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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. The Annual Budget 2020/2021 was first consulted on in February/March 2020 (Consultation part 1). Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted significant pressure on the council’s financial position. This will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. The council has considered what those impacts are likely to be and have asked Aucklanders for their views on aspects of the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021, through a second round of consultation (Consultation part 2).

4. During the second round of consultation, Aucklanders were asked for their views on three key proposals:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   - rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   - suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers.

5. The council received feedback through telephone interviews, written forms, including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters.

6. This report summarises the public feedback received through Consultation part 2 on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

7. Local board views on these regional matters will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

8. Out of the 34,915 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, 1,898 submissions were from people living in the Waitematā Local Board area.

9. Waitematā received 1,861 responses on the general rates increase for 2020/2021. This includes pro forma submissions and feedback on other options not proposed within the consultation. 51 per cent of respondents support a 3.5% general rates increase. 23 per cent support a 2.5% general rates increase, and five per cent selected ‘I don’t know’. There were also submissions that supported more than a 3.5% increase and supported less than a 2.5% increase including support for a zero rates increase.

10. Waitematā received 1,468 responses on the rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19 scheme. 71.1 per cent support the proposed scheme. 19.2 per cent do not support the proposal, and 9.8 per cent selected ‘I don’t know’.

11. Waitematā received 1,462 responses on suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers. 72.8 per cent support this proposal. 16.7% do not support this, and 10.5 per cent selected ‘I don’t know’.

12. The three key themes identified from the Waitematā submissions on other local topics included:
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Waitematā Local Board area.

b) provide feedback on the proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

Horopaki
Context

13. Auckland Council publicly consulted from 21 February to 22 March 2020 to seek community views on the proposed Annual Budget 2020/2021 (Consultation part 1).

14. Since this consultation was undertaken, the COVID-19 pandemic has exerted considerable pressure on the council’s financial position, which will have flow on effects for the proposed budget for the 2020/2021 financial year. Given the new financial realities facing Auckland, work has been undertaken to adjust the proposed budget, now referred to as Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

15. The council has undertaken further public consultation with Aucklanders for their views on Auckland Council’s proposed ‘Emergency Budget’ in response to the financial impacts of COVID-19 (Consultation part 2) which included considering whether to adopt a 2.5 per cent rather than 3.5 per cent general rates increase for the 2020/2021 financial year, among a suite of other measures aimed at offering support to all ratepayers, including businesses, facing hardship. This was carried out from 29 May to 19 June 2020.

16. The Emergency Budget consultation asked Aucklanders for their view on three main proposals:
   - general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
   - rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
   - suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

17. This report includes analysis of the consultation feedback on the regional proposals in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from people or organisations based in the Waitematā Local Board area.

Local board input on regional plans

18. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in their local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on the proposed Emergency Budget.

19. Local Board Plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements, which are adopted every year as part of the Annual Budget, and input into regional plans.

Types of feedback

20. Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 34,915 submitters in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:
   - Written feedback – hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
21. The table below shows the channel people submitted to the consultation that were received by Waitemata.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>1496</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offline</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Ratepayers Alliance</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GenZero</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro forma</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community partners</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. Submitters can submit feedback as individuals or as an organisation. The table below shows the number of individuals and organisations from the Waitemata Local Board area that submitted to the consultation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submitters</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

23. The proposed Emergency Budget 2020/2021 sets out priorities and how they will be paid for. The regional consultation on the proposed Emergency Budget focused on changes to rates and fees; the key proposals were:

- general rates increase for 2020/2021 of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent
- rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19
- suspending the targeted rate by accommodation providers.

24. The submissions received from the Waitemata Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

25. Sometimes the council receives submissions that have come via a platform created by an external organisation – these are referred to by the council as pro forma submissions. The council has received an unusually large number of pro forma submissions in this consultation process – a total of 340 pro forma submissions were received for Waitemata. These have primarily come from two organisations – the Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance (277) and Generation Zero (52).

26. When people submit via the council’s official consultation platform (either the hardcopy feedback form or the digital form), they are directed to the council’s consultation document and supporting information which are the statutory basis for the consultation process. People who submit via pro forma submissions often will not have had this same information presented to them when they submit, although each pro forma submission is different in its approach.
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| 27. For example, the submission form set up by the Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance did not refer to the council’s consultation material and did not ask the same questions that were included on the council’s feedback form. Generation Zero’s submission form also did not ask the same questions as the council’s feedback form. However, Generation Zero did include links to the council’s consultation material in the information supporting their submission form. |

| 28. As with all feedback, pro forma submissions must be given due consideration with an open mind, and it is up to elected members to determine the weight that is given to this feedback. |

| 29. A total of 277 pro forma submissions were received from Auckland Ratepayers’ Alliance showing support for zero per cent rates increase. The pro forma did not respond to other proposals within the consultation. |

| 30. A total of 52 pro forma submissions were received from Generation Zero showing the majority support a 3.5 per cent rates increase to support climate change mitigation, transport infrastructure including safety, walking and cycling, public transport and reducing emissions, and community well-being, protecting vulnerable people and ensuring equity. The submissions did not respond to the rates postponement or accommodation providers proposals. |

| 31. Waitematā received submissions from 65 organisations. The consultation process does not provide specific weighting for submissions from organisations. However, organisations have provided the collective views of people within that organisation and can be considered as such. |

| 32. The list of submissions that identified themselves as submitting from an organisation is below: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACE New Zealand</th>
<th>Maritime Union of New Zealand Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Potvin Design Ltd &amp; iTICKET</td>
<td>Michael Hill International Violin Competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artspace Aotearoa</td>
<td>Millennium &amp; Copthorne Hotels New Zealand, owner of M Social Auckland Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Children’s Christmas Parade Trust</td>
<td>Monster Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland City Centre Residents’ Group</td>
<td>Music Managers Forum NZ Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland UNESCO City of Music</td>
<td>Neatball Waitakere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Writers Festival</td>
<td>New Zealand Dance Advancement Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio Foundation</td>
<td>New Zealand Opera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement Theatre</td>
<td>Newton Residents Business group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belong Aotearoa</td>
<td>NZ Advertising Producer’s Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravo Mike Maritime</td>
<td>NZ Comedy Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cafe 121</td>
<td>NZ Hotel Holdings Management LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circability Trust</td>
<td>NZ Music Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-Led Design Group for Ponsonby Park</td>
<td>Objectspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crackerjack Events</td>
<td>Parnell Community Committee (Inc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Wiring ltd</td>
<td>Places for Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electro NZ</td>
<td>Ponsonby Business Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity New Zealand</td>
<td>Ponsonby Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction Rebellion Auckland Central</td>
<td>Precinct Properties Holdings Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Auckland Province) Incorporated</td>
<td>R&amp;F International developing limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fireplace Arts &amp; Media Ltd</td>
<td>Sapphire Appearance Medicine Clinic, NZ Hair Transplantation Institute, Auckland Central Medical &amp; Health Centre Ltd, Coolbody Ltd, NBF Enterprise Ltd, NZ Skin Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Transportation Specialists Ltd</td>
<td>Silo Theatre Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flying Nun Records</th>
<th>Stand Up Auckland Dog Owners - we have almost 16,000 members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freemans Bay Residents Association</td>
<td>Studio38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Symonds Street Cemetery Inc.</td>
<td>TAPAC, The Auckland Performing Arts Centre at Western Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go Well Consulting</td>
<td>Th Big Idea Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldstone Family Trust</td>
<td>The Human Agency Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Groud Hospitality</td>
<td>The Operating Theatre Trust/Ta Tim Bray Theatre Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Lynn Community Centre</td>
<td>Toi Ora Live Art Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heards ltd</td>
<td>Western Bays Community Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ink Theatre Company</td>
<td>Westmore Heritage Protection Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep the Auckland dockline tram running</td>
<td>Zanetti Productions Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwi International Hotel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33. Of the 65 organisations, 58 per cent support a 3.5 per cent rates increase, whereas 26 per cent support a 2.5 per cent rates increase.

34. The key themes in the submissions received from organisations to Waitematā that support a 3.5 per cent increase are:
   - Maintain Council services such as funding for arts, community sector and events
   - It makes sense and the 3.5 per cent rates increase is needed for continuation of infrastructure projects

35. The key themes in the submissions received from organisations to Waitematā that support a 2.5 percent rates increase or selected ‘I don’t know’ are:
   - Concerns of financial hardship for individuals and small businesses
   - Revenue and savings should be sought elsewhere such as through administration and staffing costs

36. The majority of the organisations support the rates postponement (67%) for reasons of fairness and that it will help those that are struggling.

37. The majority of the organisations support suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers (86%) for reasons that it is fair, and it will support the tourism sector to recover.

### General rates increase for 2020/2021

38. Aucklanders were asked about a proposed general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021.

**Question 1:** We are proposing an average general rates increase of either 2.5 per cent or 3.5 per cent for 2020/2021. We looked at, but could not responsibly propose rates increases below 2.5 per cent because of the severe impacts that would have on council services, new infrastructure, our debt levels and employment and business activity in Auckland.

The scale of the financial challenge that we face for next year with a revenue loss of over half a billion dollars due to COVID-19 means that spending on some council services will need to be reduced and many capital projects will be delayed even with the 3.5 per cent increase we had previously planned.

With a lower rate increase of 2.5 per cent, we would need to further reduce spending on council services and further delay investment in transport, parks and community and town centre projects.

**Which increase do you support?**

39. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Waitematā Local Board area.
40. A total of 1861 submissions received on the general rates increase proposal, this include submissions received via third party (pro forma) and submissions that suggested options other than what was in the consultation material e.g. zero per cent increase and less than 2.5 per cent increase. Of the 1861 responses, 51 per cent support a 3.5% general rates increase, 23 per cent support a 2.5% rates increase, five per cent selected ‘I don’t know’. One percent support more than 3.5% rates increase. One percent support less than 2.5% increase, and 16 per cent support a zero.

41. Out of the total 1861 submissions, 1534 of the submitters responded through the consultation form provided by council (this does not include submissions via a pro forma). 59 percent of these submitters support a 3.5% increase, 27 per cent support a 2.5% increase, seven percent selected ‘I don’t know’, one per cent indicated a preference for less than 2.5% increase, and seven per cent support a 0% increase.

42. People were able to provide comments for their selection but were not required to.

43. The key themes in the responses that support a 3.5 per cent rates increase are:
   - It makes sense
   - Support Auckland growth and infrastructure projects
   - Support Council services to remain open and retain a high level of service
   - Don’t want to see a reduction in services
   - Needed to keep people in jobs and stimulate the economy
   - Support for transport projects including public transport infrastructure and cycleways
   - Climate change needs to be addressed
   - Council needs more money to facilitate post COVID recovery
   - Concerns on the impact on social issues
   - Concerns on the impact on environmental programmes
   - Support a higher than 3.5% rates increase

44. The key themes in responses that support a 2.5 per cent rates increase are:
   - Financial hardship and affordability
   - Savings should be made through organisational changes, staff and administration costs
   - Dissatisfied with management of money,
   - Dissatisfied with services provided by contractors
   - Reduce spending to core services only, and cut all ‘nice to haves’
   - Reduce spending on cycleways
   - Some people felt there should be less than 2.5 per cent or zero rates increase
45. The key themes in responses that selected ‘I don’t know’ are:
   - Want an option for zero rates increase
   - Wanted an option for a higher rates increase
   - Dissatisfied with management of funds and the focus on unnecessary projects

**Rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19**

46. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to introduce a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme.

   **Question 2: We are proposing a COVID-19 Rates Postponement Scheme. This will allow ratepayers who are struggling financially as a result of COVID-19 to defer up to $20,000 of their rates for the 2020/2021 year. At the end of the postponement period ratepayers would have to 30 June 2022 to pay off the balance (including interest and administration fees). What do you think of our proposal?**

47. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Waitematā Local Board area.

![Graph showing Q2 Rates postponement]

48. Waitematā received 1,466 responses on the rates postponement for ratepayers impacted by COVID-19 scheme. 71 per cent support the proposed scheme. 19 per cent do not support the proposal, and 10 per cent selected ‘I don’t know’.

49. The key themes in the responses that support the rates postponement scheme are:
   - This will help those in financial hardship including individuals, landlords and businesses
   - Ensure continuation for some small businesses
   - Loss of jobs and employment
   - Provide people and businesses time to recover from the impact of COVID-19
   - Economically sensible and balances the need for Council to raise revenue with the well-being of ratepayers
   - Agree with the proposal but interest and administration fees should be waived

50. The key themes in the responses that do not support the rates postponement scheme are:
   - The limit of $20,000 is too high and should be reduced
   - Would come at the cost of deferring infrastructure projects
   - Makes no sense to postpone when you need to repay with interest
   - Compound the issue and create further hardship in the future

51. The key themes in the responses that selected ‘I don’t know’ are:
   - Do not support charging interest and fees
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- Concerns of people taking advantage of the system and recommend clear eligibility criteria
- Concerns that this will only delay the debt, which will worsen people’s financial situation in the future

Suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers

52. Aucklanders were asked about a proposal to suspend the targeted rate by paid by accommodation providers.

Question 3: Suspending the targeted rate paid by accommodation providers
Restrictions on travel and mass gatherings due to COVID-19 have resulted in us reducing our spending on visitor attraction and major events. We are proposing to suspend the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR) which helps fund these activities until 31 March 2021. The APTR will only be charged for the last three months of the next financial year (2020/2021) as we increase our spending in this area. This proposal will assist the accommodation sector who are struggling financially.

What do you think of our proposal?

53. The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waitematā Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3 APTR</th>
<th>I support the proposal</th>
<th>I don’t support the proposal</th>
<th>I don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

54. Waitematā received 1,462 responses on suspending the Accommodation Providers Targeted Rate (APTR). 73 per cent support this proposal, 17 per cent do not support this, and 11 per cent selected ‘I don’t know’.

55. The key themes in the responses that support suspending the targeted rate by paid by accommodation providers are:
- Lack of tourism to receive an income
- Need to support the accommodation providers to retain the availability of accommodation
- This will support the tourism sector to recover
- Suspend the rate until the border opens or for 12 months
- Reduce the burden on those facing financial hardship
- Support for small businesses
- Some are not supportive of the APTR and want the rate removed altogether

56. The key themes in the responses that do not support suspending the targeted rate are:
- The period proposed is too long
- Prefer the accommodation to be used for long term tenancy
- Feel the rate should be based on income rather than property value
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- Some feel the proposal should only apply to commercial properties such as hotel and motel owners
- Some feel the proposal should only apply to non-commercial operations such as small ‘Airbnb’ operators
- Businesses should be financially responsible for increased and decreased business

57. The key themes in the responses that selected ‘I don’t know’ are:
- The question is not applicable as there are no tourists anyway
- Council needs to focus on its core services

Other feedback

58. Aucklanders were asked if they had any feedback on any other issues including the in-principle decisions made from the first round of consultation.

59. The proposals that we previously consulted on, and that have been agreed in-principle, subject to consideration of any further feedback received in the Emergency Budget consultation are:
- Increase to the waste management base service targeted rate
- Increase to the waste management standard refuse rate in former Auckland City and Manukau City areas
- Discontinuation of the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate for ratepayers in the Upper Harbour Local Board area effective from 1 July 2021
- Introduction of a new targeted rate for Central Park Henderson Business Improvement District.

60. Waitematā received responses to the proposal to increase the waste management targeted rate showing that 72 per cent support the proposal to increase the targeted rate whereas 24 per cent do not support this.

61. Waitematā received responses to the proposal to increase the refuse collection in former Auckland City and Manukau City targeted rate, showing that 72 per cent support increasing the targeted rate whereas 22 per cent do not support this.

62. Waitematā received responses to the continuation of the Waitākere rural sewerage service targeted rate, showing that 71 per cent support continuing the service by increasing the targeted rate, 20 per cent support ending the service, and nine per cent support subsidising the service to septic tank users in the Waitākere Ranges local board area from all general ratepayers.

Feedback on other local topics

63. Key themes across feedback received on other local topics include:

64. Theme 1: Support cycleways (226 mentions, 81% support, 19% do not support)
65. Theme 2: Public transport (203 mentions, 80% support, 8% do not support)
66. Theme 3: Addressing climate change (162 mentions, 99% support, 0% do not support)
67. Theme 4: Addressing road safety (84 mentions, 99% support, 1% do not support)
68. Theme 5: Support library provisions (76 mentions, 98% support, 2% do not support)
69. Theme 6: Supporting events in the city (75 mentions, 73% support, 21% do not support)
70. Theme 7: Supporting America’s Cup (40 mentions, 3% support, 93% do not support)
71. Theme 8: Oppose reduction or closure of animal shelters (39 mentions, 100% support)
72. Theme 9: Restoration of Leys Institute Library (33 mentions, 100% support)
Information on submitters

73. The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoan</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongan</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African/Middle Eastern/Latin</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total people providing ethnicity</strong></td>
<td><strong>1399</strong></td>
<td><strong>107%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Gender Diverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>688</strong></td>
<td><strong>671</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

74. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature.

75. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

76. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

77. Key themes in the submissions received by Waitematā include addressing climate change, supporting public transport and cycleways.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

78. The Emergency Budget is an Auckland Council Group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local Impacts and local board views
79. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a
statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.
80. Local boards play an important role in the development of the Emergency Budget. Local
board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the
Emergency Budget.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
81. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board
agreements and the Emergency Budget are important tools that enable and can
demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.
82. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community
including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build
relationships between local boards and iwi, and the wider Māori community.
83. The analysis included submissions made by mana whenua and the wider Māori community
who have interests in the rohe / local board area.
84. Ongoing conversations between local boards and Māori will assist to understand each
other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in
council’s decision-making processes.
85. Waitematā received 63 submissions from individuals that identified as Māori.
86. Some of the proposed projects in the Emergency Budget may have impacts on Māori. The
impacts on Māori of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with as a result of
this, will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
87. Local board input will be considered by the Governing Body for the Emergency Budget
2020/2021 decision-making.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
88. Local boards are required to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the
Emergency Budget by 10 July 2020, to enable the Governing Body to make decisions on
them when considering the Emergency Budget in 16 July.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
89. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant
governing body committees for consideration during decision making at the Governing Body
meeting on 16 July.
90. The local board will approve its local board agreement on 21 July and corresponding work
programmes on 18 August.
91. The Governing Body will adopt the Emergency Budget on 30 July 2020.
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There are no attachments for this report.
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