
Puketāpapa Local Board

OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Local Board Office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings on Thursday, 17 April 2025 at 10.02am.

TE HUNGA KUA TAE MAI | PRESENT

Chairperson	Ella Kumar, JP
Deputy Chairperson	Fiona Lai
Members	Roseanne Hay
	Mark Pervan
	Bobby Shen
	Jon Turner

TE HUNGA KĀORE I TAE MAI | ABSENT

Councillor	Christine Fletcher with apology
------------	---------------------------------

TE HUNGA ĀPITI KUA TAE MAI | ALSO PRESENT

Councillor	Julie Fairey
------------	--------------

1 Nau mai | Welcome

Member Mark Pervan delivered the welcome message.

2 Ngā Tamōtanga | Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Te Whakapuaki i te Whai Pānga | Declaration of Interest

Deputy Chair Fiona Lai declared an interest in Item 14.0: Puketāpapa Local Board Strategic Relationship Grant Recommendations 2024/2025 for her involvement with Roskill Acts of Kindness and took no part in discussion or voting on this matter.

4 Te Whakaū i ngā Āmiki | Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/37

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakaū / confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 20 March 2025 as true and correct.**

CARRIED

5 He Tamōtanga Motuhake | Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

6 Te Mihi | Acknowledgements

There were no acknowledgements.

7 Ngā Petihana | Petitions

There were no petitions.

8 Ngā Tono Whakaaturanga | Deputations

8.1 Puketapapa Business Voice

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/38

MOVED by Member J Turner, seconded by Member R Hay:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakamihi / thank Antara Borkakoty, Hannah Grave, Mark Thomas on-line from Puketāpapa Business Voice for their presentation.**

CARRIED

Attachments

A 17 April 2025, Puketapapa Local Board, Item 8.1 Puketapapa Business Voice

8.2 Puketāpapa Youth Foundation

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/39

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakamihi / thank Saima Rao and Sumedha Singaraju Co-Executive Directors for the Puketāpapa Youth Foundation and Sneha Rao, Lisara Semasinghe, Lithara Semasinghe and Timothy Truc for their presentation.**

CARRIED

Attachments

A 17 April 2025, Puketāpapa Local Board, Item 8.2 Puketapapa Youth Foundation presentation

9 Te Matapaki Tūmatanui | Public Forum

There was no public forum.

10 Ngā Pakihi Autaia | Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

11 Notice of Motion: Member Jon Turner - Speed Reversals Motion

MOVED BY Member J Turner, Seconded by Member B Shen

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) request Auckland Transport urgently re-assesses the list of streets proposed to be reversed to ensure compliance with the speed rule and consideration of local board input, noting the extensive consultation on the implementation of the initial changes compared to the complete lack of consultation on these changes.
- b) request Auckland Transport consider waiting for the outcome of the speed reversals Judicial Review lodged in January 2025 and ask the new Minister of Transport to extend the implementation time to enable this.

- c) note that 78 per cent of school leaders in Auckland supported permanent safe speed zones around schools in Auckland Transport's consultation, and that 85 per cent of Death and Serious Injuries (DSI) outside schools occur outside the operating times of variable speed limits.
- d) note that Phase 1 and 2 evaluation of Auckland Transport's Safe Speeds Programme found a significant drop in Death and Serious Injuries (DSI) compared to the expected DSI if no changes had been made.
- e) agree to write to the Minister of Transport requesting a review of the Speed Rule taking into consideration the recently reported drop in deaths and serious injuries, the benefits to productivity as a result of fewer crashes, and the government's recent intention to give local boards more decision making over roads in their communities
- f) request that this Notice of Motion is circulated to all local boards, Transport Resilience and Infrastructure Committee members, Auckland Transport Board and the Chief Executive of Auckland Transport.

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

Chairperson E Kumar moved an amendment to the original recommendation as follows:

- a) support the need for safe speed limits particularly around schools, noting that all schools will have a variable limit of 30km/h applied before school and after school pick up time.
- b) acknowledge the letter from May Road Primary School Principal Lynda Stuart addressing speed limit issues.
- c) support the need for sensible consistent speed limits that enable commuter traffic, including public transport, cyclists, business and private vehicles to travel safely and as freely as possible.
- d) note that the Puketāpapa Local Board does not hold decision making for Central Government legislation, and that the appropriate channel for any concerned residents or community groups would be to contact the current Minister of Transport Chris Bishop.
- e) noting the previous concerns are mandate raised in the safer speed resolutions by Puketāpapa Local Board:

Resolution number PKTPP/2024/134

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson F Lai, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) ***whakarite / provide feedback on the draft Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2024, for appending to the joint submission of Auckland Council and Auckland Transport.***
- b) ***tuhi ā-taipitopito / note the local impact of reversing speed limits on certain road classes will add to confusion around the time changes to speed during weekdays, particularly around the Wesley Primary School area and Hillsborough Primary School areas.***
- c) ***tono / request any changes to speed limits should be widely communicated before implementation, especially in different languages as the Puketāpapa community is culturally diverse and many use English as a second language. Diagrams will be effective.***
- d) ***tono / request any costs associated with reversing speed limit changes should be funded by Central government.***
- e) ***tautoko / support in principle Proposal 1: Requiring Road Controlling Authorities (RCAs) to undertake cost-benefit analysis (CBA) on a road-by-road basis when consulting on proposed speed limit changes, providing Central Government funds to Local Governments with the extra costs to cover the increased responsibility.***

- i) *tuhi ā-taipitopito / note that cost benefit analysis helps to ensure that decision-makers are well informed about how decisions impact on people and supports good evidence-based decision-making.*
- f) *tautoko / support Proposal 2: Ensuring Road Controlling Authorities to use reasonable efforts to consult with persons that use the road for which a speed limit change is proposed and increases transparency of decisions in response to feedback received.*
 - i) *tuhi ā-taipitopito / note the extensive consultation undertaken by Auckland Transport in previous speed limit change proposals.*
- g) *tautoko / support Proposal 3: Requiring variable speed limits outside school gates during school travel periods.*
 - i) *tuhi ā-taipitopito / note the draft Rule defines school travel periods as 8am-9.30am and 2.30pm-4pm on school days which considers the safety of students going to and from school, as well as productivity and assisting of economic development.*
 - ii) *tautoko / support amending the Traffic Control Devices 2004 (TCD Rule) and the Land Transport (Road User) rule 2004 to allow static variable speed limit signs on main roads during default school travel times.*
 - iii) *tuhi ā-taipitopito / note that some road users may not be aware of the new Rule and request NZTA to widely communicate this change using different channels to increase public awareness and understanding of the requirements, including the default variable speed limit times.*
 - iv) *tono / request any costs associated with implementing the draft Rule including raising awareness and communicating speed limit changes should be funded by Central government.*
- h) *tautoko / support Proposal 4: Introducing a Ministerial Speed Objective, which will set out the Government's expectations for speed management.*
- i) *tuhi ā-taipitopito / note that a cost-benefit analysis will be required when proposing future speed limit changes.*

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Member R Hay	Member B Shen	
Chairperson E Kumar	Member J Turner	
Deputy Chairperson F Lai		
Member M Pervan		

The motion was declared CARRIED by 4 votes to 2.

The substantive motion was Put:

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/40

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

The Chairperson put the substantive motion.

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **support the need for safe speed limits particularly around schools, noting that all schools will have a variable limit of 30km/h applied before school and after school pick up time.**
- b) **acknowledge the letter from May Road Primary School Principal Lynda Stuart addressing speed limit issues.**
- c) **support the need for sensible consistent speed limits that enable commuter traffic, including public transport, cyclists, business and private vehicles to travel safely and as freely as possible.**
- d) **note that the Puketapapa Local Board does not hold decision making for Central Government legislation, and that the appropriate channel for any concerned residents or community groups would be to contact the current Minister of Transport Chris Bishop.**

- e) noting the previous concerns are mandate raised in the safer speed resolutions by Puketāpapa Local Board:

Resolution number PKTPP/2024/134

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson F Lai, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakarite / provide feedback on the draft Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2024, for appending to the joint submission of Auckland Council and Auckland Transport.**
- b) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note the local impact of reversing speed limits on certain road classes will add to confusion around the time changes to speed during weekdays, particularly around the Wesley Primary School area and Hillsborough Primary School areas.**
- c) **tono / request any changes to speed limits should be widely communicated before implementation, especially in different languages as the Puketāpapa community is culturally diverse and many use English as a second language. Diagrams will be effective.**
- d) **tono / request any costs associated with reversing speed limit changes should be funded by Central government.**
- e) **tautoko / support in principle Proposal 1: Requiring Road Controlling Authorities (RCAs) to undertake cost-benefit analysis (CBA) on a road-by-road basis when consulting on proposed speed limit changes, providing Central Government funds to Local Governments with the extra costs to cover the increased responsibility.**
- i) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note that cost benefit analysis helps to ensure that decision-makers are well informed about how decisions impact on people and supports good evidence-based decision-making.**
- f) **tautoko / support Proposal 2: Ensuring Road Controlling Authorities to use reasonable efforts to consult with persons that use the road for which a speed limit change is proposed and increases transparency of decisions in response to feedback received.**
- i) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note the extensive consultation undertaken by Auckland Transport in previous speed limit change proposals.**
- g) **tautoko / support Proposal 3: Requiring variable speed limits outside school gates during school travel periods.**
- i) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note the draft Rule defines school travel periods as 8am-9.30am and 2.30pm-4pm on school days which considers the safety of students going to and from school, as well as productivity and assisting of economic development.**
- ii) **tautoko / support amending the Traffic Control Devices 2004 (TCD Rule) and the Land Transport (Road User) rule 2004 to allow static variable speed limit signs on main roads during default school travel times.**
- iii) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note that some road users may not be aware of the new Rule and request NZTA to widely communicate this change using different channels to increase public awareness and understanding of the requirements, including the default variable speed limit times.**
- iv) **tono / request any costs associated with implementing the draft Rule including raising awareness and communicating speed limit changes should be funded by Central government.**
- h) **tautoko / support Proposal 4: Introducing a Ministerial Speed Objective, which will set out the Government's expectations for speed management.**
- i) **tuhī ā-taipitopito / note that a cost-benefit analysis will be required when proposing future speed limit changes.**

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For

Member R Hay

Against

Member B Shen

Abstained

Chairperson E Kumar
Deputy Chairperson F Lai
Member M Pervan

Member J Turner

The motion was declared CARRIED by 4 votes to 2.

CARRIED

Note: During Item 12.0: Notice of Motion: Member Jon Turner - Speed Reversals the Puketāpapa Local Board office wifi went off-line and coverage for the public to attend on-line was intermittent throughout the rest of the meeting.

12 **Deliberation on the proposed changes to local dog access rules**

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/41

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member B Shen:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **mihi / thank those people and organisations who gave feedback on the proposed changes to local dog access rules in the local board area.**
- b) **whakaae / accept the late feedback from two people received within a week after the close of public consultation for consideration alongside all other public feedback received.**

CARRIED

Note: Following resolutions a) and b) the meeting proceeded to commence deliberations on the local dog access rule changes at 11.45am and concluded at 3.30pm.

Note: The motion was taken in parts: clause c: i), ii), iii) and iv) together and clause c) v. was taken separately.

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- c) whai / adopt the decisions contained in the deliberations table attached to this resolution in the minutes of this meeting of the local board that:
 - i) respond to the public feedback on the proposed changes
 - ii) adopt the proposed changes as publicly notified at Smallfield Reserve, Monte Cecilia Park, Freeland Reserve and Underwood Park
 - iii) adopt with amendments, the proposed changes at Walmsley Park
 - iv) reject the proposed changes and retain the current rules at John Moore Reserve.

MOVED by Member B Shen, seconded by Member J Turner:

Moved an amendment to the original recommendation as follows:

- ii) adopt the proposed changes as publicly notified at Smallfield Reserve, Freeland Reserve and Underwood Park
- iv) reject the proposed changes and retain the current rules at Monte Cecilia Park and John Moore Reserve

Note: Under Standing Orders 1.6.9 the Chairperson ruled the amendment Moved by Member B Shen, Seconded by Member J Turner out of order due to this being a direct negative.

The substituted motion was Put:

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/42

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- c) **whai / adopt the decisions contained in the deliberations table attached to this resolution in the minutes of this meeting of the local board that:**
- i) **respond to the public feedback on the proposed changes**
 - ii) **adopt the proposed changes as publicly notified at Smallfield Reserve, Freeland Reserve and Underwood Park**
 - iii) **adopt with amendments, the proposed changes at Walmsley Park**
 - iv) **reject the proposed changes and retain the current rules at John Moore Reserve.**

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Member R Hay Chairperson E Kumar Deputy Chairperson F Lai Member M Pervan Member J Turner		Member B Shen

The motion was declared CARRIED by 5 votes to 0.

CARRIED

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/43

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- v) **adopt the proposed changes as publicly notified at Monte Cecilia Park.**

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Member R Hay Chairperson E Kumar Deputy Chairperson F Lai Member M Pervan	Member B Shen Member J Turner	

The motion was declared CARRIED by 4 votes to 2. proposed changes as publicly notified at Monte Cecilia Park.

CARRIED

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/44

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- d) **whai / adopt amendments to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 contained in the comparison table attached to this resolution in the minutes of this meeting of the local board that gives effect to the decisions in c), with a commencement date of 1 August 2025.**
- e) **whakaū / confirm that the amendments to the policy in d):**

- i) are consistent with the policy, principles and criteria for deciding dog access rules in the Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri | Policy on Dogs 2019
 - ii) are not inconsistent with any decision in relation to region-wide dog access rules contained in the Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri | Policy on Dogs 2019
 - iii) are in accordance with all relevant legislative requirements, in particular the Local Government Act 2002 and Dog Control Act 1996.
- f) delegate authority through the Chief Executive to the manager responsible for the policy on dogs to make editorial changes or to correct errors or omissions to the amendments in d).
- g) allocate up to \$1,000 from the local board's for costs to implement the amendments in the policy in d) and request advice from Parks and Community Facilities staff to incorporate the cost as part of the 2025/2026 work programme.
- h) whakamihi / thank Paul Wilson and Nancy Chu for their attendance.

CARRIED

Attachments

A Local Dog Access Rules Comparison Table

13 Puketāpapa Play Plan 2025

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/45

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) whiwhi / receive the Puketāpapa Play Plan report.
- b) whai / adopt the Puketāpapa Play Plan 2025 as set out in Attachment A in the report.

CARRIED

14 Puketāpapa Local Board Strategic Relationship Grant Recommendations 2024/2025

Deputy Chair Fiona Lai declared an interest in this item for her involvement with Roskill Acts of Kindness. She took no part in the discussion or voting on the matter.

Deputy Chair Fiona Lai stepped out of the room for this item.

Brodie Johnstone, Senior Specialist Advisor, Community Wellbeing and Sybil Mandow Manager Community Programme Development, Daylyn Braganza, Specialist Lead were in attendance to speak to this report.

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/46

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member R Hay:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) whakaae /approve the following allocations for the 2024/2025 Puketāpapa Strategic Relationship Grants programme:
 - \$20,000 to Acts of Roskill Kindness
 - \$20,000 to NZ Ethnic Women's Trust

Extension of Meeting Time

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/47

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member R Hay:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakaae / agree at 4:10pm pursuant to standing order 1.1.3 that an extension of time is given until the conclusion of the business of the meeting is completed.**

CARRIED

15 Allocation of decision-making responsibilities for council-controlled organisation activities coming in house

Rachel Wilson, Principal Advisor, CCO/Partnerships was in attendance to speak to this report.

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/48

MOVED by Member J Turner, seconded by Chairperson E Kumar:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **tautoko / support Local Boards being empowered to implement priority location plans, and to have decision making over local urban regeneration projects.**
- b) **tono / request that the ‘parameters set by the Governing Body’ regarding the priority location plans are set out clearly in writing.**
- c) **tono / request that work continues to empowering local boards to sequence urban regeneration projects.**
- d) **tono / request that disposal of non-service property decision making is transferred from Governing Body to Local Boards.**
- e) **tautoko / support reinstating the reference to local economic development plans, noting that this has been a priority for this Local Board over many years.**
- f) **tūhi tīpoka / note that staff recommendations and feedback from local boards will be considered at the Governing Body meeting on 29 May 2025 and associated changes to the allocation of decision-making table will be implemented as part of Annual Plan 2025/2026 decisions.**
- g) **tūhi tīpoka /note that further work is required in relation to determining the future decision-making allocation on:**
 - i) **funding of new priority urban regeneration or development locations as additional programmes are identified**
 - ii) **how anticipated demand from local boards for local economic development and urban regeneration advice is to be addressed**
 - iii) **property management decisions (undertaken as part of the Group Property Review).**
- h) **whakamihi / thank Rachel Wilson for her attendance.**

CARRIED

Note: Chairperson E Kumar adjourned the meeting at 4.16pm, and reconvened at 4.23pm all members were present.

Note: With the agreement of the meeting Item 18.0: Proposed changes to the draft Manaaki Tāmaki Makaurau: Auckland Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy was taken ahead of Item 16: Public feedback on proposal to amend dog policy and bylaw.

18 Proposed changes to the draft Manaaki Tāmaki Makaurau: Auckland Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy

Carole Canler, Senior Policy Manger was in attendance on-line via MS Teams to speak to this report.

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/49

MOVED by Member R Hay, seconded by Chairperson E Kumar:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **ohia / endorse the following sections of the final amended Manaaki Tāmaki Makaurau: Auckland Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy in Attachment B:**
 - i) **five strategic directions.**
 - ii) **four investment principles**
 - iii) **Policy 1: Making the most of our open spaces, and it's five associated objectives.**
 - iv) **Policy 3: Auckland Council's investment in play, sport and recreation, and it's three associated objectives.**
- b) **Express concerns regarding**
 - i) **Policy 2: Open space provision and acquisition, and its two associated objectives, Objective 6 and Objective 7.**
- c) **do not consider that the following key themes from community feedback are adequately addressed by Policy 2 as currently drafted:**
 - i) **all Aucklanders must have access to safe, well-maintained open spaces**
 - ii) **open space needs to be integral part of urban planning**
- d) **tono / request the draft policy, especially Policy 2, is reviewed and amended in light of the recent decision on Plan Change 94 (Private): Wairaka Precinct, specifically in relation to:**
 - i) **overall implications of open space provision and the precedent this decision sets for Auckland**
 - ii) **relationship between Resource Management Act 1991 processes and decisions and Auckland Council policies on open space.**
 - iii) **implications for the Auckland Council approach to implementing the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020, and flow on consequences for policies on open space.**
 - iv) **adequacy of the proposed strategy in relation to open space provision in brownfield and/or high-density areas.**

- e) **tono / request Policy and Planning Committee do not adopt the draft strategy and direct staff to develop a new approach to provision and acquisition of open space, given the points raised in b) – d).**
- f) **tautoko / support the development of an implementation and monitoring plan, and improved advice being provided to decision-makers, following amended and adoption of an updated strategy.**
- g) **Provides the following feedback:**
 - i) ***is concerned with the lack of analysis and commentary on the part Development Contributions will play in fulfilling this strategy. There has been significant work done on the Development Contributions necessary to cater for growth over the next 30 years..***
 - ii) ***is concerned the strategy includes a key theme of relying less on council owned assets. We have seen no significant work completed in this area over the last three years and find it difficult to envisage how this would work in practice, particularly for sport and recreation, in an increasingly dense area such as ours.***
 - iii) ***that stronger wording is used regarding the need for developers to provide more open space when building high density and high-medium density developments. We request the development of rules to enforce this requirement.***
 - iv) ***open space is critical to the health and wellbeing of the community and environment, and we note that the scale of investment in open space is a political decision with trade-offs, and a policy should not pre-judge future funding decisions. Particularly given privately owned open space has no guarantees of availability for long term use.***
 - v) ***is concerned the Strategy does not allow adequate flexibility to purchase strategic assets as the opportunity arises. The recent purchase of land on Hill road to extend the Auckland Botanic Gardens and the historic purchase of Monte Cecilia Park and Pah homestead are such examples of strategic opportunities which could not have been anticipated given their long term private ownership.***
- h) **whakamihi / thank Carole Canler for her attendance via MS Teams.**

CARRIED

Precedence of Business

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/50

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whakaae / agree that Item 21.0 Albert-Eden Puketapapa Ward Councillors update be accorded precedence at this time.**

CARRIED

21 **Albert-Eden-Puketāpapa Ward Councillors' Updates**

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/51

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member J Turner:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive Councillor Julie Fairey's Ward Councillor written update for March 2025 and her verbal update at the meeting.**

CARRIED

16 **Public feedback on proposal to amend dog policy and bylaw**

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/52

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member B Shen:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive public feed back.**
- b) **tautoko / support the matters raised in public feedback.**
- c) **kopou /appoint Chair and Deputy Chair to address the public views to the governing body dog policy and bylaw panel.**
- d) **tāpae / delegate authority to the Chair to appoint replacement to any appointed member in (C) who is unable to present to the panel.**

CARRIED

Note: Chairperson E Kumar adjourned the meeting at 4.51pm, and reconvened at 5.00pm all members were present.

17 **Puketāpapa Local Board views on the draft Contributions Policy 2025**

Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s

Andrew Duncan, Manager Financial Policy was in attendance on-line via MS Teams to speak to this report.

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/53

MOVED by Member R Hay, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **provide its views on the draft Contributions Policy 2025 for inclusion in the Governing Body decision report on 29 May 2025.**
- b) **note the board has taken a holistic approach to feedback to the variation on the Contributions Policy 2022 – particularly given Mt Roskill is an area of significant forecast growth.**
- c) **support and encourage the extended timeframe to 30 years.**
- d) **support all proposed changes in the Contributions Policy which increase the burden on developers to pay for infrastructure needed to support growth and would like further assurance that investment in stormwater is appropriate and**

timely to support housing development and ensure environmental sustainability and resilience (e.g. flooding) is adequately planned.

- e) concern that developers are not making an adequate contribution for the intensification that is occurring. The current central government legislative intrusions such as National Policy Statement – Urban Development, in no way support the need for strategic development, managed infrastructure build and rational generational construction. The board see this legislation as a soft entry for more private development and less contribution for the intensification proposed.
- f) does not accept many of the concerns and suggestions made in developer-led submissions particularly around: viability, need for staggered implementation, cost increases due to Development Contributions, request for council audits of assumptions, more analysis of alternatives, alternative funding sources, lack of accounting transparency and multiple requests for deferrals. These arguments, unsubstantiated in the most part and contrary to existing council evidence in many cases, are primarily designed to protect margins and profit at the expense of rate payers.
- g) note the key table in the Contributions policy – Schedule 1 is summarised below. It is evident across all local board areas each class, Reserves, Network infrastructure and Community infrastructure is seriously underfunded, and the private development contribution is inadequate and, in many cases, non-existent.

Class	Activity	Activity description
Reserves	Reserves – Acquisition	Land acquisition for public open space of all types from small local parks to large regional parks
	Reserves – Development	Development and improvement of local parks, local sports parks and other local open space areas (in some cases this may include playgrounds and public toilets)
Network infrastructure	Stormwater	Development and improvement of network infrastructure, flood protection and control works including man-made and natural assets
	Transport	Development and improvement for the transport network including roads, walkways and cycle ways, public car parking facilities, public transport facilities and routes of all forms
Community infrastructure	Community infrastructure	Development and improvement of local community infrastructure for the purpose of providing public amenities,

- h) concern that the network infrastructure and substantive contributions from developers are disproportionate and negligible. The intensification taking place currently, whether they are multi-unit apartments, retirement villages or townhouses, fails to take into account aged legacy infrastructure and inadequate transport linkages.
- i) note that in certain areas of Hamilton, all new developments have been halted and no further consents will be granted due to capacity thresholds being reached for wastewater infrastructure; and believes this scenario could well be replicated in parts of wider Auckland. We have not adequately funded infrastructure growth demands and Development Contributions paucity is a major factor in this underfunding.

- j) note that the Governing Body is currently assessing a revised Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy. The board believes this strategy is not workable under the current Development Contributions policy.
- k) believe that existing property owners should not be funding growth, only maintenance and replacement of existing infrastructure and asset improvements. The burden of growth funding needs to fall on the underlying cause of this growth. Development Contributions need to fund both local area facilities (additional playgrounds, parks, sports fields and community spaces) and regional facilities (such as additional theatre space, stadiums, and regional parks).
- l) note the feedback made in developer-led submissions particularly around their concerns on viability, need for staggered implementation, cost increases due to Development Contributions, request for council audits of assumptions, more analysis of alternatives, alternative funding sources, lack of accounting transparency and multiple requests for deferrals. However, the land price is too high if it does not include the effect of Development Contributions. We believe council can introduce a higher development contribution alongside the option of a payment scheme to extend the timeframe over which they are paid. Thereby making the Development Contribution a charge over the land and incorporated in the rates to be paid over a suitable timeframe.
- m) for clarity, the board believes Auckland Council needs to substantially increase Development Contributions.

Further notes:

- n) note that further advice and proposed changes will be presented to the Governing Body on 29 May 2025, but this timeframe excludes the opportunity for local boards to consider that information as part of their feedback.
- i) request clarification on equity matters to ensure that the Mt Roskill Investment Priority Area (IPA) is being charged fairly compared to the other IPA areas.
- j) recognise the importance of robust infrastructure to facilitate growth in the Mt Roskill (IPA).
- k) request that social infrastructure to cater for the needs of a more populated, denser neighbourhood is allowed for as the full build out of the Mt Roskill IPA eventuates.

CARRIED

Note: Pursuant to Standing Order 1.9.7 Member B Shen requested his dissenting vote be recorded.

19 Local board feedback on the Land Transport Management (Time of Use Charging) Amendment Bill

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/54

MOVED by Member M Pervan, seconded by Member B Shen:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **tuku / provide the following feedback on the Land Transport Management (Time of Use Charging) Amendment Bill:**
 - i) note its previous resolution (PKTPP/2024/194) from October 2024, which did not support the Time of Use Charging (TOUC) scheme as proposed at the time, and provided feedback highlighting equity concerns, infrastructure readiness, mitigation needs, and the importance of targeted reinvestment.

- ii) support in principle the intent of the Bill to reduce congestion and optimise Auckland's transport network through time-of-use charging, provided the scheme is designed and implemented in a way that is fair, inclusive, and accessible for all.
- iii) note previous concerns that TOUC could disproportionately impact lower-income communities such as Puketāpapa, where many households rely on private vehicles due to limited public and active transport options, and where there is a high proportion of essential, shift, and blue-collar workers.
- iv) request and advocate for a scheme that includes:
 - A) daily and/or weekly caps,
 - B) targeted rebates or exemptions for vulnerable and essential users,
 - C) mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts on small businesses, residents just outside the charge zone, and those with unavoidable travel patterns,
 - D) a reliable, affordable public transport system in place before implementation.
- v) request that revenue collected through TOUC be reinvested transparently into improvements that directly benefit affected communities, including:
 - A) more frequent and accessible public transport,
 - B) investment in key local bottlenecks such as the Denbigh Avenue and Dominion Road roundabout,
 - C) improvements to motorway entries/exits and links to employment, education, and health services.
- vi) request assurance that the scheme will not result in unintended economic shifts, such as disinvestment from central Auckland or increased use of alternative congested routes (e.g., SH16), and that complementary measures like T3 bus lanes and smarter traffic signal management are considered.
- vii) request that local boards be involved in scheme design, consultation, and implementation monitoring, particularly in Auckland where local impacts vary significantly by area.
- viii) note the importance of culturally appropriate, multilingual community engagement in areas like Puketāpapa, where over 50 per cent of residents are born overseas, to ensure clear understanding and enable meaningful participation.
- ix) support the use of net revenue for long-term, high-impact projects through mechanisms like the Auckland Future Fund, and advocates for transparency in how investments are prioritised and tracked over time.

CARRIED

20 Puketāpapa Local Board feedback on proposed wastewater environmental performance standards

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/55

MOVED by Member J Turner, seconded by Chairperson E Kumar:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) note the Boards feedback for incorporation into the council's submission to central government's public consultation on proposed wastewater environmental performance standards by the Water Services Authority – Taumata Arowai in Attachment A.

CARRIED

22 Chairperson's Report

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/56

MOVED by Member R Hay, seconded by Member M Pervan:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive Ella Kumar's Chairperson's report for the period 01 March – 31 March 2025.**

CARRIED

23 Board Member Reports

23.1 Board Member report Fiona Lai Report

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/57

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member R Hay:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive Member Fiona Lai's member report.**

CARRIED

24 Record of Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Notes

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/58

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Member J Turner:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the Puketāpapa Local Board workshop notes for: 13 March 2025, 27 March 2025 and 03 April 2025.**

CARRIED

25 Hōtaka Kaupapa/Governance Forward Work Programme Calendar

Resolution number PKTPP/2025/59

MOVED by Chairperson E Kumar, seconded by Deputy Chairperson F Lai:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

- a) **whiwhi / receive the Hōtaka Kaupapa/governance forward work programme calendar as at 10 April 2025.**

CARRIED

26 Te Whakaaro ki ngā Take Pūtea e Autaia ana | Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

5.26pm

The chairperson thanked members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD
AT A MEETING OF THE PUKETĀPAPA LOCAL
BOARD HELD ON

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....