

Upper Harbour Local Board OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Upper Harbour Local Board held in the Upper Harbour Local Board Office, 6-8 Munroe Lane, Albany, Auckland 0632 and Via Microsoft Teams on Thursday, 28 March 2024 at 9:30am.

TE HUNGA KUA TAE MAI | PRESENT

Chairperson	Anna Atkinson
Deputy Chairperson	Uzra Casuri Balouch, JP
Members	Callum Blair
	John Mclean
	Kyle Parker
	Sylvia Yang

1 Nau mai | Welcome

The Chairperson A Atkinson opened the meeting with a Karakia.

2 Ngā Tamōtanga | Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Te Whakapuaki i te Whai Pānga | Declaration of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

4 Te Whakaū i ngā Āmiki | Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number UH/2024/14

MOVED by Member C Blair, seconded by Member S Yang:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whakaū / confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 22 February 2024, as a true and correct record.**

CARRIED

5 He Tamōtanga Motuhake | Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

6 Te Mihi | Acknowledgements

There were no acknowledgements.

7 Ngā Petihana | Petitions

There were no petitions.

8 Ngā Tono Whakaaturanga | Deputations

8.1 Upper Waitematā Ecology Network - Introduction

Resolution number UH/2024/15

MOVED by Member K Parker, seconded by Member S Yang:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Tim Johnson, on behalf of the Upper Waitematā Ecology Network and thank him for his attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

8.2 Greenhithe Ecology Network and Greenhithe Community Trust - Annual update.

A copy of Greenhithe Life February 2024 Issue 3 was tabled. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number UH/2024/16

MOVED by Member K Parker, seconded by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Nicola Robertson and Louis Foot, representing Greenhithe Ecology Network and Greenhithe Community Trust and thank them for their attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 28 March 2024, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 8.2 - Deputations - Greenhithe Ecology Network and Greenhithe Community Trust - Annual Update - Copy of Greenhithe Life February 2024 Issue 3.

8.3 Habitat Hobsonville and Living Whenuapai - Annual update.

Resolution number UH/2024/17

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Annette Mitchell, representing Habitat Hobsonville and Living Whenuapai and thank her for her attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

8.4 Te Hōnonga a Iwi - Annual update.

Resolution number UH/2024/18

MOVED by Member S Yang, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Nicky Shave, Elouise Kidd and Matt Cummings, representing Te Hōnonga a Iwi and thank them for their attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

8.5 Hobsonville Community Trust - Proposal for a Community Facility Whenuapai

Resolution number UH/2024/19

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Gavin Gunston, Organisational Leader Hobsonville Community Trust, representing the Hobsonville Community Trust, and thank him for his attendance and presentation.**
- b) **tono / request officers work with Hobsonville Community Trust to investigate options and report back to the local board for a future community facility in Whenuapai based at either the Whenuapai Town Park or in the wider Whenuapai area.**
- c) **tono / request including consideration of this proposal with the development of the new public toilet facility at the Whenuapai Town park.**
- d) **tono / request officer advice on the advantages and disadvantages of a 5 year community centre management agreement for Sunderland Lounge and Headquarters.**

CARRIED

8.6 Asian Family Services - Redefining Inclusivity: Empowering Diverse Leadership and Community Engagement in Upper Harbour

Resolution number UH/2024/20

MOVED by Member C Blair, seconded by Member J Mclean:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the deputation from Ivan Yeo, Deputy Director, Asian Family Services, representing Asian Family Services and thank him for his attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

9 Te Matapaki Tūmatanui | Public Forum

9.1 Jason Lister - Advocating for Homeless at Hooton Reserve

Resolution number UH/2024/21

MOVED by Member S Yang, seconded by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the presentation from Jason Lister and Karen Todd and thank them for their attendance and presentation.**

CARRIED

10 Ngā Pakihi Autaia | Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

The meeting was adjourned at 12.12pm.

The meeting was reconvened at 12.49pm

11 Project Kōkiri - Setting priorities for Auckland Transport project and programme engagement

The Auckland Transport Elected Member Relationship Partner, Owena Schuster, was in attendance via Microsoft teams to support the item.

Resolution number UH/2024/22

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch, seconded by Chairperson A Atkinson:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whakarite / provide the following views on the proposed work programme on which projects the local board requests Auckland Transport to:**
 - i) **collaborate:**
 - A) **Stage 1 Oteha Valley Road Shared Path Cycleway and connection/crossing**
 - B) **Stage 3 (southern side) of Oteha Valley Road Shared Path Cycleway and connection/crossing**
 - C) **Herald Island Kingsway Road (Causeway) Lighting project.**
 - ii) **consult:**
 - A) **Katoa, Ka Ora implementation (speed management plan)**
 - B) **Hobsonville Road cycleway connection**
 - C) **Albany Highway cycleway connection**
 - D) **Park and Ride bookable spaces.**
 - iii) **Inform:**
 - A) **Oteha Valley Road footpath renewal specifically to ensure that the planned renewal lines up with the Local Board Transport Capital Fund shared path widening with a view to efficiencies and saving money**
 - B) **Upper Harbour Drive footpath renewal specifically with a view to further advocate to addressing gaps on Upper Harbour Drive where there are no footpaths**
 - C) **Low Emission Ferry Programme – Hobsonville Ferry Terminal**
 - D) **Seismic Programme (bridges) – Dairy Flat Highway and Lucas Creek Bridge in Albany Village.**
- b) **advocate to Auckland Transport for the following projects to be prioritised for the Upper Harbour area in the next Auckland Transport forward work program, noting a more extensive list of advocacy items are in clause c):**
 - i) **Pedestrian crossings at Kauri Road to Puriri Road in Whenuapai; Clark Road in Scott Point and Launch Road in Hobsonville**

- ii) Investigation of a shared path on the eastern part of Oteha Valley Road from State Highway 1 to East Coast Road
 - iii) Road safety and intersection improvements along Hobsonville Road as follows:
 - A) Wisely Road and Hobsonville Road
 - B) Brigham Creek Road and Hobsonville Road.
 - iv) Road safety and intersection improvements along Albany Highway as follows:
 - A) Albany Highway and Upper Harbour Drive
 - B) Unsworth Drive and Albany Highway
 - C) Albany Highway from State Highway 18 to Sunset Road.
 - v) Key projects in Albany and Albany Heights area as follows:
 - A) Gills Link Road project
 - B) Intersection improvements at The Avenue and Dairy Flat Highway
 - C) Intersection improvements at the Gills Road, Lonely Track Road and Albany Heights Road intersection
 - D) Public Transport service for Gills Road / Albany Heights.
- c) advocate to Auckland Transport for the following projects to be considered for inclusion in future work programmes:
- Community Initiated Engineering Programme**
- i) Pedestrian crossings:
 - A) Kauri Road to Puriri Road, Whenuapai
 - B) Clark Road Scott Point - judder bar upgrade to existing pedestrian crossing
 - C) Launch Road, Hobsonville.
 - ii) Footpaths:
 - A) Puriri Road foot path renewal
 - B) new footpath on Kauri Road.
 - iii) Investigation of a shared path on the eastern part of Oteha Valley Road from State Highway 1 to East Coast Road
 - iv) Installation of safety barriers along Kyle Road, Greenhithe
- Road Safety Programmes**
- v) addressing the following dangerous intersections:
 - A) Wiseley Road and Hobsonville Road
 - B) Albany Highway and Upper Harbour Drive
 - C) The Avenue and Dairy Flat Highway
 - D) Brigham Creek Road and Hobsonville Road
 - E) Kauri Road and Brigham Creek Road
 - F) Te Rito Road and Clark Road
 - G) Scott road and Clark Road
 - H) Unsworth Drive and Albany Highway

- l) the Gills Road, Lonely Track Road and Albany Heights Road intersection.
- vi) addressing the following dangerous road corridor:
 - A) Albany Highway from State Highway 18 to Sunset Road.

Road Corridor Renewals

- vii) renewal of the eroded section of Greenhithe Road from the on ramp to the first roundabout

Capital Projects

- viii) Gills Link Road project
- ix) Northern pathway – off road cycleway between Constellation Drive and Akoranga Road

Public Transport Minor Projects

- x) Public transport services
 - A) Public Transport service for Gills Road/Albany Heights
 - B) Public Transport service for Scott Point
 - C) Improvements to the network for Whenuapai Buses
 - D) bus route 883 service to be rerouted to stop and pick up Kyle Road residents.
- xi) **Bus Shelters**
 - A) Totara Road Bus stop 5928 and 5929
 - B) Puriri Road Bus stop
 - C) Bus stop 4361 on Oteha Valley Road.

Parking

- xii) Yellow no parking lines in the following locations:
 - A) Lucia Place in Unsworth Heights
 - B) Scott Point School.

Sustainable Mobility

- xiii) request for increased motor bike parking at Park and Ride in local board area. These are Albany Park and Ride, Constellation Park and Ride and upcoming Rosedale Park and Ride

Other

- xiv) advocate for more funding for planting of trees in berms as renewals and upgrades happen.

CARRIED

12 Representation review and local board reorganisation

Resolution number UH/2024/23

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member S Yang:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

Representation review

- a) **tautoko / support continuing the process to implement representation review changes in time for the 2025 local government elections**
- b) **tuhi ā taipitopito / note that the local board feedback on representation review specific matters for the Upper Harbour area will be addressed in Item 13 “representation project – issues specific to the Upper Harbour Local Board” on this agenda**

Reorganisation of local boards

- c) **does not support the process to implement reorganisation changes in time for the 2025 local government elections and request this workstream be pushed out for implementation by 2028 local government elections to allow for more thorough work to be done.**
- d) **does not support the current proposal to reduce the number of local boards through a reorganisation plan and request further thorough work is done to ensure any changes provide better outcomes for local communities than the status quo.**
- e) **express concern that no case for change nor quality advice including any detailed analysis such as advantages and disadvantages of reorganisation, impacts to communities, impacts to local board funding, consideration of population growth and cost benefit analysis, have been provided to enable informed local board views.**
- f) **does not support the current proposal to reduce the number of local boards through a reorganisation plan proceeding to public consultation until the proposal includes a detailed analysis of local impacts to allow for residents to make informed submissions.**
- g) **kohuki / consider the current proposal to reduce the number of local boards from 21 to 15 by simply merging some local boards together to be an underdeveloped option for change without appropriate consideration for other options, advantages or disadvantages.**
- h) **express concern that the establishment of a larger board area covering Upper Harbour and Hibiscus and Bays may result in greater distance between elected members and residents and ultimately less representation.**
- i) **is of the view that there is not a community of interest between many parts of the proposed new board as there is no significant community of interest between the Hibiscus Coast in the East and the Western part of the Upper Harbour Board. Not only is there a 47 minute drive between the locations but the places where the residents live, work and play would be completely separate.**
- j) **tono / request an independent inquiry be undertaken in time for implementation by the 2028 local government elections into whether the current Auckland Council model is fit-for-purpose and achieving the best outcomes for our communities and request this review includes all 21 local boards as well as the make-up of the governing body**
- k) **tono / request that elected member naming conventions are reviewed to reflect titles that better reflect the empowered roles of decision makers and are easily understood by residents, noting the local boards previous resolution (UH/2017/121) supported the use of the name “local councillor” for local decisions and “regional councillor” for regional decisions**
- l) **express concern regarding the comments provided in the objectives table in paragraph 49 of the report as follows:**

Better enabling the purpose of local government

- i) request clarification on how ‘amalgamated boards will be better resourced to promote community well-being’, would this be on a per capita basis or as there will be a larger area to govern the new board would naturally get both budgets.
- ii) is of the view that as the Governing Body currently approves the budgets, resource allocations are made by the Governing Body. If ‘resources’ was a key factor then the existing local boards could be better resourced now

Efficiencies and cost savings

- iii) note that value for money analysis is still being completed, thus question how local boards can provide informed views on the reorganisation proposal.

Enhanced effectiveness of decision making

- iv) it is noted under this objective that ‘the organisation is better able to support the decision making if there are fewer boards’. The local board challenge this statement for the following reasons:
 - A) quality advice should be a key deliverable for the organisation no matter the number of local boards (e.g how would the reduction of local boards from 21 to 15 improve the quality of advice provided by officers)
 - B) from a practical perspective how would a larger local board look after a much bigger area with higher population and larger work programmes within the same timeframes as currently.
 - C) the organisation should be able to use technology to support any number of local boards e.g via online members briefings on particular topics for particular areas or cluster meetings.

Enhanced ability of local government to meet the changing needs of communities for governance and services into the future

- v) request information on what additional resources will be made available to local boards that isn’t currently available
- vi) request confirmation that future growth is taken into account for this proposal for changes to governance arrangements, for example the growth projected for Whenuapai and Albany.
- m) while the local board does not support the current reorganisation proposal; we provide the following views should the reorganisation proposal go ahead as outlined in the report:
 - i) do not support the current proposed make-up of the subdivisions of the new Albany board.
 - ii) request that the subdivision make-up of the proposed Albany board be as follows:
 - A) East Coast Bays – 3 members
 - B) Hibiscus Coast – 3 members
 - C) Upper Harbour - 6 members
 - iii) note that following for the Upper Harbour local board area that would support 6 members in the subdivision:
 - A) Upper Harbour is rapidly growing and developing and is unique

- B) Albany is a metropolitan centre in the unitary plan and home to a large mall, university and stadium.
 - C) Albany is rapidly developing and the green open space is infilling fast.
 - D) Whenuapai is the largest Future Urban zone close to the Central Business District and is developing from a largely rural area into a highly intensified one.
 - E) Hobsonville and Scott Point are already highly intensified.
 - F) all these geographic areas have a shortage of council assets and Capex funding and if the planned subdivisions go ahead the local board are concerned that the Upper Harbour areas will not get the investment they require.
- iv) do not support the name 'Albany' for the proposed merged area and request should Albany Board go ahead it is called Upper Harbour and Bays
 - v) do not support the proposal for Unsworth Heights to be included in the proposed new North Shore board as there has been significant investment in developing relationships with community partners and residents as well as capital investments in Caribbean Sports Fields, Meadowood Community Centre and neighbourhood playgrounds.
 - vi) request that if the proposal to include Unsworth Heights into the proposed new North Shore board area goes ahead; that the community impacted be consulted.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Chairperson A Atkinson	Member C Blair	Deputy Chairperson U
Member K Parker		Casuri Balouch
Member S Yang		Member J Mclean

The motion was declared **CARRIED** by 3 votes to 1.

CARRIED

13 Representation project - issues specific to the Upper Harbour Local Board

A map was tabled. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) do not support Upper Harbour local board area being subdivided into two subdivisions as this can potentially lead to local board members only working and advocating for the area which they were directly elected from.
- b) tono / request advice from officers on the advantages and disadvantages and community impacts of subdivisions.
- c) does not support any name changes to the Upper Harbour local board area.

- d) tautoko / support the proposal to make a minor boundary change with Devonport-Takapuna Local Board so that all of Saunders Reserve will be in Upper Harbour local board area
- e) tautoko / support a minor adjustment to the Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Board boundary through Kereru Reserve so that the whole reserve will be within in the Upper Harbour local board area.
- f) tono / request that should the changes in boundaries outlined in clause e) and f) be approved by the Governing Body, that minor amendments be made to the Upper Harbour Local Parks Management Plan to reflect these changes.
- g) does not support the proposal to make the following minor boundary changes with Kaipātiki Local Board: “moving the southern boundary to Goldfinch Rise”.
- h) tautoko / support an alternate proposal that would move the Kaipātiki Local Board boundary south to Sunset Road as then the boundary is in a straight line along Sunset Road to Glendhu Road as outlined in the map tabled at this business meeting.

The Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Baloch requested that the motion be considered separately in parts.

The Chairperson A Atkinson, with the agreement of the Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Baloch, advised that clause a) of the motion would be considered separately in part.
Resolution number UH/2024/24

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **do not support Upper Harbour local board area being subdivided into two subdivisions as this can potentially lead to local board members only working and advocating for the area which they were directly elected from.**

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Chairperson A Atkinson	Deputy Chairperson U	
Member C Blair	Casuri Balouch	
Member J Mclean		
Member K Parker		
Member S Yang		

The motion was declared **CARRIED** by 5 votes to 1.

CARRIED

Resolution number UH/2024/25

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- b) **tono / request advice from officers on the advantages and disadvantages and community impacts of subdivisions.**
- c) **does not support any name changes to the Upper Harbour local board area.**
- d) **tautoko / support the proposal to make a minor boundary change with Devonport-Takapuna Local Board so that all of Saunders Reserve will be in Upper Harbour local board area.**
- e) **tautoko / support a minor adjustment to the Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Board boundary through Kereru Reserve so that the whole reserve will be within in the Upper Harbour local board area.**

- f) **tono / request that should the changes in boundaries outlined in clause e) and f) be approved by the Governing Body, that minor amendments be made to the Upper Harbour Local Parks Management Plan to reflect these changes.**
- g) **does not support the proposal to make the following minor boundary changes with Kaipātiki Local Board: “moving the southern boundary to Goldfinch Rise”.**
- h) **tautoko / support an alternate proposal that would move the Kaipātiki Local Board boundary south to Sunset Road as then the boundary is in a straight line along Sunset Road to Glendhu Road as outlined in the map tabled at this business meeting.**

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Attachments

- A 28 March 2024, Upper Harbour Local Board: item 13: - Representation project - issues specific to the Upper Harbour Local Board - Map of proposed Upper Harbour Local Board and Kaipātiki Local Board boundary.

14 Proposals for More Empowered Local Boards

Resolution number UH/2024/26

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch, seconded by Chairperson A Atkinson:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **strongly support the empowering of local boards to truly fulfil their role of local leadership and accountability over local matters envisaged for them under legislation, irrespective of the outcome of the reorganisation review.**
- b) **tautoko / support all existing decision-making allocations to staff being (re)reviewed against the principles for allocation of decision-making in section 17 of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009, with a mind of ensuring that all non-regulatory decision-making sits with local boards unless one of the specified criteria applies.**
- c) **tautoko / support the recommendations for more empowered local boards listed in the report, in particular for receiving more strategic advice as per section 15 a) to e), and for receiving more resourcing as per section 16 a) to b)**
- d) **whakarite / provide the following feedback on the proposal for more empowered local boards:**
 - i) **Local boards were created in part to enable “the purpose of local government to be given effect to within the local board area”. In order for this to happen, local boards need the freedom to “localise” and customise the delivery of services according to the needs of the local area, and not be subsumed into regional delivery and limited to regional offerings**
 - ii) **Local boards should be funded to a level that ensures good decision-making over local assets and services, and the ability to renew and maintain local assets including when they are at end of life**
 - iii) **Local boards should have greater decision-making over services that are delivered and operated on a regional basis, but appear in local board work programmes/budgets, such as libraries and leisure centres. Local boards currently have little real control over these local services**

- iv) Local boards should have greater decision-making over the procurement of local maintenance services, and the ability to opt out for different regions and services
- v) Local boards should have greater governance delegation and empowerment in relation to CCO operations within the local board area. Currently there is no direct local board governance on Auckland Transport, Watercare, Tātaki Auckland Unlimited or Eke Panuku in regard to local operations, and this is contrary to both what residents expect or presume
- vi) Local boards need guaranteed decision-making over local assets. That the Governing Body was able to delegate to staff the ability to remove rubbish bin assets from local parks without local board permission (or public consultation) when these are clearly local assets and not regional assets, was inappropriate and undermining of local board governance
- vii) Local boards should have the delegation to require or direct staff to make temporary changes to dog access rules, for example, if there are environmental or social concerns that need to be addressed in lieu of permanent changes being proposed under the Dog Bylaw. This delegation currently sits with staff
- viii) Auckland Transport should delegate to local boards decision-making power over the prioritisation and setting of local transport projects and associated budgets, in a similar way that local boards work with Community Facilities over the prioritisation of local community facility projects.
- e) **tono / request that more information be provided to local boards to support their decision making on the local board Asset Base Service Capex renewals budgets for the following reasons:**
 - i) as local boards get more decision making we will need more information on the future renewals program for all our assets
 - ii) The current renewal work programs show what is 'in scope' for the following three years but no oversight or level of funding beyond that
 - iii) local boards have been informed at a high level that renewals will not cover replacements of current assets but aren't provided any information on what assets this could apply to.
- f) **tono / request adequate funding and resource for to deliver reports, assessments and plans for local boards to be able to operate strategically, currently funding for strategic documents to guide future decision making is very expensive and local boards must use their limited operational budgets to fund these. More strategic high level information could be provided to all boards (e.g a recreation analysis for all local boards).**
- g) **tono / request local boards are provided better information about growth funding in high growth areas. e.g what is expected to be funded through growth funding in various areas.**
- h) **tono / request officer advice on the Development Contributions' policy specifically how reserve contributions charges could be increased to the amount permissible under the Local Government Act (2002) in order for sufficient funding to be allocated for supporting investment in infrastructure and recreation - this will empower local boards to provide better input into long term planning.**
- i) **tono / request that elected member naming conventions are reviewed to reflect titles that better reflect the empowered roles of decision makers and are easily**

understood by residents, noting the local boards previous resolution (UH/2017/121) supported the use of the name “local councillor” for local board member.

CARRIED

Note: Item 15 – Local board feedback on freshwater management in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland was considered after item 20 – Auckland Transport West Hub Bulletin.

16 Local board input to Auckland Council Submission on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024-34

A document was tabled – Upper Harbour Local Board Urgent Decision on Local board input to Auckland Council Submission on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024-34. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number UH/2024/27

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the local board feedback on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2024 made under urgent decision to meet the deadline for local board input of 26 March 2024.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 28 March 2024, Upper Harbour Local Board Item :16 - Local board input to Auckland Council Submission on the draft Government Policy Statement on land Transport 2024-34 - Upper Harbour Local Board urgent decision.

17 Update on Watercare and Eke Panuku work programmes for Quarter Three (Jan - Mar 2024) and CCO Engagement Plans

Resolution number UH/2024/28

MOVED by Member S Yang, seconded by Member J Mclean:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the update on the 2022/2023 Council Controlled Organisation Local Board Joint Engagement Plans and Watercare and Eke Panuku work programmes for quarter three (Jan-Mar 2024).**

CARRIED

18 Hōtaka Kaupapa / Governance forward work calendar

Resolution number UH/2024/29

MOVED by Member C Blair, seconded by Member K Parker:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) whiwhi / receive the Upper Harbour Local Board Hōtaka Kaupapa / governance forward work calendar for March 2024 – May 2024 (refer to attachment A of the agenda report).

CARRIED

19 Workshop records

Resolution number UH/2024/30

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Member J Mclean:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) whiwhi / receive the records of the Upper Harbour Local Board workshops held on Thursday 8 February 2024 and Thursday 7 March 2024 (refer to attachments A and B to the agenda report).

CARRIED

20 Auckland Transport - West Hub Bulletin

Resolution number UH/2024/31

MOVED by Chairperson A Atkinson, seconded by Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) whiwhi / receive the Auckland Transport West Hub Bulletin for March 2024.
- b) tono / request further information relating to the deaths and serious injuries for Upper Harbour reported from January 2023 – December 2023, noting the concerning 54 per cent increase of deaths and series injuries reported for this period.
- c) tono / request further information on the ‘Room to Move: Tamaki Makaurau Auckland’s Parking Strategy’; specifically whether the pre-booking proposals for some spaces at park and ride will be free of charge.
- d) whakamihi / thank Auckland Transport and relevant contractors for addressing the majority of the slips on key arterial roads in Upper Harbour local board area following the 2023 severe weather impacts in Auckland.
- e) tono / request that Auckland Transport investigate the chip seal sweeping frequency.

CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned at 2.22pm

The meeting was reconvened at 3.18pm, Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch was not present.

Note: Item 15 – Local board feedback on freshwater management in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland was considered after item 20 – Auckland Transport West Hub Bulletin.

15 Local board feedback on freshwater management in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland

A document was tabled – Upper Harbour Local Board feedback on Setting our Direction for improving freshwater in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number UH/2024/32

MOVED by Member K Parker, seconded by Member S Yang:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) kohuki / consider feedback received from their communities in the second phase of public consultation to inform implementation of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland as outlined in Attachment B of the agenda report.
- b) whai / adopt the feedback on the implementation of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland as outlined in the tabled document attached to the minutes.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 28 March 2024, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 15 - Local board feedback on freshwater management in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland - Upper Harbour Local Board feedback on Setting our Direction for improving freshwater in Tāmaki Makaurau / Auckland.

Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch rejoined the meeting at 3.22pm.

21 Local Board Members' Reports - March 2024

Resolution number UH/2024/33

MOVED by Member S Yang, seconded by Chairperson A Atkinson:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) whiwhi / receive the verbal local board members reports from Chairperson A Atkinson, Member K Parker, Member S Yang, Member J Mclean and Deputy Chairperson U Casuri Balouch.

CARRIED

22 Te Whakaaro ki ngā Take Pūtea e Autaia ana | Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

3.38 pm

The chairperson thanked members for their attendance and attention to business and closed the meeting with a Karakia.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD
AT A MEETING OF THE UPPER HARBOUR LOCAL
BOARD HELD ON

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....