

Date: Thursday, 8 May 2025

Time: 9.45am

Meeting Room: Upper Harbour Local Board Office

Venue: 6-8 Munroe Lane

Albany

Auckland 0632 and Via Microsoft Teams

Upper Harbour Local Board Workshop OPEN AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson Anna Atkinson

Deputy Chairperson Uzra Casuri Balouch, JP **Members** Callum Blair

Callum Blair Kyle Parker John Mclean Sylvia Yang

> Max Wilde Democracy Advisor (Upper Harbour Local Board)

29 April 2025

Contact Telephone: (09) 4142684

Email: Max.Wilde@aucklandCouncil.govt.nz Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

| ITEM | TABLE OF CONTENTS | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| 1 | Ngā Tamōtanga Apologies | 2 |
| 2 | Te Whakapuaki i te Whai Pānga Declaration of Interest | 2 |
| 3 | Local Board Workshop | 3 |

Agenda Items

1 Ngā Tamōtanga | Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2 Te Whakapuaki i te Whai Pānga | Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.



Local Board Workshop

File No.: CP2025/01091

Note 1: This workshop has been called by the chairperson in consultation with the staff.

Note 2: No working party/workshop may reach any decision or adopt any resolution unless

specifically delegated to do so.

Te take mō te pūrongo Purpose of the report

1. To present the Upper Harbour Local Board workshop agenda for 8 May 2025.

Whakarāpopototanga matua Executive summary

- 2. Most workshops are open to the public to attend as observers in person or online.
- 3. Some sessions may not be open to the public. The staff decide which sessions are open to the public, depending on the sensitivity of the information being discussed. If a session is not open, a reason will be provided below.
- 4. Email UpperHarbourlocalboard@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz for a link to join the workshop online. The public can observe the workshop on via MS Teams.
- 5. Local Board workshops provide an opportunity for local boards to carry out their governance role in the following areas:
 - a) Accountability to the public
 - b) Engagement
 - c) Input to regional decision-making
 - d) Keeping informed
 - e) Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions
 - f) Oversight and monitoring
 - g) Setting direction / priorities / budget.
- 6. Workshops do not have decision-making authority.
- 7. Workshops are used to canvass issues, prepare local board members for upcoming decisions and to enable discussion between elected members and staff.
- 8. Members are respectfully reminded of their Code of Conduct obligations with respect to conflicts of interest and confidentiality.
- 9. The following will be covered in the workshop:

Note: At this point in proceedings, the workshop will close to the public for the following reason:

 This workshop is being held with the public excluded as the workshop material contains information which is not yet publicly available.

Closed to public Session 1 – (0945 – 1045)

LBAP 8 – Local Board Work Programmes

Break (1045 - 1055)

Closed to public Session 1 (Continued) – (1055 – 1200)

LBAP 8 – Local Board Work Programmes (continued)



Lunch (1200 - 1230)

Closed to public Session 1 (Continued) (1230 – 1425)

LBAP 8 – Local Board Work Programmes (continued)

Note: At this point in proceedings, the workshop will open to the public.

Session 2 - (1425 - 1500)

Deliberation for proposed local dog access rule changes

Presenters: Paul Wilson, Senior Policy Manager, Policy, Planning and

Governance.

Olivia Zhang, Policy Advisor, Policy, Planning and Governance.

Governance role: Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions

Proposed Outcome: Define local board position and feedback

Attachments: 2 - DRAFT cover report - Deliberation on the proposed changes to

local dog access rules.

2 a) DRAFT Attachment A - deliberation (UH).

2 b) Attachment B - Statement of proposal.

2 c) Attachment C - Decision-making criteria.

2 d) Attachment D - Summary of feedback report.

2 e) Attachment E - Comments from the local board area separated from those outside the local board area.

2 f) Attachment F - Written feedback.

2 g) DRAFT Attachment G - Drop In session and Have Your Say

feedback.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

| No. | Title | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| Α <u>π</u> | 2 - DRAFT cover report - Deliberation on the proposed changes to local dog access rules. | 7 |
| B <u>↓</u> | 2 a) DRAFT Attachment A - deliberation (UH). | 13 |
| C∏ | 2 b) Attachment B - Statement of proposal. | 19 |
| D₫ | 2 c) Attachment C - Decision-making criteria. | 45 |
| E₫ | 2 d) Attachment D - Summary of feedback report. | 47 |
| F₫ | 2 e) Attachment E - Comments from the local board area separated from those outside the local board area. | 153 |
| G₫ | 2 f) Attachment F - Written feedback. | 161 |
| H <u>₹</u> | 2 g) DRAFT Attachment G - Drop In session and Have Your Say feedback. | 765 |





Upper Harbour Local Board DRAFT

Deliberation on the proposed changes to local dog access rules File No.:

Te take mō te pūrongo Purpose of the report

 To assist the Board's decision-making on whether to adopt proposed changes to local dog access rules in its local board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua Executive summary

- 2. To assist the Board's decision-making on whether to adopt the proposed changes, staff have summarised public feedback and provided a structure for deliberations.
- 3. The proposed changes aim to respond to structural problems with the current rules (problems that place responsible dog owners, their dogs, other people, animals or property at significant risk or unreasonably restrict responsible dog owner access).
- 4. The Board received 279 public feedback responses across all proposed changes. This includes feedback from one organisation.
- 5. A summary of all feedback is in Attachment D, an extract of feedback by proposal is in Attachment E and a copy of individual feedback in its original form is in Attachment F.
- 6. All feedback is summarised into the following topics:

| | Topic and description | | Topic and description |
|---|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| • | Proposal 1 Rosedale Park | • | Other matters |

- 7. Staff recommend the Board consider all public feedback on the proposed changes and then decide whether to adopt the proposed changes in accordance with its decision-making requirements. This approach will complete the statutory process the board must follow.
- 8. There is a reputational risk that some people or organisations who provided feedback may not feel that their views are addressed. This risk can be mitigated by the board considering all public feedback contained in this report and providing reasons for its decision.
- 9. Following a final decision of the Board, staff will publicly notify the decision and publish any changes as part of a regional process and install any updated signage funded by the Board. Animal management staff will provide compliance services for any changes and community facilities staff will maintain any signage, within existing budgets.

Ngā tūtohunga Recommendation/s

That the Upper Harbour Local Board:

- a) mihi / thank those people and organisations who gave feedback on the proposed changes to local dog access rules in the local board area.
- b) whai / adopt the decisions contained in the deliberations table attached to this resolution in the minutes of this meeting of the local board that:
 - i) respond to the public feedback on the proposed changes
 - ii) adopt the proposed changes as publicly notified at [insert any locations]
 - iii) adopt with amendments, the proposed changes at [insert any locations]
 - iv) reject the proposed changes and retain the current rules at [insert any locations].

Public feedback on proposed changes to local dog access rules



- c) whai / adopt amendments to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 contained in the comparison table below the deliberations table attached to this resolution in the minutes of this meeting of the local board that gives effect to the decisions in b), with a commencement date of 1 August 2025
- d) whakaū / confirm that the amendments to the policy in c):
 - are consistent with the policy, principles and criteria for deciding dog access rules in the Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri | Policy on Dogs 2019
 - ii) are not inconsistent with any decision in relation to region-wide dog access rules contained in the Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri | Policy on Dogs 2019
 - iii) are in accordance with all relevant legislative requirements, in particular the Local Government Act 2002 and Dog Control Act 1996.
- e) delegate authority through the Chief Executive to the manager responsible for the policy on dogs to make editorial changes or to correct errors or omissions to the amendments in c).
- f) note there is funding allocated in the 2024/2025 Parks and Community Facilities work programme to deliver a dog park Rosedale (Activity ID: 42280).

Horopaki Context

Local dog access rules provide spaces for dogs and their owners that are safe for everyone, are adopted by local boards and enforced by council staff

- 10. The Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kuri | Policy on Dogs 2019 contains dog access rules that seek to provide a balanced use of public places for dogs and their owners that is safe for everyone. This includes people, animals, the environment and property.
- 11. The Board has delegated authority to decide dog access rules on local park, beach and foreshore areas in their local board area (GB/2012/157).
- 12. Council's Animal Management Team uses a modern regulator approach to increase voluntary compliance. This includes a focus on education through website information, signage and interactions with dog owners during patrols. Where appropriate Animal Management can issue \$300 infringement fines.

The Board proposed changes to local dog access rules for public consultation

- On 5 December 2024, the Board adopted a proposal to amend local dog access rules in the local board area contained in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 (UH/2024/183).
- 14. The proposal arose in response to requests for changes to local dog access rules that met regulatory criteria contained in the Board's delegated authority, Policy on Dogs 2019, Dog Control Act 1996 and Local Government Act 2002 (See Attachment C)
- 15. The proposal seeks to improve rules that balance the needs of dogs, people, animals, the environment and property in public places in the local board area by amending rules at:
 - Rosedale Park.
- 16. Details on the current and proposed rules are contained in Attachment A.

The proposal received 279 public feedback responses

- 17. The proposal was publicly notified for feedback from 20 January to 23 February 2025. During that period, council received 279 feedback from individuals and one organisation.
- 18. Public consultation initiatives for proposed changes to local dog access rules were combined with public consultation for proposed changes to local dog access rules in nine other local board areas and proposed changes to matters of regional significance in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 and Dog Management Bylaw 2019.

Public feedback on proposed changes to local dog access rules



Upper Harbour Local Board DRAFT

- 19. The consultation initiatives had a media reach to an audience of over 3.7 million (print, online, TV, Radio) and the 'AK Have Your Say' webpage received about 29,000 visits.¹
- 20. The Table below summarises public consultation initiatives and responses.

Public awareness initiatives

- Notification in New Zealand Herald and local papers²
- Articles on 'Our Auckland' on 3 December 2024, 4 December 2024, 21 January 2025
- Email notification of known registered dog owners by using email or mailing address provided to council
- Email notification to external stakeholders (e.g. SPCA)
- Email notification to mana whenua and mataawaka
- Appearance on radio and TV interviews³
- Information 'drop-in' sessions and 'Have Your Say' events⁴
- Information on the akhaveyoursay website

Public feedback opportunities

- In writing online, by email or post from 20 January to 23 February 2025
- In person or online at 'drop-in' sessions or at 'Have Your Say' events
- The Upper Harbour drop-in session is on 4 February and Have Your Say event on 13 February 2025
- · Verbally by phone.

Public responses

- The local board received 279 feedback responses from people and organisations through the online feedback form or by email.
- No one attended the Upper Harbour 'Have Your Say' event.
- 21. Attachments A to G in this report contain a deliberations table (A), proposal (B), summary of regulatory decision-making requirements (C), consultation feedback summary (D), extract of feedback by proposal (E), full copy of public feedback received online or by email, post or verbally (F), at 'drop-in' sessions and 'Have Your Say' events (G).
- 22. Note there is a separate report on the agenda that provides summary feedback for the proposed changes to matters of regional significance in the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 and Dog Management Bylaw 2019 to seek the Board's feedback.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu Analysis and advice

Public feedback generally supported the proposal

- 23. To assist the Board in its deliberations, staff have summarised the public feedback into topics in Attachment A. This enables the Board to deliberate and record its decisions on each topic to meet statutory requirements.
- 24. The statutory requirements also include publishing the date, time and venue of the deliberation. This information will be placed on the 'AK Have Your Say' webpage, making it

Public feedback on proposed changes to local dog access rules

¹ The 'AK Have Your Say' webpage included proposed changes to the Dog Policy and Bylaw and local dog access rules in 10 local board areas. The website had around 29,000 visits over the consultation period, comprised of over 6000 'engaged' participants (people who completed the online survey). Overall there were also over 18,000 'informed' participants (people who downloaded a document) which included around 1700 people who downloaded the statement of proposal for proposed changes to local dog access rules).

² Franklin County News, Manukau and Papakura Courier, Central Leader, Eastern Bays Courier, North Shore Times, Rodney Times, Western Leader, The Times, Gulf News, Waiheke Weekender, Pohutukawa Coast Times

³ NZTV (1 time), Media Works (11 times) and Radio NZ (10 times)

⁴ An online drop-in session and an in-person Have Your Say event (at Town Hall) were held for proposed changes to matters of regional significance in the Dog Policy and Bylaw and plus 11 in-person drop-in sessions and ten Have Your Say sessions were held for the proposed changes to local dog access rules.



available for submitters and public who can attend the deliberations to observe (noting there are no speaking rights at deliberations).

25. The majority of public feedback supported the proposal.

| Topic | Total support from local board area | Total support from people across Auckland |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Proposal 1: Rosedale | 77 per cent support (37 of 48 responses) | 77 per cent support (216 of 279 responses) |
| | 23 per cent opposed | |

The Board must comply with regulatory decision-making requirements when considering public feedback and making a final decision

- 26. The Board must comply with regulatory requirements in the Local Government Act 2002, Dog Control Act 1996, Policy on Dogs 2019 and its delegated authority (See Attachment C). This includes the Board:
 - giving all public feedback due consideration with an open mind
 - being consistent with the policy, principles and criteria for making dog access rules
 - not being inconsistent with any region-wide dog access rule
 - having regard to the matters in section 10(4) of the Dog Control Act 1996
 - providing a clear record or description of the decisions.

Tauākī whakaaweawe āhuarangi Climate impact statement

27. There are no implications for climate change arising from decisions sought in this report.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

28. Input from relevant council teams was sought to inform the development of the proposal and the deliberations report, and those teams are aware of the impacts of any final decision and their implementation role.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

- 29. Local dog access rules have a direct impact on the use of public places of local significance.
- A total of 48 responses identifying with the local board area provided feedback on the proposed changes (Summary in Attachment D, extract of feedback by proposal in Attachment E and copy in Attachment F).
- 31. The Board has delegated authority to decide local dog access rules in their area. This means the Board must consider all public feedback before making a final decision.
- 32. Staff have summarised public feedback and provided a structure for deliberations to assist the Board in making a decision on whether to adopt the proposed changes (Attachment A).

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

33. Local dog access rules support whanaungatanga (vibrant communities), manaakitanga (quality of life) and kaitiakitanga (sustainable futures) in Houkura | the Independent Māori Statutory Board's <u>Māori Plan</u> for Tāmaki Makaurau and <u>Schedule of Issues of Significance</u> by helping to protect the safety of people and the environment.

Public feedback on proposed changes to local dog access rules



Upper Harbour Local Board DRAFT

- 34. Staff engaged with mana whenua and mataawaka during the public consultative process to ensure Māori are able to provide their views on the proposal.
- 35. No particular impact on mana whenua and mataawaka have been identified. Feedback from 14 people who identified themselves as Māori showed no marked difference to feedback from non-Māori (79 per cent supported the proposal and 14 per cent did not support the proposal).

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

36. The local board have a project in the 2024/2025 Parks and Community Facilities work programme to deliver a dog park Rosedale (Activity ID: 42280). It is anticipated that this project will include appropriate signage.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga Risks and mitigations

37. The following risks have been identified:

| If | Then | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|
| Some people or organisations feel their feedback was not considered or addressed | There may be a reputational risk of negative public perception about the decision-making process. | The Board ensures it considers all public feedback contained in this report and records its decisions (with reasons). |

Ngā koringa ā-muri Next steps

- 38. Following a final decision of the Board:
 - staff will publicly notify the decision and publish any changes on council's website and Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2019 as part of a regional process that includes changes adopted by the Governing Body and other local boards
 - staff will install any updated signage funded by the Board
 - animal management staff will provide compliance services for any changes and community facilities staff will maintain any signage, within existing budgets.

Ngā tāpirihanga Attachments

| No. | Title | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| Α | Deliberations table | |
| В | Statement of Proposal [click link to view] | |
| С | Local board decision-making criteria | |
| D | Summary of public feedback | |
| E | Extract of feedback by proposal [click link to view] | |
| F | Copy of individual feedback [click link to view] | |
| G | Drop-in sessions and Have Your Say sessions feedback | |

Public feedback on proposed changes to local dog access rules



Attachment A – Deliberations Table

This attachment provides a structure for deliberations. It contains a summary of public feedback on the proposal, information to assist deliberations and a structure for recording decisions.

The Local Board will have read all the feedback and views in Attachments D, E, F and G.

Important:

- The Local Board must receive the views in public feedback with open mind and give those views due consideration.
- A local board decision to change a local dog access rule must meet regulatory criteria in their delegated authority, Policy on Dogs 2019, Dog Control Act 1996 and Local Government Act 2002 (See Attachment C)

Note:

- The number of comments for key themes may not equal the total number of comments stated for the proposal because they include general comments, exclude operational and non-bylaw related matters or reflect that some comments may include more than one key theme.
- Percentages for feedback responses may not equal one hundred per cent because responses other than support or oppose are excluded.

Attachment B



Public Feedback on main proposals

Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on the southwest section (Schedule 2) time on the northeast section (Schedule 2). Proposed dog access rule Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on at all times on Current dog access rule the northeast section (Schedule 1(4)(a)) About current and proposed rules. the southwest section (Schedule 2) Dogs allowed u comments. This includes one feedback response from one support (77 per cent), 57 oppose (20 per cent) and 85 279 feedback responses from survey and emails: 216 Public feedback on Proposal 1 - Themes Shange dog access rules at Rosedale Park organisation (in support of the proposal) Key themes in support (46):

Reason: To provide a new off-leash area in the northeast grass area and fix an error in the 2019 policy that ncorrectly identified the southwest grass area as on-leash at all times instead off-leash at any time.

Majority of people in support of the proposal welcome new

Nice to have more dog off leash space in the area/in

Dogs need to be off leash to exercise properly

off-leash areas

There is a lack of off leash space in the area/in

Auckland

About 'irresponsible dog owners' feedback:

- The Policy on Dogs seeks to promote safe interaction between dogs and people in public places to ensure that dogs do not injure, danger, intimidate or otherwise cause distress to any person, in particular, children and vulnerable adults.
- The Policy relies on compliance initiatives, including patrols, education and fines, to manage irresponsible dog owners and to encourage more responsible dog owner behavioural change over time, such as those who ignore the current rules, do not control their dog or who allow their dog to roam unaccompanied

About 'location not suitable for dogs off leash' feedback:

- The proposed off leash area on the northeast section is next to a collector road (Rosedale Road), residential properties and internal road (Jack Hinton Drive), and near sports fields
- played at least once weekly), is next to a collector road (Paul Mathews Drive), internal road and Alexandra The proposed off leash area on the southwest section co-locates with a disc golf course (social games Stream, and is near a car park and sports fields.
- The Policy on Dogs seeks to provide opportunities for dog owners to decide whether a place is suitable for them and their dogs. In this context, the proposed off-leash areas provide:
- accessible areas for a 'daily' off-leash experience in a park environment to local residents off Rosedale Road and from Unsworth Heights 0

Off leash areas should be located in quieter areas of

the park, away from busy areas such sports fields

of public space

0

owners and could cause harm or nuisance to other

Off leash dogs are not kept under control by their

Majority of people opposed the proposal due to

Key themes opposed (19):

the policy

irresponsible dog ownership

Some people mentioned the location is not suitable for

dogs off leash

0

users or animals in the park

accessible off-leash area for dog owners who bring their dogs to watch their children play sports. The two areas are separated from sports fields. Some people mentioned the proposal is not 'balanced use'

About 'not balanced use of public space' feedback:

On the majority of Rosedale Park, dogs must be under control on a leash and are prohibited on sports fields and playgrounds at all times (See also staff comments about 'location not suitable for dogs off leash') •

People who are scared/uncomfortable with dogs have

nowhere to go in the park and in this neighbourhood.

Local Board Workshop Page 14

Some people said the proposal provides a balance between

general public and dog needs

Some people are supportive of the change to fix an error in



Upper Harbour Local Board

| Public feedback on Proposal 1 – Key changes sought | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board recommendation |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Change dog access rules at Rosedale Park | About 'creating a fenced dog park' | That the proposal to |
| Key changes sought (proposed change – | The Policy on Dogs does not require off-leash areas (shared spaces) to be fenced and does not | allow dogs under control |
| Option 2B) (216 responses) | specify fence height or materials. The Dog Control Act 1996 requires a dog owner to have their | off a leash at any time on |
| dogs are allowed under control off a leash | dog under control at all times in a public place. The dog policy defines control to mean the | the northeast and |
| at any time on the northeast section | owner is able to obtain an immediate and desired response from the dog. | southwest sections at |
| dogs are allowed under control off a leash | The creation of fenced dog parks would reduce opportunities for both dog owners and other | Rosedale Park Either |
| at any time on the southwest section | informal recreational users. Fenced areas would likely be smaller than the available informal | [Local Board to decide] |
| Key changes sought (retain rule – Option 1) | recreational space available if shared. | be adopted as publicly |
| (43 responses) | The only area recommended for fencing for this proposal is the northeast section next to | notified [insert any |
| dogs are allowed under control on a leash | residential homes to clearly define boundaries. | funding for signage]. |
| at all times on the northeast section | About 'other suggestions' feedback requests: | OR be amended to |
| dogs are allowed under control off a leash at | These matters are outside the scope of this proposal. | [Local Board to insert] |
| any time on the southwest section | - | OR be rejected and |
| Key changes sought (off leash in northeast | About the local board decision-making criteria | Local Board to Insert |
| section only – Option 2A) (5 responses) | | AND Reasons include to |
| dogs are allowed under control off a leash | In general, the most relevant criteria in Appendix C for decisions resulting in more dog access, in a few or in the first the most and affect the most independent of the most and their | [Local Board to insert]. |
| at any time on the northeast section | is a focus on whether the proposed on-leash areas provide for the needs of dogs and their | |
| dogs are allowed under control on a leash | owhers in a way tnat does not create a significant risk and whether the rule is easy to التطويقة المتطويقة التطويقة الت | |
| at all times on the southwest section | Understand. | |
| Key changes sought ('fence the entire off | Ē | |
| leash areas') (2 responses) | considering whether the northeast and southwest sections of the park would provide an | |
| dog off leash areas should be fenced to | accessible daily on-leasn experience to local dog owners (for example a short walk from | |
| ensure safety of other users | from Defore of after Work) in a park environment to rocal residents on Rosedale Road and | |
| Key changes sought ('other suggestions') (2 | nom disworth reights, and for dog owners outside the area who dimig then dogs to watch their children play sports. | |
| response) | considering whether allowing responsible dog owners off-leash dog access in the | |
| keep dogs on leash at all times in the entire | northeast and southwest sections of the park will create a significant risk between people | |
| park | and dogs, noting these areas are separate to areas of the park that has a playground and | |
| | sports fields, but that the southwest area co-locates with a disc golf course. | |

Refer Appendix C - Summary of Policy on Dogs 2019 dog access rule policy, principles and criteria – "1. Provide dog access rules that are comprehensive, consistent and easy to understand …" and "3. Consider the following before making any change to a dog access rule on parks and beaches that would provide more dog access".

с.

Attachment B

Attachment B



recommendation **Local Board** allocating funding for new signage and fencing in northeast section next to residential homes to northeast and southwest sections of the park have clearly defined boundaries and noting considering whether the rule to be adopted is easy to understand, including whether the Note: If a change is made to the current dog access rules, the local board must consider clearly define boundaries (indicative figures provided in Attachment B of December 2024 the park as a whole already has a combination of on-leash and prohibited rules. Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) agenda report) 0 Public feedback on Proposal 1 – Key changes sought

Other matters

| Other matters | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board decision |
|--|--|---|
| Requests for changes to other local dog access | About new requests for changes to local dog access rules | That requests for changes to local dog access |
| rules | Requests for changes to dog access rules require assessment against | rules at specific locations received in public |
| Key changes sought and reasons: | regulatory criteria. | feedback not related to the proposed changes |
| Mairangi Bay (1): Want off-leash access | If the request meets the criteria and the relevant decision-making | be referred to the relevant council department |
| George Pannell Reserve (1): Want on-leash | authority considers a change is appropriate, the change must be | for inclusion in the next 'batched' consideration |
| access | publicly notified (including to all known dog owners in Auckland) for | of dog access change requests. |
| Northwood Reserve (1): Want on-leash | feedback prior to a final decision being made. | |
| access | There are 22 decision-making authorities, the Regulatory and Safety | Reasons include to comply with the local boards |
| Fernhill Escarpment (1): Want prohibition | Committee for regional rules and 21 Local Boards for local rules. | regulatory requirements in their delegated |
| access | Individual requests are considered as a 'batch.' The timing for each | authority, Policy on Doas 2019, Doa Control Act |
| Locations not specified (e.g. all parks in | 'batch' is scheduled within council's wider bylaw review work | 1996 and Local Government Act 2002 and |
| Whenuapai/Auckland) (3) | programme that includes the periodic review of all 17 council bylaws, | coordination of decision-making across all 22 |
| | 14 associated bylaw controls and input in to legislative reviews related | decision-making authorities for dog access rules |
| | to bylaws. | in Alickland |
| | The timing of the next 'batch' is yet to be determined with a focus in | |
| | 2025/26 on the review of bylaws about waste, food, navigation and | |
| | health and hygiene. | |
| Additional matters raised by local board | About 'any other matters' | Either [Local board to decide] |
| members | | That all matters raised in public feedback have |
| Comment (any other matters) | | been given adequate consideration. |

2

| Upper Harbour Local Board |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

| Other matters | Staff comment (information to assist deliberations) | Local Board decision |
|--|---|--|
| The Local Board should deliberate on any matters | he Local Board should deliberate on any matters This is an opportunity for the decision-maker to raise and deliberate on any OR | OR |
| contained in public feedback in Attachments D to G | contained in public feedback in Attachments D to G matter contained in public feedback in Attachments D to G it considers | That in relation to the matters raised in public |
| it considers has not been adequately addressed in | considers has not been adequately addressed in have not been adequately addressed in this Attachment A. | feedback, the Local Board: |
| this Attachment A. | | [Local Board to insert decision, suggestions, |
| | | notes]. |
| | | |

Local dog access rule comparison table

| Current local dog access rule | Proposed local dog access rule | Local dog access rule that implements the Local Board decision in the above deliberations table |
|--|--|---|
| Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times on the northeast section (Schedule 1(4)(a)). Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on the southwest section (Schedule 2). | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on the northeast section (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on the southwest section (Schedule 2). | [Local Board to insert to implement decision] |





(o nga whakahoutanga e whakaarohia ana mo nga ture Iru kuri mo nga papaa me nga takutai o te rohe

Proposed updates to dog access rules at local parks and beaches

tatement of Proposal to amend the Kaupapa mo ngā Kurī Policy on Dogs 2019 ave your say from 20 January 2025 to 23 February 2025 anuary 2025, Version 1.0

aucklandcouncil.govt.nz





1 What this is about

Local boards are recommending changes to dog access rules at some local parks and beaches.

Keeping dogs a positive part of the lives of Aucklanders

Taking a dog to a local park or beach can be great for the wellbeing of the dog and their owner.

Sometimes, however, it is not appropriate to take a dog to certain places off-leash or at all, due to the risk to people, stock, poultry, domestic animals, protected wildlife, natural habitat or property.

What Auckland Council does

We help keep dogs a positive part of the life of Aucklanders by updating rules that balance the needs of dogs, people, animals, the environment and property in public places. The existing rules are set in the Auckland Council Kaupapa mo ngā Kurī Policy on Dogs 2019.

Updating local park and beach dog access rules

We recently reviewed how local parks and beaches are being used and identified improvements.

Our proposal is to amend local dog access rules at the following locations (local board area):

- Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach (Aotea / Great Barrier)
- Sandhills Reserve (Aotea / Great Barrier)
- Clevedon Showground Reserve (Franklin)
- Designated dog exercise areas (Franklin)
- Kawakawa Bay Beach (Franklin)
- Shell banks and coastal areas from Waiau Pa to Ellets Beach (Franklin)
- Sports fields in former Franklin District (Franklin)
- Big Manly Beach (Hibiscus and Bays)
- Tindalls Beach (Hibiscus and Bays)
- Lloyd Elsmore Park near Howick Historical Village (Howick)
- Foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve (Kaipātiki)
- Kauri Glen Reserve, Kauri Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve (Kaipātiki)
- Kauri Park (including unformed road), Muriel Fisher Reserve, Søren G Christensen Reserve (Kaipātiki)

- Kukari Park (Kaipātiki)
- Soldiers Bay (Kaipātiki)
- Te Ara Awataha Greenway (Kaipātiki)
- 54 Tonar Street (Kaipātiki)
- Madills Farm Recreation Reserve and Glover Park (Ōrākei)
- Freeland Reserve (Puketāpapa)
- John Moore Reserve (Puketāpapa)
- Monte Cecilia Park (Puketāpapa)
- Smallfield Reserve (Puketāpapa)
- Underwood Park (Puketāpapa)
- Walmsley Park (Puketāpapa)
- Parry Kauri Park (Rodney)
- Snells Beach (Rodney)
- Vera Reserve Baddeleys Road, Baddeleys Creek Reserve and Pigeon Place Accessway (Rodney)
- Wonderview Road Esplanade (Rodney)
- Rosedale Park (Upper Harbour)
- Big Oneroa Beach (Waiheke)
- Black Pool Beach (Waiheke)
- Onetangi Beach (Waiheke)



We want to know what you think

From on 20 January to 23 February 2025 we want you to tell us what you think.

Visit <u>akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/</u> for more information and to find out how to give your feedback in writing, in-person or by phone. Free internet access is available at our libraries.



2 What are local dog access rules?

Local dog access rules support responsible dog ownership. They set out which local parks, beach and foreshore areas are dog friendly and which are dog-free. These rules:

- identify where you can take your dog under control off a leash, on a leash and where they are prohibited, at all times or during certain times and seasons
- do not apply to regional parks they have their own rules
- have been in place since 1996 and the council's approach was last reviewed in 2019
- are contained in Schedules 1 and 2 of the Auckland Council <u>Kaupapa mo ngā Kurī Policy on Dogs 2019</u> and are sorted by <u>local board area</u>.

The Kaupapa mo ngā Kurī Policy on Dogs 2019 seeks to keep dogs as a positive part of the life of Aucklanders' by providing access to public spaces that balance the needs of dogs, people, animals, the environment and property.

Our focus now is on managing change that support responsible dog owners, for example:

- identifying new opportunities for dog friendly places in neighbourhoods with limited offleash areas
- only restricting access for new park developments, or where there are more people, increased presence of protected wildlife and new threats to natural habitat where the presence of dogs creates significant risk to people, stock, poultry, domestic animals, protected wildlife, natural habitat or property.

This approach means changes to local dog access rules are not used to address the actions of irresponsible dog owners or to reconsider previous decisions. The actions of irresponsible dog owners are addressed by animal management officers (for example patrols, education and fines).

Our <u>21 local boards</u> are responsible for keeping local dog access rules up to date by:

- periodically checking for opportunities and changes at local park, beach and foreshore areas
- seeking public feedback on improvements before making a final decision.

Local board decisions are guided by regulatory criteria in the Dog Control Act 1996 and our Policy.



3 Implementing local dog access rules

Modern approach to compliance

We carry out activities to ensure compliance with the Policy using a modern regulatory approach:

- provide information about local dog access rules on signage at every park or beach
- provide on-line information on 'where and when you can walk your dog' and in the Policy.
- promote responsible dog ownership and dog safety to local communities
- patrol popular parks and beaches
- use education to increase voluntary compliance
- respond to complaints using a prioritised response framework and enforcement matrix to decide the most appropriate response to an offence (for example education, warning or \$300 infringement fine)
- use other existing powers and penalties to respond to dogs that are dangerous, menacing, attacking, rushing or causing distress, or roaming unaccompanied by their owner.



4 What council proposes to change

Updating local park and beach dog access rules

We recently checked for opportunities and changes at local parks and beaches and identified improvements to the dog access rules at the following locations.

Our main proposals are:

| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Aotea / Great Barrier | Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach | Current Dog access rule (see map in Appendix B) For the beach areas: Dogs allowed under control on a leash east of a line drawn from the public toilets to the southern headland of Pah Beach, continuing southeast across the headland to a point in line with the ramp opposite 11 Blackwell Drive (Schedule 2) Dogs allowed under control off a leash from the northern headland of Pah Beach to the northern end of the playground on Gooseberry Flat (excluding the on-leash area between the public toilets and the boat ramp) (Schedule 2). Current rules that prohibit dogs on the playground (Schedule 1(1)) and allow dogs under control on a leash near the playground, on the southern end of Gooseberry Flat Beach and on all park and reserve areas (Schedule 1(4)) continue to apply. Note: There is uncertainty regarding the Department of Conservation's (DoC) rule for Gooseberry Flat and Pah Beach. Staff are continuing to engage with them to clarify the situation. Reason: To better protect vulnerable neclarify the rule (and effectively extend the Flat Beach. | he off-leash area slightly) on Gooseberry |
| Aotea Great Barrier | Sandhills | Current dog access rule Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 1 (4)(a)). | Proposed dog access rule Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time at Sandhills Reserve (Schedule 2). |



| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | Reason : To provide a new off-leash area on Great Barrier without significant risk to protected wildlife, flora, private property or dog owners (fence required to define boundaries and sign that dogs prohibited from adjoining Department of Conservation area). | | | |
| Franklin | Clevedon Showgrounds Reserve | Current dog access rule (see map in Appendix B) | Proposed dog access rule (see map in Appendix B) | | |
| | neserve | Dogs allowed under control off a leash on areas of the showgrounds not restricted (Schedule 2). Restricted areas: Dogs continue to be prohibited on sports surfaces (e.g. sports fields, tennis courts, skate park and dressage arena) and areas | Dogs allowed under control off a leash on grass areas south of the area used as sports fields and west and north of equestrian areas (Schedule 2), except during events where temporary dog access rules may apply (Bylaw clause 10). | | |
| | | not under council control (e.g. leased areas), and under control on a leash in vicinity of sports surfaces when in use and on parking areas (Schedule 1). | Dogs allowed under control on a leash on all other areas of the showgrounds not restricted (Schedule 1) | | |
| | | | Restricted areas: Dogs continue to be prohibited on sports surfaces and areas not under council control, and under control on a leash in vicinity of sports surfaces when in use and on parking areas (Schedule 1). | | |
| | | Reason: To minimise significant risks wi while providing for off-leash and on-leas | | | |
| Franklin | Designated dog exercise areas | Current dog access rule Dogs (including dangerous dogs while muzzled) allowed under control off a leash (Schedule 2). | Proposed dog access rule Dogs (other than dangerous dogs who must be on-leash and muzzled) are allowed under control off a leash, excluding Patumahoe Recreation Reserve (Patumahoe) where dogs are allowed under control on a leash (Schedule 2). | | |
| | | These areas include part of <u>Cape Hill Reserve (Pukekohe)</u> , <u>East Coast Road Reserves</u> foreshore (Pokeno), <u>Te Uri o Taawhaki / Rātā Street Reserve</u> (Waiuku), <u>Waipoouri / Hickeys Recreation Reserve</u> (Pukekohe), part of <u>Karioitahi Beach</u> , part of <u>Orua Bay</u> , part of <u>Patumahoe Recreation Reserve</u> (Patumahoe), <u>Ngaati Urupikia / Riverside Drive Recreation Reserve</u> (Waiuku), part of <u>Rooseville Reserve</u> (Pukekohe), <u>Whakatoo Kai / Cloverlea Reserve</u> (Pukekohe). | | | |
| | | Reason: To align with the Policy classificaccess that defines 'designated dog exergence the priority user and 'off-leash' place reclassify Patumahoe Recreation Reservis not suitable for off-leash access. | rcise areas' as spaces where dog owners es as spaces shared with other users. To | | |
| | | | | | |



| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Franklin | Kawakawa Bay Beach | For beach, foreshore and adjoining park | S: | | |
| | (See Map of | Current Dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | Zones in Appendix B) | (see map in Appendix B) A time and season rule applies on both beaches, foreshore and adjoining 'parks' (including headland Whitford Point Reserve and Kawakawa Coast Road Reserve, Department of Conservation land and other 'narrow grass areas' between Clevedon-Kawakawa Road and the foreshore that prohibits dogs between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times) (Schedule 2). | Apply a bird breeding season rule on both beaches, foreshore and adjoining parks between Turei Road and Rautawa Stream next to Ferndale Drive, including Whitford Point Reserve and parts of Kawakawa Bay Foreshore Reserve - Zones 3, 4 and 5 that prohibits dogs from 1 September to 31 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times) (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control off a leash on remaining areas (on beach, foreshore and adjoining parks from the western shoreline to Turei Road and from Ferndale Drive to (but not including) the boat ramp at 39R Kawakawa Bay Coast Road next to Kawakawa Bay Coast Road Reserve - Zones 1 and 2) (Schedule 2). | | |
| Franklin | Shell banks and coastal areas | Reason: To protect people during summ and at-risk species of shorebirds (includ disturbed, harmed or killed by dogs. Current dog access rule | | | |
| | from Waiau Pa to | (see map in Appendix B) | (see map in Appendix B) | | |
| | Ellets Beach - beach, foreshore | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | Dogs prohibited at all times (Schedule 2). | | |
| and adjoining esplanade reserves from the shell banks adjacent to the coastline near Seagrove Road on Clarks Beach to the shell banks adjacent to the coastline on Ellets Beach. | | The location includes Waiau Pa Esplanac Ellet Road Esplanade Reserve and Clark Note: The rules stated above do not app • Clarks Beach where a time and seasor • Clarks Beach Marginal Strip, which is nalready prohibited on this section of content of the section o | Road Esplanade Reserve. oly to: n rule applies. nanaged by DoC and where dogs are coastline. risk species of shorebirds (including | | |



| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Franklin | Sports fields in | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| former Franklin District Council | | On sports surfaces (marked grass sports fields): Dogs allowed under control on a leash when not in use or closed (Schedule 1(2) and 2) Dogs are prohibited when in use or closed (Schedule 1(2) and 2). Current dog access rules in other park areas (for example on any playgrounds or carparks) would continue to apply (Schedule 1). | (Schedule 1(2)). Current dog access rules in other | | |
| | | This location includes Bledisloe Park, Clive Howe Road Recreation Reserve, Colin Lawrie Fields, Franklin A&P Showgrounds, Glenbrook Road Recreation Reserve, Hamilton Estate Recreation Reserve, Karaka Sports Park, Massey Parakakawau Recreation Reserve, Navigation Homes Stadium, Patumahoe Recreation Reserve, Puni Recreation Reserve, Rosa Birch Park, Waiau Pa Domain, Waiuku Sports Park. Reason: To provide a balanced use of public spaces. It will better protect spusers from nuisance and sports surfaces from damage while still providing for on-leash dog access in other areas of the park that is consistent with dog acceptable on all other parks in the local board area and Auckland region. | | | |
| Hibiscus | Big Manly Beach | Current dog access rule Proposed dog access | | | |
| and Bays | | (headland to headland) A time and season rule applies to Big Manly Beach from headland to headland that prohibits dogs between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a | Apply current time and season rule to Big Manly Beach from the western headland to Cross Street. (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times from Cross Street to the eastern headland connecting to Tindalls Beach (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | | |
| | | Note: The entire area including Big Manly Beach, Tindalls Beach and headland is approximately 2.5 km long. The proposed dog access rule approximately 1.3km of the combined area (0.5 km of Big Manly Beac of Tindalls Beach, and the entire headland which is approximately 0.5 Reason: To protect dotterels that nest near the headland of Big Manly | | | |
| | | and Tindalls beach from being disturb | | | |
| Hibiscus and Bays | Tindalls Beach | approximately 1.3km of the combined | Apply current time and season rule from Hardley Avenue to eastern headland (Schedule 2). | | |



| Reason: To protect dotterels that nest on the southwestern end of Tir Beach from being disturbed, harmed or killed by dogs. Howick | Change and reason | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Park (near Howick Historical Village) Park (near Howick Historical Village) Pogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). Reason: To protect animals at Howick Historical Village as off leash drunning from Lloyd Elsmore Park creates a significant risk. Raipātiki Foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve Sulphur Beach Reserve (Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2)) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Sulphur Beach Reserve (Sulphur Beach Reserve (Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2)) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2)) bygs allowed under control leash at any time on the fore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2) between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time on the fore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (Schedule 2) Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Reason: To protect kauri Gen Reserve only applies to the between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road, Baymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-leash at any t | dalls | | | |
| Howick Historical Village) Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). Dogs allowed under control leash within 10m of Howick Historical Village (Schedule 2). | ule | | | |
| running from Lloyd Elsmore Park creates a significant risk. Foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve A time and season rule (Schedule 2) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (south of the carpark) and Gold Hole Reserve that allows dogs under control on a leash between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times). Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Kaipātiki | | | | |
| between Sulphur Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve A time and season rule (Schedule 2) applies to the foreshore between Sulphur Beach Reserve (South of the carpark) and Gold Hole Reserve (south of the carpark) and Gold Hole Reserve that allows dogs under control on a leash between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times). Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. Kaipātiki Kauri Glen Reserve, Kauri Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve Kaipātiki Kauri Glen Reserve, Kauri Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve The recommended change for Kauri Point Domain only applies from of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay. Oth to remain under control off a leash. The recommended change for Kauri Glen Reserve only applies to to between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-leash. | ogs | | | |
| Beach Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve and Gold Hole Reserve Sulphur Beach Reserve (south of the carpark) and Gold Hole Reserve (south of the carpark) and Gold Hole Reserve that allows dogs under control on a leash between 10am and 5pm, 1 December to 1 March (dogs allowed under control off a leash at all other times). Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash area without creating signification other users. | ule | | | |
| Kaipātiki Kauri Glen Reserve, Kauri Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve Note: The recommended change for Kauri Point Domain only applies from of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay. Oth to remain under control off a leash. The recommended change for Kauri Glen Reserve only applies to to between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road, entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-leash. | off a eshore erve and | | | |
| Reserve, Kauri Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve Note: The recommended change for Kauri Point Domain only applies from of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay. Oth to remain under control off a leash. The recommended change for Kauri Glen Reserve only applies to to between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-leash. | nt risk to | | | |
| Point Domain, Leigh Scenic Reserve, Soldiers Bay Reserve Note: The recommended change for Kauri Point Domain only applies from of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay. Oth to remain under control off a leash. The recommended change for Kauri Glen Reserve only applies to to between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-leash. | ule | | | |
| Bay Reserve • The recommended change for Kauri Point Domain only applies from of Balmain Road to the junction with the track to Soldiers Bay. Oth to remain under control off a leash. • The recommended change for Kauri Glen Reserve only applies to to between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-lease. | on a | | | |
| between the Kauri Glen Road, Raymond Terrace and Tui Glen Road entrances. Other areas to remain under control off a leash. Reason: To protect kauri forest from Kauri Dieback disease from off-le | | | | |
| | | | | |
| _ ~ | ash dogs | | | |
| Kaipātiki Kauri Park (including Current dog access rule Proposed dog access rule | ule | | | |
| unformed road), Muriel Fisher Reserve, Søren G Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). (Schedule 1(2)). | | | | |
| Christensen Reserve Note: The listed areas are currently closed to the public due to Kauri I disease. Reason: To prevent the further spread of Kauri Dieback disease. | ieback | | | |
| Kaipātiki Kukari Park | | | | |
| Current dog access rule Proposed dog access rule Dogs allowed under control on a Dogs allowed under control | | | | |
| leash at all times (Schedule 1 (4)(a)). | | | | |
| Note: The recent redevelopment of Northcote Central has created new areas and currently the default on a leash rule applies. | park | | | |
| Reason : To retain current default dogs under control on a leash rule to significant risks to other users. | prevent | | | |



| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Kaipātiki | Soldiers Bay Te Ara Awataha | S | Proposed dog access rule Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). erable to off-leash dogs in this bay. |
| Kaipātiki | Te Ara Awatana Greenway | S | rule applies. |
| Kaipātiki | 54 Tonar Street | | rule applies. rea at the recent redevelopment of |
| Ōrākei | Madills Farm Recreation Reserve and Glover Park | Current dog access rule (see map in Appendix B) Dogs allowed under control off a lead on sports surfaces (marked grass sports fields) when not in use or not closed (Schedules 1(2) and 2). Dogs prohibited on sports surfaces when in use or closed (Schedules 1(2) and 2) and must be under control or leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(2)). Dogs prohibited on playgrounds at a times and must be under control on leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(1)). Dogs must be under control on a lead in car parks at all times (Schedule 1() Dogs allowed under control off a lead at any time on other parts of the Par (Schedule 2). Reason: To better protect the health and sports surfaces from damage, while still pareas of the parks that is consistent with the local board area and Auckland region. | on sports surfaces and must be under control on a leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(2)). Dogs prohibited on playgrounds at all times and must be under control on a leash in the vicinity when in use (Schedule 1(1)). Dogs must be under control on a leash in car parks at all times (Schedule 1(4)). Dogs allowed under control off a leash on other parts of the Park (Schedule 2). |



| Local Board Area | Location | Change and reason | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Puketāpapa | Freeland Reserve | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | | |
| | | Reason : To protect users as the chang significant risk to people. | I ge in the nature of the area creates a | | |
| Puketāpapa | John Moore | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | Reserve | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). | | |
| | | Reason: To provide a new dog off-leash park users. | area without significant risk to other | | |
| Puketāpapa | Smallfield | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | Reserve | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). | | |
| | | Reason : To provide a new dog off-leash area without significant risk to o park users. | | | |
| Puketāpapa | Underwood Park | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | | |
| | | Reason : To protect users as the change significant risk to people. | in the nature of the area creates a | | |
| Puketāpapa | Walmsley Park | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| | | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2). | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | | |
| | | Reason : To protect users as the change significant risk to people. | in the nature of the area creates a | | |
| Puketāpapa | Monte Cecilia | Current dog access rule | Proposed dog access rule | | |
| Park | | Dogs allowed under control off a leash at any time (Schedule 2) in the naturally formed bowl on the north- eastern side of the park between Pah Homestead and the Knoll | Dogs allowed under control on a leash at all times (Schedule 1(4)(a)). | | |
| | | Reason: To protect users as the current significant risk to people. | off-leash in the bowl area creates a | | |
| | | | | | |