

Date: Tuesday 22 April 2025
Time: 1.00pm
Meeting Room: Claris Conference Centre
Venue: 19 Whangaparapara Road
Claris
Aotea / Great Barrier Island

Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board OPEN MINUTE ITEM ATTACHMENTS

| ITEM | TABLE OF CONTENTS | PAGE |
|-------------|--|-------------|
| 11 | Deliberation on the proposed changes to local dog access rules | |
| A. | 22 April 2025, Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board: item 11 - Deliberation on the proposed changes to local dog access rules, amended Attachment A – Deliberations Table | 3 |

Attachment A – Deliberations Table

This attachment provides a structure for deliberations. It contains a summary of public feedback on the proposal, information to assist deliberations and a structure for recording decisions.

The Local Board will have read all the feedback and views in Attachments D, E, F and G.

Important:

- The Local Board must receive the views in public feedback with open mind and give those views due consideration.
- A local board decision to change a local dog access rule must meet regulatory criteria in their delegated authority, Policy on Dogs 2019, Dog Control Act 1996 and Local Government Act 2002 (See Attachment C).

Note:

- The number of comments for key themes may not equal the total number of comments stated for the proposal because they include general comments or exclude operational and non-by-law related matters. Each comment may also include more than one key theme.
- Percentages for feedback responses may not equal one hundred per cent as submissions that gave 'no response' to a proposal are excluded from the table.

Public Feedback on main proposals

| Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | |
|--|--|
| <p>Public feedback on Proposal 1 - Themes</p> <p>Change dog access rules at Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach</p> <p>121 feedback responses from survey and emails: 31 support (26 per cent), 80 oppose (66 per cent) and 50 comments. This includes one feedback response from one organisation (in opposition to the proposal).</p> <p>Key themes in support (9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People who supported the proposal said it protects vulnerable wildlife on Pah Beach (including Pāteke). • Some said the proposal protects people from off-leash dogs on Pah Beach. • Some said the proposed rules are easier to understand and follow. <p>Key themes opposed (40):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most people opposed to the proposal said it reduces dog access: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There are not enough off-leash areas on Great Barrier. ○ We need more off-leash areas, not less. • Some said the proposal is unfair to responsible dog owners: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The proposal punishes responsible dog owners for the actions of irresponsible dog owners. ○ Too many restrictions on responsible dog owners. • Some said the location is not suitable for off-leash dogs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Gooseberry Flat Beach is the most popular beach for families on Great Barrier. It is not safe to exercise dogs off-leash there. ○ Pah Beach is a safer alternative for off-leash access as there are less people. • Some people raised concerns around the wellbeing of dogs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reducing dog access will worsen dog behaviour. ○ Off-leash areas support dog exercise and socialisation. • Some mentioned risks from irresponsible dog ownership: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Off-leash dogs are dangerous to other users of the beach, especially children. | <p>Current Dog access rule</p> <p>For the beach areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dogs allowed under control on a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets to the southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing southeast across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive (Schedule 2) • Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the northern headland of Pah Beach to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat (excluding the on-leash area between the public toilets and the boat ramp) (Schedule 2). <p>Current rules that prohibit dogs on the playground (Schedule 1(1)) and allow dogs under control on a leash near the playground, on the southern end of Gooseberry Flat Beach and on all park and reserve areas (Schedule 1(4)) continue to apply.</p> <p>Reason: To better protect vulnerable nesting shorebirds on Pah Beach and clarify the rule (and effectively extend the off-leash area slightly) on Gooseberry Flat Beach.</p> <p>Note: There is currently uncertainty regarding the extent of Department of Conservation (DOC) land on Pah Beach. Staff are awaiting clarity from DOC and will update the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board.</p> <p>About 'protects vulnerable wildlife on Pah Beach' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Policy on Dogs aims to ensure that dogs do not pose a significant risk to protected wildlife vulnerable to dogs and their habitats. • The northern end of Pah Beach is an important nesting area for vulnerable native shorebirds which are all highly vulnerable to predation by dogs, including banded rail, pāteke, NZ Dotterel, little blue penguin, and variable oystercatcher. |
| | <p>Proposed dog access rule</p> <p>For the beach areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dogs allowed under control on a leash on the southern end of Pah Beach (southwards of Blackwell Drive) and around the Pah Point Reserve headland (Schedule 2). • Dogs prohibited on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of Blackwell Drive) (Schedule 2). • Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the southern end of the Pah Point Reserve headland to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach (Schedule 2). <p>Current rules that prohibit dogs on the playground (Schedule 1(1)) and allow dogs under control on a leash near the playground, on the southern end of Gooseberry Flat Beach and on all park and reserve areas (Schedule 1(4)) continue to apply.</p> <p>Reason: To better protect vulnerable nesting shorebirds on Pah Beach and clarify the rule (and effectively extend the off-leash area slightly) on Gooseberry Flat Beach.</p> <p>Note: There is currently uncertainty regarding the extent of Department of Conservation (DOC) land on Pah Beach. Staff are awaiting clarity from DOC and will update the Aotea / Great Barrier Local Board.</p> <p>About 'protects vulnerable wildlife on Pah Beach' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Policy on Dogs aims to ensure that dogs do not pose a significant risk to protected wildlife vulnerable to dogs and their habitats. • The northern end of Pah Beach is an important nesting area for vulnerable native shorebirds which are all highly vulnerable to predation by dogs, including banded rail, pāteke, NZ Dotterel, little blue penguin, and variable oystercatcher. |

| Public feedback on Proposal 1 - Themes | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There are too many uncontrolled off-leash dogs on Gooseberry Flat Beach. ● Some said the proposed rules are confusing and difficult to follow. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Research shows that dotterels perceive dogs to be a greater threat than humans alone, and that dogs on-leash still disturb breeding dotterels (Lord, et al.,2000). <p>About 'punishing responsible dog owners' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Dog access rules aim to keep everyone safe at public spaces, including dog owners and their dogs. ● The risk to responsible dog owners and their dogs is significant if a dog causes the death of protected wildlife - up to three years in prison or a fine up to \$20,000 and destruction of the dog. <p>About 'location not suitable for off-leash dogs' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The risk to people and wildlife on the northern area of Gooseberry Flat beach proposed to be off-leash (instead of on-leash) is insignificant. ● The proposal would extend the current off-leash area from the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive to the Pah Point Reserve headland (around 50 meters). ● Most shorebirds are concentrated in the northern section of Pah Beach. ● There were two incidents of dogs harming people on Gooseberry Flat Beach reported between 2019 and 2023. <p>About 'irresponsible dog owners' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Policy on Dogs sets out dog access rules that help responsible dog owners avoid significant risks. ● The Policy relies on compliance initiatives, including patrols, education and fines, to manage irresponsible dog owners, such as those who ignore the current rules, do not control their dog or who allow their dog to roam unaccompanied. ● This approach recognises that the personal circumstances of individual responsible dog owners and their dogs vary greatly, and that these responsible dog owners cannot always be expected to know the risks to be avoided or the best way to avoid them. |

| Public feedback on Proposal 1 – Key changes sought | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board recommendation |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Change dog access rules at Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach Key changes sought ('retain rule') (80 responses)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> dogs allowed under control on a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets to the southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing southeast across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive dogs allowed under control off a leash from the northern headland of Pah Beach to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat (excluding the on-leash area between the public toilets and the boat ramp). <p>Key changes sought ('adopt proposed change') (31 responses)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> dogs allowed under control on a leash on the southern end of Pah Beach (southwards of Blackwell Drive) and around the Pah Point Reserve headland. dogs prohibited on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of Blackwell Drive). dogs allowed under control off a leash from the southern end of the Pah Point Reserve headland to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach. <p>Key changes sought ('other suggestions') (3 response)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> dogs allowed under control on a leash on Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach at all times. dogs prohibited on the northern end of Gooseberry Flat Beach at all times. apply a time and season rule on Gooseberry Flat Beach that prohibits dogs between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times). | <p>About the local board decision-making criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In general, the most relevant criteria in Appendix C for decisions resulting in more and less dog access, is a focus on whether proposed off-leash areas provide for the needs of dogs and their owners, whether there is a significant risk of conflict between users, whether there are no practicable alternative solutions to address that conflict, whether (to the extent practicable) displaced dog owners and their dogs have access to other areas, and whether the rule is easy to understand.¹ In the context of Gooseberry and Pah Beach, this includes for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> considering whether the presence of a responsible dog owner and their dog would create a significant risk to vulnerable wildlife on Pah Beach, noting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the northern end of Pah Beach is an important nesting area for vulnerable shorebirds including banded rail, pāteke, NZ dotterel, little blue penguin and variable oystercatcher on-leash dogs would still pose a significant risk to vulnerable shorebirds the Department of Conservation recognise the need to restrict dog access in the Tryphena Harbour foreshore area that off-leash opportunities remain on Gooseberry Beach considering whether the rule to be adopted is easy to understand, noting for example that the current on-leash boundary from 11 Blackwell Drive to the Pah Point Reserve headland is confusing. <p>Note: If any change to the dog access rules are made, the local board must consider allocating funding for any costs such as new signage (indicative figures provided in Attachment B of December 2024 agenda report).</p> | <p>That the proposal to prohibit dogs on Pah Beach and extend the off-leash area on Gooseberry Flat Beach be adopted as publicly notified. Reasons as stated in the proposal.</p> <p>Request through the Chief Executive to the manager responsible for parks and community facilities to install signs (with a map) at Pah Beach and Gooseberry Flat to educate people about the rules and wildlife risks.</p> |

¹ Refer Appendix C - Summary of Policy on Dogs 2019 dog access rule policy, principles and criteria – "1. Provide dog access rules that are comprehensive, consistent and easy to understand ..."; "3. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks and beaches that would provide more dog access" and "4. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks and beaches that would provide less dog access"

| Public feedback on Proposal 1 – Key changes sought | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board recommendation | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| <p>About 'other suggestions' requests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests for more or less dog access are out of scope for decisions on this proposal. See Other Matters for more details. | | | | | | |
| <p>Public feedback on Proposal 2 - Themes</p> <p>Change dog access rules at Sandhills Reserve</p> <p>123 feedback responses from survey and emails: 75 support (61 per cent), 45 oppose (37 per cent) and 47 comments. This includes two feedback responses from two organisations (one in support and one in opposition to the proposal).</p> <p>Key themes in support (22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most people in support of the proposal welcome a new off-leash area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides more off-leash opportunities. Reduces restrictions on dogs and dog owners. Provides a good alternative to off-leash beach areas and will take the pressure off beaches (but cannot be used as a substitute for beach access). Some people commented on improved wellbeing of dogs and people: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Off-leash areas support dog exercise and socialisation. Exercising dogs off-leash supports human exercise and socialisation. Some people said the proposal would provide protection to wildlife (including Oruawhoro Medlands Ecovision): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An off-leash area will prevent dogs from harming wildlife in other areas. Fencing will protect wildlife from off-leash dogs and wild pigs. Fencing will protect conservation efforts in the Department of Conservation wetland area. Some people said the proposed off-leash area is safe for off-leash dogs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is a safe area to exercise dogs off-leash, including untrained puppies and dogs reactive to birds. It is safer than the beach for dogs reactive to birds. An off-leash area will prevent dogs from bothering users of other public spaces. Some people said the proposal provides an effective use of an underused reserve. Some people said the reserve is local and parking is available. | <p>Staff comment (information to assist deliberations)</p> <p>About current and proposed rules:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="582 750 710 1064"> <thead> <tr> <th>Current dog access rule</th> <th>Proposed dog access rule</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 1 (4)(a)).</td> <td>Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 2).</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Reason: To provide a new off-leash area on Great Barrier without significant risk to protected wildlife, flora, private property or dog owners (fence required to define boundaries and signage that prohibits dogs from adjoining Department of Conservation area).</p> <p>About 'protects / threatens wildlife' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Policy on Dogs aims to ensure that dogs don't pose a significant risk to protected wildlife vulnerable to dogs and their habitats. Sandhills Reserve is adjacent to a Department of Conservation (DOC) wetland area that has protected Kauri trees and records of threatened and nationally critical Australasian Bittern which are highly vulnerable to dogs. There are no fences or natural boundaries between Sandhills Reserve and the adjacent DOC wetland area. Without a fence off-leash dogs may enter the wetland area and cause harm or disruption to neighboring private property, wildlife or flora. Adequate fencing and signage along the reserve's boundary with the DOC wetland area will mitigate the risk. <p>About 'irresponsible dog owners' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Policy on Dogs sets out dog access rules that help responsible dog owners avoid significant risks. The Policy relies on compliance initiatives, including patrols, education and fines, to manage irresponsible dog owners, such as those who ignore the current rules, do not control their dog or who allow their dog to roam unaccompanied. | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 1 (4)(a)). | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 2). | |
| Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | | | | |
| Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 1 (4)(a)). | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 2). | | | | | |

| Public feedback on Proposal 2 - Themes | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) |
|--|---|
| <p>Key themes opposed (24):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some people opposed to the proposal said it threatens wildlife: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Off-leash dogs threaten native wildlife (including protected birds). Some people raised risks of irresponsible dog ownership: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Could worsen issues with uncontrolled dogs. Off-leash dogs are dangerous to children. Some people said it is not a 'balanced use' of public space: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Off-leash dogs could deter non-dog owners from using the reserve. Some people raised concerns about usability and access of the location: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no footpath access to Sandhills Reserve. Dog owners will have to walk along the road which is unsafe and will impact neighbouring residents. Sandhills Reserve is not big enough to properly exercise a dog. The beach is a safer and better alternative for off-leash access. Some people mentioned high implementation cost associated with the proposal. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This approach recognises that the personal circumstances of individual responsible dog owners and their dogs vary greatly, and that these responsible dog owners cannot always be expected to know the risks to be avoided or the best way to avoid them. <p>About 'implementation cost' feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The estimated implementation cost is \$45,000 which covers two large signs and one detailed sign with maps, and 300 metre fencing to prevent dog access into DOC land and private property. See 'About protectis/threatens wildlife feedback' for more information about fencing. |
| Public feedback on Proposal 2 – Key changes sought | Local Board recommendation |
| <p>Change dog access rules at Sandhills Reserve</p> <p>Key changes sought ('retain rule') (45 responses)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> retain the current rule that allows dogs to be under control on a leash at all times. <p>Key changes sought ('adopt proposed change') (75 responses)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> change the current rule to allow dogs under control off a leash at any time. <p>Key changes sought ('Other suggestion') (1 response)</p> | <p>Staff comment (information to assist deliberations)</p> <p>About the local board decision-making criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In general, the most relevant criteria in Appendix C for decisions resulting in more dog access, is a focus on whether the proposed off-leash areas provide for the needs of dogs and their owners in a way that does not create a significant risk of conflict and whether the rule is easy to understand.² In the context of Sandhills Reserve, this includes for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> considering whether the off-leash area would provide an off-leash park experience for local dog owners and visitors? considering whether dogs off a leash pose a significant risk to the wildlife in the nearby Department of Conservation (DOC) wetland area? If so, could fencing help mitigate the risk? considering whether the boundary of the off-leash area is easy to understand with fencing? <p>Local Board recommendation</p> <p>That the proposal to allow dogs to be off a leash at Sandhills Reserve be adopted as publicly notified. Reasons as stated in the proposal.</p> <p>Request through the Chief Executive to the manager responsible for parks and community facilities to investigate the cost of fencing (including survey and design costs) and install fences and signage that prohibits dogs from entering adjoining properties as part of the 2025/2026 work programme.</p> |

² Refer Appendix C - Summary of Policy on Dogs 2019 dog access rule policy, principles and criteria – "1. Provide dog access rules that are comprehensive, consistent and easy to understand ..." and "3. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks and beaches that would provide more dog access".

| Public feedback on Proposal 2 – Key changes sought | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board recommendation |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> change the current rule to prohibit dogs at all times. | <p>Note: For any change to the current dog access rule, the local board must consider allocating funding for any costs such as new signage and fencing (indicative figures provided in Attachment B of December 2024 agenda report).</p> <p>About 'other suggestions' request:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests for less dog access are out of scope for decisions on this proposal. See Other Matters for more details. | |

Other matters raised

| Other matters | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board decision |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Requests for changes to other local dog access rules</p> <p>Key changes sought and reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sandhills Reserve: Want prohibited access (1) Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach: Want on-leash (1) or time and season (1) access or less access (1). Kaitoke Beach (1): Want off-leash access Awana Beach (1): Want prohibited access Okupu Beach (1): Want reduced access (did not specify) Port Fitzroy (1): Want on-leash access. | <p>About new requests for changes to local dog access rules</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests for changes to dog access rules require assessment against regulatory criteria. If the request meets the criteria and the relevant decision-making authority considers a change is appropriate, the change must be publicly notified (including to all known dog owners in Auckland) for feedback prior to a final decision being made. There are 22 decision-making authorities: the Regulatory and Safety Committee for regional rules and 21 Local Boards for local rules. Individual requests are considered as a 'batch'. The timing for each 'batch' is scheduled within council's wider bylaw review work programme that includes the periodic review of all 17 council bylaws, 14 associated bylaw controls and input in to legislative reviews related to bylaws. The timing of the next 'batch' is yet to be determined with a focus in 2025/26 on the review of bylaws about waste, food, navigation and health and hygiene. <p>About 'any other matters'</p> | <p>That requests for changes to local dog access rules at specific locations received in public feedback not related to the proposed changes be referred to the relevant council department for inclusion in the next 'batched' consideration of dog access change requests.</p> <p>Reasons include to comply with the local boards regulatory requirements in their delegated authority, Policy on Dogs 2019, Dog Control Act 1996 and Local Government Act 2002 and coordination of decision-making across all 22 decision-making authorities for dog access rules in Auckland.</p> |
| <p>Additional matters raised by local board members</p> <p>Comment (any other matters)</p> | | <p>That all matters raised in public feedback have been given adequate consideration.</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>The Local Board should deliberate on any matters contained in public feedback in Attachments D to G it considers has not been adequately addressed in this Attachment A.</p> | <p>This is an opportunity for the decision-maker to raise and deliberate on any matter contained in public feedback in Attachments D to G it considers have not been adequately addressed in this Attachment A.</p> |
|---|---|

Comparison tables

| | Current local dog access rule | Proposed local dog access rule | Local dog access rule that implements the Local Board decision in the above deliberations table |
|--|---|--|---|
| <p>Proposal 1: Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach</p> | <p>For the beach areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dogs allowed under control on a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets to the southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing southeast across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive (Schedule 2) Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the northern headland of Pah Beach to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat (excluding the on-leash area between the public toilets and the boat ramp) (Schedule 2). <p>Rule as contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019 Schedule 2, Dogs are allowed under control off leash in the following beaches:</p> <p>2) Gooseberry Flat: from northern headland of Pah Beach south to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat. On a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets at Pah Beach to southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing south east across the headland to a</p> | <p>For the beach areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dogs allowed under control on a leash on the southern end of Pah Beach (southwards of Blackwell Drive) and around the Pah Point Reserve headland (Schedule 2). Dogs prohibited on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of Blackwell Drive) (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the southern end of the playground on northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach (Schedule 2). <p>Amendment to rule contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019 Schedule 2, Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:</p> <p>2) Gooseberry Flat Beach: from the southern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach, continuing south east across the headland to the northern end of the</p> | <p>For the beach areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dogs prohibited on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of public toilets) (Schedule 2) Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the southern end of the Pah Point Reserve headland to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control on a leash everywhere else, except otherwise specified (Schedule 2). <p>Amendment to rule contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019 Schedule 2, Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:</p> <p>2) Gooseberry Flat Beach: from the southern end of the Pah Point Reserve headland to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach, from northern headland of Pah Beach south to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat. On a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets at Pah Beach to southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing south east</p> |

| | Current local dog access rule | Proposed local dog access rule | Local dog access rule that implements the Local Board decision in the above deliberations table |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | <p>point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive</p> | <p>playground on Gooseberry Flat Beach, from northern headland of Pah Beach south to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat. On a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets at Pah Beach to southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing south east across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive.</p> <p>Dogs are prohibited in the following areas:</p> <p>1) Pah Beach: on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of Blackwell Drive).</p> | <p>across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive.</p> <p>Dogs are prohibited in the following areas:</p> <p>1) Pah Beach: on the northern area of Pah Beach (northwards of public toilets).</p> |
| <p>Proposal 2: Sandhills Reserve</p> | <p>Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 1 (4)(a)).</p> <p>Rule as contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019 Schedule 1, (4) Default dog access rules</p> <p>Unless otherwise stated in Schedule 2 the following default rules apply:</p> <p>(a) Dogs must be under control on a leash in all council-controlled public places where there are no physical restrictions to access (such as fences or other indoor facilities)</p> | <p>Rule as described in proposal</p> <p>Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2).</p> <p>Amendment to rule contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019</p> <p>Schedule 2, Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:</p> <p>#) Sandhills Reserve</p> | <p>Local board decision</p> <p>Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2).</p> <p>Amendment to rule contained in the Policy on Dogs 2019</p> <p>Schedule 2, Dogs are allowed under control off a leash in the following areas:</p> <p>#) Sandhills Reserve</p> |