

Date: Wednesday 2 April 2025
Time: 9:45am
Meeting Room: Local Board Chambers
Venue: 35 Coles Crescent
Papakura
Auckland

Papakura Local Board Workshop

OPEN NOES ITEM ATTACHMENTS

ITEM TABLE OF CONTENTS

3

PAGE

A.	Auckland Transport's Work Programme and Kokiri Update	3
B.	Attachment F – Findings and Recommendations Accessibility Assessments - Updated	17
C.	Direction setting	37



Papakura Local Board Considering AT's Work Programme and Kokiri Update

18 February 2025

Think before you print

Our work today

Consider AT's quality advice about projects and programmes

Identify projects or programmes that the local board wants to:

- 'Collaborate' on with AT and lead, building consensus with the community
- Be 'consulted' with by AT
- Stay well-briefed on and expects AT to keep it 'informed' about

The local board's response will be reported to AT in early 2025




The terms we use

- Terms are from IAP2 doctrine.
- **Collaborate:** The local board works closely with AT and leads building consensus with the local community.
- **Consult:** AT will get feedback on the project or programme from the local board. This will include regular updates and reports that the local board can resolve its feedback against.
- **Inform:** The local board will get regular updates and briefings. Members can provide their individual insights about the project.



Project Kōkiri process plan



Local Board Plans

Signed off 31 October 2023

**Provide information that helps
Auckland Transport:**

**Provide 'quality advice' for the
Annual Local Board Transport
Plan/Agreements**

**Develop the Regional Land Transport
Plan**



to finance a range of Papakura 2020

Papakura Local Board Plan 2023



Context

- Second year of Kokiri agreement
- RLTP Currently Operative - Major budget s set
- List of projects based on FWP presentation from October 2024
- Category of engagement is for consideration of the board and
not necessarily for residents



Papakura Context

2024/25 Programme and Kokiri

- Ambiguity of governance of Government Agency and Combined project teams
- Lack of clarity over reporting under Kokiri framework (regular v milestone)
- Different boards view on Kokiri as an advocacy mechanism
- RLTP, other policy documents
- SOI from GB
- Resolutions from bi-monthly reports
- Councillors as conduits
- Representations to the AT board
- Kokiri, but dependent on RLTP cycle

Projects or Programmes that AT suggests are ‘Collaborate’:

All local board transport projects, currently

- Settlement Marne safety improvements and stormwater



