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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek feedback from the Whau Local on the proposed regional topics in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary


3. The Annual Budget 2020/2021 was first consulted on in February/March 2020 (Consultation part 1). Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position. This will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council has considered what those impacts are likely to be and have asked Aucklanders for their views on aspects of the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021, through a second round of consultation (Consultation part 2).

4. During the second round of consultation, Aucklanders were asked for their views on three key proposals:
   • general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   • rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   • suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

5. The council received feedback through telephone interviews, written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

6. This report summarises the public feedback received through Consultation part 2 on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

7. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

8. Out of the 34,915 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, 1,177 submissions were from people living in the Whau local board area.

9. Question 1 on the feedback form asked for an indication of what level of general rates increase for 2020/2021 they supported. This elicited 980 responses of which 49.5% supported a 3.5% rate increase; 40% supported a 2.5% rate increase; 10% did not know.

10. Question 2 on the feedback form asked for indication of support or non-support of the proposed rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19. 75% supported; 17.3% did not support and 7.4% did not know.

11. Question 3 on the feedback form asked for indication of support or non-support of proposed suspension of the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers. 79% supported; 10% did not support and 10.7% did not know.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Whau Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Whau local board area.

b) provide feedback on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

Horopaki
Context
12. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 (Consultation part 1).

13. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted considerable pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Given the new financial realities facing Auckland, work has been undertaken to adjust the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

14. The council has undertaken further public consultation with Aucklanders for their views on Auckland Council’s proposed ‘Emergency Budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (Consultation part 2) which included considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship. This was carried out from 29 May to 19 June 2020.

15. The Emergency Budget consultation asked Aucklanders for their view on three main proposals:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   - rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   - suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

16. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Whau local board area.

Local board input on regional plans
17. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in their local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Emergency Budget.

18. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements, which are adopted every year as part of the Annual Budget, and input into regional plans.

Types of feedback
19. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 34,915 submitters in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   - Written feedback—hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
   - Over the phone
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

20. The proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021 sets out priorities and how they will be paid for. The regional consultation on the proposed Emergency Budget focused on changes to rates and fees; the key proposals were:

- general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
- rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
- suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

21. The submissions received from the Whau Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

22. Sometimes the council receives submissions that have come via a platform created by an external organisation – these are referred to by the council as pro forma submissions. The council has received an unusually large number of pro forma submissions in this consultation process.

23. When people submit via the council’s official consultation platform (either the hardcopy feedback form or the digital form), they are directed to the council’s consultation document and supporting information which are the statutory basis for the consultation process. People who submit via pro forma submissions often will not have had this same information presented to them when they submit, although each pro forma submission is different in its approach.

24. For example, the submission form set up by the Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance did not refer to the council’s consultation material and did not ask the same questions that were included on the council’s feedback form. Generation Zero’s submission form also did not ask the same questions as the council’s feedback form. However, Generation Zero did include links to the council’s consultation material in the information supporting their submission form.

25. As with all feedback, pro forma submissions must be given due consideration with an open mind, and it is up to elected members to determine the weight that is given to this feedback.

General rates increase for 2020/2021

26. Aucklanders were asked about a proposed general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021.

**Question 1:** We are proposing an average general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021. We looked at, but could not responsibly propose rates increases below 2.5 per cent because of the severe impacts that would have on council services, new infrastructure, our debt levels and employment and business activity in Auckland.

The scale of the financial challenge that we face for next year with a revenue loss of over half a billion dollars due to COVID-19 means that spending on some council services will need to be reduced and many capital projects will be delayed even with the 3.5 per cent increase we had previously planned.

With a lower rate increase of 2.5 per cent, we would need to further reduce spending on council services and further delay investment in transport, parks and community and town centre projects.

Which increase do you support?
27. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Whau Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5 per cent</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 per cent</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to introduce a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme.

**Question 2:** We are proposing a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme. This will allow ratepayers who are struggling financially as a result of COVID-19 to defer up to $20,000 of their rates for the 2020/2021 year. At the end of the postponement period ratepayers would have to 30 June 2022 to pay off the balance (including interest and administration fees).

What do you think of our proposal?

29. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Whau Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I support the proposal</td>
<td>704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't support the proposal</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to suspend the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

**Question 3:** Suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers Restrictions on travel and mass gatherings due to COVID-19 have resulted in us reducing our spending on visitor attraction and major events. We are proposing to suspend the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR) which helps fund these activities until 31 March 2021. The APTR will only be charged for the last three months of the next financial year (2020/2021) as we increase our spending in this area. This proposal will assist the accommodation sector who are struggling financially.

What do you think of our proposal?

31. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Whau Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I support the proposal</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't support the proposal</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32. The Whau Local Board directly received pro forma submissions from seven different groups. As noted in paragraph 24 above, Auckland Ratepayers Alliance deviated from the consultation standard and resulted in a majority of respondents supporting a zero rate increase. The majority feedback for the other groups are captured in table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pro forma Group # in group</th>
<th>Q1 Majority</th>
<th>Q2 Majority</th>
<th>Q3 Majority</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GenZero (18)</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>All responded struck the same tone. 3.5% rate seen as a better opportunity for progress. Topics included persevere with cycle routes; address Airport Mass Transport; community facilities remaining open, continued walking and cycling projects, continued and more public transport options, park and ride opportunities, continued and more climate change responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluespur (160)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Supplementary to Q2. not to add fees or interest charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNSST (15)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futureway (33)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Worth noting narrow majority of 12 support 2.5%; 10 support 3.5% and 11 IDK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Kaha (3)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>The supplementary information provided was counterintuitive to response provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Partner WBT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>IDK</td>
<td>IDK</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Supplementary feedback did not give direct reason for responses but some did indicate personal financial hardship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** NR = No response; S= Support; DNS= Do not support; IDK = I don’t know

**Other feedback**

33. Aucklanders were asked if they had any feedback on any other issues including the in-principle decisions made from the first round of consultation.

34. The proposals that we previously consulted on, and that have been agreed in principle, subject to consideration of any further feedback received in the Emergency Budget consultation are:

- Increase to the waste management base service targeted rate
- Increase to the waste management standard refuse rate in former Auckland City and Manukau City areas
- Discontinuation of the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate for ratepayers in the Upper Harbour Local Board area effective from 1 July 2021
- Introduction of a new targeted rate for Central Park Henderson Business Improvement District.
35. The Whau local board did not receive further input into the above topics that would provide any basis to revisit the local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2020/2021 report that went to the Whau Local Board business meeting on 6 May 2020.

36. A number of themes came through in the consultation which do not relate to the key consultation questions but which are worth noting as they give an indication of the community’s priorities for investment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment A</th>
<th>Item 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive sentiment</th>
<th>Neutral sentiment</th>
<th>Negative sentiment</th>
<th>Total mentions</th>
<th>All boards # Submissions identified 1274</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support Cycleways</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging for Park and Ride</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion on Public transport</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing Climate change</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion on water supply issues</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting America’s Cup</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Events in the city</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing Road Safety</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Public Toilets</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feedback on other local topics

37. In addition to the themes noted above, some other feedback worth noting included:

- From the 485 submitters who supported a 3.5% general rates increase
  - Keep council services open 166
  - Need infrastructure investment 107
  - It makes sense 107
  - Stimulate economy / keep people in jobs 71

- From the 395 submitters who supported a 2.5% general rates increase
  - Most made no supplementary comments 190
  - Financial burden/ rates too high 102
  - Find other revenues/ savings including cut staff costs 58

38. The Whau local board’s priorities (previously consulted on and supported by the public) are listed below, noting that the funds available through the Emergency Budget will likely result in deferral or a reduced level of activity in respect of some initiatives in at least the short term:

- **Natural and Built Facilities:** high level of service through provision of quality, and linked up parks and open spaces, playgrounds, libraries, community and recreation facilities; and activating these spaces in a way that is inclusive of all cultures.
- Sustainability: reducing energy demands and carbon emissions, and empowering communities to enhance own environment, with a focus on the Whau River and its tributaries. Support Council climate change initiatives.
- Swimming Pool: progression of site location for swimming pool facility in the Whau
- Public Transport: support the public transport ethos by making it an easy option. This might include a review of public transport services, or other mechanisms to encourage public transport uptake – for example increased park and ride capacity.
- Continuation: Avoiding major changes to existing work programme for 2020/2021 - continue to prioritize the outcomes identified in the current Whau Local Board Plan.

39. Submitters’ commentary indicates an appetite to continue with local initiatives. Below are some quotes drawn from the feedback, stretching across different outcome areas, that are indicative of commentary at a local level.

40. Arts and Cultural activities – “I am looking for 'events' that will be in my local community. I am wanting to explore more of my neighbourhood. I feel even more connected and wanting to have reasons and ways to connect to the people in my community. The events, that are free to me, are so special and important and memorable and highlights of the week.”

41. Public Transport and Cycle paths and connectivity – “All-modes transport needs to be backed all the way. Please don’t make any cuts to walking and biking infrastructure and anything that makes streets safer. Auckland must lead the way in supporting our most vulnerable citizens in all the ways they need to be supported, including the basics: getting to work, school, study and sport. For health reasons, climate reasons and vulnerability reasons. Be the city of the future!”

42. Community Facilities “I do believe community hubs like libraries, parks etc. will need a massive amount of support during this time. These places play vital roles in their respective communities and help to keep a sense of normalcy. In the current and future hard times these places will have more importance and as a result have a strain on resources and peoplepower. The more done to mitigate this and have the facilities in right order and workers in the best possible position to serve their communities the better.”

43. Environmental outcomes “I think that it is important that the council prioritizes action on climate change and correspondingly public and active transport options. I believe that the security of our climate and adaptation to climate change is by far the biggest issue our society faces at the moment. Diverting finances and attention to other issues mean that we may be leaving action until it is too late, thereby creating a dire future for the next generations. PLEASE PRIORITIZE CLIMATE ACTION.”
Information on submitters

44. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

![Bar chart showing demographic categories]

![Pie chart showing gender distribution]

Tauākī whakaawae we āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

45. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

46. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

Ngā whakaawae we me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

47. The Emergency Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

Ngā whakaawae we ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

48. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.
49. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Emergency Budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the Emergency Budget.

**Tauākī whakaa weave Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

50. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the Emergency Budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

51. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.

52. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community who have interests in the rohe / local board area.

53. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

54. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have impacts on Māori. The impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

55. Local board input will be considered by the Governing Body for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 decision-making.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

56. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Emergency Budget by 10 July 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on them when considering the Emergency Budget in 16 July.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

57. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body meeting on 16 July.

58. The Whau Local Board will approve its local board agreement on 22 July and corresponding work programmes in August.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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