

Date: Thursday 27 February 2025
Time: 9.30am
Meeting Room: Upper Harbour Local Board Office
Venue: 6-8 Munroe Lane
 Albany
 Auckland 0632 and Via Microsoft Teams

Upper Harbour Local Board

OPEN MINUTE ITEM ATTACHMENTS

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
13	Changes to voting sign locations for the 2025 local elections	
	A. 27 February 2025, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 13 - Changes to voting sign locations for the 2025 local elections - Assessment of voting sign sites to consider adding.	3
18	Local Board Members' Reports - February 2025	
	A. 27 February 2025, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 18 - Local Board Members' Reports - February 2025 - Deputy chairpersons report January 2025 - February 2025	5
19.1	Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy	
	A. 27 February 2025, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 19.1 - Extraordinary Business - Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy - Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy report.	9
	B. 27 February 2025, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 19.1 - Extraordinary Business - Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy - Attachment A - Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy.	11
	C. 27 February 2025, Upper Harbour Local Board: Item 19.1 - Extraordinary Business - Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy - Attachment B - Recovery Office Briefing for local boards.	37

Upper Harbour

1. Sites to consider adding

- W-UH1 Albany Highway – Bring back
 - This is a former site that but was removed from the list last term as the road was widened which took away some of the land used for signs.

Response – AT can confirm that the previous W-UH1 site was revoked because it had previously be located on road land but the site was used to create a cycle way. At the time this conflict was noticed there was no time to consult with the Local Board as to whether the reserve land could be used and so the site was revoked. As the Local Board has now proposed using the reserve land for a new site here AT is happy to accept this recommendation.



- Hugh Green Road Recreation Reserve (opposite Ballyboe Place)

Response – AT accepts the suggestion of a site on Hugh Green reserve



Upper Harbour Local Board




Auckland Council

Deputy Chairperson's Report January- February 2025

It seems strange to be having our first business meeting today, I have been at work since the first week of January with several site visits to check on issues across our communities and lodging requests for service on our residents behalf.

Scott Point roads and Joshua Carder Drive roundabout

On the 6th of January I was contacted by residents saying that the only road in and out of Scott Point had been reduced to half a road with a huge trench dug along it and there were extended wait times at the TMP lights at both ends. The only other alternative road which was ready to be opened in November had barricades across it with no access to the roundabout or Craig's Way. The project team at the Scott Point Park informed us this section of the road was going through a Traffic Resolution Process and would open sometime in March. The locals were rightly upset with the school due to open on 3rd of February. A community of three thousand was being held to ransom and there seemed to be no sense of urgency. I lodged two cases with Auckland Transport to open Scott Road to two-way traffic and to open the Joshua Carder roundabout. I daily kept in touch with Auckland Transport and kept the community informed. When there was not much movement, I asked the community to start writing letters to Auckland Council and Auckland Transport and copy me in. I escalated it by contacting the mayor's office and explained the situation that the community is about to get the media involved, write a petition, and organise a protest. Our Relationship Manager at Auckland Transport abandoned her holiday and stepped in. She got Auckland Transport to assist the Scott Point Park project team and expediated the traffic resolution process to open Joshua Carder Drive roundabout and got the Traffic Management Plan for Scott Road changed. I am incredibly pleased to say all these efforts bore fruit, both roads were opened ahead of schedule and before regular school commenced to the delight of the community.



Joshua Carder and Craig's Way roundabout (pic1&2) and the trench dug up in Scott Road (pic 3)

Theft of Gills Road safety fences

Recently safety fencing worth approximately \$ 100,000 was stolen from above the newly constructed footpath on Gills Road. This was a brazen theft conducted in broad daylight over several weeks with members of the public reporting it to both Auckland Council and the police but to date no action has been taken. I still do not know where these cases which had case numbers assigned to them disappeared in the Council system and no one from police even bothered to go and check or take fingerprints impressions or close the loop with the complainants. It has been embarrassing to go back to the community and say no action has



been taken. It seems, it is perfectly fine with Council that the ratepayers lost assets worth \$ 100,000. Customer service needs to drastically improve if trust is to be restored in Council.



Gills Road, Albany

Waimarie Road, Whenuapai: Presentation to Auckland Transport Board about road stoppage of the unformed part of the road and changing legal status.

I was delighted to support Dave Allen a local committed resident from Whenuapai who presented to the Auckland Transport Board regarding road stoppage of the unformed, dead-end roads in Whenuapai, especially Waimarie Road, to enable ownership to be transferred to Auckland Council, to ensure these greenspaces can enjoy protection under the Reserves Act 1977.

The presentation was well received, and Dave was asked several questions. The Chair Richard Leggat then directed the Chief Executive to investigate this matter and report back to the Board. Councillor John Watson was also present to support Dave.



Auckland Transport Board Meeting February 2025

The Causeway Lighting Project, Herald Island

Several residents from Herald Island requested an update on the project. I checked with Auckland Transport and was informed that scheme plans are currently being developed for the Herald Island Lighting Project and that Auckland Transport is waiting for the poles to arrive. Construction is forecast to commence at the end of March or in April.

Upper Harbour Local Board



Auckland Council



The Causeway, Herald Island

Events, markets, festivals, and celebrations

Many events were held over the summer in Upper Harbour including family fun days, markets and our annual movie and music in the parks events. The highlight of my calendar was the Lunar Festival celebrations which were held across two venues in Albany this year, at the library and at the Community Hub. It was a great collaborative effort amongst our many community partners who serve our communities. There were beautiful performances by the Albany Chinese Association members and youth from locals schools and colleges.



Lunar Festival celebration at the Albany Library and at the Community Hub

North Harbour Stadium

The Steering Group made up of the Chairs and Deputy Chairs of the Upper Harbour and Hibiscus and Bays Local Boards have been meeting weekly to progress work to change the operational management of the North Harbour Stadium as per the resolutions of the Governing Body and our own local board.

The expression of interest process which opened about a month ago for a new operator to manage the North Harbour Stadium by mid-2025 will close on the 28th of February and then the evaluation process will begin. Watch this space for updates and in the meantime go and support your local team Moana Pasifika at their first home game this Friday.





Temple Opening

It was an uplifting and moving experience to attend the opening of the Temple at the invitation of the Church of Jesus Christ Latter Day Saints. A once in a lifetime opportunity to see the beautifully designed spaces only members get to visit. An unforgettable day and a pleasure to be hosted by very gracious Upper Harbour locals.



Delegations: Landowner Consents - Filming and Events Lead

Assessed ANZAC Day event permits and provided feedback.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Uzra".

Uzra Casuri Balouch
Deputy Chairperson

Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy

File No.: CP2025/02672

Te take mō te pūrongo Purpose of the report

1. To invite the views and preferences of the local board on the proposed policy and implementation approach.

Whakarāpopototanga matua Executive summary

2. Auckland Council will be purchasing around 1200 residential properties that have been assessed as having an intolerable risk to life (Category 3). The Auckland Crown Funding Agreement specifies that, when the council takes ownership of the properties, it also assumes all responsibilities, costs and revenues associated with the properties.
3. The management of properties includes three key components: interim maintenance and risk management, the house removal programme, and the storm affected land use programme to determine appropriate future uses.
4. Once dwellings are cleared, sites are remediated to a safe and tidy state, for entry into the storm affected land use programme. The draft policy and implementation approach for storm affected land use is attached as Attachment A to the agenda report, for consideration and feedback. This builds on the interim guidelines for future use of land, agreed by the Governing Body in May 2024 (GB/2024/55), and is informed by analysis of properties that are now in council ownership.
5. The policy identifies that land will be either divested to another party, transferred within the Auckland Council Group to meet an identified service need, or held by the council where the land is assessed as being highly hazardous.
6. Initial analysis suggests that around 50 per cent of the properties could be safely divested and return some revenue to the council to help fund the storm recovery programme, in accordance with resolution TICCC/2024/146(k).
7. A complex cases team is being established to work through the solutions for a small number of Category 3 properties with complicating factors that may constrain the council's decision-making abilities. These include properties held in unit title and some cross-lease properties, and properties where risks could potentially be mitigated and dwellings retained.
8. The Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy was endorsed-in-principle by the Transport, Resilience and Infrastructure Committee on 13 February 2025 (TICCC/2025/12(d)).
9. The Governing Body, through the Policy and Planning Committee, will be considering the Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy for adoption on 13 March 2025.
10. Local boards were briefed on 3 February 2025 (Attachment B to the agenda report), and now have the opportunity to provide feedback if they wish to do so, by 5pm on Thursday 27 February.

Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) tuku / provide feedback on the Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A	Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy	
B	Recovery Office Briefing for local boards	

Ngā kaihaina Signatories

Authors	Kat Ashmead – Local Interests Lead – Severely Affected Land, Resilience and Infrastructure.
Authorisers	Lesley Jenkins - Local Area Manager



DRAFT Storm affected land use

Policy and implementation
approach
3 February 2025, Version 1.0

aucklandcouncil.govt.nz



Item 19.1

Attachment B

- 2 Draft Storm Affected Land Use Policy and Implementation Approach

Contents

Mihi / Acknowledgement.....	4
Definitions.....	5
Horopaki / Context.....	6
Te tikanga / Purpose of the policy.....	7
Te whānuitanga / Scope.....	7
Ngā putanga / The outcome we want to achieve.....	8
Ngā mātāpono matua / Principles.....	9
Roles and responsibilities.....	11
Related documents.....	12
Te mahere whakatinana / Implementation approach.....	13
Entry into the programme.....	15
Assessment of land use potential.....	17
Complex cases pathway.....	20
Future land use decisions and outcomes.....	21
Divestment Outcomes.....	21
Retention Outcomes.....	23
Assumptions and limitations.....	24
Timeframes.....	25

Mihi / Acknowledgement

Mātua rā ngā mihi me ngā tangi ki ō tātou mate huhua, tae atu ki ērā i riro rā i a Parawhenuamea, i ngā waipuke nui o mua ake nei. Ko te aunga o te moe ki a rātou kua wehe atu; ko te tau o te mauri ki ngā whānau ka mahue mai.

E rere nei te aroha ki te tini o ngā hapori kua raruraru i ngā wheako mai i ngā waipuke, ā, ko mihi anō ka rere ki ngā ringa raupā kua āwhina atu i aua hapori rā i te wā o te hē ā mohoa nei, i te wā o te whakaoranga.

E kore e maroke te puna o te whakamānawa ki ō mātou kaitiaki, ki ngā mana whenua e ārahi nei i a mātou ki te whai kia haumanutia te taiao, e manaaki nei hoki i ērā e noho ana ki waenga i ō rātou nā rohe. Mārakerake ana te kitea o te wāhi ki ngā mana whenua me te Māori hei ārahi i ētahi āhuatanga o te whakaoranga.

Koinei ā mātou mihi atu me te tūmanako anō, mā te mahi tahi e tutuki ai ngā wawata hei ngā tau e tū mai nei.

Firstly, we pay homage to and mourn the many who have departed, especially those who were lost as a result of the recent severe flooding. May they rest in peace; may those remaining find comfort.

We express our heartfelt condolences to those who suffered during the floods, and we also praise the hard working people who have helped others in their time of need and continue to do so during the recovery.

We will always be indebted to our kaitiaki (guardians), to the mana whenua (local indigenous peoples) who guide us in restoring the natural environment as well as provide care for those who live within their regions. It is clear to see the place mana whenua and Māori have in leading some of the recovery areas.

We make these acknowledgements in the hope that by working together the future aspirations will be realised.

Definitions

We use some terms a lot in this policy and implementation approach, and it's important to be clear about the distinctions between them:

Cluster: means a combination of more than one storm affected property that has the potential to deliver a range of redevelopment outcomes including housing, open space, infrastructure, etc.

Dwelling: means the residential building on the property. A dwelling is a building, or part of a building (including decks, patios and pergolas) that was, as of 26 January 2023, lawfully established, and is self-contained with the facilities necessary for day-to-day living on an indefinite basis (including somewhere to cook, sleep, live, wash, and use a toilet) and is or could be used by one or more persons to live in as their home. This could include houses, townhouses, units and flats.

Habitable space: describes the areas of a home that are lived in – for example living room, bedroom or kitchen. Under Clause A2 of the New Zealand Building Code, 'habitable space' is a space used for activities normally associated with domestic living, but excludes any bathroom, laundry, water-closet, pantry, walk-in wardrobe, corridor, hallway, lobby, clothes-drying room, or other space of a specialised nature occupied neither frequently nor for extended periods.

Land: means the land that remains within the property boundaries once dwellings are removed.

Non-service land: means land owned by Auckland Council that does not have a current Auckland Council Group service use.

Property: means both the land and the dwelling as they were purchased by Auckland Council, and as recorded on the record of title.

Redevelopment: means new construction of housing, infrastructure, or other uses replacing existing development. Redevelopment may be within existing site boundaries, through amalgamation of multiple sites, or in combination with wider neighbourhood redevelopment potential (e.g. Crown led redevelopment). Redevelopment may deliver a combination of housing, open space,

infrastructure, commercial, community and utilities subject to existing regulations.

Residual land: describes land that is not required for Auckland Council Group services and has not been sold within a reasonable timeframe. Residual land remains available for divestment but may not be actively marketed.

Risk mitigation: means changes can be made to the property so that the future intolerable risk to life from extreme weather events is reduced. This includes initiatives from the Making Space for Water programme such as creating natural stream features to improve water flow and upgrading bridges and culverts to handle more water.

Service need: means that the Auckland Council Group has identified a need for a particular service in this area (e.g. park, infrastructure or other community facility).

Storm affected: means that a risk assessment found that residential housing situations on that property posed an intolerable risk to life at the time of the 2023 North Island Weather Events.

Site: is the area of land described in the certificate of title. For land subdivided under the Unit Titles Act 2010, the cross lease system or stratum subdivision, 'site' means the whole of the land subject to the unit development, cross lease or stratum subdivision.

Horopaki / Context

We need to decide what to do with storm affected properties

The 2023 Auckland Anniversary Weekend floods and Cyclone Gabrielle brought extreme rainfall, wind and storm surges to the Auckland region.¹ The resulting floods and landslides have had a devastating and long-lasting impact on many communities and individuals across Tāmaki Makaurau.

Some homes experienced such severe flooding or landslide damage that they have been assessed as posing an 'intolerable risk to life' for residents. Impacted homeowners and residents have experienced significant disruption, emotional trauma and financial costs.

In October 2023, Auckland Council and the Crown established the Category 3 Voluntary Buy-out Support Scheme, to support Aucklanders to voluntarily relocate from residential housing situations on properties that pose an intolerable risk to their lives.²

As a result, Auckland Council is in the process of purchasing more than a thousand storm affected Category 3 properties across the region. The properties are being held by the council as 'non-service land'³ in a dedicated asset class until decisions can be made about their future. The purchase of storm affected properties represents a

departure from the council's usual approach to land acquisition for a service need (e.g. parks or infrastructure). The council has purchased the land to manage an identified risk, rather than to provide a service.

Now, we need to find safe and sensible options for the newly purchased properties, working within the present constraints of the hazards to minimise risk. Although the existing dwellings were unsafe for occupation, there is potential to do something useful with much of the land. This includes supporting community regeneration and helping to offset the cost of the storm affected land programme. In some cases, the dwellings could be remediated and land redeveloped. And, in other cases, the land is too hazardous to allow future use.

We recognise that there is strong community interest in the future of the properties, and are mindful of the previous owners, many of whom still feel strong connections to their past homes.

This policy and implementation approach will guide the process for land use decisions on a site-by-site basis, including decisions on whether properties should be retained or divested. The guidance has been developed with input from relevant council departments.

Why is the council planning to sell some storm affected land? If the land has an intolerable risk to life, shouldn't the council hold it in perpetuity?

Intolerable risk to life was identified through a risk assessment of the existing dwelling on a property. Once the dwelling is removed, there may be options to redevelop the site in a different way and build new housing that doesn't have the same levels of risk. Even where there is no option to redevelop the property, it may be that neighbours can do a better job of looking after the land than the council can with its limited maintenance budgets. Where we do sell land, we'll ensure that it is sold with conditions appropriate to manage the level of risk.

¹ The Auckland Anniversary Weekend flooding (27 January – 1 February 2023) was rapidly followed by Cyclone Gabrielle (13-14 February 2023). Collectively, these two events are described as the 2023 North Island Weather Events.

² Where risks could be mitigated and the home made safe, homeowners were instead eligible for the Category 2P Grant Scheme.

³ See *Definitions*.

Te tikanga / Purpose of the policy

This policy and implementation approach has been developed to make sure that the storm affected properties purchased by Auckland Council are managed responsibly, efficiently, and effectively.

The policy sets the outcome we seek to achieve, and the principles we will apply. The implementation approach details the process we will use to identify appropriate uses for the properties and pathways to their final use.

- **Responsibly** means acting in a way that is consistent with the original purpose of acquiring the land. This includes acting in accordance with any legal obligations in relation to the properties and the community and acting with good judgement.
- **Efficiently** means considering the costs and benefits of decisions and achieving value for money.
- **Effectively** means managing the properties in a way that appropriately manages risk and, where possible, produces positive social, economic, environmental and cultural outcomes for Auckland Council and Aucklanders.

Te whānuitanga / Scope

This policy and implementation approach applies to properties that have been purchased by Auckland Council as part of the North Island Weather Events (2023) – Auckland Crown Funding Agreement, signed by Auckland Council and the Crown on 6 October 2023. It applies until such time as

properties are allocated to a service use within the council group or divested to third parties.

The council may, from time to time, apply the guidance to other storm affected properties in its property portfolio, at its discretion.

Ngā putanga / The outcome we want to achieve

Storm affected properties purchased by the council will be repurposed to the best use possible that takes account of identified risks. This includes public use where there is value in retaining the land for an identified service need and private use where value can be returned to the council and Aucklanders.

Land use options, subject to risk assessment, can include (but are not limited to):

- a. Divestment to a third party with appropriate conditions for:
 - i. redevelopment where risks can be adequately mitigated
 - ii. private green space where there is no redevelopment potential
 - iii. remediation where risks to the existing dwelling can be mitigated.
- b. Use for the **Making Space for Water programme and other council group service needs** where business cases, service requirements and funding can be confirmed.
- c. Retention for high hazard management where flood and land instability mean there is no viable use for the site other than hazard management activities.

In some situations, there may be a feasible solution for the dwelling as well as the land. These are generally more complex situations and will be handled on a case-by-case basis.

Weighting of options will recognise the importance of community regeneration and resilience, to deliver improved outcomes for local communities (e.g. increased housing stock and reduced flood risk).

The process to evaluate a property's potential and make decisions about appropriate and best land uses are outlined in the Implementation Approach.

Ngā mātāpono matua / Principles

Guiding how we will work

As we work to identify appropriate uses for storm affected properties, we will:

1. Manage risk associated with storm affected land.
2. Make best use of storm affected land, recognising identified risks, costs and benefits.
3. Be prudent with ratepayers' money.
4. Make use of existing council processes.
5. Include opportunities for partnerships with mana whenua on land uses.
6. Include communities, mana whenua and mataawaka in the process to determine land uses where appropriate.
7. Ensure decisions are transparent and fair.

The guiding approach to each principle is set out below.

Manage risk associated with storm affected land

The decision-making process for storm affected land must continue to uphold the objective of the storm recovery process – *to support Aucklanders to voluntarily relocate from residential housing situations on properties that pose an intolerable risk to their lives from flooding and landslides.*

Risk assessments consistent with categorisation will be applied to each property and will inform the potential land uses. All decisions on the use of storm affected land will take a precautionary approach and will ensure that land management is consistent across the region.

Divesting properties for redevelopment will only occur where the new development can avoid or mitigate the identified hazards. Risks will be communicated to buyers with necessary conditions of sale to ensure appropriate long-term management.

Auckland Council's understanding of risk is always under active review. The decisions made under this policy will consider the latest information, including the forthcoming Natural Hazards Plan Change to the Auckland Unitary Plan, and updates to flood and land instability hazard mapping.

Make the best use of storm affected land, recognising identified risks

This means prioritising use of the land to provide the best value to Aucklanders, either through the council or private ownership. Value to Aucklanders can be achieved through:

- provision of a service that provides public benefits (e.g. parks, water and transport infrastructure)
- enabling private use that contributes to community regeneration and wellbeing (e.g. housing, commercial use)
- selling properties and returning funds to the council to help offset the cost of the storm affected land programme.

Given the hazards associated with the land, decisions on the best use of land will need to be made on a case-by-case basis, considering risk management, legal considerations, financial implications and potential benefits to communities.

There may be opportunities for temporary, transitional use of land while final land-use decisions are being made. Transitional uses are addressed in the companion policy: *Storm Affected Land – transitional land use policy (draft).*

Be prudent with ratepayers' money

The Local Government Act 2002 states that a council must act prudently and in a manner that promotes the current and future interests of its community. In the context of this guidance, this includes:

- Making the best use of assets
- Minimising consequential costs to council
- Managing operating expenditure, e.g. maintenance
- Reducing the asset base, where possible, where the council does not need properties for strategic service uses. (Land disposal/ value add opportunities)

The process seeks to maximise the value or use of the property. This includes limiting the expenditure on investigating the redevelopment potential of property to a level that is consistent with the return expected from divestment. Decisions to retain or divest the property will factor in the long-term operational costs of retaining the land.

In accordance with resolutions from the Governing Body and the Transport, Resilience and Infrastructure Committee⁴, we will continue to look for cost savings and revenue opportunities that meet the council's and community's best interests.

Make use of existing council processes

Auckland Council has people and processes in place to manage property policy, acquisition, holdings and divestment. Decisions about storm affected land should be managed, as far as possible, using existing council processes. This includes:

- Maintenance of acquired land
- Land use decisions
- Mana whenua partnerships
- Community engagement processes
- Land disposal processes including assessing value add opportunities

⁴ GB/2023/202, TICCC/2024/146

- Meeting the requirements of the Unitary Plan and Building Code for any potential development or re-use of land.

Where existing processes are identified as insufficient or inadequate for the management of storm affected land, we will consider developing additional measures.

Include opportunities for partnerships with mana whenua on land uses

The Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Plan sets out to ensure that mana whenua and Māori are active partners and participants in the community-led recovery of Tāmaki Makaurau.

Mana whenua are actively engaged in local-led recovery planning. Iwi recovery planning is directly led by mana whenua, focusing on exercising kaitiakitanga in their rohe to address the impacts of the severe weather events and respond to climate disruption.

We will ensure that established processes for mana whenua engagement are upheld, such as identifying sites of cultural significance as an early step in land assessment and divestment process.

Include communities, mana whenua and mataawaka in the process to determine land uses where appropriate

As part of its responsibilities under the Local Government Act, Auckland Council has established processes for community inclusion. These will be applied as appropriate. A key mechanism will be the local recovery planning process, coordinated by the Recovery Office for storm affected communities.

People can communicate their land use ideas or their interest in properties to the Recovery Office. Staff will note the request in the Acquired Land Request Register and include the request as part of the future land use assessment.

Ensure decisions are transparent and fair

Decisions about the use of storm affected land should be made fairly and transparently, in a documented, consistent and defensible manner. Decisions will give due consideration to available options and will be based on supporting evidence.

Roles and responsibilities

Auckland Council is responsible for the delivery of this policy. This includes funding and resources for implementation. Coordination is carried out by the council's Recovery Office and the operational delivery of the process is by the respective parts of the Auckland Council Group. This policy does not replace Auckland Council's existing roles and responsibilities.

The Governing Body will be responsible for decision-making⁵ regarding:

- allocation of storm affected land to regional network provision including parks, stormwater, transport, and water infrastructure
- disposal of land that can contribute to offsetting the costs of the Voluntary Buy-out Support Scheme
- management of high hazard and interim residual land not allocated to a service use.

Local boards will be responsible for decision-making regarding:

- communicating the views and preferences of the local board regarding the future use of storm affected land in their rohe
- any storm affected land that the Governing Body makes available for an identified and funded service need.

Given the number of decisions required, most Governing Body decisions will be made by staff under existing delegations. Staff will determine the best land use option for each property or group of properties and make recommendations to the Chief Executive or delegated officer.

Decisions made will then be reported to the Governing Body or appropriate committee. The Storm Recovery Political Advisory Group will be consulted in decisions where there are particularly challenging choices or public interest is high.

Once all land acquisition is complete, local boards will have an opportunity to review the complete list of Category 3 properties acquired within their area. They will be invited to identify any views and preferences regarding the future use of the land, and any properties that may meet identified local service needs.

Staff will, under delegation from the Governing Body, take local board views and preferences into account during decision-making, and local boards will become decision-makers for land when it is made available for an identified and funded service need and moved from non-service to service property. For this to occur, local boards will need to demonstrate a commitment to the additional operating expenditure budget required for ongoing maintenance.

⁵ This approach is informed by section 17 (2) (b) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009, which allows for regional decision-making where the impact of a decision

extends across more than one local board, decisions need to be integrated with other Governing Body decisions, or the benefits of a regional approach outweigh benefits of a local approach.

Related documents

The following documents support the policy approach and implementation approach (but not limited to):


- [Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Plan](#)
- [The Auckland Unitary Plan](#)
- [Wellbeing Recovery Plan](#)
- [Interim guidance for decisions on land acquired by Auckland Council as a result of the 2023 severe weather events](#)
- [The Auckland Plan 2050](#)
- [Long-Term Plan 2024-2034](#)
- [Thriving Communities Strategy](#)
- [Kia Ora Tāmaki Makaurau framework](#)
- [Auckland Urban Ngahere \(Forest\) Strategy](#)
- [Parks and Open Space Acquisition Policy](#)
- Local Board Plans
- Open Space Network Plans
- Local Area Plans

DRAFT

Te mahere whakatinana / Implementation approach

With more than one thousand properties entering council ownership as ‘non-service land’, we need robust and efficient processes to evaluate sites, identify their most appropriate use and move them to their best use (whether council- or privately-owned).

This implementation approach guides the process for land use decisions on a site-by-site basis, meeting the policy’s expectations that storm affected properties are repurposed to the best use possible that takes account of identified risks. The process is set out in the image below, and is described in the following pages.



Entry into the programme

Property triage

Once purchased, the property enters a triage process to identify:

- Interim maintenance requirements, to be managed by Parks and Community Facilities.
- Any features that will move the property into the complex cases pathway (see page 20). This could include situations where the property is held in cross-lease or unit title, where there are listed heritage features, or factors that mean we cannot proceed directly to house removal.
- Other factors that need to be considered during the house removal and land use assessment stages.

Storm affected land request register

The Storm Affected Land Request Register notes interest from people wanting to acquire Category 3 land or offering land use ideas for specific sites (both permanent uses and transitional uses).

The feasibility of requests is initially considered in the property triage and may result in moving the property into the complex cases pathway. In some cases, the request will result in prioritising the transfer or divestment of a specific property, for example where there is an identified need for an infrastructure project. Where needed, the Storm Recovery Political Advisory Group will be consulted prior to the decision.

If a person registers their interest in purchasing a property, this will be considered in the land use assessment.


Interim maintenance

Interim property maintenance will be carried out to ensure that Auckland Council is a 'good neighbour' and performs basic maintenance where it is needed, until a land use decision can be made. Not all sites will need to be maintained. Service levels may differ from site to site. The priority will be mowing, garden maintenance, weed spraying and boarding up broken windows on properties that are more visible from roads and footpaths, and properties where there is potential to relocate the dwelling (minimising any loss of value in the building). Members of the public can notify the council of maintenance needs on storm affected land by using the 'report a problem' website or Recovery Office contact email addresses.

House removal

Once triaged, if there are no complicating factors, the property enters the house removal process as shown below. A site and building assessment will be carried out to find the most cost-effective and efficient method for removal, either house relocation or on-site house removal through a combination of deconstruction and demolition. Services will be disconnected, and properties will be remediated for safe management until a land use decision can be made.

Item 19.1



Attachment B

DRAFT

Assessment of land use potential

Staff across the Auckland Council Group will work together to consider a range of risks and potential uses to determine the best use of the property, looking at the opportunities and constraints present on each site, and recognising the importance of community regeneration and resilience options.

Assessing future land use options is an iterative process. Some assessments and pathways will be straightforward. Others will be more complex. Properties may need to be assessed several times before a land use decision can be made. Given the variety of property types, it is important that our processes adapt to suit the characteristics of each property.

Once the assessment is complete, the options analysis will be documented to inform the land use recommendation and decision.

Risk assessment

Risk assessment of the redevelopment potential of properties is consistent with the assessment undertaken in the property categorisation process.⁶ This helps to avoid people being in situations of intolerable risk due to flooding and land instability.⁷

The assessment evaluates the whole land parcel rather than just the dwelling. It could be that without the residential dwelling in place, other mitigation options become available. It also considers a range of future use options, where risk thresholds may be higher. Options range from replanting (environmental use), parks or infrastructure (asset use), or commercial or residential redevelopment (property use).

If the assessment indicates redevelopment potential, further investigation will be undertaken in the next steps of the process.

Natural Hazards Plan Change

Staff are progressing work on a change to the AUP to manage significant effects of natural hazards. Work is progressing on risk settings - what is tolerable for different natural hazards, in different contexts.

Risk settings will drive the changes proposed to the AUP which currently includes rules for land development where any of the following are present: flooding, land instability, coastal erosion, and coastal inundation and wildfires.

⁶ 2024 Auckland Council, *New Zealand Category 3 voluntary buy-out support scheme - homeowner handbook*. Updated September 2024, pp. 20-21.

⁷ Other hazards will be considered through regular processes, such as resource consents.

