

**Date:** Wednesday 1 May 2024  
**Time:** 4:00 pm  
**Meeting Room:** Local Board Chambers  
**Venue:** 35 Coles Crescent  
Papakura  
Auckland

---

## Papakura Local Board

# OPEN MINUTE ITEM ATTACHMENTS

---

### ITEM TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PAGE

<b>12</b>	<b>Long-Term Plan 2024-2034: local board consultation feedback and input</b>	
	A. 1 May 2024: Papakura Local Board feedback on Long-term Plan 2024-2034 regional	3



## Papakura Local Board feedback on Long-term Plan 2024-2034 regional topics

### Overall direction for Long-term plan

Topic	support / Do not support			Local board input
	Pay less and get less	Central proposal	Pay more and get more	
<b>Overall direction for Long-term plan</b>		Support		
<b>Transport</b> Roads, public transport and safety improvements across the transport network			Support	
<b>Water</b> Managing stormwater to minimise flooding and protect waterways		Support		
<b>City and local development</b> Delivering urban regeneration and lead development of the city centre		Support		
<b>Environment and regulation</b> Protecting and restoring the natural environment		Support		
<b>Parks and community</b> A wide range of arts, sports, recreation, library and community services including a fair level of funding for local boards		Support		
<b>Economic and cultural development</b> Major events funding and economic development	Support			
<b>Council support</b> Supporting the delivery of services, enabling effective governance, emergency management and grants to regional amenities		Support		

### Transport Plan

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay, including introducing capped weekly public transport passes	Support	
Network optimisation, reducing temporary traffic management requirements and introducing dynamic lanes	Support	
Stopping some initiatives previously planned such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.	Support	

### North Harbour Stadium

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Keeping the stadium precinct as it is now, and maintaining it at a cost of \$33 million over 10 years	Do not support	
Redeveloping the stadium precinct funded through the reallocation of \$33 million and the sale of some stadium precinct land while retaining the existing community playing fields and any other external funding available	Support	
Change the operational management of the stadium to ensure greater use by the community (noting this option can be considered in addition to either of the options above)	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board believes the stadium needs to be opened up more for community use.</li> </ul>

### Auckland Future Fund and Auckland Airport Limited Shares

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Creating a diversified investment fund for Auckland (the Auckland Future Fund)	Support (in principle)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board is concerned that there is limited detail around the proposal and no certainty that future elected members won't utilise funds in a different way than initially intended.</li> <li>The board is supportive in principle; however, it will be important to put in place measures to ensure use of funds are as initially intended.</li> </ul>
Transferring council's shareholding of just over 11 per cent in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) to the fund to enable the subsequent sale of any or all the shares	Do not support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board is concerned that there is limited detail around the proposal and no certainty that future elected members won't utilise funds in a different way than initially intended.</li> </ul>

**Ports of Auckland**

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Keeping underlying ownership of the port land and wharves but entering into a lease for the port operations for a period of 35 years	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board is concerned that the land would not be released back to council and that lease conditions would not be adhered to.</li> </ul>
Continuing to operate under the current arrangements and delivering more profits and dividends	Do not support	
If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland continuing to use the profits and dividends to fund council services	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board would like to see the profits and dividends to be split between funding council services and the Future Fund.</li> </ul>
If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland, investing the profits and dividends in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board would like to see the profits and dividends to be split between funding council services and the Future Fund.</li> </ul>
Any other aspects of the proposal including in relation to self-insurance, and implementation options for the proposed Auckland Future Fund and possible changes to the council's shareholding in Port of Auckland Limited and to the ownership of the Port Land		

**Port Land**

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Captain Cook and Marsden wharves transferred to council within 2-5 years	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That board felt that whatever is decided, there needs to be provision made for cruise ship terminal.</li> </ul>
The Bledisloe Terminal site transferred to council for use in another way within 15 years	Do not support	

**Changes to other rates, fees and charges**

Proposal	Support / Do not support	Local board input
Resuming the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extending it to 2034/2035	Support	
Resuming the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extending it to 2034/2035	Support	
Broadening the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme	Support	

Discontinuing the Long-Term Differential Strategy and raising the share of NETR, WQTR and CATTR paid by businesses to align with their share of the general rate	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board believes businesses attract a lot more foot traffic (generating a lot more wear and tear on facilities) than residential houses.</li> </ul>
Changing the Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Papakura Local Board defers to the Rodney Local Board for input.</li> </ul>
Increasing the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year)	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Papakura Local Board defers to the Waitākere Local Board for input.</li> </ul>
Applying the Recycling Targeted Rate to all schools	Do not support	
Continuing the planned roll out of rates funded refuse collections to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026	Do not support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board is not supportive of the proposal to move from weekly to fortnightly rubbish collection, due to the multi-generational/large households needing weekly collection.</li> <li>The Papakura Local Board area already has problems with illegal dumping.</li> </ul>
Implementing a Franklin Paths Programme Targeted Rate	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Papakura Local Board defers to the Franklin Local Board on this item.</li> </ul>
New fees to recover the cost of processing new requirements under the Building (Dam Safety) Regulations 2022	Support	
Increased deposit levels for a number of consenting fees	Do not support	
Increased film-permitting fees to adjust for cumulative inflation since 2015	Support	
Adjusted fees for all services provided from pool and leisure centres to ensure an appropriate level of cost recovery	Do not support	
Baseline fees across similar venue hire and bookable spaces so that they are charged appropriately	Support	

**Other matters**

Proposal	Support/Do not support	Local board input
Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2023/2024	Support	
Fairer funding - Local Board Funding Policy moving to the fairer funding model (include whether there is support for 'pay more get more' and 'pay less get less' options)	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The board supports the fairer funding approach.</li> </ul>
Does the Papakura local board agree with the overall principle of allocating funding on a more equitable basis?	Support	

Does the Papakura local board agree with the amount of funding that their local board get? I.e: their funding level based on the 80/15/5 allocation (which was agreed by the GB in 2021)	Support	
Does the Papakura Local Board agree with the total amount of funding that is to be allocated out to local boards? I.e: the total pot to be split.	Support	

**Papakura Local Board key advocacy initiatives**

Initiative	Description
Access to the encumbrance fund and to the legacy parking fund in addition to capital expenditure budgets to be available in FY 2025/2026.	
Retention and increase of Local Board Capital Transport Fund (LBCTF).	
Previous budget cuts in council have resulted in a reduction in regulatory resources and we would request an increase in funding for compliance and animal management resources.	