use of any available funds.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

31. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board at their next business meeting.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
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<th>Author</th>
<th>Richard La Ville, Operations Manager Waiheke &amp; Gulf Islands Airfields – Auckland Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>John Strawbridge, Group Manager Parking Services &amp; Compliance – Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To make recommendations to the Waiheke Local Board from the business meeting of the Waiheke Transport Forum (the forum) held on 8 July 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local resident Hana Blackmore made a presentation in public forum recommending that a meeting, or series of meetings, be held on improvements to the new public transport network. The forum will discuss this recommendation at its next meeting.

3. Following Member Robin’s report expressing safety concerns about the wheel stops on The Causeway and Wharf Road, the forum passed the following resolution:

Resolution number WAI/2020/46
MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member R Tucker:
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) recommend to the Waiheke Local Board that they ask Auckland Transport to explore the removal of the wheel stops on the road edge of The Causeway and Wharf Road through to Belgium Street.

4. Following informal discussion on the Innovating Streets application, the forum recommended that consultation occurs with the Surfdale retailers to assure them that the project will involve co-design with all stakeholders and is as-yet unapproved. The following recommendation was passed:

Resolution number WAI/2020/49
MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member T King-Turner
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) recommend the Waiheke Local Board request the Local Board Advisor and the Auckland Transport Operations Manager to lead consultation with the Surfdale business community regarding the Innovating Streets application.

5. The forum elected Member Bianca Ranson as chair and Member Grant Crawford as deputy chair.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) request Auckland Transport explore the removal of the wheel stops on the road edge of The Causeway and Wharf Road through to Belgium Street.

b) request that the Local Board Advisor and the Auckland Transport Operations Manager lead a consultation with the Surfdale business community regarding the Innovating Streets application.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Waiheke Transport Forum Minutes 8 July 2020</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
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<th>Author</th>
<th>Mark Inglis - Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager - Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waiheke Transport Forum
OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Waiheke Transport Forum held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend, Waiheke on Thursday, 9 July 2020 at 5.02pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson: Bob Upchurch (Interim)
Deputy Chairperson: Grant Crawford
Members: Chris Howard, Tony King-Turner, Richard La Ville, Raymond Matthews, Don McKenzie, Bianca Ranson, Nori Robins, Robin Tucker

Via skype
Attachment A

Item 15
1 Welcome
   Member Ranson led the meeting with a karakia.

2 Apologies
   There were no apologies.

3 Declaration of Interest
   There were no declarations of interest.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
   Resolution number WAI/2020/43
   MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member N Robins:
   That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 17 June 2020,
      as a true and correct record.  CARRIED

5 Petitions
   There were no petitions.

6 Public Forum
   6.1 Public Forum - Hana Blackmore - request for public meeting on improvements
   to public transport network
   Resolution number WAI/2020/44
   MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member N Robins:
   That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) thank Hana Blackmore for her attendance.  CARRIED

7 Deputation
   There were no deputations.

8 Extraordinary Business
   Note: Forum members considered a number of issues under item 12 to address matters
   raised during the board member report and open dialogue session.

9 Forum Member Reports

10 Minutes of the Waiheke Transport Forum held Wednesday, 17 June 2020
   Resolution number WAI/2020/45
   MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Member B Upchurch:
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) note that the open unconfirmed minutes of the Waiheke Transport Forum meeting held on Wednesday, 17 June 2020 are attached at item 10 of the agenda for the information of the board only and were confirmed under item 4 of the agenda.

CARRIED

11 Member Report - Norm Robins

Resolution number WAI/2020/46

MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member R Tucker:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) recommend to the Waiheke Local Board that they ask Auckland Transport to explore the removal of the wheel stops on the road edge of the Causeway and Wharf road through to Belgium Street.

CARRIED

Suspension of Standing Orders

Resolution number WAI/2020/47

MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member N Robins:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) suspend standing order(s) 1.5.1 to 1.5.5 to enable open dialogue

CARRIED

Resolution number WAI/2020/48

MOVED by Member R Tucker, seconded by Member B Upchurch:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

b) resume standing orders.

CARRIED

12 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

12.1 Innovating streets application

Resolution number WAI/2020/49

MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member T King-Turner:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) recommend the Waiheke Local Board request the Local Board Advisor and the Auckland Transport Operations Manager to lead consultation with the Surfside business community regarding the innovating streets application.

CARRIED

12.2 Appointment of Chair and Deputy Chair for the Waiheke Transport Forum

Resolution number WAI/2020/50

MOVED by Member B Upchurch, seconded by Member R Tucker.
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) appoint Forum Member Bianca Ranson as Chair.
   b) appoint Forum Member Grant Crawford as Deputy Chair.
   c) scheduled the next meeting for Wednesday 5 August 2020 at 5pm
CARRIED

Member Bianca Ranson closed the meeting with the following karakia.

6.41 pm

The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE WAIHEKE TRANSPORT FORUM HELD ON

DATE: ..........................................................

CHAIRPERSON: ............................................
Panuku Development Auckland - Waiheke Local Board Six-Month Report 01 September 2019 to 29 February 2020

File No.: CP2020/07708

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To update the Waiheke Local Board on Panuku Development Auckland (Panuku) activities within the local board area and the region for the six months from 01 September to 29 February 2020

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Panuku is charged with balancing financial and non-financial outcomes in order to create and manage sustainable and resilient places where people want to live, work, invest, learn and visit. The activities of Panuku cover four broad areas:
   - redevelopment of urban locations, leveraging off council owned land assets, mostly within existing suburbs
   - review of, and where appropriate, redevelopment of council non-service property
   - management of council property assets including commercial, residential, and marina infrastructure
   - other property related services such as redevelopment incorporating a service delivery function, strategic property advice, acquisitions and disposals.
3. Panuku Development Auckland currently manages 8 commercial interests in the Waiheke Local Board area.
4. No properties were purchased, in the Waiheke Local Board area during the six month reporting period.
5. One property was sold in the Waiheke Local Board area during the six month reporting period (refer to para 31).
6. One property is currently under review as part of the rationalisation process.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) receive the Panuku Development Auckland - Waiheke Local Board Six-Month Report 01 September 2019 to 29 February 2020.

Horopaki
Context
7. Panuku helps to rejuvenate parts of Auckland, from small projects that refresh a site or building, to major transformations of town centres or neighbourhoods.
8. The Auckland Plan is the roadmap to deliver on Auckland’s vision to be a world class city, Panuku plays a significant role in achieving the ‘Homes and Places’ and ‘Belonging and Participation’ outcomes.

10. Panuku manages around $3 billion of council’s non-service property portfolio, which is continuously reviewed to find smart ways to generate income for the region, grow the portfolio, or release land or property that can be better used by others. “Non-service properties” are Council owned properties that are not used to deliver Council, or CCO, services.

11. As at 31 December 2019, the Panuku managed regional property portfolio comprises 1674 properties, containing 1035 leases. This includes vacant land, industrial buildings, warehouses, retail shops, cafes, offices, medical centres, and a large portfolio of residential rental homes.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

12. Panuku is contributing commercial input into approximately fifty region-wide council-driven renewal and housing supply initiatives.

13. Panuku works with partners and stakeholders over the course of a project. It also champions best practice project delivery, to achieve best value outcomes within defined cost, time and quality parameters.

14. Below is a high-level update on activities in the Waiheke Local Board area:

Properties managed in the Waiheke Local Board Area

15. Panuku currently manages 8 commercial interests within the local board area.

Portfolio strategy

Optimisation

16. Optimisation is a self-funding development approach targeting sub-optimal service assets approved in 2015. The process involves an agreement between Community Facilities, Panuku and local boards and is led by Panuku. It is designed to equal or enhance levels of service to the local community in a reconfigured form while delivering on strategic outcomes such as housing or urban regeneration with no impact on existing rate assumptions.

17. Using optimisation, underperforming assets will have increased utility and efficiency, lower maintenance and operating costs, as well as improved service delivery benefiting from co-location of other complimentary services or commercial activities. Optimisation will free up a range of undercapitalised development opportunities such as air space, full sites, or part sites.

18. Using optimisation as a redevelopment and funding tool, the Local Board can maximise efficiencies from service assets while maintaining levels of service through the release of some or all of that property for sale or development.

19. Local boards are allocated decision making for the disposal of local service property and reinvestment of sale proceeds in accordance with the service property optimisation approach.
**Portfolio review and rationalisation**

**Overview**

20. Panuku is required to undertake ongoing rationalisation of the council’s non-service assets. This includes identifying properties from within the council’s portfolio that may be suitable for potential sale and development if appropriate. Panuku has a focus on achieving housing and urban regeneration outcomes.

21. Identifying potential sale properties contributes to the Auckland Plan focus of accommodating the significant growth projected for the region over the coming decades, by providing the council with an efficient use of capital and prioritisation of funds to achieve its activities and projects.

**Performance**

22. Panuku works closely with Auckland Council and Auckland Transport to identify potential surplus properties to help achieve disposal targets.

### Target for July 2018 to June 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$30 million disposal ‘recommendations’</td>
<td>$30.4 million disposal recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### July 2019 to June 2021 Target:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio Review</td>
<td>$45m disposal recommendations.</td>
<td>$20 million disposal recommendations as at 23 February 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Process**

23. Once identified as no longer delivering the council service use for which it was acquired, a property is taken through a multi-stage rationalisation process. The agreed process includes engagement with council departments and CCOs, the local board and mana whenua. This is followed by Panuku board approval, engagement with the local ward councillors, the Independent Māori Statutory Board and finally, a Governing Body decision.

**Acquisitions and disposals**

24. Panuku manages the acquisition and disposal of property on behalf of Auckland Council. Panuku purchases property for development, roads, infrastructure projects and other services. These properties may be sold with or without contractual requirements for development.

**Acquisitions**

25. Panuku does not decide which properties to buy in a local board area. Instead, it is asked to negotiate the terms and conditions of a purchase on behalf of the council.

26. Panuku has purchased 7 properties for open space across Auckland in the time period between September 2019 and March 2020 at a cost of $23.1 million.

27. No properties have been purchased in the Waiheke Local Board area during the reporting period for open space.
28. All land acquisition committee resolutions contain a confidentiality clause due to the commercially sensitive nature of ongoing transactions, and thus cannot be reported on while in process.

**Disposals**

29. In the reporting period between September 2019 and March 2020, the Panuku disposals team has entered into six sale and purchase agreements, with an estimated value of $3.5 million of unconditional net sales proceeds.

30. Panuku 2019/20 disposals target is $24 million for the year. The disposals target is agreed with the council and is reviewed on an annual basis.

31. One property has been sold in the Waiheke Local Board area during the reporting period (the one property sold in the Waiheke Local Board area in this period is 15 Waitai Road, Waiheke).

**Under review**

32. Properties currently under review in the Waiheke Local Board area are listed below. The list includes any properties that may have recently been approved for sale or development and sale by the governing body.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 Waitai Road, Waiheke</td>
<td>A commercial site acquired by the former Waiheke Road District in 1958 for the purpose of a works depot. Council’s Community &amp; Social Policy department requested 7 Waitai Road, Waiheke, to progress through the rationalisation process to enable a full review of future use options. The Board does not support disposal and requested that the site be retained for housing purposes or for a community swimming pool. Council currently does not have a policy to provide council owned land at low or no cost for housing purposes. Following Panuku’s advice, the Board commissioned a business case to inform a sustainable social housing development that would use the site. Council’s Sport &amp; Recreation Services department has submitted an expression of interest to retain the subject site pending confirmation of funding for a proposed community swimming pool on the island.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

33. The Panuku Priority Location programmes support regeneration of existing town centres, developing underutilised sites within the urban area, close to transport links. Increasing the density of housing results in reduced carbon emissions through improved utilisation of existing...
infrastructure and transit-oriented development. The provision of easy, safe and attractive walking and cycling routes reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and enables low carbon lifestyles. Panuku has adopted a minimum standard of a Homestar 6 rating for all homes, resulting in warmer, drier and more energy efficient buildings.

34. Climate change increases the probability of hotter temperatures and more frequent flooding and drought in the Waiheke Local Board Area. Panuku seeks to future-proof our communities by:

a) specifying adaptation and resilience in the design of buildings and spaces.
b) specifying that infrastructure and developments are designed to cope with warmer temperatures and extreme weather events.
c) use of green infrastructure and water sensitive design for increased flood resilience, ecological and biodiversity benefits
d) provision of increased shade and shelter for storm events and hotter days.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

35. The views of the council group are incorporated on a project by project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

36. Any local or sub-regional impacts related to local activities are considered on a project by project basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

37. Panuku work collaboratively with mana whenua on a range of projects including potential property disposals, development sites in the area and commercial opportunities. Engagement can be on specific individual properties and projects at an operational level with kaitiaki representatives, or with the Panuku Mana Whenua Governance Forum who have a broader mandate.

38. Panuku will continue to partner with Māori on opportunities which enhance Māori social and economic wellbeing.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

39. There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

40. There are no risks associated with receiving this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

41. The next six-monthly update is scheduled for October 2020.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Lisa Gooding - Senior Engagement Advisor – Panuku Development Auckland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Authorisers | Lisa Gooding - Senior Engagement Advisor – Panuku Development Auckland  
Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards |
ATEED six-monthly report to the Waiheke Local Board

File No.: CP2020/09476

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. This report provides the Waiheke Local Board with highlights of ATEED’s activities in the Waiheke Local Board area as well as ATEED’s regional activities for the six months 1 July to 31 December 2019.

2. This report should be read in conjunction with ATEED’s Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 reports to Auckland Council (available at www.aucklandnz.com). Although these reports focus primarily on the breadth of ATEED’s work at a regional level, much of the work highlighted has significant local impact.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. This report provides the Waiheke Local Board with relevant information on the following ATEED activities:
   - Supporting local business growth
   - Filming activity
   - Young Enterprise Scheme
   - Youth connections
   - Local and regional destination management and marketing
   - Delivered, funded and facilitated events

4. Further detail on these activities is listed under Analysis and advice.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive ATEED’s update to the Waiheke Local Board.

Horopaki
Context

5. ATEED has two areas of focus:
   - Economic Development – including business support, business attraction and investment, local economic development, trade and industry development, skills employment and talent and innovation and entrepreneurship.
   - Destination - supporting sustainable growth of the visitor economy with a focus on destination marketing and management, major events, business events (meetings and conventions) and international student attraction and retention.

6. These two portfolios also share a common platform relating to the promotion of the city globally to ensure that Auckland competes effectively with other mid-tier high quality of life cities.
7. ATEED works with local boards, Council and CCOs to support decision-making on local economic growth, and facilitates or co-ordinates the delivery of local economic development activity. ATEED ensures that the regional activities that ATEED leads or delivers are fully leveraged to support local economic growth and employment.

8. In addition, ATEED’s dedicated Local Economic Development (LED) team works with local boards who allocate locally-driven initiatives (LDI) budget to economic development activities. The LED team delivers a range of services such as the development of proposals, including feasibility studies that enable local boards to directly fund or otherwise advocate for the implementation of local initiatives.

9. ATEED delivers its services at the local level through business hubs based in the north, west and south of the region, as well as its central office at 167B Victoria Street West.

10. Additional information about ATEED’s role and activities can be found at www.aucklandnz.com/ateed

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

11. As at 31 December 2019, 1876 businesses had been through an ATEED intervention or programme. Of these, 68 businesses were in the Waiheke Local Board area – 57 businesses went through Destination-related programmes and 11 businesses went through Economic Development-related programmes.

Economic Development
Supporting Local Business Growth

12. This area is serviced by the Business and Enterprise team in the central hub, located at 167B Victoria Street West. The team comprises of two Business and Innovation Advisors and administration support. The role of this team is to support the growth of Auckland’s key internationally competitive sectors and to support to provide quality jobs.

13. A key programme in achieving this is central government’s Regional Business Partnership Network (RBPN). This is delivered by ATEED’s nine Business and Innovation Advisors (BIA), whose role is to connect local businesses to resources, experts and services in innovation, R&D, business growth and management.

14. ATEED’s BIAs engage 1:1 with businesses through a discovery meeting to understand their challenges, gather key data, and provide connections / recommendations via an action plan.

15. Where businesses qualify (meet the programme criteria and/or align to ATEED’s purpose as defined in the SOI) the advisors facilitate government support to qualifying businesses, in the form of:

- Callaghan Innovation R&D grants (including Getting Started, project and student grants (https://www.callaghaninnovation.govt.nz/grants)

- Callaghan Innovation subsidised innovation programmes
  - RBPN business capability vouchers (NZTE), where the business owner may be issued co-funding up to $5,000 per annum for business training via registered service providers. Voucher co-funding is prioritised to businesses accessing this service for the first time, in order to encourage more businesses to engage with experts to assist their management and growth.

---

1 This activity is subject to local boards prioritising local economic development, and subsequently allocating funding to local economic development through their local board agreements.

2 Q2 FY 2019/20 result for ATEED’s SOI KPI2
• NZTE services such as Export Essentials (https://workshop.exportessentials.nz/register/)
• Referrals to NZ Business Mentors via The Chamber of Commerce.

16. During the reporting period, ATEED Business and Innovation Advisors engaged with 3 businesses in the Waiheke Local Board area, none for innovation advice and services and none for business growth and capability advice and services. From these engagements:
• No connections were made to Callaghan Innovation services and programmes
• No RBPN vouchers were issued to assist with business capability training
• No referrals were made to Business Mentors New Zealand
• No connections were made to ATEED staff and programmes
• No connections were made to other businesses or programmes.

17. During the period, ATEED also ran workshops and events aimed at establishing or growing a new business and building capability. 1 person from the Waiheke Local Board area attended an event below:
• Starting off Right workshop - 0
• Business clinic – 1
• Innovation clinic – 0

Filming activity within the Waiheke Local Board area
18. ATEED’s Screen Auckland team facilitates, processes and issues film permits for filming activity in public open space. This activity supports local businesses and employment, as well as providing a revenue stream to local boards for the use of local parks.

19. Between 1 July and 31 December 2019, a total of 310 film permits were issued in the Auckland region, 1 of these permits was issued in the Waiheke Local Board area.

20. The Waiheke Local Board area’s share of film permit revenue was $278,264 for the period (total for all boards combined was $38,208.55).

21. One of the key film productions that was issued permits to film in the Waiheke Local Board area was:
• A Better Way to Fly TV commercial

Young Enterprise Scheme (YES)
22. The Auckland Chamber of Commerce has delivered the Lion Foundation Young Enterprise Scheme (YES) since January 2018. ATEED maintains a strategic role. During the period, there were 58 schools participating in the Auckland YES programme, representing 1364 students completing the programme. There are currently no schools from the Waiheke Local Board area participating in the YES programme.

Local Jobs and Skills Hubs
23. ATEED is the regional partner for the network of Auckland Jobs and Skills Hubs. These multi-agency hubs support employers at developments where there is a high and sustained demand for local labour and skills development. The Auckland network includes Ara (Auckland Airport development), CBD (Wynyard Quarter and city centre development), and Tāmaki hubs. The new Manukau and Northern hubs launched in August of 2019 with new initiatives underway. ATEED-established City Centre Hub reports a total of 480 people into employment, 2,092 training outcomes and 14 apprenticeships facilitated as at 28 February

---

3 This does not reflect all filming that takes place in studio, private property or low impact activity that wouldn’t have required a permit.
4 This includes Local Board fees only, other permit fees directed to Auckland Transport (Special Events) and Regional Parks. Figures exclude GST and are as per the month the permit was invoiced, not necessarily when the activity took place.
2020. ATEED is the backbone organisation for the CBD Jobs and Skills Hub, where Māori represented over a third (36 percent) of job placements towards a 40 percent target.

24. ATEED provided funding to CRL Progressive Employment programme for at risk youth supporting training and developing capability within businesses. Five of six youth graduated the 18-week programme in October into jobs; evaluation report received which shows programme delivered excellent results.

Offshore talent attraction

25. The Auckland Smart Move Q1-Q2 campaign, launched in July with Immigration New Zealand resulted in 2,126 tech and construction job applications from high-skilled offshore migrants, reaching more than 121,000 offshore high-skilled professionals.

Destination

North, West, South East and Gulf area destination management and marketing activity

26. Over the period, the ATEED Tourism Innovation Team has cemented its highly effective regional cluster and program development. The city is managed on a North/West and Great Barrier area and South/East/Central and Waiheke area. This is a proactive programme that is generating success and clustering of businesses capability, skills and delivery across the entire city.

27. Results are visible and reported, including:
   - East/South and North/West visitor maps
   - A Tourism Innovation Partnership Fund which identifies and focuses on capability building and content & product development
   - Groups include the Franklin Tourism Group, now closely aligned with East Auckland Tourism, the Waiheke Tourism Cluster, the Matakana Cluster and the Waitakere Ranges Cluster as well as more bespoke groups on Great Barrier and specific territories.
   - Project & opportunity awareness for operators
   - Regional showcase days, product awareness and updates, site familiarity visits (Famils), and opportunity discussions
   - Innovation sessions with topics such as capability building for smaller operators, common issues and themes, key takeaways, and networking opportunities

28. The Auckland Visitor Survey Insights Report is the culmination of significant development in qualitative and quantitative data capture across all of Auckland. The report identifies the region by main areas, north, south, east, west, and gulf islands, and delivers a valuable and timely insight into visitors’ characteristics, behaviour, experience and perceptions of the Auckland region. This report will be available for local board access in the New Year.

Regional destination management and marketing activity

29. Auckland cruise ship activity for the year 2018/19 reported growth for Auckland with visitor expenditure of $192.5m (note: this is not the GDP figure previously taken from Cruise NZ as it is no longer available). This is up from $145m in 2017/18. Passenger numbers in 2018/19 were up to 238,000 from 211,000 in 2017/18.

30. It was a strong six months of highly visible activities designed to attract visitors from overseas and around New Zealand to Auckland using various platforms:
   - Social media including Instagram @VisitAuckland.
   - Media and PR including Auckland Insider article. Best escapes for a long weekend and Appetite for Auckland. Online Food stories.
   - Marketing Programs such as the Australian ‘Short Break to Auckland’ campaign in October 2019.
• Collateral to continue in the AA Auckland Visitor Summer Guide 2019 -2020.
• Creating a B-roll of striking footage and images of Tāmaki Makaurau for free use by tourism operators and promoters across the Auckland region and the gulf.
• Focus was also given to Trade and Content development including Elemental AKL 2020.

31. Māori Tourism Development activity that may be relevant to local boards:
ATEED continued to support and advocate for the development of new Māori tourism experiences and unique marketing opportunities in support of the priorities contained in the Destination AKL 2025 strategy.

32. Maori Tourism Innovation Partnership Programme – Pilot
In alignment to the Destination AKL Strategy, ATEED has development a new Tourism Innovation Partnership fund to enable and support sustainable growth of Maori Tourism in Tāmaki Makaurau. Funding is available to Iwi, Hapū, Marae, Urban Māori Authorities and Māori Tourism collectives to apply for during the 19/20 financial year. So far, we have supported the following two initiatives:
1. Ngai Tai ki Tamaki/Te Haerenga have been awarded $25,000 to develop and promote day tours to Rangitoto and Motutapu through walking and e-bike tours.
2. Te Manu Taupua (with support from the Tupuna Maunga Authority & Nuu Limited) have been awarded $20,000 to grow digital capacity and capability to amplify the cultural narratives of Tāmaki Makaurau. These resources will then be used to educate and develop cultural competency within Auckland’s tourism industry.

33. Examples of separate local board area activity includes:
• Waitematā - Feasibility study for a Māori Cultural Centre
  RFA, ATEED and Panuku in partnership with mana whenua (in particular, Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei, Ngāti Pāoa and Te Kawerau a Maki) are in the final stages of finalising a feasibility study for a Māori Cultural Centre, with a penultimate draft being circulated internally. The cultural centre is closely linked to the City centre work being undertaken by the Auckland Design Office.
• Ōtara-Papatoetoe - Elemental – Te Ahi Kōmau Event
  ATEED, in collaboration with Panuku are supporting The Cause Collective and Papatūānuku Marae in the delivery and marketing of an indigenous food and cultural storytelling event as apart of Elemental 2020 programme.
• Albert-Eden – Whau Café
  In collaboration with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, ATEED supported the marketing and promotion of a new Māori centred café and visitor centre called Whau Café located in the historic kiosk on Maungawhau. Whau Café officially opened to the public in December 2019 and has been successfully operating since.
• Rodney - Capability Development
  ATEED is supporting Te Hana Community Development Charitable Trust with the re-prioritising of their commercial tourism aspirations and product development. ATEED is also involved in the Auckland Council working group, to support Te Hana with renewing and re-accessing the lease model as well and supporting further community engagement focused initiatives.

Delivered, funded and facilitated events
34. During the period, the inaugural Elemental AKL winter festival was held 1-31 July. There were 67 events across the region, and 120 restaurants that took part through Elemental Feast. The new festival generated more than 1000 media stories.

35. ATEED delivered the Auckland Diwali Festival which was held at Aotea Square and Upper Queen Street from 12-13 October 2019. Approximately 65,000 people attended, up 9% from an estimated 59,990 in 2018. The festival had more than 40 food stallholders, more than 50 hours' live entertainment and over 200 performances.
36. ATEED led the cross-council communications and programme implementation of the 2019 New Year’s Eve coverage to alert Aucklanders and visitors to the road closures, extra public transport options, event highlights and TV viewing options, with positive feedback received.

37. During the period, residents of the Albert-Eden Local Board area were also able to enjoy events funded or facilitated by ATEED across the Auckland region, including the New Zealand International Film Festival, ASB Auckland Marathon, the Virgin Australia Supercars Championship, The Food Show, New Zealand Fashion Week, the ITM Auckland SuperSprint, Taste of Auckland, EQUITANA Auckland, 19/20 Nacra/49ers Class Sailing World Champs, Wondergarden, Auckland On Water Boat Show.

38. A full schedule of major events is available on ATEED’s website, aucklandnz.com

Go With Tourism

39. Go with Tourism (GWT) is a jobs-matching platform that targets young people (18-30 years) and encourages them to consider a career in Tourism. In 2019, Go with Tourism was rolled out nationally with launches in Queenstown and Wanaka. The platform signed over 300 businesses for the first time in the 6 months between July and December 2019.

40. The most popular industries in the GWT programme in Auckland (as classified by ANZSIC code) were Accommodation and Food Services (61%), Arts and Recreation Services (19%), Transport, Postal and Warehousing (5%), and Administrative and Support Services (5%).

41. In Waiheke Local Board, 1 business has signed up to use the platform out of a total of 165 in the Auckland region.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

48. ATEED will provide the next six-monthly report to the Local Board in August 2020 and will cover the period 1 January to 30 June 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Stephanie Sole, Strategy and Planning (ATEED)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Quanita Khan, Manager Operational Strategy and Planning (ATEED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
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Adoption of the Waiheke Local Board Agreement 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/09293

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area.

3. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (annual plan) including local board priorities (consultation part 1). Local boards considered this feedback between 4 to 8 May 2020.

4. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation (part 2) on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (the new name for this year’s annual plan) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Local boards are now considering local content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, which includes a local board agreement and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

6. Normally the local board agreement would include a message from the chair, local board advocacy and other content. This year due to time constraints caused by COVID-19, the content of the Emergency Budget document, including the Local Board Agreements, is being reduced to only the parts that are a statutory requirement. This includes key projects, levels of service and performance measures, and Financial Impact Statement (FIS).

7. On 30 July 2020, the Governing Body will meet to adopt Auckland Council’s Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021, tabled at the meeting, (Attachment A).

b) adopt a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021, tabled at the meeting, (Attachment B).

c) delegate authority to the Chair to make any final minor changes to the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

d) note:
   i) that Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 local activity budgets will be updated to reflect final budget decisions made by the Governing Body on 16 July 2020.
   ii) that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Governing Body when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021 on 30 July 2020.
Horopaki

Context

8. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area, outlining local priorities, budgets and intended levels of service. They are informed by the local board plans, which are strategic documents that are developed every three years to set a direction for local boards, by reflecting the priorities and preferences of the communities within the local board area. Local board plans can also provide a basis for local board feedback on regional content in the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021).

9. Local board chairs have had the opportunity to attend Finance and Performance Committee workshops on key topics and provide local board views on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 to the Finance and Performance Committee.

10. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted with the public on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 1). One locally held event was held in the Waiheke Local Board area to engage with the community and seek feedback on both regional and local proposals. Feedback was received through written and event channels.

11. A report analysing the feedback on local board priorities, as well as feedback from those living in the local board area related to the regional topics from consultation part 1, was included on the 13 May 2020 business meeting agenda.

12. Local boards considered this feedback, and their input on regional topics was reported to the Emergency Committee on 21 May 2020.

13. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 2) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

14. A report analysing the feedback on the regional topics from consultation part 2 (Emergency Budget) was included on the 8 July 2020 business meeting agenda.

15. Local boards considered this feedback, and then the local board chairs held discussions with the Finance and Performance Committee on 14 July 2020 on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget. The local board input was also reported to the Finance and Performance Committee on 16 July 2020.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

16. Both staff and the local board have reviewed the feedback received on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from both consultations and local boards have received reports analysing the feedback. It is now recommended that local boards adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 (Attachment A), and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021 (Attachment B).

Tauākī whakaaweawae āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

17. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature and will not have any climate impacts themselves.

18. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

19. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

20. Local boards worked with council departments to develop their local board work programmes for 2020/2021 that will be adopted at August business meetings. The local board work programmes help inform the local board agreements.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

21. This report seeks local board adoption of its content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and other associated material, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

22. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021) are important tools that enable and can demonstrate the council’s responsiveness to Māori.

23. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community.

24. Of those who submitted on the consultation part 1 including local board priorities from the Waiheke Local Board area, one identified as Māori. Eighteen iwi entities from the Waiheke Local Board rohe also made a submission to the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 through one or both consultations. These submissions were provided to the local board for consideration when finalising its local board agreement.

25. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in the council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

26. The local board agreement includes the allocation of locally driven initiatives (LDI) funding and asset-based services (ABS) funding to projects and services for the 2020/2021 financial year.

27. LDI funding is discretionary funding allocated to local boards based on the Local Board Funding Policy, which local boards can spend on priorities for their communities. Local boards can also utilise LDI funding to increase local levels of service if they wish to do so.

28. Funding for asset-based services (ABS) is allocated by the Governing Body to local boards based on current levels of service to run and maintain local assets and services including parks, pools and recreation facilities, community facilities, and libraries.

29. Local boards have the decision-making and oversight responsibility in respect of local fees and charges within parameters set by the Governing Body. A local fees and charges schedule for Active Recreation, Community Venues for Hire (including Library rooms for hire) for 2020/2021 is adopted alongside the Local Board Agreement. The fees and charges have been formulated based on region-wide baseline service levels and revenue targets. Where fees and charges are amended by a local board that results in lower revenue for the council, the shortfall will need to be made up by either allocating LDI funds or reducing expenditure on other services to balance overall budgets.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

30. Decisions on the local content of the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021, are required by 24 July 2020 to ensure the Governing Body can adopt the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 at its 30 July 2020 meeting.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

31. The resolutions of this meeting will be reported to the Governing Body on 30 July 2020 when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

32. Minor changes may need to be made to the attachments before the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 is adopted, such as correction of any errors identified and minor wording changes. Staff therefore recommend that the local board delegates authority to the Chair to make minor final changes if necessary.

33. Local board agreements set the priorities and budget envelopes for each financial year. Work programmes then detail the activities that will be delivered within those budget envelopes. Work programmes will be agreed between local boards and operational departments at business meetings in August 2020.
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Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

File No.: CP2020/08961

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek support on the statement of proposal to amend the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 before it is finalised for public consultation.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. To enable the local board to provide its views on the statement of proposal to amend the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014, staff have prepared a draft proposal.
3. The draft proposal would continue to enable council to make alcohol bans in certain public places to reduce crime and disorder caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.
4. The main proposals are to include new temporary alcohol bans for major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain, and to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand.
5. Staff recommend that the local board provide its views on the draft proposal.
6. There is a reputational risk that the draft proposal or the local board’s views do not reflect the views of people in the local board area. This risk would be partly mitigated by future public consultation processes. The local board will have an opportunity to consider any public feedback and provide formal views to a Bylaw Panel prior to the final decision.
7. The local board’s views will be provided to the Regulatory Committee on 1 September 2020 who will recommend a statement of proposal for public consultation to the 24 September Governing Body meeting. Public consultation is scheduled for October 2020, Bylaw Panel deliberations for March 2021, and a final decision by the Governing Body for April 2021.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) support the draft statement of proposal in Attachment A of this agenda report to amend the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 for public consultation.

Horopaki
Context

The Alcohol Control Bylaw enables council to make alcohol bans in public places
8. The Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (Bylaw) aims to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.
9. The Bylaw achieves this by providing a framework that enables alcohol bans to be made by resolution of the relevant delegated authorities – the Regulatory Committee, Auckland Domain Committee or local boards. Alcohol bans are enforced by the New Zealand Police.
The Regulatory Committee have decided to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

10. The Regulatory Committee requested staff commence the process to amend the Bylaw on 9 May 2019 (REG/2019/28). The process leading to this decision is summarised below.

11 April 2019 (REG/2019/19) Regulatory Committee endorsed the statutory bylaw review findings that:

- a bylaw about the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places is still the most appropriate way to address crime or disorder in certain public places caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there
- the current Bylaw does not give rise to any implications under, and is not inconsistent with, the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990
- the current Bylaw structure and wording could be improved.

9 May 2019 (REG/2019/28) Regulatory Committee instructed staff to draft an amended Bylaw (Option two) after considering four options:

- Option one: status quo – retain Bylaw that makes alcohol bans by resolution
- Option two: amend the current Bylaw – improve the status quo
- Option three: replace the current Bylaw – new bylaw that contains all alcohol bans
- Option four: revoke Bylaw – no bylaw and instead rely on other existing methods.

Staff prepared a proposal in line with decisions of the Regulatory Committee

11. Staff have prepared a draft statement of proposal (draft proposal) to implement the decision of the Regulatory Committee to amend the Bylaw (Attachment A).

12. The draft proposal includes the reasons and decisions leading to the proposed amendments and a comparison between the existing and amended bylaws.

The local board has an opportunity to provide its views on the proposal

13. The local board now has an opportunity to provide its views on the draft proposal in Attachment A by resolution to the Regulatory Committee before it is finalised for public consultation.

14. For example, the local board could support the draft proposal for public consultation, recommend changes before it is finalised, or defer comment until after it has considered public feedback on the proposal.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

The draft proposal makes improvements to the current alcohol control bylaw

15. The draft proposal seeks to improve the use of alcohol bans for major events and make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. The table below summarises the proposed changes.

Summary of proposed changes to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Reasons for proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for all major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium,</td>
<td>Including new event-based temporary alcohol bans made in the Bylaw:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposals

Eden Park and Auckland Domain in the Bylaw.

- The new event-based temporary alcohol bans will replace existing event-based temporary alcohol bans made by resolution\(^6\) for Mount Smart Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain for ‘Christmas in the Park’ and the Lantern Festival. Changes to these existing resolutions would:
  - for Mount Smart Stadium extend the ban to apply to all major events, not just concerts
  - for Eden Park extend the ban to include Eden Park stadium, and two fan trails if they are activated as part of the event\(^7\)
  - for Auckland Domain extend the ban to all major events (not just the Lantern Festival) and extend the times of the ban to start one hour earlier and finish one hour later.

The ban for the Auckland Domain ‘Christmas in the Park’ event would remain unchanged.

- Replace with a related information note clauses about alcohol ban signage, and clauses about legislative decision-making criteria.

- Clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans and Bylaw wording.

The draft proposal complies with statutory requirements

16. The draft proposal has been prepared in accordance with statutory requirements and best practice drafting guidelines:

- The proposed inclusion of event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at certain venues in the Bylaw is a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms because the bans only apply temporarily to a limited area for large scale events.

- The amended Bylaw is a more appropriate form of bylaw because the inclusion of event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at certain venues enables a preventative approach to alcohol-related crime or disorder.\(^8\) The amended Bylaw would also be easier to read and understand.

- The amended Bylaw has no implications under, and is not inconsistent with, the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (the Act). There are potential limitations to freedoms protected by the Act of expression, peaceful assembly, movement, security against unreasonable search and seizure, and to not be arbitrarily arrested. These limitations are justified because alcohol bans help prevent harm to the public, and because council’s ability to make alcohol bans is subject to legislative criteria which ensures any ban is justified, appropriate and proportionate.

\(^6\) MT/2017/144 (Mt Smart); AE/2015/119 (Eden Park); RBC/2015/41 and WTM/2016/110 (Christmas in the Park); ADC/2017/43 (Lantern Festival).

\(^7\) There are two Eden Park Fan Trails designed for fans to walk to Eden Park. One starts at Ponsonby Road (activated on ‘match days’) and one starts from Queen Elizabeth Square (last used during the 2011 Rugby World Cup).

\(^8\) Section 147A of the Local Government Act 2002.
Staff recommend the local board consider providing its views on the proposal

17. Staff recommend that the local board consider the draft proposal and whether it wishes to provide its views to the Regulatory Committee.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

18. There are no implications for climate change arising from this decision.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

19. The draft proposal impacts the operation of units across the council group involved in events, processing alcohol ban requests and alcohol ban signage. Those units are aware of the impacts of the proposal and their implementation role.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

20. The Bylaw is important to local boards as they have the delegated authority to make local alcohol bans, and because alcohol bans help to improve public safety in their local areas.

21. The main view of local board members during the bylaw review was to retain local board decision-making authority for local alcohol bans. The proposal supports this by retaining the current decision-making authority. The process for the local board to make alcohol bans by resolution will remain the same.

22. The local board has an opportunity in this report to provide its views on the proposal to the Regulatory Committee.

23. The local board will also have further opportunity to provide its views to a Bylaw Panel on any public feedback to the proposal from people in the local board area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

24. The Bylaw has significance for Māori as users and kaitiaki / guardians of public space. Māori are also over-represented in alcohol-related hospital visits, the criminal justice system and as victims of crime.

25. Māori health advocacy organisations, Te Puni Kōkiri and the Maunga Authority support the use of alcohol bans as a tool to reduce alcohol-related harm.

26. The draft proposal supports this view by retaining the ability for council to use alcohol bans.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

27. There are no financial implications to the local board for any decision to support the draft proposal for public consultation. The Governing Body at a later date will consider any financial implications associated with public notification and signage.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

28. There is a reputational risk that the draft proposal or the local board’s views do not reflect the views of people in the local board area. This risk would be partly mitigated by future public consultation processes. The local board will have an opportunity to consider any public feedback and provide its formal views to a Bylaw Panel prior to the final decision.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

29. Staff will present a proposal and any local board views to the Regulatory Committee on 1 September 2020. The next steps are shown in the diagram below.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Helping to protect the public
Reducing alcohol-related crime and disorder
Enabling alcohol bans to be made that prohibit alcohol in certain public places where crime or disorder is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.

Statement of Proposal to amend the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Public consultation takes place from [date] to [date].
1 Have your say

Helping to protect the public

Aucklanders drink alcohol in public places every day, for example at a family picnic, licensed venue or concert. Most people drink responsibly and without having any negative impact on others.

Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly. This can negatively affect nearby residents’ sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.

How Auckland Council keeps you safe

We use a bylaw to enable us to make alcohol bans that prohibit alcohol in certain public places (for example a park).

The current ability to make alcohol bans is included in the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture ā-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Improving how we make alcohol bans

We recently checked how the rules are working and identified improvements.

We propose changes to the Bylaw that would:

- make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and the Auckland Domain in the Bylaw
- use related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
- clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and to clarify council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans
- make the Bylaw wording easier to read and understand.

Other key aspects of the current Bylaw and its implementation will remain unchanged, for example:

- all local boards, the Auckland Domain Committee and the Regulatory Committee will continue to have delegated authority to make alcohol bans
- no changes to current alcohol bans (except for the event-based temporary alcohol bans above).

We want to know what you think

Starting on [date] through to [date], we want you to tell us what you think about the proposed amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Visit [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/ have-your-say](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/ have-your-say) for more information, to give your feedback and to find out where you can drop in to a ‘have your say’ event.
2 What is the Bylaw

The Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Tūre ā-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014, was made on 30 October 2014.

The purpose of the Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there. The Bylaw seeks to achieve this by enabling council to make alcohol bans that prohibit alcohol in those public places (including in a vehicle).

Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 framework

[Diagram showing the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 framework, including legislative criteria, bylaw criteria, exceptions, signage, and requests to delegated decision-makers.]
3 What council proposes to change

Improving how we make alcohol bans

We recently checked how the rules are working and identified improvements.

Council is proposing to better reduce alcohol-related crime and disorder in public places by making amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. The proposed amendments are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Reasons for proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for all major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain in the Bylaw.</td>
<td>Including new event-based temporary alcohol bans made in the Bylaw:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The new event-based temporary alcohol bans will replace existing event-based temporary alcohol bans made by resolution¹ for Mount Smart Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain for 'Christmas in the Park' and the Lantern Festival. Changes to these existing resolutions would:</td>
<td>more easily enables a preventative approach to alcohol-related crime or disorder at or near event venues used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- for Mount Smart Stadium extend the ban to apply to all major events, not just concerts.</td>
<td>removes time and cost to process individual requests for event-based temporary alcohol bans where:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- for Eden Park extend the ban to include Eden Park stadium, and two fan trails if they are activated as part of the event²</td>
<td>- the event venue has in the past, is currently, and will in the future be used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- for Auckland Domain extend the ban to all major events (not just the Lantern Festival) and extend the times of the ban to start one hour earlier and finish one hour later.</td>
<td>- a ban has been used in the past for major events at the event venue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ban for the Auckland Domain 'Christmas in the Park' event would remain unchanged.</td>
<td>- create more consistent event-based temporary alcohol ban times and application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace with a related information note clauses about alcohol ban signage, and clauses about legislative decision-making criteria.</td>
<td>Replacing some clauses with related information notes and providing clarifications:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans and Bylaw wording.</td>
<td>- removes provisions that are unnecessary to state in the Bylaw but are useful as extra information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- provides rules that are easier to read and understand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you want to know more, Appendix A shows what the proposed amended Alcohol Control Bylaw would look like. Appendix B provides a copy of the existing Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Appendix C provides a summary of the differences between the existing and amended bylaw.

¹ MT/2017/144 (Mt Smart), AE/2015/119 (Eden Park), RBC/2015/41 and WTM/2016/110 (Christmas in the Park), ADC/2017/43 (Lantern Festival).
² There are two Eden Park Fan Trails designed for fans to walk to Eden Park. One starts at Ponsonby Road (activated on ‘match days’) and one starts from Queen Elizabeth Square (last used during the 2011 Rugby World Cup).
4 How we implement the Bylaw

Making decisions using evidence

Council uses evidence to decide whether to make, amend or replace an alcohol ban by resolution.

Before making an alcohol ban by resolution, the Local Government Act 2002 requires council to be satisfied that there is evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area which has been caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area.

Evidence can include witness accounts of crime or disorder, photos of litter or damage, and callouts to council noise control and the Police. The Local Government Act 2002 also requires any alcohol ban to be appropriate and proportionate in terms of the nature and scale of the crime or disorder and justifiable as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.

Erecting and maintaining signage

Council erects and maintains alcohol ban signage. Signage informs people of the alcohol ban area and hours. An internal policy guides the form and placement of alcohol ban signage.

Police enforcement of alcohol bans

The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans made under the Bylaw.

Anyone can report a breach of an alcohol ban to the Police. Police respond to reports of alcohol ban breaches as soon as possible depending on the nature of the issues and other priorities.

Police may use powers of search, seizure and arrest under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce alcohol bans. For breaches of alcohol bans, the Police may issue an infringement fee of $250.
5 How we got here

Decisions leading to the proposed changes

The Local Government Act 2002 requires the council to review its bylaws periodically to determine whether they are effective, efficient and still necessary to address the problem. We also check that the Bylaw is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

Auckland Council reviewed the existing Bylaw by engaging with stakeholders3 and undertaking research. Council reported its findings and considered options in response to the findings at meetings in April and May 2019.

This statement of proposal was approved for public consultation by the Governing Body in September 2020. This begins the formal process to make amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Bylaw review and approval process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Findings report</td>
<td>Options report</td>
<td>Proposal developed</td>
<td>Local board input</td>
<td>Proposal finalised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of how well the current bylaw is working (REG/2019/19)</td>
<td>Considered whether to keep the bylaw, improve it, make a new bylaw or have no bylaw (REG/2019/28)</td>
<td>Statement of Proposal developed to amend Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014</td>
<td>Local boards provide formal input on proposal ahead of public consultation</td>
<td>Regulatory Committee finalises proposal and Governing Body adopts it for consultation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go to: [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say) if you would like to view more information about the above decisions, including the findings from the statutory bylaw review and options we considered to respond to those findings.

---

3 Council engaged with a range of stakeholders including the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, the New Zealand Police, Alcohol Harm Watch, Health Promotion Agency, Auckland Regional Public Health Service, To Puni Kōriri and Māori public health advocates.
We want your input

You have an opportunity to tell us your views.

We would like to know what you think about the proposed amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw.

Anyone can give feedback on the proposal, including individuals, organisations and businesses.

Give us your feedback

Starting on #month 2020 through to #month 2020 we are asking for feedback on proposed amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Anyone can give feedback.

You can give your feedback:

- online at our website www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say
- in person at one of our ‘Have your say’ events – visit our website for details

Visit www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say for more information.

Online services are available at our libraries.

Your name and feedback will be available to the public in our reports and online. All other personal details will remain private.
Appendix A: Proposed amended Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2020
Te Ture ā-Rohe
Whakararata Waipiro 2014
Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

(as at dd month 2021)

made by the Governing Body of Auckland Council

in resolution GB/2014/121

on 30 October 2014

Bylaw made under sections 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.
Summary
This summary is not part of the Bylaw but explains the general effects.

Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly.

This can negatively affect nearby residents’ sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.

The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there, by –

- using alcohol bans to prohibit people from consuming, bringing or possessing alcohol in certain public places at certain times (clause 6)
- setting out how council may make a new alcohol ban by resolution (clauses 7 and 8)
- specifying event-based temporary alcohol bans (Schedule 1)
- referencing the full list of alcohol bans and maps (viewable on council’s website).

Other parts of this Bylaw assist with its administration by –

- stating its name, when it comes into force and where it applies (clauses 1, 2 and 3)
- stating the purpose of this Bylaw and defining key terms (clauses 4 and 5)
- referencing the powers of the New Zealand Police to enforce this Bylaw, including the issue of $250 infringement fines (Part 4)
- ensuring existing resolutions continue to apply and incomplete enforcement action can continue where relevant (Part 5).

Cover page reformatted and Summary inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).
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Schedules

Schedule 1 Event-Based Temporary Alcohol Bans 10
1 Title

(1) This Bylaw is the Te Ture a-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Clause 1 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

2 Commencement

(1) This Bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.

(2) Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution GB/####/## come into force on 01 June 2021.

Related information about amendments

Council decided on dd month year to make various amendments to the Bylaw. The majority of the amendments were to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. Key changes included:
- making new event-based temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1
- using related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
- clarifying exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and clarifying council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans.

A comparison of the Bylaw before and after the amendments were made can be viewed in Item # of the Auckland Council Governing Body meeting agenda dated dd month year.

Clause 2 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

3 Application

(1) This Bylaw applies to Auckland.

Part 1

Preliminary provisions

4 Purpose

(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.

Clause 4 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

5 Interpretation

(1) In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, –

Alcohol has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Auckland has the meaning given by section 4(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.
Related information

The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 enabled the Local Government Commission to determine Auckland’s boundaries in a map titled LGC-AK-R1. The boundaries were formally adopted by Order in Council on 15 March 2010, and came into effect on 1 November 2010.

Christmas / New Year holiday period means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.

Council means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf.

Related information about who can make an alcohol ban

Council has delegated the making of alcohol bans by resolution under clause 7 to –

- local boards for local parks, streets and car parks as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)
- the Regulatory Committee for areas of regional significance as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)
- the Auckland Domain Committee for the Auckland Domain as at 1 November 2016 (GB/2016/237).

The Governing Body of Auckland Council is responsible for making alcohol bans in all other public places, and for any alcohol bans in Schedule 1.

Public holiday has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.

Public place has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Related information

The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 1 July 2018) states a public place –

(a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but
(b) does not include licensed premises.

Vehicle has the meaning given by section 2(1) of the Land Transport Act 1998.

(2) Related information does not form part of this Bylaw and may be inserted, changed or removed without any formality.

(3) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this Bylaw.

Clause 5 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
Part 2

Alcohol consumption and possession in public places

6 Alcohol prohibited in public places where an alcohol ban applies

(1) A person must not consume, bring or possess alcohol in any public place or in any vehicle in any public place where—

(a) an alcohol ban made by council in accordance with clause 7 of this Bylaw applies; or

(b) an alcohol ban in a Schedule of this Bylaw applies.

(2) However, subclause (1) does not apply in those circumstances described in section 147(4) or section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002.

Related information about alcohol bans
A list of all alcohol bans made using clause 7 and related maps is attached at the end of this Bylaw for information only, and can be viewed on council’s website.

Related information about exceptions – Transport of alcohol
In section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), an alcohol ban does not apply in the case of alcohol in an unopened container to,—

“(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—

(i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and

(ii) it is promptly removed from the public place, or

(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place; or

(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or

(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a public place to a place outside the public place if—

(i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and

(ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.”

This may include for example, transporting alcohol from a supermarket to your home, from your home to a BYO restaurant or from your home to a friend’s house.

Related information about exceptions – Licensed premises
In section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), exceptions apply to an alcohol ban where a licence is held under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (Subpart 1 of Part 2). This may include for example, buying alcohol at an event at a park that holds a special licence or dining on the footpath at a restaurant that holds an on-licence providing for BYO alcohol.

Clause 6 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
7 Council may make an alcohol ban

(1) Council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) Council may amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 8 with all necessary modifications.

Clause 7 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

8 Procedure for making an alcohol ban

(1) Council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7 –
   (a) comply with decision-making requirements under the Local Government Act 2002; and
   (b) consider using one of the following standard times where appropriate in relation to the requirements in subclause 2(a) –
      (i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);
      (ii) 7am to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);
      (iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night-time alcohol ban);
      (iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

Related information about making an alcohol ban
- Council may make a permanent or temporary alcohol ban by resolution in clause 7 or by making a bylaw. The process to amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban is similar to the process that made the ban.
- The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) prescribes the criteria to make an alcohol ban in sections 147B (for resolutions) and 147A (for bylaws). The criteria cannot be changed by council.
- For permanent alcohol bans by resolution or in a bylaw, the statutory criteria requires:
  o evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area
  o the ban to be appropriate and proportionate in light of the crime or disorder
  o the ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.
- For temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1, the statutory criteria requires the alcohol ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.
Before making a decision, council must also comply with the general decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020). This could include considering:
- complementary or alternative solutions to an alcohol ban, for example locking gates, public bins, lighting, CCTV and Māori or Pacific Wardens
- views of people likely to be affected by or interested in the alcohol ban, for example nearby residents or businesses, community groups, and the New Zealand Police
- the nature, severity and frequency of alcohol-related crime or disorder
- whether the crime or disorder is a result of displacement from an existing alcohol ban
- whether an alcohol ban would result in displacement of the crime or disorder
- whether a Crime Prevention through Environmental Design assessment is needed.

Council must under clause 8 consider standard times to improve consistency in Auckland, however it may also consider other times more appropriate and proportionate in light of evidence.

**Related information about making an alcohol ban – making a request**

- Members of the public (for example community groups, businesses and the New Zealand Police) may request council to make an alcohol ban at any time, with supporting evidence.

**Related information about alcohol ban signage**

- Council uses alcohol ban signage to inform, educate and assist with enforcement.
- The Governor General may use section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) to make rules about alcohol ban signage. No rules have been made to date.

Clause 8 replaced in accordance with Clause 2(2).

**Part 4**

**Enforcement powers, offences and penalties**

**9 Police can use statutory powers and other methods to enforce this Bylaw**

(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.

**Related information about enforcement**

The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans and have powers relating to search, seizure and arrest under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020).

Clause 9 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
10 A person can be penalised for not complying with this Bylaw

(1) A person who fails to comply with Part 2 of this Bylaw commits an offence and is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related information about penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A person who breaches an alcohol ban commits an offence and is liable to an infringement fee of $250 under section 4 of the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013 (as printed on 18 December 2013).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 10 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

---

Part 5

Savings and transitional provisions

11 Existing resolutions continue to apply

(1) This clause applies to all resolutions made under this Bylaw prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force.

(2) Every resolution made continues to apply as if made after the amendments to this Bylaw until the expiration date specified in the resolution or until amended, replaced or revoked by council, whichever comes first.

Clause 11 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

12 Existing inquiries to be completed under this Bylaw

(1) Any compliance or enforcement action by council under this Bylaw that was not completed prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force will continue to be actioned under this Bylaw as if the amendments had not been made.

Clause 12 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).
Schedule 1

Event-Based Temporary Alcohol Bans

[Attached maps will be formatted to council communication standards prior to notification]

In this Schedule, “major events” has the same meaning as “large scale events” in section 147A of the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Alcohol Ban Area</th>
<th>Operative Time</th>
<th>Map number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Auckland Domain major events alcohol ban (excluding ‘Christmas in the Park’) | • Auckland Domain  
  • Associated carpark areas and sports fields | 6am on the day of any major event at Auckland Domain to 6am on the day after that event | 1 |
| • Auckland Domain ‘Christmas in the Park’ alcohol ban | • Auckland Domain  
  • Associated carpark areas, sports fields and surrounding streets | 4pm on the Friday before any ‘Christmas in the Park’ event at the Auckland Domain to 8am on the following Monday after that event | 2 |
| • Eden Park major events alcohol ban | • Eden Park  
  • Surrounding streets  
  • Fan Trail if activated as part of the event | 12 hours before any major event at Eden Park to 12 hours after that event | 3 |
| • Mt Smart Stadium major events alcohol ban | • Mt Smart Stadium  
  • Surrounding streets | 6am on the day of any major event at Mt Smart Stadium to 6am on the day after that event | 4 |
| • Western Springs major events alcohol ban | • Western Springs Stadium  
  • Western Springs Lakeside  
  • Western Springs Outer Fields  
  • Surrounding streets | 6am on the day of any major event at Western Springs Stadium to 6am on the day after that event | 5 |

**Related information about event-based (temporary) alcohol bans**

- More information on major events can be found in council’s [Events Policy](#). The policy describes major events as events that have a regional, national and international profile. Examples of major events where a temporary alcohol ban could apply include concerts (Six60 at Western Springs), festivals (Lantern Festival at Auckland Domain), and sporting events (Warriors at Mount Smart).
- There are two Eden Park Fan Trails. One is a 2.3 kilometre walking route to Eden Park activated on ‘match days’, starting at Western Park on Ponsonby Road. The second was activated last during the 2011 Rugby World Cup and is a 4 kilometre walking route to Eden Park from Queen Elizabeth Square.
Auckland Domain - Major events (excluding Christmas in the Park)
Regional
Hours of Operation: 6am on the first day of the event until 6am on the day after the event
Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 licence.
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

Eden Park - Major events

Regional
Hours of Operation: 12 hours before and after an event.

Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 license.
Attachment A  
Item 19
Mt Smart Stadium - Major events

Regional

Hours of Operation: 6am on the day of the event to 6am the day after the event

Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 licence.
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw
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## Related information, Bylaw history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 November 2010</td>
<td>Made legacy bylaws about alcohol control(^1) (Section 63, Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 November 2010</td>
<td>Commencement of legacy bylaws about alcohol control (Section 63, Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 July 2014</td>
<td>Review of legacy bylaws about alcohol control completed (RBC/2014/27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Proposal to make new bylaw about alcohol control and to revoke legacy bylaws (GB/2014/70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 October 2014</td>
<td>Made the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2014/121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dd month year</td>
<td>Public notice of making of the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 and revocation of legacy bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 December 2014</td>
<td>Commencement of Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 and revocation of legacy bylaws (GB/2014/121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 April 2019</td>
<td>Review of Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 completed (REG/2019/19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 September 2020</td>
<td>Proposal to make a new bylaw about alcohol control (GB/2020/##)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>## April 2021</td>
<td>Amended the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2021/##)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Public notice of amending the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 June 2021</td>
<td>Commencement of amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2021/##)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## Related information, next bylaw review

This Bylaw must be reviewed by 11 April 2029. If not reviewed by this date, the Bylaw will expire on 11 April 2031.
Item 19

Find out more: phone 09 301 0101
or visit aucklandCouncil.govt.nz
Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014

(as at 30 October 2014)

Made by Governing Body of Auckland Council

Resolution in Council

30 October 2014

Pursuant to section 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Governing Body of Auckland Council makes the following bylaw about alcohol control matters.
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Part 1
Preliminary provisions

1 Title
   (1) This bylaw is the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

2 Commencement
   (1) This bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.

3 Application
   (1) This bylaw applies to Auckland.

4 Purpose
   (1) The purpose of this bylaw is to control the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places to reduce alcohol related harm.

5 Interpretation
   (1) In this bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, -

Auckland has the meaning given by the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2010.

Explanatory Note: As at 20 September 2011, the definition in section 4 of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 "...means the area within the boundaries determined by the Local Government Commission under section 33(1) (as that determination is given effect to by Order in Council under section 35(1))."

Alcohol has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 "... means a substance—

(a) that—
   (i) is or contains a fermented, distilled, or spirituous liquor; and
   (ii) at 20°C is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by volume; or

(b) that—
   (i) is a frozen liquid, or a mixture of a frozen liquid and another substance or substances; and
   (ii) is alcohol (within the meaning of paragraph (a)) when completely thawed to 20°C; or

(c) that, whatever its form, is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by weight in a form that can be assimilated by people."

Christmas / New Year holiday period means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, section 45 of the Holidays Act 2003 specifies that where the public holiday falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the...
public holiday must be treated as falling on the following Monday or Tuesday respectively.

Community-focused solutions mean alternative or complementary measures to an alcohol ban to reduce alcohol related harm. Examples include crime prevention through environmental design, local community initiatives, discussions with nearby licensees, youth and leadership development programmes, and partnering with Police, Ministry of Justice, sports clubs and town centre / business associations.

Council means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated to act on its behalf.

Explanatory Note: A list of delegations may be attached to this bylaw for information only purposes.

Licensed premises has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 "... means any premises for which a licence [under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012] is held."

Public holiday has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 January 2014, the definition of public holiday in section 44 of the Holidays Act 2014 means "...
(a) Christmas Day:
(b) Boxing Day:
(c) New Year’s Day;
(d) 2 January:
(e) Waitangi Day;
(f) Good Friday:
(g) Easter Monday:
(h) ANZAC Day:
(i) the birthday of the reigning Sovereign (observed on the first Monday in June):
(j) Labour Day (being the fourth Monday in October):
(k) the day of the anniversary of a province or the day locally observed as that day."

Public place has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002 "...
(a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but
(b) does not include licensed premises."
(2) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this bylaw.

(3) Explanatory notes and additional information attached at the end of this bylaw are for information purposes only, do not form part of this bylaw, and may be made, amended, revoked or replaced by the council at any time without a formal process.

Part 2
Control of alcohol

6 Alcohol bans

(1) Every person is prohibited from consuming, bringing into, or possessing alcohol in any public place (including in a vehicle) in contravention of an alcohol ban made by the council in accordance with clause 7(1).

Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002, the prohibition in clause 6(1) does not apply to alcohol in an unopened container in the following circumstances "...
(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
   (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
   (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place; or
(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place; or
(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or
(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a public place to a place outside the public place if—
   (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
   (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place."

7 Making alcohol bans

(1) The council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
(a) the consumption, bringing into or possession of alcohol in public places; and
(b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) The council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7(1) —
(a) be satisfied that the alcohol ban gives effect to the purpose of the bylaw; and
(b) comply with the decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002; and
(c) comply with the criteria under section 147B of the Local Government Act 2002 as follows

(i) be satisfied that there is documented evidence that the area to which the alcohol ban will apply has experienced a high level of crime or disorder that can be shown to have been caused or made worse by alcohol consumption in the area; and

(ii) be satisfied that the alcohol ban is appropriate and proportionate in light of the evidence and can be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms; and

(e) investigate and where appropriate, implement community-focused solutions as an alternative to or to complement an alcohol ban; and

(f) consider the views of the New Zealand Police; and

(g) consider the views of Maori; and

(h) consider the views of owners, occupiers, or persons that council has reason to believe are representative of the interests of owners or occupiers, of premises within the area to which the alcohol ban will apply; and

(i) consider the following times, where appropriate and not contrary to the requirements in subclause (2)(c) –

(i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);

(ii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);

(iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night time alcohol ban);

(iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

*Explanatory note: The times in clause 7(2)(f) are a guide to improve consistency in times across Auckland, but recognises that in some instances use of the times specified may be clearly disproportionate to the evidence of the problem and therefore contrary to the statutory requirements in clause 7(2)(c) that requires alcohol bans be proportionate in light of the evidence.*

(3) The council may, at any time, amend or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 7(1) and 7(2) with the necessary modifications.

8 **Signage**

(1) The council may make controls on any or all of the following in relation to signage for alcohol ban areas subject to compliance with any regulations under section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002:

(a) require the council to erect and maintain signs indicating the existence or boundaries of an alcohol ban;

(b) describe the placement of the signs

(c) prescribe kinds of signs required to be erected and maintained (including, without limitation, content, images, maps, size, lettering, symbols, and colouring).
Part 3

Enforcement, offences, penalties

9 Enforcement
   (1) A constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this bylaw.

   (2) In addition to their general powers under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Police may exercise the power under section 170(2) of that Act (to search a container or vehicle immediately and without further notice) on specified dates or in relation to specified events notified in accordance with section 170(3) of that Act.

   Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, a constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.

10 Offences and penalties
   (1) Every person who breaches this bylaw commits an offence.

   (2) Every person who commits an offence under this bylaw is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.

   Explanatory note: As at 29 October 2013 the penalty for breaching an alcohol ban is an infringement fee of $250 under the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013.
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### Section 1
#### History of Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make</td>
<td>Following liquor control bylaws in force on 31 Oct 2010 deemed to have been made by Auckland Council: • Auckland City Council, Part 14 Liquor Control in Public Places • Franklin District Council, Liquor Control Bylaw 2008 • Manukau City Council, Chapter 11 Liquor Control • North Shore City Council, Part 24 Control of consumption of liquor • Papakura District Council, Liquor Control in Public Places Bylaw 2008 • Rodney District Council, Chapter 16 Liquor Bylaw • Waitakere City Council, Control of Liquor in Public Places Bylaw 2008</td>
<td>01 Nov 2010</td>
<td>Section 63 Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010</td>
<td>01 Nov 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapse</td>
<td>Previous liquor control bylaws (and consequently any resolutions made pursuant to those bylaws) to be allowed to lapse.</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
<td>31 Oct 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make</td>
<td>Review of liquor control bylaws resulted in replacing the seven previous liquor control bylaws with the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
<td>18 Dec 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 2
#### Related Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Description of Document</th>
<th>Location of Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiheke Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 July 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Attachment A

#### Item 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function, Duty, Power to be Delegated</th>
<th>Delegated Authority</th>
<th>Date of Delegation Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement of Delegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oversight of regulatory performance</td>
<td>The Regulatory Committee</td>
<td>7 Nov 2013</td>
<td>GB/2013/132 and GB/2018/237</td>
<td>7 Nov 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make, amend or revoke permanent<em>1 and temporary</em>2 alcohol ban.</td>
<td>Regulatory Committee in relation to any public place described in *3</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
<td>18 Dec 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make, amend or revoke permanent<em>1 and temporary</em>2 alcohol ban.</td>
<td>Local boards in relation to any public place excluding those public places described in *3</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
<td>18 Dec 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Section 3

#### Delegations for matters contained in bylaw

1. Oversight of regulatory performance

2. Make, amend or revoke permanent*1 and temporary*2 alcohol ban.

---

**Page 3 of 40**
Permanent means an alcohol ban that applies for an indefinite period (e.g. 24/7, daily 9pm to 6am, public holidays).

A temporary alcohol ban applies on specified dates or in relation to specified events (e.g. Christmas in the park). Decisions on temporary alcohol bans may authorise the use of enhanced search provisions under section 170(2) of the Local Government Act 2002.

The Regulatory and Bylaws Committee has decision-making responsibility in relation to alcohol bans on –

(a) Any public place for which the Governing Body retains decision-making for non-regulatory activities as contained in the Long Term Plan.

(b) Any regional park, including any associated park, road, beach or foreshore area.

(c) All Tūpuna Maunga over which the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority is the Administering Authority, including the Tūpuna Maunga vested in the Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust under the Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014.

Section 4
Register of current alcohol bans

Refer to council [website](https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/licences-regulations/alcohol-bans-policies/Pages/alcohol-ban-maps.aspx).

Section 5
Enforcement powers for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Provision</th>
<th>Description of Legislative Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002</td>
<td>A constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 6
Offences and penalties for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Description of Offence</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Infringement Fee</th>
<th>Other Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl 6</td>
<td>Breach of bylaw</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$250*</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013

Section 7
Monitoring and review for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Measured By</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* None attached at this time.
## Appendix C: Comparison of existing Alcohol Control Bylaw and proposed amended Bylaw

The table below shows the current text of the Bylaw compared with proposed amendments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pursuant to section 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Governing Body of Auckland Council makes the following bylaw about alcohol control matters.</td>
<td>Bylaw made under sections 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary
This summary is not part of the Bylaw but explains the general effects.

- Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly.
- This can negatively affect nearby residents’ sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.
- The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there, by –
  - using alcohol bans to prohibit people from consuming, bringing or possessing alcohol in certain public places at certain times (clause 6)
  - settling how council may make a new alcohol ban by resolution (clauses 7 and 8)
  - specifying event-based temporary alcohol bans (Schedule 1)
  - referencing the full list of alcohol bans and maps (viewable on council's website).

Other parts of this Bylaw assist with its administration by –

- stating its name, when it comes into force and where it applies (clauses 1, 2 and 3)
- stating the purpose of this Bylaw and defining key terms (clauses 4 and 5)
- referencing the powers of the New Zealand Police to enforce this Bylaw, including the issue of $250 infringement fines (Part 4)
- ensuring existing resolutions continue to apply and incomplete enforcement action can continue where relevant (Part 5).

Cover page reformatted and Summary inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>This bylaw is the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>This Bylaw is the Te Ture a-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clause 1 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment A  
### Item 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Commencement</td>
<td>2 Commencement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) This bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.</td>
<td>(1) This Bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Application</td>
<td>(2) Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution GB/####/2020 come into force on 01 June 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) This bylaw applies to Auckland.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Related Information about amendments

- Council decided on dd month year to make various amendments to the Bylaw. The majority of the amendments were to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. Key changes included:
  - making new event-based (temporary) alcohol bans in Schedule 1
  - using related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
  - clarifying exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and clarifying council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans.

A comparison of the Bylaw before and after the amendments were made can be viewed in Item 3 of the Auckland Council Governing Body meeting agenda dated dd month year.

Clause 2 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

### Part 1 Preliminary provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 Purpose</th>
<th>4 Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to control the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places to reduce alcohol related harm.</td>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 4 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

### Part 1 Preliminary provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Interpretation</th>
<th>5 Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) In this bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires,</td>
<td>(1) In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Alcohol has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.
- Alcohol has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.
### Existing Bylaw

**Explanatory Note:** As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 3(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 "...means a substance—

(a) that—

(i) is or contains a fermented, distilled, or spirituous liquor,

(ii) at 20°C is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by volume; or

(b) that—

(i) is a frozen liquid, or a mixture of a frozen liquid and another substance or substances; and

(ii) is alcohol (within the meaning of paragraph (a)) when completely thawed to 20°C; or

(c) that, whatever its form, is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by weight in a form that can be assimilated by people."

**Auckland** has the meaning given by the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2010.

**Explanatory Note:** As at 20 September 2011, the definition in section 4 of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 "...means the area within the boundaries determined by the Local Government Commission under section 33(1) (as that determination is given effect to by Order in Council under section 35(1))".

### Bylaw with proposed amendments

**Auckland** has the meaning given by [section 4(1)] of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.

**Related Information**

The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 enabled the Local Government Commission to determine Auckland's boundaries in a map titled LGC_Ak_R1. The boundaries were formally adopted by **Order in Council** on 15 March 2010, and came into effect on 1 November 2010.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Christmas / New Year holiday period</strong> means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.</td>
<td><strong>Christmas / New Year holiday period</strong> means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the <a href="#">Holidays Act 2003</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanatory Note:</strong> As at 01 April 2014, section 45 of the Holidays Act 2003 specifies that where the public holiday falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the public holiday must be treated as falling on the following Monday or Tuesday respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community-focused solutions</strong> mean alternative or complementary measures to an alcohol ban to reduce alcohol related harm. Examples include crime prevention through environmental design, local community initiatives, discussions with nearby licensees, youth and leadership development programmes, and partnering with Police, Ministry of Justice, sports clubs and town centre / business associations.</td>
<td><strong>Council</strong> means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Explanatory Note:** A list of delegations may be attached to this bylaw for information only purposes. | **Related information about who can make an alcohol ban** Council has delegated the making of alcohol bans by resolution under clause 7 to –  
- local boards for local parks, streets and carparks as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)  
- the Regulatory Committee for areas of regional significance as at 30 October 2014 (GB2/2014/121)  
- the Auckland Domain Committee for the Auckland Domain as at 1 November 2016 (GB/2016/237).  
The Governing Body of Auckland Council is responsible for making alcohol bans in all other public places, and for any alcohol bans in Schedule 1. |
<p>| <strong>Council</strong> means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf. |  |
| <strong>Licensed premises</strong> has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. |  |
| <strong>Explanatory Note:</strong> As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 “… means any premises for which a licence [under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012] is held.” |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public holiday</strong> has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.</td>
<td><strong>Public holiday</strong> has the same meaning given in the <a href="https://www.govt.nz">Holidays Act 2003</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory Note: As at 01 January 2014, the definition of public holiday in section 44 of the Holidays Act 2014 means &quot;...&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Christmas Day;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Boxing Day;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) New Year’s Day;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) 2 January;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Waitangi Day;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Good Friday;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Easter Monday;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h) ANZAC Day;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) the birthday of the reigning Sovereign (observed on the first Monday in June);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j) Labour Day (being the fourth Monday in October);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k) the day of the anniversary of a province or the day locally observed as that day.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Public place** has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002. | **Public place** has the meaning given by [section 147](https://www.govt.nz) of the Local Government Act 2002. |
| Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002 "..." | Related Information |
| (a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but | The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 1 July 2018) states a public place – |
| (b) does not include licensed premises." | (a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but |
| | (b) does not include licensed premises. |

**Vehicle** has the meaning given by [section 2(1)](https://www.govt.nz) of the Land Transport Act 1998.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this bylaw.</td>
<td>(2) Related information does not form part of this Bylaw and may be inserted, changed or removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Explanatory notes and additional information attached at the end of this</td>
<td>without any formality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bylaw are for information purposes only, do not form part of this bylaw, and</td>
<td>(3) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may be made, amended, revoked or replaced by the council at any time without</td>
<td>Clause 5 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a formal process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2 Control of alcohol</td>
<td>Part 2 Alcohol consumption and possession in public places</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Alcohol bans

(1) Every person is prohibited from consuming, bringing into, or possessing alcohol in any public place (including in a vehicle) in contravention of an alcohol ban made by the council in accordance with clause 7(1).

**Explanatory note:** As at 01 April 2014 under section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002, the prohibition in clause 6(1) does not apply to alcohol in an unopened container in the following circumstances:

- (a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
  - (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises;
  - (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place;
- (b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place;
- (c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitor;
- (d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a place outside the public place if—
  - (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises;
  - (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.

### Alcohol prohibited in public places where an alcohol ban applies

(1) A person must not consume, bring or possess alcohol in any public place or in any vehicle in any public place where—

- (a) an alcohol ban made by council in accordance with clause 7 of this Bylaw applies; or
- (b) an alcohol ban in a Schedule of this Bylaw applies.

(2) However, subclause (1) does not apply in those circumstances described in section 147(4) or section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002.

**Related information about alcohol bans**

A list of all alcohol bans made using clause 7 and related maps is attached at the end of this Bylaw for information only, and can be viewed on council’s website.

**Related information about exceptions – Transport of alcohol**

In section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), an alcohol ban does not apply in the case of alcohol in an unopened container to,—

- (a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
  - (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
  - (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place;
- (b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place;
- (c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitor;
- (d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a place outside the public place if—
  - (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
  - (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.

This may include for example, transporting alcohol from a supermarket to your home, from your home to a BYO restaurant or from your home to a friend’s house.

**Related information about exceptions – Licensed premises**

In section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), exceptions apply to an alcohol ban where a licence is held under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (Subpart 1 of Part II). This may include for example, buying alcohol at an event at a park that holds a special licence or dining on the footpath at a restaurant that holds an on-licence providing for BYO alcohol.
## Item 19

**Attachment A**

**Existing Bylaw**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
<th>Part 3 Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
7 Making alcohol bans

(1) The council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing into or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) The council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7(1) –
   (a) be satisfied that the alcohol ban gives effect to the purpose of the bylaw, and
   (b) comply with the decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002; and
   (c) be satisfied that there is documented evidence that the area to which the alcohol ban will apply has experienced a high level of crime or disorder that can be shown to have been caused or made worse by alcohol consumption in the area; and
   (d) be satisfied that the alcohol ban is appropriate and proportionate in light of the evidence and can be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms; and
   (e) investigate and where appropriate, implement community-focused solutions as an alternative to or to complement an alcohol ban; and

7 Council may make an alcohol ban

(1) Council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) Council may amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 8 with all necessary modifications.

Clause 7 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

8 Procedure for making an alcohol ban

(1) Council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7 –
   (a) comply with decision-making requirements under the Local Government Act 2002; and
   (b) consider using one of the following standard times where appropriate in relation to the requirements in subclause 2(a) –
      (i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);
      (ii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);
      (iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night-time alcohol ban);
      (iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

Related information about making an alcohol ban
- Council may make a permanent or temporary alcohol ban by resolution in clause 7 or by making a bylaw. The process to amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban is similar to the process that made the ban.
- The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) prescribes the criteria to make an alcohol ban in sections 147B (for resolutions) and 147A (for bylaws). The criteria cannot be changed by council.
- For permanent alcohol bans by resolution or in a bylaw, the statutory criteria requires:
  - evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area.
### Explanatory Note
The times in clause 7(2)(f) are a guide to improve consistency in times across Auckland, but recognises that in some instances use of the times specified may be clearly disproportionate to the evidence of the problem and therefore contrary to the statutory requirements in clause 7(2)(c) that requires alcohol bans be proportionate in light of the evidence.

### Related Information about making an alcohol ban – making a request
- Members of the public (for example community groups, businesses and the New Zealand Police) may request council to make an alcohol ban at any time, with supporting evidence.

### Related Information about alcohol ban signage
- Council uses alcohol ban signage to inform, educate and assist with enforcement.
- The Governor General may use section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) to make rules about alcohol ban signage. No rules have been made to date.

Clause 8 replaced in accordance with Clause 2(2).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(f) consider the views of the New Zealand Police, and</td>
<td>o the ban to be appropriate and proportionate in light of the crime or disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) consider the views of Maori; and</td>
<td>o the ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h) consider the views of owners, occupiers, or persons that council has reason to believe are representative of the interests of owners or occupiers, of premises within the area to which the alcohol ban will apply; and</td>
<td>o For temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1, the statutory criteria requires the alcohol ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) consider the following times, where appropriate and not contrary to the requirements in subclause 2(2)(c) –</td>
<td>o Before making a decision, council must also comply with the general decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020). This could include considering –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);</td>
<td>o complementary or alternative solutions to an alcohol ban, for example locking gates, public bins, lighting, CCTV and Māori or Pacific Wardens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);</td>
<td>o views of people likely to be affected by or interested in the alcohol ban, for example nearby residents or businesses, community groups, and the New Zealand Police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night time alcohol ban);</td>
<td>o the nature, severity and frequency of alcohol-related crime or disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).</td>
<td>o whether the crime or disorder is a result of displacement from an existing alcohol ban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).</td>
<td>o whether an alcohol ban would result in displacement of the crime or disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).</td>
<td>o whether a Crime Prevention through Environmental Design assessment is needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(3) The council may, at any time, amend or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 7(1) and 7(2) with the necessary modifications.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8 Signage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) The council may make controls on any or all of the following in relation to signage for alcohol ban areas subject to compliance with any regulations under section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) require the council to erect and maintain signs indicating the existence or boundaries of an alcohol ban;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) describe the placement of the signs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) prescribe kinds of signs required to be erected and maintained (including, without limitation, content, images, maps, size, lettering, symbols, and colouring).</td>
<td>Part 4 Enforcement powers, offences and penalties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9 Enforcement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) A constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this bylaw.</td>
<td>9 Police can use statutory powers and other methods to enforce this Bylaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) In addition to their general powers under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Police may exercise the power under section 170(2) of that Act (to search a container or vehicle immediately and without further notice) on specified dates or in relation to specified events notified in accordance with section 170(3) of that Act.</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, a constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.</td>
<td><strong>Related information about enforcement</strong> The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans and have powers relating to search, seizure and arrest under sections 168 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 9 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 Offences and penalties</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Every person who breaches this bylaw commits an offence.</td>
<td>10 A person can be penalised for not complying with this Bylaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Every person who commits an offence under this bylaw is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.</td>
<td>(1) A person who fails to comply with Part 2 of this Bylaw commits an offence and is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 4 Enforcement, offences, penalties</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment A  
**Item 19**

#### Existing Bylaw

Explanatory note: As at 29 October 2013 the penalty for breaching an alcohol ban is an infringement fee of $250 under the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013.

#### Bylaw with proposed amendments

A person who breaches an alcohol ban commits an offence and is liable to an infringement fee of $250 under section 4 of the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013 (as printed on 18 December 2013).

Clause 10 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

---

### Part 5  
**Savings and transitional provisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11 | **Existing resolutions continue to apply**  
(1) This clause applies to all resolutions made under this Bylaw prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force.  
(2) Every resolution made continues to apply as if made after the amendments to this Bylaw until the expiration date specified in the resolution or until amended, replaced or revoked by council, whichever comes first. |

Clause 11 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12 | **Existing inquiries to be completed under this Bylaw**  
(1) Any compliance or enforcement action by council under this Bylaw that was not completed prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force will continue to be actioned under this Bylaw as if the amendments had not been made. |

Clause 12 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

### Schedule 1  
**Event-Based (Temporary) Alcohol Bans**

[Attached maps will be formatted to council communication standards prior to notification]

In this Schedule, “major events” has the same meaning as “large scale events” in section 147A of the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Alcohol Ban Area</th>
<th>Operative Time</th>
<th>Map number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Auckland Domain major events alcohol ban (excluding)  
• Auckland Domain  
• Associated carpark areas and sports fields | 6am on the day of any major event at Auckland Domain to 6am on the day after that event | 1 |
### Existing Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Area</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ‘Christmas in the Park’ | Auckland Domain  
Auckland Domain  
Associated carpark areas, sports fields and surrounding streets  
4pm on the Friday before any ‘Christmas in the Park’ event at the Auckland Domain to 8am on the following Monday after that event |
| Eden Park major events alcohol ban | Eden Park  
Surrounding streets  
Fan Trail if activated as part of the event  
12 hours before any major event at Eden Park to 12 hours after that event |
| Mt Smart Stadium major events alcohol ban | Mt Smart Stadium  
Surrounding streets  
6am on the day of any major event at Mt Smart Stadium to 6am on the day after that event |
| Western Springs major events alcohol ban | Western Springs Stadium  
Western Springs Lakeside  
Western Springs Outer Fields  
Surrounding streets  
6am on the day of any major event at Western Springs Stadium to 6am on the day after that event |

### Related information about event-based (temporary) alcohol bans

- More information on major events can be found in council’s [Events Policy](#). The policy describes major events as events that have a regional, national and international profile. Examples of major events where a temporary alcohol ban could apply include concerts (Like60 at Western Springs), festivals (Lantern Festival at Auckland Domain), and sporting events (Warriors at Mount Smart).
- There are two Eden Park Fan Trails. One is a 2.3 kilometre walking route to Eden Park activated on ‘match days’, starting at Western Park on Ponsonby Road. The second was activated last during the 2011 Rugby World Cup and is a 4 kilometre walking route to Eden Park from Queen Elizabeth Square.
Chairperson's report
File No.: CP2020/09066

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide Chairperson Cath Handley with an opportunity to update the local board on the projects and issues she has been involved with and to draw the board's attention to any other matters of interest.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) receive the Chairperson, Cath Handley’s update.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Chairperson's report (to be tabled at the meeting)</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dileeka Senewiratne - Democracy Advisor Waiheke Local Board</td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager - Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Placeholder for Attachment A

Chairperson's report

Chairperson's report (to be tabled at the meeting)
List of Resource Consents Applications

File No.: CP2020/09068

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
Attached are the lists of resource consent applications related to Waiheke Island received from 7 June to 4 July 2020.

Te tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the lists of resource consents lodged related to Waiheke Island from 7 June to 4 July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Resource Consent Applications</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dileeka Senewiratne - Democracy Advisor Waiheke Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resource Consents Applications received from 7 June to 4 July 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIS60358332</td>
<td>Jun 7, 2020</td>
<td>Discharge Consent Application</td>
<td>Geraldine Boyes Hannaford</td>
<td>499 Sea View Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Provision of a new Axis 15 filtration system and discharge field to replace the existing septic tank and discharge field from the 1950s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358308</td>
<td>Jun 8, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>William Robert Wynne</td>
<td>88B Church Bay Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>TO DRILL A WATER BORE ON THE PROPERTY FOR DOMESTIC USE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE60358381</td>
<td>Jun 8, 2020</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Anna-Michelle Halter</td>
<td>23 Hauroki Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Prune one leader of a Kanuka over house and prune a berm tree within overhead utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIS60358378</td>
<td>Jun 9, 2020</td>
<td>Discharge Consent Application</td>
<td>Auckland Council</td>
<td>104 Ostend Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>There are elevated levels of some contaminants discharging passively to the receiving environment, and a long term discharge consent is required to authorise these discharges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358536</td>
<td>Jun 9, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Stephen Graham Lockwood</td>
<td>133 The Strand Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>To drill a bore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358507</td>
<td>Jun 10, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Rorohara Farms Limited</td>
<td>77 Waiheke Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1971</td>
<td>Additions alterations to existing boatshed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358612</td>
<td>Jun 11, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Fraser Cotric Wallace</td>
<td>87 Trig Hill Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Extension to deck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358630</td>
<td>Jun 11, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Talesmy Family Trust</td>
<td>7 Ocean View Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Construct a garage extension and associated works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358726</td>
<td>Jun 15, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Wills-Buckwill Trustee Limited</td>
<td>1 Calais Terrace Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Additions and alterations to existing dwelling for new ensuite and deck - front yard &amp; site coverage infringement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60358991</td>
<td>Jun 19, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Wavesong Limited</td>
<td>20C Third Avenue Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Use of existing approved units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60359177</td>
<td>Jun 23, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Gdc Family Trust</td>
<td>19 Eden Terrace Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Proposed new dwelling with on-site wastewater treatment and disposal system and associated works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60359227</td>
<td>Jun 24, 2020</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Andrew Lindsay Carter</td>
<td>53 O'Brien Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Construction of a new dwelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment A

#### Item 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRE80359494</td>
<td>Jun 30, 2020</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Heather Margaret Richards</td>
<td>25 Waheke Road Waheke Island Auckland 1971</td>
<td>Prune three natives trees and a Pohutukawa tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE80359542</td>
<td>Jun 30, 2020</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Arbor Bros Tree Services c/- Danny Priest</td>
<td>45 Hauraki Road Waheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Pruning and crown thin of 4 Kanuka trees and 1 Lemonwood tree to allow more afternoon sunlight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE80359557</td>
<td>Jun 30, 2020</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Arbor Bros Tree Services c/- Danny Priest</td>
<td>115 The Strand Waheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Removal of a large leader reaching over the dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE80359559</td>
<td>Jun 30, 2020</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Arbor Bros Tree Services c/- Danny Priest</td>
<td>27 Tawa Street Waheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Pruning off snapped branches from a Kanuka tree and limbs going over the dwelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To present the Waiheke Local Board with its updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Waiheke Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar 2019 - 2022 is appended to the report as Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff for reference and information only.
3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is expected and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Te tūtohunga

Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) receive its Governance Forward Work Calendar for the political term 2019 - 2022 dated July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dileeka Senewiratne - Democracy Advisor Waiheke Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>July 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>August 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waiheke Local Board Workshop Record of Proceedings

File No.: CP2020/09070

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To note the Waiheke Local Board proceedings taken at the workshops held on 17 and 24 June and 1 and 8 July 2020.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Under section 12.1 of the current Standing Orders of the Waiheke Local Board, workshops convened by the local board shall be closed to the public. However, the proceedings of every workshop shall record the names of members attending and a statement summarising the nature of the information received, and nature of matters discussed.

3. The purpose of the local board's workshops is for the provision of information and local board members discussion. No resolutions or formal decisions are made during the local board's workshops.

4. The record of proceedings for the local board’s workshops held on 17 and 24 June and 1 and 8 July 2020 are appended to the report.

5. These can also be viewed, together with workshop agendas, at this link https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/about-auckland-council/how-auckland-council-works/local-boards/all-local-boards/waiheke-local-board/Pages/waiheke-local-board-public-and-business-meetings.aspx

Te tūtohunga
Recommendation

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the record of proceedings for the local board workshops held on 17 and 24 June and 1 and 8 July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings of 17 June 2020</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings of 24 June 2020</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings of 1 July 2020</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Workshop proceedings of 08 July 2020</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dileeka Senewiratne - Democracy Advisor Waiheke Local Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Janine Geddes - Acting Relationship Manager: Aotea / Great Barrier and Waiheke Local Boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings

Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Wednesday 17 June 2020, commencing at 9.00am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Cath Handley
Members: Bob Upchurch
Kylee Matthews
Robin Tucker
Absent: Paul Walden
Also present: Janine Geddes, Mark Inglis, Dileeka Senewiratne, John Nash and Fiona Gregory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke Quick Response Round Two.</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Grants Lead gave a brief overview of the funding round. Grants applications were opened from the 20\textsuperscript{th} April to the 15\textsuperscript{th} of May – applications received were impacted on the Covid-19 situation. 11 applications were received and one withdrawn. Applications requesting $17,579.00 in total grants. There is $10,639 available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fran Hayton - Practice Lead Grants &amp; Incentives</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zaelene introduced herself and gave the history around the Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki involvement in the local area. Negotiation with the crown is completed. Zaelene is one of the Iwi of the Hauraki area. James Brown is the Chair of the board and the chief negotiator. Zaelene looks forward to meeting the Waiheke board members face to face and introducing James Brown and the other Ngai Tai board members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Waiheke Pony Club - Tania Arora-Twentyman** | Tania had an informal catch up with the board.  

Points of discussion:  
Operating since 1973. Many riders that have gone on to be great eventers. Responsible for managing the land, weed control, arena. Can’t do any long-term planning as no security of lease.  
Bigger community than just girls riding horses. Open day once a year. Visits for over 40 children for riders without horses. Members - 17 riders aging from 8-21 years.  
Grazing aspect is important, nice to have water access.  
Noted relocation would be expensive - infrastructure, arena, clubhouse, toilet, troughs |
| **Work programme pre-discussion on priorities** | The Lead Finance Advisor briefed the board on the interim funding for ACE venue partners.  
Capex allocation by board different to previous years. A fixed envelope given to the board as part of FY21 budget, within which contractually committed projects and deferrals from FY20 will have to be managed.  
Next week departments will present to the board on the proposed work programme priorities for FY21 financial year.  
Carry-forward of LDI opex projects will be based on Council’s Guidelines on Unspent Opex. |

The workshop concluded at 2.10pm
Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings

Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Wednesday, 24 June 2020 commencing at 10.00am.

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Cath Handley  
**Members:** Bob Upchurch, Kylee Matthews, Robin Tucker  
**Absent:** Paul Walden  
**Also present:** Janine Geddes, Mark Inglis, Dileeka Senewiratne, John Nash and Fiona Gregory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agenda run-through</td>
<td>Informal dissemination</td>
<td>The board went through the agenda and draft resolutions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local Board Work programme**  
**Workshop 5 – Part 2** | | |
| Mark Inglis – Local Board Advisor; Fiona Gregory – Strategic Broker; Jestine Joseph – Lead Financial Advisor; Katrina Morgan – Work Programme Lead; John Nash – Programme Manager; Prasanthi Cottingham – Relationship Advisor; Wataka; Corrina Meikle – Manager Library Operations; Oliver Kunzendorff – Area Manager Project Delivery; Nicole Braganza – Work Programme Lead; Jacqui Thompson Fell – Parks and Places | Session 2  
LDI Opex Priorities:  
• ANZAC Day  
• Youth hub reduce (noting they have been adversely affected by mental health). Skatepark will do more for the community – socialisation, community, reward.  
• $2k or $5k reduction on project lines to reach savings target  
• Economic development (and resilience)  
• Youth development  
• Māori responsiveness  
• Community grants (increase to $80k)  
• Swimming pool  
• Sustainable schools (no increase to previous)  
• Water Quality  
• Replace Project Forever Waiheke with Dark Skies  
• Event partnerships (reduced)  

**Low priorities:**  
• Parks planning  
• Mātiatia |
| Specialist; David Barker | Civic events  
|                         | Bike Hub (deferred)  
|                         | Neighbour day replaced with resiliency  
|                         | Volunteers Day  
| Noted:                  | ABS ecological programmes a high priority  
| LDI Capex               | Onetangi driveway and culvert – HW haven’t yet confirmed contribution.  
| Need to understand what the HW impacts are on current projects. What projects are in and what are out as far as stormwater projects, culverts, etc. hugely important. Flood mitigation specifically.  
| **Action:**             | I&ES to confirm status of Waiheke HW projects.  

The workshop concluded at 3.00pm
Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Wednesday 1 July 2020, commencing at 10.00am

**PRESENT**
- **Chairperson:** Cath Handley
- **Members:**
  - Bob Upchurch
  - Kylene Matthews
  - Robin Tucker
- **Absent:** Paul Walden
- **Also present:** Janine Geddes, Mark Inglis, John Nash, Fiona Gregory and Teresa Quin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke Animal Shelter Closure</td>
<td>Informal dissemination</td>
<td>Issues with current pound premises:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Anderson - Manager Animal Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Concrete needs to re-pour to add traction for dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Driveway needs upgrading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Kennel sizes too small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Security issues (no cctv for unsupervised overnight stays)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Drainage issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. No storage for hazardous materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Fencing needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Informally only impounding dangerous dogs, on the mainland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action:**
- Sarah: look at options for on-island animal shelter support
- Sarah: supply a memo of the issues with the premises and look at a formal report to the board for public transparency
- Janine: Understand land holding for re-purposing the premises and work with John / Sarah on board role
- Sarah: ensure emergency budget feedback around animal shelters is made available to LB
**Surfdale Post Office - EOI**
Fiona Gregory – Strategic Broker &
Gert Van Staden – Advisor Lease

Confidential:

(2) Subject to sections 6, 8, and 12, this section applies if, and only if, the withholding of the information is necessary to—
(a) protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons;

| Item 23 |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Surfdale Post Office** | **CONFIDENTIAL** |
| **Informal dissemination** | **Presented the CAB Waiheke Manager’s Report** |
| **Surfdale Post Office** | **CAB being proactive by disseminating information – so far; supporting foreign nationals and wills** |
| **Informal dissemination** | **Covid-19 has seen a surge of immigration and employment issues** |
| **Update from Citizens Advice Bureau** | **CAB Waiheke can help LB with issues such as trees, fences, barking dogs etc** |
| **Informal dissemination** | **Has a database that is publicly accessible, as well as hidden access to such things as an immigration hotline** |
| **Presented the CAB Waiheke Manager’s Report** | **CAB information is organisationally managed and not in the heads of volunteers** |
| **CAB being proactive by disseminating information – so far; supporting foreign nationals and wills** | **Interestingly, Citizens Advice works both ways – information to citizens, and information to policy makers about citizens** |
| **Covid-19 has seen a surge of immigration and employment issues** | **Everything is publicly available – include CAB overview reports (for example, Covid-19 activity)** |
| **CAB Waiheke can help LB with issues such as trees, fences, barking dogs etc** | **‘Thank you for our computers!’** |
| **Has a database that is publicly accessible, as well as hidden access to such things as an immigration hotline** | **Youth and digitally excluded populations are needing affirmative action by CAB** |
| **CAB information is organisationally managed and not in the heads of volunteers** | **Emphasised the important place of the local board in keeping CAB fit for purpose** |
Cath – invitation to hear how the LB can add value – for example - employers and their practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project forever Waiheke</th>
<th>Action:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pam Oliver - Convener</td>
<td>- Janine / Fiona to consider a relationship agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bianca</td>
<td>- Board to confirm which board member will attend meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>ATEED contact is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Various points for board involvement to be moved into a relationship agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourism impacts parameters for M&amp;R table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points of interest for LB members:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sustainability of tourism employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community impacts of tourism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Transport to and from the island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Natural environment (note the 'goat island effect')</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Economic development and resiliency (our tourism model is unsustainable because our employees can’t stay, and daytrippers dominate the tourist genre but don’t add value to the island economy). So:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Encourage families (less elitist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Longer stay visitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop with mana whenua what their indicators are. What can be researched that will add real value.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to rebrand. Similar to Tīnītī Matangi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators would form part of the relationship agreement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngati Paoa / mana whenua agreed project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board representative to attend hui</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The workshop concluded at 2.30pm
Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings

Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Wednesday 8 July 2020, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Cath Handley
Members: Bob Upchurch
Kylee Matthews
Robin Tucker
Absent: Paul Walden
Also present: Janine Geddes, Mark Inglis, Dileeka Senewiratne and John Nash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Healthy Waters Introduction       | Informal dissemination        | The Senior Healthy Water Specialist introduced himself and gave a brief overview on the projects. Other than for the Senior Healthy Waters Specialists involvement with the ongoing agricultural project he is dedicated to Waiheke. The board raised the following:  
  - Monitoring of water quality.  
  - Monitoring of streams and wetland.  
  - Toxic chemicals in waterways.  
  - Testing for Little Oneroa, Blackpool, Onetangi, Palm Beach – where there is continual water quality issues.  
  - Member Tucker suggested a pilot in certain areas.  

The Acting Relationship Manager suggested to get a background of the scope and future of the Little Oneroa programme before the Waiheke Resources Trust meeting next week. Wai Care and Citizen science programmes would be useful. The Programme Manager will touch base with staff about Mātātia project. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 23</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Workshop 6 – emergency budget submissions**  
Mark Inglis – Advisor,  
Janine Geddes – Acting Relationship Manager, Jestine Joseph – Lead Finance Advisor | Informal dissemination  
The Senior Healthy Water Specialist will let the board know his schedule for visiting the island. |

The Lead Finance Advisor took the board through the Performance Measurement presentation and briefed on the new members on the following:

- Local Board approves a list of performance measures which does not change for the first 3 years.
- Every year the board reviews the targets.
- Performance measures is a legal requirement. It shows how the funding is performing which gives the board the opportunity to change if required.
- Waiheke Local Board has adopted 17 performance measures.
- Sports field measures to be updated.

**Fees and charges**

Only two venues for hire in Waiheke. No increase for financial year 2020.

**Other topics of discussion:**

- Overall quality of parks and sports field – look at increasing to 75%
- Is it possible to clarify the safety question is it clear it is focused on Waiheke town centre?

Waiheke Advisor took the board through the Workshop 6 presentation.

**Actions:**

- Jestine to provide number of participants when the results are provided for this financial year.
- Janine to look at submission numbers compared to other local boards.
- Mark to check if Waiheke inorganic collection is exempt from proposed 2.5% saving.
- Jestine to confirm recycling assets phase.

---

The workshop concluded at 3.30pm