I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Waitematā Local Board will be held on:

Date:      Tuesday, 21 July 2020  
Time:      1.00pm  
Meeting Room: Waitematā Local Board Office  
Venue:     Ground Floor  
           52 Swanson Street  
           Auckland  

Waitematā Local Board  
OPEN AGENDA  

MEMBERSHIP  
Chairperson     Richard Northey, (ONZM)  
Deputy Chairperson    Kerrin Leoni  
Members        Adriana Avendano Christie  
               Alexandra Bonham  
               Graeme Gunthorp  
               Julie Sandilands  
               Sarah Trotman, (ONZM)  

(Quorum 4 members)  

Priscila Firmo  
Democracy Advisor  

13 July 2020  

Contact Telephone: (09) 353 9654  
Email Priscila.firmo@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz  
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz  

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. Should Members require further information relating to any reports, please contact the relevant manager, Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>TABLE OF CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Apologies</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Declaration of Interest</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Confirmation of Minutes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Leave of Absence</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Acknowledgements</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Petitions</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Deputations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Deputation - Gael Baldock and Bill Gruar - Mobility Access in the City</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Deputation - Bruce Kilmister - JP services at Ellen Melville Centre</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Public Forum</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Tricia Reade - Symonds Street Cemetery</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Petra Smith - New Zealand Wars Memorial Statue</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Extraordinary Business</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ward Councillor's report</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Auckland Transport July 2020 Update</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Auckland Quarter 3 Performance Report</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ATEED six-monthly report to the Waitematā Local Board</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Adoption of the Waitematā Local Board Agreement 2020/2021</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chair's report</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Board member reports</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Waitematā Local Board workshop records</td>
<td>Error! Bookmark not defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Consideration of Extraordinary Items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Waitematā Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 16 June 2020 and the extraordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 7 July 2020, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Waitematā Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Gael Baldock and Bill Gruar - Mobility Access in the City

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To speak to the board about Mobility Access in the City.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Gael Baldock and Bill Gruar will be in attendance to speak about Mobility Access in the City.
Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) thank Gael Baldock and Bill Gruar for their attendance.

8.2 Deputation - Bruce Kilmister - JP services at Ellen Melville Centre

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To speak to the board about JP services at Ellen Melville Centre.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Bruce Kilmister will be in attendance to speak about JP services at Ellen Melville Centre.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) thank Bruce Kilmister for his attendance.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Tricia Reade - Symonds Street Cemetery

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Tricia Reade wishes to speak to the board on the importance of the Symonds Street Cemetery.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Section 7.8 of the Waitematā Local Board’s set of Standing Orders provides for a member of the public to address a Waitematā Local Board meeting in its public forum section of the meeting.
3. Formal approval from the Chair is not required.

Time
4. A period of up to 30 minutes, or such other time as the local board or any of its committees may determine, will be set aside for a public forum at the commencement of meetings of the local board which are open to the public.
5. Each speaker during the public forum section of a meeting may speak for three minutes.
6. Standing orders may be suspended on a vote of not less than 75 per cent of those
present to extend the period of public participation or the period any speaker is allowed to speak.

7. This Standing Order does not apply to inaugural meetings and, where not appropriate, extraordinary meetings or a special consultative procedure.

**Subjects of public forum**

8. The public forum is to be confined to those items falling within the scope or functions of that local board or committee. Speakers must not speak about a matter that is under judicial consideration or subject to a quasi-judicial process.

**Questions of speakers during public forum**

9. With the permission of the chairperson, members may ask questions of speakers during the period reserved for public forum. Questions by members, if permitted, are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

10. Members may not debate any matter raised during the public forum session that is not on the agenda for the meeting, or take any action in relation to it, other than through the usual procedures for extraordinary business if the matter is urgent.

11. The meeting may not make any resolution on issues raised in public forum except to refer the matter to a future meeting, or to another committee, or to the chief executive for investigation.

12. [Note: s 76 – 81, LGA 2002, regarding decision-making]

**Language for speeches**

13. A member of the public may address a meeting in English, Māori or New Zealand Sign Language. However, the person should advise the chairperson of their intention to speak in a language other than English at least two clear working days before the meeting.

14. Where practical, Auckland Council will arrange for a translator to be present at the meeting. The chairperson may also order the speech and any accompanying documents to be translated and printed in English or Māori or another language.

**Chairperson’s discretion**

15. The chairperson may:
   - direct a speaker to a different committee if they consider this more appropriate, given the proposed subject matter
   - prohibit a speaker from speaking if they are offensive, repetitious or vexatious, or otherwise breach these standing orders.

**Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s**

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) thank Tricia Reade, Chair of Friends of Symonds Street Cemetery Inc., for her attendance.
9.2 Petra Smith - New Zealand Wars Memorial Statue

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Petra Smith wishes to speak to the board about the New Zealand Wars Memorial Statue on Wakefield Street.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Section 7.8 of the Waitematā Local Board’s set of Standing Orders provides for a member of the public to address a Waitematā Local Board meeting in its public forum section of the meeting.
3. Formal approval from the Chair is not required.

Time
4. A period of up to 30 minutes, or such other time as the local board or any of its committees may determine, will be set aside for a public forum at the commencement of meetings of the local board which are open to the public.
5. Each speaker during the public forum section of a meeting may speak for three minutes.
6. Standing orders may be suspended on a vote of not less than 75 per cent of those present to extend the period of public participation or the period any speaker is allowed to speak.
7. This Standing Order does not apply to inaugural meetings and, where not appropriate, extraordinary meetings or a special consultative procedure.

Subjects of public forum
8. The public forum is to be confined to those items falling within the scope or functions of that local board or committee. Speakers must not speak about a matter that is under judicial consideration or subject to a quasi-judicial process.

Questions of speakers during public forum
9. With the permission of the chairperson, members may ask questions of speakers during the period reserved for public forum. Questions by members, if permitted, are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.
10. Members may not debate any matter raised during the public forum session that is not on the agenda for the meeting, or take any action in relation to it, other than through the usual procedures for extraordinary business if the matter is urgent.
11. The meeting may not make any resolution on issues raised in public forum except to refer the matter to a future meeting, or to another committee, or to the chief executive for investigation.
12. [Note: s 76 – 81, LGA 2002, regarding decision-making]

Language for speeches
13. A member of the public may address a meeting in English, Māori or New Zealand Sign Language. However, the person should advise the chairperson of their intention to speak in a language other than English at least two clear working days before the meeting.
14. Where practical, Auckland Council will arrange for a translator to be present at the meeting. The chairperson may also order the speech and any accompanying documents to be translated and printed in English or Māori or another language.
Chairperson’s discretion
15. The chairperson may:

- direct a speaker to a different committee if they consider this more appropriate, given the proposed subject matter
- prohibit a speaker from speaking if they are offensive, repetitious or vexatious, or otherwise breach these standing orders.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) thank Petra Smith for her attendance.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the opportunity for Waitematā and Gulf Ward Councillor Pippa Coom, Ōrākei Ward Councillor Desley Simpson and Albert-Eden Roskill Ward Councillors Christine Fletcher and Cathy Casey to update the local board on regional issues that they have been involved with since the previous local board meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Waitematā Local Board's Standing Orders clauses 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 provide provision in the local board meeting for Governing Body members to update their local board counterparts on regional matters of interest to the local board, or on any matter the Governing Body member wishes to raise with the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the written report update from the Waitematā and Gulf Ward Councillor, Pippa Coom and the verbal or tabled Ward Councillor reports.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Pippa Coom Councillor Report – Waitematā and Gulf Ward

General update

This is my Councillor report covering the period from 8 June – 7 July. It has been prepared for the July business meetings of the Aotea Great Barrier, Waiheke and Waitematā Board Local Boards.

The purpose of my report is to detail my main activities and to share information with the local boards in my ward regarding governing body decisions, my attendance at events and meetings, regional consultations, media updates and key issues.

Positions

- Deputy Chair, Environment and Climate Change Committee
- Co-Chair, Hauraki Gulf Forum
- Member, Auckland City Centre Advisory Board (ACCAB)
- Board Member, LGNZ National Council
- Member, Auckland Domain Committee

Summary

- As NZ moved to Alert Level 1 on 8 June a phased re-opening of council facilities was able to happen more quickly. Meetings are now all in person but with more flexibility to join by Skype.
- As of 6 July, water levels in Auckland’s nine water collection dams remain at a record low, sitting at 55.8 per cent. Water restrictions continue.
- From 30 June most of Auckland’s city centre moved to a speed limit of 30km/h
- Consultation on the Emergency Budget closed on 19 June. The budget has been my main focus as the Governing Body works towards the decision making meeting on 16 July.

Governing Body meetings – Key decisions

The minutes for all meetings are available on the Auckland Council website. The following is intended as a summary only.

On 9 June Governing Body held a confidential meeting to appoint the new CEO. The successful candidate has yet to be announced.

On 11 June the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee approved the initiation of a comprehensive review of the Regional Parks Management Plan 2010.

The committee also approved the proposed amendments to the Local Government Funding Agency legal documents and Foundation Policies.

On 25 June Governing Body received an update from Watercare on the water shortage and agreed to waive resource consent fees for residential rainwater tank installation. The committee also amended the standing orders to allow elected members to attend electronically if they prefer to, but without voting rights.

The governing body agreed to urgently contact central government to request an announcement on shovel ready project funding be made prior to our emergency budget decision making on July 16th.

On 2 July the Planning Committee approved several private plan changes in Drury East and Whenuapai.

The committee also approved the preparation of Spatial Land Use Frameworks for the Kumeu-Huapai and Wainui Silverdale Dairy Flat areas and established a Political Working Party to approve the draft frameworks for consultation.

Other key meetings and events

In the period 8 June to 7 July I attended:

- Event with the Mayor to mark the planting of native trees as part of CRL works along Albert Street. Eight trees were planted over the week, with a total of 23 trees (Totara, Golden Totara, Pohutukawa, Black Maire and Puriri) planned as part of CRL’s Contract 2 works (photo right with CRL CEO Sean Sweeney and the Mayor).
- Ports of Auckland Community Reference group meeting held via Zoom on 10 June
- Dawn blessing and opening by the PM of Commercial Bay on 11 June (photo below)
- Waitemata Local Board business meeting on 16 June and the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board meeting on 23 June
• CRL event on 23 June to mark the start of works on the underground Aotea Station
• ACCAB workshop on 23 June
• Grey Lynn Business Association networking event on 25 June at Malt bar
• Media briefing for the Safer Speeds rollout on 29 June
• A low key opening of the new high canopy primate habitat for orangutans and siamangs at Auckland Zoo
• KBA convened meeting to discuss Karangahape Road/ Auckland Street Whanau.
• Sam Judd farewell from Sustainable coastlines on 3 July
• NZ Trio concert Origins at the Concert Chamber on 6 July (this was the first live performance at the Town Hall post lockdown)

Other matters

Emergency Budget 2020/2021

Consultation on the Emergency Budget ended on 19 June (Attachment 1 Our Auckland. Whaiheke must speak up on Emergency Budget says Councillor)

During the consultation period I participated in three online community webinars. A Have your Say event for regional stakeholders was held on 10 June.

A record 34,000 submissions were received through the three weeks consultation period.

The budget and consultation were in response to the financial impact of COVID-19. At the start of the consultation the forecast shortfall in revenue was of more than half a billion dollars over the next financial year.

Unfortunately, it is likely a further $224m needs to be found for Watercare measures to increase the supply of water in the face of the worst drought ever experienced in the city. This number is higher than the estimate provided in the draft emergency budget documentation and places further pressure on the council.
A series of workshop are underway to discuss the feedback and all elements of the budget leading up to the final decision on 16 July.

Safe Speeds

From 30 June most of Auckland’s city centre moved to a speed limit of 30km/h (the current 10km/h combined pedestrian and vehicle zones will remain). Speed limits on Hobson, Fanshawe and Nelson streets will be reduced to 40km/h instead of 30km/h.

This is a major milestone since Auckland became a Vision Zero region last year. Photo right at Auckland Transport’s media briefing to unveil the new signage with Cr Darby and Rodney Local Board member Louise Johnston.

(Attachment 2: Opinion piece: Together our streets can be safer)

Innovating Streets

The temporary COVID-19 works installed in the northern end of Queen Street were planned to undergo some refinement over the week beginning 5 July. These improvements are based on feedback received from businesses and residents to make the purpose of the new spaces clearer for users and improve the overall appearance of Queen Street.

Later this month, the ‘Access for Everyone’ pilot for the Waikato Queen Street Valley will begin through a co-design process, which will test new ways to lay out Queen Street prioritising space for pedestrians. Access for buses, emergency and service vehicles will be retained, while non-essential traffic will be discouraged. The pilot is funded from NZTA’s innovating streets fund and the City Centre Targeted rate. (Attachment 3: Our Auckland Access for Everyone Pilot to begin on Queen Street)

Recommendation

THAT this report be received.
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Attachment 1

Waiheke must speak up on Emergency Budget says Councillor

Our Auckland Published: 15 June 2020

A forecasted $525 million dollar hole in Auckland Council’s budget for next year caused by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, means there will need to be a whole range of cuts to services, projects, environmental programmes, and grants funding that will have an impact on Waiheke says Waitematā and Gulf Councillor Pippa Coom.

Councillor Coom says Auckland Council is taking pro-active measures to address its unprecedented financial situation resulting from the COVID-19 crisis and has already found substantial savings, however additional cuts are inevitable.

“We’re already tracking towards $120 million dollars’ worth of savings for next year by severely cutting back numbers of temporary and contracted staff and we’re currently reviewing out operating model to make further staffing cuts.

“On top of that, the mayor, councillors, chief executives and CCO board chairs have also accepted pay reductions of 20 per cent.

-
“In addition, staff and board members earning over $100,000 dollars per year, have taken voluntary pay cuts of up to 10 per cent.

“But we need to do more; and this is where we face tough decisions as we try to balance our budget, while still maintaining the services relied upon by communities across the region, including on Waiheke.

“On Waiheke, the council looks after 112 local parks, two regional parks, two sports parks, five community places, four cemeteries, 30 public toilets, 25 community leases, one arts and culture space, one animal shelter and one much-loved library.

“All of these spaces and places are important to the community and contribute to the well-being of Waiheke residents.

“The Emergency Budget consultation closes this Friday and I urge Waiheke residents to speak up and tell us what’s important to you and your community.

“Do you support the previously agreed 3.5 per cent average general rates increase, or a lower increase of 2.5 per cent? The 2.5 per cent option would require greater cuts in spending with $10 million less investment in community assets and further cuts to the transport budget for projects to renew and make Waiheko’s roads safer. Where do you think cuts are acceptable and what do you want to see prioritised?

“The more information we have about what’s important to residents, the better able we are to make the right decisions for the island and for the whole Auckland region,” says Councillor Coom.

To have your say, visit akhaveyoursay.nz/emergency-budget

You can also provide feedback via social media using the hashtag #AKHaveYourSay, at your local library or service centre, by calling 09 301 0101 or by requesting a feedback form and returning it to the freepost address.

You have until 19 June to have your say.
Attachment 2

Access for Everyone pilot to begin on Queen Street

Our Auckland Published: 18 June 2020

The highly anticipated ‘Access for Everyone’ pilot for the Waihorotiu/Queen Street Valley will begin next month, signalling the start of pedestrian priority for the heart of Auckland.

Auckland Transport and Auckland Council will use a co-design process with Queen Street users and stakeholders to test low-cost ways to lay out the street that can be quickly adjusted, adapted, improved or removed through the process. This approach has successfully been used in High Street, where it won an award from Living Streets Aotearoa.

Access for Everyone will work towards the removal of non-essential car traffic from Queen Street. This prioritises pedestrians and frees up road space for public transport, deliveries, emergency services and for people with limited mobility.

Access for Everyone is the key concept in the City Centre Masterplan, the visionary plan to guide the city centre’s development for the next 20 years, which was endorsed by the Auckland Council Planning Committee in March this year.
Australians were overwhelmingly supportive of Access for Everyone with 82 per cent of submitters endorsing the concept through the City Centre Masterplan consultation.

"We had originally planned to start the pilot later this year, but we've seized the opportunity to bring it forward due to the environment created by COVID-19 which required Auckland Transport to urgently install emergency measures in Queen Street for physical distancing.

"The emergency measures provide a layout for safer space. Now, the opportunity is to build off that and investigate how to make Queen Street more attractive and easier to use. The time is ripe to begin the transition of Queen Street to become a magnet for people.

"Close collaboration between Auckland Transport, Auckland Council and Waka Kotahi in a co-design process with stakeholders and users of Queen Street will show the way," he says.

Waitematā and Gulf ward Councillor Pippa Coom says feedback is already being collected as part of the evaluation of the emergency physical distancing measures and will serve as a starting point for the discussion and co-design process.

"A key principle of Access for Everyone is the environment is co-designed with the community it is meant to serve. This process will involve a working group of key partners who represent the Queen Street community, as well as working closely with individual businesses, residents, property owners and street users," says Cr Coom.

Commencing next month, the co-design process will adapt the existing Queen Street emergency measures, reflecting user needs and feedback. Being a pilot, it will use temporary materials and test a range of activities to help people better use the space. By focussing on people's perceptions of Queen Street, it will work to find the best layout and promote Queen Street as being 'open for business'.

Chair of the City Centre Residents Group, Noelene Buckland, says "As residents of the city centre, we support the City Centre Master Plan's vision for cleaner air, safer streets and greater amenity in the city centre. We were pleased to be a part of the combined council, business and residents team that produced the fantastic outcome on High Street, and we look forward to the same close collaboration and co-design to achieve the outcomes we need on Queen Street.

In the coming weeks some further enhancements to the appearance of the emergency installations will be made based on initial feedback.

Funding for the Queen Street pilot is being sought from NZTA's Innovating Streets contestable fund. This was established in September 2019 to support trials of temporary treatments to improve street environments and provide more space for people. Funding is also being provided by the City Centre Targeted Rate.
In early May research was undertaken into the attitudes of Aucklanders to pilot programmes such as Access for Everyone and Innovating Streets. Almost three in four (73 per cent) Aucklanders support this type of initiative and more than half of people surveyed believe that these initiatives are more relevant since the pandemic began. While COVID-19 remains a potential threat, there is a need to maintain our ability to react rapidly if physical distancing may once again be required.

Further surveys will be undertaken throughout the duration of the pilot, to gauge people’s perceptions and attitudes towards the improvements.
Attachment 3
Together we can make our streets safer

In uniting against Covid-19, Aucklanders stayed home, stayed safe and went out of their way to be kind. Local trips during lockdown for exercise and essential travel were on relatively quiet, stress-free roads. Low traffic volumes allowed Aucklanders to reclaim their neighbourhoods and gave many the confidence to take to walking and cycling.

We have an opportunity to embed this kindness into our collective culture; and extend it to our behaviour behind the wheel. The changes coming on 30 June 2020 will make for permanently safer streets for everyone and build on the enthusiasm for active transport.

On this day Auckland Transport will roll-out safe new speed limits around the region designed to stop people being killed or seriously injured on our roads. In the first phase, more than 600 self-explaining and high-risk roads will have new and safe speed limits.
From 30 June 2020, most of Auckland’s city centre will have a speed limit of 30km/h (the current 10km/h combined pedestrian and vehicle zones will remain). Speed limits on Hobson, Fanshawe and Nelson streets will be reduced to 40km/h instead of 30km/h. In addition, AT will implement engineering treatments on these arterials to protect vulnerable road users like people walking and cycling.

Slower speeds in the city centre will create a safer environment for everyone and complement the initiatives already underway to create a people focused city centre. Auckland is falling into line with international best practice and joining communities aspiring to a transport system where nobody dies if someone stuffs up.

Setting safe speeds is one the quickest and cost-effective ways to reduce deaths and serious injuries on our roads.

The work towards the roll out of lower speed limits began with the Auckland Council Planning Committee’s September 2018 resolution requesting Auckland Transport to accelerate the road safety and speed management programmes and seek input from partners to make Auckland a Vision Zero region. In September 2019 Auckland Transport’s board approved the Vision Zero strategy for the Auckland region.

This was a major milestone that I had worked towards with many other road safety advocates advocates including Living Streets Aotearoa, Bike Auckland, Brake NZ the road safety charity and NZ School Speeds. Sweden may have followed a fatally misguided response to Covid-19 but when it comes to a different kind of crisis Sweden’s Vision Zero road safety strategy, first introduced in 1995, has proved successful as a pathway towards eliminating road trauma. Vision Zero is an ethics-based approach that puts human life ahead of any other benefits and has now been adopted around the world.

Road crashes will happen but what we need to do is to make them “survivable” when people inevitably make mistakes. Survivable means that people involved in a crash should be able to walk away rather than be carried away by first responders.

No matter what causes a crash, speed is the undeniable factor in whether a crash is likely to occur and whether it kills or seriously injures those involved. Evidence also shows that for every additional kilometre of speed, the chances of getting involved in a fatal crash are at least four times greater.

The benefits extend beyond lives saved. Safer speeds are a pro-community and pro-business response. Lower speed limits have the potential to improve traffic flow, improve fuel efficiency, reduce pollution and noise. Fewer severe crashes mean less time delays and decreased business interruption.

Speed limit reductions are only one part of a package of measures that what will make our neighbourhoods more liveable, equitable, healthy and safe. We need to 11
continue investment in road safety treatments, driver education that entrenches a “be kind” approach to everyone on the road and walking and cycling infrastructure to incentivise choosing active transport

30 June is an important date for Auckland. It marks the next stage of our journey to making our roads and streets kinder and safer for everyone.

A version of this article appeared in the July Ponsonby News

Illustration by Emma Mclnnes courtesy of Bike Auckland
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

File No.: CP2020/08968

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek support on the statement of proposal to amend the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 before it is finalised for public consultation.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. To enable the local board to provide its views on the statement of proposal to amend the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014, staff have prepared a draft proposal.

3. The draft proposal would continue to enable council to make alcohol bans in certain public places to reduce crime and disorder caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.

4. The main proposals are to include new temporary alcohol bans for major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain, and to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand.

5. Staff recommend that the local board provide its views on the draft proposal.

6. There is a reputational risk that the draft proposal or the local board’s views do not reflect the views of people in the local board area. This risk would be partly mitigated by future public consultation processes. The local board will have an opportunity to consider any public feedback and provide formal views to a Bylaw Panel prior to the final decision.

7. The local board’s views will be provided to the Regulatory Committee on 1 September 2020 who will recommend a statement of proposal for public consultation to the 24 September Governing Body meeting. Public consultation is scheduled for October 2020, Bylaw Panel deliberations for March 2021, and a final decision by the Governing Body for April 2021.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) support the draft statement of proposal in Attachment A of this agenda report to amend the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 for public consultation.

Horopaki
Context

The Alcohol Control Bylaw enables council to make alcohol bans in public places

8. The Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (Bylaw) aims to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.

9. The Bylaw achieves this by providing a framework that enables alcohol bans to be made by resolution of the relevant delegated authorities – the Regulatory Committee, Auckland Domain Committee or local boards. Alcohol bans are enforced by the New Zealand Police.
The Regulatory Committee have decided to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

10. The Regulatory Committee requested staff commence the process to amend the Bylaw on 9 May 2019 (REG/2019/28). The process leading to this decision is summarised below.

11 April 2019 (REG/2019/19) Regulatory Committee endorsed the statutory bylaw review findings that:

- a bylaw about the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places is still the most appropriate way to address crime or disorder in certain public places caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there
- the current Bylaw does not give rise to any implications under, and is not inconsistent with, the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990
- the current Bylaw structure and wording could be improved.

9 May 2019 (REG/2019/28) Regulatory Committee instructed staff to draft an amended Bylaw (Option two) after considering four options:

- Option one: status quo – retain Bylaw that makes alcohol bans by resolution
- Option two: amend the current Bylaw – improve the status quo
- Option three: replace the current Bylaw – new bylaw that contains all alcohol bans
- Option four: revoke Bylaw – no bylaw and instead rely on other existing methods.

Staff prepared a proposal in line with decisions of the Regulatory Committee

11. Staff have prepared a draft statement of proposal (draft proposal) to implement the decision of the Regulatory Committee to amend the Bylaw (Attachment A).

12. The draft proposal includes the reasons and decisions leading to the proposed amendments and a comparison between the existing and amended bylaws.

The local board has an opportunity to provide its views on the proposal

13. The local board now has an opportunity to provide its views on the draft proposal in Attachment A by resolution to the Regulatory Committee before it is finalised for public consultation.

14. For example, the local board could support the draft proposal for public consultation, recommend changes before it is finalised, or defer comment until after it has considered public feedback on the proposal.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

The draft proposal makes improvements to the current alcohol control bylaw

15. The draft proposal seeks to improve the use of alcohol bans for major events¹ and make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. The table below summarises the proposed changes.

16. The term ‘major event’ is defined in council’s Event Policy as events that both appeal to, and have, a regional, national and international profile and audience, and deliver significant economic return on investment.

---

¹ Council’s Events Policy refers to major events as events having a regional, national and international profile.
Summary of proposed changes to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Reasons for proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for all major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain in the Bylaw.</td>
<td>Including new event-based temporary alcohol bans made in the Bylaw:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The new event-based temporary alcohol bans will replace existing event-based temporary alcohol bans made by resolution for Mount Smart Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain for ‘Christmas in the Park’ and the Lantern Festival. Changes to these existing resolutions would:</td>
<td>• more easily enables a preventative approach to alcohol-related crime or disorder at or near event venues used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for Mount Smart Stadium extend the ban to apply to all major events, not just concerts</td>
<td>• removes time and cost to process individual requests for event-based temporary alcohol bans where:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for Eden Park extend the ban to include Eden Park stadium, and two fan trails if they are activated as part of the event</td>
<td>o the event venue has in the past, is currently, and will in the future be used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for Auckland Domain extend the ban to all major events (not just the Lantern Festival) and extend the times of the ban to start one hour earlier and finish one hour later. The ban for the Auckland Domain ‘Christmas in the Park’ event would remain unchanged.</td>
<td>o a ban has been used in the past for major events at the event venue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Replace with a related information note clauses about alcohol ban signage, and clauses about legislative decision-making criteria.</td>
<td>• creates more consistent event-based temporary alcohol ban times and application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans and Bylaw wording.</td>
<td>Replacing some clauses with related information notes and providing clarifications:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• removes provisions that are unnecessary to state in the Bylaw but are useful as extra information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• provides rules that are easier to read and understand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The draft proposal complies with statutory requirements

17. The draft proposal has been prepared in accordance with statutory requirements and best practice drafting guidelines:

• The proposed inclusion of event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at certain venues in the Bylaw is a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms because the bans only apply temporarily to a limited area for large scale events.

• The amended Bylaw is a more appropriate form of bylaw because the inclusion of event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at certain venues enables a preventative approach to alcohol-related crime or disorder. The amended Bylaw would also be easier to read and understand.

• The amended Bylaw has no implications under, and is not inconsistent with, the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (the Act). There are potential limitations to freedoms

---

2 MT/2017/144 (Mt Smart); AE/2015/119 (Eden Park); RBC/2015/41 and WTM/2016/110 (Christmas in the Park); ADC/2017/43 (Lantern Festival).

3 There are two Eden Park Fan Trails designed for fans to walk to Eden Park. One starts at Ponsonby Road (activated on ‘match days’) and one starts from Queen Elizabeth Square (last used during the 2011 Rugby World Cup).

4 Section 147A of the Local Government Act 2002.
protected by the Act of expression, peaceful assembly, movement, security against unreasonable search and seizure, and to not be arbitrarily arrested. These limitations are justified because alcohol bans help prevent harm to the public, and because council’s ability to make alcohol bans is subject to legislative criteria which ensures any ban is justified, appropriate and proportionate.

Staff recommend the local board consider providing its views on the proposal

18. Staff recommend that the local board consider the draft proposal and whether it wishes to provide its views to the Regulatory Committee.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement

19. There are no implications for climate change arising from this decision.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

20. The draft proposal impacts the operation of units across the council group involved in events, processing alcohol ban requests and alcohol ban signage. Those units are aware of the impacts of the proposal and their implementation role.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

21. The Bylaw is important to local boards as they have the delegated authority to make local alcohol bans, and because alcohol bans help to improve public safety in their local areas.

22. The main view of local board members during the bylaw review was to retain local board decision-making authority for local alcohol bans. While the proposal uses Governing Body decision-making authority to make new major event-based temporary alcohol bans, the proposal retains the current local board authority to make local alcohol bans. The process for the local boards to make alcohol bans by resolution also remain unchanged.

23. The local board has an opportunity in this report to provide its views on the proposal to the Regulatory Committee.

24. The local board will also have further opportunity to provide its views to a Bylaw Panel on any public feedback to the proposal from people in the local board area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

25. The Bylaw has significance for Māori as users and kaitiaki / guardians of public space. Māori are also over-represented in alcohol-related hospital visits, the criminal justice system and as victims of crime.

26. Māori health advocacy organisations, Te Puni Kōkiri and the Maunga Authority support the use of alcohol bans as a tool to reduce alcohol-related harm.

27. The draft proposal supports this view by retaining the ability for council to use alcohol bans.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

28. There are no financial implications to the local board for any decision to support the draft proposal for public consultation. The Governing Body at a later date will consider any financial implications associated with public notification and signage.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

29. There is a reputational risk that the draft proposal or the local board’s views do not reflect the views of people in the local board area. This risk would be partly mitigated by future public consultation processes. The local board will have an opportunity to consider any public feedback and provide its formal views to a Bylaw Panel prior to the final decision.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

30. Staff will present a proposal and any local board views to the Regulatory Committee on 1 September 2020. The next steps are shown in the diagram below.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
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<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
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<table>
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<th>Elizabeth Osborne - Policy Analyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Paul Wilson - Team Leader Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Helping to protect the public

Reducing alcohol-related crime and disorder

Enabling alcohol bans to be made that prohibit alcohol in certain public places where crime or disorder is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.
Helping to protect the public

Auckanders drink alcohol in public places every day, for example at a family picnic, licensed venue or concert. Most people drink responsibly and without having any negative impact on others.

Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly. This can negatively affect nearby residents’ sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.

How Auckland Council keeps you safe

We use a bylaw to enable us to make alcohol bans that prohibit alcohol in certain public places (for example a park).

The current ability to make alcohol bans is included in the Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture ā-Rohe Whakarārata Waipiro 2014 / Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Improving how we make alcohol bans

We recently checked how the rules are working and identified improvements.

We propose changes to the Bylaw that would:

- make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and the Auckland Domain in the Bylaw
- use related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
- clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and to clarify council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans
- make the Bylaw wording easier to read and understand.

Other key aspects of the current Bylaw and its implementation will remain unchanged, for example:

- all local boards, the Auckland Domain Committee and the Regulatory Committee will continue to have delegated authority to make alcohol bans
- no changes to current alcohol bans (except for the event-based temporary alcohol bans above).

We want to know what you think

Starting on [date] through to [date], we want you to tell us what you think about the proposed amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Visit www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say for more information, to give your feedback and to find out where you can drop in to a ‘have your say’ event.
2 What is the Bylaw

The Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau Te Ture ā-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014, was made on 30 October 2014.

The purpose of the Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there. The Bylaw seeks to achieve this by enabling council to make alcohol bans that prohibit alcohol in those public places (including in a vehicle).

Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 framework
What council proposes to change

Improving how we make alcohol bans

We recently checked how the rules are working and identified improvements.

Council is proposing to better reduce alcohol-related crime and disorder in public places by making amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. The proposed amendments are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposals</th>
<th>Reasons for proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make new event-based temporary alcohol bans for all major events at Mount Smart Stadium, Western Springs Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain in the Bylaw.</td>
<td>Including new event-based temporary alcohol bans made in the Bylaw:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The new event-based temporary alcohol bans will replace existing event-based temporary alcohol bans made by resolution¹ for Mount Smart Stadium, Eden Park and Auckland Domain for 'Christmas in the Park' and the Lantern Festival. Changes to these existing resolutions would:</td>
<td>• more easily enables a preventative approach to alcohol-related crime or disorder at or near event venues used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● for Mount Smart Stadium extend the ban to apply to all major events, not just concerts</td>
<td>• removes time and cost to process individual requests for event-based temporary alcohol bans where:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● for Eden Park extend the ban to include Eden Park stadium, and two fan trails if they are activated as part of the event²</td>
<td>○ the event venue has in the past, is currently, and will in the future be used for major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ for Auckland Domain extend the ban to all major events (not just the Lantern Festival) and extend the times of the ban to start one hour earlier and finish one hour later.</td>
<td>○ a ban has been used in the past for major events at the event venue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ban for the Auckland Domain 'Christmas in the Park' event would remain unchanged.</td>
<td>• create more consistent event-based temporary alcohol ban times and application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace with a related information note clauses about alcohol ban signage, and clauses about legislative decision-making criteria.</td>
<td>Replacing some clauses with related information notes and providing clarifications:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans and Bylaw wording.</td>
<td>• removes provisions that are unnecessary to state in the Bylaw but are useful as extra information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• provides rules that are easier to read and understand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you want to know more, Appendix A shows what the proposed amended Alcohol Control Bylaw would look like. Appendix B provides a copy of the existing Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Appendix C provides a summary of the differences between the existing and amended bylaw.

¹ MT/2017/144 (Mt Smart), AE/2015/119 (Eden Park), RBC/2015/41 and WTM/2016/110 (Christmas in the Park), ADC/2017/43 (Lantern Festival).
² There are two Eden Park Fan Trails designed for fans to walk to Eden Park. One starts at Ponsonby Road (activated on “match days”) and one starts from Queen Elizabeth Square (last used during the 2011 Rugby World Cup).
4 How we implement the Bylaw

Making decisions using evidence

Council uses evidence to decide whether to make, amend or replace an alcohol ban by resolution.

Before making an alcohol ban by resolution, the Local Government Act 2002 requires council to be satisfied that there is evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area which has been caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area.

Evidence can include witness accounts of crime or disorder, photos of litter or damage, and callouts to council noise control and the Police. The Local Government Act 2002 also requires any alcohol ban to be appropriate and proportionate in terms of the nature and scale of the crime or disorder and justifiable as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.

Erecting and maintaining signage

Council erects and maintains alcohol ban signage. Signage informs people of the alcohol ban area and hours. An internal policy guides the form and placement of alcohol ban signage.

Police enforcement of alcohol bans

The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans made under the Bylaw.

Anyone can report a breach of an alcohol ban to the Police. Police respond to reports of alcohol ban breaches as soon as possible depending on the nature of the issues and other priorities.

Police may use powers of search, seizure and arrest under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce alcohol bans. For breaches of alcohol bans, the Police may issue an infringement fee of $250.
How we got here

Decisions leading to the proposed changes

The Local Government Act 2002 requires the council to review its bylaws periodically to determine whether they are effective, efficient and still necessary to address the problem. We also check that the Bylaw is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

Auckland Council reviewed the existing Bylaw by engaging with stakeholders\(^3\) and undertaking research. Council reported its findings and considered options in response to the findings at meetings in April and May 2019.

This statement of proposal was approved for public consultation by the Governing Body in September 2020. This begins the formal process to make amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

Bylaw review and approval process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>Findings report: Review of how well the current bylaw is working (REG/2019/19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019</td>
<td>Options report: Considered whether to keep the bylaw, improve it, make a new bylaw or have no bylaw (REG/2019/28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>Proposal developed: Statement of Proposal developed to amend Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July/Aug 2020</td>
<td>Local board input: Local boards provide formal input on proposal ahead of public consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 2020</td>
<td>Proposal finalised: Regulatory Committee finalises proposal and Governing Body adopts it for consultation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go to: [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say) if you would like to view more information about the above decisions, including the findings from the statutory bylaw review and options we considered to respond to those findings.

---

\(^3\) Council engaged with a range of stakeholders including the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, the New Zealand Police, Alcohol Harm Watch, Health Promotion Agency, Auckland Regional Public Health Service, Te Puni Kōkiri and Māori public health advocates.
6 We want your input

You have an opportunity to tell us your views.
We would like to know what you think about the proposed amendments to the Alcohol Control Bylaw.
Anyone can give feedback on the proposal, including individuals, organisations and businesses.

Give us your feedback

Starting on ## month 2020 through to ## month 2020 we are asking for feedback on proposed amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Anyone can give feedback.

You can give your feedback:
- online at our website www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/_have-your-say
- in person at one of our ‘Have your say’ events – visit our website for details

Visit www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/_have-your-say for more information.

Online services are available at our libraries.
Your name and feedback will be available to the public in our reports and online. All other personal details will remain private.
Appendix A: Proposed amended Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2020
Te Ture ā-Rohe
Whakararata Waipiro 2014
Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

(as at dd month 2021)

made by the Governing Body of Auckland Council

in resolution GB/2014/121

on 30 October 2014

Bylaw made under sections 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.
Summary
This summary is not part of the Bylaw but explains the general effects.
Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly.
This can negatively affect nearby residents' sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.
The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there, by –
- using alcohol bans to prohibit people from consuming, bringing or possessing alcohol in certain public places at certain times (clause 6)
- setting out how council may make a new alcohol ban by resolution (clauses 7 and 8)
- specifying event-based temporary alcohol bans (Schedule 1)
- referencing the full list of alcohol bans and maps (viewable on council’s website).
Other parts of this Bylaw assist with its administration by –
- stating its name, when it comes into force and where it applies (clauses 1, 2 and 3)
- stating the purpose of this Bylaw and defining key terms (clauses 4 and 5)
- referencing the powers of the New Zealand Police to enforce this Bylaw, including the issue of $250 infringement fines (Part 4)
- ensuring existing resolutions continue to apply and incomplete enforcement action can continue where relevant (Part 5).

Cover page reformatted and Summary inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Commencement</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part 1

**Preliminary provisions**

| 4      | Purpose                                                         | 4    |
| 5      | Interpretation                                                  | 4    |

### Part 2

**Alcohol consumption and possession in public places**

| 6      | Alcohol prohibited in public places where an alcohol ban applies | 6    |

### Part 3

**Controls**

| 7      | Council may make an alcohol ban                                | 7    |
| 8      | Procedure for making an alcohol ban                            | 7    |

### Part 4

**Enforcement powers, offences and penalties**

| 9      | Police can use statutory powers and other methods to enforce this Bylaw | 8    |
| 10     | A person can be penalised for not complying with this Bylaw         | 8    |

### Part 5

**Savings and transitional provisions**

| 11     | Existing resolutions continue to apply                         | 9    |
| 12     | Existing inquiries to be completed under this Bylaw             | 9    |

### Schedules

| Schedule 1 | Event-Based Temporary Alcohol Bans | 10 |

---
1 Title
(1) This Bylaw is the Te Ture ā-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.
Clause 1 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

2 Commencement
(1) This Bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.
(2) Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution GB/####/#### come into force on 01 June 2021.

### Related information about amendments
Council decided on **dd month year** to make various amendments to the Bylaw. The majority of the amendments were to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. Key changes included:
- making new event-based temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1
- using related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
- clarifying exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and clarifying council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans.
A comparison of the Bylaw before and after the amendments were made can be viewed in Item # of the Auckland Council Governing Body meeting agenda dated **dd month year**.
Clause 2 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

3 Application
(1) This Bylaw applies to Auckland.

### Part 1
Preliminary provisions

4 Purpose
(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.
Clause 4 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

5 Interpretation
(1) In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, –
- **Alcohol** has the meaning given by **section 5(1)** of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.
- **Auckland** has the meaning given by **section 4(1)** of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.
Related information

The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 enabled the Local Government Commission to determine Auckland’s boundaries in a map titled LGC-AK-R1. The boundaries were formally adopted by Order in Council on 15 March 2010, and came into effect on 1 November 2010.

Christmas / New Year holiday period means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.

Council means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf.

Related information about who can make an alcohol ban

Council has delegated the making of alcohol bans by resolution under clause 7 to –

- local boards for local parks, streets and carparks as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)
- the Regulatory Committee for areas of regional significance as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)
- the Auckland Domain Committee for the Auckland Domain as at 1 November 2016 (GB/2016/237).

The Governing Body of Auckland Council is responsible for making alcohol bans in all other public places, and for any alcohol bans in Schedule 1.

Public holiday has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.

Public place has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Related information

The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 1 July 2018) states a public place –

(a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but
(b) does not include licensed premises.

Vehicle has the meaning given by section 2(1) of the Land Transport Act 1998.

(2) Related information does not form part of this Bylaw and may be inserted, changed or removed without any formality.

(3) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this Bylaw.

Clause 5 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
Part 2
Alcohol consumption and possession in public places

6 Alcohol prohibited in public places where an alcohol ban applies

(1) A person must not consume, bring or possess alcohol in any public place or in any vehicle in any public place where—
(a) an alcohol ban made by council in accordance with clause 7 of this Bylaw applies; or
(b) an alcohol ban in a Schedule of this Bylaw applies.

(2) However, subclause (1) does not apply in those circumstances described in section 147(4) or section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002.

Related information about alcohol bans
A list of all alcohol bans made using clause 7 and related maps is attached at the end of this Bylaw for information only, and can be viewed on council’s website.

Related information about exceptions – Transport of alcohol
In section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), an alcohol ban does not apply in the case of alcohol in an unopened container to,—
*(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
(i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
(ii) it is promptly removed from the public place; or
(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place; or
(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or
(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a public place to a place outside the public place if—
(i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
(ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.*

This may include for example, transporting alcohol from a supermarket to your home, from your home to a BYO restaurant or from your home to a friend’s house.

Related information about exceptions – Licensed premises
In section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), exceptions apply to an alcohol ban where a licence is held under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. (Subpart 1 of Part 2). This may include for example, buying alcohol at an event at a park that holds a special licence or dining on the footpath at a restaurant that holds an on-licence providing for BYO alcohol.

Clause 6 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
Part 3
Controls

7 Council may make an alcohol ban

(1) Council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:

(a) the consumption, bringing or possession of alcohol in public places; and

(b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) Council may amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 8 with all necessary modifications.

Clause 7 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

8 Procedure for making an alcohol ban

(1) Council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7 –

(a) comply with decision-making requirements under the Local Government Act 2002; and

(b) consider using one of the following standard times where appropriate in relation to the requirements in subclause 2(a) –

(i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);

(ii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);

(iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night-time alcohol ban);

(iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

Related information about making an alcohol ban

- Council may make a permanent or temporary alcohol ban by resolution in clause 7 or by making a bylaw. The process to amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban is similar to the process that made the ban.

- The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) prescribes the criteria to make an alcohol ban in sections 147B (for resolutions) and 147A (for bylaws). The criteria cannot be changed by council.

- For permanent alcohol bans by resolution or in a bylaw, the statutory criteria requires:
  - evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area
  - the ban to be appropriate and proportionate in light of the crime or disorder
  - the ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.

- For temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1, the statutory criteria requires the alcohol ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.
Before making a decision, council must also comply with the general decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020). This could include considering:

- complementary or alternative solutions to an alcohol ban, for example locking gates, public bins, lighting, CCTV and Māori or Pacific Wardens
- views of people likely to be affected by or interested in the alcohol ban, for example nearby residents or businesses, community groups, and the New Zealand Police
- the nature, severity and frequency of alcohol-related crime or disorder
- whether the crime or disorder is a result of displacement from an existing alcohol ban
- whether an alcohol ban would result in displacement of the crime or disorder
- whether a Crime Prevention through Environmental Design assessment is needed.

Council must under clause 8 consider standard times to improve consistency in Auckland, however it may also consider other times more appropriate and proportionate in light of evidence.

Related information about making an alcohol ban – making a request

- Members of the public (for example community groups, businesses and the New Zealand Police) may request council to make an alcohol ban at any time, with supporting evidence.

Related information about alcohol ban signage

- Council uses alcohol ban signage to inform, educate and assist with enforcement.
- The Governor General may use section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) to make rules about alcohol ban signage. No rules have been made to date.

Clause 8 replaced in accordance with Clause 2(2).

Part 4
Enforcement powers, offences and penalties

9 Police can use statutory powers and other methods to enforce this Bylaw

(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.

Related information about enforcement

The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans and have powers relating to search, seizure and arrest under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020).

Clause 9 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
10 A person can be penalised for not complying with this Bylaw

(1) A person who fails to comply with Part 2 of this Bylaw commits an offence and is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related information about penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A person who breaches an alcohol ban commits an offence and is liable to an infringement fee of $250 under section 4 of the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013 (as printed on 18 December 2013).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 10 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

Part 5

Savings and transitional provisions

11 Existing resolutions continue to apply

(1) This clause applies to all resolutions made under this Bylaw prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force.

(2) Every resolution made continues to apply as if made after the amendments to this Bylaw until the expiration date specified in the resolution or until amended, replaced or revoked by council, whichever comes first.

Clause 11 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

12 Existing inquiries to be completed under this Bylaw

(1) Any compliance or enforcement action by council under this Bylaw that was not completed prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force will continue to be actioned under this Bylaw as if the amendments had not been made.

Clause 12 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).
## Schedule 1

### Event-Based Temporary Alcohol Bans

[Attached maps will be formatted to council communication standards prior to notification]

In this Schedule, "major events" has the same meaning as "large scale events" in section 147A of the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Alcohol Ban Area</th>
<th>Operative Time</th>
<th>Map number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Domain major events alcohol ban</td>
<td>Auckland Domain, Associated carpark areas and sports fields</td>
<td>6am on the day of any major event at Auckland Domain to 6am on the day after that event</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Domain ‘Christmas in the Park’ alcohol ban</td>
<td>Auckland Domain, Associated carpark areas, sports fields and surrounding streets</td>
<td>4pm on the Friday before any ‘Christmas in the Park’ event at the Auckland Domain to 8am on the following Monday after that event</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Park major events alcohol ban</td>
<td>Eden Park, Surrounding streets, Fan Trail if activated as part of the event</td>
<td>12 hours before any major event at Eden Park to 12 hours after that event</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Smart Stadium major events alcohol ban</td>
<td>Mt Smart Stadium, Surrounding streets</td>
<td>6am on the day of any major event at Mt Smart Stadium to 6am on the day after that event</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Springs major events alcohol ban</td>
<td>Western Springs Stadium, Western Springs Lakeside, Western Springs Outer Fields, Surrounding streets</td>
<td>6am on the day of any major event at Western Springs Stadium to 6am on the day after that event</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Related information about event-based (temporary) alcohol bans

- More information on major events can be found in council's [Events Policy](#). The policy describes major events as events that have a regional, national and international profile. Examples of major events where a temporary alcohol ban could apply include concerts (Six60 at Western Springs), festivals (Lantern Festival at Auckland Domain), and sporting events (Warriors at Mount Smart).
- There are two Eden Park Fan Trails. One is a 2.3 kilometre walking route to Eden Park activated on ‘match days’, starting at Western Park on Ponsonby Road. The second was activated last during the 2011 Rugby World Cup and is a 4 kilometre walking route to Eden Park from Queen Elizabeth Square.
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

Auckland Domain - Major events (excluding Christmas in the Park)
Regional
Hours of Operation: 6am on the first day of the event until 6am on the day after the event
Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 licence.
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Eden Park - Major events

Regional
Hours of Operation: 12 hours before and after an event.

Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 licence.
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw
Mt Smart Stadium - Major events

Regional

Hours of Operation: 6am on the day of the event to 6am the day after the event

Alcohol ban does not apply to areas covered by a Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 licence.
### Related information, Bylaw history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 November 2010</td>
<td>Made legacy bylaws about alcohol control(^1) (Section 63 Local Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 November 2010</td>
<td>Commencement of legacy bylaws about alcohol control (Section 63 Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 July 2014</td>
<td>Review of legacy bylaws about alcohol control completed (R6C/2014/27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Proposal to make new bylaw about alcohol control and to revoke legacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bylaws (GB/2014/70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 October 2014</td>
<td>Made the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2014/121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{dd} month</td>
<td>Public notice of making of the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{year}</td>
<td>2014 and revocation of legacy bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 December 2014</td>
<td>Commencement of Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 and revocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of legacy bylaws (GB/2014/121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 April 2019</td>
<td>Review of Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 completed (REG/2019/19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># April 2020</td>
<td>Proposal to make a new bylaw about alcohol control (GB/2020/#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># April 2021</td>
<td>Amended the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2021/#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Public notice of amending the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 June 2021</td>
<td>Commencement of amendments to the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014 (GB/2021/#)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Related information, next bylaw review

This Bylaw must be reviewed by 11 April 2029. If not reviewed by this date, the Bylaw will expire on 11 April 2031.
Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

Te Ture a Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014

(as at 30 October 2014)

Made by Governing Body of Auckland Council

Resolution in Council

30 October 2014

Pursuant to section 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Governing Body of Auckland Council makes the following bylaw about alcohol control matters.
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Part 1
Preliminary provisions

1 Title
(1) This bylaw is the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.

2 Commencement
(1) This bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.

3 Application
(1) This bylaw applies to Auckland.

4 Purpose
(1) The purpose of this bylaw is to control the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places to reduce alcohol related harm.

5 Interpretation
(1) In this bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, -

**Auckland** has the meaning given by the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2010.

**Explanatory Note:** As at 20 September 2011, the definition in section 4 of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 “...means the area within the boundaries determined by the Local Government Commission under section 33(1) (as that determination is given effect to by Order in Council under section 35(1)).”

**Alcohol** has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

**Explanatory Note:** As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 “… means a substance—

(a) that—

(i) is or contains a fermented, distilled, or spirituous liquor; and

(ii) at 20°C is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by volume; or

(b) that—

(i) is a frozen liquid, or a mixture of a frozen liquid and another substance or substances; and

(ii) is alcohol (within the meaning of paragraph (a)) when completely thawed to 20°C; or

(c) that, whatever its form, is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by weight in a form that can be assimilated by people.”

**Christmas / New Year holiday period** means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.

**Explanatory Note:** As at 01 April 2014, section 45 of the Holidays Act 2003 specifies that where the public holiday falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the
public holiday must be treated as falling on the following Monday or Tuesday respectively.

Community-focused solutions mean alternative or complementary measures to an alcohol ban to reduce alcohol related harm. Examples include crime prevention through environmental design, local community initiatives, discussions with nearby licensees, youth and leadership development programmes, and partnering with Police, Ministry of Justice, sports clubs and town centre / business associations.

Council means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated to act on its behalf.

Explanatory Note: A list of delegations may be attached to this bylaw for information only purposes.

Licensed premises has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 "... means any premises for which a licence [under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012] is held."

Public holiday has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 January 2014, the definition of public holiday in section 44 of the Holidays Act 2014 means "...

(a) Christmas Day:
(b) Boxing Day:
(c) New Year’s Day:
(d) 2 January:
(e) Waitangi Day:
(f) Good Friday:
(g) Easter Monday:
(h) ANZAC Day:
(i) the birthday of the reigning Sovereign (observed on the first Monday in June):
(j) Labour Day (being the fourth Monday in October):
(k) the day of the anniversary of a province or the day locally observed as that day."

Public place has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002 "...

(a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but

(b) does not include licensed premises."
(2) The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this bylaw.

(3) Explanatory notes and additional information attached at the end of this bylaw are for information purposes only, do not form part of this bylaw, and may be made, amended, revoked or replaced by the council at any time without a formal process.

Part 2
Control of alcohol

6 Alcohol bans

(1) Every person is prohibited from consuming, bringing into, or possessing alcohol in any public place (including in a vehicle) in contravention of an alcohol ban made by the council in accordance with clause 7(1).

Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002, the prohibition in clause 6(1) does not apply to alcohol in an unopened container in the following circumstances "...
(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
   (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
   (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place; or
(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place; or
(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or
(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a public place to a place outside the public place if—
   (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
   (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place."

7 Making alcohol bans

(1) The council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing into or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) The council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7(1) —
   (a) be satisfied that the alcohol ban gives effect to the purpose of the bylaw; and
   (b) comply with the decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002; and
(c) comply with the criteria under section 147B of the Local Government Act 2002 as follows
(i) be satisfied that there is documented evidence that the area to which the alcohol ban will apply has experienced a high level of crime or disorder that can be shown to have been caused or made worse by alcohol consumption in the area; and
(ii) be satisfied that the alcohol ban is appropriate and proportionate in light of the evidence and can be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms; and
(e) investigate and where appropriate, implement community-focused solutions as an alternative to or to complement an alcohol ban; and
(f) consider the views of the New Zealand Police; and
(g) consider the views of Maori; and
(h) consider the views of owners, occupiers, or persons that council has reason to believe are representative of the interests of owners or occupiers, of premises within the area to which the alcohol ban will apply; and
(i) consider the following times, where appropriate and not contrary to the requirements in subclause (2)(c) –
(ii) 7am to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);
(iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night time alcohol ban);
(iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

Explanatory note: The times in clause 7(2)(f) are a guide to improve consistency in times across Auckland, but recognises that in some instances use of the times specified may be clearly disproportionate to the evidence of the problem and therefore contrary to the statutory requirements in clause 7(2)(c) that requires alcohol bans be proportionate in light of the evidence.

(3) The council may, at any time, amend or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 7(1) and 7(2) with the necessary modifications.

8 Signage
(1) The council may make controls on any or all of the following in relation to signage for alcohol ban areas subject to compliance with any regulations under section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002:
(a) require the council to erect and maintain signs indicating the existence or boundaries of an alcohol ban;
(b) describe the placement of the signs
(c) prescribe kinds of signs required to be erected and maintained (including, without limitation, content, images, maps, size, lettering, symbols, and colouring).
Part 3
Enforcement, offences, penalties

9 Enforcement
(1) A constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this bylaw.

(2) In addition to their general powers under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Police may exercise the power under section 170(2) of that Act (to search a container or vehicle immediately and without further notice) on specified dates or in relation to specified events notified in accordance with section 170(3) of that Act.

Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, a constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.

10 Offences and penalties
(1) Every person who breaches this bylaw commits an offence.

(2) Every person who commits an offence under this bylaw is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002.

Explanatory note: As at 29 October 2013 the penalty for breaching an alcohol ban is an infringement fee of $250 under the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013.
Additional Information to
Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014

This document contains matters for information purposes only and does not
form part of any bylaw. It includes matters made pursuant to a bylaw and other
matters to assist in the ease of understanding, use and maintenance of a bylaw.
The information contained in this document may be updated at any time.

Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>History of bylaw ................................................................. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Related Documents................................................................. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Delegations for matters contained in bylaw.................................. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Register of Alcohol Bans......................................................... 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Enforcement Powers ............................................................... 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Offences and Penalties ............................................................ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Monitoring and Review ............................................................ 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section 1
#### History of Bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date of Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Make   | Following liquor control bylaws in force on 31 Oct 2010 deemed to have been made by Auckland Council  
- Auckland City Council, Part 14 Liquor Control in Public Places  
- Franklin District Council, Liquor Control Bylaw 2008  
- Manukau City Council, Chapter 11 Liquor Control  
- North Shore City Council, Part 24 Control of consumption of liquor  
- Papakura District Council, Liquor Control in Public Places Bylaw 2008  
- Rodney District Council, Chapter 16 Liquor Bylaw  
| Lapse  | Previous liquor control bylaws (and consequently any resolutions made pursuant to those bylaws) to be allowed to lapse. | 30 Oct 2014      | GB/2014/121                                                                       | 31 Oct 2015  |
| Make   | Review of liquor control bylaws resulted in replacing the seven previous liquor control bylaws with the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. | 30 Oct 2014      | GB/2014/121                                                                       | 18 Dec 2014  |

### Section 2
#### Related Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Description of Document</th>
<th>Location of Document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Minutes and Agenda</td>
<td>Decisions on submissions to Statement of Proposal</td>
<td><a href="http://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2014/10/GB_20141030_MIN_4575.PDF">http://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2014/10/GB_20141030_MIN_4575.PDF</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council Whānau Internal Strategy to Minimise Alcohol-related Harm 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishes overarching direction through a vision, “Auckland Council whānau, actively contributing to a safe, dynamic Auckland free from alcohol-related harm” and includes four outcomes and a detailed programme plan to operationalise the strategy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bylaws Act 1910</td>
<td>Provides for certain matters related to the validity of bylaws</td>
<td><a href="http://www.legislation.govt.nz">www.legislation.govt.nz</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretations Act 2009</td>
<td>Provides for certain matters related to the interpretation of bylaws</td>
<td><a href="http://www.legislation.govt.nz">www.legislation.govt.nz</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 3
Delegations for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function, Duty, Power to be Delegated</th>
<th>Delegated Authority</th>
<th>Date of Delegation Decision</th>
<th>Decision Reference</th>
<th>Commencement of Delegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Oversight of regulatory performance</td>
<td>The Regulatory Committee</td>
<td>7 Nov 2013</td>
<td>GB/2013/132 and GB/2016/237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI 7</td>
<td>Make, amend revoke permanent(^1) and temporary(^2) alcohol ban.</td>
<td>Regulatory Committee in relation to any public place described in (^3)</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make, amend or revoke permanent(^4) and temporary(^5) alcohol ban.</td>
<td>Local boards in relation to any public place excluding those public places described in (^6)</td>
<td>30 Oct 2014</td>
<td>GB/2014/121</td>
<td>18 Dec 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Permanent means an alcohol ban that applies for an indefinite period (e.g. 24/7, daily 9pm to 6am, public holidays).

A temporary alcohol ban applies on specified dates or in relation to specified events (e.g. Christmas in the park). Decisions on temporary alcohol bans may authorise the use of enhanced search provisions under section 170(2) of the Local Government Act 2002.

The Regulatory and Bylaws Committee has decision-making responsibility in relation to alcohol bans on –
(a) Any public place for which the Governing Body retains decision-making for non-regulatory activities as contained in the Long Term Plan.
(b) Any regional park, including any associated park, road, beach or foreshore area.
(c) All Tūpuna Maunga over which the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority is the Administering Authority, including the Tūpuna Maunga vested in the Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust under the Ngā Mana Whenua o Tāmaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014.

Section 4
Register of current alcohol bans

Refer to council [website](https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/licences-regulations/alcohol-bans-policies/Pages/alcohol-ban-maps.aspx).

Section 5
Enforcement powers for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Provision</th>
<th>Description of Legislative Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002</td>
<td>A constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 6
Offences and penalties for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Description of Offence</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Infringement Fee</th>
<th>Other Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl 6</td>
<td>Breach of bylaw</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$250*</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013

Section 7
Monitoring and review for matters contained in bylaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Measured By</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* None attached at this time.
**Appendix C: Comparison of existing Alcohol Control Bylaw and proposed amended Bylaw**

The table below shows the current text of the Bylaw compared with proposed amendments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pursuant to section 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Governing Body of Auckland Council makes the following bylaw about alcohol control matters.</td>
<td>Bylaw made under sections 145 and 147 of the Local Government Act 2002.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

This summary is not part of the Bylaw but explains the general effects.

*Sometimes drinking in public places can cause or worsen crime or disorder there. For example, drinkers may make too much noise, leave litter or graffiti, urinate in public, commit vandalism or theft, intimidate or assault others, trespass, or use vehicles recklessly.*

*This can negatively affect nearby residents' sleep, reduce the recreational or visual amenity of the place, make people feel unsafe, and place drinkers or those around them in danger of physical harm.*

The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there, by –

- using alcohol bans to prohibit people from consuming, bringing or possessing alcohol in certain public places at certain times (clause 6)
- setting out how council may make a new alcohol ban by resolution (clauses 7 and 8)
- specifying event-based temporary alcohol bans (Schedule 1)
- referencing the full list of alcohol bans and maps (viewable on council's website).

Other parts of this Bylaw assist with its administration by –

- stating its name, when it comes into force and where it applies (clauses 1, 2 and 3)
- stating the purpose of this Bylaw and defining key terms (clauses 4 and 5)
- referencing the powers of the New Zealand Police to enforce this Bylaw, including the issue of $250 infringement fines (Part 4)
- ensuring existing resolutions continue to apply and incomplete enforcement action can continue where relevant (Part 5).

Cover page reformatted and Summary inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>This bylaw is the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>This Bylaw is the Te Ture a-Rohe Whakararata Waipiro 2014, Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014. Clause 1 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment A

#### Item 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 Commencement</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 Commencement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) This bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.</td>
<td>(1) This Bylaw comes into force on 18 December 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 Application</strong></td>
<td><strong>(2) Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution GB/###/##/## come into force on 01 June 2020.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) This bylaw applies to Auckland.</td>
<td>(2) Amendments to this Bylaw by resolution GB/###/##/## come into force on 01 June 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Related Information about amendments

Council decided on dd month year to make various amendments to the Bylaw. The majority of the amendments were to make the Bylaw easier to read and understand. Key changes included:
- making new event-based (temporary) alcohol bans in Schedule 1
- using related information notes to replace clauses about alcohol ban signage and to replace clauses that duplicate legislative decision-making criteria
- clarifying exceptions to alcohol bans for licensed premises and the transport of alcohol, and clarifying council’s ability to make temporary alcohol bans.

A comparison of the Bylaw before and after the amendments were made can be viewed in Item # of the Auckland Council Governing Body meeting agenda dated dd month year.

Clause 2 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

**3 Application**

| (1) This Bylaw applies to Auckland. |

**Part 1 Preliminary provisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 Purpose</th>
<th>4 Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to control the consumption or possession of alcohol in public places to reduce alcohol related harm.</td>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Bylaw is to reduce crime or disorder in certain public places that is caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 4 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

**5 Interpretation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Interpretation</th>
<th>5 Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) In this bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, -</td>
<td>(1) In this Bylaw, unless the context otherwise requires, -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alcohol** has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

**Alcohol** has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.
### Existing Bylaw

**Explanatory Note:** As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 8(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 “... means a substance—

(a) that—

(i) is or contains a fermented, distilled, or spirituous liquor, and

(ii) at 20°C is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by volume; or

(b) that—

(i) is a frozen liquid, or a mixture of a frozen liquid and another substance or substances; and

(ii) is alcohol (within the meaning of paragraph (a)) when completely thawed to 20°C; or

(c) that, whatever its form, is found on analysis to contain 1.15% or more ethanol by weight in a form that can be assimilated by people.”

Auckland has the meaning given by the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2010.

**Explanatory Note:** As at 20 September 2011, the definition in section 4 of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 “...means the area within the boundaries determined by the Local Government Commission under section 33(1) (as that determination is given effect to by Order in Council under section 35(1))."  

### Bylaw with proposed amendments

Auckland has the meaning given by section 4(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.

**Related information**

The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 enabled the Local Government Commission to determine Auckland’s boundaries in a map titled LGC Ak R1. The boundaries were formally adopted by Order in Council on 15 March 2010, and came into effect on 1 November 2010.
## Attachment A
Item 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Christmas / New Year holiday period</strong> means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the Holidays Act 2003.</td>
<td><strong>Christmas / New Year holiday period</strong> means Christmas Eve (the day before Christmas Day) to the day after New Year’s Day as determined by the <strong>Holidays Act 2003</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, section 45 of the Holidays Act 2003 specifies that where the public holiday falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the public holiday must be treated as falling on the following Monday or Tuesday respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community-focused solutions</strong> mean alternative or complementary measures to an alcohol ban to reduce alcohol related harm. Examples include crime prevention through environmental design, local community initiatives, discussions with nearby licensees, youth and leadership development programmes, and partnering with Police, Ministry of Justice, sports clubs and town centre / business associations.</td>
<td><strong>Council</strong> means the Governing Body of the Auckland Council or any person delegated or authorised to act on its behalf.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Explanatory Note: A list of delegations may be attached to this bylaw for information only purposes. | Related information about who can make an alcohol ban: Council has delegated the making of alcohol bans by resolution under clause 7 to –  
- local boards for local parks, streets and carparks as at 30 October 2014 (GB/2014/121)  
- the Regulatory Committee for areas of regional significance as at 30 October 2014 (GB2/2014/121)  
- the Auckland Domain Committee for the Auckland Domain as at 1 November 2016 (GB/2016/237). The Governing Body of Auckland Council is responsible for making alcohol bans in all other public places, and for any alcohol bans in Schedule 1. |
<p>| <strong>Licensed premises</strong> has the meaning given by section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. |  |
| Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 5(1) of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 “... means any premises for which a licence [under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012] is held.” |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public holiday</strong> has the same meaning given in the Holidays Act 2003.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Explanatory Note: As at 01 January 2014, the definition of public holiday in section 44 of the Holidays Act 2014 means "...
(a) Christmas Day.
(b) Boxing Day.
(c) New Year’s Day.
(d) 2 January.
(e) Waitangi Day.
(f) Good Friday.
(g) Easter Monday.
(h) ANZAC Day.
(i) the birthday of the reigning Sovereign (observed on the first Monday in June).
(j) Labour Day (being the fourth Monday in October).
(k) the day of the anniversary of a province or the day locally observed as that day." |
| **Public holiday** has the same meaning given in the [Holidays Act 2003](#). |

| **Public place** has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002. |
| Explanatory Note: As at 01 April 2014, the definition in section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002 "...
(a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but
(b) does not include licensed premises." |
| **Public place** has the meaning given by section 147 of the Local Government Act 2002. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Related Information</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 1 July 2018) states a public place –</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (a) means a place that is open to or is being used by the public, whether free or on payment of a charge, and whether any owner or occupier of the place is lawfully entitled to exclude or eject any person from it; but
(b) does not include licensed premises. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Vehicle</strong> has the meaning given by section 2(1) of the Land Transport Act 1998.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Existing Bylaw

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this bylaw. Related information does not form part of this Bylaw and may be inserted, changed or removed without any formality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Explanatory notes and additional information attached at the end of this bylaw are for information purposes only, do not form part of this bylaw, and may be made, amended, revoked or replaced by the council at any time without a formal process. The Interpretation Act 1999 applies to this Bylaw. Clause 5 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bylaw with proposed amendments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 2</th>
<th>Part 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control of alcohol</td>
<td>Alcohol consumption and possession in public places</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Alcohol bans

(1) Every person is prohibited from consuming, bringing into, or possessing alcohol in any public place (including in a vehicle) in contravention of an alcohol ban made by the council in accordance with clause 7(1).

Explanatory note: As at 01 April 2014 under section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002, the prohibition in clause 6(1) does not apply to alcohol in an unopened container in the following circumstances:

(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—
   (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
   (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place;

(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place;

(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or

(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a place outside the public place if—
   (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
   (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.

6 Alcohol prohibited in public places where an alcohol ban applies

(1) A person must not consume, bring or possess alcohol in any public place or in any vehicle in any public place where—

(a) an alcohol ban made by council in accordance with clause 7 of this Bylaw applies; or

(b) an alcohol ban in a Schedule of this Bylaw applies.

(2) However, subclause (1) does not apply in those circumstances described in section 147(4) or section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002.

Related information about alcohol bans

A list of all alcohol bans made using clause 7 and related maps is attached at the end of this Bylaw for information only, and can be viewed on council’s website.

Related information about exceptions – Transport of alcohol

In section 147(4) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), an alcohol ban does not apply in the case of alcohol in an unopened container to—

(a) the transport of the alcohol from licensed premises next to a public place, if—

   (i) it was lawfully bought on those premises for consumption off those premises; and
   (ii) it is promptly removed from the public place; or

(b) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place for delivery to licensed premises next to the public place; or

(c) the transport of the alcohol from outside a public place to premises next to a public place by, or for delivery to, a resident of the premises or his or her bona fide visitors; or

(d) the transport of the alcohol from premises next to a public place to a place outside the public place if—

   (i) the transport is undertaken by a resident of those premises; and
   (ii) the alcohol is promptly removed from the public place.”

This may include for example, transporting alcohol from a supermarket to your home, from your home to a BYO restaurant or from your home to a friend’s house.

Related information about exceptions – Licensed premises

In section 147(1)(b) of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020), exceptions apply to an alcohol ban where a licence is held under the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (Subpart 1 of Part 2). This may include for example, buying alcohol at an event at a park that holds a special licence or dining on the footpath at a restaurant that holds an on-licence providing for BYO alcohol.

Clause 6 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2)
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#### Item 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part 3 Controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw
Statement of proposal to amend the Alcohol Control Bylaw

7 Making alcohol bans

(1) The council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing into or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) The council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7(1) –
   (a) be satisfied that the alcohol ban gives effect to the purpose of the bylaw, and
   (b) comply with the decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002; and
   (c) comply with the criteria under section 147B of the Local Government Act 2002 as follows:
      (i) be satisfied that there is documented evidence that the area to which the alcohol ban will apply has experienced a high level of crime or disorder that can be shown to have been caused or made worse by alcohol consumption in the area; and
      (ii) be satisfied that the alcohol ban is appropriate and proportionate in light of the evidence and can be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms; and
   (e) investigate and where appropriate, implement community-focused solutions as an alternative to or to complement an alcohol ban; and

7 Council may make an alcohol ban

(1) Council may make an alcohol ban for the purpose of prohibiting or otherwise regulating or controlling, either generally or for one or more specified periods, any or all of the following:
   (a) the consumption, bringing or possession of alcohol in public places; and
   (b) in conjunction with (a), the presence or consumption of alcohol in vehicles, or vehicles of stated kinds or descriptions, in public places.

(2) Council may amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 8 with all necessary modifications.

Clause 7 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

8 Procedure for making an alcohol ban

(1) Council must, before making an alcohol ban in clause 7 –
   (a) comply with decision-making requirements under the Local Government Act 2002; and
   (b) consider using one of the following standard times where appropriate in relation to the requirements in subclause 2(a) –
      (i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban);
      (ii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban);
      (iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (nighttime alcohol ban);
      (iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban).

Related information about making an alcohol ban

- Council may make a permanent or temporary alcohol ban by resolution in clause 7 or by making a bylaw. The process to amend, replace or revoke an alcohol ban is similar to the process that made the ban.
- The Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) prescribes the criteria to make an alcohol ban in sections 147B (for resolutions) and 147A (for bylaws). The criteria cannot be changed by council.
- For permanent alcohol bans by resolution or in a bylaw, the statutory criteria requires:
  - evidence of a high level of crime or disorder in the area caused by or made worse by alcohol consumption in that same area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (f) consider the views of the New Zealand Police; and | o the ban to be appropriate and proportionate in light of the crime or disorder  
| (g) consider the views of Māori; and | o the ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.  
| (h) consider the views of owners, occupiers, or persons that council has reason to believe are representative of the interests of owners or occupiers; of premises within the area to which the alcohol ban will apply; and | • For temporary alcohol bans in Schedule 1, the statutory criteria requires the alcohol ban to be justified as a reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms.  
| (i) consider the following times, where appropriate and not contrary to the requirements in subclause (2)(c) – | • Before making a decision, council must also comply with the general decision-making requirements under Subpart 1 of Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 29 March 2020). This could include considering –  
| (i) 24 hours, 7 days a week (at all times alcohol ban); | o complementary or alternative solutions to an alcohol ban, for example locking gates, public bins, lighting, CCTV and Māori or Pacific Wardens.  
| (ii) 7pm to 7am daily (evening alcohol ban); | o views of people likely to be affected by or interested in the alcohol ban, for example nearby residents or businesses, community groups, and the New Zealand Police.  
| (iii) 10pm to 7am daylight saving and 7pm to 7am outside daylight saving (night time alcohol ban); | o the nature, severity and frequency of alcohol-related crime or disorder  
| (iv) 7pm on the day before to 7am on the day after any weekend, public holiday or Christmas / New Year holiday period (weekend and holiday alcohol ban). | o whether the crime or disorder is a result of displacement from an existing alcohol ban  
| Explanatory note: The times in clause 7(2)(f) are a guide to improve consistency in times across Auckland, but recognises that in some instances use of the times specified may be clearly disproportionate to the evidence of the problem and therefore contrary to the statutory requirements in clause 7(2)(c) that requires alcohol bans be proportionate in light of the evidence. | o whether an alcohol ban would result in displacement of the crime or disorder  
| (3) The council may, at any time, amend or revoke an alcohol ban in accordance with clause 7(1) and 7(2) with the necessary modifications. | • Council must under clause 8 consider standard times to improve consistency in Auckland, however may consider other times more appropriate and proportionate in light of evidence. |

Related information about making an alcohol ban – making a request
- Members of the public (for example community groups, businesses and the New Zealand Police) may request council to make an alcohol ban at any time, with supporting evidence.

Related information about alcohol ban signage
- Council uses alcohol ban signage to inform, educate and assist with enforcement.
- The Governor General may use section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020) to make rules about alcohol ban signage. No rules have been made to date.

Clause 8 replaced in accordance with Clause 2(2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8 Signage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) The council may make controls on any or all of the following in relation to signage for alcohol ban areas subject to compliance with any regulations under section 147C of the Local Government Act 2002:</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) require the council to erect and maintain signs indicating the existence of boundaries of an alcohol ban;</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) describe the placement of the signs</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) prescribe kinds of signs required to be erected and maintained (including, without limitation, content, images, maps, size,</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lettering, symbols, and colouring).</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 3</th>
<th>Part 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9 Enforcement, offences, penalties</strong></td>
<td><strong>Enforcement powers, offences and penalties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) A constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this bylaw.</td>
<td>(1) Police can use statutory powers and other methods to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) In addition to their general powers under sections 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, the Police may exercise the power under section 170(2) of that Act (to search a container or vehicle immediately and without further notice) on specified dates or in relation to specified events notified in accordance with section 170(3) of that Act.</td>
<td>(1) A Police constable may use their powers under the Local Government Act 2002 to enforce this Bylaw.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanatory note:** As at 01 April 2014 under section 169 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002, a constable has powers of arrest, search and seizure in relation to alcohol bans.

| (1) Every person who breaches this bylaw commits an offence. | (1) A person can be penalised for not complying with this Bylaw |
| (2) Every person who commits an offence under this bylaw is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002. | (1) A person who fails to comply with Part 2 of this Bylaw commits an offence and is liable to a penalty under the Local Government Act 2002. |

**Related information about enforcement:**
The New Zealand Police are responsible for enforcing alcohol bans and have powers relating to search, seizure and arrest under sections 168 and 170 of the Local Government Act 2002 (as reprinted on 26 March 2020).

Clause 9 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Bylaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanatory note:</strong> As at 29 October 2013 the penalty for breaching an alcohol ban is an infringement fee of $250 under the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bylaw with proposed amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A person who breaches an alcohol ban commits an offence and is liable to an infringement fee of $250 under section 4 of the Local Government (Alcohol Ban Breaches) Regulations 2013 (as printed on 18 December 2013).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 10 amended in accordance with Clause 2(2).

### Part 5

#### Savings and transitional provisions

11 **Existing resolutions continue to apply**

(1) This clause applies to all resolutions made under this Bylaw prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force.

(2) Every resolution made continues to apply as if made after the amendments to this Bylaw until the expiration date specified in the resolution or until amended, replaced or revoked by council, whichever comes first.

Clause 11 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

12 **Existing inquiries to be completed under this Bylaw**

(1) Any compliance or enforcement action by council under this Bylaw that was not completed prior to amendments in clause 2(2) coming into force will continue to be actioned under this Bylaw as if the amendments had not been made.

Clause 12 inserted in accordance with Clause 2(2).

### Schedule 1

**Event-Based (Temporary) Alcohol Bans**

[Attached maps will be formatted to council communication standards prior to notification]

In this Schedule, "major events" has the same meaning as "large scale events" in section 14.7A of the Local Government Act 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Alcohol Ban Area</th>
<th>Operative Time</th>
<th>Map number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Auckland Domain major events alcohol ban (excluding)</td>
<td>• Auckland Domain Associated carpark areas and sports fields</td>
<td>6am on the day of any major event at Auckland Domain to 6am on the day after that event</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Bylaw</td>
<td>Bylaw with proposed amendments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Christmas in the Park</em>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Auckland Domain  
  *Christmas in the Park* alcohol ban | • Auckland Domain  
  • Associated carpark areas, sports fields and surrounding streets  
  4pm on the Friday before any *Christmas in the Park* event at the Auckland Domain to 8am on the following Monday after that event |
| • Eden Park major events alcohol ban | • Eden Park  
  • Surrounding streets  
  • Fan Trail if activated as part of the event  
  12 hours before any major event at Eden Park to 12 hours after that event |
| • Mt Smart Stadium major events alcohol ban | • Mt Smart Stadium  
  • Surrounding streets  
  6am on the day of any major event at Mt Smart Stadium to 6am on the day after that event |
| • Western Springs major events alcohol ban | • Western Springs Stadium  
  • Western Springs Lakeside  
  • Western Springs Outer Fields  
  • Surrounding streets  
  6am on the day of any major event at Western Springs Stadium to 6am on the day after that event |

**Related information about event-based (temporary) alcohol bans**

- More information on major events can be found in council’s [Events Policy](#). The policy describes major events as events that have a regional, national and international profile. Examples of major events where a temporary alcohol ban could apply include concerts (Six60 at Western Springs), festivals (Lantern Festival at Auckland Domain), and sporting events (Warriors at Mount Smart).
- There are two Eden Park Fan Trails. One is a 2.3 kilometre walking route to Eden Park activated on match days, starting at Western Park on Ponsonby Road. The second was activated last during the 2011 Rugby World Cup and is a 4 kilometre walking route to Eden Park from Queen Elizabeth Square.
Auckland Transport July 2020 Update

File No.: CP2020/08983

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update on Auckland Transport activities in the Waitematā Local Board area and a summary of the local board transport capital fund.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report covers:
   - a summary of Auckland Transport projects and operations in the local board area
   - an update on the Local Board Transport Capital Fund and Community Safety Projects Budgets
   - a summary of general information items sent to the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport July 2020 report.

Horopaki
Context
3. AT is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. As set out in our Local Board Engagement Plan, we report on a monthly basis to local boards. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important role local boards play within the governance of Auckland Council on behalf of their local communities.

4. This report updates the Waitematā Local Board on Auckland Transport (AT) projects and operations in the local board area, it updates the local board on their advocacy and consultations and includes information on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) and Community Safety Fund (CSF).

5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme.

6. The CSF is a capital budget established by Auckland Transport for use by local boards to fund local road safety initiatives. The purpose of this fund is to allow elected members to address long-standing local road safety issues that are not regional priorities and are therefore not being addressed by the Auckland Transport programme.
## Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
### Analysis and advice

7. The table below has a general summary of projects and activities of interest to the local board with their current status. Please note that all timings are indicative and are subject to change:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>America’s Cup AC36</td>
<td>ATEED will be giving an update to the Local Board in July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access for Everyone (A4E) Pilot</td>
<td>The Queen St A4E pilot is about to commence with a series of three co-design workshops to allow stakeholders and users to determine how the street can best be improved to test A4E principles and support the ongoing revitalisation of the city centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Mayor has announced that the temporary COVID-19 layout will be used as the starting point for the pilot. Funding has been received from NZTA’s Innovating Streets fund and the City Centre Targeted Rate. Board members will be involved in the co-design process and the Board will be kept updated on progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Programme Business Case is being tendered to investigate the preferred implementation programme for A4E, including identifying the supporting workstreams (e.g. legal, logistics and regulatory changes). The business case will be informed by and receive monitoring data from the Queen St pilot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Gateway Treatments – works to signal to drivers as they enter the City that they are entering a slower speed zone.</td>
<td>Sign installation is almost complete. There are some works to take place re: coloured surfacing installation, roundel speed markings and raised pedestrian crossings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connected Communities, Great North Road - Project to improve travel choice by providing an enhanced street environment, dedicated bus priority measures, separated cycle lanes, and improved road and pedestrian safety.</td>
<td>Wider public engagement was due to start from late March/early April. Public engagement on this project is currently on-hold. Timeframes have been impacted by COVID-19. The project team will advise the Board once the current situation changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafton Road – midblock pedestrian signal outside of University of Auckland.</td>
<td>Work has been completed except for signal commissioning which is scheduled to be finished by the of June 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Lynn parking scheme</td>
<td>In the final stages of getting this project over the line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension - proposed extension to the existing parking scheme to Prime Road and Elgin Street.</td>
<td>The resolution report is currently in the workflow and once approved by the Traffic Controls Committee (TCC) AT will proceed to have the signs installed on Prime Road and Elgin Street. This should be within the next 4 to 5 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herne Bay cycling and walking improvements – proposed changes to encourage slower driving speeds and improve routes for people walking and cycling.</td>
<td>Construction is underway. The contractor is continuing to liaise with the Auckland Council Healthy Waters project at St Mary’s Bay and Masefield Beach to coordinate Temporary Traffic Management requirements between these two adjacent projects. Construction recommenced at alert level 3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Karangahape Road Enhancements Project – streetscape upgrade. | ➢ Section A (Northside From Ponsonby Road to Howe Street): 98% complete  
➢ Section B (Northside Motorway Overbridge): Complete,  
➢ Cycleway opened (soft opening) for the section, Northern side from Ponsonby Road to Day Street  
➢ Section G (Southside from Upper Queen St to Mercury lane): 90% completed, WIP at the Upper Queen St corner  
➢ Section F (Southside from Symonds St to Upper Queens St): Completed except traffic signal pole installation  
➢ Section E (Northside from Symonds St to Upper Queen St): In progress  
➢ Section I (Southside Motorway over bridge): In progress  

Detailed pilot trenching and CCTV investigation are continuing to be carried out for future sections for early identification of any potential clashes with underground services. Regular meetings are being held with the CRL team and key stakeholders including the K Road Business Association.  

• Both CRL & K Road coms and DR teams have developed a coordinated collaborative approach with all stakeholders  
• JFC continue to provide regular project updates to |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Item 13 | the business and residential community  
  • Weekly Engagement with the Karangahape Business Association is going well with constructive feedback.  
  • KBA have launched survey monkey to its members enquiring if needs are being met during level 3 alert. This will also inform us on how the business are operating in level 3 |
|  | The Karangahape Road Development Response team, developed and delivered pick areas along Karangahape in response to COVID-19 level 3 restrictions, We have since had uptake from Panuku referred to us via our Parking Design team.  
  KBA have also advised their appreciation. |
| Nelson Street Phase 3 - a cycling facility linking Nelson Street via Market Place into the Viaduct. | The project’s detailed design has been completed. Due to the significant impact of COVID-19 on AT and the wider Auckland Council whanau, there is uncertainty on delivering all Walking and Cycling projects that have not started construction, which includes Nelson Street Phase 3. We will update Waitematā Local Board and all stakeholders once clear indication is received. |
| Newmarket and Remuera Residential Parking Zone (RPZ) - proposed permit scheme for residents and businesses | Traffic Control Committee (TCC) has already approved the resolution reports for Remuera and Newmarket RPZ’s.  
  AT will commence contacting residents who are eligible for permits in the first week of July. At the same AT’s contractors will commence installing the legal P120 zone signs well distributed in these areas. Advisory signs informing about the opening day will be also installed to update residents and customers.  
  AT aims this project to be finalised by mid-August. |
<p>| Parnell East Residential Parking Zone (RPZ) – project to install a residential parking zone and safety improvements on St Stephens Avenue, Lichfield Road and Crescent Road. | Parking zone will go live on 1 July. |
| Princes Street and Eden | This work has now been completed. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crescent intersection - upgrade including raised zebra crossings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt Chevalier to Westmere cycleway - A dedicated cycle route along Pt Chevalier Road and Meola Road ending near the Westmere Shops.</td>
<td>Detailed design still processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Drive cycle route (Quay Street to Ngapipi Bridge)</td>
<td>The construction works continue to progress well in multiple locations: south side of Tamaki Drive west of Ngapipi Bridge and north side of Tamaki Drive between Port entrance and Parnell Bath overhead pedestrian Bridge. Piling work on the new pedestrian bridge next to the Point Resolution Estuary Bridge has completed, and TMP is reinstated back to tidal flow of 3 lane operations during peak hours. The project team are still working towards completing before Americas Cup races begin in December 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Strand intersection – road safety improvements to the intersections at Tamaki Drive and Gladstone Road</td>
<td>No change, discussions are still progressing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street East-West cycleway - dedicated cycle route along Victoria Street West, from the Beaumont Street intersection to the Hobson Street intersection.</td>
<td>The Victoria Street road pavement reseal started on 7 June and to be completed in early July. In the meantime, civil works have also been carried out at Union Street intersection, Franklin Road intersection, Beaumont Street intersection, and the bus stop west of Franklin Road. After the pavement reseal is completed, we will allow the new asphalt to cure for 6 weeks before new coloured surfacing and concrete separator islands being installed onsite. The construction is anticipated to complete in early September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitematā Safe Routes project, the two routes open for feedback are Route 1: Surrey Crescent to Garnet Road and Route 2: Richmond Road.</td>
<td>1) Surrey Crescent to Garnet Road Work on reviewing the 2018 design for route 1 is ongoing. Construction has been deferred until July 2022 onwards and is subject to the proposed emergency budget priorities. 2) Richmond Road incl. West Lynn Village The detailed designs for the footpath and drainage remediation works has been issued to AT and is being reviewed by all stakeholders. Work on the design for the rest of route 2 is ongoing. Construction of the remediation works is planned for August</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction for the remainder of the route has been deferred until July 2022 onwards and is subject to the emergency budget priorities. The project will be brought to the Local Board Members in August (provisional date).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street and Sale Street – new intersection signals.</td>
<td>Tender is now closed. Currently going through tender review and evaluation. Award of contract to be held until FY2021 budget is confirmed. If budget is approved, construction to commence in August 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street Bus Improvement Project (formerly Midtown bus route) – Improving how city centre buses operate.</td>
<td>Wellesley Street Bus Improvement Project (formerly Midtown bus route) – Improving how city centre buses operate. The project team is currently identifying how best to bring the Detailed Business Case to a close in light of funding constraints stemming from the Council’s Emergency Budget. The team is wrapping up work to date and focusing on how to deliver interim bus improvements in time for Wellesley Street’s re-opening by Link Alliance in 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wynyard Quarter Street and park upgrades - central construction package.</td>
<td>Majority of works are now complete. Unfortunately, due to the current water restrictions the laying of grass and some planting within Amey Daldy Park has been deferred. This deferral of works will mean the park will most likely stay fenced off to the public till the 30 September. Also as previously reported, some of the Daldy Street linear park works have also been deferred due to a delay in land transfer. All works are due be completed by 30th September 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. The table below has an update on the Downtown Infrastructure programme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Infrastructure Improvement Programme</td>
<td>The Downtown Programme has been back on site since moving out of Level 4 lockdown restrictions on Tuesday 28 April. The programme shifted into COVID-19 Alert Level 1 on Tuesday 9 June 2020. The project teams are following the level 1 Government protocols. In essence, the requirement for social distancing has been removed and the focus will be on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This includes: Quay Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strengthening
- **Quay Street Enhancement**
- **Britomart East**
- **Lower Albert**
- **Downtown Ferry**
- **Waterfront Park**
- **Mooring dolphin**
- **Galway Street Enhancement**

**Hygiene**
- **Tracing**
- **Staying at home if sick or have symptoms**

There has been little change other than some sites potentially expanding to use areas previously provided to allow social distancing for public

Project timelines remain under review as we assess the impact of COVID-19. We are hoping to complete this review and be able to confirm the new timelines late July or early August.

#### Mooring Dolphin

On 28 May 2020, at the Council’s Emergency Budget Committee meeting, the decision was made to stop work on the Queen’s Wharf Mooring dolphin project due to the negative impacts of COVID-19. The approved resource consent for the dolphin will be surrendered, ending further Environment Court proceedings. The Cruise Mooring Structure will not proceed any further.

#### Downtown Public Space (Te Wananga)

Piling from the Jack-up Barge continues to make good progress with 42 out of 49 piles complete. Construction of the new deck on top of the piles has begun. Concrete trial pours are taking place offsite ensure everything is in order for actual deck pours. First deck outs are programmed for mid-2020.

#### Quay Street Eastbound lane closure

Quay Street’s eastbound lane (between Princes Wharf and Queens Wharf) has been reopened to general traffic.

#### Seawall strengthening:

**Queens to Marsden Wharf: Palisade Wall**

The first of three sections of the Quay Street Seismic Strengthening Programme are now complete with 104 palisade wall piles in place between Queens Wharf and Marsden Wharf.

**Princes Wharf to Ferry Basin: Jet grout column construction**

132 out of 153 columns are now complete. Jet grout works will continue in the entrance of Princes Wharf for the next 2 weeks.

**Ferry Basin – Anchoring the seawall:**

12 of 29 inclined anchors are now complete. Installation of rock armour continues with massive blocks of stone being placed on the seabed as an extra layer of protection to the new seawall. Breakout of Ferry Basin deck continues.

**Quay Street Enhancements: (Southern Side):**

The streetscape upgrade has shifted to the northern side of Quay Street and will be mainly located around the Ferry Building. Works are expected to continue in this area until October 2020. Work has restarted on the Southern side on the construction of the pavement and kerb lines.
| Item 13 |  
|---|---|
| **Lower Hobson Street intersection** | Streetscape upgrade works continue in front of Burger Boy and Princes Wharf. The first base concrete pour now complete with the structural concrete to be poured next. Paving works will follow completion of the concreting works. Access to Princes Wharf is from the western side of the red heritage post from. One lane in and one out remains. |
| **Lower Hobson Street to Lower Albert Street** | Bus stop 1346 outside PWC has closed temporarily. Birkenhead bus services to the North Shore, routes 95B, 95C, 95G, 97B, 97R and 97 V will depart from stop 7071 on Lower Albert St. Rain garden construction in this section is now complete and planting will start after the drainage for the gardens is installed along the northern side of Quay Street. |
| **Lower Albert Street to Lower Queen Street** | As above. |
| **Lower Queen Street to Commerce Street** | The Lower Queen Street pedestrian crossing shift across Quay Street has slightly shifted east. Access into the Ferry Building and to all Piers and Queens Wharf is maintained at all times. Footpath works expected to be complete by early July. |
| **Lower Albert Street** | Lower Albert Street - closed to vehicles until Q3 2020. North eastern footpath has been completed. Construction of the new western footpath and of new bus canopy foundations continue. Paving continues on south eastern section of new footpath (Customs Street corner). |
| **Ferry Basin Redevelopment** | Piling from Queen’s Wharf has recommenced to finish the last pile of the canopy substructure. Berth 6 (Pier 1D) is now operational for Pine Harbour ferries and berth 5 is expected to be operational July 2020. Berths 3 and 4 are currently under construction offshore. |
|  | • Scaffolding installation for services under Queens Wharf is set to be completed by the end of July. |
|  | • Installation of under wharf anchors continues and is near completion. |
|  | • 90% of the precast breakwater capping beam has now been completed. |
|  | • 32 or 39 wharf side canopy piles are complete. |
|  | • All 12 pontoon piles are complete. |
|  | • All 21 sea side canopy piles are complete All 220 breakwater piles are now complete. |
|  | • All 300 of the horizontal anchors under Ferry Basin are complete. |
### Galway Street Upgrade

Paving for the new Galway Street shared space is now complete on the western end with the only section left to complete in front of the new Britomart Hotel. Paving is also complete for the new Commerce Street footpath which has now re-opened. New speed table on Gore Street is complete as much as possible and will finish when traffic switches to opposite side of road later this year. Gore Street is currently operating under a one-way traffic management plan with access to Gore Street only from Customs Street.

### Traffic Restrictions

#### Key information

- Quay Street: eastbound lane between Princes Wharf and Queens Wharf has reopened.
- Princes Wharf has also been reduced down to 2 lanes, one in, one out. This layout will remain in place until late 2020.
- Lower Albert Street closed to traffic
- Gore Street is one way northbound only due to upgrade works
- No right turn into Commerce Street from Quay Street
- No right turn into Gore Street from Quay Street
- 30km/h temporary speed limit for Quay Street
- Safe pedestrian route maintained
- All access to business maintained

### Traffic Control Committee resolutions

9. Auckland Transport's resolution and approval process ensures the most appropriate controls and restrictions are put in place and can be legally enforced. The decisions made by AT’s Traffic Control Committee in the Waitematā Local Board during May 2020 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Report Type</th>
<th>Nature of Restriction</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Road</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Keep Clear / Removal Of Keep Clear</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howe Street</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking / No Stopping At All Times / Angle Parking / Parking Zone / Motorcycle Parking</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury Lane / East Street / Canada Street</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Loading Zone / P5 Parking / Give-Way Control / Stop Control / Traffic Island / One-Way Road / Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/Street Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middleton Road / Lauriston Avenue / Mamie Street / Belmont Terrace / Ada Street / Bassett Road / James Cook Crescent</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>Parking Zone / P120 Parking / No Stopping At All Times / Angle Parking / Keep Clear / Edge Line / Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking / Traffic Island / Roundabout / Road Hump / Give-Way Control / Stop Control / Removal Of One Way Give Way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tamaki Drive / Solent Street</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Approved with Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Bus Stop / Cycle Path / Cycle Lane / Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking / Shared Path / Bus Shelter / No Right Turn / Traffic Island / Flush Median / Traffic Signal / Footpath / Give-Way Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Queen Street / Tyler Street / Galway Street</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation to the committee requesting that they commence the process for the proposed pedestrian mall for lower Queen street and parts of Tyler street and Galway street</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>College Hill / Abbey Street / Newton Road / Ophir Street</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Approved with Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Road Hump / Pedestrian Crossing / Stop Control / Edge Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepburn Street / Wellington Street</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / P20 Parking / Edge Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ponsonby Road</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Approved with Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Mobility Parking / P120 Parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collingwood Street / Ponsonby Road (Works)</strong></td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Works)</strong></td>
<td>One-Way Road / No Left Turn / No Right Turn / Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking / Footpath / Edge Line / Delineators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beaumont Street / Pakenham Street West</strong></td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Works)</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At Certain Hours / No Stopping At All Times / Loading Zone / P15 Parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mahuru Street</strong></td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</td>
<td>Approved with Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes</strong></td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times / Street Stall Parking / Bus Parking - AT Metro Only / P30 Parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hamer Street</strong></th>
<th><strong>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes</strong></th>
<th><strong>No Stopping At All Times / Bus Parking - AT Metro Only / P30 Parking</strong></th>
<th><strong>Approved with Conditions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| **Queen Street / Customs Street West / Shortland Street / Victoria Street West / Victoria Street East / Wellesley Street West / Wellesley Street East / Custom Street East / Fort Street / Mayoral Drive** | **Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)** | **Footpath / Delineators / Loading Zone / P5 Parking / P15 Parking / Small PSV Stand / Bus Stop / Mobility Parking / P180 Parking / Lanes / Lane Arrow Marking / No Left Turn Unless Authorised / No Right Turn / One-Way Road for Authorised Vehicles** | **Carried** |

10. **Community Safety Fund**

The Community Safety Fund is funded from Auckland Transport’s safety budget and is dependent on the level of funding Auckland Transport receives from Council in the Emergency Budget. Current indications are that this level of funding will be significantly constrained.

Public consultation and the design work informed by this consultation, is progressing, with a view to having projects designed and ready to go, when money becomes available.

11. **Local Board Transport Capital Fund**

Council’s original resolutions relating to the Local Board Transport Capital Fund make it very clear that the overall budget allocation for the LCTCF is on the basis that ‘it can be managed by Auckland Transport within its annual budget’. While Council’s budget allocation to Auckland Transport will only be finalised when the emergency budget is adopted, current indications are that Auckland Transport’s capital budget will be significantly constrained. This being the case, it is highly likely that there will be a negative impact on the Local Board Transport Capital Fund.

Until the final budget is complete Auckland Transport will not be able to advise Local Board’s on the funds available in the Local Board Transport Capital Fund. This may have an impact on progressing potential projects.

Auckland Transport will update local boards on the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund as soon as decisions around the budget are finalised.

12. **Resolutions**

At its June meeting the Local Board resolved the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution number WTM/2020/133</th>
<th>MOVED by Member G Gunthorp, seconded by Member J Sandilands:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>That the Waitematā Local Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) receive the Auckland Transport June 2020 report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. **Tamaki Drive**
   Remaining social distancing measures have been removed.

14. **Speed Limits Bylaw**

   New speed limits on more than 600 roads across Auckland came into force on Tuesday 30 June 2020.

   See attachment A for the roads affected in the Waitematā Local Board area.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi**

**Climate impact statement**

15. Auckland Transport engages closely with Council on developing strategy, actions and measures to support the outcomes sought by the Auckland Plan 2050, the Auckland Climate Action Plan and Council’s priorities.

16. Auckland Transport’s core role is in providing attractive alternatives to private vehicle travel, reducing the carbon footprint of its own operations and, to the extent feasible, that of the contracted public transport network.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

17. The impact of information in this report is mainly confined to Auckland Transport. Where LBTCF projects are being progressed by Auckland Council’s Community Facilities group, engagement on progress has taken place. Any further engagement required with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

18. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no local, sub-regional or regional impacts.

General information items sent to the board:

19. Please see below for a summary of items sent to the local board for their information or feedback:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date sent to Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Tamaki Drive</td>
<td>03/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEEDBACK: Parnell Road Bus Stop relocation</td>
<td>04/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEEDBACK: 80 Greys Ave</td>
<td>10/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEEDBACK: Broadway and Davis Crescent, Newmarket - Mobility parking and pedestrian improvements</td>
<td>10/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEEDBACK: Greys Ave Parking space – Kaianga Ora development</td>
<td>15/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: 25 Cowan Street, Ponsonby - Broken Yellow Lines</td>
<td>24/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEEDBACK: Collingwood Street – No right turn</td>
<td>26/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFO: Grey Lynn paid parking</td>
<td>26/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFO: Ponsonby Paid parking review</td>
<td>26/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

20. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

21. The proposed decision of receiving this report has no financial implications as outlined in the resolutions, analysis and advice.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

22. Auckland Council is currently consulting on its Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and we will have more certainty on the impacts to the AT programme when the budget is adopted in July.
23. Our capital and operating budgets will be reduced through this process. Some projects we had planned for 2020/2021 may not be able to be delivered, which will be disappointing to communities that we had already engaged with. Both the Community Safety Fund and the Local Board Transport Capital Fund may be impacted by these budget reductions.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

24. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board next month.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Speed limit</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Caroline Tauevihi - Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Elected Member Relationship Team Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbey Street</td>
<td>between eastern end of Abbey Street and 15 m east of Newton Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelaide Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airedale Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfred Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alten Road</td>
<td>between Anzac Ave and 25 m south of Churchill Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anzac Avenue</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacons Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankside Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Road - City Centre</td>
<td>between Parnell Rise and Customs Street East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beresford Square</td>
<td>between Pitt Street and 25 m east of Hopetoun Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardman Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowen Avenue</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradnor Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigham Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britomart Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chancery Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cintra Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Road</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobden Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Hill</td>
<td>between Victoria Street West and 25 m west of Victoria Street West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Street</td>
<td>between Mayoral Drive and 180m west of Morton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costley Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courthouse Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street East</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Street West</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darby Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham Street East</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham Street West</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Street - City Centre</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Crescent</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edinburgh Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England Street</td>
<td>between Franklin Road and 30m south of College Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanshawe Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Street</td>
<td>between Wellesley Street West and Victoria Street West, between Victoria Street West and Fanshawe Street, between Wellesley Street West and southern end of Federal Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Street</td>
<td>between Custom Street East and Gore Street, between Commerce Street and Queen Street, between Gore Street and Commerce Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Road</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galatos Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galway Street</td>
<td>between Gore Street and Britomart Place, between Gore Street and Queen Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgina Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gore Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gore Street Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor Fitzroy Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafton Road</td>
<td>between Symonds Street and 210 m south of Symonds Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greys Avenue</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gundy Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunson Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwilliam Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halsey Street</td>
<td>between Wellesley Street west and Fanshawe Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamer Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardinge Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heke Street</td>
<td>between Franklin Road and Collingwood Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepburn Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereford Street</td>
<td>between Karangahape Road and 35 m north of Karangahape Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobson Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopetoun Street</td>
<td>between Pitt Street and 30 m west of Pitt Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howe Street</td>
<td>between Karangahape Road and 30 m north of Karangahape Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Batten Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karangahape Road</td>
<td>between Grafton Bridge and 25 m east of Newton Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchener Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorne Street</td>
<td>between Wellesley Street East and Rutland Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Albert Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Hobson Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyndock Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madden Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahuhu Crescent</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marmion Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayoral Drive</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morton Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napier Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napier Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngaoho Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngata Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Connell Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophir Street</td>
<td>between Edinburgh Street and 15 m east of Newton Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakenham Street East</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliament Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pember Reeves Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percy Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumer Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poynton Terrace</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princes Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay Street</td>
<td>between 60 m east of Tapora Street and Quay Street Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renall Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronayne Street</td>
<td>between 45 m west of The Strand and Beach Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runnell Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutland Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryle Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Wrigley Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa House Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotia Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland Street</td>
<td>between Franklin Road and 25m south of College Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheridan Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortland Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Martins Lane</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Paul Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturdee Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symonds Street</td>
<td>between Anzac Ave and 30 m south of Karangahape Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangihua Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapora Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Tao Crescent</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinley Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Queen Street</td>
<td>between Queen Street and 20 m south of Canada Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernon Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street East</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street West</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefield Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warimu Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo Quadrant</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waverley Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street East</td>
<td>between SH16 and Wellesley Street West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellesley Street West</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitaker Place</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkins Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolfe Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood Street</td>
<td>between Franklin Road and 35m south of College Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Section descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyndham Street</td>
<td>Full length</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Purpose of the report

1. To outline the quarter three performance of Regional Facilities Auckland.

Executive summary

2. This report includes the attached Regional Facilities Auckland Performance Report, which outlines the highlights, issues and risks and programme of works for the key activities of Regional Facilities Auckland over the period ending 31 March 2020.

Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive Regional Facilities Auckland Quarter 3 Performance Report.
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Regional Facilities Auckland
Quarter 3 Performance Report
For the period ending 31 March 2020

This report outlines the key performance of Regional Facilities Auckland
Regional Facilities Auckland Summary

**Highlights, issues & risks for the quarter:**

1. **The world-wide smash hit musical** The Book of Mormon open in Auckland at The Civic in early March, attracting audiences from around New Zealand and playing to sold-out performances until the season was forced to close on March 17 due to the Government’s COVID-19 regulations.
2. Auckland Art Gallery opened Enchanted Worlds: Hokusei, Hiroshige and the Art of Edo Japan, a rare exhibition of Edo-period painting in February. The exhibition launched alongside One Enchanted Night, a late-night, sold-out event, which saw four floors of the Gallery, including the rooftop terrace, brought to life with a 24-hour exhibition entry, live music, food, drinks and entertainment.
3. Permission was granted for the emergency release of 822 juvenile wetapunga onto Motuhake Island at the start of the Level 4 Alert. The conservation of the endangered species is on the Government’s list of essential services and relieving the Zoo’s ecotourism team of the significant care of the young wetapunga was essential to the Zoo’s Covid-19 mitigation strategy.
4. **The Queen + Adam Lambert Rhapsody tour concert** was held on 7 February at Mt Smart Stadium. In addition, SIX60 returned to Western Springs Stadium on 22 February where they were received enthusiastically by fans from around New Zealand.

**Issues/Risks:**

1. The potential for an extension of the current lockdown and border restrictions, and the economic hit on The RFA Brands means it’s impossible to predict the state RFA will be in once the NZ alert level is reduced, and what impact this will have on our venues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Previous FY20</th>
<th>Actual FY20 YTD</th>
<th>Target FY20 YTD</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The number of people who experience RFA’s arts, environment and sport venues and events</td>
<td>1,801,064</td>
<td>2,642,234</td>
<td>2,775,000</td>
<td><strong>Not met</strong></td>
<td>RFA would have exceeded this target if the VEC target visitor numbers were excluded from this measure. The original target number of RFA visitors/patrons was set prior to Council’s decision to lease the Viaduct Events Centre to Team NZ. This removed a key venue from RFA’s events programme and does impact the visitor numbers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The net promoter score for Regional Facilities Auckland’s audiences and participants</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td><strong>Met</strong></td>
<td>RFA continues to exceed this target offering unique and entertaining events and performances at all RFA venues and facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of operating costs funded through non-rates revenues</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td><strong>Not met</strong></td>
<td>RFA did not achieve its revenue targets this quarter due to the financial impact from the cancellation of performances and events; and the closures of venues as a result of COVID 19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of programmes contributing to the visibility and presence of Māori in Auckland, Tamaki Makaurau</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Met</strong></td>
<td>Programmes contributing to visibility and presence of Māori have exceeded the target, with significant number of programmes at the Auckland Art Gallery and across all other RFA Brands.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financials (in million)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financials</th>
<th>YTD actual</th>
<th>YTD budget</th>
<th>Actual vs Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital delivery</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct revenue</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct expenditure</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net direct expenditure</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Financial Commentary**

**Capital delivery:** The RFA capital programme for YTD delivered $59.8m of works. Although RFA was forecast to achieve total spend to budget for the year this has been impacted by COVID 19. The delivery is primarily in two major projects: the Aotea Centre refurbishment and the South East Asia Precinct.

**Net direct expenditure:** The $4.6m unfavourable variance primarily reflects the cancellation of performances and events; and the closures of venues as a result of COVID 19.

**Forecast FY20:** It is anticipated that RFA will miss the FY20 revenue target due to the closure of venues as a result of COVID 19 as well as other impacts including exceptionally wet weather in the first quarter, business interruption impacts of construction at the zoo through the remaining months of the year and the loss of five concerts in FY20 (including Metallica and Elton John).
## Strategic focus area – Stadia

### Key commentary

For nine months ended 31 March 2020, a total of $10.4m was spent towards stadia against an FY20 budget of $12m.

### Highlights

1. **Western Springs Stadium renewals**: prior to the covid-19 lock-down, progress had continued on this renewal project. This included completion of retaining wall structures and the commencement of construction a new toilet block, installation of external gates, re-opening of Stadium Road following completion of asphalt and concrete works and a concrete crossing to the outer field.
2. On restarting construction works, completion of the replacement entrance gate, ticket booths and new toilet block will be scheduled, along with installation of new street lighting poles.

### Issues/Risks

1. **Covid-19 related delays**: All capital projects, both planned and underway, are currently on hold as a result of current Covid-19 response measures; associated costs, in terms of delays and supply chain impacts are not able yet to be estimated.

   **Stand strengthening and renewals works at Mt Smart and North Harbour stadia**: In early 2019, RFA received preliminary findings from seismic surveys of building structures at Mt Smart and North Harbour stadiums, which prompted further detailed assessments. These were received in late FY19 and indicated low seismic ratings, albeit within tolerance. Further strengthening works, particularly at North Harbour Stadium, were identified, however the start of these works has since been delayed by the Government’s Covid-19 response.

### Strategic context

Much of Auckland’s network of stadia are aging and do not respond to the evolving interests of Aucklanders, including the growth of interest in a wider range of sports.

RFA is working to improve the amenity and health and safety standards in the stadia under its stewardship, in order to improve their financial sustainability and provide better facilities for both community sports activities and professional sports teams and their fans. RFA also aims to provide venues to support Auckland’s emerging sports.

### Key programme of works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Harbour Stadium – baseball reconfiguration</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Reconfiguration and construction to enable the hosting of the Auckland Tuatara home games for next season at North Harbour Stadium</td>
<td>Works are scheduled to start once covid-19 related construction constraints are lifted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Harbour Stadium – main stand roof renewal</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>To construct access to the grandstand roof and undertake roof repairs and strengthening (renewals)</td>
<td>Works are scheduled to re-start once covid-19 related construction constraints are lifted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Springs Stadium renewals</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>The replacement of two toilet blocks, gate entry building, maintenance shed, concourse and Stadium Road upgrade works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic focus area – Auckland Zoo development

Key commentary
For nine months ended 31 March 2020, a total of $29.3m was spent towards zoo development against an FY20 budget of $35m.

Highlights
1. Construction of the South East Asia Precinct and new café is well underway. The first zone (the Orangutan and Siamese tiger habitat) and the café were due for public opening in early April, an opening unfortunately disrupted by current national Covid-19 response.
2. A significant programme of general renewals and infrastructure upgrades is also progressing well.

Issues/Risks
1. Covid-19 related delays: All zoo capital projects, both planned and underway, are currently on hold as a result of current national Covid-19 response measures. Associated costs, in terms of delays and supply chain impacts are not able yet to be estimated.
2. The most significant impact of Covid-19 related delays will be felt on the Zoo’s South East Asia Precinct development, where supply chain issues began to be experienced as early as January, and re-mobilisation of the work site will take some time. Given the size of the project, programme delays will likely cause significant additional cost. However, given likely on-going work practice restrictions, the extent of the delays remains uncertain, the project is now unlikely to be complete until the end of 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South East Asia Precinct development</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>Redevelopment of the central area within the Zoo to provide modern standards of housing and care for the Zoo’s South East Asian species, and new catering facilities</td>
<td>Largest renewals project in the Zoo’s history. Covid-19 related delays now place some uncertainty over time and cost to complete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic context
RFA is continuing with development of a world-class zoo and wildlife conservation facility by addressing aging infrastructure at Auckland Zoo and long-term under-investment through a phased programme of works.

These works constitute essential renewals aimed at ensuring Auckland Zoo meets the modern standards of animal welfare, visitor amenity, wildlife exhibition and health and safety obligations.
### Strategic focus area – Aotea precinct development

#### Key commentary
For nine months ended 31 March 2020, a total of $10.3m was spent towards the Aotea Centre development against an FY20 budget of $19.4m. This project remains substantially challenged by delays associated with the need for a comprehensive redesign to meet new standards.

#### Highlights
1. Refurbishment of the interior of the Aotea Centre (Centre) has concluded, as marked by the installation of a major new digital art-work by the internationally renowned Maori artist, Lisa Reihana.
2. Working with the Auckland Design Office, a draft Aotea Square precinct master plan is now incorporated into Council’s City Centre Master Plan, and a programme of consultation with key partners and stakeholders continues.

#### Issues/Risks
1. Covid-19 related delays: The Aotea Centre weathertightness works are on hold as a result of current national Covid-19 response measures. Costs related to the delay and disruption to the supply chain are not able yet to be estimated. However, given likely on-going work practice restrictions, the extent of the delays remains uncertain, the project is now unlikely to be complete until the end of 2020.
2. These delays add further to the challenges already faced on this project, which has now been required to cease construction several times. Previous delays were as a result of design and consenting challenges following from the application of new external cladding standards after construction had begun. These required a significant re-design of all cladding and weathertightness components, work that is still subject to re-consenting before works can re-commence.

#### Strategic context
The refurbishment and further proposed development and expansion of the Aotea Centre are aimed at creating a vibrant cultural and civic centre for Auckland focussed on the Aotea Square precinct and as part of a wider Aotea Arts Quarter.

This will include a significantly upgraded and expanded Aotea Centre and Integrated Aotea Square, providing a home for the development and presentation of performing arts in Auckland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key programme of works</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outlook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aotea refurbishment</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>The first significant refurbishment of the 30-year-old Centre, aiming to upgrade foyer and functions spaces and address long-standing weather-tightness issues</td>
<td>NZ's changing building façades and cladding standards has required substantial changes to this project mid-programme. Council has approved an additional $14m in funding for the project. Works are scheduled to re-start once covid-19 related construction constraints are lifted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aotea Square master plan</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>A precinct planning approach to the development of the Square and its surrounds to ensure the precinct meets its potential as a key lively and active space for Aucklanders</td>
<td>A consultation draft of the masterplan has been completed and is being used to inform discussions with partners and stakeholders, and the design for the Aotea Studios project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aotea Centre expansion (Aotea Studios)</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td>Developing concept plans for expanding the current Aotea Centre to provide a home for performing arts organisations and to foster the work of performing arts groups</td>
<td>This project remains in a design development stage, with the team currently working closely with Iwi to ensure the concept is well informed by Maori design principles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Other Statement of Intent focus areas

### Arts & Culture Strategy
- Auckland Live: Partnering with PANNZ (Performing Arts Network of New Zealand), in March Auckland Live began facilitating an online weekly hui series, which provides performing arts industry advice, feedback and discussion during this time of lockdown and crisis. Each hui is led by a different panel of performing arts stalwarts from arts companies, organisations, festivals and theatres across NZ. It is live streamed every Monday on the PANNZ Facebook event page and YouTube.
- Auckland Live is lending its support to MusicHelpsLive, a charity administered by the music industry to support NZ musicians by offering 24/7 industry and wellbeing support and advice. In the wake of the Government’s COVID-19 restrictions, the charity has expanded its services to all performing arts professionals whose livelihoods and wellbeing are being impacted by the pandemic. Auckland Live has pledged to be part of the expanded service offering.

### Sustainability and Climate Change
- In February, RFA’s inaugural sustainability report ‘Our Footprint’ was published online, sharing information, metrics and case studies about sustainability work being undertaken across our business units and venues.
- During this quarter there was a focus on establishing and strengthening relationships with various organisations and CCOS to enable alignment of work. This includes working with:
  - Auckland Council to establish how RFA’s actions align with Auckland’s Climate Action Framework (ACAF)
  - Auckland Council and CCOS to outline how the mayor’s target of 50% emissions reduction from council’s operations will be achieved by 2030.
  - ATEED to align expectations around event waste management.
  - Various council and government development agencies to share learnings and standards being developed for green building practices
  - Toitu Envirocare to investigate delivery of carbon zero events
  - RFA’s various contracted caterers to gain an understanding of how sustainability issues are being addressed through catering operations.
- Sustainability is currently being integrated into RFA’s asset management programme to enable an understanding of current sustainability performance across our venues.

### Local Board Engagement
- As part of the induction programme for all local board members, an overview of RFA facilities was presented to 14 local boards.
- The director, Auckland Stadiums, visited the Upper Harbour and Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Boards to update them on the stadiums in their areas, and Auckland Live provided updates for the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board.
- All other facilities are located within the Waitemata Local Board. Presentations by RFA directors of these facilities have been deferred due to Covid-19.
- Following distribution of the second quarter report, the first since the start of the current council term, strong interest was shown with nearly half the boards putting the report on meeting agendas.

### Contribution towards Māori Outcomes
- The General Manager Kaupapa Māori has been appointed this quarter. Directors across the RFA Brands met with the GM to discuss what activities, programmes, projects, events and partnerships to contribute to Māori outcomes.

### Te Reo Māori
RFA has reviewed the Council Te Reo Strategy and adopted an action plan for implementation over the next year. This will be expressed through the Mahere Aronga Māori Responsiveness Plan.

### Auckland Live and Conventions:
- Whakaaea were held to welcome and support the incoming companies, cast and crew for the Auckland Fringe Arts Festival and new staff.

### Identity and Culture
- Auckland Art Gallery:
  - The Gallery continues to progress planning of an exhibition Toi Tū Toi Ora which will celebrate 70 years of Māori contemporary art which will be held in 2021.
## Regional Facilities Auckland financials

### Direct operating performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY19 Actual</th>
<th>FY20 YTD Actual</th>
<th>FY20 Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net direct expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>(4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees &amp; user charges</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating grants and subsidies</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other direct revenue</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct expenditure</strong></td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits</td>
<td>C 51.2</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>(2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, contributions &amp; sponsorship</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other direct expenditure</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other key operating lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC operating funding</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC capital funding</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday Act remediation payments</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Grants paid to RFA Partners</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest revenue</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financial Commentary

**A:** The $4.6m unfavourable variance primarily reflects the cancellation of performances and events; and the closures of venues as a result of COVID 19.

**B:** Direct revenue unfavourable variance is due primarily to the closure of venues.

**C:** Employee benefits are unfavourable to budget due to recruitment costs for vacancies, staff restructure within Auckland Live and misalignment of annual leave expenses compared with budget.

**D:** It was agreed with Auckland Council that the additional expense relating to the Holidays Act remediation payments would be recognised below the line and additional funding provided by Council.

**E:** Capital funded grants of $1.2m paid to Council and RFA partners including Trust Arena, Eventfinda Stadium, Stardome and MOTAT. The funding was budgeted however the accounting treating of was not to expense these costs through the Net direct expenditure.
## Regional Facilities Auckland performance measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key performance indicators</th>
<th>Previous Quarter</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The number of people who experience Regional Facilities Auckland’s arts, environment and sports venues and events</td>
<td>1,801,064</td>
<td>2,642,234</td>
<td>2,775,000</td>
<td>Not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The net promoter score for Regional Facilities Auckland’s audiences and participants</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of operating costs funded through non-rates revenues</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Auckland residents surveyed who value RFA venues and events</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of programmes contributing to the visibility and presence of Maori in Auckland, Tamaki Makaurau</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RFA non-financial performance YTD as at 31 March 2020

1,500,268 people participated in free or subsidised experiences

2,642,234 people experienced RFA’s programmes, events and activities

10,175 people participated in RFA’s outreach programmes

Inspiring volunteers contributed 37,355 hours supporting RFA’s activities

73,309 children participated in RFA’s learning programmes
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. This report provides the Waitematā Local Board with highlights of ATEED’s activities in the Waitematā Local Board area as well as ATEED’s regional activities for the six months 1 July to 31 December 2019.

1. This report should be read in conjunction with ATEED’s Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 reports to Auckland Council (available at www.aucklandnz.com). Although these reports focus primarily on the breadth of ATEED’s work at a regional level, much of the work highlighted has significant local impact.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. This report provides the Waitematā Local Board with relevant information on the following ATEED activities:

- Locally driven initiatives: Waitematā Sustainability Kick Start Programme
- Supporting local business growth
- Filming activity
- Young Enterprise Scheme
- Youth connections
- Local and regional destination management and marketing
- Delivered, funded and facilitated events

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive ATEED’s update to the Waitematā Local Board – March 2020.

Horopaki

Context

3. ATEED has two areas of focus:

**Economic Development** – including business support, business attraction and investment, local economic development, trade and industry development, skills employment and talent and innovation and entrepreneurship.

**Destination** - supporting sustainable growth of the visitor economy with a focus on destination marketing and management, major events, business events (meetings and conventions) and international student attraction and retention.
4. These two portfolios also share a common platform relating to the promotion of the city globally to ensure that Auckland competes effectively with other mid-tier high quality of life cities.

5. ATEED works with local boards, Governing Body and Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs) to support decision-making on local economic growth, and facilitates or co-ordinates the delivery of local economic development activity. ATEED ensures that the regional activities that ATEED leads or delivers are fully leveraged to support local economic growth and employment.

6. In addition, ATEED’s dedicated Local Economic Development (LED) team works with local boards who allocate locally driven initiatives (LDI) budget to economic development activities. The LED team delivers a range of services such as the development of proposals, including feasibility studies that enable local boards to directly fund or otherwise advocate for the implementation of local initiatives.

7. ATEED delivers its services at the local level through business hubs based in the north, west and south of the region, as well as its central office at 167B Victoria Street West.

8. Additional information about ATEED’s role and activities can be found at [www.aucklandnz.com/ateed](http://www.aucklandnz.com/ateed)

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. As at 31 December 2019, 1876 businesses had been through an ATEED intervention or programme. Of these, 1014 businesses were in the Waitematā Local Board area – 573 businesses went through Destination-related programmes and 441 businesses went through Economic Development-related programmes.

Economic Development
*Locally Driven Initiatives:*

10. Waitematā Sustainability Kick Start Programme: There were ten business who participated in the Sustainability Kick Start Programme, all of which have completed sustainability action plans. The first workshop was held on 9 October at ATEED Victoria St West offices. The second workshop was held on 4 November at ATEED office. The final group workshop was held on 20th February at the local board office.

*Supporting Local Business Growth:*

11. This area is serviced by the Business and Enterprise team in ATEED’s central office, located at 167B Victoria Street West. The team comprises of two Business and Innovation Advisors and administration support. The role of this team is to support the growth of Auckland’s key internationally competitive sectors and to support to provide quality jobs.

12. A key programme in achieving this is central government’s Regional Business Partnership Network (RBPN). This is delivered by ATEED’s nine Business and Innovation Advisors (BIA), whose role is to connect local businesses to resources, experts and services in innovation, R&D, business growth and management.

13. ATEED’s Business and Innovation Advisors engage 1:1 with businesses through a discovery meeting to understand their challenges, gather key data, and provide connections / recommendations via an action plan.

---

5 This activity is subject to local boards prioritising local economic development, and subsequently allocating funding to local economic development through their local board agreements.

6 Q2 FY 2019/20 result for ATEED’s SOI KPI2
Where businesses qualify (meet the programme criteria and/or align to ATEED’s purpose as defined in the SOI) the advisors facilitate government support to qualifying businesses, in the form of:

- Callaghan Innovation R&D grants (including Getting Started, project and student grants) ([https://www.callaghaninnovation.govt.nz/grants](https://www.callaghaninnovation.govt.nz/grants))
- RBPN business capability vouchers (NZTE), where the business owner may be issued co-funding up to $5,000 per annum for business training via registered service providers. Voucher co-funding is prioritised to businesses accessing this service for the first time, to encourage more businesses to engage with experts to assist their management and growth.
- NZTE services such as Export Essentials ([https://workshop.exportessentials.nz/register/](https://workshop.exportessentials.nz/register/))
- Referrals to NZ Business Mentors via The Chamber of Commerce.

14. During the reporting period, ATEED Business and Innovation Advisors engaged with 524 businesses and individuals in the Waitematā Local Board area, six for innovation advice and services and 299 for business growth and capability advice and services. From these engagements:

- Six connections were made to Callaghan Innovation services and programmes
- 79 RBPN vouchers were issued to assist with business capability training
- 18 referrals were made to Business Mentors New Zealand
- 16 connections were made to ATEED staff and programmes
- 275 connections were made to other businesses or programmes.

Other support for new businesses:

15. During the period, ATEED also ran workshops and events aimed at establishing or growing a new business and building capability. Thirty four people from the Waitematā Local Board area attended an event below:

- Starting off Right workshop - 5
- Business clinic – 12
- Innovation clinic – 17

Filming activity within the Waitematā Local Board area:

16. ATEED’s Screen Auckland team facilitates, processes and issues film permits for filming activity in public open space. This activity supports local businesses and employment, as well as providing a revenue stream to local boards for the use of local parks.

17. Between 1 July and 31 December 2019, a total of 310 film permits were issued in the Auckland region, 56 of these permits were issued in the Waitematā Local Board area.

18. The Waitematā Local Board area’s share of film permit revenue was $1,868.70 for the period (total for all boards combined was $38,208.55).

19. Some of the key film productions that were issued permits to film in the Waitematā Local Board area were:

- Cowboy Bebop

---

7 This does not reflect all filming that takes place in studio, private property or low impact activity that wouldn’t have required a permit.
8 This includes Local Board fees only, other permit fees directed to Auckland Transport (Special Events) and Regional Parks. Figures exclude GST and are as per the month the permit was invoiced, not necessarily when the activity took place.
• Grand Designs NZ
• The Justice of Bunny King
• The Wilds
• The Block
• Shortland Street

Young Enterprise Scheme (YES):

20. The Auckland Chamber of Commerce has delivered the Lion Foundation Young Enterprise Scheme (YES) since January 2018. ATEED maintains a strategic role. During the period, there were 58 schools participating in the Auckland YES programme, representing 1364 students completing the programme. There are currently 4 schools from the Waitematā Local Board area participating in the YES programme.

Local Jobs and Skills Hubs:

21. ATEED is the regional partner for the network of Auckland Jobs and Skills Hubs. These multi-agency hubs support employers at developments where there is a high and sustained demand for local labour and skills development. The Auckland network includes Ara (Auckland Airport development), CBD (Wynyard Quarter and city centre development), and Tāmaki hubs. The new Manukau and Northern hubs launched in August of 2019 with new initiatives underway. ATEED-established City Centre Hub reports a total of 480 people into employment, 2,092 training outcomes and 14 apprenticeships facilitated as at 28 February 2020. ATEED is the backbone organisation for the CBD Jobs and Skills Hub, where Māori represented over a third (36 percent) of job placements towards a 40 percent target.

22. ATEED provided funding to CRL Progressive Employment programme for at risk youth supporting training and developing capability within businesses. Five of six youth graduated the 18-week programme in October into jobs; evaluation report received which shows programme delivered excellent results

Offshore talent attraction:

23. The Auckland Smart Move Q1-Q2 campaign, launched in July 2019 with Immigration New Zealand resulted in 2,126 tech and construction job applications from high-skilled offshore migrants, reaching more than 121,000 offshore high-skilled professionals.

Destination

North, West, South East and Gulf area destination management and marketing activity:

24. Over the period, the ATEED Tourism Innovation Team has cemented its highly effective regional cluster and program development. The city is managed on a North/West and Great Barrier area and South/East/Central and Waiheke area. This is a proactive programme that is generating success and clustering of businesses capability, skills and delivery across the entire city. Results are visible and reported, including:

• East/South and North/West visitor maps
• A Tourism Innovation Partnership Fund which identifies and focuses on capability building and content & product development
• Groups include the Franklin Tourism Group, now closely aligned with East Auckland Tourism, the Waiheke Tourism Cluster, the Matakana Cluster and the Waitakere Ranges Cluster as well as more bespoke groups on Great Barrier and specific territories.
• Project & opportunity awareness for operators
• Regional showcase days, product awareness and updates, site familiarity visits (Famils), and opportunity discussions
• Innovation sessions with topics such as capability building for smaller operators, common issues and themes, key takeaways, and networking opportunities

25. The Auckland Visitor Survey Insights Report is the culmination of significant development in qualitative and quantitative data capture across all of Auckland. The report identifies the region by main areas, north, south, east, west, and gulf islands, and delivers a valuable and timely insight into visitors’ characteristics, behaviour, experience and perceptions of the Auckland region. This report will be available for local board access in the New Year.

Regional destination management and marketing activity:

26. Auckland cruise ship activity for the year 2018/19 reported growth for Auckland with visitor expenditure of $192.5m (note: this is not the GDP figure previously taken from Cruise NZ as it is no longer available). This is up from $145m in 2017/18. Passenger numbers in 2018/19 were up to 238,000 from 211,000 in 2017/18

27. It was a strong six months of highly visible activities designed to attract visitors from overseas and around New Zealand to Auckland using various platforms:
   • Social media including Instagram @Visitauckland.
   • Media and PR including Auckland Insider article. Best escapes for a long weekend and Appetite for Auckland. Online Food stories.
   • Marketing Programs such as the Australian ‘Short Break to Auckland’ campaign in October 2019.
   • Collateral to continue in the AA Auckland Visitor Summer Guide 2019 -2020.
   • Creating a B-roll of striking footage and images of Tāmaki Makaurau for free use by tourism operators and promoters across the Auckland region and the gulf.
   • Focus was also given to Trade and Content development including Elemental AKL 2020.

28. Māori Tourism Development activity that may be relevant to local boards:
   ATEED continued to support and advocate for the development of new Māori tourism experiences and unique marketing opportunities in support of the priorities contained in the Destination AKL 2025 strategy.

29. Māori Tourism Innovation Partnership Programme – Pilot
   In alignment to the Destination AKL Strategy, ATEED has development a new Tourism Innovation Partnership fund to enable and support sustainable growth of Māori Tourism in Tāmaki Makaurau. Funding is available to Iwi, Hapū, Marae, Urban Māori Authorities and Māori Tourism collectives to apply for during the 19/20 financial year. So far, we have supported the following two initiatives:
   • Ngai Tai ki Tamaki/Te Haerenga have been awarded $25,000 to develop and promote day tours to Rangitoto and Motutapu through walking and e-bike tours.
   • Te Manu Taupua (with support from the Tupuna Maunga Authority & Nuu Limited) have been awarded $20,000 to grow digital capacity and capability to amplify the cultural narratives of Tāmaki Makaurau. These resources will then be used to educate and develop cultural competency within Auckland’s tourism industry.

30. Examples of separate local board area activity includes:
   • Waitemata - Feasibility study for a Māori Cultural Centre RFA, ATEED and Panuku in partnership with mana whenua (in particular, Ngāti Whātuā Ōrākei, Ngāti Pāoa and Te Kawerau a Maki) are in the final stages of finalising a feasibility study for a Māori Cultural Centre, with a penultimate draft being circulated internally. The cultural centre is closely linked to the City centre work being undertaken by the Auckland Design Office.
   • Ōtara-Papatoetoe - Elemental – Te Ahi Kōmāu Event
ATEED, in collaboration with Panuku, are supporting The Cause Collective and Papatūānuku Marae in the delivery and marketing of an indigenous food and cultural storytelling event as part of Elemental 2020 programme.

- Albert-Eden – Whau Café
  In collaboration with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, ATEED supported the marketing and promotion of a new Māori centred café and visitor centre called Whau Café located in the historic kiosk on Maungawhau. Whau Café officially opened to the public in December 2019 and has been successfully operating since.

- Rodney - Capability Development
  ATEED is supporting Te Hana Community Development Charitable Trust with the re-prioritising of their commercial tourism aspirations and product development. ATEED is also involved in the Auckland Council working group, to support Te Hana with renewing and re-accessing the lease model as well and supporting further community engagement focused initiatives.

Delivered, funded and facilitated events

31. During the period, the inaugural Elemental AKL winter festival was held 1-31 July 2019. There were 67 events across the region, and 120 restaurants that took part through Elemental Feast. The new festival generated more than 1000 media stories.

32. ATEED delivered the Auckland Diwali Festival which was held at Aotea Square and Upper Queen Street from 12-13 October 2019. Approximately 65,000 people attended, up 9% from an estimated 59,990 in 2018. The festival had more than 40 food stallholders, more than 50 hours’ live entertainment and over 200 performances.

33. ATEED led the cross-council communications and programme implementation of the 2019 New Year’s Eve coverage to alert Aucklanders and visitors to the road closures, extra public transport options, event highlights and TV viewing options, with positive feedback received.

34. During the period, residents of the Waitematā Local Board area were also able to enjoy events funded or facilitated by ATEED across the Auckland region, including the New Zealand International Film Festival, ASB Auckland Marathon, the Virgin Australia Supercars Championship, The Food Show, New Zealand Fashion Week, the ITM Auckland SuperSprint, Taste of Auckland, EQUITANA Auckland, 19/20 Nacra/49ers Class Sailing World Champs, Wondergarden, Auckland On Water Boat Show.

35. A full schedule of major events is available on ATEED’s website, aucklandnz.com

Go With Tourism

36. Go with Tourism (GWT) is a jobs-matching platform that targets young people (18-30 years) and encourages them to consider a career in Tourism. In 2019, Go with Tourism was rolled out nationally with launches in Queenstown and Wanaka. The platform signed over 300 businesses for the first time in the 6 months between July and December 2019.

37. The most popular industries in the GWT programme in Auckland (as classified by ANZSIC code) were Accommodation and Food Services (61%), Arts and Recreation Services (19%), Transport, Postal and Warehousing (5%), and Administrative and Support Services (5%).

38. In Waitematā Local Board, 111 businesses have signed up to use the platform out of a total of 165 in the Auckland region.
Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi
Climate impact statement
39. ATEED is currently considering how we respond to climate impacts in our projects and programmes. In the interim, ATEED assesses and responds to any impact that our initiatives may have on the climate on a case-by-case basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
40. ATEED assesses and manages our initiatives on a case-by-case basis and engages with the Council group where required.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
41. Local Board views are not sought for the purposes of this report. Local Board views were sought for some of the initiatives described in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
42. The proposed decision to receive the six-monthly report has no impact on Māori. ATEED assesses and responds to any impact that our initiatives may have on Māori on a case-by-case basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
43. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
44. The proposed decision to receive the six-monthly report has no risk. ATEED assesses and manages any risk associated with our initiatives on a case-by-case basis.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
45. ATEED will provide the next six-monthly report to the Local Board in August 2020 and will cover the period 1 January to 30 June 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Stephanie Sole - Strategy and Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Quanita Khan - Manager Operational Strategy and Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adoption of the Waitematā Local Board Agreement 2020/2021

File No.: CP2020/09332

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Waitematā Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area.

3. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (annual plan) including local board priorities (consultation part 1). Local boards considered this feedback between 4 to 8 May 2020.

4. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation (part 2) on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (the new name for this year’s annual plan) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Local boards are now considering local content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, which includes a local board agreement and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021.

6. Normally the local board agreement would include a message from the chair, local board advocacy and other content. This year due to time constraints caused by COVID-19, the content of the Emergency Budget document, including the Local Board Agreements, is being reduced to only the parts that are a statutory requirement. This includes key projects, levels of service and performance measures, and a Financial Impact Statement (FIS).

7. On 30 July 2020, the Governing Body will meet to adopt Auckland Council’s Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) adopt the tabled Waitematā Local Board Agreement 2020/2021

b) adopt the tabled local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021

c) delegate authority to the Chair to make any final minor changes to the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021

d) note:

i) that Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 local activity budgets will be updated to reflect final budget decisions made by the Governing on 16 July 2020

ii) that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Governing Body when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021 on 30 July 2020.
Horopaki

Context

8. Each financial year, Auckland Council must have a local board agreement between the Governing Body and the local board, for each local board area, outlining local priorities, budgets and intended levels of service. They are informed by the local board plans, which are strategic documents that are developed every three years to set a direction for local boards, by reflecting the priorities and preferences of the communities within the local board area. Local board plans can also provide a basis for local board feedback on regional content in the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021).

9. Local board chairs have had the opportunity to attend Finance and Performance Committee workshops on key topics and provide local board views on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 to the Finance and Performance Committee.

10. From 20 February to 21 March 2020, the council consulted with the public on the Annual Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 1). A Have Your Say event was held in the Waitematā Local Board office to engage with the community and seek feedback on both regional and local proposals. Feedback was received through written and event channels.

11. A report analysing the feedback on local board priorities, as well as feedback from those living in the local board area related to the regional topics from consultation part 1, was included on the 5 May business meeting agenda.

12. Local boards considered this feedback, and their input on regional topics was reported to the Emergency Committee on 21 May 2020.

13. From 29 May to 19 June 2020, the council carried out further consultation on regional topics for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 (consultation part 2) due to considerable pressure on the council’s financial position caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

14. A report analysing the feedback on the regional topics from consultation part 2 (Emergency Budget) was included on the 7 July business meeting agenda.

15. Local boards considered this feedback, and then the local board chairs held discussions with the Finance and Performance Committee on 14 July 2020 on regional proposals in the Emergency Budget. The local board input was also reported to the Finance and Performance Committee on 16 July 2020.

16. The Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 local activity budgets will be updated to reflect final budget decisions made by the Governing Body on 16 July 2020.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

17. Both staff and the local board have reviewed the feedback received on the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 from both consultations and local boards have received reports analysing the feedback. It is now recommended that local boards adopt a Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 (to be tabled), and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021 (to be tabled).

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi

Climate impact statement

18. The decisions recommended in this report are procedural in nature and will not have any climate impacts themselves.

19. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement may have climate impacts. The climate impacts of any projects Auckland Council chooses to progress with will be assessed as part of the relevant reporting requirements.
20. Some of the proposed projects in the Local Board Agreement will be specifically designed to mitigate climate impact, build resilience to climate impacts, and restore the natural environment.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

21. Local boards worked with council departments to develop their local board work programmes for 2020/2021 that will be adopted at August business meetings. The local board work programmes help inform the local board agreements.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

22. This report seeks local board adoption of its content for the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 and other associated material, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

23. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual plan (Emergency Budget 2020/2021) are important tools that enable and can demonstrate the council’s responsiveness to Māori.

24. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community.

25. Of those who submitted from the Waitematā Local Board rohe to the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 through one or both consultations, 73 identified as Māori. These submissions were considered by the local board when finalising its local board agreement.

26. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in the council’s decision-making processes.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

27. The local board agreement includes the allocation of locally driven initiatives (LDI) funding and asset-based services (ABS) funding to projects and services for the 2020/2021 financial year.

28. LDI funding is discretionary funding allocated to local boards based on the Local Board Funding Policy, which local boards can spend on priorities for their communities. Local boards can also utilise LDI funding to increase local levels of service if they wish to do so.

29. Funding for asset-based services (ABS) is allocated by the Governing Body to local boards based on current levels of service to run and maintain local assets and services including parks, pools and recreation facilities, community facilities, and libraries.

30. Local boards have the decision-making and oversight responsibility in respect of local fees and charges within parameters set by the Governing Body. A local fees and charges schedule for Active Recreation, Community Venues for Hire (including Library rooms for hire) for 2020/2021 is adopted alongside the Local Board Agreement. The fees and charges have been formulated based on region-wide baseline service levels and revenue targets. Where fees and charges are amended by a local board that results in lower revenue for the council, the shortfall will need to be made up by either allocating LDI funds or reducing expenditure on other services to balance overall budgets.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

31. Decisions on the local content of the Emergency Budget 2020/2021, including the Local Board Agreement 2020/2021 and a local fees and charges schedule for 2020/2021, are required by 24 July 2020 to ensure the Governing Body can adopt the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 at its 30 July 2020 meeting.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

32. The resolutions of this meeting will be reported to the Governing Body on 30 July 2020 when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2020/2021, including 21 local board agreements.

33. Minor changes may need to be made to the attachments before the Emergency Budget 2020/2021 is adopted, such as correction of any errors identified and minor wording changes. Staff therefore recommend that the local board delegates authority to the Chair to make minor final changes if necessary.

34. Local board agreements set the priorities and budget envelopes for each financial year. Work programmes then detail the activities that will be delivered within those budget envelopes. Work programmes will be agreed between local boards and operational departments at business meetings in August 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Caroline Teh - Local Board Advisor - Waitematā</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chair’s report

File No.: CP2020/08820

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide the opportunity for the local board chair to provide an update on projects, meetings and other initiatives relevant to the local board’s interests.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. An opportunity for the Waitematā Local Board Chair to update the local board on activities he has been involved in since the last meeting.

3. In accordance with Standing Order 2.4.7, the chairperson may, by way of report, bring any matter to the attention of a meeting of the local board or its committees that is within their role or function to consider.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the Chair’s report for July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Chair R Northey report July 2020</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Priscila Firmo - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Chair Richard Northey’s Report to the July 21st, 2020
Waitematā Local Board Meeting

Roles

My Waitematā Local Board official roles are:

Chair of the Waitematā Local Board

Local Government New Zealand National Councillor, representing the Auckland Local Boards

Deputy-Chair of the Auckland Council Joint Governance Working Party

Waitematā Board representative on the Auckland City Centre Advisory Board

Portfolio Holder for Community Development and Community Facilities

Deputy Portfolio Holder for Parks, Sport and Recreation including Landowner Consents

Portfolio Holder for Alcohol Licensing Applications

Board representative on the Ponsonby Community Centre Committee

Board representative on the Committee of Heart of the City BID

Deputy Board representative on the Karangahape Road Business Association

Board representative on the working group on alcohol and community safety in the central city.

Liaison Board member for the Central City Residents’ Group

Liaison Board member for the Citizens’ Advice Bureaux

Board member for the Community Liaison Group for construction of the Karangahape Road station of the CRL.

Deputy Board member for the Ports of Auckland Community Liaison Group.

Major Issues

Because of the COVID-19 pandemic the Members and Staff of the Waitematā Local Board have often been working from home since late March. The Board Office has now reopened to the public since level one was reached, but it is best to give notice of arrival to ensure appropriate staff and board members are at the office to deal with your particular issues. Our Board meetings are happening in a hybrid form with some Board members and deputations being physically present and some skyping in. Members of the public are welcome and can attend personally or by skype. Our meetings are being recorded and this is made available on the Council website.

Board Members have been working closely with their communities and local business associations during and following the Pandemic. Much of this work has been related to, together with ATEED, informing and advising local businesses and their associations on how best to sustain their businesses and staff during this period and successfully to recover in an appropriate business form. In our grants programme and our local board plan we are giving priority to COVID-19 recovery and developing planning and resilience for similar challenges in the future, whether they be pandemics, extreme weather events or natural or manmade
disasters. Another priority will continue to be activities combatting and mitigating climate change.

The Waitematā Local Board has continued to work on its proposed Annual Budget for the 2020/21 Financial year and on considering our submissions on the Council’s much changed Emergency Budget. We have received and considered the 1898 submissions, feedback and ideas on the Budget coming from all sections of the community based in the Waitematā Board area. On 7 July we considered and formally agreed on our submission on the Council Budget. We agreed with the two to one majority of you to stick with the proposed 3.5% rates increase in order to reduce the carnage that will be occurring next year to Council services. Strong support was given for adequate rates postponement policies to be implemented. The top five themes most commonly in your submissions were cycleways, public transport, addressing climate change, road safety and libraries. We supported you in strongly opposing most of the proposed cuts to libraries, pools, arts projects, toilets, environmental protection and climate change actions, road safety, walking and cycling projects, public transport and community centres as being too severe and too harmful to vulnerable community members. We specifically urged that work continue on developing designs to restore the Leys’ Institute buildings. We also urged that the postponement of the Ponsonby Park project be for no more than a year before development of it occurs. Board members will continue to advocate strongly for these two projects and others vital to our communities. Instead of these deep service cuts, we argued in favour of a year’s increased borrowing to keep these services and keep people in jobs, when they are needed more than ever. The final regional Auckland Council budget decisions will now be made at the end of July and the Waitematā Board’s own work programme for 2020/2021, based on the reduced budget at our 18 August Board meeting.

Our draft Waitematā Local Board Plan is now out for public consultation and submissions until 13 August. Our draft reflects our initial thinking to give specific recognition and priority to six major themes in our outcomes and objectives. The first is a new one of promoting Māori identity, participation, stories and culture. Connected and diverse communities, liveable community places and urban design, environment, transport, and economic prosperity are proposed to be our other outcomes. We are proposing to infuse the need for action on the climate heating emergency not merely in our environmental objectives, but throughout our Board Plan. Our draft plan specifically recognises the need to assist recovery from the effects of COVID-19 and for planning and provision for future extreme weather events, pandemics, and disasters. Please come to one of our community consultations and our 6 August 6.30pm Webinar, otherwise send us your comments and ideas online or on hard copy.

A lot of time and local consultation has taken place about the appropriate future of the group of pine trees at the eastern end of Western Springs Park. Board members have committed themselves to seeking out all the information and insights that they require before they make an appropriate informed decision about these pine trees and ensuring public safety in this area in the future. We are also receiving many messages about the proposed Erebus Memorial structure in Dove-Myer Robinson Park. There has not yet been any application from the Ministry of Culture and Heritage to seek Landover Approval from us as to whether or not to site the structure in our park. We are also keen to progress the Access For Everyone programme in the City Centre.

Most of the Board Members had a productive meeting with representatives of the Richmond Rovers League Club. The building of a quality new changing shed was proceeding at pace but Club Members expressed their disappointment that the removal of some parking adjacent
to their clubrooms was part of the project. Some Club Members remain disappointed in this aspect of the project. However, the Auckland Council is progressively returning asphalt areas in parks back to being green space wherever this is practicable. Club members have been reassured that provision for ready all-weather access by disabled users and emergency services and for unloading from delivery vehicles will be retained.

A number of Board members have long been concerned at the inappropriate and one-sided signage on colonial era statues in our parks and public spaces. We have resolved to investigate the appropriate location of, and historically comprehensive and balanced signage for, such statues and monuments.

We attended a lively celebration for both the reopening of Monster Valley in its new location in Karangahape Road by the Symonds Street Cemetery and also for the Waitemata Local Board’s Space Hub project, which is based there. This project helps aspiring performers, artists and creatives to find appropriate and affordable space to use and work from, particularly in the Karangahape Road and Ponsonby areas. With COVID-19 leading to many commercial tenants’ businesses closing or shrinking, the Space Hub is also a boon for commercial landlords keen to find replacement short or long-term tenants. We have also been shown around Artspace and met its people now it has reopened. Another wonderful event was our participation in the Mihi Whakatau for the reopening of the Basement Theatre.

Led by Deputy-Chair Kerrin Leoni, we have steadily strengthened connections with local iwi and meet regularly with their representatives by ‘zui’. In recent weeks we have met with the leaders of Ngāti Whāta Orakei and with their commercial arm to develop effective relationships and hear their views on our plans and projects.

I was delighted to speak at the dawn blessing to celebrate the commencement of the second stage of the Development of Tiramarama Way in the Wynyard Quarter.

Community Portfolio Activities

Some activities under this heading have been.

8 June - Chaired a meeting of the Auckland Community Housing Trust Board. Appointed Glenda Fryer to its Board.

9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26 - June and 2, 6, 7, 9 and 10 July - Took part in exercise classes and programmes at the YMCA.

11 June - Met the staff and customers at Merge Café; took part in the formal Monster Valley opening.

17 June - University of Auckland Raising the Bar Zoom Seminar with Richard Easther

21 June - Grey Lynn Market

22 June - Meeting of the Housing Committee of the Tenants’ Protection Association

24 June - University of Auckland Society Webinar on Impact Investing

25 June - Central City Network Meeting. Grey Lynn Business Assn function
28 June - Photographed at the launch of the Grey Lynn 2030 Cup Project (photo below)

30 June - Ponsonby Community Centre meeting.

1 July – With deputy chair Kerrin Leoni and member Alex Bonham, spoke at the Mihi Whakatau for their Matariki production and the reopening of the Basement Theatre operations. Asian Family Services Board meeting.

6 July - City Centre Residents’ Group Meeting re the Tūrama Festival and the CRL.

9 July - Fabian Society meeting where David Galler spoke on the Health System. First APO Concert since lockdown, Houston Plays Rachmaninov.

Parks, Sports and Recreation Activities

In this period these have included:

10 June - With member Adriana A. Christie and other Board members met Graeme Atmore and other members of the Richmond Rovers Rugby League Club about concerns they have about the current redevelopment of part of the Grey Lynn Park.

11 June - Approved a communication about the St Mary's Bay Association idea for a project in St Mary's Bay.

13 June - Interviewed by Wayne Thompson of The Hobson about the proposed Erebus Memorial.

14 June - Attended opening Super Rugby Aotearoa game at Eden Park.
15 June - Correspondence re skateboarders use of St Patrick’s Square.
17 June - Considered legal advice re Western Springs pines.
26 June - Signed off letter to Richmond Rovers and Auckland Rugby League re aspects of the provision of changing rooms in Grey Lynn Park.
29 June - Met Maureen Glassey re Western Springs pines reporting.
7 July - Correspondence on Western Park maintenance issues.

Activities in Other Portfolios

22 June - Amended and signed off the report of the Auckland Zone to the LGNZ Annual meeting.
23 and 24 June - Auckland City Centre Advisory Board Workshops re the A4E programme and revising priorities after COVID-19.
25 June - Responded to argue in opposition to a proposal to reduce the maximum length of term for a President of LGNZ.

1 July - Heart of the City Board Meeting, I was not permitted to remain for their discussion of the future of Queen Street. Response to a query from the public re the proposed Erebus Memorial.

Waitematā Local Board General Activities

During this period, the Waitematā Local Board activities I have taken part in have included:

8 June - Chaired Catch up meeting with Waitematā Local Board staff. Communications meeting re the content of my article on the consultation on the Emergency Budget.

9 June - Chaired a Workshop of the Waitematā Local Board. Met with David Abbott and Wendy Moffitt of the St Mary’s Bay Association about the Leys Institute buildings, the St Mary’s Bay Park and other local issues.

10 June - Meeting on producing a video on the Waitematā Local Board Plan consultation.

11 June - Comments on the likely Board Work programme content; and on approving interim funding for our community centres and for TAPAC.

15 June - Meeting of Chair and Deputy-Chair of Board with staff; meeting with Relationship Manager to confirm Chair’s Board Meeting recommendations.

16 June - Chair and Deputy-Chair’s video interviews were made for promoting the draft Local Board plan; correspondence re the Wynyard Steps maintenance; Waitematā Local Board Business Meeting.

17 June - Communications Meeting re my monthly Ponsonby News article and my media statement about the signage and location for colonial era statues (attached); correspondence re feral cats in Parnell.

18 June - meeting with Marama Royal, Chair of Ngāti Whātau o Orākei Iwi.

19 June - Submitted article for the Ponsonby News (attached); drafted media statement on the opening of the second stage of Tiramarama Way (attached).
20 June - Met Robert Anthony and other residents and retailers of Karangahape Road regarding problems with rough sleepers.

22 June - Spoke at the Blessing for the start of work on the second stage of developing Tirramarama Way (Speech attached). Waitematā Local Board Chair’s and Deputy-Chair’s meeting with Board staff. Informed the Dante Alighieri Society of their grant for Festival Italiano. Correspondence re skateboarding issues in St Patrick’s Square

23 June - Waitematā Local Board Workshop. Interview by Te Ao TV News re colonial statues

24 June - Briefing on the Space Hub project by and at Monster Valley, response to request by JP’s Asnn for use of Ellen Melville Hall.

25 June - Draft Waitematā Local Board Plan signed off. Together with Graeme Gunthorp, met with Shane Ellison, CEO of Auckland Transport.

26 June - Finance and Performance Committee Workshop on the Emergency Budget.

29 June - Board Chair and Deputy’s catch up with Board staff. Meeting with Lane Feary concerning the future of colonial era statues

30 June - Waitematā Local Board Workshop

3 July - Conversation with Sue Wood of MOTAT re options for the location of the Erebus Memorial.

6 July - Board Chair and Deputy’s catch up with Board Staff. Responded to a request from Grey Lynn Festival to inform them of their proposed grant. Provided direction to staff and Board members on feedback on aspects of the Emergency Budget.

7 July - Waitematā Local Board Workshop. Extraordinary Waitematā Local Board Meeting to resolve our Board’s Feedback on the Council’s Emergency Budget 2020/2021.

8 July - Amended and signed off three draft media statements concerning the draft Waitematā Local Board Plan consultation (attached).

9 July - Pre-briefing on the Waitematā Board’s consultation on our Local Board Plan. Worked with our Communications Advisor to compile our Board’s July e-bulletin.

Auckland Council and National Activities

8 June - Chaired the International Affairs and Disarmament Committee of the Peace Foundation Zoom Meeting; Participated in a meeting of local board chairs.

9 June - Joined a webinar involving all the Auckland Isthmus Councillors as part of the public consultation about the Emergency Budget.

10 June - Participated in the Finance and Performance Committee hearings from stakeholders on the proposed Emergency Budget; Chaired an Executive meeting of the PGF group

11 June - Participated in a Kura Kāwana learning webinar on Strategies for Wellbeing Post COVID-19.

18 June - provided direction on the Local Board’s submission on the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast Tracking of Resource Consents) Bill

22 June - Chaired a teleconference of the International Affairs and Disarmament Committee of the Peace Foundation.
24 June - Event to mark opening of the Start of Work on the CRL’s Aotea Station.

25 June - Attended Governing Body meeting re the Auckland Museum levy and the drought crisis.

27 June - As a Voting Assembly member, took part in the AGM of Greenpeace Aotearoa.

29 June - Local Board Chairs’ briefing by the Executive Leadership Team.

1 July - Responded to the invitation to travel to Waiheke to take part in the official opening of the new Waiheke Waste Management plant (photo below). Took part in the briefing on the Regional Parks Management Review. Met with Amanda Larsson, Energy Spokesperson for Greenpeace.

2 July - Attended the Strategic Development Committee and Chaired the Board Meeting of the PGNZ Group. Proposed a response to Ngāti Whātua o Ōrakei position on iwi engagement.

3 July - Flew to Wellington and spoke to the Fabian Society of my experiences with the SIS: Looking the Wrong Way (Speech attached)

4 July - Spoke to the Annual General Meeting of Labour’s Multicultural Sector to encourage and inform them about standing for local government election.

6 July - Met with the new Chair and the CEO of the Gambling Commission.

## Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment A</th>
<th>Draft Tīmarama way release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attachment B</td>
<td>Karakia Whakawātea for stage two of the Tīramarama Way Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment C</td>
<td>Richard Northey's Address to the Fabian Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment D</td>
<td>Media statement: Quality urban development, community and climate change prioritised in Waitematā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment E</td>
<td>Media statement: Plan to strengthen communities in Waitematā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment F</td>
<td>Media statement: Waitematā front footing on climate change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment G</td>
<td>Media statement: Monuments located in the Local Board area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A

DRAFT TĪRAMARAMA WAY RELEASE 22 June 2020

Release date TBC

Panuku Development Auckland’s 20-year regeneration work in Wynyard Quarter reaches another milestone this week, as construction of the second stage of pedestrian and cycle-only public laneway Tīramarama Way gets underway.

The first part of this unique link within Wynyard Central, the emerging cluster of high-quality commercial and residential developments within the Wynyard Quarter’s Innovation Precinct, was finished in 2018, connecting east-west between Halsey and Daldy Streets. Once fully completed, Stage Two will provide further access through the Wynyard Quarter from Beaumont Street in the west to Halsey Street in the east, including the soon to be completed apartments at 30 Madden.

Panuku Priority Location Director Fiona Knox says “Tīramarama Way is part of a network of people-friendly laneways which connect and act as the veins of this exciting new neighbourhood, pumping life and character between the residential and commercial buildings. This important next stage will further build on the innovative design features that locals already love and we’re delighted to recommence work on this creative public space,”

“The construction will be completed in two stages, with the first section from Daldy Street to the end of Willis Bond’s 30 Madden Street apartments due for completion late this year. A key part of the innovative design includes the planting of a Kōwhai Grove, representing an interpretation of the original shoreline of the Waitematā Harbour” she says.

Richard Northey, Chair of the Waitematā Local Board says, “I am delighted this important further stage of bringing the Wynyard Quarter’s Tīramarama Way to life is underway. Once complete, this laneway will create a joyous liveable space for residents and visitors, featuring inspirational public art and insights about the history of this link between land and sea.”

This second stage of Tīramarama Way, meaning to shine, glimmer and light the way, continues the collaborative work of landscape architect Megan Wraight of Wraight + Associate (Wā), and internationally renowned New Zealand artist Lisa Reihana.

“It has been a wonderful opportunity to work alongside Wā. Megan has an intrinsic understanding of working on these large civil projects and immediately demonstrated a willingness to share and collaborate, which has resulted in new ways of thinking for this area” explained Lisa Reihana.

“It’s been great to see how the public are using and bringing this space to life. The water puddles were very much included with children in mind, but from the opening event onwards, I love how dogs also love to play in, and drink, the cleansed water. I particularly like the lighting which is inspire by string games and the dawn chorus that plays and blesses the street at 6am each morning. It all comes together as we had envisioned bringing joy to this space,” she says.
“I am used to working on projects with a long development time, and as we head to the final design aspects, it’s wonderful to see the greening of Tiramarama Way is well underway. The plantings are already softening the space and the placement of the Nikau and Kōwhai gardens at either end will visually amplify the idea that people are traversing the old waterline,” says Lisa Reiha. 

Merging the old with the new takes a unique eye, as does enabling a space that equally serves the needs of the many people who work, play or live in Wynyard Quarter, ensuring a seamless flow through the community.

Willis Bond Managing Director Mark McGuinness acknowledges the significance of Tiramarama Way to the Wynyard Quarter community.

“Tiramarama Way plays not only a visual and interactive role in Wynyard Quarter, but also a storytelling one. The artwork and greenery enhance their surroundings, and frame the neighbouring sites including our own 30 Madden residential development.”

Growth and development continues at pace around Wynyard Quarter with other construction projects taking place in the same environment, so Tiramarama Way Stage Two will be constructed in two phases with a nine-month gap in between. The first, incorporating the Kōwhai Grove and temporary access to the rest of the laneway is due for completion later this year, with the second phase through to Beaumont Street expected to take place in late 2021.

About The Design

TTramarama Way’s unique meld of art and design reveals the whakapapa of Wynyard Quarter by drawing from the historic tidal/water edge beneath, and the underlying geology of this once intertidal environment.

Sandy soils and seashells unearthed during construction are evidence of the laneway being a former mahinga kai (a food gathering place).

Once complete, Tiramarama Way will be 300m long and 15m wide, one of the country’s largest examples of art integrated into the public realm.

A practical link between the surrounding streets and residential & commercial premises, it incorporates creative and playful elements including:

- Purposeful puddles designed to rise and fall with the tides to provide an opportunity for play,
- A stunning suspended light arrangement that celebrates constellations specific to Māori astronomy, including Te Puanga (The Southern Cross) and Matariki,
- More than 500,000 dots sandblasted onto the ground of the laneway to represent the geography of Auckland’s waterfront in 1841,
- From the western end (completed in stage one) it offers a beautiful view of the Viaduct Harbour, as well as circular burrow planters that act as small rain gardens designed to collect and clean rainwater,
- A real-life garden laid beneath the ground allows the Nikau and Kōwhai groves and other native greenery planted along the laneway to flourish.
Attachment B

Karakia Whakawātea for stage two of the Tiramarama Way Development

Richard Northey’s Mihi

E ngā mana whenua, tēnā koutou. O tīra, tēnā tātou katoa.

Ko Richard Northey ahau

- Tiramarama Way here is a people and bike-friendly laneway within “Wynyard Central”. This is also dog friendly, as our daughter’s dog “Sugar”, whose family lives in an apartment building on Tiramarama Way, has found to her joy. Our daughter Fiona has just returned from teaching in Singapore, will come out of isolation in Mangere tomorrow, and will join a family reunion dinner here at Baduzzi tomorrow night. We are here deep in an emerging cluster of high-quality commercial and residential developments in the Wynyard Quarter.

- Once completed in its entirety, Tiramarama Way will provide a unique link right through the Wynyard Quarter, from Beaumont Street in the west through to Halsey Street in the east. Stage one was completed in 2018, and this stage two development is now commencing. Stage two is due for completion late 2021.

- The laneways in Wynyard Quarter will feature dogs, shops, galleries and cafes, as well as vital community facilities like a doctor, dentist and hair salon.

- The design of Tiramarama Way is by Wraight + Associates (Wā) in collaboration with artist Lisa Reihana. As well as being such an innovative artist, Lisa’s skills in governance were brought to bear when she and I were Board Members of the Auckland Art Gallery Board from 2001 on, when we decided on and guided the Gallery’s major expansion and renewal project. This design here, reveals the whakapapa of the place by drawing from the historic tidal/water edge beneath, and the underlying geology of this once intertidal environment.
  - Purposeful puddles designed to rise and fall with the tides provide an opportunity for play, for children, adults and dogs, and a stunning suspended light
The chair’s report:

- Tūranga Way is being delivered by Auckland Council’s CCO, Panuku Development Auckland, as part of Wynyard Quarter’s 20-year regeneration project.
- This is a truly wonderful place for local residents and for all residents of Tamaki Makaurau.

Nō reira, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, huri noa, tēnā koutou katoa.
Attachment C

Richard Northey's Address to the Fabian Society: “The SIS: Looking the Wrong Way”: 3 July 2020 at St Andrews on the Terrace in Wellington

Protecting national security was originally the role of a Special Section of the Police. A conman fooled them and he was kept in comfort during the Second World War by feeding them stories of an imminent German invasion.

The SIS was set up by Prime Minister Syd Holland in 1956 to gather intelligence for national security. It was principally to check on activities promoted by, or advancing the interests of, the Soviet Union and other communist states. Another role was to vet applicants for senior public service positions to avoid security risks.

As part of our then deference to Great Britain, the heads of this organisation, such as long term director Brigadier Gilbert and other senior positions, were recruited from military, police and intelligence positions in Britain and its colonies. Those drawn from the colonial service in the likes of Kenya and Rhodesia often bought unfortunate attitudes on racial issues.

Those of us who were particularly active in the New Zealand Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament, as I was as its President from 1970 to 1983, and other organisations campaigning against nuclear weapons and New Zealand’s association with them through testing and deployment, often anticipated that we would come to the attention of the SIS. When I asked for and received my SIS file in 2014, this proved to be the case. Other leading officials, National CND Secretaries Mary Woodward and Maire Leadbeater and also Kate Dewes have also found they were on SIS files.

This was partly because nuclear disarmament was a cause being promoted by the Soviet Union. The SIS did not believe everyone who espoused that cause was a Soviet Agent but they believed a lot more of us were than was ever the case. I remembered being strongly urged by a fellow peace movement activist to join the Peace Council, a body then closely aligned to the foreign policies of the Soviet Union. I declined to do so for that reason, but my SIS file reveals that the approach was made by an SIS agent in order to test where my loyalties lay.

It was also because the powers that New Zealand is aligned to, principally the US and the UK, believed that peace and the Western way of life was best secured by nuclear deterrence, by Mutually Assured Destruction or MAD, and that ultimately it was Better to be Dead than Red. It was better that civilisation be destroyed than be run by communists. This belief, that it was better to destroy millennia of cultural, artistic, scientific, engineering and architectural accomplishment rather than temporarily suffer from an oppressive government was the official line of the government and of the SIS. The idea of rejecting being part of nuclear deterrence and likely nuclear war and instead seeking security through phased nuclear disarmament was seen as subversive and highly threatening to our national security.

The Korean and, especially, the Vietnam War had the majority of New Zealanders believing in the domino theory. If one Asian country became communist it would then knock over its neighbour into becoming communist and so on until somehow this took over New Zealand. At the time I heard people fearing this red menace and also terrified about the yellow peril. So I publicly described that combined fear as of an Orange Penis stabbing down from South East Asia.
A second reason for my being on an SIS file was because as a political studies student I had taken part in a demonstration against the presence of an SIS agent, David Godrey, on the Auckland University Campus. David had been open in telling the Head of the Political Studies Department Bob Chapman, of his status as an SIS agent and the benefit he and his Department hoped to gain from his taking a stage III political studies course, but undertook not to be investigating on campus. It was inappropriate to record political ideas that teenage students were testing out in tutorials and the coffee bar to go into a government agency file, potentially to affect their future careers. His status was not known to students but they became suspicious when he asked inappropriate questions of students’ association staff and at student society meetings. After he was outed on the front page of student newspaper Outspoke a demonstration was organised outside the political studies department room where his class was taking place. On David’s advice to the lecturer, the police were called. Two wharf police arrived, ordered us to leave the building and removed those who didn’t. I achieved notoriety by going limp, being carried downstairs and tossed off the front verandah. There was fierce debate in Parliament led by Minister Tom Shand and an official inquiry before a judge who failed to comprehend key issues. The SIS file said I was arrested at the demonstration, which was not true, and could have affected my ability to travel to allied countries, particularly the US. When I asked for and received my SIS file in 2014 this was one of some significant errors of fact in it. Another was an allegation that I had travelled to the US in 1977 and met people at the bequest of the Socialist Unity Party. I had not gone to the US that year but in 1978 was the official representative of NGOs at the first UN Special Session on Disarmament. The only extra activity I undertook was to meet with the UN Human Rights Office on behalf of the Ngatiwhatua iwi Members then occupying Takaparawha, Bastion Point.

The third main reason I was under surveillance and on their file was because I was Chair of the Citizens’ Association for Racial Equality. In this role I campaigned actively for a Race Relations Act for New Zealand, and for an end to the systematic discrimination in our immigration policy on the basis of race or national origin. At that time the Northern European countries were defined as “Traditional Immigration Source countries” and their citizens could migrate here fairly easily, but most nationalities could not. Iceland was a Traditional Source country but Italy, Croatia, India and China were not. But what the SIS was particularly concerned about was that we campaigned for non-violent direct action against sporting and economic ties with apartheid South Africa. The file clearly implies that the apartheid leaders Vorster and Verwoerd were seen as defenders of Western Civilisation while Nelson Mandela was a pro-Communist terrorist. Our planning of sit-ins and other direct action on rugby fields was a legitimate concern for the police, but it should not have been seen as a matter of national security. But clearly the SIS already had a blind spot towards the international and internal threat posed by white supremacists. Halt All Racist Tours leader Trevor Richards had his communications intercepted by the SIS even though they initially denied doing it to Prime Minister Norman Kirk.

The SIS ought not to be a tool for partisan politics. For most SIS Ministers it has not been. But Rob Muldoon rammed the 1981 Springbok Tour through, clearly with the aid of intelligence gathered by the SIS. He also called a press conference to release the list of all the people the SIS believed were members of the Socialist Unity Party. This was in order to claim the trade union movement and the Labour Party were riddled with Soviet agents and that patriotic New Zealanders needed to vote for the National Party. Until those times the SIS itself frequently leaked material to the New Zealand Truth newspaper to discredit New Zealanders it believed were involved in subversive activities.

Being on an active SIS file did not seem to hinder my becoming the Labour MP for Eden in 1984. I was appointed to chair Labour’s Justice Caucus Committee- which had responsibility
for developing and implementing policy and legislation on justice, the courts, corrections, electoral law and immigration. As chair of the Labour Government’s 1986 Immigration Policy Review I was able to take the lead in finally ending discrimination on grounds of national origin and race in our immigration policy. As Chair of the Electoral Law Committee we changed our voting from crossing out all but the favoured candidate instead to simply giving her a tick, brought in a short one month qualifying period for electoral enrolment until the day before an election, allowed prisoners to vote and set up a Royal Commission on the Electoral System that recommended the introduction of MMP and eventually achieved it.

I was also appointed deputy to Bill Dillon as Chair of the Justice Select Committee of Parliament. Because Bill was appointed regional liaison person for the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association and travelled frequently, I often chaired the Committee. We reviewed estimates of expenditure for a range of government departments. The budget entry for the SIS was a simple one line item setting out their total spend. We summoned them before us and asked various questions about their role, priorities and categories of spending. They insisted the hearing be confidential, the fourth estate were ushered out and then, except for the most generic ones about their role, they simply refused to answer any questions, on spending or anything else, on the grounds of national security. When I received my SIS file it revealed that my file had been active all the time I was an MP and was not finally closed until after I left Parliament at the end of 1996.

When David Lange told caucus that the issues the SIS were putting most resources into in late 1984 were surveillance of agents of the Soviet Union and of Maori radicals. He persuaded the SIS to drop the substantial resources put into spying on Maori rights activists. Unfortunately such surveillance was later resumed and contributed to the police raid on Tuhoe. But apart from that brief disclosure, caucus members knew little of the activities of the SIS. I was keen that the Lange and Palmer initiatives generally for more open government should also apply to the SIS as far as practicable, consistent with genuine national security concerns. Apart from their total spend and the identity of their director the only other information they were required to reveal to Parliament was the bare number of interception warrants they had issued to check mail, tap phones etc. I put forward policy proposals that they should also disclose the number of interception warrants still continuing from previous years and that a judge should be required also to sign off each warrant to prevent them being used for political purposes the way Muldoon had. Guyan Espiner acquired the SIS’s own secret responses to my policy paper, with scrambles they had added of “nonsense”, “no” and “never” beside each line in my proposal they didn’t like. Later I proposed the establishment of a senior Parliamentary Committee, including the Leader of the Opposition, with genuine powers to query and review the spending and operations of the SIS and also the establishment of an independent commissioner able to review controversial interception warrants and to initiate investigations into particular SIS activities. The SIS scotched those proposals then but since I left Parliament they have both come to pass.

When I was in Parliament I might have been considered ripe for providing intelligence for foreign governments’ diplomats and agents. However it was the Americans and the British diplomats I found most active. With Geoffrey Palmer’s agreement, I spent many pleasant hours over coffee talking with a British diplomat about our proposed justice reforms, particularly the Bill of Rights, because the British Government was considering a similar measure. Finally, while I was out of Parliament in 1991, the Communist Party of the Soviet Union invited me and the Party President Ruth Dyson and our partners to come and advise them on how to change to succeed in the democracy that Gorbachev was creating. We met leading Communist Party theoreticians in Moscow and what was then called Petrograd. We met the newly democratically elected Petrograd City Council. Its then Deputy- Mayor, Vladimir
Putin, asked me to outline my political philosophy. His response to it was “You are too left wing to be elected in Petrograd”. So I was too right-wing to be wanted by the old Communist Russian regime and too left wing for the newly emerging one.

As well as their obsession with Maori radicals rather than white supremacists there were and are other examples of the SIS looking the wrong way. Obviously their deep concern about Muslim extremism while largely ignoring White Supremacists was exposed by the Christchurch shootings. They have been revealed as illegally breaking into Wellington High Commissions and Embassies of countries with which we have no substantial disagreements, including India. During the USS Buchanan affair and also the voyage of the Rainbow Warrior, the SIS was still keeping tabs on the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament and Greenpeace. They took no interest in the activities of the agents of western countries, including of France. After the Rainbow Warrior bombing it was the New Zealand public and the New Zealand Police who impressively found the culprits, with no help from the SIS.

Then, after the USS Buchanan was denied entry into New Zealand and the United States retaliated by cutting off most of their intelligence from us, the SIS and the GCSB strongly lobbied the Lange Government to establish the Waihopai signals intelligence installation. Lange briefed our caucus committee at our request. He told us it would be run entirely by the New Zealand GCSB. It would collect absolutely all telephone, radio and other electronic communications made in the South Pacific and Antarctica. It would analyse all the data from this region including communications by the Governments of Fiji, Samoa and Tonga, by the French in their Pacific Territories, UN agencies and all private communications which used key words and phrases. We found there are some practical limitations to our spying in the South Pacific. The first Fiji Coup was a surprise because it was organised by one man talking face to face with his mates. The Tongan riots and fires set on Chinese businesses were also organised verbally rather than through sophisticated devices. The GCSB was not to analyse any communications by ordinary New Zealanders. However it does send the whole unanalysed bundle of signals data from this region of the world we are responsible for as part of the Five Eyes intelligence gathering agreement on to its sister agency in the United States. The US Agencies certainly would seek to analyse New Zealand citizens’ communications of interest. Particularly, but not only, because we were not allowed to be given such US intelligence, it seemed to me then, and still does, that we should only send on relevant material that we had analysed ourselves and not pass on all the raw data for them to use to spy on New Zealanders.

We have remained throughout a loyal member of the Five Eyes network with the US, UK, Canada, Britain and Australia. An SIS Manager was appearing before the Justice Select Committee when I asked where his loyalties lay and he said it was to "The West". That was to the white, English speaking, Anglo-Saxon, capitalist democracies. However, I believe, given our progressive history, our ethnic diversity, our location and our values that we should not automatically align with The West. Often we should align with the interests and values of the Pacific Islands, Asia and the Nordic countries. Sticking unthinking always with The West is simply looking the wrong way.
Attachment D

**Quality urban development, community and climate change prioritised in Waitematā**

Environment hubs that educate about climate change, and place-making to support and enhance local business, are among several proposals identified and included during the first phase of public feedback sought to help shape Waitematā Local Board’s draft Local Board Plan 2020-2023.

These ideas, and more, are included in the local board’s draft plan. This proposes measures aimed to ensure the area is acting effectively on climate change, that its public and private spaces are accessible, versatile, and sustainable, and that its communities and businesses are supported to recover and thrive.

Waitematā Local Board chair Richard Northey says the draft plan sets an agenda that puts quality urban development, community, and climate change at the heart of all the board does.

"Waitematā has seen dramatic changes and growth, challenges, and opportunities. The city centre is undergoing transformation, we have declared a climate emergency and COVID-19 has brought uncertainty.

"We want to support our community and businesses in the COVID-19 recovery. Helping ensure Waitematā will continue to be a place where our businesses thrive, our communities feel safe and connected and our environment is healthy as we respond to climate change, major construction works, disasters and pandemics is vital.

"Waitematā is the heart and soul of Tāmaki Makaurau. It's vital that we support and enhance its unique passion, energy, and creativity and that we build for a sustainable and resilient future.

"To do this, we need to hear from our communities across Waitematā to make sure we've got our draft plan better. So please make sure you have your say."

To have your say on Waitematā Local Board’s draft Local Board Plan, visit akhaveyoursay.nz/lovelocal

Feedback closes on Thursday 13 August 2020.
Attachment E

Plan to strengthen communities in Waitematā

Waitematā Local Board Chair Richard Northey says his board has a plan to help its communities recover from the impacts of COVID-19 by contributing to restoring social connectedness and increasing community resilience.

The board’s plan looks to make the most of the pandemic’s possible positive outcomes, like an enhanced sense of community.

“We’ve got a draft plan that will guide our work in the local board area for the next three years.

“While COVID-19 has brought uncertainty and disruption, we plan to help bring our communities together to support each other, grow stronger and prosper through these difficult times.”

Chair Richard Northey says the board’s draft plan outlines a number of different ways they aim to achieve this.

“We want to help end homelessness, support community resilience, provide opportunities for community connection through arts, sports, events and other activities, provide accessible and inclusive services and improve Waitematā for women and girls.

“Our vision is that everyone has a place to call home, and that there is plenty of opportunity to get involved in decision making and activities, regardless of age, gender, culture or ability.

“To recover stronger, it’s vital that the diverse communities of Waitematā are connected.

“But we need to make sure that our draft plan reflects the needs and wants of Waitematā. To do this, we’re seeking feedback from all our communities across the local board area.

“So, get involved and tell us what you think! Together we can recover stronger.”

You can have your say on the Waitematā Local Board’s draft local board plan at: akhaveyoursay.nz/lovelocal

Feedback closes on Thursday 13 August 2020.
Attachment F

Waitematā front footing on climate change

When Auckland Council declared a climate emergency in 2019, Waitematā Local Board warmly welcomed the decision. The local board had recently passed a notice of motion calling on Auckland Council’s Governing Body to declare an ecological and climate emergency for the Auckland region.

Global unrest around a lack of political action on climate change had seen waves of protest take place, including student strikes and mass occupations.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change had released a report revealing that a temperature increase of only 1.5 degrees could result in unprecedented risks and weather events; while emission trends suggested that we are facing a global temperature increase of over three degrees.

Waitematā Local Board Chair Richard Northey says it takes political will to reduce emissions, combined with tangible action from business and industry and community effort.

Chair Northey says the local board has made its response to climate change a priority over the last several years by supporting projects like the Waitematā Low Carbon Network, promoting active transport, reducing food waste from businesses, community gardens and urban composting.

*“Now more than ever, we need to take decisive action on climate change and increase the resiliency of our communities.*

*“We’re currently seeking feedback on our draft Local Board Plan, which will guide our work over the next three years.*

*“Throughout our draft plan, we’ve woven our response to climate change.*

*“We want to increase the biodiversity of our land, streams and ocean, support Waitematā to be a low carbon community, increase our urban ngahere, improve air and water quality and minimise waste wherever we can.*

*“In our draft plan, we’ve outlined a number of initiatives we think will help do this. But we need input from our communities to make sure we’ve got it right.*

*“So please get involved in our community consultation and tell us what you think.”*

Have your say on the Waitematā Local Board’s climate change emergency response and the rest of the draft plan at: akhaveyoursay.nz/lovelocal

Feedback closes on Thursday 13 August 2020.
Attachment G

**Media statement: Monuments located in the Local Board area**

Waitematā Local Board has resolved to ask Auckland Council’s Public Art and heritage teams to investigate monuments located in the local board’s area that commemorate historic events or figures.

The resolution comes at the time of an act of vandalism on the Sir George Grey statue this week and similar events around the world.

It also asked if signage providing context at commemorative monuments could be looked at and that mana whenua and the public are included in discussions about what may or may not happen in the future.

Waitematā Local Board chair Richard Northey says it’s an opportunity for discussion and learning about New Zealand’s history.

“As a board, we support the rights of Aucklanders to freedom of expression and also to seeing full contextual information, in light of recent events, and we support council’s efforts to reach a resolution.”

Many of Auckland’s monuments are not owned by Auckland Council, but as many are in public places, the council does maintain some of them.

Deputy chair Kerrin Leoni, of Ngāti Pāoa descent, agrees and says that public places should display the rich diversity of peoples and events that the country has come to embody.
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This report covers my Waitematā Local Board Activities in July 2020.

My roles include:

- Parks Sport and Rec. portfolio lead
- Ponsonby Business Association
- Landowner Approvals
- Deputy Chair Auckland Domain Committee

A special thank you to the Parks and Community Facilities team. We are very lucky to have such excellent staff who you can see through their work the passion that they have. The awesome work that the Parks Portfolio is rolling out in the next financial year is thanks to you all.

No Mow Banks Pilot initiative has begun. We are committed as a board to improve and enhance the biodiversity of our parks.

Special mention to our community heroes Bruce, Wendy and Andrea for working tirelessly to get Auckland Council on board.

Further information in the report below.

Picture was taken in Grey Lynn Park.
Table of Contents

Parks Sport & Rec
  Ongoing Projects and Updates

Highlights
  Parks I've visited this past month
  Landowner Approvals
  Emergency Budget Consultations
  Events I've attended
  Waitemata local board plan consultations for the next month.
  Disclosures
  Recommendation
Parks Sport & Rec

We are still facing a few challenges within our parks maintenance. Community facilities have been working with the contractors in getting a level of service desired.

Ongoing Projects and Updates

- Grey Lynn Changing rooms

The new changing rooms in Grey Lynn Park are weeks away from being done. I received a few complaints of the state of the park during the construction. The first was the mud around the park, it will make it hard for seniors and people with accessibility issues.

The project manager arranged for some grass mats to be placed around the field. The second complaint is the cleanliness of the portaloos and the portacabins. Obviously, the weather is making an impact. The level of service has been increased.
• Richmond Rovers

Had the privilege to attend the first season’s Prizegiving. During the Prizegiving Luke, my partner signed up to the club. Richmond Rovers Rugby League Club has been a focal point of the Grey Lynn community for well over 100 years. They are based in the heart of Grey Lynn - at Grey Lynn Park, Auckland, New Zealand. In the formative years of rugby league in New Zealand, Richmond emerged as a strong club.

Next game at Grey Lynn will be the following week on the 19th of July.

• Fukuoka Gardens

Back in 2017, the Fukuoka Garden was handed in. The friends of Fukuoka had told the steering committee they had some concerns about the spec level of the garden, its ongoing maintenance and its safety. In March last year, I was contacted by the friend’s of Fukuoka to raise their deep concern about the maintenance of the park.
This garden is meant to have a specialised level of service. Staff in the past year have given the garden a special level of service compared to the rest of the Western Springs Precinct. Staff and the friends have set a date to complete the look and feel of the garden to resemble a true Japanese garden.

Works should be done by Waitangi Day 2021 also Japan Day.

- **Hakanoa Reserve**
  Pollinator Paths have asked Community Facilities to help with them as they had the loss of some plants due to the drought, people stealing plants and lack of maintenance over the lockdown period. They are potentially holding an event on either Sat 25th or Sun 26th July.

- **Home street Reserve**
  Works have been delayed due to COVID-19.

- **Western Springs Playground**
  Looking to progress with the playground.
Highlights

I'm including this new section in my report as there are quite a few activities that I've attended that are worth sharing.

#KohaCup
https://www.facebook.com/watch?v=274799600245638

The Cup Project is bringing together Grey Lynn locals, who share concerns about the environment and their future, in a unique community venture which aims to reduce waste going to landfill. To make this a true village experience, Grey Lynn 2030 Waste Away is collaborating with participating cafés and local schools, sustainable businesses and several Auckland-wide organisations.

https://www.greyllyn2030.co.nz/cup-project/
India Logan Riley Governor Grey

If you missed the past Local Board Business meeting here is a Public Forum that made me look at a more in-depth meaning for statues and what they represent.


9.1 Public Forum

India Logan-Riley to speak on statues at the Waitemata Local Board area

Resolution number WTM/2020/127

MOVED by Chairperson R Northey, seconded by Deputy Chairperson K Leoni:
That the Waitemata Local Board:

a) thank India Logan-Riley for her attendance at the meeting.

b) request the Public Arts Team and Heritage Unit to investigate existing monuments located in the Waitemata Local Board area that commemorate historic events or figures such as Governor Grey and consult with mana whenua before providing advice on the appropriateness of restiling or moving the works.

The teams are also requested to investigate options for additional or alternative signage to provide context and interpretation of the works.

CARRIED
● In The Flesh - Monster Valley

Monster Valley is showcasing local artists and connecting empty spaces around Waitemata with creatives. This artwork was showcased at the launch, in the flesh. My friend James is the artist and he is with his dog taxi.

● Parnell lights Heard Park

I love that @pamelaulauckland has gotten behind #pridemonth reached out to @vesicaactearoa to exhibit #AnthonyVanDorsten epic work at #Heardpark. 🐶 #AnthonyVanDorsten
Parks I’ve visited this past month

The level of service of the parks has significantly improved in the past few months. I reported the loose litter and pest management.

- Alberon Reserve Park

*Pictures taken in my local paradise Alberon Reserve*

- Scarborough Park
- Newmarket Park
- Achill Reserve
- Meola Reef
- Myers Park
- Heard Park

- Dove Myer Robinson Park & Rose Gardens
- Auckland Domain
- Western Park
- Francis reserve
- Grey Lynn Park
Landowner Approvals

Find Land owner list on this link :

Member Adriana Christie's Report

Events I've attended

- Speaker Series.

- Micro-mobility chats bike Grey Lynn

  @bikeaki micro-mobility chats. Next to Fredrick from @beam.auckland and my local greylynn hero @suzannekendricknz

- Piki Toi Matariki

  Celebrated Matariki with an exhibition at @mergecafe. My mate Richard Anaru Turipa has done a few of these paintings 🎨 Shout out to @aucklandcitymission @lifewisenz and @unitecnz for getting behind these incredible creative humans. There's also some incredible wooden toki for sale - please come with cash and support.
Waitemata local board plan consultations for the next month.

The local board will be announcing their dates and times of us going to the libraries and community centers to talk to you about the Local Board Plan and we would like to receive your feedback.

You may also do it this way from 13 July go online to akhaveyoursay.co.nz/lovelocal to:

Find out which local board represents the community you live in and to read a copy of their draft plan
Make an online submission
Find information on opportunities to discuss your ideas with your local board members in person.
You can also make a submission on the Facebook page of your local board, but you need to include #lovelocal in your post for it to be counted.

Also go to your local library, local board office or council service centre to view a copy of your local board’s plan and to pick up a submission form.

Alternatively, phone 09 301 0101 to request a hard copy to be sent to you.
Make sure you get your feedback to us by 4pm, Thursday 13 August 2020.
Disclosures

- I am a candidate for Epsom running with The Opportunities Party
- I have left uptown and moved to Parnell. I live on Stratford st.
- I am a Mentor for the AUT’s Young Sustainability Leaders Programme
- I am a Mentor for Co.starters and The AUT Business School New Graduate programme.
- I am a swimming coach of the Parnell Swim Club
- I am involved in two businesses one is a young startup called Be good and The Pallet Kingdom

Recommendation

That this Report for July Business Meeting be received.
Alexandra Bonham Board Member Report

This report covers my Waitematā Local Board Activities from May to 6 July 2020. My main achievement in the last month was to see through a notice of motion offering the support of the local board in efforts to protect and restore the Hauraki Gulf and urging the Governing Body to use their powers under the RMA to act. Eugenie Sage, the Minister of Conservation, has indicated that there will be an announcement from Central Government soon with regards to further marine protection. We look forward to hearing more news on that.

My roles include: Planning and Heritage portfolio (lead); Culture, Arts and Events portfolio (second); Domain Committee member; liaison for the Karangahape Road Business Association and Herne Bay Residents Group.

Portfolio Report: Heritage and Planning

I keep track of resource consent applications for buildings, structures, and tree pruning and removal as they are received by Council, requesting further information, plans and Assessments of Environmental Effects for applications of interest. Significant applications are referred to the relevant residents’ associations for their input which I then relay to planners as part of the Local Board’s input. I particularly keep a look out for tree removals, helicopter pad requests, digital billboards facing residential buildings, impact on heritage buildings and places, and more than minor breaches of the unitary plan. The Waitematā Local Board recognise the amenity value of trees and has adopted the Ngahere Urban Forest Strategy. Where it is possible to keep trees, we advocate for them. Sometimes trees may be removed for the building of transport and energy infrastructure that locks in lower carbon emissions in the future. Where trees are removed, our position is that there should be more trees planted than are lost. Good urban design and spatial planning can make a huge difference to the quality of a neighbourhood. Considering new developments through a climate change lens is also necessary. The council has committed to halving emissions by 2030 which will impact decision-making across all departments.

COVID-19

COVID-19 has massively impacted on Auckland Council revenue which will have a knock on effect on budgets for the year ahead. I have been sharing the consultation documents with information on what the choices will mean and have been encouraging people to
submit, so that council understand people’s views with regards to what services need to be prioritised.

**Resource Consents**

17 Laurie Ave, Parnell
SUB60352440
Unit Title subdivision of a new dwelling, including 2 PUs and 8 AUs on a single house zone. This is an apartment building in Parnell already consented with a variation on consent to increase the number of apartments.

1 Smith Street FREEMANS BAY 1011
SUB60356944
Additions and alterations to the existing dwelling, as shown on the Architectural Drawing. The description seemed a bit vague and I was unsure how this site could be subdivided. I look forward to finding out.

Shop GR 4-5/5-7 Albert Street Auckland Central Auckland 1010
SUB60356837
Amend the strata title arrangements between 3 Albert Street and 5-7 Albert Street.

Level 13/3 Albert Street Auckland Central Auckland 1010
LUC60357069
Additions and alterations to the western terrace of the West Plaza building. This development has involved a good deal of collaboration and thought between various parties and seems likely to improve the amenity of the buildings and interest at a street level. The WLB in principle supports the plans as long as they abide by all the relevant planning regulations. We request, for the ongoing happiness and sanity of city residents that construction be undertaken during reasonable hours and that noise is kept at mandated levels. Furthermore, it is the position of the local board to advocate that developments enhance the natural environment and improve climate resilience and we would request that all construction waste is managed appropriately so as not to degrade the harbour or land environments. The Board would also advocate that as much waste material be recycled as possible. To ensure there is always water for the cleaning of the building, the board advocate considering rainwater capture and storage.
131-137 Westhaven Drive Auckland Central Auckland 1010
TRE60357128
Within the dripline of two protected Pohutukawa trees. The council tree expert is confident that the plans are robust and that the trees will be fine.

38-42 Broadway, Newmarket, AUCKLAND, 1023
Billboard
This overlooks the roundabout near the Newmarket pools. All the surrounding buildings are commercial so no residents will be affected in their homes by the display.

83 Richmond Road
TRE60357678
This proposal to prune and work within the protected root zones of two Queensland Box street trees relates to putting in a concrete pad on the existing grassy berm so as to provide a route into a new parking space inside what is currently the front garden of a private residence. At first, AT rejected the proposal and so the local board is following up to find out why the proposal was rejected. A great deal of the berm on this section of Richmond Road has over time been reallocated to become overflow private parking. I have asked for more information on council policy on this. Ideally, pavements and berms should provide safe and agreeable routes for pedestrians, while also balancing the needs of householders.
Waitematā Local Board
July 2020
161-173 Quay Street Auckland Central Auckland 1010
CST60357617
This proposal is a cheaper version of the existing consented proposed base for Luna Rosa. This structure occupying the coastal marine area in the Wynyard Quarter will be temporary, and will be removed in 10 months, after the 36th Americas Cup 2021.

109-111 Quay Street
CST60358136
This consent to undertake annual maintenance dredging within the Inner Viaduct Harbour is to ensure there is sufficient draught for the ships to come into port. The dredged materials will go into landfill and will not be dumped at sea.

182-184 Queen Street Auckland Central Auckland 1010
LUC60357638
These are minor internal alterations in a heritage building but the proposals are thoughtful and sympathetic.

40 MacKelvie Street
LUC60358153
Change of use from Trade Suppliers (Plumbing World) to Vehicle Sales (Motorcycles) which is a Discretionary Use. This part of MacKelvie Street, south of Williamson Avenue, is a mixed-use zone and includes retail, light industry and an increasing number of residential apartments. Mixed use zones are often very vibrant areas. However, a motorcycle show room may offer test drives on motorbikes that would be likely to drive up around the residential streets increasing noise and hazard. A limited notification has been requested to go to nearby apartment buildings and retail.

26 William Denny Avenue
LUC60358291
This consent concerns the construction of a four dwelling and four lot residential subdivision on what is currently one lot in Westmere, where there currently is a single house and garden. The proposal is a subdivision that is coupled with land use development and therefore, it is exempt from meeting any minimum lot size requirements i.e. 400m/sq, that only applies to vacant lots, which isn't the case for this application. The following infringements have been identified below:

- Exceedance in building coverage, impervious and shortfall in landscaping;
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- Dwelling at Lot 1 infringes the HI RTB relative to the northern and southern boundaries;
- Retaining wall to support cut will be established in the front yard for Lot 1

Other reasons for rejecting the consent include:
- Removal of a street tree;
- Another vehicle crossing is proposed;
- To be confirmed by our development engineer | buildings within an overland flow path (potentially)

The planners believe the proposal is acceptable subject to amendments, but they are awaiting feedback from our specialists who are yet to confirm their feedback. They have spoken with the applicant regarding reducing the proposed impervious levels, so as the site appearance would not detract from the suburban character that is anticipated under the Mixed Housing Suburban Zone. The applicant expressed to be open to the idea.

The local board is concerned that while what is being proposed are three bedrooms, presumably family homes, there is no access to the pavement for children and the vulnerable that is not via a vehicle driveway. In addition, there is no safe access to outdoor recreational space whether that be public or private. There is very little green in the development, and in fact, it will entail removal of street trees for another driveway. This matters for the amenity of the building for the residents and neighbours, and it could increase stormwater runoff into the roads. There is also limited activated frontage, which matters for the safety of the community, as there are fewer “eyes” on the street. It is the sort of development that on its own may make little difference but if the majority of developments are like this, substantially would change and lower the quality of the neighbourhood.

62 St Mary’s Road
Upon return to usual working conditions, the council’s compliance investigation officer conducted an investigation of the works at 62 St Mary’s Road. It was found that the works were non-compliant. After trying to work with the property owner to achieve compliance, we have decided to issue two abatement notices. The notices were issued yesterday - the first notice required the property owner to cease any further construction of the deck, and the second notice requires the removal of the deck by 11 August 2020. We will continue to work with the property owner to rectify the breach, and if further action is required, our officers will consider this in the context of the council’s compliance policy.
Variation in Resource Consent is requested. There are more breaches to the unitary plan and the amended design of the building offers less to the public realm. The windows have been changed and the green roof removed. This is a difficult time for developers, but it would be useful to explore a policy for how to ensure good outcomes for the current and future residents.

454 Great North Road Grey Lynn Auckland 1021
LUC60358518
SUB60356584
Transport and zoning infringements related to boundary adjustment. I have asked for more information on this one but haven’t heard anything yet.

3 Prosford Street Ponsonby Auckland 1011
LUC60358391
Additions and alterations to the existing two-storey building to create a five-storey mixed use building, comprising office, food and beverage and commercial floor space. I have asked for more information on this one but haven’t heard anything yet.

2 Hepburn Street Freemans Bay Auckland 1011
LUC60358393
SUB60358394
Subdivision to provide for the straightening of the existing dog leg at the eastern end of Colin Shaw Lane, so that it runs parallel to the northern boundary of 2 Hepburn Street. It is also proposed to amalgamate applicant-owned properties on Ponsonby Road to enable simpler administration of these properties and to create segregation strips on the northern boundary of the lane.

2 Mountain Road Epsom Auckland 1023
DIS60359141
LUC60359140
The construction of a residential apartment building containing 61 residential units. I have asked for more information on this one but haven’t heard anything yet.
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729 Great North Road Grey Lynn Auckland 1021
LUC60359273
The construction of a 52-unit visitor accommodation activity with associated earthworks and uncovered parking.

1A Mahuru Street NEWMARKET 1023
LUC60359303
Auckland Transport (AT) is proposing to construct a bus layover at 1-3 and 1A Mahuru Street, located underneath the State Highway 1 (SH1) overpass. The proposed bus layover will be able to accommodate up to 10 buses at any given time together with five car parks for staff. A 70m², single storey, Portacom building will be constructed on the south-western part of the site. This building is for staff purposes and will have connections to three waters, internet, telecommunications and power.

New North Road Eden Terrace Auckland 1021
TRE60359056
Removal of two Pohutukawa trees, tree pruning of six Pohutukawa trees and works within the protected root zones of six Pohutukawa trees within the New North Road Reserve, adjacent to 10-136 New North Road, Eden Terrace. These will allow a double decker bus corridor through New North Road. This is already a relatively barren streetscape and there are a large number of residential developments going in, hence I have asked whether any other trees can be planted/ and or other living or manmade structures that can improve shade and appeal of the street.
Waitematā Local Board
July 2020

My comments were:

1. The WLB is all for a better public transport service provision and safer cycle ways.
2. The WLB is also keen to improve streetscapes for pedestrians and to green up the city.
3. The New North Road has relatively low pedestrian amenity at present and the street trees go some way to softening the landscape and making it a bit more pleasant and putting those trees at risk is not ideal. It would be nice to have more trees not fewer. Can more trees be planted in the street?
4. We approve the approach to mitigate damage to the great majority of street trees as outlined in the plan. We note that the works do create a risk for the trees and expect the council expert arborist to determine whether the risks can be managed.
5. More and more residents will be living in the area over the next few decades and it would be good to plan for their future amenity in a world with more extreme weather.
6. As this street is not currently a premier street, could it be a good place to try something different and not get too much local opposition?
7. Is it possible to consider what plant alternatives to street trees, perhaps combined with some sort of resilient structures, there might be that bring nature into the city, provide shade, beauty and suck up pollution and that are easy to prune and control? Do you know of anything?
8. Within the plan, can there be space made for water fountains? If there are enough people around to need double decker buses then it may be a good place to provide refreshment.

15-17 Liverpool Street Auckland Central Auckland 1010
LUC60358644
Carparking activity (12 spaces) for a further 2-year period. We note that the applicant has been land banking the site since at least 2011 when resource consent was given for a carpark. The applicant has failed to extend the consent twice, so they have been in breach of continuing a non-complying activity without a resource consent. The justification for the low impact to the area is, it seems, that it has little pedestrian amenity. It could be argued that the number of informal surface carparks all contribute to the problem. The WLB would be interested in knowing if there is any limit of time that a landowner can keep land that is zoned for mixed use development as a surface car park, and wondering at what point it is necessary for the landowner to either sell or develop the site. We would also like to know what role council can play in brokering a mutually beneficial agreement between the landowner and a housing agencies like Kāinga Ora or HUDA so as to develop the land for the housing that is needed in Auckland.
Figure 1: Aerial photography of the subject site.

Figure 2: Lower portion of the subject site.

Figure 3: Upper portion of the subject site.

2-38 Nuffield Street Newmarket Auckland 1023
DIS60359130
LUC60359079

To develop a commercial building on the site located at 7-37 Nuffield Street, Newmarket, and to undertake works in Nuffield Street, adjacent the site, including raising and widening the footpath (and corresponding narrowing the carriageway), and implementing soft and hard landscaping. This is what it will look like:
Arts Portfolio

The Board is supporting the creative community in various ways, helping to fund a theatre development incubator at the Basement, and continuing to support the partnership with TAPAC. The Board also supports SpaceHub which makes it easier for creative artists to access spaces to create and present their work at an affordable price, while also bringing more revenue to landlords that find premises empty. A number of events are scheduled for August and we look forward to getting back into theatres.

Herne Bay Issues

The Herne Bay Residents Group drew my attention in February to the number of street trees that are under extreme stress with the drought, also how certain tree pits that allow easy watering can help. I have committed to looking into how well trees are doing across the city, in particular regards to new plantings. A meeting will be set up for the board with the community facilities team to look into street management. Because of the COVID-19 Emergency this meeting is yet to happen but, as the drought continues, this issue continues to be recognised as of high importance and the Board resolved to support a Notice of Motion to support the development of and investment in a climate change resilient water strategy in its May business meeting. Water has now become a pressing issue. The WLB has recommended an exploration of making it easier to get water tanks, and to repurpose the three waters for different types of domestic use.
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There have also been concerns around whether there needs to be a parking facility in Westhaven or Hene Bay for people visiting the Northern Pathway, which has been consulted upon in the last few weeks. I have advocated to NZTA that the Hene Bay Residents Group idea to reconfigure parking and use some of the green buffer area on Curran Street be considered. NZTA seemed resistant to increase parking though did say it would not remove any car parks.

The importance of the Leys Institute has been reiterated by communities, as has been the desire to enhance the St George’s Bay area. While funding will be reduced across council, it is clear that these two projects are very important for communities and we will continue to advocate that funding be sourced for them.

Meetings / events attended
21 May. KBA Committee Meeting
26 May. Local Board Workshop
          CLG Karangahape Community Liaison Group Meeting
2 June. Local Board Workshop
3 June. Richmond Road School Board of Trustees Meeting
4 June. Visited the new gallery and met the staff of Art Space, Karangahape Road.
7 June. Joined in a clean-up of Myrtle Street.
8 June. Joined the mayor, local board and Councillor Coom to plant the first trees in the beautified Albert St.
9 June. Local Board Workshop.
10 June. Met the Richmond Rovers board at Grey Lynn Park to discuss the park renewal.
11 June. Performed a poem for the NZ Ladies Association in London via zoom.
16 June. Local Board Workshop
          Attended a meeting at Monster Valley in Karangahape Road to discuss various issues affecting businesses including homelessness, antisocial behaviour and crime.
17 June. Monthly mana whenua hui on zoom
18 June. Met Ngāti Whātua leaders at the local board office.
20 June. Joined a planting day in Parnell run by Kaipataki Volunteers.
21 June. Visited the museum to see the Wildlife Photography Exhibition.
22 June. Attended the dawn karakia for stage two of the Tiramarama Way Development near Daldy Street.
   Auckland Domain Committee Extraordinary Meeting

23 June. Local Board Workshop

24 June. Attended the planning committee workshop on integrated residential housing and cohousing.

25 June. Attended KBA Committee meeting.
   Met the Maori Wardens to discuss Karangahape Road.
   Attended the City Centre Network meeting

26 June. Met Jo Malcolm and Annie Coney to discuss Dove Meyer Robinson Park as a heritage site.

30 June. Local Board workshop

1 July. Mihi Whakatau for the Basement Matariki Incubator programme
   Had lunch with Bruce Kendal of the Howick Local Board

6 July. Met Sara Smuts-Kennedy and Jerome Partington at Jasmax with Kerrin Leoni to discuss their ideas for an urban farm
   Attended Piki Toi, the opening of an art exhibition featuring homeless artists at Merge Café, Karangahape Road.

7 July. Local board workshop and local board extraordinary business meeting to decide feedback on the Emergency Budget

Conferences / member development

20 May. Talk: “A Place Where Rangatahi are Rangatira.” This was part of an excellent speaker series called “Outside In” that has informed the council of innovative projects within and outside its walls. They have provided a forum for staff and elected members to ask questions and broaden awareness of what is possible and of best practice techniques.

22 May. “Urban Farm and Food Security” (Outside In)

27 May. “Activating the Moana: urban leadership in contemporary Oceania”. (Outside In)

29 May. “Development Response: Supporting People through Construction in Town Centres” (Outside In)

5 June. “Co-creation High Street District 2019-2020” (Outside In)

10 June. “Dunedin Street Art Trust with Glen Hazelton” (Outside In)
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12 June. “COVID-19: Realising a new future for cities” (Outside In)

17 June. “Transport hacks to restore our public spaces and build back better”
(Outside In)

26 June. “Whakaoranga: Mobilising cultural attributes to build disaster resilience in marae communities” (Outside In)

8 July. “The magic of microgrants, business resilience training and planning for business continuity” (Outside In)

Disclosures
I am doing a PhD in the Dance Department of the University of Auckland, studying the potential roles of playful arts practices in coproducing the Playful City. I am a member of Women in Urbanism, an occasional walking tour guide with Auckland Free Walking Tours and a parent trustee on the Richmond Road School board. My husband is the director of dog walking company Fetch.

Finally
I am on Facebook and I have set up a website alexbonham.co.nz where I discuss some of the issues that are crossing our desks and give more information and links on engagement with council. Julie Sandilands and I are leading a pilot “local government TV on Facebook”, which aims to engage the community with issues that may matter to them – including a call for more cycle lanes to enable movement during COVID-19! Anyone living in Waitematā is very welcome to get in touch with me. When we are out of lockdown, it is also be possible to come and meet me between 10 and 11am at the Grey Lynn Farmers Market on the last Sunday of the month.

Recommendation
That this report be received.
Graeme Gunthorp – Board Member Report

Positions held

- Transport portfolio lead
- Newmarket Business Association – board liaison
- Freemans Bay Residents Association – board liaison
- Wynyard Quarter Transport Management Association – board liaison
- Ponsonby Park PCG – chair & board liaison

General update

Providing feedback on the emergency budget has been challenging, given the real human outcomes that may result from any reductions. Job losses, reduced hours, lessened services to the community are all tragedies that affect people across the community.

As a board, we are prioritising keeping council staff in work, in libraries and community facilities across the Waitematā area. We are also aiming to maintain the operational hours of these facilities where feasible.

Transport

There are many safety and amenity projects across the board area that will be delayed due to lack of funding, so tactical solutions should be considered in order to deliver them in good time.

Shane Ellison, CEO of Auckland Transport, has advised that AT will be considering solutions of this nature in order to ‘do more with less’ (my quote, not his).

An example of a tactical solution can be seen at the intersection of Sale St and Wellesley St, where planter boxes and paint were used to improve the safety of the intersection, for a fraction of the cost of a permanent solution.

This is especially important given the likely outcome that the Local Board Transport Capital Fund is to be zero for the new board term, meaning the proposals passed by the Board last month are unlikely to progress at this stage. As part of our feedback on the emergency budget, we have recommended that the LB TCF is revisited in the FY2022 budget, perhaps with a pro-rata reduction over the 3 year term, thus allowing the most high-impact projects to be undertaken.
Meetings / events attended

- 8 June       City Rail Link – Albert Street tree planting
- 10 June      Richmond Rovers Rugby League Club – meeting with club executive to discuss current construction of changing rooms, parking and mobility access
- 24 June      Monster Valley / Space Hub – presentation from Art Space Coordinator
- 25 June      Newmarket Business Association – board meeting
- 25 June      Auckland Transport – meeting with Shane Ellison, CEO, to discuss: WLB Draft Local Board Plan; LB Transport Capital Fund; COVID-19 impact; Tactical urbanism; Vision Zero; Advocacy; Parklets

Conferences / member development

- None

Disclosures

- None

Recommendation

- That this report be received
Governance Forward Work Calendar
File No.: CP2020/08822

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present the Waitematā Local Board with a governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report contains the governance forward work calendar, a schedule of items that will come before the Waitematā Local Board at business meetings and workshops over the coming months. The governance forward work calendar for the local board is included in Attachment A to the agenda report.
3. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is required and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar will be updated every month. Each update will be reported back to business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Local board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
 a) receive the governance forward work calendar as at 21 July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Governance forward work calendar July 2020</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Priscila Firmo - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2020</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2020</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC – on hold</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBC – on hold</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board workshop records

File No.: CP2020/09176

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide an opportunity for the Waitematā Local Board to receive the records of its recent workshops held following the previous local board business meeting. Attached are copies of the proceeding records taken from the workshops held on:
   • 23 June 2020
   • 30 June 2020
   • 7 July 2020

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. In accordance to Standing Order 12.1.4, a record of the proceedings of every Waitematā Local Board workshop held over the past month, including the names of the members attending and the general nature of the matters discussed during the workshop, shall be circulated to the members of the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the Waitematā Local Board workshop records for the workshops held on 23 June 2020, 30 June 2020 and 7 July 2020.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Waitematā Local Board workshop records July 2020</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Priscila Firmo - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitematā Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held via Skype on **Tuesday, 23 June 2020** commencing at **9.38am**.

**PRESENT**
- Chair: Richard Northey
- Deputy Chair: Kerrin Leoni
- Members: Adriana Avendaño Christie – joined the meeting at 9.50am
  - Alexandra Bonham
  - Graeme Gunthorpe
  - Julie Sandilands
  - Sarah Trotman – attended via electronic link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE Parnell Festival of Roses</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To provide an update on the Parnell Festival of Roses, including three budget options. For the local board to give feedback on the proposed options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Park Medley - Brief for artwork for Studio</td>
<td></td>
<td>To seek local board direction about the design for the rebrand of Myers Park Medley. And to provide options to the local board regarding Myers Park Medley for 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Events</td>
<td></td>
<td>To update the Waitematā Local Board on the Civic Events portfolio for 2019/2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art - projects and update on Monuments/Statues</td>
<td></td>
<td>To provide an update on the 2020/2021 Public Art programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>To provide an update on The Auckland Performing Arts Centre (TAPAC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| PSR monthly workshop  
Summary report for activations delivered in 2019-2020 | Setting direction, priorities and budgets | To provide the local board with a summary of the activations delivered in FY 19/20. |
| Point Resolution Taurarua– Gun Restoration and Reinstatement | | To brief the board and seek direction on the proposed restoration and reinstatement of a historic gun at Point Resolution Taurarua. |
| PSR - Western Springs Development Plan | Local initiatives and specific decisions | To review the draft Western Springs Lakeside Te Wai Ōrea park development plan content in preparation for formal approval of the plan by the Waitematā Local Board later in 2020. |
| CF monthly workshop  
St Patricks Square skateboarding  
Opportunity for open discussion on CF matters, if required | Setting direction, priorities and budgets | To provide the local board with signage options for consideration along with an update on other related improvements. |

23 June workshop finished at 3.20pm.
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held via Skype on Tuesday, 30 June 2020 commencing at 9.36am.

PRESENT
Chair: Richard Northey
Deputy Chair: Kerrin Leoni
Members: Adriana Avendaño Christie – attended via electronic link
Alexandra Bonham
Graeme Gunthorp
Julie Sandilands
Sarah Trotman – attended from 9.30am – 12.40pm
attended via electronic link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Board work programme prioritization</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To discuss the implications of the proposed Emergency Budget on local activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction &amp; Confidential discussion*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board work programme prioritization</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To receive direction from the lb on priorities and local board members views on how Work Programme will change to achieve 10% and 20% reductions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 June workshop finished at 3.30pm.

*Confidentiality provisions outlined in Section 7(2)(f) and (l) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act:

Section 7(2)

...(f) maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through—
(i) the free and frank expression of opinions by or between or to members or officers or employees of any local authority, or any persons to whom section 2(5) applies, in the course of their duty; or…

(i) enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held via Skype on Tuesday, 7 July 2020 commencing at 9.35am.

PRESENT
Chair: Richard Northey
Deputy Chair: Kerrin Leoni
Members: Adriana Avendaño Christie - Member A A Christie left the meeting at 11.48am and re-joined the meeting at 1.15pm. 
Alexandra Bonham
Graeme Gunthorp
Julie Sandilands - attended via electronic link
Sarah Trotman – attended via electronic link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance – Key performance indicators, fees and charges</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To seek local board feedback on the proposed fees and charges and proposed targets for the Key Performance Indicators, for the 2020/2021 financial year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Budget workshop</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To seek local board feedback on the proposed regional topics in the Emergency Budget 2020/2021.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Grey Lynn & Around/Grey Lynn Business Association       | Local initiatives and specific decisions | To report back to the board on the Grey Lynn Business Association accountability report.  
To present on next steps and request the Board to consider future funding for Grey Lynn Business Association/Grey Lynn & Around. |
| DPO monthly update                                      | Setting direction, priorities and budgets | To provide an update on the Midtown and Karangahape Road public realm projects.                                                                       |

7 July workshop finished at 1.56pm.