
Kaipātiki Local Board

OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Kaipātiki Local Board held in the Kaipātiki Local Board Office, 90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield and via Microsoft Teams on Wednesday, 22 June 2022 at 10.06am.

PRESENT

Chairperson	John Gillon
Deputy Chairperson	Danielle Grant, JP
Members	Paula Gillon
	Melanie Kenrick
	Cindy Schmidt
	Andrew Shaw
	Adrian Tyler

ABSENT

Member	Ann Hartley, QSO (<i>Leave of absence</i>)
---------------	--

1 Welcome

The Chairperson opened the meeting, welcomed those in attendance followed by Member Adrian Tyler leading the meeting with a karakia.

Whakataka te hau kite uru	Cease o winds from the west
Whakataka te hau kite tonga	Cease o winds from the south
Kia mākinakina ki uta	Bring calm breezes over the land
Kia mātaratara ki tai	Bring calm breezes over the sea
E hī ake ana te atakura	And let the red-tipped dawn come
He tio	With a touch of frost
He huka	A sharpened air
He hau hū	And promise of a glorious day
Tīhei mauri ora!	

2 Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Declaration of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number KT/2022/118

MOVED by Member C Schmidt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 18 May 2022, as true and correct.**

CARRIED

5 Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

6 Acknowledgements

6.1 Mr Gresham Barry Bradley - Queen's Birthday Honours 2022

Resolution number KT/2022/119

MOVED by Member P Gillon, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **congratulate Mr Gresham Barry Bradley for being awarded as an Officer of the New Zealand Order of Merit in the Queen's Birthday Honours 2022 for services to the LGBTQIA+ community and education.**

CARRIED

6.2 Ms Cheryl Bronwyn Martin - Queen's Birthday Honours 2022

Resolution number KT/2022/120

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Member A Shaw:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) congratulate Ms Cheryl Martin for being awarded as a Companion of the Queen's Service Order in the Queen's Birthday Honours 2022 for services to the community.

CARRIED

6.3 Ms Jo Mere Pilkington - Queen's Birthday Honours 2022

Resolution number KT/2022/121

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) congratulate Ms Jo Mere Pilkington for being awarded as a Member of the New Zealand Order of Merit in the Queen's Birthday Honours 2022 for services to the events sector and the community.

CARRIED

6.4 Mr Hans van Ess - Queen's Birthday Honours 2022

Resolution number KT/2022/122

MOVED by Member P Gillon, seconded by Member A Tyler:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) congratulate Mr Hans van Ess for being awarded as an Honorary Member of the New Zealand Order of Merit for services to ju-jitsu in the Queen's Birthday Honours 2022 for services to ju-jitsu.

CARRIED

7 Petitions

There were no petitions.

8 Deputations

There were no deputations.

9 Public Forum

9.1 Seating at Stafford Park

Lindsay Waugh was in attendance to address the board on this item.

A presentation titled 'Seating at Stafford Park' was tabled. A copy of the tabled presentation has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number KT/2022/123

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Member C Schmidt:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) receive the public forum item.
- b) thank Lindsay Waugh for her attendance and presentation.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 22 June 2022 - Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting - Seating for Stafford Park presentation - Lindsay Waugh

9.2 Little Shoal Bay Shoreline Adaptation Plan & Le Roys Bush - Kyle Aitken

Kyle Aitken was in attendance to address the board on this item.

A document titled 'Wai Manawa – Little Shoal Bay' was tabled. A copy of the tabled document has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number KT/2022/124

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) receive the public forum item and tabled document.
- b) thank Kyle Aitken for his attendance and presentation.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 22 June 2022 - Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting - Wai Manawa-Little Shoal Bay presentation by Kyle Aitken

10 Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

11 Approval of concept design for the carpark renewals at Chelsea Estate Heritage Park, Birkenhead

Sarah Jones, Manager Area Operations – Devonport Takapuna and Kaipātiki was in attendance via Microsoft Teams to address the board on this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/125

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member M Kenrick:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) approve the concept design for the carparks and bollards at Chelsea Estate Heritage Park, Birkenhead as per Attachment A of this agenda report and request staff to progress the project to detailed design and construction:
- b) approve additional funding of \$160,000 in financial year 2022/2023 from its asset based services capital renewals budget (ABS: Capex – Renewals) to Chelsea Estate Heritage Park - renew carparks, bollards and stormwater drainage ID30091, making a total of \$302,000 for this project.
- c) note that the expected dates for physical works for carpark 3 is August-September 2022, and for carparks 1 and 2 is February- March 2023, depending on weather and consents.

CARRIED

Precedence of Business

Resolution number KT/2022/126

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) agree that Item 14: Approval of the 2022 / 2023 Kaipātiki Local Board Infrastructure and Environmental Services Work Programme followed by Item 15: Approval of the 2022 / 2023 Kaipātiki Local Board Customer and Community Services work programme be accorded precedence at this time.

CARRIED

14 Approval of the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Local Board Infrastructure and Environmental Services Work Programme

Brandii Stephano, Relationship Advisor was in attendance via Microsoft Teams to address the board on this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/127

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) approve the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental Services work programme and associated budgets, as per Attachment A of the agenda report with the following amendments:
 - i) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#1003 – ‘Climate Action Programme - Kaipātiki’ to \$20,000, as per paragraph 22 of the 16 June 2021 agenda report ‘Approval of the 2021/2022 Kaipātiki Local Board Infrastructure and Environmental Services’ and the in-principle adoption of the 2022/23 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental Services work programme in resolution KT/2021/84.
 - ii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#1002 – ‘Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme – sensor investigation and visits’ to \$72,000.
- b) approve in principle the 2023/2024 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental Services work programmes (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report), with the following amendments:
 - i) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#1003 – ‘Climate Action Programme - Kaipātiki’ to \$20,000, as per paragraph 22 of the 16 June 2021 agenda report ‘Approval of the 2021/2022 Kaipātiki Local Board Infrastructure and Environmental Services’ and the in-principle adoption of the 2023/24 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental Services work programme in resolution KT/2021/84.
- c) note that the indicative programmes and budgets for 2023/2024 are subject to change, and will be confirmed on an annual basis through the approval of the respective work programmes.
- d) note the allocation of \$65,000 asset-based services capital expenditure budget towards the Sulphur Beach Reserve jetty - replace piles and handrails programme in the 2022/2023 financial year.
- e) request regular updates on each activity in the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental work programme throughout the financial year.

- f) delegate authority to the Chairperson to approve any changes presented by staff on the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Infrastructure and Environmental work programme, noting that:
 - i) any decisions will be made in consultation with the Deputy Chairperson
 - ii) any changes deemed 'significant' by the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson will require consideration and decision making by the full board
 - iii) any changes approved by the Chairperson will be reported back to the full board.

CARRIED

15 Approval of the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Local Board Customer and Community Services work programme

Judy Waugh - Work Programme Lead, Sarah Jones - Manager Area Operations and Michelle Sanderson – PSR Portfolio Manager were in attendance via Microsoft Teams to address the board on this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/128

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) approve the 2022/2023 Customer and Community Services work programme and its associated budget as presented in Attachment A of the agenda report, with the following amendments:
 - i) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#225 – 'Increase diverse participation through community development programme led by Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust' to \$210,000.
 - ii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#3444 – 'EOI for youth providers to operate from Marlborough Park Youth Facility' to \$0.
 - iii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#236 – 'Community Grants Kaipātiki' to \$110,330, noting that transitional grants budget will be allocated to this line item.
 - iv) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#235 – 'Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust events' to \$80,000.
 - v) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36642 – 'Glenfield Centre Plan – Investigate and deliver priority parks improvements' to \$0.
 - vi) amend activity item ID#28422 – 'Island Bay – renew swimming pontoon' by adding the following further decision point:
 - A) A workshop with the local board will be held to discuss the location of the pontoon.
 - vii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#30167 – 'Jean Sampson Reserve – basketball court and bike track' to \$0, as this is lower priority and the area will likely be used for a period of time by Watercare for installing new underground pipes.
 - viii) amend activity item ID#31761 – 'Kaipātiki - install new signage 2023/2024' name and description to reflect the installation of signage, seating and drinking fountains in our parks, allocating \$20,000 of LDI Capex budget in FY23.

- ix) amend activity item ID#30462 – ‘Kaipātiki – renew park and facility signage 2023/2024’ to specifically include the renewal of park signage at Chelsea Estate Heritage Park, and the renewal of signs to reflect park name changes following the adoption of the Kaipātiki Local Park Management Plan.
 - x) amend activity item ID#31812 – ‘Lindisfarne Park – renew play equipment’ by adding the following further decision point:
 - A) Play piece options to be discussed with the local board at a workshop.
 - xi) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#31728 – ‘Nell Fisher Reserve – new senior climbing units’ to \$10,000.
 - xii) amend activity item ID#26107 – ‘Sispara Place Reserve – slip remediation’ by adding the following further decision point:
 - A) Results of investigation and design to be workshopped with the local board.
 - xiii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36644 – ‘Sunnynook Plan – Priority improvements for Kaipātiki Reserves’ to \$0.
 - xiv) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36640 – ‘Sunsmart Priorities for Kaipātiki Playspaces’ to \$60,000.
 - xv) add an additional activity – ‘Beach Haven basketball court’, with an activity description reflecting an investigation of sites in Beach Haven for a new full-size basketball court to be constructed, including in the carpark area adjacent to the Beach Haven Sports Centre off Cresta Avenue, a decision point to workshop the project with the local board, and allocating \$20,000 of LDI Capex budget in FY23 and \$100,000 of LDI Capex in FY24.
- b) approve in principle the 2023/2024 Customer and Community Services work programme (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report), noting that the LDI Opex allocations will be balanced to budgets in the associated future years’ work programme, with the following amendments:
- i) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36642 – ‘Glenfield Centre Plan – Investigate and deliver priority parks improvements’ to \$5,000.
 - ii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#30167 – ‘Jean Sampson Reserve – basketball court and bike track’ to \$0, as this is lower priority and the area will likely be used for a period of time by Watercare for installing new underground pipes.
 - iii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#31761 – ‘Kaipātiki - install new signage 2023/2024’ to \$40,000.
 - iv) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#31728 – ‘Nell Fisher Reserve – new senior climbing units’ to \$45,000.
 - v) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36644 – ‘Sunnynook Plan – Priority improvements for Kaipātiki Reserves’ to \$5,000.
 - vi) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#36640 – ‘Sunsmart Priorities for Kaipātiki Playspaces’ to \$100,000.
- c) approve in principle the 2024/2025 Customer and Community Services - Community Facilities only work programme (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report), noting that the LDI Opex allocations will be balanced to budgets in the associated future years’ work programme, with the following amendments:

- i) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#30167 – ‘Jean Sampson Reserve – basketball court and bike track’ to \$0, as this is lower priority and the area will likely be used for a period of time by Watercare for installing new underground pipes.
- ii) amend the budget amount for activity item ID#31728 – ‘Nell Fisher Reserve – new senior climbing units’ to \$0.
- d) approve the Risk Adjusted Programme projects identified in the 2022/2023 Customer and Community Services work programme (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report), with the addition of:
 - i) ID 25008 Birkenhead War Memorial Park – shared path.
- e) provide the following feedback for consideration by the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee on projects funded from the Landslide Prevention, Local Parks and Sports Field Development budgets (refer to Attachment D of the agenda report):
 - i) endorse all projects and associated budgets as per Attachment D to the agenda report with the following amendment:
 - A) request activity item #ID 26107 – ‘Sispara Place Reserve – slip remediation’ has an increase in budget to enable the option of reinstating the pedestrian connection lost in the 2016 landslide, and not permanently closing the connection as a lookout.
- f) request that scoping of a renewal of the Paragon Ave boat ramp, seawall and accessway (off Hellyers Creek Reserve, Beach Haven) is put forward to the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee for consideration of Coastal Renewals funding, due to it being a well-used and much-loved community recreation asset that is falling into disrepair, and has had various potential safety concerns raised by members of the public. For clarity, the asset is within the coastal environment, falling outside of the Hellyers Creek Reserve local park.
- g) note that funding for the Coastal Renewals, Landslide Prevention, Local Parks and Sports Field Development budgets is subject to approval by the Parks, Arts, Community and Events Committee.
- h) note that there may be minor changes to year one of the 2022/2023 Local Board Customer & Community Services Work Programme, and other changes in years 2 and 3 which are approved in principle, due to Annual Budget decisions affecting capital budgets and that changes required will be discussed with and reported to the local board early in the new financial year.
- i) request regular updates on each activity in the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Customer and Community Services work programme throughout the financial year.
- j) delegate authority to the Chairperson to approve any changes presented by staff on the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Customer and Community Services work programme, noting that:
 - i) any decisions will be made in consultation with the Deputy Chairperson
 - ii) any changes deemed ‘significant’ by the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson will require consideration and decision making by the full board
 - iii) any changes approved by the Chairperson will be reported back to the full board.

CARRIED

Precedence of Business

Resolution number KT/2022/129

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **agree that Item 19: Local board feedback on the council’s preliminary response to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 and the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) followed by Item 20: Local board feedback on proposed supporting plan changes to accompany the Medium Density Residential Standards and National Policy Statement on Urban Development plan change be accorded precedence at this time.**

CARRIED

Adjournment of Meeting

Resolution number KT/2022/130

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member M Kenrick:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **agree to adjourn the meeting for five minutes at 10.53am.**

CARRIED

Meeting reconvened at 11.03am.

19 Local board feedback on the council’s preliminary response to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 and the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021

Ross Moffatt – Senior Policy Planner, Noel Reardon – Manager Heritage and Celia Davison – Manager Planning Central/South, were in attendance via Microsoft Teams to address the board on this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/131

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Chairperson J Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the council’s preliminary response to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 and the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021.**
- b) **note the feedback received from Aucklanders on the council’s preliminary response during the three-week public consultation in April and May 2022.**
- c) **note that this pre-consultation period was immediately following Easter and contained Anzac Day and Mother’s Day, being a total of thirteen working days and not an appropriate amount of time for such significant feedback to be provide by the community.**
- d) **express concern over the significant distress that this rushed process has caused the community, particularly for the residents of Northcote Point and Birkenhead Point.**
- e) **express concern regarding confusion created in the community on the phrasing of some questions and selectable answers, and request that future feedback forms adhere to the principles of Plain English.**

- f) thank the 660 submitters from the Kaipātiki Local Board area, being 8% of the 7,886 submissions received.
- g) acknowledge the receipt of the petition of 1102 signatories (resolution number KT/2022/69) opposing the removal of the Special Character Areas from Northcote Point and Birkenhead Point that will allow for greater allowances in development, noting that the petition is ongoing and that numbers in support of the petition have risen to 1231 signatures.
- h) provide the following feedback as the local board's response to the council's preliminary response, to be considered by the Planning Committee in preparation of the proposed intensification plan change for notification in August 2022:
 - i) we support warm, dry, affordable homes for our community with high standards of design, especially in areas of frequent public transport, appropriate infrastructure, access to adequate outdoor recreation space, and developed in a planned way rather than an ad hoc basis, however;
 - ii) we do not support the changes to the AUP foisted upon Auckland Council by the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) and Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021, without being subject to the same public, democratic scrutiny and process as per the AUP.
 - iii) we request that where possible, the Governing Body seriously consider judicial challenge as a valid response to the NPS-UD and other National Policy Statements instead of accepting them as "mandatory", as they are not parliamentary legislation and open to judicial review.
 - iv) we are extremely concerned about the radical impact that the new density and height-to-boundary rules will have on our community, which will allow far more intense and higher developments throughout Kaipātiki Local Board area, and overrides the considerable public consultation that was done to shape the AUP.
 - v) we note changes to most of the Kaipātiki Local Board area will likely include:
 - A) the effective removal of the single-house zone,
 - B) the effective conversion of all Mixed Housing Suburban zoning to Mixed Housing Urban zoning,
 - C) allowance of three dwellings allowed per site without a resource consent, preventing Council from mitigating impacts on the surrounding area, including infrastructure, traffic, etc,
 - D) reduction of the minimum site for a dwelling from 400-600m² to 300-400m².
 - E) reduction of distance to boundary to 1m,
 - F) removal of minimum on-site parking requirements,
 - G) removal of overlays, including the Special Character Areas and Significant Ecological Areas, and removal of notable trees.
 - H) introduction of "walkable catchments" around rapid transit stops (and the city centre and metropolitan centres not in Kaipātiki) where building heights are required to be six or more storeys.
 - vi) we request the retention of the existing Special Character Areas and boundaries, as identified in the Auckland Unitary Plan Chapter D18 Special

Character Areas Overlay (including Birkenhead Point and Northcote Point).

- vii) we request that Council accepts that Special Character Area properties assessed at a score of 4 in the Special Character Area threshold assessment are of sufficiently high enough quality, and that they be added to properties that scored 5 and 6.
- viii) we request that the Special Character assessment threshold is lowered to 60% in order to accurately reflect and preserve continuous areas of high quality special character and the amenity to the area that it provides.
- ix) we request the retention of the existing Significant Ecological Areas and boundaries, as identified in the Auckland Unitary Plan Chapter D9 Significant Ecological Areas Overlay, with particular priority given to the private land around Le Roys Bush Reserve. Should medium density progress in this area, the Little Shoal Bay catchment will be significantly impacted by deforestation, sediment and erosion.
- x) we request that long-term significant infrastructure constraints are included as a qualifying matter, noting that 75% of respondents in the Kaipātiki Local Board area supported this.
- xi) we request that all walkable catchments should be conditional on:
 - A) whether adequate infrastructure can be provided,
 - B) the retention of existing levels of public spaces, parks, and reserves, and the provision of additional public spaces, parks and reserves commensurate with the expected increase in population,
 - C) the adoption of a 'sunlight admission control' which protects sunlight and daylight in public spaces including parks, reserves, lakes, foreshore, and beaches, and height controls to ensure the same are not dominated by the surrounding built environment.
 - D) including pedestrian infrastructure, such as seating and mature trees.
 - E) include minimum parking spaces and appropriate spread of disabled parking and loading zones.
- xii) provide the following responses to the questions asked during public consultation:
 - A) Q1. What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?
The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes the walkable catchment should be closer, noting that 40% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal and 32% did not support the proposal - wanting it closer.
 - B) Q2. What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?
The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes the walkable catchment should be closer, noting that 37% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal and 30% did not support the proposal - wanting it closer.
 - C) Q3. What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?
The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes the walkable catchment should be closer, noting that 39% of

Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal and 32% did not support the proposal - wanting it closer.

- D) Q4. What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?

The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone should be closer, noting that 26% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal and 35% did not support the proposal - wanting it closer.

- E) Q5. What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town?

The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone should be closer, noting that 30% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal, 23% did not support the proposal – wanting it further, and 29% did not support the proposal - wanting it closer.

- F) Q6. What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?

The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter, noting that 38% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal, and 42% did not support the proposal – wanting all existing special character areas to be a qualifying matter.

- G) Q7: What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?

The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter, noting that 13% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal, and 63% did not support the proposal – there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter.

- H) Q8. What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?

The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the proposal and believes there are more areas or parts of areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter, noting that 20% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal, and 40% did not support the proposal – there are more areas or parts of areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter.

- I) Q9. What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the proposal, noting that 75% of Kaipātiki respondents supported the proposal, and 13% did not support the proposal.

- xiii) note that the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) already delivers sufficient supply of housing for short, medium, and long term, including provisions for housing in centre and fringe areas, as noted in the GM Auckland Plan Strategy & Research presentation to Planning Committee, 26 May, and 2 June 2021 as follows:

- A) Plan-enabled housing capacity – (supply under current AUP settings) - clearly meets the forecast demand of approximately 320,000 over the next 30 years, and could meet higher demand:

- 1) At least 909k net redevelopment opportunities exist now,
 - 2) 840k ~ 1.4m redevelopments are considered commercially feasible ,
 - 3) 300k ~ 320k are expected to be realised over the next 30 years.
 - 4) Projections allow for 15-20% greater demand possibilities: Projected short-term demand (2020-2023) 45,000 / projected medium demand (2024-2031) 98,000 / projected long-term demand (2032-2051) 239,000.
- xiv) note the following excerpts from Parliamentary Hansard that confirm that the understanding of members of parliament was that under the NPS-UD, Auckland Council would have the discretion to retain Special Character Areas:
- A) Nicola Willis (National Party): We also listened intently to local authorities who said that they needed to maintain some discretion so that they could exclude areas from intense housing development that were not properly suited to it—areas, for example, that were subject to natural hazards, areas that had historic heritage, areas which would not be able to support the level of housing that this bill implies. So, we tidied up the qualifying matters section of this bill to ensure that local authorities were able to carry over assessments formed during previous planning processes.
 - B) Eugenie Sage (Green Party): But it is on those matters that there were changes to the bill to provide a little bit more flexibility for councils to ensure that medium density happens in more suitable—well, doesn't happen in unsuitable areas.
 - C) Simon Watts (National Party): An issue that was raised a lot in my electorate was around the exclusions around particularly heritage zoning. If any of you have been to the beautiful Devonport Peninsula on the North Shore, it is a stunning location. I'm slightly biased, but it is a lovely part of our city, and I'm glad to see that a number of the considerations around special character zones—that the council will be able to ensure that those protections remain. And that's really important, not only for heritage but also for environmental aspects and other risk considerations.
- xv) endorse the following feedback provided by the Birkenhead Village Association in regards to retaining Special Character in Birkenhead:
- A) Birkenhead has played a key part in Auckland Council's Heritage Festival for a number of years. Our Village Centre reflects that with a number of restored heritage buildings. A Heritage Walk is offered, paved footpaths and heritage globe lights all add to the very special character of Birkenhead.
In conjunction with Auckland Council, Birkenhead Village has invested hundreds of thousands of dollars in the Auckland Heritage Festival with key events to drive economic activity in the area, these events have been hosted for the last decade since 2010 and have included vintage car shows, Clydesdale horses, music of the art deco period, heritage walks and talks, and showcasing of entrepreneurs and leading professional personalities of Auckland City ie Henry Haywood - Luna Park producer, WF Hammond - North Shore Map Surveyor and Creator, William Thompson - president of Fruit Growers Association and entrepreneur of Thompson and Hills brand which went on to become nationally sold Oak Jam , and

Edward Leroy - visionary of Auckland's only inner-city rainforest. Written about in The Story of Birkenhead by Margaret McClure.

- B) It might have been helpful if the Council had bothered to send their inspectors out to Birkenhead and Northcote, to see the very dwellings they are condemning should this mad proposal go through. There are irreplaceable dwellings en masse in these suburbs that need to be retained and protected. These are some of the oldest suburbs on the North Shore – still part of Auckland – and are part of Auckland’s heritage. Many owners of these homes have lovingly restored them and retained their heritage features. To walk down Hinemoa Street in Birkenhead, is to feast upon special homes that have a place in Auckland’s history. To allow a developer to bowl a house and then erect 3x3 rectangular monstrosity in a heritage area is an outrage. It would destroy the unique special character of these suburbs.**
- xvi) endorse the following feedback provided by individual members of the public from the Kaipātiki Local Board area:**
- A) This legislation has been rushed through with insufficient analysis and planning.**
- B) Infrastructure constraints are already of major concern in Birkenhead Pt. Morning and evening traffic is already an issue, as is parking due to the ferry terminal at one end of the Point and the shopping centre at the other. Public transport is inadequate as are pedestrian crossings; there are no cycleways. Rubbish trucks have difficulty manoeuvring. Old wastewater and storm water pipes are problematic, run off is already an issue and drainage doesn’t cope in heavy rain.**
- C) Council’s scoring system [Special Character Area threshold assessment] is too restrictive. The proposal requires 66% of individual properties in an area to score 5/6 or 6/6 on a character assessment, before the area would qualify to keep its “special character area” status. The 66% threshold should be lower, (eg 50% – still a majority of character houses). Also, properties scoring 4/6 (which Council accepts are still “character supporting”) should be counted towards the percentage test as well, not just 5/6 or 6/6. Many other suburbs that are keeping their “special character area” status were surveyed in person by Council representatives doing site visits. Northcote and Birkenhead Points were just assessed using Google Street View, which means Council’s scoring for those areas does not properly show their true character status.**
- D) Infrastructure is a fundamental requirement to support any intensification. It is simply negligent to not include it as a qualifying matter. Much of the water, stormwater and wastewater infrastructure in Birkenhead is already under stress and there are significant issues, eg with stormwater overflow and wastewater leaking in the bush.**
- Birkenhead Point has a rich history (as does Northcote Point and other special character areas, hence the zoning!), it tells the story of pre-bridge Auckland when people would travel across from the city on the car ferry, land at the Wharf and drive up Hinemoa to go north (through the strawberry fields and orchards). People would stop at the top of Hinemoa near Maritime Tce to shop at the dairy and bakery (I think the former is corner Bridgeview and Hinemoa and the latter corner Hinemoa and Maritime), get petrol, go to the post office,**

butchers etc.

There are a lot of houses in Hinemoa St, Maritime Terrace and the surrounding areas (Bridgeview, Wakanui) that are worthy of protection and still retain their unique and distinctive architecture. Examples: Wakanui St (west side) there are historic homes whose character has been retained. In addition, on the opposite side of the road on the corners of Maritime and Hinemoa there are Historic Heritage homes, so it would make sense to retain a small area or cluster of homes which are protected. Likewise, in the same triangle 'block' (Wakanui, Maritime, Hinemoa) the house at the corner of Maritime and Hinemoa (81 Hinemoa St), referenced above.

There are other houses in both Hinemoa St and Maritime Terrace that have special and unique character that should be protected, eg 30 Maritime (the maps are not clear if it is the one with Historic Heritage protection), 42 & 46 Maritime, as well as the villas across the road from them at #29 and 35.

In Hinemoa St, the houses which I think should be considered further are # 9 -1 3 and 19 (one of which I think is Historic Heritage but not clear which).

I support the retention of Special Character protections on the properties identify further along Hinemoa St opposite Glade Place and le Roy Terrace, thank you.

I also support the retention of the Special Character overlay in Awanui St.

As an added point - most of the special character homes on the Point are NOT close to any form of rapid transport, and the transport options are limited in frequency. There is the ferry - but it's a steep hill to walk down/up for many people.

- E) Google street was used for 100% of the Birkenhead Point & Northcote Point survey. There are issues with Google Street - for example a property down a slip road might show a neighbouring property.

The Queen Street, Northcote Point properties from Bartley Street to Duke Street should not be classed as Medium Density. They should retain the Unitary Plan zoning. Most have well cared for villa houses, dating from the 1890s to around 1910.

It is unlikely that the waste and water infrastructure would cope with 3 X 3 homes being built on the proposed Queen Street rezoned medium density property.

There is almost no public transport infrastructure in Northcote Point. The ferry has been closed and / or not operated reliably for the past four years

- F) We support careful and considered development and intensification of our historic Highbury Precinct (Heart of commercial development of what is now Birkenhead Village) in line with council overseas expert Donovan Rypkema commissioned to speak by Auckland Council March 10 2015 for the following reasons:

- 1) Heritage is a public good,
- 2) Heritage delivers economic benefits, we have created a tourism drawcard through our Highbury Heritage Walks,
- 3) Heritage is good urbanism,
- 4) Heritage provides environmental benefits in line with the green economy the cost to develop existing buildings is less than the cost to develop new buildings.

- i) **request the opportunity for the Chairperson or delegate to address the Planning Committee and Independent Hearing Panel on our feedback.**

CARRIED

Note: Under Standing Order 1.9.7 Member A Shaw requested his abstention noted against this item and he did not take part in the vote.

20 Local board feedback on proposed supporting plan changes to accompany the Medium Density Residential Standards and National Policy Statement on Urban Development plan change

Celia Davison – Manager Planning Central/South and Teuila Young – Policy Planner were in attendance via Microsoft Teams to address the board on this item.

A document titled ‘Resolution KT/2022/73 Local board views on plan change to amend Historic Heritage Schedule’ was tabled. A copy of the tabled document has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number KT/2022/132

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Chairperson J Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the content outlined in the agenda report relating to the development of draft plan changes and variations to the Auckland Unitary Plan (AUP) to be considered for notification at the August 2022 Planning Committee meeting together with the Intensification Planning Instrument (IPI) on medium density residential standards (MDRS) and implementing Policies 3 and 4 of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD).**
- b) **request that where possible, the Governing Body seriously consider judicial challenge as a valid response to the NPS-UD and other National Policy Statements instead of accepting them as “mandatory”, as they are not parliamentary legislation and open to judicial review.**
- c) **provide feedback as the local board’s response to the matters discussed in this report:**
- i) **Transport**
- A) **oppose the requirements in the NPS-UD that require the removal of minimum parking requirements from the AUP, as these will result in numerous health, safety, accessibility, and efficiency issues, especially when combined with separate moves to remove on-street parking.**
- B) **support Auckland Council’s proposed transport amendments to the AUP regarding access, including adding ‘dwellings’ as a trigger for determining the relevant vehicle access width standards; adding a tiered approach to access requirements; changes to standards to prioritise pedestrian safety and access; changes to lighting standards; and ongoing operation and maintenance requirements.**
- C) **support Auckland Council’s proposed transport amendments to the AUP regarding parking, including changes to accessible parking, private pedestrian access, loading spaces, bike parking, and electric vehicle charging.**
- ii) **Notable trees - Schedule 10**

- A) oppose the requirements in the MDRS that remove notable trees from the AUP.
 - B) support Auckland Council's proposal for notable trees to be a qualifying matter in the IPI.
 - C) support Auckland Council's proposed amendment of the AUP to add nominated notable trees to the schedule, and to amend the schedule to address known inaccuracies/inconsistencies.
 - D) request that nominated notable trees in the Kaipātiki Local Board area are progressed alongside those of Albert-Eden, Franklin, Howick, Orakei, Otara-Papatoetoe, Rodney, Waitemata and Whau Local Boards.
 - E) request that the addition of nominated notable trees is addressed routinely on an annual or bi-annual basis and that adequate budget is provided for the process (potentially from the Climate Action Targeted Rate), so that nominations are addressed in a timely manner and we do not see a repeat of the backlog of nominations that we have today.
- iii) Historic heritage - Schedule 14
- A) oppose the requirements in the MDRS that remove historic heritage places from the AUP.
 - B) support Auckland Council's proposal for historic heritage places to be a qualifying matter in the IPI.
 - C) support Auckland Council's proposed amendment of the AUP to add nominated historic heritage places to the schedule.
 - D) express strong concern that as the Kaipātiki Local Board area was excluded from the in-person survey of special character values that was part of the Council's response the NPS-UD, and instead received the inferior Google Maps desktop assessment, historic heritage places may not have been discovered to add to the schedule as in other parts of Auckland.
 - E) request with urgency that Auckland Council conduct an in-person survey of Birkenhead Point and Northcote Point, as was done for other parts of Auckland, due to significant amount of historical heritage and special character homes and buildings in these suburbs.
 - F) reiterate resolution KT/2022/73 (tabled) regarding support and opposition to proposed amendments to the category A* historic heritage places already listed in the Historic Heritage Schedule.
- iv) Variations to incomplete plan changes
- A) express frustration that Auckland Council is required to make changes to incomplete AUP plan changes as a result of the MDRS, when the MDRS itself was not subject to the same public democratic scrutiny as the AUP.
 - B) reiterate the applicable portion of resolution KT/2021/66 regarding Plan Change 60, as follows:
 - 1) R105 Stott Avenue, Birkenhead (located behind 57C Lancaster Road, Beach Haven): oppose the zone change from Conservation Zone to Residential - Single House Zone, as this park land is covered by a Significant Ecological Area overlay and is part of a continuous wildlife corridor that follows a

stream through Birkdale. The proposed zone change would be contrary to the Kaipātiki Local Board Plan 2020 Environment objectives, specifically “Our urban forest (ngahere) is protected and enhanced through the greening of Kaipātiki” and “Our natural environment, harbours and waterways are protected and enhanced, in partnership with mana whenua and our community”. With the parcel isolated from public access, it presents an opportunity for planting (possibly Kauri or a suitable endangered species) away from day-to-day human contact. If the parcel were to be built on as per the proposed new zone, there would likely be a significant loss of vegetation.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 22 June 2022 - Kaipātiki Local Board business meeting - Resolution KT/2022/73 - Local board views on plan change to amend Historic Heritage Schedule

12 Approval of the Kaipātiki Local Board Tātaki Auckland Unlimited work programme 2022/2023

Resolution number KT/2022/133

MOVED by Member P Gillon, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **approve the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Tātaki Auckland Unlimited work programme and associated budget as per Attachment A of the agenda report.**
- b) **request a workshop in the first quarter of 2022/2023 to discuss and confirm the scope of the Wairau Valley Business Engagement and Communications project.**
- c) **request regular updates on each activity in the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Tātaki Auckland Unlimited work programme throughout the financial year.**
- d) **delegate authority to the Chairperson to approve any changes presented by staff on the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Tātaki Auckland Unlimited work programme, noting that:**
 - i) **any decisions will be made in consultation with the Deputy Chairperson**
 - ii) **any changes deemed ‘significant’ by the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson will require consideration and decision making by the full board**
 - iii) **any changes approved by the Chairperson will be reported back to the full board.**

CARRIED

13 Approval of the Kaipātiki Local Board Plans and Places work programme 2022/2023

A document titled ‘Plans and Places Work Programme 2022/2023 - Kaipātiki Local Board’ was tabled. A copy of the tabled document has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number KT/2022/134

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) approve the tabled 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Plans and Places work programme and associated budget
- b) request regular updates on each activity in the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Plans and Places work programme throughout the financial year.
- c) delegate authority to the Chairperson to approve any changes presented by staff on the 2022/2023 Kaipātiki Plans and Places work programme, noting that:
 - i) any decisions will be made in consultation with the Deputy Chairperson
 - ii) any changes deemed 'significant' by the Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson will require consideration and decision making by the full board
 - i) any changes approved by the Chairperson will be reported back to the full board.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 22 June 2022 - Kaipātiki Local Board Business Meeting - Plans and Places Work Programme 2022/2023 - Kaipātiki Local Board

16 Adoption of the Kaipātiki Local Board Agreement 2022/2023

Daniel Han, Local Board Advisor, was in attendance to address the board on this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/135

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member A Tyler:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) adopt the local content for the Annual Budget, which includes the Kaipātiki Local Board Agreement 2022/2023, the message from the Chairperson, and local board advocacy (refer to Attachment A of the agenda report).
- b) adopt a local fees and charges schedule for 2022/2023 (refer to Attachment B of the agenda report).
- c) delegate authority to the Chairperson, in consultation with the Deputy Chairperson, to make any final changes to the local content for the Annual Budget 2022/2023 (the Kaipātiki Local Board Agreement 2022/2023, message from the Chairperson, and local board advocacy).
- d) note that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Governing Body when it meets to adopt the Annual Budget 2022/2023, including each Local Board Agreement, on 29 June 2022.

CARRIED

17 Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund 2022 - Local Board views

Resolution number KT/2022/136

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Member M Kenrick:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) endorse the following application to be considered for investment through the Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund 2022:

- i) **Birkenhead United Football Club - Upgrade - Shepherds Park, Melba Street, Beach Haven, Auckland (\$1,800,000).**
- b) **express disappointment that the application from North Shore City Baseball Club Inc for \$100,000 towards Stafford Park Baseball Safety and Participation Infrastructure did not progress from an Expression of Interest to stage 2.**

CARRIED

18 Local board feedback on Auckland Transport's Draft Parking Strategy (2022)

Resolution number KT/2022/137

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Chairperson J Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **thank the 943 individuals and organisations from around Auckland, including 41 from the Kaipātiki Local Board area, who made submissions during public consultation on the Draft Auckland Parking Strategy (2022).**
- b) **does not support the proposed Draft Auckland Parking Strategy (2022), and provides the following feedback:**
 - i) **The Draft Auckland Parking Strategy policies around removing and repurposing parking are simply not tenable when faced with the twin challenges of rapid population growth and the government's National Policy Statement – Urban Development (NPS-UD) which prohibits council from requiring a minimum amount of off-street residential parking.**
 - ii) **We note that the Auckland Unitary Plan provides for 900,000 new homes, and the even greater intensification required by the NPS-UD and Resource Management (Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act increases that to a much larger but as yet unquantified number of new homes.**
 - iii) **The strategy will potentially damage the viability of businesses not only on the affected streets, but across the wider business areas they serve, and will be an obstacle to post-Covid economic recovery.**
 - iv) **The lack of train services on the North Shore has a fundamental impact on public transport choices which requires a very different and tailored approach to private vehicle use and parking management across the North Shore.**
 - v) **The frequent closure or restrictions on the Auckland Harbour Bridge and the frequent cancellation of ferry services due to weather, is an impediment to improving and fully utilising public transport, and until there is a second harbour crossing (up to 20 years away) there remains no viable all-weather public transport options for commuters to/from the city centre.**
 - vi) **The strategy, coupled with diminishing off-street parking, will disadvantage those living and working on the affected streets, and potentially limit the housing choices of some Aucklanders.**
 - vii) **The strategy will have unintended negative effects on many Aucklanders, including tradespeople who must carry their tools of trade in their vehicles, delivery vehicles, caregivers and support workers, the disabled, people who are unable to use public transport, and lower income Aucklanders.**

- viii) It is disappointing that the Draft Auckland Parking Strategy has been developed without the meaningful involvement of local boards, local businesses, schools, and local communities, and does not sufficiently take local circumstances and conditions into account.
- ix) It is astonishing that the strategy lists general vehicle parking as the lowest priority in a list of 8 kerbside parking priorities (Draft Auckland Parking Strategy, Section 4, III).
- x) A greater focus on public transport is key to reducing congestion, pollution, and pressure on parking. Particular focus must be placed on affordable (or no) fares, increased routes, greater frequency, reliability of service, ensuring different routes and services integrate well, and making the AT HOP cards and app more ‘user-friendly’ – all of which are known obstacles to public transport uptake.
- xi) We request the inclusion of the relevant local board, local businesses, schools and residents in the development of any Comprehensive Parking Management Plans (CPMPs) and the development of Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas.
- xii) We do not support any roads being included in the Strategic Transport Network without first having specific and genuine consultation with the relevant local board, local businesses, schools and residents, as we do not support Auckland Transport having the ability to remove parking without first consulting with road users/owners.
- xiii) We support the retention on a transit lane on Onewa Road (proposed to be a Strategic Transport Network road), and reiterate our request for an all-day clearway and shared pedestrian/cycling lane.
- xiv) We support the retention of on-street parking throughout the suburban road network, except where it needs to be removed for safety or access reasons, and following consultation with local residents.
- xv) We do not support the removal of parking in town centres, and support an increase in disability parking, cycle/scooter parking, and drop-off zones.
- xvi) We do not support parking fees being introduced for Park and Ride facilities or other parking that support ferry or bus services, as these are essential infrastructure to encourage public transport use or ride sharing. Introducing parking fees is introducing a disincentive and obstacle to using public transport.
- xvii) We request that if Auckland Transport are to take over the management of the “kerb zone” as part of a CPMP, then they should also take over the maintenance of all berm vegetation within the kerb zone.
- xviii) We note that recent research by AT has indicated that females who are either making purchases or supporting family commitments are overrepresented as road users, and request that AT engage in meaningful consultation with this group on the removal of any on- or off-street parking.
- xix) We do not support the removal of parking and the associated cost of that as an objective in and of itself but acknowledge the necessity of removing parking where that is justified in response to other road engineering projects.

CARRIED

Note: Under Standing Order 1.9.7 Member A Shaw requested his vote be recorded against this motion.

Item 19 and 20 taken prior to item 12.

21 Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust Quarterly Report

Resolution number KT/2022/138

MOVED by Member P Gillon, seconded by Member A Tyler:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **receive the Kaipātiki Community Facilities Trust quarter three report as set out in Attachment A of the agenda report.**

CARRIED

22 Kaipātiki Community Places 2021/2022 Quarter Three Reports

Resolution number KT/2022/139

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Member A Shaw:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **receive the Kaipātiki community places quarter three 2021/2022 reports as set out in Attachments A – F of this agenda report.**

CARRIED

23 Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report: Kaipātiki Local Board for quarter three 2021/2022

Daniel Han, Local Board Advisor, was in attendance to address the board in support of this item.

Resolution number KT/2022/140

MOVED by Member C Schmidt, seconded by Member A Tyler:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **receive the performance report for quarter three ending 31 March 2022.**

CARRIED

24 Kaipātiki Local Board Chairperson's Report

There was no report provided at this time.

25 Members' Reports

25.1 Member report - Melanie Kenrick

Member M Kenrick provided a verbal update regarding the lack of communication from Auckland Transport.

Resolution number KT/2022/141

MOVED by Member M Kenrick, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the verbal update from Member Melanie Kenrick.**

CARRIED

25.2 Member report - Adrian Tyler

Member A Tyler provided a verbal update on there being no slow markings at Target Road school crossing and would like better communication with Auckland Transport. Member A Tyler also provided an update on Bayview Community House new centre manager, footpath works and Bayview Community Centre carpet flooded.

Resolution number KT/2022/142

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the verbal update from Member Adrian Tyler.**

CARRIED

25.3 Member report - Deputy Chairperson Danielle Grant

Member D Grant provided a verbal update on the recent incident at the Glenfield Mall where some people had BB guns in the mall. Member D Grant reinforced the importance of building relationships with the local police and provided an update on the safety meeting organised by Shanan Halbert.

Resolution number KT/2022/143

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the verbal update from Deputy Chairperson Danielle Grant.**

CARRIED

26 Governing Body and Independent Maori Statutory Board Members' Update

There were no updates provided at this time.

27 Workshop Records - Kaipātiki Local Board - May 2022

Resolution number KT/2022/144

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson D Grant, seconded by Member P Gillon:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the record for the Kaipātiki Local Board workshop held on Wednesday 4 May 2022, Wednesday 11 May 2022 and Wednesday 25 May 2022.**

CARRIED

28 Governance Forward Work Calendar

Resolution number KT/2022/145

MOVED by Member A Tyler, seconded by Deputy Chairperson D Grant:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

- a) **note the Kaipātiki Local Board July - September 2022 governance forward work calendar and June - July 2022 workshop forward work plan.**

CARRIED

29 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

12.53 pm

The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD
AT A MEETING OF THE KAIPĀTIKI LOCAL BOARD
HELD ON

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....