

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Manukau Harbour Forum will be held on:

Date: Friday, 9 April 2021
Time: 12.15 pm
Meeting Room: Woodside Room, Civic Centre L1
Venue: 31 - 33 Manukau Station Road
Manukau

Manukau Harbour Forum

OPEN AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson	Jon Turner
Deputy Chairperson	Alan Cole
Members	Chris Makoare
	Rangi McLean
	Sue Smurthwaite
	Lemauga Lydia Sosene
	Kay Thomas
	Saffron Toms
	Dawn Trenberth

(Quorum 5 members)

Brenda Railey
Democracy Advisor

6 April 2021

Contact Telephone: 021 820 781
Email: brenda.railey@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1	Welcome	5
2	Apologies	5
3	Declaration of Interest	5
4	Confirmation of Minutes	5
5	Leave of Absence	5
6	Acknowledgements	5
7	Petitions	5
8	Deputations	5
9	Public Forum	5
10	Extraordinary Business	5
11	Feedback to the 10-year Budget 2021-2031	7
12	Chairperson's Report - February 2021	17
13	Elected Member updates	21
14	Confirmation of Workshop Record	23
15	Consideration of Extraordinary Items	

1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Manukau Harbour Forum:

- a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Friday, 12 February 2021, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Manukau Harbour Forum. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

- (a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
- (b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-
 - (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
 - (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

- (a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-
 - (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
 - (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but
- (b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”

Feedback to the 10-year Budget 2021-2031

File No.: CP2021/03693

Te take mō te pūrongo **Purpose of the report**

1. To enable the Manukau Harbour Forum (Forum) to endorse provided feedback on the 10-year Budget 2021-2031.

Whakarāpopototanga matua **Executive summary**

2. During the Emergency Budget last year, elected representatives collectively made some hard decisions about how to manage the impacts of COVID-19 and Auckland's worst ever drought. It is apparent that Auckland Council will continue to experience significant financial impacts from COVID-19, with approximately \$1 billion of revenue being lost over four years.
3. To address the revenue gap but meet the city's investment demands, the proposed 10-year Budget includes \$90 million of operational savings occurring each year, a lift in our debt-to-revenue ceiling to 290 per cent, \$70 million of non-service asset sales each year, and a one-off 5 percent increase in average general rates (with a return to 3.5 percent annual increases over the remainder of the 10-year Budget period).
4. In the proposed 10-year Budget, the constrained budget starting point deferred planned Community capital investment from the first three years out into the later years.
5. Subsequently, the Mayoral Proposal brought forward \$65 million into the first three years for high-priority Community capital investment, which is made possible by the proposed 5 percent average general rates increase and lifting our debt ceiling to 290 percent.
6. Manukau Harbour Forum (MHF) members requested that the MHF coordinator develop a submission based upon Forum aspirations and previous position statements in general support of the 10-year Budget proposal in particular aspects that had catchment benefits around the Manukau Harbour.
7. Feedback from the Forum was submitted on 22 March 2021 and is attached for formal endorsement.

Ngā tūtohunga **Recommendation/s**

That the Manukau Harbour Forum:

- a) endorse the Manukau Harbour Forum feedback (Attachment A) to the 10-year Budget 2021-2031.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

Item 11

No.	Title	Page
A	Manukau Harbour Forum's Feedback 10-year Budget 2021-2031	9

Ngā kaihaina Signatories

Authors	Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor
Authorisers	Glenn Boyd - Local Area Manager

Feedback 10- Year Budget 2021-2031- Auckland Council

Submissions received by 12pm noon 22 March 2021.

https://akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/feedback-forms-for-10-year-budget/survey_tools/10-year-budget-feedback-form-english

Question 1. Proposed 10-year budget

Our proposed 10-year budget would see capital investment of \$31 billion in Auckland over the next 10 years. This would allow us to deliver key services Aucklanders rely on, renew our aging assets, have a focused approach to building infrastructure to support population growth, and make progress on addressing the challenges of climate change and environmental sustainability.

As a result of COVID-19, it is projected that our revenue will be impacted by around \$1 billion. In light of this, and to provide the investment outlined above, we are proposing a one-off 5 per cent average general rates increase for 2021/2022, rather than the previously planned 3.5 per cent increase. The increases would return to 3.5 per cent each year thereafter. We are also proposing to increase our borrowing in the short term, continue to make cost savings and sell more surplus property.

Without this greater use of rates and debt, around \$900 million of much needed investment in Auckland would be delayed from the next three years to later in the decade. This would slow Auckland's recovery, put our services and assets at risk, lose hundreds of millions of dollars in matching government subsidies, and limit our response to our climate and environmental challenges.

To answer as:

- Support
- Do not Support
- Other
- Don't know

Tell us why:

The Manukau Harbour Forum (thereafter referred to as MHF or 'The Forum') is broadly in support of the proposed 10-year budget, reasons include:

- The MHF recognises the need for a rates increase to cover budget shortfalls in light of the Covid pandemic, and to ensure that critical infrastructure upgrades are able to take place now rather than years in the future. The declining state of the natural environment requires immediate action; investment now, acknowledging the increased pressure on households to contribute under financial duress, is essential if we are to turn the tide on environmental decline within our generation. We endorse the common belief that the environment shouldn't come second in decision-making processes, and as kaitiaki for Auckland's ecosystems we have a responsibility to do right by our future generations.
- The MHF strongly supports the integration of Te ao Maori perspectives in Council decision-making processes, and the improvement of opportunities and capacity for Maori/Iwi to participate and contribute to the management of whenua and moana across Auckland.
- The MHF strongly supports the proposed upgrades to the stormwater and wastewater systems and the inclusion of the Water Targeted Rate to fund key projects in the Manukau catchment. The separation of stormwater and wastewater networks along with improving the resiliency of the pipe networks are fundamentally important to controlling system

externalities such as the stormwater water quality impacts felt in the Manukau Harbour. The MHF would like to see Council continue to prioritise the restoration of Manukau Harbour's mauri.

- The MHF strongly supports the integration of climate change resiliency philosophies throughout the Council supply chain. Working towards zero-carbon future is highly aligned with the broader vision of the MHF which seeks to improve the mauri of natural environment. Managing our carbon drawdown, emissions and energy consumption should remain a key focus of the 10 year budget.
- The MHF strongly supports the proposed improvements to waste management. However, we feel that the budget does not go far enough into addressing circular economy approaches and the development of a zero-waste system. We suggest that Auckland Council should develop more innovative solutions to waste management in collaboration with central government.
- The MHF strongly supports the Council's approach to developing new housing for our growing population. The Forum would like to see a stronger stance from Council on the inclusion of:
 - energy-saving opportunities,
 - resilient water services networks for new builds,
 - the retention and creation of green areas and wetlands,
 - greater accountability given to commercial developers designing environmentally sensitive and future-proofed builds.
- The MHF is supportive of the extension of the Natural Environment targeted rate. We would like to see a greater proportion of that spend allocated to areas around the Manukau Harbour. The communities in South Auckland have experienced some of the highest canopy removal rates in Auckland – restoring native forested areas to these communities should be given high priority.
- The MHF would like to see Auckland Council provide greater support to residents looking to implement rainwater catching infrastructure on private property. We would also like to see a requirement for more new builds (greenfield) to incorporate some degree of water sensitive design – particularly around slowing down stormwater flows and the use of non-potable water (recent developments in Franklin are a good example).
 - Where feasible, the integration of water sensitive design in brownfield sites across Auckland should be given a higher priority by the regulator. As housing intensification occurs throughout the region, we are noticing a significant increase in the coverage of impermeable surfaces (largely through the loss of 'grassy backyards'); this is particularly prolific in some of the more urban local board areas around the Manukau. Although incremental, the cumulative impact of these changes is expected to be significant to our receiving environment (i.e. the Manukau Harbour).
 - One way Auckland Council might go to improve the uptake of water sensitive design across brownfield sites in the catchment could be to require all new residential redevelopment projects that lose over 40% (or other relevant proportion) of permeable surfaces (e.g. native vegetation, grass etc) to include other water retention infrastructure.

Question 2. Climate change

Through Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan, we heard Aucklanders want greater action on climate change. The proposed 10-year budget includes additional investment to respond to the challenges of climate change.

The proposed additional investment will mean we do not need to buy any more diesel buses and it will help us replace our diesel buses with electric and hydrogen buses sooner. It will also help us divert more waste from landfill, plant over 2 million more native trees and other initiatives.

Opinion on this proposal to invest more in responding to climate change:

- **Support the proposed increased investment**
- Do not support increased investment
- Other
- Don't know

Tell us why:

The MHF is committed to restoring the mauri (life force, health and wellbeing) of the Manukau Harbour. We have adopted a ki uta ki tai approach; this mountains to the sea philosophy means that we recognise the importance of a catchment-wide pathway to restoration. As such, we strongly support the terrestrial activities proposed in the 10 year budget, especially the proposals to plant more native vegetation as this provides significant benefits to other systemic problems such as biodiversity loss and sedimentation.

However, we feel that the 10 year budget does not go far enough to address climate change. Some key elements that we feel have been missed include:

- Not enough emphasis on forcing supply chains to meet carbon neutral criteria.
- Restorative economy approaches such as:
 - Restoration of kelp forests through kina removal.
 - Seagrass and mangrove restoration in appropriate locations.
 - Coastal wetland and other natural system restoration to improve resiliency against sea level rise.
- Increased expectation on private developers to demonstrate water and energy conservation.
- Greater requirements for large transport infrastructure projects to include lower-carbon solutions.

Climate change resiliency is a big challenge for the communities surrounding the Manukau. Aging infrastructure places key businesses such as Onehunga Port at risk, and the degraded nature of the remaining natural environments means that they are less likely to withstand new pressures like rising sea level.

Rising sea level represents a significant threat to critical coastal infrastructure such as the WaterCare wastewater treatment plant in Mangere. The MHF would like to see additional forethought and a clear 50 year strategy developed by Auckland Council on how we will build resiliency, and ensure that fundamental services like wastewater/stormwater treatment will be protected through worst case scenarios.

The MHF would also like to highlight the importance of the Puhinui Stream Restoration project within the Manukau Harbour community, and the strong environmental/climate outcomes that are associated. Large scale projects like these are where the community and the environment receive the most benefit and should therefore be candidates for more investment. Achieving scale to our environmental projects should be a key success criteria for Council-led restoration projects – where possible, Council alongside local boards should work to align relevant projects to maximise efficiencies, optimise the benefits, and perhaps most importantly improve the resiliency of our natural environment.

Question 3: Water quality

Since 2018 the Water Quality Targeted Rate has allowed us to fund initiatives to improve the water quality of our harbours, beaches and streams. This was initially intended to run from 2018 to 2028. We are proposing to extend the Water Quality Targeted Rate until June 2031.

Extending the targeted rate

Extending this targeted rate to June 2031 will help continue to improve water quality in other areas of the city, including coastal water quality from Hobson Bay to St Heliers, as well as the Manukau Harbour. Extending this targeted rate would enable this additional work to begin in 2028/2029.

Increasing the targeted rate

To start construction on the above major new water quality projects six years earlier (in 2022/2023), and to increase our investment in regional water quality programmes across all of Auckland, we are also proposing to increase this targeted rate annually in line with proposed average increases in general rates.

What is your view on this proposal?

- Support the extension and the increase.
- Support the extension only.
- Do not support either change.
- Other.
- Don't know.

Tell us why:

The MHF strongly supports the increase and extension of the Water Quality Targeted Rate. Our position is that it is critical we invest in our water services infrastructure now if we are to prevent the continued decline of water quality within our generation. The MHF is committed to seeing local and central government adopt a new focus on Auckland's 'forgotten harbour', and to allocate more resources and greater leadership towards to the restoration of this important taonga.

We are pleased to see that the Manukau Harbour is explicitly mentioned in the long term plan. However, we want to see improved reporting and strategic approaches developed specifically for the Manukau Harbour catchment. Within 3 years we want to see commitment from Council to:

- Fund and lead the development of a Manukau Harbour strategy that addresses water quality, ecology, biodiversity, and climate change, and provide a clear pathway/approach to restoration developed with Iwi Maori.
- A regular (annual) State of the Harbour report similar to that of the Hauraki Gulf.

The Manukau Harbour Forum would like to see a more detailed proposal of how the WQTR will be spent in the Manukau Harbour Catchment. Given the perilous environmental state of the harbour and its tributaries, the MHF is advocating that a higher percentage of Council spend should be allocated towards this important taonga, and be brought forward in the workplan.

The key initiative for the Manukau Harbour Forum is the Southern Catchments Alignment Programme which would start in 2028 if we go with 'extend water quality targeted rate' and 2023/2024 if we go with 'extend and increase'. Although this project is somewhat of a flagship for the area, we note that the scale of this project pales in comparison to the Eastern Bays workstream

– the MHF would like to see a greater proportion of the budget allocated to projects that directly benefit the Manukau Harbour and the catchment in general.

We would like to see greater recognition in the 10 year budget of the need for substantial, landscape level changes to occur around the Manukau to address water quality. We would like to see Auckland Council commit to a pipeline of work (in collaboration with central government) that would seek to restore wetland habitat throughout the catchment.

Question 4. Community investment

We have hundreds of community assets like libraries, halls, community centres, community houses, arts venues and assets in our parks that are getting older and some are in urgent need of repair. The cost of operating, repairing or rebuilding these assets over the next 10 years could leave no money for anything new or upgraded. To maintain our current assets and upgrade or provide new assets, rates would likely need to be increased over time.

We propose a new approach for community services, such as leasing or shared facilities, that does not rely as much on us building and maintaining physical assets. This will reduce our carbon footprint and lower our costs by partnering with others to deliver services and deliver more community services online.

Over time, we propose to consolidate the number of our community facilities and services (which may result in some facilities being closed) and instead focus on multi-use facilities and online services to provide for our diverse communities.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

- **Support**
- Do not support
- Other
- Don't know

Tell us why?

The MHF supports the proposed changes in principle. We are strong supporters of the need to meaningfully reduce carbon footprints, however, we do not want to see the consolidation of assets come at the cost of access to these assets by our communities. The MHF is supportive of Council's ongoing intention to "work(ing) with our local boards who understand the specific needs of their local communities".

Question 5. Rating policy

The following are some of our proposed changes to the way we charge rates on properties. These changes affect each property differently. They may, or may not, affect your property.

What is your opinion on the following proposals?

	Support	Do not Support	Other	Don't know
Extending the Natural Environment Targeted Rate until June 2031 to invest further in measures such as addressing the spread of kauri dieback, and predator and weed control	X			

Extending the Urban Rating Area so land that has an operative urban zoning, or which has resource consent to be developed for urban use now (except for Warkworth), pays the same urban rates as nearby properties have access to a similar level of service	X			
Charging farm and lifestyle properties in the Urban Rating Area residential rates so they pay the same urban rates as nearby properties have access to a similar level of service	X			
Extending the City Centre Targeted Rate until June 2031 to maintain our investment in upgrading the city centre	X			
Introducing the Rodney Drainage Targeted Rate on the land in Te Arai and Okahukura that benefits from the stormwater services			X	

5a. We are proposing other changes to rates and fees, including the introduction of the Electricity Network Resilience Targeted Rate on Vector to fund council’s tree management programme around the Vector overhead power lines and options to reinstate the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.

Do you have any comments on any of our proposed changes to rates and fees charges? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about):

The Manukau Harbour Forum supports the proposed changes to the ENRTR in principle. We do however, advocate for robust decision-making processes that include local government representation when it comes to the removal of notable (e.g., of community value, age, special ecological value) trees/vegetation.

5b. The Upper Harbour Local Board are proposing a new bus service between Paremoremo and Albany, funded by a targeted rate.

Which of the following options do you support?

- Support Option 1- targeted rate of \$238 for each separate dwelling or business on a property for properties located within 500m walking distance of a proposed bus stop
- Support Option 2- targeted rate of \$153 for each separate dwelling or business on a property for properties located in the wider Paremoremo and Lucas Heights area of the Upper Harbour Local Board
- Do not support either option
- Don't know

Tell us why:

The Manukau Harbour Forum supports any plan to reduce traffic on Auckland’s roads.

5c. Do you live in the area affected by the proposed Upper Harbour Local Board transport targeted rate?

- Yes
- No

Question 6. Local boards

To answer this question please refer to the local board priorities on What's happening in your area.

Albert-Eden

Aotea/Great Barrier

Devonport-Takapuna

Franklin

Henderson-Massey

Hibiscus and Bays

Howick

Kaipātiki

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Manurewa

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Ōrākei

Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Papakura

Puketāpapa

Rodney

Upper Harbour

Waiheke

Waitākere Ranges

Waitematā

Whau

Question 7 - What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including our proposals on housing and growth infrastructure or strategic assets?

Please refer to our Question 1 answer.

The future health of the Manukau Harbour and surrounding communities will be influenced by the proposed changes in the 10-year budget. As the population of Auckland grows, the city's infrastructure will also need to grow to support the added pressures. The MHF would like to see a fair and equitable proportion of spend allocated to the Manukau catchment in order to address the severely impacted environmental condition.

The purpose of the Manukau Harbour Forum is to provide for a means of collective Local Board advocacy on issues affecting the Manukau Harbour, and the adjacent foreshore. Key issues addressed by the Forum include:

- Restoration of the health and wellbeing of the Manukau Harbour
- The role of Mana Whenua in relation to the Manukau Harbour
- A unified management-approach to the Manukau Harbour
- Advocacy on issues related to both natural and human activities affecting the harbour foreshore
- Wastewater and stormwater discharges
- The strategic removal of mangroves and Pacific oysters
- Coastal erosion mitigation opportunities

Item 11

- The enhancement of marine and coastal habitats that assist with increased Biodiversity
- The preservation of sustainable commercial and recreational fisheries within the harbour
- The protection of Maui's Dolphin and other species
- Catchments and tributary streams that flow into the harbour
- Access to the harbour
- The role of the port operation at Onehunga

Attachment A

Chairperson's Report - February 2021

File No.: CP2021/03379

Item 12

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To provide the Chairperson, Jon Turner, with an opportunity to update forum members on the Manukau Harbour Forum activities.

Whakarāpopototanga matua Executive summary

2. It is anticipated that the Chairperson will speak to the report at the meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation

That the Manukau Harbour Forum:

- a) receive Chair Turner's report from February to March 2021.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A	Chair's report for February to March 2021	19

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

Authors	Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor
Authorisers	Glenn Boyd - Local Area Manager

Manukau Harbour Forum Chair's report for February and March 2021

This period has largely focused on submissions, particularly as a number of events were cancelled due to Auckland going into lockdown again. I also managed to fracture an elbow in early March so had to miss out on SeaWeek activities this year, but I have appreciated seeing pictures of the mahi undertaken, particularly around removing rubbish from the foreshore.

Our Last workshop was 12 February 2021. Topics on the agenda included:

- The coordinators work on the stocktake of Local Board initiatives which will be available to read on the next business meeting agenda. A high level analysis shows over \$2 million spent from Local Board work programme initiatives that benefit the harbour. Forward work needs to look at creating programmes that work across Local Board boundaries and focusing on marine ecosystems such as benthic communities.
- An update from Watercare on projects affecting the Manukau – particularly around the treatment plant. There was a lot of new information for Forum members, one highlight for me was hearing about a baseline study for the Southwest treatment plant taking into account matauranga Māori. We also received an update on the hydrodynamic model and the holdup with the model overpredicting algal blooms. More to come on this in future.
- An update on the Freshwater management tool run by Wai Ora. This is a huge piece of work that will be invaluable in discussions of intensification in Tāmaki Makaurau and was really impressive to hear about. There is the ability to 'model' what the effects of projects will be on the 'current state' such as adding impermeable surfaces as part of a housing development.
- An update from Healthy Waters on how the Water Quality Targeted Rate extension would benefit the harbour. Most of the focus was on the Southern Catchments Alignment, which will be used to clean up waterways entering the Harbour. There was a desire to get more information on this from the forum. There was also discussion of how the Safe Networks team is working to track where pollution comes from in residential areas.

Sadly, this was the day that we learnt of the pollution spill into the Harbour from an industrial site in Penrose. Investigations are continuing into how this happened, what procedures were not followed and what needs to be in place to stop this happening.

The Forum have also written submissions on the Auckland Council Long Term Plan and the Climate Change Commission Report. These will be available on the next business meeting agenda. Both express a clear desire for both documents to do far more in the space of water quality and wider environmental protection. Thanks to Fraser Stobie for his hard work in collating these and well worth a read as they set out the mahi for the Forum quite well.

The Youth Sustainability Wananga invitation has been sent out and students are being contacted soon with this beginning in April. This wasn't able to run last year under Covid so we are very excited about this mahi.

Local Boards are all working on their work programmes, and the stocktake from last year will be useful in this development. The forum is awaiting confirmation of funding for next year before finalising any work programme but beginning discussions around what we would like to include.



Jon Turner (Puketāpapa Local Board)
Chair of the Manukau Harbour Forum

Elected Member updates

File No.: CP2021/03378

Te take mō te pūrongo Purpose of the report

1. A period of 15 minutes has been set aside for the attending elected members to update the Forum on significant matters relating to Manukau Harbour.

Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s

That the Manukau Harbour Forum:

- a) receive any elected member verbal or tabled updates.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina Signatories

Authors	Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor
Authorisers	Glenn Boyd - Local Area Manager

Confirmation of Workshop Record

File No.: CP2021/03380

Te take mō te pūrongo Purpose of the report

1. To note the workshop record held by the Manukau Harbour Forum.

Whakarāpopototanga matua Executive summary

Briefings provided at the workshop are as follows:

12 February 2021

1. Co-ordinator's update
2. Watercare update
3. Update of the Freshwater Management Tool
4. Proposal to extend the Water Quality Targeted Rate in the LTP

Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s

That the Manukau Harbour Forum:

- a) note the workshop record for the workshop held on 12 February 2021.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A	Workshop Record 12 February 2021	25

Ngā kaihaina Signatories

Authors	Brenda Railey - Democracy Advisor
Authorisers	Glenn Boyd - Local Area Manager



Manukau Harbour Forum Workshop Record

Date: Friday 12 February 2021
Time: 10.00am-12.00pm
Venue: Manurewa Local Board office, Shop 3-5, 7 Hillside Road
 Manurewa with Skype option

Attending:

Chairperson

Deputy Chair

Members

<p><i>Alan Cole</i> <i>Jon Turner</i> <i>Chris Makaore</i> <i>Dawn Trenberth</i> <i>Sue Smurthwaite</i> <i>Rangi McLean</i> <i>Kay Thomas</i> <i>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</i> <i>Jessica Rose</i> <i>Melissa Atama</i></p>	<p><i>Franklin Local Board</i> <i>Puketāpapa Local Board</i> <i>Maungakiekie- Tāmaki Local Board (by Skype)</i> <i>Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board (by Skype) from 10.30am</i> <i>Papakura Local Board</i> <i>Manurewa Local Board</i> <i>Whau Local Board</i> <i>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board (by Skype)</i> <i>Whau Local Board</i> <i>Manurewa Local Board</i></p>
---	--

<p><i>Skype</i> <i>Skype</i></p>	<p><i>Amanda Kinzette</i> <i>Mark Allan</i></p>	<p><i>Franklin Local Board (by Skype)</i> <i>Waitākere Ranges Local Board (in Saffron's stead)</i> <i>(by skype)</i></p>
---------------------------------------	--	--

<p><i>Apologies</i></p>	<p><i>Saffron Toms</i> <i>George Hawkins</i> <i>Michelle Clayton</i> <i>Ofa Dewes</i> <i>Josephine Bartley</i></p>	<p><i>Waitākere Ranges Local Board (by Skype)</i> <i>Papakura Local Board</i> <i>Waitākere Ranges Local Board</i> <i>Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board</i> <i>Councillor</i></p>
-------------------------	--	---

Also Attending Cllr Tracy Mulholland

Staff Attendance Glenn Boyd (*Local Area Manager*), Brenda Railey (*Democracy Advisor*)
 Dave Allen, Sietsu Bouma (Skype), Prasanthi Cottingham, Stephanie Robinson (skype), Ben Paris, Rebecca Barclay, Fraser Stobie, Alicia Bullock, and Bridget Glasgow, Theresa Pearce (Skype), Gabe E, Izzy, Fraser,
 Skype: Georgina Gilmour

Time	Items
9.30am	Karakia – Rangi McLean
	Briefing on chemical spill in Manukau Harbour

9.35am	<p>1. Co-ordinator's update Presenter: Fraser Stobie</p> <p>A presentation was provided to update Forum members on coordinator activities and key dates and discussion on how to communicate the stocktake gap analysis.</p> <p>To discuss how to communicate the stocktake gap analysis</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work programme breakdown by theme - Programme funding (Waitakere Ranges pumpout of septic tanks impact larger funding contribution) - Overview of board funding contribution provided (where the shortfalls/gaps are?) - How are we going to communicate this to community? Local board impact on Manukau Harbour. - General Forum water quality concern - Smaller multi-level grants to local Manukau Harbour communities at board level - Education vital component of Manukau Harbour programmes at local community level - Community has concerns about local board investment. <p>Actions: None</p>
10.15am	<p>2. Watercare update Introduction to the Watercare team, restructure update and a brief summary of the current status of the hydrodynamic model and interceptor works. Presenter: Nathaniel Wilson (10.12am-11.10am)</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A brief summary of a restructure was provided. - Operations now two large teams: Production and Service delivery - Community engagement (working with schools to remove rubbish in Island Rd) - Water demand down from last summer – people valuing the water. <p>Manukau Projects (Chris Garton)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mangere projects: bird roost enhancement, midges' population down (habitat reduced), master planning for Puketutu Is in progress. - See cultural breakdown – building marae at Puketutu with mana whenua. - Brief overview of South-West project (replace Clarks, Kingseat and Waiuku plants, integration of mātauranga Māori into baseline study), connect youth to initiatives <p>Central Inceptor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tunnel 147 kg long from Grey Lynn to Mangere. Main TBI named " - Hydrodynamic model – focus on harbour - Rural sector (land use) impact on model, particularly in Franklin - The model can be recalibrated with further funding, resource. <p>Actions: None</p>

11.10 am	<p>3. Update of the Freshwater Management Tool</p> <p>A presentation provided an update on the Freshwater Management tool</p> <p>Presenters: Tom Stephens and Janet Kidd (11.10am-11.40am)</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overview of freshwater management tool (taking to coastal edge) - Current state of water ways: peer review on going, exploring GIS-based communication platforms to present findings e.g. story map. <p>Actions: None</p>
11.40 am	<p>4. Proposal to extend the Water Quality Targeted Rate in the LTP</p> <p>To update forum members on the proposed extension to the water quality targeted rate and what that means for the Manukau Harbour</p> <p>Presenter: Andrew Chin (11.40am-12.10pm)</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extension of water quality targeted rate from 2028 to 2031 – part of Mayor’s proposal. Additional \$106 million allocated. - Additional investment in existing regional programmes, new southern catchments alignment programme (Manukau Harbour and Tamaki Estuary) and new Isthmus - Significant Transport projects e.g. Mill Rd - Brief overview of Eastern Isthmus to resolve wet weather overflows (sewer separation) - Prosecution – reserved for flagrant abusers. Illegal connections dealt with by getting issue fixed. Small sediment controls in compliance space. <p>Actions: MHF to consider making submission on support proposed extension to the water quality targeted rate.</p>
12.00pm	Finish

Rangi McLean closed the meeting with a Karakia.

Meeting closed at 12.10pm.